Technical University of Denmark



Systems biology solutions for biochemical production challenges

Hansen, Anne Sofie Lærke; Lennen, Rebecca; Sonnenschein, Nikolaus; Herrgard, Markus

Published in: Current Opinion in Biotechnology

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.copbio.2016.11.018

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA): Hansen, A. S. L., Lennen, R. M., Sonnenschein, N., & Herrgard, M. (2017). Systems biology solutions for biochemical production challenges. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 45, 85-91. DOI: 10.1016/j.copbio.2016.11.018

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



ScienceDirect

Systems biology solutions for biochemical production challenges

Anne Sofie Lærke Hansen, Rebecca M Lennen, Nikolaus Sonnenschein and Markus J Herrgård



There is an urgent need to significantly accelerate the development of microbial cell factories to produce fuels and chemicals from renewable feedstocks in order to facilitate the transition to a biobased society. Methods commonly used within the field of systems biology including omics characterization, genome-scale metabolic modeling, and adaptive laboratory evolution can be readily deployed in metabolic engineering projects. However, high performance strains usually carry tens of genetic modifications and need to operate in challenging environmental conditions. This additional complexity compared to basic science research requires pushing systems biology strategies to their limits and often spurs innovative developments that benefit fields outside metabolic engineering. Here we survey recent advanced applications of systems biology methods in engineering microbial production strains for biofuels and -chemicals.

Address

The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet, Building 220, 2800 Kgs., Lyngby, Denmark

Corresponding author: Herrgård, Markus J (herrgard@biosustain.dtu.dk)

Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2017, 45:85-91

This review comes from a themed issue on **Energy biotechnology**

Edited by Scott Banta and Brian Pfleger

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.11.018

0958-1669/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

One of the key challenges in the 21st century is to identify technical solutions for the transition away from a petrochemical-based economy. The production of chemicals and fuels from renewable feedstocks in a commercially and ecologically sustainable fashion is a central component of these solutions [1]. A handful of bio-based chemicals and fuels have already been commercialized for industrial scale production including 1,3-propanediol [2], succinic acid [3], and 1,4-butanediol [4]. However, despite microbial fermentation-based production offering multiple advantages over current petrochemical processes, its full implementation has been hampered by difficulties in reaching cost-effective yields from low cost feedstocks [5].

Metabolic engineering offers a systematic workflow for rational cell factory development by overexpression of pathway genes, elimination of byproducts, balancing of cofactors and increasing precursor supply among other approaches [6,7]. Recent advances in the field of synthetic biology, such as the development of the CRISPR/Cas9 system [8,9], and other genome editing tools, have increased the pace and ease with which microbial cell factories can be built [10–12]. However, the number of obvious gene targets for optimization is limited, and genetic manipulations often lead to unintended effects due to complex genotype-phenotype relationships [6]. Facilitated by the emergence of high-throughput technologies like next-generation sequencing and quantitative proteomics, systems biology offers several methods to unravel complexity of microbial metabolism and physiology.

The scope of systems biology is to investigate biological systems in a holistic manner to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the cellular behavior in contrast to the classic reductionist approaches where single elements of the system are studied in detail. Similarly, metabolic engineering requires, in addition to manipulation of single enzymes and pathways, also engineering of the interactions between the target pathway and endogenous metabolism [6]. In the field of systems biology, quantitative workflows have been developed in recent years to study responses of microorganisms to relatively simple environmental and genetic changes [13°,14], together with dataand model-driven approaches for predicting phenotypes [15,16]. These workflows can now be extended to engineered cell factories to understand effects of complex manipulations and to design more robust and efficient production organisms.

Here we review some of the most recent applications of systems biology tools for metabolic engineering of microorganisms for sustainable production of chemicals with special focus on non-native biofuels and bulk chemicals. We will focus on three particular technology platforms that have demonstrated impact in metabolic engineering: omics data collection and analysis, genome-scale models (GEMs) of cellular processes, and adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE). Indeed, the integration of omics and computational techniques together with the recent possibility to screen, select and fine-tune cellular responses [17,18] hold promise to speed up the systems metabolic engineering approach. In this context, GEMs offer a useful framework for interpretation of collected data as well as formulation and assessment of potential engineering strategies. The application of ALE for systems-level optimization of host robustness and biochemical production, and the subsequent investigation of causal mutations by omics and computational analysis, allows for simultaneous strain improvement and identification of potential targets for further engineering.

