

DTU Library

Impact of external carbon dose on the removal of micropollutants using methanol and ethanol in post-denitrifying Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors

Torresi, Elena; Escolà Casas, Mònica; Polesel, Fabio; Plósz, Benedek G.; Christensson, Magnus; Bester, Kai Published in:

Water Research

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2016.10.068

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Torresi, E., Escolà Casas, M., Polesel, F., Plósz, B. G., Christensson, M., & Bester, K. (2017). Impact of external carbon dose on the removal of micropollutants using methanol and ethanol in post-denitrifying Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors. Water Research, 108, 95-105. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2016.10.068

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- · You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Impact of external carbon dose on the removal of micropollutants using
2	methanol and ethanol in post-denitrifying Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors
3	
4	Elena Torresi ^{† 1,2} , Mònica Escolà Casas ^{† 3} , Fabio Polesel ² , Benedek G. Plósz ^{2*} , Magnus
5	Christensson ^{1*} , Kai Bester ^{3*}
6	
7	[†] Joint first authors; * Corresponding authors: kb@dmu.dk; magnus.christensson@anoxkaldnes.com;
8	beep@env.dtu.dk;
9	
10	
11	¹ Veolia Water Technologies AnoxKaldnes, Klosterängsvägen 11A, SE-226 47 Lund, Sweden
12	² Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Bygningstorvet B115, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
13	³ Department of Environmental Science, Århus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark
14	
15	
16	Keywords: pharmaceuticals; MBBR; carbon source; biofilms; wastewater; denitrification
17	
18	

19 Abstract

Addition of external carbon sources to post-denitrification systems is frequently used in wastewater 20 treatment plants to enhance nitrate removal. However, little is known about the fate of 21 micropollutants in post-denitrification systems and the influence of external carbon dosing on their 22 removal. In this study, we assessed the effects of two different types and availability of commonly 23 used carbon sources ---methanol and ethanol--- on the removal of micropollutants. Two laboratory-24 scale moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBRs), containing AnoxKaldnes K1 carriers with acclimated 25 26 biofilm from full-scale systems, were operated in continuous-flow using wastewater dosed with methanol and ethanol. Batch experiments with 22 spiked pharmaceuticals were performed to assess 27 removal kinetics. Acetyl-sulfadiazine, atenolol, citalopram, propranolol and trimethoprim were 28 easily biotransformed in both MBBRs (biotransformations rate constants k_{bio} between 1.2 and 12.9 29 L g_{biomass}⁻¹ d⁻¹), 13 compounds were moderately biotransformed (rate constants between 0.2 and 2 L 30 g_{biomass}^{-1} d⁻¹) and 4 compounds were recalcitrant. The methanol-dosed MBBR showed higher k_{bio} 31 (e.g., 1.5 to 2.5-fold) than in the ethanol-dosed MBBR for 9 out of the 22 studied compounds, equal 32 k_{bio} for 10 compounds, while 3 compounds (i.e., targeted sulfonamides) were biotransformed faster 33 34 in the ethanol-dosed MBBR. While biotransformation of most of the targeted compounds followed 35 first-order kinetics, removal of venlafaxine, carbamazepine, sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethizole could be described with a cometabolic model. Analyses of the microbial composition in the 36 37 biofilms using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing revealed that the methanol-dosed MBBR contained higher microbial richness than the one dosed with ethanol, suggesting that improved 38 biotransformation of targeted compounds could be associated with higher microbial richness. 39 40 During continuous-flow operation, at conditions representative of full-scale denitrification systems (hydraulic residence time = 2 h), the removal efficiencies of micropollutants were below 35% in 41 both MBBRs, with the exception of atenolol and trimethoprim (>80%). Overall, this study 42

demonstrated that MBBRs used for post-denitrification could be optimized to enhance the
biotransformation of a number of micropollutants by accounting for optimal carbon sources and
extended residence time.

47 **1. Introduction**

Currently used biological processes in conventional wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are 48 49 designed to remove organic carbon and nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus). As organic micropollutants are gaining attention due to the associated environmental risks (Daughton and 50 Ternes, 1999; Plósz et al., 2013), the optimization of biological processes for removal of 51 micropollutants during wastewater treatment is crucial (Joss et al., 2008). Micropollutants (e.g., 52 pharmaceuticals and personal care products) are generally recognized as non-growth substrates 53 (secondary substrates), as they are present in wastewater in too low concentrations (ng L^{-1} to $\mu g L^{-1}$) 54 to support biomass growth (Fischer and Majewsky, 2014; Rittmann, 1992). Therefore, biological 55 transformation of micropollutants is mainly the result of cometabolic mechanisms, whereby the 56 57 removal of non-growth substrates (micropollutants) requires the presence of primary substrates (i.e., COD, nutrients) to support biomass growth (Criddle, 1993; Rittmann, 1992). In cometabolism, the 58 biotransformation of micropollutant is typically catalyzed by non-specific enzymes (e.g., mono- or 59 di-oxygenases, N-acetyltransferases, hydrolases) or by cofactors produced during the microbial 60 conversion of the primary substrate (Criddle, 1993; Fischer and Majewsky, 2014). Nevertheless, the 61 62 interaction between primary substrate and micropollutants is complex and not completely understood. In fact, the presence of primary substrate has been reported to either enhance the 63 removal of micropollutants, e.g., by regenerating reductants such as NAD(P)H under aerobic 64 65 conditions (Alvarez-Cohen and Speitel, 2001; Liu et al., 2015), or decrease it, due to competitive enzyme inhibition (Fischer and Majewsky, 2014; Plósz et al., 2010). 66

67

Recent studies have proposed biofilm systems, e.g., moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBR), as a promising alternative to activated sludge systems (CAS) with respect to the attenuation of micropollutants (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a; Falås et al., 2012; Hapeshi et al., 2013; Torresi et al.,

71 2016). In general, most of the studies concerning the removal of micropollutants during biological 72 wastewater treatment have focused on aerobic systems, whereas only little information is available 73 for anoxic denitrifying conditions: Plósz et al., 2010; Su et al., 2015; Falås et al. 2013, Suárez et al., 74 2010. While pharmaceuticals such as diclofenac, metoprolol, erythromycin and roxithromycin were found to be transformed mainly under aerobic conditions in activated sludge using synthetic 75 76 wastewater (Suárez et al., 2010) and in hybrid biofilm-activated sludge processes (Falås et al., 2013), some of the investigated chemicals had similar (i.e., bezafibrate, atenolol, clarithromycin and 77 N⁴-acetylsulfamethoxazole) or higher (i.e., levetiracetam) biotransformation under anoxic 78 conditions than under aerobic ones (Falås et al., 2013). Hence, anoxic biological processes in 79 conventional WWTPs should be considered as a potential step to optimize removal of 80 81 micropollutants.

