Technical University of Denmark

DTU

Planar Hall effect bridges for magnetic field sensing and biosensing

Hansen, Mikkel Fougt; Rizzi, Giovanni

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

DTU Library
Technical Information Center of Denmark

Planar Hall effect bridges for magnetic field sensing and biosensing

Mikkel Fougt Hansen, Giovanni Rizzi

Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology, Technical University of Denmark, DTU Nanotech, Building 345B, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

We present an overview of the development of sensors based on anisotropic magnetoresistance in exchange-biased thin films in particular sensor geometries termed planar Hall effect bridges (PHEBs) [1]. The basic bridge building blocks can be combined to form sensors that are sensitive to: (1) an external magnetic field and/or (2) the small magnetic field from magnetic beads magnetized by the field induced by the sensor bias current (Fig. 1a-b,d-e) [2]. The latter detection scheme can be used for biosensing in two formats: (1) a volume-based detection scheme, where the changes in dynamic magnetic rotation response of magnetic beads are measured in the presence of the target [2], (2) a surface-based detection scheme where the target-mediated binding of magnetic beads to localized parts of the sensor surface is monitored in real-time [3]. The use of the sensor self-field avoids the need for external electromagnets and it also eliminates the counteracting signal contributions from magnetic beads over and outside the sensor area [4]. For surface-based detection, we have demonstrated the use of a differential bridge geometry (dPHEB) for DNA detection (Fig. 1c). This geometry measures only the difference in signals between the top half functionalized with DNA probes and the un-functionalized bottom half [3]. This cancels out background and enables real-time measurements of the specific binding signal, even in the background of a magnetic bead suspension. Finally, the sensitivity of the sensor can be tuned by varying t_{FM} and t_{Cu} in the exchange-biased sensor stack, $Ni_{80}Fe_{20}(t_{FM})/Cu(t_{Cu})/Mn_{80}Ir_{20}$(10-20 nm), where higher sensitivity is obtained for larger values of t_{FM} and t_{Cu} [5]. The design of PHEB sensors is flexible and can be adapted to various applications for magnetic field sensing and magnetic biosensing as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Figure 1: (a) PHEB, (b) pPHEB, and (c) dPHEB geometries. (d) Field sweeps of PHEB and pPHEB sensors. Only the PHEB sensor is sensitive to the external field [2]. (e) Measurements of Brownian relaxation of magnetic beads in suspension for PHEB and pPHEB sensors, where they give the same signal [2]. The peak frequency, f_B, is inversely proportional to the hydrodynamic volume, V_h. (f) Signal from DNA-mediated magnetic bead binding vs. incubation time for dPHEB sensor. The specific signal was measured in a background from a magnetic bead suspension [3].

[1] A.D. Henriksen *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 013507 (2010).
[2] F.W. Østerberg *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **115**, 184505 (2014).
[3] G. Rizzi *et al.*, Biosens. Bioelectron. **52**, 445-451 (2014).
[4] T.B.G. Hansen *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **107**, 124511 (2010).
[5] A.D. Henriksen, G. Rizzi, M.F. Hansen, J. Appl. Phys. **119**, 093910 (2016).