Trends in omics characterization for metabolic engineering

The use of the four major omics technologies (transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and fluxomics) applied to characterize cell systems behavior has increased rapidly in metabolic engineering-related publications since 2010 (Figure 1). This increase has been brought about both by growth of the metabolic engineering and biofuel fields as well as improvements in omics technologies. Among different omics methods, the development of the quantitative RNA sequencing method has made transcriptomics by far the most commonly used methodology followed by proteomics and in particular targeted pathway-oriented proteomics (Figure 1b). The use of metabolomics and fluxomics (typically ¹³C-based) is still relatively rare in metabolic engineering studies most likely due to both incomplete coverage of metabolites/fluxes, and challenges and/or costs in experimental

Figure 1

implementation. The majority of the metabolic engineering studies using omics data focus on common biofuels that are produced natively (*e.g.*, ethanol, *n*-butanol or fatty acids) or on platform strains without aim to produce a particular product (Figure 1c). Studies using omics technologies characterizing strains making non-native fine or bulk chemicals are surprisingly rare despite well-documented ability of omics methods to discover potential bottlenecks in engineered strains [19].

Recent years have seen the emergence of multi-omic characterization studies that often also incorporate a modeling component to study either platform or production strains. Examples of such studies targeting production strains include identification of bottlenecks in terpenoid production in Escherichia coli [20**], 3-hydroxypropionic acid production in baker's yeast [21], and L-lysine production in Corynebacterium glutamicum [22]. For platform strains, such studies have included comparisons of multiple possible wild type host strains $[23^{\bullet\bullet}]$, in depth characterization of less-well-studied production hosts [24], and determination of effects of major flux re-routing in central metabolism [25]. Multi-omic characterization has also become one of the key tools in identification of mechanisms of adaptation in ALE studies targeting either general stress or product tolerance [26^{••},27^{••}]. In recent years, standard omics data types are increasingly complemented by genome-wide screening of knock-out or knock-down libraries using, for example, transposon insertion sequencing [28,29] or



Trends in the use of four major omics technologies in metabolic engineering and biofuel publications since 2000. The list of over 500 publications was collected by comprehensive literature searches performed on scopus using terms in title, abstract and keywords followed by manual curation. Genomics was excluded due to the high number of publications using this technology. (a) Overall distribution of publications by omics data type used. (b) Publication trends as a function of time categorized by type of host organism (heterotrophic bacterial host, fungal host or prokaryotic or eukaryotic photosynthetic host) and omics technology used. (c) Publication trends as a function of time categorized by the type of study (biofuel, bulk chemical, fine chemical, platform strain) and omics technology used.

CRISPR/Cas9-based [30] methods. These methods allow identification of targets for further genetic manipulation more directly than other types of omics methods.

Genome-scale models for cell factory design and omics data interpretation

In the context of metabolic engineering, GEMs represent an invaluable tool for estimating theoretical maximum vields (Figure 2), enumerating heterologous production pathways with high yields [31^{••}], and predicting physiological changes in redox balance or energy metabolism upon perturbation [32[•]]. Furthermore, numerous methods for computing strain engineering strategies by overexpression, down-regulation, and/or deletion of genes have been developed [33]. Of particular interest in the context of ALE experiments are gene deletions that couple the production of a desired product to growth. These so-called growth-coupled designs [34] can be implemented experimentally using ALE, as the selection for higher growth phenotypes drives the organism in the desired corner of the growth-production phenotype space (Figure 2). Multiple algorithms for the computation of growth-coupled designs have been published [35-37], and in particular the use of metabolic cut sets [38] has been demonstrated to be scalable to larger numbers of knock-outs and has been recently used effectively for the engineering of E. coli for the production of itaconic acid [**39**^{••}].

