





biblio.ugent.be

The UGent Institutional Repository is the electronic archiving and dissemination platform for all UGent research publications. Ghent University has implemented a mandate stipulating that all academic publications of UGent researchers should be deposited and archived in this repository. Except for items where current copyright restrictions apply, these papers are available in Open Access.

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of: Evaluation of spin freezing versus conventional freezing as part of a continuous pharmaceutical freeze-drying concept for unit doses

Authors: De Meyer L., Van Bockstal P.J., Corver J., Vervaet C., Remon J.P., De Beer T.

In: International Journal of Pharmaceutics 2015, 496(1): 75-85

To refer to or to cite this work, please use the citation to the published version:

De Meyer L., Van Bockstal P.J., Corver J., Vervaet C., Remon J.P., De Beer T. (2015) Evaluation of spin freezing versus conventional freezing as part of a continuous pharmaceutical freeze-drying concept for unit doses. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 496 75-85. 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2015.05.025

Evaluation of spin freezing versus conventional freezing as part of a continuous pharmaceutical freeze-drying concept for unit doses

L. De Meyer^{1*}, P.-J. Van Bockstal¹, J. Corver², C. Vervaet³, J.P. Remon³ T. De Beer¹

¹Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Process Analytical Technology, Department of Pharmaceutical

Analysis, Ghent University, Ottergemsesteenweg 460, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

² RheaVita, High Tech Campus 9, NL 5656 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands

¹⁰ ³Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Technology, Department of Pharmaceutics, Ghent University,

11 Ottergemsesteenweg 460, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

*Corresponding author. Tel: +32-9-264.83.55; Fax: +32-9-222.82.36; e-mail:
 <u>laurens.demeyer@ugent.be</u>

16 **ABSTRACT:**

Spin-freezing as alternative freezing approach was evaluated as part of an innovative
continuous pharmaceutical freeze-drying concept for unit doses. The aim of this paper
was to compare the sublimation rate of spin-frozen vials versus traditionally frozen vials

- in a batch freeze-dryer, and its impact on total drying time.
- 22 Five different formulations, each having a different dry cake resistance, were tested.
- 23

1

2

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

After freezing, the traditionally frozen vials were placed on the shelves while the spinfrozen vials were placed in aluminium vial holders providing radial energy supply during

drying. Different primary drying conditions and chamber pressures were evaluated.

- After two hours of primary drying, the amount of sublimed ice was determined in each
- vial. Each formulation was monitored in-line using NIR spectroscopy during drying to
 determine the sublimation endpoint and the influence of drying conditions upon total
 drying time.
- 31

For all tested formulations and applied freeze-drying conditions, there was a significant higher sublimation rate in the spin-frozen vials. This can be explained by the larger product surface and the lower importance of product resistance because of the much thinner product layers in the spin frozen vials. The in-line NIR measurements allowed evaluating the influence of applied drying conditions on the drying trajectories.

37

Keywords: freeze-drying, continuous freeze drying, spin freezing, NIR spectroscopy
 39

40

41 **1. INTRODUCTION**

42

Lyophilisation or freeze-drying is a low temperature drying process, based on 43 principles of mass and heat transfer, employed to convert solutions of (heat) labile 44 materials into solids having sufficient stability for distribution and storage. 45 Pharmaceutical freeze-drying is a batch process, although the handling equipment 46 before (filling) and after (capping and packaging) freeze-drying is continuously 47 operated. A typical pharmaceutical freeze-dryer consists of a drying chamber in which 48 the vials (pharmaceutical unit doses typically containing 0.5-10 ml of a solution) are 49 placed on temperature controlled shelves (see Figure 1). The shelf temperature is set 50 and controlled during processing using a thermal fluid flowing through the shelves. A 51

lyophilisation cycle consists of three consecutive steps: freezing, primary drying and 52 secondary drying (Pikal, 2002; Wang, 2000; Khairnar et al, 2013). During freezing, the 53 shelves are chilled and most of the water in the formulation crystallizes to ice, thus 54 concentrating the solutes between the ice crystals. Some of the solutes crystallize, 55 while those that do not are transformed into a rigid glass when the product temperature 56 57 drops below the glass transition temperature (Tg') of the amorphous matrix (Kasper, 2011). At the end of the freezing step a frozen plug is formed at the bottom of the vial. 58 Primary drying is induced by reducing the chamber pressure and increasing the shelf 59 temperature (to supply energy for sublimation), hence removing the ice crystals by 60 sublimation. The ice-vapor interface in the vials, i.e., the sublimation front, moves 61 slowly downward as the sublimation process progresses. During primary drying, the 62 product temperature is kept below the collapse temperature (Tc), hence ensuring a 63 solid and rigid cake after lyophilisation. Freeze-drying ends with a secondary drying 64 step under deep vacuum where most of the unfrozen water (i.e., water dissolved in the 65 solid amorphous phase) is removed by desorption (Pikal, 2002). Since no crystalline 66 water (ice) is present during secondary drying, it is performed at a higher shelf 67 temperature without the risk of thawing of the product. 68

69



Figure 1: Lab-scale freeze drying chamber with four temperature controlled shelves

The drying chamber is connected to the condenser via a duct. During primary and
secondary drying, the sublimated ice and removed water is captured on the condenser,
where the temperature and vapor pressure are kept lower than in the drying chamber.