The type of carbon source is known to have a strong impact on the structure of denitrifying 82 microbial communities and thus on denitrification efficiency (Baytshtok et al., 2009; Hagman et al., 83 2007; Lu et al., 2014). This has specific relevance to post-denitrification reactors in full-scale 84 WWTPs, where nitrate removal is achieved by dosing external carbon sources such as methanol and 85 86 ethanol (Louzeiro et al, 2002; Santos et al., 2001). Methanol and ethanol are metabolized by denitrifying bacteria through different pathways. Methanol undergoes the metabolic reaction of 87 single-carbon compounds, which is exclusive to methylotrophs because of their unique key enzyme 88 89 (methanol dehydrogenase) that catalyzes the oxidization of methanol to formaldehyde (Anthony et al., 1982, 2011). Instead, ethanol is easily converted by bacterial cells to Acetyl-CoA before 90 entering the glyoxylate cycle (Anthony et al., 2011). Thus, microbial communities in post-91 92 denitrifying systems using either of these carbon sources may be fundamentally different and potentially exhibit a different biodiversity and functionality with more or less microbial specialists 93 able to biotransform organic micropollutants. Additionally, biodiversity in terms of species richness 94

95 (the number of species) and evenness (the relative abundance of the species) (Wittebolle et al.,
96 2009) was shown to positively associate with the biotransformation of a number of micropollutants
97 in aerobic activated sludge (Johnson et al., 2015; Stadler and Love, 2016) and nitrifying MBBRs
98 (Torresi et al., 2016). Further investigation of the impact of biodiversity in different biological
99 treatment systems seems thus required.

100 In this study, we evaluated the elimination of selected micropollutants (i.e., pharmaceuticals) in laboratory-scale post-denitrifying MBBRs dosed with methanol or ethanol. Biotransformation 101 102 kinetics and removal efficiencies were assessed through targeted batch experiments and during continuous-flow MBBR operation, respectively. The objectives of our study were: (i) to investigate 103 the impact of different types of external carbon sources (methanol and ethanol) for post-104 105 denitrification on micropollutant biotransformation; (ii) to assess the structure of the denitrifying microbial community of MBBR biofilms, following continuous dosing with either methanol or 106 ethanol; and (iii) to evaluate the influence of organic substrate availability on the transformation of 107 micropollutants and the related mechanisms, i.e. competitive inhibition and cometabolic 108 enhancement. 109

110

111 **2. Materials and Methods**

112

113 **2.1 Description of the post-denitrifying systems**

114 Two Swedish WWTPs, i.e., Sjölunda and Klagshamn are currently dosing methanol or ethanol, 115 respectively, as external carbon source in two-stage post-denitrification MBBRs. Thus, two 116 laboratory-MBBRs were built to resemble such post-denitrification stages, using carriers 117 (AnoxKaldnes K1) from the first post-denitrification tank of the respective WWTPs already adapted 118 to methanol and ethanol dosing. The WWTPs are described in Section S1 of the SI (Supporting

Information). Both laboratory-MBBRs (1 L) were operated in continuous feeding of the same 119 wastewater, which was collected after the (aerobic) nitrification step (trickling filter) of Sjölunda 120 WWTP (Lund, Sweden). Thus, during continuous-flow operation, only the indigenous nitrate and 121 nitrite present in the wastewater (averaged concentration of 13 and 1.2 mg L⁻¹ respectively) were 122 used for denitrification. The filling rate of both reactors was 40%, giving a surface of 0.2 m^2 . The 123 amount of indigenous micropollutants in the reactor influents (consisting in the collected 124 wastewater and feed containing carbon-source) was analyzed. Twelve compounds were quantified 125 giving concentrations between 0.04 μ g L⁻¹ (trimethoprim) and 78 μ g L⁻¹ (iohexol). Complete details 126 of these results are given in Table S6 (SI). 127

128

129 The reactors were continuously flushed with nitrogen gas and stirred for the mixing of the carriers and to strip eventual residual dissolved oxygen. Both reactors were kept at 15°C using a water bath. 130 The feed wastewater was mixed and kept at 4°C during the whole experiment. Phosphate was added 131 to the feed to reach a concentration of 0.5 mg L^{-1} to ensure biofilm growth on the carriers. 132 Micropollutant removal and denitrification rates in MBBRs were assessed in two main experiments: 133 134 (i) batch conditions (24 h) and (ii) continuous-flow operation (2 months). The carbon availability of ethanol and methanol into the two MBBRs was defined as the ratio between the influent loading of 135 organic carbon (COD_{added}) and the native loading of nitrate (NO₃-N_{influent}) in the wastewater 136 samples. Optimum COD_{added}/NO_3 -N_{influent} ratio (gCOD gN⁻¹) for complete denitrification is typically 137 138 around 4 (Metcalf & Eddy, 2003).

139

140 **2.2 Analytical methods**

141 All the samples taken for analysis of conventional pollutants (NH_4^+ -N, NO_3^- -N, NO_2^- -N, soluble 142 COD and PO_3^{4-}) in batch and continuous experiments were filtered through 0.45 µm glass fiber 143 filters (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Total COD and total nitrogen were analyzed on the unfiltered sample. All samples were prepared in Hach Lange kits (LCK 303, LCK 339, LCK 341 144 145 and LCK 342) and analyzed in a Hach Lange DR2800 spectrophotometer. DO, pH and temperature in the reactors were measured at each sampling occasion, using a Hach HQ40d multi DO probe and 146 a HANNA H1991001 pH-meter. The attached biomass concentrations were calculated from the 147 difference in weight of 3 dried carriers (105 °C for >24 h) before and after biofilm removal (in 2M 148 H₂SO₄) with subsequent brushing (see Figure S2 for results), as previously considered (Escolà 149 150 Casas et al., 2015a; Falås et al., 2012; Torresi et al., 2016). Samples for micropollutants were frozen at -20 °C prior analysis and analyzed via direct injection using HPLC-MS/MS as described in 151 Escolà Casas et al. (2015a). Information regarding sample preparation, HPLC, mass spectrometry 152 153 data, LOD and LOQ of compounds are shown in Escolà Casas et al. (2015a) and in Section S2 (SI).

154

155 2.3 Chemicals

Twenty-two relevant micropollutants (i.e., pharmaceuticals) were selected for this study. 156 Information regarding CAS numbers and chemical suppliers is found in the supplementary 157 158 information in Escolà Casas et al. (2015b). The pharmaceuticals included: (i) four beta-blockers, i.e., atenolol, metoprolol, propranolol and sotalol; (ii) five X-ray contrast media, i.e., diatrizoic acid, 159 iohexol, iopamidol, iopromide, iomeprol; (iii) three sulfonamides, i.e., sulfadiazine, sulfamethizole 160 161 and sulfamethoxazole and the metabolite acetyl-sulfadiazine; (iv) three analgesics, i.e., phenazone, diclofenac and ibuprofen; (v) three anti-epileptics/anti-depressants, i.e., carbamazepine, venlafaxine 162 and citalopram; (vi) four antibiotics, i.e., erythromycin, clarithromycin, trimethoprim and 163 164 roxithromycin.