Ideally, suitable experimental data can be used to shrink the feasible solution space of GEMs to obtain more reliable predictions (Figure 2). Quantitative analysis of omics data with GEMs, however, has proven to be difficult. While numerous methods have been published for the integration of transcriptomics and proteomics data with GEMs, a comprehensive benchmark of published methods [40[•]] has revealed that none of these methods surpass methods that do not take omics data into account [41] in the quantitative prediction of metabolic fluxes. While this does not preclude the use of GEMs in more qualitative types of omics-data analyses [20^{••},23^{••},42], the lack of ability to accurately predict rates of by-product formation makes the model-guided analysis of transcriptomics and proteomics data in strain engineering projects challenging. New modeling approaches that extend GEMs beyond metabolism provide a platform for direct integration of proteomics and transcriptomics data [43] and can result in improved flux predictions. Furthermore, integrating multi-omic data with both mechanistic and machine learning models that encompass additional cellular systems, for example, transcriptional regulatory networks, could be a further avenue [44].

Significant new modeling method development will be needed to allow interpretation of metabolomics data in the context of GEMs as these models do not use metabolite concentrations as state variables. Recently, Zelezniak et al. [45[•]] proposed a network based framework for reconciling transcriptome changes with metabolome changes highlighting the importance of network context and kinetics. Finally, fluxomics data, that is, the measurement of intracellular fluxes with ¹³C labeling experiments [46[•].47] in addition to uptake and secretion rates, likely holds the largest potential in informing metabolic engineering projects, as such data can be incorporated unambiguously as flux constraints into GEMs. The main challenge with fluxomics data is the small number of fluxes that can be directly estimated requiring the use of methods such as sampling to estimate the remaining fluxes with GEMs.



Experimental data integration and growth-coupled design with GEMs. The production envelopes of the initial strain (theoretical: blue, constrained with experimental data: green), and a knock-out mutant strain predicted with genome-scale metabolic modelling (orange). The black dot indicates the maximum theoretical product yield, the green dot indicates the typical initial growth and product yield, whereas the movement of the yellow dot indicates how the growth and product yield of the growth-coupled knock-out production mutant strain is improved by ALE.

Traditional and emerging uses of adaptive laboratory evolution for metabolic engineering

Sparsity of biological knowledge necessitates both the use of omics technologies as a characterization tool, and ALE to determine non-intuitive routes to improve strain robustness and production metrics. In a typical ALE experiment (Figure 3a), a laboratory selection pressure is maintained (in either batch cultures or a chemostat) to select for cells with better growth, which are typically acquired through spontaneous mutations. Ultimately, individual isolates or populations with improved growth under the selection condition are whole genome resequenced to determine the acquired mutations. Evolved isolates can then be used directly as production strains, or selected mutations can be reintroduced into production hosts to generate the desired phenotype. Because of the requirement of a growth selection, more traditional ALE studies (Figure 3b) relevant for biofuel and chemical production applications have focused on wild-type strains challenged with more direct effectors of growth. ALE of *E. coli* on minimal glucose [48[•]] and minimal glycerol media [49] has resulted in the identification of numerous key regulatory and metabolic mutations. Two of these regulatory mutations in RNA polymerase were further studied by structural modeling. transcriptomic, and metabolomic analyses to determine a novel trade-off mechanism for growth in constant versus fluctuating environments [50]. Both E. coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae have been evolved for thermotolerance [26^{••},51,52] and osmotolerance [53,54], which are beneficial traits for economical production of biofuels and bulk chemicals. Product tolerance is also of key importance for



Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE). (a) A typical ALE experiment consists of maintaining a selective pressure through either serial passaging of batch cultures or using a chemostat and whole genome re-sequencing isolates or populations. (b) Traditional ALE applications include simple growth selections on feedstocks containing inhibitory substrates or components, alternative substrates than those typically utilized by the strain, exogenously added toxic products, or general stress conditions present in industrial fermentation. More pioneering ALE technologies as applied to microbial production of bulk chemicals and fuels include engineered strains that either directly (e.g., requiring the product for biomass production) or indirectly (e.g., by providing non-optimized pathways to balance redox potential) growth-coupled product formation (left), or that utilize product-responsive biosensors (right) that are either employed to produce components of biomass or to negatively select against non-producers, or to produce fluorescent reporter proteins that enable iterative rounds of cell sorting.