77 Freeze drying performed via this batch-wise concept has several important 78 disadvantages:

79

1. The freezing step is uncontrolled at the vial level, which has significant impact on 80 the consecutive drying steps. Freezing initially involves the cooling of all aqueous 81 solutions (vials) in the freeze-dryer until ice nucleation occurs. The solutions generally 82 do not freeze spontaneously at their equilibrium freezing point (0°C). The retention of 83 the liquid state below the equilibrium freezing point of the solution is termed as 84 'supercooling'. Ice nucleation is in general a stochastic event, hence inducing vial-to-85 vial variation based on the degree of supercooling: a higher degree of supercooling 86 increases the rate of ice nucleation and the effective rate of freezing, yielding a high 87 number of small ice crystals. In contrast, at a lower degree of supercooling, a lower 88 number of large ice crystals is formed. As a consequence, the size of the ice crystals 89

differs from vial to vial which affects the sublimation rate (i.e., required drying time) during primary drying. E.g., as a high degree of supercooling produces small ice crystals, smaller pores are formed in the dried layer during sublimation, which offers a higher resistance to water vapor transport during primary drying. Smaller pores will also decrease the ease of reconstitution of the freeze dried product. (Kasper and Friess, 2011).

96

97 2. Uneven heat transfer in the freeze-drying chamber. This results in differences in
98 energy input in vials that are placed at different locations on the freeze-dryer shelves.
99 E.g., vials on the edge of the shelves are exposed to more heat radiation transfer from
100 the warmer surroundings (i.e., door and walls of the freeze-dryer) compared to the vials
101 in the middle of the shelves. This vial-to-vial variability in heat transfer results in
102 significant vial-to-vial difference towards product temperature (danger for collapse!)
103 and drying rate (see Figure 2) (Kauppinnen et al., 2013).



Figure 2: temperature differences of vials depending on their location on the freeze dryer shelf (Kauppinnen et al., 2013)

107

Both, disadvantages 1 and 2 result in different freeze-drying process conditions in each 108 vial, which might lead to uncontrolled vial-to-vial and batch-to-batch end product 109 variability (e.g., differences in residual moisture content, API state and stability). 110 However, quality is only assessed on a very small fraction of the vials in the freeze-111 dried batch prior to batch release, which might not represent the entire batch. Such a 112 manufacturing approach is in conflict with the recent Quality-by-Design and Process 113 Analytical Technology guidelines from the regulatory authorities (FDA and EMA), 114 stating that guality should be built into and guaranteed in each dosage form (i.e., in 115 each released vial) (ICH Q8(R2), 2009). 116

117

3. It is a slow, and hence time-consuming and expensive process. The whole cycle
 may last 1 to 7 days (and even more) depending on the product properties and the
 dimensions of the vials (Tang and Pikal, 2004).

121

4. It is a batch process. In an industrial environment large numbers (tens of thousands)
of vials are treated per batch, which induces operational risks, such as complicated
handling of vials for loading and unloading of the freeze-dryer. Furthermore, since the

- handling equipment before (filling) and after (capping, packaging) freeze drying is
 continuously operated by nature, buffer systems are necessary. This increases the risk
 of product contamination.
- 128
 129 5. The handling equipment takes up a large area of space, which is very expensive in
 130 terms of capital investment and operational costs because of the high standards of
 131 cleanliness and sterility, which are mandatory in production of biopharmaceuticals
 132 (Baertschi et al., 2011).
- 133

6. A batch freeze-dryer is commonly designed and optimized to process only the largest applicable amount of vials. Different loadings will require different optimal process conditions in the freeze-drying chamber and may not be allowed for that reason, unless separately validated. And, it is possible that the required batch sizes are smaller which leads to inefficient use of the infrastructure.

- 139
- 7. The installation is subject to various thermal and pressure conditions. This leads tothermal inefficiencies and the transient conditions may not be well defined.
- 142
- 8. The course of the freeze drying process cannot be monitored at the scale of the
 individual vial. The product behaviour (at molecular level) in each vial during freezedrying is unknown (Kauppinnen et al., 2013).
- 146
- 9. Up-scaling from lab-scale freeze-dryers to pilot-scale and industrial-scale freezedryers requires extensive re-optimisation and re-validation of the process (Rambhatla
 et al., 2004; Trappler, 2004).
- 150
- To overcome these disadvantages, a continuous freeze-drying concept is presented and evaluated (Corver, 2013).
- 153 154

155 2. CONTINUOUS PHARMACEUTICAL FREEZE-DRYING OF UNIT 156 DOSES

157

The continuous freeze-drying concept starts with a continuous freezing step where the 158 vials, filled with the liquid formulation, are rotated rapidly along their longitudinal axis 159 (i.e., spin-freezing, see Figure 3). The cooling and freezing of the solution is achieved 160 by using a flow of sterile gas with a controllable temperature around the rotating vial. 161 Consequently, the resulting frozen product will be spread over a larger (i.e., entire) vial 162 surface compared to traditional freeze-drying. The remainder of the cooling process in 163 order to establish the desired morphological structure of the ingredients and to further 164 crystallize and solidify the excipients and APIs under the desired process conditions 165 166 will be achieved by transferring the vials to a chamber with a controlled temperature (see Figure 4). 167 An appropriate load-lock system will be used to transfer the frozen vials between the 168 continuous freezing and the continuous primary drying unit, both having different 169 conditions of pressure and temperature (see Figure 4). It is known from the industrial 170

