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## A PRELIMINARY REPORT OF STANDING CROP AND RATES OF HARVEST IN LAKE FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS: 1957 THROUGH 1958

Charles F. Cole<br>Samuel L. Finkelstein University of Arkansas

## INTRODUCTION

Lake Fort Smith is a 525-aore artificial lake in Crawford County, Arkansas. Impounded in 1936 as a water supply reservoir for the city of Fort Smith, this lake is loaated about 26 miles northeast of the oity of Fort Smith on the southern slope of the Boston Mountains and drains into Frog Bayou, a tributary of the Arkansas River. It has been the site of several limnological studies in recent years (Hoffman, 1951; Hoffman and Causey, 1952; and Nelson, unpublished thesis, 1954). There are indications that the lake's sport fishery has markedly deolined during the 23-year life of the lake. This has been partioularly noticeable to those lake fishermen primarily interested in oatohing white crappie, Pomoxis annularis, and the largemouth bass, Mioropterus salmoides. In hopes that some management practice might be designed to improve fishing in this and other small man-made lakes in northwestern Arkansas, a cooperative fisheries research project between the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, the Zoology Department of the University of Arkansas and the U. S. Fish and Wildife Service was established in June, 1957.

The management technique seleoted for trial has been an experimental introduction of the threadfin shad, Dorosoma petenense (Gunther), and was aimed at improving available forage supplies. The threadfin shad, unlike the gizzard shad, is an ideal forage fish rarely growing longer than 5 to 6 inches under optimum conditions. Thus, presumably, it never becomes too large for utilization as food by the game species in the lake. Although such introductions have already been oarried out in many southeastern states as well as in southern part of Arkansas by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, little basio information is available concerning the effects of these introductions on the established fish populations. In order to evaluate the
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effeot of the shad introduction, a knowledge of the biological conditions which existed in the lake prior to the introduction is an absolute necessity. The Lake Fort Smith program was thus designed to obtain suoh information. Accordingly, the main early objectives of the project prior to the introduction of the shad were to determine the present population composition, growth rates and condition factors of the game species, the size of harvest able populations, and the current rates of harvesting or utilization of those populations by the sportsman. The rate of harvesting prior to the introduction, has been studied by a creel census, the first eleven months of which are reported upon in a preliminary fashion by this paper; it is hoped at a later date to establish confidence limits upon the estimations of harvest presented herein. A very preliminary attempt to determine standing crop has been made based upon rotenone samples made in early June, 1958. The inaocuracies of this method and the possibility of completely erroneous conclusions based upon determination of standing orop utilizing only rotenone sampling methods are well appreoiated by the authors.

## ROTENONE SAMPLING METHODS

Recognizing the shortcomings of the rotenone method for determining standing orop (Krumholz, 1944 and others) but needing some quantitative estimation of standing orop, two sites were sampled by the rotenone method on June 9, 1958. Soundings and plane table mappings of these areas were conducted on June 18, 1958. Projeot personnel with the guidance of Mr. Raymond Martin and Mr. William Mathis, Fishery Biologists for the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, poisoned two areas generally typical of the upper area of the lake using 8.2 per cent Powoo powdered rotenone in water using a pump-operated spray. The first site, a shallow bay of 4.1 surface acres and 4 feet maximum depth was treated with 9 pounds of rotenone. The second site, a portion of the channel of the old stream bed now having a maximum depth of 12 feet and a surface area of 2.9 aores was treated with 48 pounds of rotenone. Additional information concerning these sites may be found in Cole, Finkelstein and Trenary, 1958.

The fish poisoned in these areas were collected,
weighed and measured over a two-day period. The shallow bay site was heavily grown with Chara and undoubtedly was not completely oleared of specimens. This bay is poorly joined to the main lake by two shallow mud bars which fairly well prevented any extensive migration in or out of the poisoned zone. The channel site has no such natural limits and was not blocked off by seines being delimited only by two walls of rotenone delivered before general poisoning was started. Every effort was made to count only speoimens captured within this area. Unfortunately no means of determining movement in or out of the area subsequent to the poisoning is possible utilizing this technique.