Figure 3

reaching economically relevant product titers. Recent examples employing ALE include detailed functional investigation of an evolved ethanol-tolerant *E. coli* [55], generation of an octanoic acid tolerant mutant with reduced cell lysis and improved free fatty acid production [56], and isolation of 3-hydroxypropionate tolerant *S. cerevisiae* mutants with causal mutations related to detoxification of a toxic aldehyde byproduct [27^{••}].

The use of carbon feedstocks such as CO₂, or sugars found in lignocellulosic hydrolysates (containing significant proportions of C5 sugars such as xylose and arabinose), is preferred in biofuel and bulk chemical applications due to tight economic constraints and reducing net CO₂ emissions. While many organisms exist that by nature can utilize these substrates, it is often desired to apply well-studied, easy-to-engineer model organisms such as E. coli and S. cerevisiae. The development of pentose-fermenting S. cerevisiae strains has been an intense area of study for the past two decades [57]. ALE has also been employed to improve two remaining troublesome aspects: C_6 and C_5 sugar co-utilization [58], and C₅ transport [59], in strains expressing heterologous xylose utilization pathways. CO2 fixation by E. coli into biomass has been achieved by heterologously expressing RuBisCo and phosphoribulokinase, eliminating carbon flow from glycolysis into the TCA cycle, and performing ALE to improve growth of the resulting strain supplied with exogenous pyruvate while reducing xylose feeding, which was originally required $[60^{\bullet\bullet}]$. Another example is the evolution of E. coli and S. cerevisiae strains harboring heterologous pathways for synthetic nitrogen and phosphorus sources, with ALE performed to further improve utilization [61[•]]. The use of synthetic nutrient sources could offer economic advantages due to reduced reactor sterilization costs or reduced antibiotic supplementation.

Enabling product formation to be a selectable phenotype through either growth-coupled designs (see above), or the use of biosensors within synthetic gene circuits or coupled with flow-assisted cell sorting (FACS), is a clear direction of much future work (Figure 3b). One pioneering work was enhancing L-valine production in *C. glutamicum* using cells expressing an L-valine responsive biosensor driving a fluorescent reporter, where cells were sorted over subsequent rounds of growth [62]. While ALE has not yet been employed, directed evolution has been performed at the pathway and protein level coupled with the use of synthetic suicide riboswitches [63] or toggled selection schemes where both negative and positive selection can be iteratively applied to a sensor selector to isolate cells with improved production [64].

Conclusions

Technologies that have been introduced in the field of systems biology (omics characterization, GEMs, ALE) have been used extensively in engineering microbial cell factories for production of chemicals and fuels. Recent years have seen an increase in studies that use a broader range of these technologies at once in order to study wildtype platform or engineered strains. Much remains still to be done in order to allow rapid iterative development of cell factories based on systems strategies. The cost of omics data collection and analysis needs to be further reduced. GEMs need to be expanded and modeling methods need to be developed to use quantitative omics data and make more accurate predictions of genetic manipulation targets. In general improved phenotypic predictions from genotypes, especially for large numbers of simultaneous genetic perturbations, will require development of methods that integrate mechanistic modeling with machine learning. Novel selection strategies need to be devised to allow routine use of ALE for optimizing metabolite production. All of these developments together with improved genome editing and other synthetic biology methods have the potential to significantly increase the speed at which new cell factories are developed.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation through the Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement No. 686070.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Industrialization of Biology: A Roadmap to Accelerate the Advanced Manufacturing of Chemicals. The National Academies Press; 2015.
- Nakamura CE, Whited GM: Metabolic engineering for the microbial production of 1,3-propanediol. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2003, 14:454-459.
- Zhu X, Tan Z, Xu H, Chen J, Tang J, Zhang X: Metabolic evolution of two reducing equivalent-conserving pathways for highyield succinate production in *Escherichia coli*. *Metab Eng* 2014, 24:87-96.
- Yim H, Haselbeck R, Niu W, Pujol-Baxley C, Burgard A, Boldt J, Khandurina J, Trawick JD, Osterhout RE, Stephen R *et al.*: Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for direct production of 1,4-butanediol. *Nat Chem Biol* 2011, 7:445-452.
- 5. Van Dien S: From the first drop to the first truckload: commercialization of microbial processes for renewable chemicals. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2013, **24**:1061-1068.
- 6. Lee SY, Kim HU: Systems strategies for developing industrial microbial strains. *Nat Biotechnol* 2015, **33**:1061-1072.
- Chubukov V, Mukhopadhyay A, Petzold C, Keasling J, Martin HG: Synthetic and systems biology for microbial production of commodity chemicals. npj Syst Biol Appl 2016, 16009:1-11.
- Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E: A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science 2012, 337:816-821.
- Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffini LA et al.: Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 2013, 339:819-823.