165

167 **2.4 Batch experiment**

To investigate how the type of dosed carbon source influences the removal of micropollutants in 168 post-denitrifying MBBR, batch experiments were performed in the same reactors used during 169 continuous-flow operation. These experiments were conducted after 3.5 months of continuous-flow 170 operation of the two systems. During the batch experiments, a COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratio of 3.4 for 171 both reactors was adopted to obtain excess concentration of nitrate. Anoxic conditions were 172 maintained by flushing the reactors with nitrogen gas during the experiment. The feed used for the 173 batch consisted of the same wastewater used in continuous operation spiked with 239 ± 2 mg 174 $COD L^{-1}$ of methanol for the methanol-dosed reactor and the same amount of ethanol for the 175 ethanol-dosed reactor, $70 \pm 3 \text{ mg NO}_3$ -N L⁻¹ in form of sodium nitrate and 22 micropollutants with 176 an initial nominal concentration of 2 μ g L⁻¹. The micropollutants were added from a stock solution 177 (40 mg L^{-1} in methanol). To minimize the increase of COD concentration in the batch feed due to 178 the methanol from the stock solution, the micropollutant solution was first spiked into an empty 179 glass beaker and the methanol was let to evaporate for approximately 1 hour. Afterwards, the feed 180 was added to the beaker containing the micropollutants and mixed to re-dissolve the 181 182 micropollutants. The batch experiment lasted 24 hours and samples for conventional and micropollutants analysis were taken at regular intervals. To keep the biomass concentration constant 183 during the experiment, three carriers were withdrawn from the reactors each time a sample was 184 185 taken for analysis. The pH value in both reactors was continuously measured and adjusted to 7.5 using 1M HCl. The temperature was kept constant at 15°C. 186

187

188 2.4.1 Denitrification during batch experiment

189 Denitrification rates normalized on surface area of reactors $r_{NO3,2-N}$ (gNO_{3,2}-N m⁻² d⁻¹) and specific 190 denitrification rates accounting for the biomass kNO_{3,2}-N (gNO_{3,2}-N g_{biomass}⁻¹ d⁻¹) were derived through linear regression using NO_3^--N and NO_2^--N measurements during batch experiment. An accumulation of nitrite in the systems was noticed (~ 6 mg L⁻¹), therefore $NO_{3,2}-N$ utilization curves accounting also for NO_2^--N concentration were derived accordingly to Sözen et al. (1998).

194

A two step-denitrification activated sludge model (ASM) was used to describe up-take of primary 195 substrates (i.e., readily soluble biodegradable COD (S_S), soluble nitrate (S_{NO3}) and nitrite (S_{NO2})) 196 197 which was extended with the Activated Sludge Model for Xenobiotics ASM-X (Plósz et al., 2012; Polesel et al., 2016) to determine micropollutant biotransformation rates (Table 1). Readily soluble 198 biodegradable COD (S_S) was determined as the difference between soluble COD (sCOD)— 199 measured during the experiments—and soluble inert COD (S_I)—calculated according to Roeleveld 200 and Van Loosdrecht (2002). The ASM for denitrification was adapted from Pan et al. (2015) and 201 202 included two process rate equations with reduction of nitrate to nitrite (R1) and nitrite to nitrogen (R2) (Table 1). Parameters that could not be identified through model calibration to experimental 203 204 results (maximum specific growth rates µ_H, affinity constants for substrate—K_{S1} and K_{S2}—and for nitrogen species— K_{NO3}^{HB} and K_{NO2}^{HB} —) were adopted from literature (Hiatt and Grady, 2008). 205 Parameters that are known to be sensitive to the experimental data (i.e., heterotrophic yields Y_{H} , 206 anoxic growth factors for the process 1 and 2, η_{g1} and $\eta_{g2})$ were calibrated. Definition of the 207 components and model calibration are presented in Section S3 and Table S1 (SI). The model was 208 implemented in AQUASIM 2.1d (Reichert et al., 1994) and the parameters were estimated using the 209 secant method embedded. 210

211

212 2.4.2 Micropollutants removal kinetics during batch experiment

Model structures to assess biotransformation rate of micropollutants were identified using the ASMX as modelling framework (Polesel et al., 2016; Plósz et al., 2010, 2012, 2013). The framework

215 used in this study is summarized in Table 1 and included processes such as parent compound retransformation (e.g., deconjugation of human metabolites) (1), biotransformation (2) and 216 217 cometabolic biotransformation (in the presence and absence of organic growth substrate) (3). The effect of diffusion into biofilm on the removal of pharmaceuticals from bulk aqueous phase was 218 lumped in the biotransformation rate constants, as previously considered by Falås et al. (2012, 219 2013), Escolá Casas et al. (2015a) and Hapeshi et al. (2013). The cometabolic process was 220 modelled as proposed by Plósz et al. (2012), using pseudo-first order kinetics with respect to 221 222 micropollutant concentration and estimating two biokinetics: (i) the cometabolic biotransformation rate constant q_{bio} in the presence of the primary substrate and (ii) biotransformation rate constant 223 k_{bio} in the absence of primary substrate. Accordingly, biotransformation kinetics of the cometabolic 224 225 substrate (e.g., micropollutant) depend on the primary substrate concentration (e.g., organic matter expressed as readily soluble biodegradable COD, S_S) considered a co-limiting substrate. In Table 1 226 C_{LI} and C_{CJ} denote the aqueous concentration (ng L⁻¹) of the parent compound and the human 227 metabolites undergoing deconjugation to the parent compound, respectively. The retransformation 228 rate constant k_{Dec} (L $g_{biomass}^{-1}$ d⁻¹) defines kinetics of retransformation to parent compound. Sorption 229 processes were also included considering the sorption coefficient K_D (L g_{biomass}⁻¹) which was 230 calibrated using values from previous studies estimated under denitrifying condition when available 231 (Table S2 in SI). As to the best of our knowledge values of K_D were not previously estimated for 232 233 biofilm under denitrifying conditions, K_D measured for activated sludge were used in this study. The half-saturation coefficient for S_S , (K_S) in Table 1, was retrieved from Hiatt and Grady (2008). 234 X_{biomass} (g_{biomass} L⁻¹) denoted the biomass concentration in the MBBRs and growth of biomass on 235 236 micropollutants was considered negligible.

Biotransformation constants k_{bio} (process 2, Table 1) were estimated from the measured data using least-square optimization without weighting in GraphPad Prism 5.0. Parent compound retransformation and cometabolism model (processes 1 and 3, Table 1) were implemented in AQUASIM 2.1d (Reichert et al., 1994) and the parameters were estimated using the secant method embedded.

Removal rate constants k (d⁻¹) were also estimated to compare the performance of the two MBBR systems, regardless of biomass concentration and sorption processes (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a,b). For the chemicals following cometabolism model (and thus exhibiting two biokinetics), k was calculated considering the estimated q_{bio} . Differences between biotransformation rate constants of the two MBBRs were assessed by examining the overlap between standard deviations of the estimated values (Cumming et al., 2007).