Based on size factors (see Cole et al., 1958), all fishes were classed as young, intermediate or adults after having been sorted to species. Soales and measurements were taken on a number of specimens but no attempt was made to determine sex of those specimens. Table I indicates the estimations of the adults per acre from these two sites and an average figure for the lake expressing our only knowledge about the possible adult population at that time.

Just how typical of the entire lake these sites are is difficult to say. Probably the upper half of the lake is relatively similar; however, the region near the dam and the boat dook is largely over 40 feet in depth. Such habitat was not and probably cannot be effectively sampled by rotenoning for reasons of good public relations and thus an attempt to determine total lake standing orops based upon such biased data is dangerous. However, since no other additional means for estimating standing orop was available at that time, any preliminary statements made based on such information must be olearly labeled as tentative. During the second year of the project, it is planned to develop and use a boat-mounted eleotric shooker in gathering speoimens sufficient for a mark and recapture study. While there are definite increases in time and effort needed by such a program, its accuracy and reliability should be such as to permit a valid statement concerning standing orop and harvest. It is hoped that additional rotenone samples conducted along with the mark and reoapture program may give some indication of the reliability of our present effort.

## CREEL CENSUS METHODS

Based upon personnel and equipment limitations, it was decided that suffioient acouracy could be gained by sampling oreels only three of the seven deys in the week. Since fishing pressure appeared to be generally highest on the weekends, both Saturdays and Sundays were censused in each week. The third day was chosen in such a fashion as to be sampled one day later each suoceeding week. During the period from April through Ootober, this resulted in each of the five weekdays being sampled every five weeks. From early November through the end of March the lake was olosed on Fridays, resulting in a sampling of the four weekdays every four weeks. The lake is officially opened for fishing by Mr. Ira Cole, lake manager, from sunrise until about one hour after sunset. Usually the lake opens between 5:30 and 6:00 a.m. during the warmer months and between 6:30 and 7:00 a.m. during the colder months of the year. The oreel census clerks are due on the lake site, forty miles south of Fayetteville, by 8:00 a.m. This almost always is in sufficient time to oatch the first returning boats. Should any boats be missed at this time, the lake manager can usually supply suffioient oatch data. The clerks remain at the lake until the last boat returns, usually after sunset.

The following information is obtained from the returning fishermen by the oensus olerk: number of fishermen, not always agreeing with the total number of boat ocoupants; tota? number of hours spent fishing; type of boat used and how powered; type of bait used; and the name and residence of one member of the party. Each fish oaught by the fishermen is weighed and measured. Time permitting, soale samples are taken from each specimen for use in the age and growth passe of the project. At present, only oreel census data resulting in estimations of total catch or harvest has been analyzed to date.

## CRITICISM OF CREEL CENSUSING METHODS

Lake Fort Smith is considered nearly an ideal lake for oreel censusing. It has only one access road lading to the one dook and launching site, the remaining shoreline being generally inaccessible. About 80 per cent of the fishermen using
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the lake fish from boatsand most of them use boats rented by the lake manager. During the period from July 1957 through June 1958, 1539 boats were rented or launched, 1431 of which were rentals. No boats are permitted on the lake with larger than $7 \frac{1}{2}$ HP motors and no swimming or water-sking is permitted. About 20 per cent of those fishing the lake, fish from the shore and almost all of these fishermen use the acoess road and oome to the dook parking area before leaving the lake. A relatively small number of local residents walk in about onehalf mile from a dirt road which passes near the upper end of the lake. These persons are often missed by the census clerk who makes only unscheduled trips from the dook site. The effect of these iishermen upon the total harvest of the lake is unknown.