- Wang HH, Isaacs FJ, Carr PA, Sun ZZ, Xu G, Forest CR, Church GM: Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and accelerated evolution. *Nature* 2009, 460:894-898.
- Jakočiūnas T, Jensen MK, Keasling JD: CRISPR/Cas9 advances engineering of microbial cell factories. Metab Eng 2016, 34:44-59.
- Jensen SI, Lennen RM, Herrgård MJ, Nielsen AT: Seven gene deletions in seven days: fast generation of *Escherichia coli* strains tolerant to acetate and osmotic stress. *Sci Rep* 2015, 5:17874.
- Gerosa L, Haverkorn Van Rijsewijk BRB, Christodoulou D,
 Kochanowski K, Schmidt TSB, Noor E, Sauer U: Pseudotransition analysis identifies the key regulators of dynamic metabolic adaptations from steady-state data. *Cell Syst* 2015, 1:270-282.

Comprehensive multi-omic (transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics and fluxomics) characterization of *E. coli* wild-type strains and examples on how this can be used to understand engineered strains.

- 14. Mülleder M, Calvani E, Alam MT, Wang RK, Eckerstorfer F, Zelezniak A, Ralser M: Functional metabolomics describes the yeast biosynthetic regulome. *Cell* 2016, **167**:553-565.
- Jelier R, Semple JI, Garcia-Verdugo R, Lehner B: Predicting phenotypic variation in yeast from individual genome sequences. Nat Genet 2011, 43:1270-1274.
- Cardoso JGR, Andersen MR, Herrgård MJ, Sonnenschein N: Analysis of genetic variation and potential applications in genome-scale metabolic modeling. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2015, 3:13.
- Xu P, Li L, Zhang F, Stephanopoulos G, Koffas M: Improving fatty acids production by engineering dynamic pathway regulation and metabolic control. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014, 111:11299-11304.
- Skjoedt M, Snoek T, Kildegaard K, Arovska D, Eichenberger M, Goedecke T, Rajkumar A, Zhang J, Kristensen M, Lehka B *et al.*: Engineering prokaryotic transcriptional activators as metabolite biosensors in yeast. Nat Chem Biol 2016, 12:951-958.
- Park JH, Lee KH, Kim TY, Lee SY: Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for the production of L-valine based on transcriptome analysis and in silico gene knockout simulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007, 104:7797-7802.
- Brunk E, George KW, Alonso-Gutierrez J, Thompson M, Baidoo E,
 Wang G, Petzold CJ, McCloskey D, Monk J, Yang L *et al.*:

 Wang G, Petzold CJ, McCloskey D, Monk J, Yang L et al.: Characterizing strain variation in engineered *E. coli* using a multi-omics-based workflow. *Cell Syst* 2016, 2:335-346. Description of a systematic workflow for the analysis of targeted pro- teomics and metabolomics data on production strains incorporating the use of GEMs.