- applications of vacuum deposition that the application of load-locks is required to separate chambers with different conditions to enable a continuous product flow
- (Ramsay, 2003). Two drying chambers (one for primary and one for secondary drying
 the latter not shown in figure 4) will be used. In each drying chamber, an endless belt

system with pockets to hold the individual vials will allow the transport of the vials and 175 the heat transfer to the vials needed for sublimation and desorption, allowing individual 176 vial energy input regulation. Since the frozen product is spread over the entire vial 177 surface (resulting in thin product layers), it is important to assure adequate and uniform 178 energy supply from the pocket to the product shell in a radial manner. This supply of 179 energy may take place by radiation or conduction. In a conventional freeze-dryer, the 180 sublimated ice and desorbed water is collected using cryogenic ice condensers. For 181 this continuous freeze-drying concept, a condenser system will be used allowing to 182 continuously remove the condensed water. By increasing the surface area of the 183 product in the vial, and by consequently decreasing the product layer thickness, it is 184 our estimation (as further experimentally proven) that for some pharmaceutical 185 compositions the total process time (under optimized process conditions) may be 186 reduced with a factor 10 to 40, depending of the specific formulation properties and 187 vial dimensions. Increasing the vial throughput (i.e., scale-up) can be simply done by 188 adding parallel lines in the continuous freeze-drying technology modules or by using 189 identical parallel modules. This concept of using parallel lines is often used in 190 continuous manufacturing technologies of other industries (semiconductor industry, 191 automotive industry). Hence, scale-up will not require complete re-optimization and re-192 validation of the process and freeze-drying of exactly the required amount of vials also 193 becomes possible. 194 195





Figure 3: spin freezing of a vial

207 208

205 206

Figure 4: continuous freezing system connected to a continuous drying system

2093. AIM OF THE PAPER

210

The aim of this study is to evaluate spin freezing as part of a continuous pharmaceutical

freeze-drying concept for unit doses. More specifically, the difference in sublimation

- rate between spin frozen vials and traditionally frozen vials in a batch freeze-dryer was
- evaluated and its impact on total drying time.
- 215 216

217 **4. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

218

Five different formulations having a different specific dry product resistance were selected from literature (Kuu et al., 2006; Overcashier et al., 1999) (Table 1).

- Trehalose was purchased from Cargill (Germany). Polysorbate 20, sodium chloride, lactose and mannitol were purchased from Fagron (Belgium). L-histidine and glycine
- were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (United States).
- Prior to freeze-drying, 10ml type I glass vials were filled with a specific volume of the formulation (see section 4.2).
- After Freezing (see section 4.1.), all frozen vials were dried in an Amsco FINN-AQUA GT4 freeze-dryer (GEA, Köln, Germany).
- 228
- **TABLE 1**: Dry product resistance of the different used formulations (Kuu et al., 2006;
- 230 Overcashier et al., 1999)

	Formulation	R _p (cm² mTorr h g⁻¹)
1	trehalose: 45mg/ml; polysorbate 20: 0.1mg/ml; 5mM Histidine pH 6,0	0.5
2	lactose: 30mg/ml; sucrose: 3.42mg/ml; glycine: 3.75mg/ml; sodium chloride: 0.58mg/ml	1.067
3	mannitol: 30mg/ml; sucrose: 3.42mg/ml; glycine: 3.75mg/ml; sodium chloride: 0.58mg/ml	0.3861
4	lactose: 30mg/ml	1.771
5	sucrose: 30mg/ml	1.443

231 232

4.1 Spin freezing versus traditional freezing

234

235 A specific aim of this study was to experimentally compare the sublimation rate (and drying time) of spin frozen vials to traditionally frozen vials, and to investigate the 236 influence of drying process parameters upon sublimation rate for both types of frozen 237 vials. Mathematical calculations and simulations of the sublimation rate and primary 238 drying process for the five used model formulations was beyond the scope of this 239 manuscript, but is extensively described in another submitted manuscript. This study 240 241 (being part of a continuous freeze-drying system for unit doses study) aimed at experimentally exploring and demonstrating the drying differences between spin frozen 242 and traditionally frozen vials of the five model formulations. 243

244

Prior to each freeze-drying experiment, the mass of the empty and filled vials was determined to calculate the mass of the filled volume. After each freeze-drying experiment, the mass of the vial containing the dried product was determined and the mass of sublimated water could hence be calculated.

249

During spin freezing, the vials were rotated (spinned) around their longitudinal axis at 250 rotations per minute (rpm). Equation 2 suggests that 2500 rpm results in an equally spread product layer with a maximal layer thickness difference of 10% between the bottom and the top of the product layer.

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta h \times 2g}{r_1^2 \times r_2^2}} \tag{2}$$

257 Where ω is the angular velocity (rad/sec), Δ h the height of the spin frozen product 258 layer, g the gravitational constant and r₁ and r₂ the layer thickness at the bottom and 259 the top respectively.

