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# PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST ESTIMATES OF LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE ONAN ACHIEVEMENT TEST 

Donald H . Kausler University of Arkansas

When students take a subjectmatter test in a college course, an experimenter is provided with an excellent opportunity for studying level of aspiration and its derivatives. A number of experimenters have investigated goal-setting behavior and achievement judgment following the test in such a classroom laboratory. The usual procedure is to have the subjects (students) estimate their performance before beginning the test (level of aspiration) and again after completing the test (achievement judgment).

In the present study, the subjeots were required to estimate the class average both before and after taking the test, in addition to estimating their own personal performance. Thus each subject's estimate of his own performance could be investigated in terms of the direction and magnitude of deviation from the average as estimated by him.

A number of problems were investigated in this study. This paper is concerned with two of these problems. They are:

1. The nature of the deviation soore between estimates of class average and personal performance in the pre-test situationas compared to the post-test situation.
2. The correlation between pre-test estimates and post-test estimates for both the average score and personal score.

## PROCEDURE

The subjectswere 42 students, both male and female, in the experimenter's Psychology of Adolescence class. The data for nine other students had to be discarded for failure to follow instruotions.

The test used was the second regular course examination during the semester. Before the tests
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were passed out, the experimenter read the following instructions:
"There are 70 questions on this test. The test is similar in nature to your previous test and should be approximately as difficult as your last test. Estimate what you think the class average will be on this test. Write this figure on the back of your test paper. Now estimate what you think your own grade on the test will be. Write this figure on the back of your test paper."

Following the test, these instruotions were read:
"Having taken the test, estimate once more what you think the olass average will be and what you think your own grade will be. Write these figures on the back of your test paper."

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Problem 1. The means and standard deviations were determined for each of the four estimates, and their values are presentedin the table below. The estimates are abbreviateda Xpre and Xpost for the personal estimates and Apre and Apost for the class average estimates.

## SUMMARY DATA FOR PERFORMANCE ESTIMATES

|  | Apre | Xpre | Apost | Xpost |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M. | 51.74 | 54.24 | 51.71 | 53.14 |
| S. D. | 5.53 | 6.09 | 6.25 | 7.12 |

The difference between Xpre and Apre and the difference between Xpost and Apost were analyzed for significanoe by means of the $t$ test (using the difference method since the scöres are correlated). These differences are 2.50 and 1.43 and yield $t$ 's of 2.50 (signifioant at the . 05 level) and 1.06 (not significant at the .05 level), respectively. The formula for $t$ is

$$
t=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{\text { Standard error of the difference }} \text {. }
$$

The hypothesis is suggested for further study that pre-test estimates of performance are more optimistic and more likely to exceed the reference point of average performance than are post-test estimates of performance.

Problem 2. The correlation coefficient between Apre and Apost was found to be .91. The correlation coefficient between Xpre and Xpost was found to be -76. Both coefficients are significantat the . 01 level. The high relationship between the pre-test and post-test estimates suggests the hypothesis that a common expeotancy set operates to influence all of the estimates and that this set is relatively unaffected by actual achievement on the test. Additional evidence for this hypothesis is found in the low oorrelation (.31) between Xpost and actual grade on the test.