- Kildegaard KR, Jensen NB, Schneider K, Czarnotta E, Özdemir E, Klein T, Maury J, Ebert BE, Christensen HB, Chen Y et al.: Engineering and systems-level analysis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for production of 3-hydroxypropionic acid via malonyl-CoA reductase-dependent pathway. Microb Cell Fact 2016, 15:53.
- Kind S, Becker J, Wittmann C: Increased lysine production by flux coupling of the tricarboxylic acid cycle and the lysine biosynthetic pathway—metabolic engineering of the availability of succinyl-CoA in Corynebacterium glutamicum. Metab Eng 2013, 15:184-195.
- 23. Monk JM, Koza A, Campodonico MA, Machado D, Seoane JM,
- Palsson BO, Herrgård MJ, Feist AM: Multi-omics quantification of species variation of *Escherichia coli* links molecular features with strain phenotypes. *Cell Syst* 2016, 3:1-14.

Genomic, transcriptomic, physiological and GEM-based characterization of seven different *E. coli* wild type strains that are commonly used in metabolic engineering.

 Yoo M, Bestel-Corre G, Croux C, Riviere A, Meynial-Salles I, Soucaille P: A quantitative system-scale characterization of the metabolism of *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *MBio* 2015, 6: e01808-e01815.

- 25. Kozak BU, van Rossum HM, Benjamin KR, Wu L, Daran JMG, Pronk JT, Van Maris AJA: Replacement of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae acetyl-CoA synthetases by alternative pathways for cytosolic acetyl-CoA synthesis. Metab Eng 2014, 21:46-59.
- 26. Caspeta L, Chen Y, Ghiaci P, Feizi A, Buskov S, Hallström BM,
- •• Petranovic D, Nielsen J: Altered sterol composition renders yeast thermotolerant. Science 2014, 944:75-78.

Genomic, transcriptomic, and physiological analysis of evolved thermotolerant *S. cerevisiae* strains revealed a thermotolerance mechanism of altered membrane sterol composition.

 Kildegaard KR, Hallström BM, Blicher TH, Sonnenschein N,
 Jensen NB, Sherstyk S, Harrison SJ, Maury J, Herrgård MJ, Juncker AS et al.: Evolution reveals a glutathione-dependent mechanism of 3-hydroxypropionic acid tolerance. Metab Eng 2014, 26:57-66.

Elucidation of the mechanism of 3-hydroxypropionic acid tolerance in evolved *S. cerevisiae* isolates through transcriptomic analysis and genetic reconstructions.

- Lennen RM, Herrgård MJ: Combinatorial strategies for improving multiple-stress resistance in industrially relevant Escherichia coli strains. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014, 80:6223-6242.
- Price MN, Wetmore KM, Waters RJ, Callaghan M, Ray J, Kuehl JV, Melnyk RA, Lamson JS, Suh Y, Esquivel Z et al.: Deep annotation of protein function across diverse bacteria from mutant phenotypes. *bioRxiv* 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/072470.
- Peters JM, Colavin A, Shi H, Czarny TL, Larson MH, Wong S, Hawkins JS, Lu CHS, Koo BM, Marta E et al.: A comprehensive, CRISPR-based functional analysis of essential genes in bacteria. *Cell* 2016, 165:1493-1506.
- 31. Meadows AL, Hawkins KM, Tsegaye Y, Antipov E, Kim Y, Raetz L,
- Dahl RH, Tai A, Mahatdejkul-Meadows T, Xu L et al.: Rewriting yeast central carbon metabolism for industrial isoprenoid production. Nature 2016, 537:694-697.

GEMs are effectively used for determining heterologous pathways leading to improved farnesene production.

 Zhang J, Sonnenschein N, Pihl TPB, Pedersen KR, Jensen MK,
 Keasling JD: Engineering an NADPH/NADP+ redox biosensor in yeast. ACS Synth Biol 2016, 5:1546-1556.

For the purpose of testing redox biosensor *in vivo*, the authors use a GEM of *S. cerevisiae* to predict single gene deletions that are likely to have an impact on the NAPH/NADP+ redox charge.