249

250 **2.5 DNA extraction, PCR amplification, sequencing and bioinformatics analysis.**

One carrier was collected from each MBBR before the batch experiment and stored in a sterilized 251 252 Eppendorf tube at -20 °C. Biomass was detached using a sterile brush (Gynobrush, Dutscher Scientific, United Kingdom) using tap water and consequently centrifuged (10000 rpm for 5 253 minutes) to remove excess water. DNA extraction, PCR amplification (using 16S rRNA bacteria 254 gene primers) and Illumina sequencing were performed as described in Section S5 of the SI. 255 Taxonomic assignment and calculation of alpha diversity metrics (Shannon biodiversity and ACE 256 extrapolated richness) were performed in mothur using the RDP reference taxonomy. Additional 257 diversity indices were calculated according to Hill et al. (1973). Microbial evenness was estimated 258 259 as H_1/H_0 as described in Johnson et al. (2015).

260

261 **2.6 Continuous-flow experiment**

The two MBBRs used for the present study were operated for over 4 months. The MBBRs were 262 kept with a COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratio equal to 3 (close to the ratios used at the respective 263 WWTPs) for the first two weeks of operation. The fraction of inert COD was taken into account (by 264 subtracting it from the amount of available biodegradable COD) when planning experiments under 265 carbon limitation. A hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 2 hours was set similar to the HRT used at 266 the full-scale WWTPs. After two weeks of acclimatization, baseline carbon-dosage periods of 267 COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} were alternated with short carbon-dosage periods (~5 days) to avoid biomass 268 adaptation. Accordingly, concentrations of methanol and ethanol in the feed solutions were changed 269 to test COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratios ranging from 1 to 5, while keeping constant HRT. This test 270 271 phase lasted about 2 months. The COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratios and the dates are reported in the Table S3 (SI). The range of COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratios was chosen to assess carbon limiting 272 condition at low COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratio and not far exceeding the stoichiometric 273 $COD_{added}\!/NO_3\text{-}N_{influent}\ ratio\ needed\ for\ complete\ denitrification.\ The\ denitrification\ rate\ r_D\ (gN\ d^{-1}$ 274 m⁻²) in continuous operation, was calculated for each carbon-dosage test by using the Equation S1 275 276 (Section S6, SI). Micropollutant removal efficiency ("measured removal" in Figure 4) was calculated as difference between inlet and outlet concentrations. Micropollutant removal efficiency 277 during continuous operation ("predicted removal" in Figure 4) was predicted using removal rate 278 constant k (d⁻¹) estimated during batch experiment according to Equation 1: 279

280
$$Removal(\%) = \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{(1+k_i \cdot HRT)}\right)\right) \cdot 100 \qquad \text{Equation 1}$$

282 **3. Results and discussion**

283

284 **3.1 Batch experiment**

285

286 3.1.1 Denitrification kinetics

Denitrification rates (r_{NO3,2-N}, k_{NO3,2-N}) were derived through linear regression of measured NO₃⁻-N 287 and NO₂⁻N concentration during batch experiment (Figure S5, SI). The ethanol-dosed reactor 288 presented a higher surface-normalized denitrification rate r_{NO3,2-N} (Table 2) than the methanol driven 289 290 one, which is in agreement with previous studies (Santos et al., 2001; Christensson et al., 1994). This is likely due to the higher growth yield expected using ethanol thereby leading to higher 291 292 biomass production per surface area in ethanol-dosed systems (Mokhayeri et al., 2009). On the other hand, denitrification rates (k_{NO3,2-N}) normalized by biomass weight (higher for the ethanol-293 dosed reactor) were comparable in the two MBBRs, suggesting similar activity in terms of nitrate 294 and nitrite removal in the two biofilms. 295

296

297 3.1.2 Micropollutant removal kinetics

Biotransformation kinetics of most of the investigated chemicals could be described with first-order 298 equation (Table 1, process 2), thereby allowing for the estimation of removal rates k (d^{-1}) and 299 pseudo-first order biotransformation rate constants k_{bio} (L $g_{biomass}^{-1}$ d⁻¹). Abiotic transformation 300 processes were previously investigated by the authors using plastic (polyethylene) carriers 301 (AnoxKaldnes Z-carriers) and effluent wastewater (Torresi et al., 2016), suggesting no significant 302 303 impact of abiotic processes (e.g., abiotic hydrolysis, volatilization, sorption onto plastic or glass) on the removal of several targeted micropollutants (Figure S9). Figures 1 and S1 summarize measured 304 and simulated micropollutant concentration profiles during batch experiments. 305

306 The removals of erythromycin, clarithromycin, venlafaxine, carbamazepine, sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethizole was predicted using (i) a pseudo-first order biotransformation model (Table 1, 307 308 process 2), with no interaction (inhibition/enhancement) between micropollutant and primary substrate; and (ii) additionally, a cometabolic model (Table 1, process 3), assuming that the 309 turnover of the micropollutants is enhanced by the presence of primary substrate. Predictions with 310 the two models are presented in Figure 1b-d using dashed lines and solid lines, respectively. The 311 goodness of the two model fits (R²) is summarized in Table S4 (SI). For erythromycin and 312 clarithromycin, the cometabolic model ($R^2 > 0.9$) provided only for a marginal improvement of the 313 fitting compared to the pseudo-first order biotransformation model ($R^2 > 0.8$), making it difficult to 314 draw conclusion on the removal mechanism of these two compounds. However, the prediction of 315 carbamazepine's removal was significantly improved by adopting the cometabolic model ($R^2 > 0.9$) 316 compared to the pseudo first-order biotransformation model ($R^2 < 0.5$) in both MBBRs. 317 Cometabolic biotransformation of carbamazepine has been previously observed in aerobic and 318 anoxic activated sludge (Plósz et al., 2012) with cometabolic biotransformation rate constant q_{bio} 319 (1.2 L g⁻¹ d⁻¹ under anoxic condition), in close agreement with our results. Similarly, the removal of 320 321 venlafaxine, sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethizole removal was better predicted using the cometabolic model ($R^2 > 0.9$). In Figure 1a, the measured and simulated concentration of soluble 322 COD (sCOD), nitrate (NO₃-N) and nitrite (NO₂-N) and simulated readily biodegradable COD (S_s) 323 324 are reported. For the abovementioned micropollutants, S_S limitation (approximately after 3 hours from the beginning of the experiment) corresponded to a change in biotransformation kinetics. 325 Interestingly, in the ethanol-fed reactor, the modelled S_S limitation coincided with a significant 326 327 decrease in the biotransformation rates of sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethizole (Figure 1d right), thereby leading to a rather low removal rate during the rest of the experiment. A similar effect for 328 both compounds, though at lower extent, was observed for the methanol-dosed reactor. 329

330 Cometabolic transformation of trace chemicals was previously shown in suspended cultures under aerobic, anaerobic and anoxic conditions (Delgadillo-Mirquez et al., 2011; Fernandez-Fontaina et 331 al., 2014; Plósz et al., 2010; Popat and Deshusses, 2011; Tran et al., 2013). Removal via 332 cometabolism was previously observed for sulfamethoxazole in nitrifying (Kassotaki et al., 2016; 333 Müller et al., 2013) and in aerobic and anoxic activated sludge (Alvarino et al., 2016), as well as for 334 erythromycin and roxithromycin (Fernandez-Fontaina et al., 2014) in nitrifying activated sludge. 335 Thus, our results support the hypothesis that the change of primary substrate availability can 336 337 significantly impact the removal of a number of micropollutants as a result of cometabolic mechanisms under denitrifying conditions. 338