Other errors are also present in the censusing technique. During the census day, the census clerk is expected to examine gill nets, wire traps, trot lines or other gear which may be in the water. He is expected to accomplish this at the most opportune time and occasionally parties may return to the dook in his absence. Persons undoubtedly are also missed who visit the lake during unauthorized times. Night fishing by boat is prohibited, but several illegal boats probably used for night fishing or running illegal gear were confisoated during the reporting period. It is known too that unauthorized shore fishing takes place at night as well as during the closed Fridays in the winter. The total effects of these errors upon the estimation of total harvest are unknown and while inherent in any oreel census, may actually be of more than minor importance in the total harvest from a lake so lightly harvested. On occasion, several parties as well may arrive at the dookatone time during sudden showers, or at night closing times and the single clerk may not beable to obtain all the information desired. Usually, however, the olerk is able to get enough information for basic oatch and effort estimations. Although these errors are common to many oreel censusing operations, they may show a greater total effect at Lake Fort Smith beaase of the very light fishing pressure. Nonetheless, it is felt that ihe data presented represents a reasonably acourate measure of the total fishing effort for the eleven-month period from August 1, 1957 through June 30, 1958.

## DISCUSSION

The data resulting from the creel census program were expanded for Tables II and III in the following manner to develop an estimation of total harvest and total fishing pressure per acre per year. One weekdaya week was sampled and used as the best estimate of fishing for that four-or five-day period. To this expanded estimate for the four- or five-day period was added the total fishing data gathered from the Saturday and Sunday census for the estimation of tetal fishing effort and pressure for the week. In addition, the three main summer holidays, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Daywere treated as special days and were separately censused. There seems th be good evidence, unfortunately, that the precision of our estimate would have been greatly enhanced hadan extra census day per week been added during the more heavily fished summer months (Jessen, in Carlander et al., 1956).

During oertain weeks of the year, "lost" data ocourred. If for some reason the olerk was unable to be on the lake ona soheduled weekday, the oreel census data from the weekday of the previous week and for the day of the week immediately following were averaged and that average used as the best estimate for the "lost" day and substituted for it In the expapsion. If weather conditions prevented travel to the lake and if it could subsequently be determined that the lake was not fished thet day, that day was treated as if the census taker had been present on the lake.

## RESULTS

Prelimsnary evaluation of the first year's oreel census information indioates that Lake Fort Smith is relatively lightly fished. It has a relatively low rate of harvest for unit effort as well whioh may be related to its poorer than average standing orop as estimated by the rovenone sampling. The two June 1958 rotenone samplings indicatedastanding oror of less than 60 pounds of all species and sizes of fishes per aore. These samples indicated approximately 17 adult largemouth bass, 39 white crappie and 32 bluegill adults per surface acre plus other assorted sunfishes and catfishes bringing the estimation of the average acre's crop to about 120 game fish of a size acceptable to the

## ARKANSAS ACADEMY OF SCIENCE

## TABLE II

EXPANDED TOTAL HARVEST AND FISHING PRESSURE DATA, LAKE FORT SMITH, 1957-58
Estimated total number
of fishermen

2,910
of fishermen
2,910
Estimated total number of trips

1,431
Estimated total number of fishermen hours

13,160
Estimated total number of fish harvested

4,905
Estimated weight of fish harvested
$1,646.4 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Estimated pounds of harvested game fish/acre/year 3.14 lbs .

Estimated number of harvested game fishes/aore/year 9.33

Estimated man hours
of angling/aores/year $\quad 25.04 \mathrm{hrs}$.

## TABLE III

EXPANDED TOTAL HARVEST BY KIND, PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL CATCH IN POUNDS AND NUMBERS LAKE FORT SMITH, 1957-58

|  | Total <br> Number | \% of <br> Total | Total <br> Weight <br> (Lbs.) | \% of <br> Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Largemouth bass | 836 | 17.0 | 679.4 | 41.3 |
| White orappie | 2,222 | 45.3 | 479.6 | 29.1 |
| Black orappie | 193 | 3.9 | 74.2 | 4.5 |
| Longear sunfish | 54 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 0.3 |
| Bluegill sunfish | 1,320 | 26.9 | 292.9 | 17.8 |
| Spotted bass | 45 | 0.9 | 24.8 | 1.5 |
| Smallmouth bass * | 4 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| Green sunfish | 80 | 1.6 | 15.3 | 0.9 |
| Warmouth | 122 | 2.5 | 38.3 | 2.3 |
| Channel catfish | 16 | 0.3 | 26.3 | 1.6 |
| Flathead oatfish | 13 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 0.4 |
| ToTALS | 4,905 | 99.9 | $1,646.4$ | 99.9 |