- Machado D, Herrgård M: Co-evolution of strain design methods based on flux balance and elementary mode analysis. *Metab* Eng Commun 2015, 2:85-92.
- Feist AM, Zielinski DC, Orth JD, Schellenberger J, Herrgard Markus J, Palsson BØ: Model-driven evaluation of the production potential for growth-coupled products of *Escherichia coli*. *Metab Eng* 2010, **12**:173-186.
- Burgard AP, Pharkya P, Maranas CD: Optknock: a bilevel programming framework for identifying gene knockout strategies for microbial strain optimization. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2003, 84:647-657.
- Patil KR, Rocha I, Forster J, Nielsen J: Evolutionary programming as a platform for in silico metabolic engineering. BMC Bioinf 2005, 6:308.
- Tepper N, Shlomi T: Predicting metabolic engineering knockout strategies for chemical production: accounting for competing pathways. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 26:536-543.
- von Kamp A, Klamt S: Enumeration of smallest intervention strategies in genome-scale metabolic networks. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2014, 10:e1003378.
- Harder B-J, Bettenbrock K, Klamt S: Model-based metabolic
 engineering enables high yield itaconic acid production by

Escherichia coli. Metab Eng 2016, **38**:29-37. Iterative design and implementation of a high yield *E. coli* production strain for itaconic acid using minimal cut sets.

 40. Machado D, Herrgård M: Systematic evaluation of methods for
 integration of transcriptomic data into constraint-based models of metabolism. PLoS Comput Biol 2014, 10:e1003580. Systematic evaluation of methods for integration of transcriptome data with GEMs revealing that all methods do not surpass parsimonious flux balance analysis in predicting metabolic fluxes quantitatively.

- 41. Lewis NE, Hixson KK, Conrad TM, Lerman JA, Charusanti P, Polpitiya AD, Adkins JN, Schramm G, Purvine SO, Lopez-Ferrer D et al.: Omic data from evolved E. coli are consistent with computed optimal growth from genome-scale models. Mol Syst Biol 2010. 6.
- 42. Sonnenschein N, Golib Dzib JF, Lesne A, Eilebrecht S, Boulkroun S, Zennaro M-C, Benecke A, Hütt M-T: A network perspective on metabolic inconsistency. BMC Syst Biol 2012, 6:41-53
- O'Brien EJ, Monk JM, Palsson BO: Using genome-scale models 43. to predict biological capabilities. Cell 2015, 161:971-987.
- 44 Kim M. Rai N. Zorraquino V. Tagkopoulos I: Multi-omics integration accurately predicts cellular state in unexplored conditions for Escherichia coli. Nat Commun 2016, 7:13090.
- Zelezniak A, Sheridan S, Patil KR: Contribution of network 45. donnectivity in determining the relationship between gene expression and metabolite concentration changes. PLoS Comput Biol 2014, 10:e1003572.

At the reaction level, metabolite concentration and gene expression changes do not correlate. The authors find that only after taking the network and kinetic context into account they are able to predict changes in metabolite levels from gene expression data.

- Martín HG, Kumar VS, Weaver D, Ghosh A, Chubukov V, 46.
- Mukhopadhyay A, Arkin A, Keasling JD: A method to constrain genome-scale models with ¹³C labeling data. *PLoS Comput* Biol 2015. 11:1-34.

A method for integrating data from¹³C labeling experiments into GEMs allowing the estimation of peripheral metabolic fluxes and this going beyond traditional metabolic flux analysis.

- 47. McCloskey D, Young JD, Xu S, Palsson BO, Feist AM: Modeling method for increased precision and scope of directly measurable fluxes at a genome-scale. Anal Chem 2016, 88:3844-3852.
- LaCroix RA, Sandberg TE, O'Brien EJ, Utrilla J, Ebrahim A, 48.
- Guzman GI, Szubin R, Palsson BO, Feist AM: Use of adaptive laboratory evolution to discover key mutations enabling rapid growth of *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655 on glucose minimal medium. Appl Environ Microbiol 2015, 81:17-30.

Foundational study using a robotic ALE system to obtain high-resolution fitness trajectories of E. coli evolved on minimal glucose medium.