339

340 While the other sulfonamides followed cometabolic biotransformation, the removal of sulfadiazine was different. It could not be described with first-order kinetics ($R^2 < 0.2$ and < 0.4 for methanol-341 and ethanol-dosed MBBR respectively, dashed lines in Figure 1 e), due to the presence of its 342 conjugate acetyl-sulfadiazine. Acetyl-sulfadiazine is the main human metabolite of sulfadiazine 343 (Vree et al., 1995) and it has been previously observed to undergo de-acetylation (Zarfl et al., 344 2009), similarly to other acetyl-sulfonamides such as N⁴-acetylsulfamethoxazole (Göbel et al., 345 2007). For these chemicals, a model including retransformation (deconjugation) of acetyl-346 sulfadiazine to sulfadiazine and concurrent biotransformation of sulfadiazine was used to estimate 347 biotransformation rate constants k_{bio} for sulfadiazine and retransformation rate constant k_{Dec} for 348 acetyl-sulfadiazine (Table 1, processes 1 and 2). However, this modelling approach did not 349 adequately describe the concentration changes of sulfadiazine in any of the MBBRs ($R^2 < 0.2$, 350 351 continuous lines in Figure 1e):

For the methanol-dosed MBBR, acetyl- sulfadiazine was decreasing rapidly and sulfadiazine (being
formed from acetyl-sulfadiazine) reached a maximum before being slowly further biotransformed

354 (Figure 1e left). In the ethanol-dosed MBBR, the acetyl-sulfadiazine was also rapidly removed and sulfadiazine concentration did never increase. Thus, for the ethanol-dosed reactor there is no 355 indication for a deconjugation reaction of acetyl-sulfadiazine to sulfadiazine, while that is partially 356 possible in the methanol-dosed one. Hence, the transformation of acetyl-sulfadiazine probably 357 occurred partially following another metabolic pathway leading to the formation of other 358 (undetected) transformation products. To test whether other pathways could be possible, the 359 EAWAG-BBD pathway prediction systems (EAWAG-BBD, 2016) was used: It showed the 360 361 possible transformation of acetyl-sulfadiazine to other transformation products, e.g., 2aminopyrimidine and 4-aminobenzenesulfonic acid (Figure S6, SI). However, additional research 362 on the different transformation pathways of acetyl-sulfadiazine in the two tested MBBRs is needed 363 364 to substantiate this hypothesis. Further discussion on the biotransformation of acetyl-sulfadiazine and sulfadiazine in the two investigated MBBRs is reported in S4 in SI. 365

366

367

368 **3.2. Influence of dosed carbon-source on microbial communities**

We analyzed the biofilm microbial community of the two different MBBRs by 16S rRNA amplicon 369 370 sequencing. After implementation of quality control measures, a total of 10847 high quality sequences were obtained with an average length of 460 bp. Subsequently, the number of reads of 371 each sample was normalized to 4562 sequences and clustered into an average of 690 observed 372 373 OTUs at 97% sequence similarity per sample (cut-off level of 3%). The facultative methanolutilizing β -Proteobacteria, *Methylophilus*, was identified as the main relative abundance genus 374 (24%) in the methanol-dosed reactor (Figure 2)—a result that closely agreed with previous studies 375 376 on methanol-dosing denitrification systems (Baytshtok et al., 2009; Jenkins et al., 1987; Lu et al., 2014). For the biofilm grown in the ethanol-dosed reactor, Arcobacter and Thiothrix genus 377 exhibited 23% and 9% relative abundance, respectively. Arcobacter was previously identified in 378

379 full-scale MBBRs treating municipal wastewater (Biswas and Turner, 2012). Thiothrix is known to degrade sulfur containing compounds and it was suggested to influence the removal of sulfa-based 380 antibiotics in membrane bioreactors (Xia et al., 2012). Microbial community diversity in the two 381 MBBRs was evaluated by comparing Shannon diversity and evenness indices. We observed no 382 major difference in the methanol- and ethanol-dosed reactors between Shannon diversity indices 383 $(4.153 \pm 0.05 \text{ and } 4.184 \pm 0.03, \text{ mean and standard deviation, respectively})$ and evenness $(0.092 \pm 0.03, \text{ mean and standard deviation})$ 384 0.002 and 0.095 \pm 0.001, respectively) (Figure 2, Table S5 of the SI). Similar values of Shannon 385 386 diversity indices were found previously in aerobic nitrifying MBBRs (Bassin et al., 2015; Torresi et al., 2016). On the other hand, the extrapolated taxonomic richness ACE in the methanol- and 387 ethanol-dosed reactors were estimated to be 999 \pm 103 and 781 \pm 87 OTUs, respectively, thus 388 389 suggesting slightly differences between the microbial richness of the two biofilms (Figure 2). Similar evidence was obtained from nitrite reductase nirK- and nirS-based restriction fragment 390 length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis on activated sludge supplemented with methanol or ethanol, 391 with higher diversity in terms of richness of *nirS* genotypes observed in the methanol-dosed sludge 392 393 (Hallin et al., 2006).

394

395 3.3. Influence of dosed carbon source on micropollutants biotransformation

A comparative assessment of the estimated k_{bio} , q_{bio} and k_{Dec} values for the methanol- and ethanoldosed MBBRs is shown in Figure 3. For 9 compounds the estimated values of biotransformation and cometabolic transformation rate constants (k_{bio} and q_{bio}) for the methanol-dosed MBBR were higher (1.5 to 2.5-fold) than those from the ethanol-dosed reactor (namely atenolol, citalopram, trimethoprim, ibuprofen, iopromide, metoprolol, iohexol, iomeprol, sotalol, venlafaxine). Conversely, the sulfonamides acetyl-sulfadiazine, sulfamethoxazole, sulfamethizole were transformed at higher rate constants (up to 2.8-fold) in the ethanol-dosed reactor. The remaining 10 403 compounds behaved similarly in both reactors. We further classified the biotransformation potential 404 of the targeted micropollutants of the two denitrifying MBBRs in three groups (Table 3): easily 405 degradable (q_{bio} and $k_{bio} > 2$), moderately degradable ($0.2 \le k_{bio}$ and $q_{bio} \ge 2$) and hardly degradable 406 (k_{bio} and $q_{bio} < 0.2$).

We observed that some of the targeted chemicals classified as easily degradable (propranolol, atenolol, citalopram) presented removal rate constant k (d^{-1}) above 10 d^{-1} and similar between the two MBBRs (Table 3). As the two MBBRs presented different amount of biomass (Table 2) during batch experiment, the lower values of k_{bio} for the high degradable compounds in the ethanol-dosed reactor could mainly derive from the normalization to a higher amount of biomass prevailing in the ethanol-dosed reactor.

