

# Al-based coating for coke reduction during ethane steam cracking

Sarris S.A.<sup>a</sup>, Olahova N.<sup>a</sup>, Dokic M.<sup>a</sup>, Couvrat M.<sup>b</sup>, Riallant F.<sup>b</sup>, Chasselin H.<sup>b</sup>, Reyniers M.F.<sup>a</sup>, Marin G.B. <sup>a</sup>, Van Geem K.M.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory for Chemical Technology, Ghent University

http://www.lct.UGent.be <sup>b</sup>Manoir Industries, 12 Rue des Ardennes BP8401 -

Pitres 27108 VAL DE REUIL Cedex





2016 AIChE Annual Meeting, San Francisco, U.S.A



- Introduction
- Experimental procedures
- Effluent analysis
- Coking rates
- SEM & EDX and XPS
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgments

# Steam Cracking

Main source of ethylene, propylene and other valuable hydrocarbons (i.e. olefins and aromatics)
→ commercially prevailing petrochemical process



### The enemy

#### **Steam cracking is a very complex process:**

- ✓ High Temperatures  $\rightarrow$  < 1300 °C for the metal
- ✓ Feedstock composition  $\rightarrow$  gases to heavy crude oils
- $\checkmark$  Operating conditions  $\rightarrow$  steam dilution, pressure, temperature
- $\checkmark$  Reactor configuration  $\rightarrow$  heat flux and mixing

Coke formation





# Coke formation and Anti-coking



Main mechanisms lead to coke formation:

- Catalytic (initial catalytic behavior)
- ✓ **Radical** (long-term performance)

✓ Condensation (especially in heavy feeds)

Anti-coking technologies:
✓ 3D reactor technologies
✓ Feed additives
✓ Surface technologies

JSR set-up



- Well mixed reactor
- Temperature measurement very close to the coupon
- No reaction before the reactor
- Mass track accuracy of a µg/s
- Different feedstock, different conditions

Suitable for experimental validation of the effect of **material**, **process conditions** and **pretreatments** on coke formation



coupons

## On-line measurement of coking rates



- ✓ Initial coking rate is representative of the catalytic coking behavior of an alloy
- ✓ Asymptotic coking rate refers to the long-term behavior of a material

# CoatAlloy<sup>TM</sup>



## Studied conditions



### Experimental sequence

			3 cc of 6 h and decoking			4 cc of 1 h and decoking			Last cc		
Pi	retreatmei	nt	1-3 cc	Deco	oking	4-7 cc	Deco	king	8 cc Decoking		king
1023 K	1023 K → 1173 K	1173 K	1173 K	1023 K → 1173 K	1173 K	1173 K	1023 K → 1173 K	1173 K	1173 K	1023 K → 1173 K	1173 K
Air	N <sub>2</sub> + Air or H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> /Air or H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> /Air or H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> /Air or H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air	H <sub>2</sub> O/ Air
12-14 h	30 min	15 min	6 h	30 min	15 min	1 h	30 min	15 min	6 h	30 min	15 min
Only before the 1cc							Cooling down				

✓ Absence of Nitrogen during stabilization points

✓ Only Steam/Air treatment is applied for CoatAlloy

#### Effluent analysis

Alloy	reference	CoatAlloy	reference	CoatAlloy	
Pretreatment	Fe-Ni-Cr optimal	Improved only steam	Fe-Ni-Cr optimal	Improved only steam	
id	(	ĊA	CA+PreS		
Cracking Temperature (K)	11	173	1173		
$N_2$ during stabilization	1	10	no		
dilution	0.	.33	0.33		
CA DMDS (ppmw S per HC)	۷	41	41		
PreS (ppmw DMDS per H <sub>2</sub> O)		0	500		
component					
H <sub>2</sub>	4.25	4.23	4.26	4.24	
CO <sub>2</sub>	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003	
СО	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
$CH_4$	7.05	7.14	7.08	7.17	
$C_2H_6$	30.21	29.96	30.02	29.88	
$C_2H_4$	49.76	50.42	49.87	50.41	
$C_3H_8$	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	
$C_3H_6$	0.76	0.77	0.76	0.77	

✓ No differences are observed in C oxides between coating and reference
✓ No effect of the material in the product distribution

### CA effect – Initial Rate



CoatAlloy supresses catalytic coking in comparison with the reference

#### CA effect – Asymptotic Rate



✓ CoatAlloy performs a factor 2 better than the reference after cyclic aging

#### CA + PreS effect – Initial Rate



✓ PreS has a less pronounced effect on the reference when is combined with CA → the two materials coke similarly

#### CA + PreS effect – Asymptotic Rate



#### CoatAlloy and MXM perform similarly after cyclic aging

#### SEM & EDX cross sectional analysis



✓ Increased uniformity of the coating is observed after application of steam
✓ The absence of nitrogen during stabilization points is beneficial

#### XPS analysis pre-nitrated sample



 No nitrogen is identified on the surface of CoatAlloy after exposure to nitrogen

✓ No nitrates are expected to be formed

# Conclusions

- ✓ CoatAlloy performs better than the reference under industrially relevant conditions
- ✓ The coating stability, thickness and homogeneity is not affected throughout the cyclic aging experiments
- ✓ Addition of steam during the in-situ decoking and pretreatment of the coating improves its subsequent coking behavior
- ✓ Presulfiding shows no beneficial impact on the coking behavior of CoatAlloy
- ✓ The presence of pure  $N_2$  has a negative effect on the homogeneity of the coating
- ✓ The optimization of the pretreatment depending on the material composition and properties is of great interest

# Acknowledgments

- The research leading to these results has received funding from the Long Term Structural Methusalem Funding by the Flemish Government.
- The Laboratory for Chemical Technology





# Questions