- Cheng K-K, Lee B-S, Masuda T, Ito T, Ikeda K, Hirayama A Deng L, Dong J, Shimizu K, Soga T et al.: Global metabolic network reorganization by adaptive mutations allows fast growth of Escherichia coli on glycerol. Nat Commun 2014, 5.3233
- 50. Utrilla J, O'Brien EJ, Chen K, McCloskey D, Cheung J, Wang H, Armenta-Medina D. Feist AM, Palsson BO: Global rebalancing of cellular resources by pleiotropic point mutations illustrates a multi-scale mechanism of adaptive evolution. Cell Syst 2016, 2·260-271
- 51. Tenaillon O, Rodriguez-Verdugo A, Gaut RL, McDonald P, Bennett AF, Long AD, Gaut BS: The molecular diversity of adaptive convergence. Science 2012, 335:457-461.
- 52. Sandberg TE, Pedersen M, Lacroix RA, Ebrahim A, Bonde M, Herrgard MJ, Palsson BO, Sommer M, Feist AM: Evolution of

Escherichia coli to 42 °C and subsequent genetic engineering reveals adaptive mechanisms and novel mutations. Mol Biol Evol 2014, 31:2647-2662.

- 53. Winkler JD, Garcia C, Olson M, Callaway E, Kao KC: Evolved osmotolerant Escherichia coli mutants frequently exhibit defective N-acetylglucosamine catabolism and point mutations in cell shape-regulating protein MreB. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014. 80:3729-3740.
- 54. Wu X, Altman R, Eiteman MA, Altman E: Adaptation of Escherichia coli to elevated sodium concentrations increases cation tolerance and enables greater lactic acid production. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014, 80:2880-2888.
- 55. Haft RJF, Keating DH, Schwaegler T, Schwalbach MS, Vinokur J, Tremaine M, Peters JM, Kotlajich MV, Pohlmann EL, Ong IM et al.: Correcting direct effects of ethanol on translation and transcription machinery confers ethanol tolerance in bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014, 111:e2576-e2585.
- 56. Royce LA, Yoon JM, Chen Y, Rickenbach E, Shanks JV. Jarboe LR: Evolution for exogenous octanoic acid tolerance improves carboxylic acid production and membrane integrity. Metab Eng 2015, 29:180-188.
- 57. Moysés DN, Reis VCB, de Almeida JRM, de Moraes LMP, Torres FAG: Xylose fermentation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae: challenges and prospects. Int J Mol Sci 2016, 17:207.
- 58. Wouter Wisselink H, Toirkens MJ, Wu Q, Pronk JT, Van Maris AJA: Novel evolutionary engineering approach for accelerated utilization of glucose, xylose, and arabinose mixtures by engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009, 75:907-914.
- 59. Reider Apel A. Ouellet M. Szmidt-Middleton H. Keasling JD. Mukhopadhyay A: Evolved hexose transporter enhances xylose uptake and glucose/xylose co-utilization in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Sci Rep 2016, 6:19512.
- Antonovsky N, Gleizer S, Noor E, Zohar Y, Herz E, Barenholz U,
 Zelcbuch L, Amram S, Wides A, Tepper N *et al.*: Sugar synthesis from CO₂ in Escherichia coli. Cell 2016, 166:115-125.

E. coli transformed into an efficient CO2 and pyruvate semi-autotroph through pathway manipulation followed by ALE using a dynamic selective pressure

- 61. Shaw AJ, Lam FH, Hamilton M, Consiglio A, MacEwen K,
- Brevnova EE, Greenhagen E, LaTouf WG, South CR, van Dijken H et al.: Metabolic engineering of microbial competitive advantage for industrial fermentation processes. Science 2016, 353:583-586.

ALE was used to complement heterologous pathway expression to enable three microorganisms to utilise xenobiotic nitrogen and phosphorous sources as selective agents.

- Mahr R, Gätgens C, Gätgens J, Polen T, Kalinowski J, Frunzke J: 62. Biosensor-driven adaptive laboratory evolution of L-valine production in Corynebacterium glutamicum. Metab Eng 2015, **32**:184-194.
- 63. Lee SW, Oh MK: A synthetic suicide riboswitch for the highthroughput screening of metabolite production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Metab Eng 2015, 28:143-150.
- 64. Raman S, Rogers JK, Taylor ND, Church GM: Evolution-guided optimization of biosynthetic pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014, 111:17803-17808.