[^0]sportsman. The data from this pair of rotenone samplings are given in Table I. Based only upon this information, it is possible to make only a very hazardous guess that the adult game fish population in the lake in June 1958 included about 20,500 white crappie, 16,700 bluegill and 9,100 largemouth bass, and 17,000 additional sunfishes and oatfishes of an acceptable size. Assuming that recruitment $h a s$ been balancing natural mortality up to the time that the rotenone samplings were conduoted, a very rough approximation of percentage of total harvest of the total yearly adult population can be made. Such an approximation has been reached in Table $I$. The oolumn labeled estimation of total population existing in the lake from July 1957 through June 1958 is the sum of the population in June based on the rotenone samples plus the estimated yearly harvest. Utilizing these figures, it ¿s possible to compute the percentage of adult game fish harvested by fishermen during this period. This is included as Column 9 in Table $I$ which may be compared with Column 7 (per cent of total harvest) of the same table. These figures, of limited accuracy, appear to indicate rates of harvest of about 10 per cent for three of the four predominant game species as determined by the rotenone samplings. Such a rate of harvest must be considered very light. A further examination of the proportions of adults harvested versus the proportions of adults present in the lake indicates a close relationship for the largemouth bass, bluegill and white orappie. The warmouth apparently is the third most common ifsh in the lake according to the rotenone samples and represents about 20 per cent of the totaladults but it is relatively unimportant in the creel representing only 2 per cent of the catoh. A similar situation is felt to exist regarding the green sunfish which is not included in Table $I$ since adults were not taken by the rotenone sampling technique and also for the longear sunfish which are much more common in the lake than is indicated by the rotenone data. Undoubtedly the poor representation of these three species in the creels of the average fisherman is related to their relative undesirability and small size. Additionally, fishermen in Lake Fort Smitb do not utilize fishing methods designed to oatch these species. This is essentially similar to the problem pointed out regarding the blaok orappie in
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Shoe Lake by Ricker, 1942. This may be further noted by an examination of Table VI, whioh considers the composition of the fisherman's catoh by quarters during 1957 and 1958. It is interesting to note that largemouth bass and bluegill are generally present in about equal numbers in the oreel except in the late winter period when the largemouth bass is about the only species taken. The white crappie on the other hand fluctuated from being absent in the late winter oreels to being the most commonly taken fish during the spring period.

A summary of the expanded total harvestand fishing pressure data from Lake Fort Smith during the 1957-1958 season is included as Tables II and III. A figure of 9.33 game fishes harvested per aore per year during this period roughly corresponds with the level of $f$ ishing pressure computed in the previous paragraph. Estimated harvest of pounds of game fish per acre is in the order of 3.14 pounds per aore per year. In order that a oomparison of fishermen use of the lake, rates of success, total numbers of days censused and other such data can be presented by quarters, Table IV is presented.

Since this paper and its companion paper by Dr. James Stevenson and Clinton Riohards represent the initial reports for oreel census in the State of Arkansas, data have been gathered from similar creel oensuses outside the state and presented in Table $V$ for comparative purposes.
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SUMMARY CREEL CENS解