413 On the other hand, a number of moderately degradable chemicals (i.e., X-ray contrast media, ibuprofen, metoprolol and sotalol) were associated to k and k_{bio} values approximately two times 414 higher in the methanol-dosed than in the ethanol-dosed reactor. The two biofilm microbial 415 communities likely played an important role on the biotransformation of these chemicals. As 416 previously described (Section 3.2), the higher microbial richness observed in the biofilm enriched 417 418 with methanol could have likely contributed to the overall higher micropollutant biotransformation 419 in the methanol-dosed MBBR. Similarly, positive associations between biodiversity and the rates of specific micropollutant biotransformations were observed in activated sludge (Johnson et al., 2015; 420 421 Stadler and Love, 2016) and MBBR (Torresi et al., 2016).

No major differences were observed between the biotransformation rate constants k_{bio} of the hardly
degradable compounds (diatrizoic acid, iopamidol, diclofenac and phenazone) in the two MBBRs,
suggesting that their removal is neither biomass nor carbon source dependent.

426 **3.4 Highlighted compounds**

Among the X-ray contrast media, iopamidol and diatrizoic acid were found to be recalcitrant in both reactors during batch experiment (Figure S1, SI), while iomeprol, iohexol and iopromide were found moderately degradable (Figure 1d). These results are in agreement with previous studies conducted with aerobic MBBRs (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a; Hapeshi et al., 2013). Our results show that denitrifying MBBRs could effectively remove iomeprol, iohexol and iopamidol, with k_{bio} comparable to studies on activated sludge (Joss et al., 2006; Onesios et al., 2009)

433 The analgesic ibuprofen exhibited lower biotransformation rate constants (Table 3) than the ones obtained in previous studies under aerobic conditions (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a,b; Falås et al., 434 2012; Torresi et al., 2016) as ibuprofen is easily degraded under aerobic conditions. Other 435 436 analgesics, i.e., phenazone and diclofenac, have also previously observed to be hardly degradable in both aerobic MBBR and activated sludge (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a; Joss et al., 2006). 437 Nevertheless, k_{bio} values for diclofenac were reported to be higher under nitrifying conditions in 438 both biofilms and activate sludge (Torresi et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2009) than as obtained in this 439 study under denitrifying conditions, thus indicating limited diclofenac removal under anoxic 440 conditions. 441

442

In the batch experiment, citalopram was fully removed in both reactors within 0.4 days (Figure 1b), resulting in a k_{bio} of 2.3 L d⁻¹ $g_{biomass}^{-1}$ (Table 3). Similar biotransformation kinetics was found in aerobic MBBR (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a) and sludge (Suárez et al., 2012), while anoxic CAS showed lower kientics compared to the one obtained in th anoxic MBBRs of this study. At HRTs higher than 0.4 days, a removal efficiency of 65% was achieved in a complete autotrophic nitrogen removal process (Alvarino et al., 2015). In our study, predicted removal efficiency of citalopram (at HRT of 0.4 days) was calculated to be >80% in both reactors (Equation 1) during continuous-flow 450 operation. Furthermore, in denitrifying activated sludge reactors a k_{bio} of 0.5 L d⁻¹ g_{biomass}⁻¹ was 451 obtained for citalopram (Suárez et al., 2010) and a removal of 44 % under anoxic condition (Suárez 452 et al., 2010).

453

The removal of carbamazepine and venlafaxine (with q_{bio} ranging between 1.1 and 1.9 L g⁻¹ d⁻¹) 454 followed the kinetics described by the cometabolic model in our study, as suggested previously (see 455 Section 3.1.2). With respect to biotransformation kinetics, only one study showed cometabolic 456 biotransformation rate constants of up to 2 L g⁻¹ d⁻¹ for carbamazepine in aerobic and anoxic 457 activated sludge (Plósz et al., 2012). On the other hand biotransformations rate constants equal to 458 0.9 L g⁻¹ d⁻¹ in aerobic MBBR have been reported (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a). In our study we thus 459 460 observed 30 % removal of carbamazepine during batch experiments, which is in agreement with previous studies on MBBR (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a) and activated sludge (Dawas-Massalha et 461 al., 2014; Luo et al., 2014; Zupanc et al., 2013). Thus, low removal of carbamazepine in the tested 462 MBBRs in batch and continuous-flow experiments may be attributed to the limited transformation 463 in the absence of primary substrates. 464

465

The biotransformation of the targeted sulfonamides was enhanced in the ethanol-dosed MBBR (up 466 to 1.8-fold higher). As the ethanol-dosed MBBR showed the highest denitrification rates ($r_{NO3.2-N}$) 467 468 during the batch experiment, the removal of the targeted sulfonamides may be associated with primary metabolism rather than biofilm composition (i.e., biodiversity). Interestingly, negative 469 correlation between biotransformation kinetics of sulfonamides and biodiversity was also observed 470 471 in nitrifying MBBRs, and their removal was enhanced at higher nitrification rates in thin biofilms (Torresi et al., 2016). Similarly, the removal of sulfamethoxazole has been previously shown to be 472 dependent on the primary metabolism under anoxic condition in activated sludge, while negligible 473

effect of primary substrate was observed under nitrifying condition (Alvarino et al., 2016). This
indicates that sulfonamide removal may be influenced by primary metabolism in both nitrifying and
denitrifying conditions.

477

Finally, while k_{bio} of clarithromycin and erythromycin was found comparable to studies on aerobic
MBBRs (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a), trimethoprim removal occurred with a higher k_{bio} under
denitrification conditions than in aerobic MBBRs (Escolà Casas et al., 2015a; Falås et al., 2013).

482 **3.5 Impact of carbon dosing during continuous-flow operation**

A continuous-flow experiment tested different dosing conditions of organic carbon in terms of primary substrate (methanol or ethanol) and influent loading (variable COD_{added}/NO_3 -N_{influent} ratio) without adaptation of the biofilm as described in Section 2.6 (details in Section S7, SI). The removal efficiency of micropollutants did not present any correlation with the tested COD_{added}/NO_3 -N_{influent} ratios and did not significantly differ between the two types of carbon sources (Figure S8, SI). Notably, only trimethoprim removal increased with increasing carbon availability in the ethanol-dosed reactor.

490

Both MBBR systems exhibited denitrification rates directly proportional to the COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratio (Figure S3, SI). However, at COD_{added}/NO₃-N_{influent} ratios higher than 4.8 and 3.8 for the methanol- and the ethanol-dosed MBBR, respectively, denitrification rates did not increase and similar effluent concentrations of COD were measured for both MBBRs (estimated to be equal to the inert soluble COD in the influent medium) (Figure S4, SI). This indicates that excess COD dosing during continuous-flow operation could have been used for internal storage rather than as primary energy source. This has been previously observed under substrate feast-famine cycles in 498 continuously operated activated sludge (Beun et al., 2000). Similarly, feast-famine conditions 499 associated to change from high to low $COD_{added}/NO_3-N_{influent}$ ratio during continuous-flow operation 500 might have influenced the performance of the two post-denitrifying MBBRs in this study.

