

## Analysis of High-Latitude Ionospheric Processes During HSS and CME-Induced Geomagnetic Storms

Durgonics, Tibor; Komjathy, Attila; Verkhoglyadova, Olga; Høeg, Per; Paul, Ashik

*Publication date:*  
2016

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Durgonics, T., Komjathy, A., Verkhoglyadova, O., Høeg, P., & Paul, A. (2016). Analysis of High-Latitude Ionospheric Processes During HSS and CME-Induced Geomagnetic Storms. Poster session presented at AGU Fall meeting 2016, San Francisco, United States.

## DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

---


### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Analysis of High-Latitude Ionospheric Processes During HSS and CME-Induced Geomagnetic Storms



Tibor Durgonics<sup>1</sup>, Attila Komjathy<sup>2</sup>, Olga P. Verkhoglyadova<sup>2</sup>, Per Høeg<sup>1</sup>, Ashik Paul<sup>3</sup>


<sup>1</sup>DTU Space, National Space Institute, Department of Geodesy, Copenhagen, Denmark <sup>2</sup>NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, CA, USA <sup>3</sup>University of Calcutta, Institute of Radio Physics and Electronics, Kolkata, India

## Key Points

- Vertical total electron content (VTEC) maps inferred from Greenlandic GNSS stations are used for the first time to investigate differences in ionospheric disturbances caused by high speed streams (HSS) and coronal mass ejections (CME).
- TEC mapping reveals pronounced negative main storm phase and significantly decreased polar patch formation due to increased atmospheric heating.
- On the day following the HSS event (Nov. 4, 2015) a solar radio burst (SRB) caused anomalies in European and Greenlandic air navigation. We present our findings related to this rare event.

## CME-Induced Storm

An example of a larger CME-driven ionospheric storm is the 19 February 2014 highly complex, multiphase storm, which had the largest impact on the disturbance storm-time (Dst) index that year. The geomagnetic storm was the result of two powerful Earth-directed CMEs.



(Courtesy of Deborah Eddy and Thomas Zurbuchen)

Fig A1 presents a schematic about our current understanding of the complex structure of an interplanetary CME.

## HSS-Induced Storm

An example for a larger HSS-driven ionospheric storm is the 3 November 2015 event, which was followed on the next day by a CME and an associated SRB.




Fig A2 shows the interplanetary structure of a HSS and its typical signatures in solar wind parameter data.






Fig B1 and B2 are relevant (OMNI data) solar wind parameter time-series that demonstrate the differences between the CME and HSS drivers, respectively. One of the important differences can be seen in the behavior of the IMF components. In the HSS case Bz and By tend to change sign and fluctuate which will result in sudden electric field changes (Borovsky et al., 2006).



## Observations and Mapping Technique



Greenland's GNSS ground stations (a subset of this network can be seen in Fig A) present a unique opportunity to observe the high-latitude ionosphere. Due to Greenland's unique location the ground-based GNSS measurements will cover regions representing the polar cap and auroral oval of the ionosphere, providing a complete latitudinal profile of the Arctic ionosphere.


GNSS ionospheric pierce points (IPPs) can be acquired ranging from approximately 55 to 90 degrees northern geographic latitudes and 10 to 80 degrees western longitudes.

The geometry behind the calculation of TEC (Hernandez-Pajares et al., 2007) can be seen in Fig B. Measurements used in this work consist of 1-second, 15-second, and 30-second sampling interval using GNSS observations acquired from the Greenland GPS Network (GNET) permanent ground stations located along the Greenland coastline (Durgonics et al. 2017).

From the total number of 62 GNET stations 18 were selected. This selection was based on their geographical location and distance to each other. The goal was to provide an even distribution along the coastline, which resulted in the best IPP coverage. The white dots on the right side panel of Fig A shows an example IPP distribution for a given epoch.

The geodetic GNSS receivers are capable of tracking several observables, such as pseudorange observables (P1 or C1 and P2) and phase observables (L1, L2). We utilized the Jet Propulsion Laboratory's Global Ionospheric Maps (JPL GIMs) to obtain VTEC values which then were mapped in 2D, as can be seen in Fig C; for details on JPL GIM see, e.g., Mannucci et al. [1998]. Fig C shows how the time development of polar patches can be seen on a non-disturbed day in the 2D VTEC maps. The time interval between snapshots is 10 minutes.


Relative plasma drifts are of the order of 1000 m/s in the polar-cap region, which in theory requires at least 1-Hz sampling rate to detect 1-km-size irregularities.



Mean VTEC (MVTEC) (Fig B) is calculated as the mean of all the VTEC values obtained from individual data points for a single station. In our approach we used the same weight for each satellite. MVTEC represents a smoothed ionospheric single-layer surface over the given station while its standard deviation indicates how uniformly the ionosphere tends to behave in that region.

$$\frac{dN_e}{dt} = q - \beta N_e - N_e \nabla V^\perp - \nabla(N_e V^\parallel) \quad (1)$$

The F2-layer continuity Equation (1) functions as a starting point for the physical interpretation where t is time, q is the production rate, βN<sub>e</sub> is the loss rate, V<sub>⊥</sub> and V<sub>∥</sub> are the perpendicular and parallel components of the bulk plasma velocity, respectively, with respect to the geomagnetic field.



## Results





Fig C displays results from Thule during the CME-driven 19 February 2014 storm. Thule is located deep in the polar cap. Fig C (top): ionogram-derived profiles showing 5 days of ionospheric vertical N<sub>e</sub> distributions observed by a digital ionosonde. The N<sub>e</sub> distributions show that the principle ionized region is the F-layer with hmF2 typically around 300 km. Fig C (middle): MVTEC time series above Thule during the same days as shown in the top image (dark blue line) with the standard deviation of the MVTEC (light blue shading) and the ionosonde-derived TEC (red line). The diurnal ionization cycle in the F-layer was disrupted after the first CME arrival. Fig C (bottom): NmF2 and hmF2 time series demonstrating negative correlation.