260

The NIR probe interface (see 4.3.) was focused on the middle of the vial, where the 261 deviation in layer thickness was 0%. When the solution was spread over the 262 circumferential vial wall during spinning, the vial was submerged in liquid nitrogen or 263 surrounded by dry ice. After formation of the frozen product layer, the vials were 264 immediately transferred to -35°C pre-cooled aluminum vial holders in the freeze-dryer, 265 after which vacuum was introduced and the shelf temperature set point was changed 266 to 5°C or 40°C. To supply energy for sublimation through the sidewall of the spin frozen 267 vials, the aluminum vial holders (see Figure 5) were placed on the shelf in the freeze 268 drier in which the vials were placed, thereby creating direct contact between the 269 aluminum holder and the vial. The energy of the shelf was hence conducted through 270 the aluminum vial holders to the spin frozen vials. Due to the high thermal conductivity 271 272 of aluminum (205 W.m⁻¹.K⁻¹) and the close contact between the shelf and the vial holders, the temperature of the shelf and the holders was the same (as experimentally 273 verified with thermocouples). 274

275



Figure 5: Aluminum vial holder

277 278

276

For the traditional frozen vials, the vials were placed vertically in liquid nitrogen or on dry ice until the solution formed a frozen plug at the bottom of the vial. Afterwards, the vials were immediately transferred to the freeze-dryer and placed on the at -35°C precooled shelves. Thereafter, the vacuum was introduced and the shelf temperature set point was changed to 5°C or 40°C.

284

The applied freeze-drying conditions varied according to an experimental design plan (see 4.2.). When the vacuum was introduced, the primary drying shelf set point temperature was set (5°C or 40°C) and kept constant till the end of the experiment.

289

4.2 Design of experiments

The selection of the methodology was done according to ICH Q8(R2) on pharmaceutical development: design of experiments. A full factorial experimental design was performed to study the influence of five formulations having different Rp values (table 1), filling volume, freezing method and rate and drying settings upon the
mass of sublimed water after 2 hours of drying. An overview of these factors and their
studied ranges is given in table 2. This design, consisting of six factors (one factor with
five levels, one factor with three levels and four factors with two levels), resulted in 240
experiments. Three centerpoint experiments were added, leading to 243 experiments
in total.

301

302 **TABLE 2**: Factors studied in experimental design

Factor			Level		
formulation	1	2	3	4	5
freezing method	ba	tch		sp	oin
freezing rate	liquid r	itrogen		dry	ice
vial filling volume (ml)	3 (1.2	2mm)	3.5 (1.5mm)	4 (1.7	7mm)
shelf temperature (°C)	!	5		4	0
chamber pressure (µbar)	10	00		30	00

303

A second full factorial design (10 experiments, see table 3) was performed to study the influence of the five formulations and chamber pressure upon total drying time of spinfrozen vials in liquid nitrogen.

The drying endpoint was determined in-line using NIR spectroscopy. For traditionally 307 frozen vials (having rather thick product layers, > 0.5 cm), the drying endpoint of 308 different formulations was for an important part influenced by their dry product 309 resistance (Rp). For spin frozen formulations having different Rp values, the drying 310 endpoint was expected to be similar because of the thin product layers. When having 311 optimal direct contact between the vial and the vial holder (see 4.1.), the chamber 312 pressure was expected not to influence the sublimation rate. However, this contact in 313 our experimental setup was not perfect. Therefore, the influence of chamber pressure 314 upon the total drying time of the spin frozen formulations was also evaluated. The 315 applied shelf temperature and filling volume were 40°C and 3.5 ml, respectively. An 316 overview of the design experiments is given in table 3. 317

318

Both designs were developed and analyzed using the Modde 9.1.1.0. software (Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden). The software calculates 95% confidence levels around the effects in the effect plots. An effect is considered as significant when the confidence interval around the calculated effect does not contain zero.

- 323
- 323 324
- 325
- 326
- 327
- 328
- 329
- 330 331

TABLE 3: Full factorial design containing two factors (formulation and pressure) and one response (total drying time)

Exp No	Formulation	Pressure (µbar)	Total drying time (min)
1	Formulation 1	100	152
2	Formulation 2	100	158

3	Formulation 3	100	175
4	Formulation 4	100	174
5	Formulation 5	100	153
6	Formulation 1	300	138
7	Formulation 2	300	139
8	Formulation 3	300	133
9	Formulation 4	300	152
10	Formulation 5	300	146

335 4.3 NIR equipment

336

To determine the endpoint of primary and secondary drying in spin frozen vials, an NIR probe coupled to a Fourier-Transform Near Infrared (FT NIR) spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Zellik, Belgium, Nicolet Antaris II near-IR analyzer) was implemented in the freeze-dryer and placed in the vial holder (see Figure 6).

341

353 354 355

The diffuse reflectance NIR spectra were collected in a continuous and non-invasive way during the in-line NIR experiments (see section 5.2). The NIR spectrometer was equipped with an InGaAS detector, a quartz halogen lamp and a fiber-optic noncontact probe which was brought into the freeze-dryer chamber through a port in the sidewall. Spectra were taken from 10000 cm⁻¹ to 4500 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 8 cm⁻¹ and averaged over 32 scans. Every process minute, a spectrum was recorded.