501

Furthermore, the continuous-flow operation experiment was carried out at HRT of 2 h, simulating 502 HRTs typically operated in denitrification stages in full scale WWTPs, and which might have been 503 too short to observe differences in the removal of micropollutants. In fact, the batch experiment 504 505 showed that the removal of most of the targeted micropollutants (with the exception of the compounds removed through cometabolism) continued after 2 h from the start of the experiment 506 (Figure 1), suggesting a possible removal enhancement at higher HRT. Accordingly, the increase of 507 508 HRT has been found to enhance the removal of a number of micropollutants in activated sludge (Maurer et al., 2007; Petrie et al., 2014) and MBBR (Mazioti et al., 2015). 509

510

511 **3.6.** Comparison of the batch and the continuous-flow experiment

Figure 4 compares the measured removal efficiencies under continuous -flow operation with the 512 513 predicted removal efficiencies. The predicted values were calculated using the removal rates (k) estimated in the batch experiment according to first-order kinetics (Table 3). As presented in Figure 514 4, the removal rates (k) estimated from batch experiments allowed predicting of the elimination of 515 516 most of the targeted compounds in continuous-flow operation. However, predicted removal efficiencies did not match the measurements for a number of micropollutants, i.e. sulfamethoxazole, 517 carbamazepine, atenolol and trimethoprim. A possible explanation for this discrepancy might be 518 519 that the removal rates (k) used to predict the removals were obtained by fitting the first order kinetics, while in reality for some compounds cometabolic or deconjugation approaches are more 520 appropriate. 521

As the biotransformation kinetics of most of the compounds could be described with a first-order 523 524 equation (Table 1, process 2 and Figure 1), it could be predicted that an HRT of 2 h (0.083 d) would only allow a partial removal of the easily biodegradable compounds (e.g., atenolol, trimethoprim 525 and citalopram) in the continuous-flow experiment (Figure 4). For the compounds following this 526 type of biotransformation kinetics, it could be predicted (Equation 1, Section 2.6) that the increase 527 of the HRT up to 6 hours (0.25 d) would improve the removal efficiency by about 20%, achieving 528 529 high removals in both reactors (>70%) for all the compounds listed as "easily biodegradable" in 530 Table 3.

531

532 4. Conclusions

In order to investigate the removal of micropollutants in denitrifying Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors
(MBBRs), two laboratory-scale MBBRs were tested using nitrified effluent wastewater dosed with
methanol and ethanol, respectively. The following conclusions have been drawn:

536

According to the batch experiment, all targeted micropollutants showed biotransformation rate
 constants over 0.2 L d⁻¹g_{biomass}⁻¹ under denitrifying condition, except for diclofenac, phenazone,
 diatrizoic acid and iopamidol, which were found to be recalcitrant. Accordingly, it has been
 suggested that that HRTs of approximately 6 h could considerably enhance the removal of most
 of the targeted micropollutants.

542

The biotransformation rate constants in the methanol-dosed MBBR were 1.5 to 2.5-fold higher
 than in the ethanol-dosed MBBR for 9 out of the 22 spiked pharmaceutical. Oppositely, the
 sulfonamides acetyl-sulfadiazine, sulfamethoxazole, sulfamethizole were transformed at higher

- 546 biotransformation rate constants in the ethanol-dosed MBBR. The rest of the compounds547 presented similar biotransformation in both reactors.
- 548
- The removal of venlafaxine, carbamazepine, sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethizole was most likely enhanced by the presence of organic growth substrates in the beginning of the batch experiment, suggesting cometabolic removal for these compounds.
- 552
- The continuous-flow experiment conducted at conditions typically operated in full-scale
 WWTPs (i.e., HRT =2h) did not show significant correlation between the removal efficiency of
 micropollutants and the increase of carbon dosage or type.
- 556

557 **5. Acknowledgements**

This research was supported by (i) the AUFF grant: advanced water purification using bio-inorganic nanocatalysts and soil filters; and (ii) MERMAID: an Initial Training Network funded by the People Programme (Marie-Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n. 607492. The authors are thankful for technical assistance provided by VA SYD at Sjölunda and Klagshamn (Malmö) wastewater treatment plants.

5	6	5
J	υ	J

566	Alvarez-Cohen, L., Speitel, G.E., 2001. Kinetics of aerobic cometabolism of chlorinated solvents.
567	Biodegradation 12 (2), 105–126.

- Alvarino, T., Nastold, P., Suárez, S., Omil, F., Corvini, P.F.X., Bouju, H., 2016. Role of
 biotransformation, sorption and mineralization of 14C-labelled sulfamethoxazole under
 different redox conditions. Science of Total Environment 542, 706–715.
- 571 Alvarino, T., Suárez, S., Katsou, E., Vazquez-Padin, J., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2015. Removal of
- 572 PPCPs from the sludge supernatant in a one stage nitritation/anammox process. Water
 573 Research. 68, 701–709. d
- 574 Anthony, C., 1982. The Biochemistry of Methylotrophs. Academic Press. London

Anthony, C., 2011. How half a century of research was required to understand bacterial growth on C1 and C2 compounds; the story of the serine cycle and the ethylmalonyl-CoA pathway. Science. Progress. 94, 109–37.

- Bassin, J.P., Abbas, B., Vilela, C.L.S., Kleerebezem, R., Muyzer, G., Rosado, a. S., van
 Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Dezotti, M., 2015. Tracking the dynamics of heterotrophs and nitrifiers
 in moving-bed biofilm reactors operated at different COD/N ratios. Bioresource Technology
 192 (3), 131–141.
- Baytshtok, V., Lu, H., Park, H., Kim, S., Yu, R., Chandran, K., 2009. Impact of varying electron
 donors on the molecular microbial ecology and biokinetics of methylotrophic denitrifying
 bacteria. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 102 (6), 1527–1536.

- Beun, J.J., Paletta, F., Van Loosdrecht, M.C., Heijnen, J.J., 2000. Stoichiometry and kinetics of
 poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate metabolism in aerobic, slow growing, activated sludge cultures.
 Biotechnology and Bioengineering 67 (4), 379–89.
- Biswas, K., Turner, S.J., 2012. Microbial community composition and dynamics of moving bed
 biofilm reactor systems treating municipal sewage. Applied Environmental Microbiology 78
 (3), 855–64.
- 591 Christensson, M., Lie, E., Welander, T., 1944. A comparison between ethanol and methanol as
 592 carbon sources for denitrification. Water Science and Technology 30 (6), 83-90.
- Clara, M., Strenn, B., Gans, O., Martinez, E., Kreuzinger, N., Kroiss, H., 2005. Removal of selected
 pharmaceuticals, fragrances and endocrine disrupting compounds in a membrane bioreactor
 and conventional wastewater treatment plants. Water Research 39 (19), 4797–807.
- 596 Criddle, C.S., 1993. The kinetics of cometabolism. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 41 (11),
 597 1048–56.
- 598 Cumming, G., Fidler, F., Vaux, D.L., 2007. Error bars in experimental biology. Journal of Cell
 599 Biology 177 (1), 7–11.
- Dawas-Massalha, A., Gur-Reznik, S., Lerman, S., Sabbah, I., Dosoretz, C.G., 2014. Co-metabolic
 oxidation of pharmaceutical compounds by a nitrifying bacterial enrichment. Bioresource and
 Technology. 167, 336–42.
- Delgadillo-Mirquez, L., Lardon, L., Steyer, J.P., Patureau, D., 2011. A new dynamic model for
 bioavailability and cometabolism of micropollutants during anaerobic digestion. Water
 Research 45 (15), 4511–4521.