Fig D: polar cap index (Vennerström et al., 1991) north (PCN) time-series for the CME and HSS-related disturbances. A and the red dotted line shows the HSS arrival time and B and the blue dashed line marks the CME arrival time. There are fundamental differences between the rate of energy deposition of the two phenomena.

Fig E: MVTEC time-series for the day of the HSS arrival and the following day for a polar cap (THU4), an auroral oval (SCOR), and a station which is at the equatorward edge of the auroral zone (QAQ1).

## Solar Radio Burst and Ray Tracing During the Event

Fig A displays a spectrogram observed on 4 November 2015 at the Glasgow, Scotland site of the e-Callisto solar spectrometer international network. Similar signatures were present at the same times throughout some European sites.



The spectrogram shows the initial detections of a SRB (Knipp et al., 2016), which started at approximately 13:40 UTC and continued for hours. It was observed the day following the 3 November HSS-related ionospheric disturbances presented in this poster. This SRB disturbed the inflight airport ground radars or the airplane landing receivers in northern Greenland.

### Questions:

(1) Were these disturbances related to the HSS-induced ionospheric storm? (2) At the latitude of Thule, the Sun never rises above the horizon during the days of the storm. Therefore how could air navigation be impacted by solar-originated phenomena?

### Facts about the airport:

The direction of the Thule AFB runway with North is: 85 degrees.  
The geographical coordinates of the runway in degrees are: (lat, long) = (76.53, -68.73).  
The localizer frequency of the inflight radar system is: 109.5 MHz.

Elevation of the sun:			
Local time:	7:00	11:45	13:00
Elevation:	-15.95	-2.87	-1.81
Azimuth:	89.18	157.49	175.55

The sunlit ionosphere for the period November 3-4, 2015:  
The F-region (300 km) is sunlit in the period: 7-20 LT (6:30-20:00 LT)  
The bottom of the E-region (100 km) is sunlit in the period: 9-18 LT (8:30-18:00 LT)

Time of incidence for the received erroneous localizer signal:  
Event time: 14:45 UTC (11:45 LT)

The E-region (100 km) of the ionosphere is sunlit for angles larger than -10.1 degrees, and the F-region is sunlit for angles larger than -17.3 degrees.

### Raytracing of the localizer frequency for plasma frequencies from 10 to 15 MHz:

Radio bursts (less than 190 MHz) will (for elevation angles larger than -3 degrees) be reflected in the E- and F-region of the ionosphere.  
Radio bursts (less than 115 MHz) will (for elevation angles between -5 and -3 degrees) be reflected in the E- and F-region of the ionosphere.

### Conclusions:

- (1) The disturbance was not related to the 3 November HSS event. It was caused by a SRB on the following day.
- (2) It is possible to have solar radio bursts (of 109.5 MHz) to impact the ground antenna/cables/wave-guide and the airplane localizer radio.

## Summary

For the first time we compared ionospheric effects of HSS and CME-driven storms at high-latitudes. There were similarities and also differences observed in the development of the storms. (1) Both type of storms exhibited clear negative phase, which resulted in an increase of TOI-breaking-down into patches and a decrease in patch formation in general throughout the Greenland sector. The negative phase developed as the PCN-index started to increase indicated energy input into the polar cap. (2) The rate of PCN increase was clearly different for the two types of storms. (3) The impact of the physical processes responsible for the negative phase have less pronounced impact on the diurnal TEC variations than on patch formation.

We also investigated and assessed storm influences on airborne navigation at high-latitudes in order to determine the possible cause of the radio communication disturbances. This effort may lead us to a better understanding of the phenomenon and might help develop communication hardware that is more resistant to such effects.

## Bibliography

- Borovsky, J. E., and M. H. Denton (2006), Differences between CME-driven storms and CIR-driven storms, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A07S08.
- Durgonics, T., A. Komjathy, O. Verkhoglyadova, E. B. Shume, H.-H. Benzon, A. J. Mannucci, M. D. Butala, P. Høeg, and R. B. Langley (2017), Multi-Instrument Observations of a Geomagnetic Storm and its Effects on the Arctic Ionosphere: A Case Study of the 19 February 2014 Storm, Radio Sci. (under revision).
- Hernandez-Pajares, M., J. M. Juan, J. Sanz, and R. Orús (2007), Second-order ionospheric term in GPS: Implementation and impact on geodetic estimates, J. Geophys. Res., 112, B08417.
- Kataoka, R., and Y. Miyoshi (2006), Flux enhancement of radiation belt electrons during geomagnetic storms driven by coronal mass ejections and corotating interaction regions, Space Weather, 4, S09004.
- Knipp, D. J., et al. (2016), The May 1967 great storm and radio disruption event: Extreme space weather and extraordinary responses, Space Weather, 14, 614-633.
- Mannucci, A. J., B. D. Wilson, D. N. Yuan, C. H. Ho, U. J. Lindqwister, and T. F. Runge (1998), A global mapping technique for GPS-derived ionospheric total electron content measurements, Radio Sci., 33(3), 565-582.
- Pizzo, V. (1978), A three-dimensional model of corotating streams in the solar wind, 1. Theoretical foundations, J. Geophys. Res., 83(A12), 5563-5572.
- Vennerström, S., E. Friis-Christensen, O.A. Troshichev, and V.G. Andrezen (1991) - Comparison between the polar cap index, PC, and the auroral electrojet indices AE, AL, and AU, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 101.