The NIR probe was positioned through a hole in a vial holder for the spin-frozen vials. The sidewall of the vial was hence monitored with a spot size of about 28 mm². The effective sample size measured by the NIR probe hence consisted of a small part of the total sample volume (3.5 ml) (see Figure 6).



366 367 368

Figure 6: in-line NIR monitoring experiment setup

369 Figure 6: in-li370 4.4 Multivariate data analysis

371

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied to analyze the in-line collected NIR
spectra using a multivariate data analysis software package (Simca 13.0.3, Umetrics
AB, Umeå, Sweden). The spectra were preprocessed using Standard Normal Variation
(SNV) and mean centering prior to analysis.

PCA is a multivariate data analysis technique, also widely used for NIR spectroscopic process monitoring (Massart et al., 1997). PCA produces an orthogonal bilinear data matrix decomposition, where principal components (PCs) are obtained in a sequential way to explain maximum variance:

- 380
- 381 382

 $D = TP^{T} + E = t_1p'_1 + t_2p'_2 + ... + t_Qp'_Q + E$

383 where T is the M × Q score matrix, P the N × Q loading matrix, E the M × N model 384 residual matrix, Q the number of PCs, N the number of collected spectra at M 385 wavelengths. Each PC consists of two vectors, the score vector t and the loading vector 386 p. The score vector contains a score value for each spectrum, and this value informs 387 how the spectrum is related to the other spectra in that particular component. The 388 loading vector indicates which spectral features in the original spectra are captured by 389 the component studied. These unique and orthogonal PCs can be very

helpful in deducing the number of different sources of variation present in the data and
 the occurrence of groups of related objects. However, these PCs do not necessarily
 correspond to the true underlying factors causing the data variation, since each PC is
 obtained by maximizing the amount of remaining variance (De Beer et al. , 2008).

394 395

399

396 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 397

398 **5.1 Spin freezing versus traditional freezing**

Equation 1 (Kuu et al., 2006; Overcashier et al., 1999), describing the sublimation rate during primary drying, clearly suggested a higher sublimation rate for spin frozen vials due to the higher surface area (A) and the thinner product layer (resulting in a less important Rp parameter) of spin frozen vials compared to traditional frozen vials.

405

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \frac{A}{Rp} \left(P_p - P_c \right) \tag{1}$$

406

Where dm/dt is the sublimation rate (g/h), A is the surface area of the frozen product layer (cm²), Rp is the area-normalized dried product resistance (cm² mTorr h g⁻¹). P_p is the equilibrium vapor pressure of ice at the temperature of the sublimating ice (mTorr) and P_c is the chamber pressure (mTorr). In our spin frozen vials, the frozen product surface area was 6.8 times higher and the dried product resistance is lower due to the thin layer (1.2-1.7mm, depending on the applied volume in our experiments) compared to traditional frozen vials (8-10.7mm).

After having performed its experiments, design 1 (see section 4.2) was analysed using the Modde software. The effect plot in Figure 7 showed the largest effect for the factor 'freezing method (fre)' upon the amount of sublimated water after two hours of drying. Batch freezing clearly had a negative significant effect upon the response. This confirmed the hypothesis that spin frozen vials have much higher sublimation rates compared to traditional frozen vials due to the larger surface area and the thinner product layer of the spin frozen vials.

421 Changing the factor 'shelf temperature (temp)' from 5°C to 40°C whilst keeping the 422 other factors at their center point increased the mass of sublimated water after two 423 hours by 0.57g (figure 7). Increasing the shelf temperature resulted in a higher energy 424 supply towards the frozen product and thus a faster sublimation. The factor 'chamber pressure (pre)' had an effect of 0.41g. Increasing the chamber pressure meaned that more gas molecules were present in the space between the vial and the shelf or vial holder. The convective heat transfer became then more efficient, leading to a faster sublimation (Ganguly et al., 2013).

'Freezing rate (coo)' had no significant effect on the mass of sublimated water after 429 two hours drying, suggesting that both freezing rates (liquid nitrogen versus dry ice) 430 did not lead to relevant different degrees of supercooling. The higher the degree of 431 supercooling, the higher the amount of small ice crystals. Small ice crystals have a 432 large surface area, hence leading to a lower sublimation rate and a faster desorption 433 compared to a low degree of supercooling which results in larger ice crystals (Kasper 434 and Friess, 2011). The effect of supercooling during spin freezing will be examined in 435 further research. It was expected that the spinning may trigger the ice nucleation 436 leading to similar degrees of supercooling when using different freezing rates, which 437 could explain the factor 'freezing rate' not being significant in this study. 438

The effect of 'filling volume (lay)' upon the mass of sublimated water is low (0.23g). 439 The filling volume was related to the product layer thickness (Table 2). Since after two 440 hours of primary drying only the top layer of the frozen product was sublimated in both 441 the spin frozen vials and the traditional frozen vials, it could be indeed expected that 442 443 the factor filling volume is less relevant. The dry product resistance only increased with higher dry product layer thicknesses. The effect is not non-significant since the product 444 surface area in spin-frozen (2533mm²) and traditionally frozen (373mm²) vials was 445 446 different. For the factor 'formulation (pro)', formulation 1 showed a negative effect. The slower sublimation rate could be explained by the higher solutes concentration 447 compared to the other formulations. Formulation 5, containing only sucrose shows a 448 449 positive significant effect. It was unclear why this formulation had a faster sublimation rate compared to the other four formulations, although having the second highest Rp 450 451 value.