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ist } \\ & \text { Quarter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2nd } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{rd} \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { th } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of days cheoked | 26 | 35 | 33 | 33 | 127 |
| Number of hours checked | 272 | 188 | 197 | 247 | 904 |
| No. of fishermen contacted | 742 | 92 | 116 | 895 | 1,845 |
| No. of trips | 317 | 47 | 56 | 391 | 811 |
| Total fishermen hours censused | 3,142.0 | 340.25 | 413.25 | 4,055.50 | 7,951.00 |
| No. uf successful trips censused | 159 | 16 | 11 | 181 | 367 |
| trip | 11.95 | 9.67 | 9.80 | 12.52 | -10.99 |
| No. of unsuccessful trips | 158 | 31 | 45 | 210 | 444 |
| Ave. No. of hours in unsuccessful trip | 7.99 | 5.98 | 6.78 | 8.52 | 7.32 |
| Total No. of fish caught | 978 | 84 | 23 | 1,686 | 2,771 |
| Ave. No. of fish caught/trip | 3.08 | 1.79 | 0.41 | 4.31 | 3.42 |
| Ave. No. of fish caught/hour | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 0.35 |
| Total weight of fish oaught | 418.9 | 30.5 | 20.9 | 626.4 | 1,096.7 |
| Wt, of fish caught/trip | 1.31 | 0.65 | 0.91 | 1.60 | 1.35 |
| Ave. Wt. of fish caught/hour | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| No. of fishermen on shore | 80 | 23 | 28 | 62 | 193 |
| Per cent of fishermen on shore | 10.7 | 25.0 | 24.1 | 6.9 | 10.5 |
| No. uf fishermen in paddlebsats | 49 | 5 | 4 | 29 | 87 |
| Per cent cf fishermen in paddleboats | 6.60 | 5.43 | 3.44 | 3.24 | 4.7 |
| No of fishermen in motorboats | 613 | 64 | 84 | 804 | 1,565 |
|  | 82.6 | 69.6 | 72.41 | 89.8 | 84.8 |

[^1]
## TABLE V

COMPARATIVE FISHING RESULTS, LAKE FORT SMITH, 1957-58 WITH OTHER WARM-WATER LAKES

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1957-58 \\ \text { Ft. Smith } \end{gathered}$ | $1956-57$ Spavinawl | Clear Lake2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fort } \\ & \text { Gibson } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fort } \\ \text { Gibson4 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pounds harvest/A./Yr. | 3.14 | 11.2 | 24.13 | 62.0 | 74.6 |
| Man hours angling/A/Yr. | 25.04 | 12.40 | 55.11 | ------ |  |
| Ave. hours successful trip | 10.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Ave. no. caught/hour | 0.35 | 0.9 | 1.22 | ----- |  |
| Ave. wt. caught/hour (Lbs.) | 0.14 | 0.75 | 0.44 |  |  |
| No. game fish harvest/A./Yr. | 9.33 | 11.16 | 67.03 | 101.0 | 155.2 |
| 1 Jackson, 1958. |  |  |  |  |  |
| total annual harvest approaches 60 pounds per acre, with 150 man hours recreation (fishing only) per acre. (Di Costanzo and Ridenhour, 1957) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3Fort Gibson Reservoir data f September, 1955. | Houser, | 58. Data | rom Dec | $\text { mber, } 19$ | through |
| 4 Fort Gibson Reservoir data f August, 1956. | Houser, | 8. Data | om Sep | $\text { ber, } 19$ | hrough |

## COMPOSITION OF FISHERMAN'S CATCH BY QUARTERS, 1957-58

| FISH SPECIES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July-Sept. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oot. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | -Dec. |  | $-\mathrm{Mar} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apri } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | -June $\%$ | No. | L \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 289 | 29.55 | 18 | 21.4 | 16 | 69.6 | 299 | 17.7 | 622 | 22.4 |
| Smallmouth bass | 5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 |
| Spotted bass | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 26 | 1.5 | 27 | 1.0 |
| Warmouth | 22 | 2.2 | 3 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 61 | 3.6 | 86 | 3.1 |
| White crappie | 197 | 20.1 | 28 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 862 | 51.1 | 1087 | 39.2 |
| Black orappie | 34 | 3.47 | 4 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 64 | 3.8 | 102 | 3.7 |
| Bluegill | 371 | 37.9 | 25 | 29.8 | 6 | 26.1 | 336 | 19.9 | 738 | 26.6 |
| Longear sunfish | 25 | 2.6 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 0.9 | 41 | 1.5 |
| Green sunfish | 25 | 2.6 | 4 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 1.2 | 50 | 1.8 |
| Channel catfish | 6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Flathead catfish | 4 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 978 |  | 84 |  | 23 |  | 1686 |  | 2771 |  |
| FISHERMAN HOURS SAMPLED | 3142 |  | 340. |  | 413. |  | 4055. |  | 7951 |  |
| DAYS CHECKED | 26 |  | 35 |  | 33 |  | 33 |  | 127 |  |
| NO. OF FISH CAUGHT PER HOUR |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    *Probably are misidentified spotted bass.
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