453

Figure 7: effect plot of the full factorial design containing all the data. Freezing
 method (fre), temperature (temp), chamber pressure (pre), formulation (pro), layer
 thickness (lay), freezing rate (coo)

In a next step, this design (design 1) was divided into 5 subdesigns, i.e., one full factorial design for each formulation, allowing a more detailed analysis of the influence of the other examined factors upon sublimation rate per formulation. This subdivision did not require performing new experiments. Each subdesign was a full factorial design consisting of one factor with three levels and four factors each with two levels (Table 2), resulting in 48 experiments.

464 Similar effects could be observed for each formulation (i.e., each subdesign). The 465 effect plot of the subdesign from formulation 1 is shown in figure 8. An overview of the 466 effects for the other formulations (i.e., the other sub designs) is given in table 4.

The factor 'freezing method (free)' has in all five designs the largest effect. This confirmed again that spin freezing resulted in much higher sublimation rates. Chamber pressure (pre), shelf temperature (temp) and filling volume (lay) had similar positive effects for all formulations (see explanation overall design higher).



457

472



Figure 8: effect plot off the full factorial design for formulation 1. Freezing method
 (fre), temperature (temp), chamber pressure (pre), layer thickness (lay)

476 477

478

479

TABLE 4: overview of the coefficient plots. Freezing method (fre), temperature
(temp), chamber pressure (pre), layer thickness (lay)

* non-significant effect, + small positive effect, ++ positive effect, +++ large positive effect, - small negative effect, --- large negative effect, -* small non-significant negative effect, +* small non-significant positive effect

	110	gaine eneer,	ernal nen elg	ninoant poolar	0 011000	
factor	level	Formulation	Formulation	Formulation	Formulation	Formulation
		1	2	3	4	5
Free	Spin	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
	Batch					

Lay		+	+	+	+*	+
Coo	LN2	-	_*	-	+*	+
	Dry ice	+	+*	+	-*	-
Pre		+	+	+	+	++
Temp		++	++	++	++	++

In a final step, the above described 5 subdesigns were further subdivided according to freezing method, resulting in a total of ten full factorial designs. This subdivision did not require performing new experiments. Hence, in each subdesign, corresponding to 1 formulation and a specific freezing method, the influence of layer thickness, freezing rate, shelf temperature and chamber pressure upon mass of water sublimed after 2 hours drying was studied.

The analysis of the effects of the full factorial designs for formulation 1 for both freezing 489 methods is shown in figure 9A (spin freezing) and 9B (traditional freezing). An overview 490 of the effects for the other formulations is given in Table 5. The major difference 491 between the effect plots for spin frozen vials and traditional frozen vials was the effect 492 of the chamber pressure. For spin frozen vials, the effect of chamber pressure and 493 temperature was within the same range: 0.65g and 0.58g sublimated water after two 494 hours drying, respectively (see Figure 9A). However, chamber pressure was expected 495 496 not to be significant for the spin frozen vials when having optimal direct contact between vial holder and vial. The importance of chamber pressure hence indicated 497 inadequate contact between vial and vial holder. An increased chamber pressure then 498 resulted in more gas molecules between the vial and the shelf or vial holder, leading 499 to more efficient convective heat transfer, resulting in a faster sublimation. 500

501

502 For traditional frozen vials, the effect of chamber pressure (0.034g) was much smaller 503 compared to shelf temperature (0.149g) (see Figure 9B), since the product-vial surface 504 area was much smaller compared to spin frozen vials (373 mm² versus 2533 mm²).

505 The largest effect for spin frozen vials and traditionally frozen vials is shelf temperature. 506 The quantitative value of this effect was 0.65g and 0.15g sublimated water, 507 respectively. The higher quantitative value for spin frozen vials could be explained by 508 the faster sublimation rate of spin frozen vials (see higher).

Layer thickness had a positive and significant effect for spin-frozen formulation 1 (0.41g). A similar result could be found for the other four spin frozen formulations. This result could not be explained as mentioned above.





The rationale for creating and analysing these subdesigns was to distinguish the 517 effect of the factors for each formulation independently. This became for example 518 clear for the shelf temperature and chamber pressure effects. In the overall design, 519 these effects were 0.13g and 0.08g, respectively . In the subdesigns, after splitting 520 for formulation and freezing method, the effects of shelf temperature and chamber 521 pressure were 0.65g and 0.58g for spin frozen vials but 0.15g and 0.03g for 522





Figure 9B: effect plot for formulation 1 split for freezing method: traditional batch 525 freezing. temperature (temp), pressure (pre), layer thickness (lay), freezing rate (coo) 526

TABLE 5: overview of the coefficient plots for the split designs. Temperature (temp), 528 529

pressure (pre), layer thickness (lay), freezing rate (coo) / no effect, + small positive effect, ++ positive effect, +++ large positive effect, - small

530 negative effect, -* small non-significant negative effect, +* small non-significant 531 positive effect

532

527

		<u>Spin</u>			
Level	Formulation 1	Formulation 2	Formulation 3	Formulation 4	Formulation 5
	++	++	++	++	++
LN2	-	-*	-*	+*	+*
Dry ice	+	+*	+*	-*	-*
	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
	Level LN2 Dry ice	Level Formulation 1 1 ++ ++ LN2 - Dry ice + +++ +++	Spin Level Formulation 1 2 +++ ++ LN2 - Dry ice + +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ ++++ +++ ++++	Spin Level Formulation Formulation Formulation 1 2 3 +++ +++ +++ LN2 - -* Dry ice + ++* +++ ++++ +++ +++ ++++ ++++	Spin Level Formulation Formulation Formulation Formulation 1 2 3 4 +++ +++ +++ +++ LN2 - -* -* Dry ice + +** +* +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++

533

			Batch	1		
Factor	Level	Formulation	Formulation	Formulation	Formulation	Formulation
		1	2	3	4	5
Lay		+*	/	+*	+*	/
Coo	LN	-	/	-	/	+*
	Dry Ice	+	/	+	/	-*
Pre		+	+	+	+*	++
Temp		++	+++	++	++	+++

534

535

536

537

538 539

5.2 In-line NIR monitoring of the freeze-drying process. 540

541

Figure 10 shows the PC 1 versus PC 2 scores plot obtained after principal component 542 analysis (PCA) of the in-line collected NIR spectra of experiment 1 (design 2, see 543 544 materials and methods).

During the first seven drying minutes, the vacuum was introduced and the temperature 545 of the shelves and vial holder increased. This could be seen in the scores plot as the 546 scores move towards the first cluster (spectra 1-7). From 8 till 57 minutes, ice 547 sublimation occured but is not visible in the NIR spectra since ice sublimation started 548 on the top (inner side wall) of the frozen layer while NIR spectra were collected from 549 the outer sidewall of the vials. The penetration depth of the NIR light was not sufficient 550 to detect the sublimation at the top of the product. Hence, no spectral changes were 551 seen between 8 and 57 minutes (figure 11a) and the corresponding scores were 552 clustered. 553 Between minute 58 and minute 89, the intensity of the ice peaks around 5000 cm⁻¹ and 554

6700 cm-1 started lowering and other product signals appeared in the spectrum (figure 555 11b). This could be explained by the fact that the sublimation front was moving towards 556

the NIR probe at the outer wall of the vial. Spectral signals from the formulation became 557

visible because of the decreasing amount of overwhelming ice signals. Spectrum 104 558 was the endpoint of primary drying since all ice signals had disappeared in this 559

spectrum (figure 11c). Secondary drying started already after 89 minutes. During
 secondary drying the free water band at 5160 cm⁻¹ decreases in intensity (figure 11d)
 (Pieters et al., 2012; De Beer et al., 2009).

152 minutes after the start of the process, secondary drying was finished. The spectra
 from minute 152 till 209 formed a cluster, indicating that no changes occured anymore
 in the product (figure 11e).

- 566
- 567





Figure 11b: spectra 58-88, underlying formulation signals that were overwhelmed by the ice signals appear in the spectrum

Figure 11c: spectrum 104, end of primary drying

Figure 11d: spectra 104-152, water band intensity at 5160 cm⁻¹ is decreasing during secondary drying (Pieters et al., 2012)

Figure 11e: spectra 152-209, end of secondary drying

591

592 This spectral analysis was done for the five formulations at the two different applied 593 chamber pressure conditions. An overview of these PCA results is given in Table 6.

594

	100	ubar	300	ubar
	1° drying endpoint (min)	2° drying endpoint (min)	1° drying endpoint (min)	2° drying endpoint (min)
Formulatie 1	103	152	80	138
Formulatie 2	124	158	103	139
Formulatie 3	134	157	105	133
Formulatie 4	108	174	103	152
Formulatie 5	114	153	88	146

TABLE 6: overview of conclusions obtained after analysis of the NIR spectra.

596

597

The results of the full factorial design analysis is shown in Figure 12. The effect of the factor chamber pressure upon drying time was negative. When the chamber pressure increases, the drying time will decrease. When the factor chamber pressure was changed from its lowest to its highest value whilst the other factors were kept at their centerpoint, resulted in a shorter drying time of 17 minutes. This result confirmed that a higher chamber pressure resulted in a shorter drying time. The explanation of this unexpected effect is given in section 5.1.

Formulation 4 had a positive effect of 25 minutes. This result was contradictory to the results of section 5.1 where formulation 4 had no significant effect on the response mass of sublimated water. A possible explanation was the formation of a dense lactose layer on the top of the dry product layer leading to a higher dry product resistance and

thus a longer drying time (Chen et al., 2008).

610

- 613
- 614

615 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

616

Spin freezing as part of a continuous freeze drying concept for unit doses has been 617 presented and evaluated. The sublimation rate in spin frozen vials is significantly 618 higher compared to traditionally frozen vials. This can be explained by the larger 619 product surface, and the lower importance of product resistance because of the much 620 thinner product layers in the spin frozen vials compared to the traditionally frozen vials. 621 Both chamber pressure and shelf temperature have a positive effect on the sublimation 622 rate. For the experimental conditions tested in this study, the effect of chamber 623 pressure is more important in spin frozen vials compared to traditionally frozen vials. 624 The reason for this effect is the poor contact between the vial and the vial holder. An 625 increased chamber pressure then results in more gas molecules between the vial and 626 the shelf or vial holder, leading to more efficient convective heat transfer, resulting in a 627 faster sublimation Due to the larger product-vial surface area of the spin frozen vials, 628 this factor has a large impact on the sublimation rate. 629 630

- In-line NIR monitoring of spin frozen vials allowed monitoring the entire drying process
 and determining the primary and secondary drying endpoints, and confirmed the effect
 of chamber pressure on the total drying time.
- 634

635 Mathematical modeling and simulation of the drying process for the five used model 636 formulations, allowing further clarification of the experimental observations, will be 637 extensively described in a next manuscript.

638 639

640 **REFERENCES**

641	
642 643	Baertschi S.W., Alsante K., Reed R., 2011 Pharmaceutical stress testing: prediction drug degradation, second edition, CRC Press.
644 645 646 647 648 649	Chen R., Slater N.K., Gatlin L.A., Kramer T., Shalaev E.Y., 2008. Comparative rates of freeze-drying for lactose and sucrose solutions as measured by photographic recording, product temperature and heat flux transducer. Pharm. Dev. Technol. 13(5), 367-374
650 651	Corver J.A.W.M., 2013. Method and system for freeze-drying injectable compositions, in particular pharmaceutical. WO2013036107.
653 654 655 656	De Beer T., Vercruysse P., Burggraeve A., Quinten T., Ouyang J., Zhang X, Vervaet C., Remon J.P., Baeyens W.R.G., 2008. In-line and real-time process monitoring of a freeze drying process using raman and NIR spectroscopy as complementary process analytical technology (PAT) tools. J. Pharm. Sci. 98 (9), 3430-3446
657 658 659 660 661 662 663	De Beer T., Wiggenhorn M., Veillon R., Debacq C., Mayeresse Y., Moreau B., Burggraeve A., Quinten T., Friess W., Winter G., Vervaet C., Remon J.P., Baeyens W.R.G., 2009. Importance of using complementary process analyzers for the process monitoring, analysis and understanding of freeze drying. Anal. Chem. 81(18), 7639- 7649
664 665 666	Ganguly A., Nail S.L., Alexeenko A., 2013. Experimental determination of the key heat transfer mechanisms in pharmaceutical freeze-drying. J. Pharm. Sci. 102 (5), 1610-1625
667 668 669	ICH Q8(R2), 2009; US FDA PAT guideline, 2004
670 671 672	Kasper J.C., Friess W., 2011. The freezing step in lyophilisation: Physico-chemical fundamentals, freezing methods and consequences on process performance and quality attributes of biopharmaceuticals. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 78, 248-263
673 674 675 676	Kauppinnen A., Toiviainen M., Korhonen O., Aaltonen J., Järvinen K., Paaso J., Juuti M., Ketolainen J., 2013. In-line multipint near-infrared spectroscopy for moisture content quantification during freeze-drying. Anal. Chem. 85(4), 2377-84
678 679	Khairnar S., Kini R., Harwalkar M., Salunkhe K., Chaudhari S.R., 2013. A review on freeze drying process of pharmaceuticals. Int. J. Res. Pharm. Sc. 4(1), 76-94
680 681 682 683	Kuu W.Y., Hardwick L.M., Akers M.J., 2006. Rapid determination of dry layer mass transfer resistance for various pharmaceutical formulations during primary drying using product temperature profiles. Int. J. Pharm. 313, 99-113
685 686 687 688	Massart D.L., Vandeginste B.G.M., Buydens L.M.C., De Jong S., Lewi P.J., Smeyers-Verbeke J., 1997. Handbook of chemometrics and qualimetrics: Part A. Amsterdam, Elsevier.
689 690	Overcashier D.E., Patapoff T.W., Hsu C.C., 1999. Lyophilization of protein formulations in vials: investigation of the relationship between resistance to vapour

- flow during primary drying and small-scale product collapse. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.Vol.88
- 693
- Pieters S., De Beer T., Kasper J.C., Boulpaep D., Waszkiewicz O., Goodarzi M.,
 Tistaert C., Friess W., Remon J-P., Vervaet C., 2012. Anal. Chem. 84, 947-955
- 696
 697 Pikal, M.J., 2002 Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology, Marcel Dekker, pp.
 698 1299-1326
- Rambhatla S., Ramot R., Bhugra C., Pikal M.J., 2004. AAPS PharmSciTech 5 (4)
 Article 58
- 702
- Ramsay B.G., 2003. Vacuum chamber load lock structure and article transportmechanism. US6609877
- 705
- Tang X., Pikal M.J., 2004. Design of freeze-drying processes for pharmaceuticals:
 practical advice. Pharmaceutical Research 21(2) 191-200
- Trappler E.H., 2004. Encyclopedia of Pharmaceutical Technology, Marcel Dekker,
 pp. 1-18
- 711
- Wang W., 2000. Lyophilization and development of solid protein pharmaceuticals. Int.
 J. Pharm. 203 (2000), 1-60
- 714
- 715
- 716
- 717