- Daughton, C.G., Ternes, T.A., 1999. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the
 environment: agents of subtle change? Environmental Health Perspectives. 907–38.
- Escolà Casas, M.E., Chhetri, R.K., Ooi, G., Hansen, K.M.S., Litty, K., Christensson, M.,
 Kragelund, C., Andersen, H.R., Bester, K., 2015a. Biodegradation of pharmaceuticals in
 hospital wastewater by staged Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors (MBBR). Water Research. 83,
 293–302.
- Escolà Casas, M., Chhetri, R.K., Ooi, G., Hansen, K.M.S., Litty, K., Christensson, M., Kragelund,
 C., Andersen, H.R., Bester, K., 2015b. Biodegradation of pharmaceuticals in hospital
 wastewater by a hybrid biofilm and activated sludge system (Hybas). Science of Total
 Environment. 530-531, 383–392.
- Falås, P., Baillon-Dhumez, A, Andersen, H.R., Ledin, A la Cour Jansen, J., 2012. Suspended
 biofilm carrier and activated sludge removal of acidic pharmaceuticals. Water Research. 46
 (4), 1167–75.
- Falås, P., Longrée, P., la Cour Jansen, J., Siegrist, H., Hollender, J., Joss, A, 2013. Micropollutant
 removal by attached and suspended growth in a hybrid biofilm-activated sludge process. Water
 Research 47 (13), 4498–506.
- Fernandez-Fontaina, E., Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., 2014. Modelling cometabolic
 biotransformation of organic micropollutants in nitrifying reactors. Water Research 65C, 371–
 383.
- Fischer, K., Majewsky, M., 2014. Cometabolic degradation of organic wastewater micropollutants
 by activated sludge and sludge-inherent microorganisms. Applied Microbiology and

627 Biotechnology 98 (15), 6583–97.

- Göbel, A., McArdell, C.S., Joss, A., Siegrist, H., Giger, W., 2007. Fate of sulfonamides,
 macrolides, and trimethoprim in different wastewater treatment technologies. Science of Total
 Environment 372 (2-3), 361–371.
- Hagman, M., Nielsen, J.L., Nielsen, P.H., La, J., Jansen, C., 2007. Mixed carbon sources for nitrate
 reduction in activated sludge-identification of bacteria and process activity studies. Water
 Environmen Research 42, 1539–1546
- Hallin, S., Throbäck, I.N., Dicksved, J., Pell, M., 2006. Metabolic profiles and genetic diversity of
 denitrifying communities in activated sludge after addition of methanol or ethanol. Applied
 Microbiology and Biotechnology 72 (8), 5445–52.
- Hapeshi, E., Lambrianides, A., Koutsoftas, P., Kastanos, E., Michael, C., Fatta-Kassinos, D., 2013.
 Investigating the fate of iodinated X-ray contrast media iohexol and diatrizoate during
 microbial degradation in an MBBR system treating urban wastewater. Environmental Science
 and Pollution Research 20 (6), 3592–606.
- Hiatt, W.C., Grady, C.P.L., 2008. An Updated Process Model for Carbon Oxidation, Nitrification,
 and Denitrification. Water Environmen Research 80 (11), 2145–2156.
- Hill, M., 1973. Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. Ecology 54 (2),
 427–432.
- Jenkins, O., Byrom, D., Jones, D., 1987. Methylophilus a New Genus of Methanol-Utilizing
 Bacteria. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology. 37 (4), 446–448.

647	Johnson, D.R., Helbling, D.E., Lee, T.K., Park, J., Fenner, K., Kohler, H.P.E., Ackermann, M.,
648	2015. Association of biodiversity with the rates of micropollutant biotransformations among
649	full-scale wastewater treatment plant communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
650	81 (2), 666–675.

- Joss, A., Siegrist, H., Ternes, T.A., 2008. Are we about to upgrade wastewater treatment for
 removing organic micropollutants? Water Science and Technology 57, 251–5.
- Joss, A., Zabczynski, S., Göbel, A., Hoffmann, B., Löffler, D., McArdell, C.S., Ternes, T. a.,
 Thomsen, A., Siegrist, H., 2006. Biological degradation of pharmaceuticals in municipal
 wastewater treatment: Proposing a classification scheme. Water Research 40 (8), 1686–1696.
- Kassotaki, E., Buttiglieri, G., Ferrando-Climent, L., Rodriguez-Roda, I., Pijuan, M., 2016.
 Enhanced sulfamethoxazole degradation through ammonia oxidizing bacteria co-metabolism
 and fate of transformation products. Water Research.
- Liu, L., Binning, P.J., Smets, B.F., 2015. Evaluating alternate biokinetic models for trace pollutant
 cometabolism. Environmental Science and Technology 49 (4), 2230–2236.
- Louzeiro, N., 2002. Methanol-induced biological nutrient removal kinetics in a full-scale
 sequencing batch reactor. Water Research. 36 (11), 2721–2732.
- Lu, H., Chandran, K., Stensel, D., 2014. Microbial ecology of denitrification in biological
 wastewater treatment. Water Research. 64, 237–254.
- Luo, Y., Guo, W., Ngo, H.H., Nghiem, L.D., Hai, F.I., Kang, J., Xia, S., Zhang, Z., Price, W.E.,
 2014. Removal and fate of micropollutants in a sponge-based moving bed bioreactor.
 Bioresource Technology 159, 311–9.

- Maurer, M., Escher, B.I., Richle, P., Schaffner, C., Alder, a C., 2007. Elimination of beta-blockers
 in sewage treatment plants. Water Research. 41 (7), 1614–22.
- Mazioti, A. a., Stasinakis, A.S., Pantazi, Y., Andersen, H.R., 2015. Biodegradation of
 benzotriazoles and hydroxy-benzothiazole in wastewater by activated sludge and moving bed
 biofilm reactor systems. Bioresource Technology 192, 627–635.
- Mokhayeri, Y., Riffat, R., Murthy, S., Bailey, W., Takacs, I., Bott, C., 2009. Balancing yield,
 kinetics and cost for three external carbon sources used for suspended growth postdenitrification. Water Science and Technology 60, 2485–91.
- Müller, E., Schüssler, W., Horn, H., Lemmer, H., 2013. Aerobic biodegradation of the sulfonamide
 antibiotic sulfamethoxazole by activated sludge applied as co-substrate and sole carbon and
 nitrogen source. Chemosphere 92, 969–78.
- Onesios, K.M., Yu, J.T., Bouwer, E.J., 2009. Biodegradation and removal of pharmaceuticals and
 personal care products in treatment systems: a review. Biodegradation 20 (4), 441–466.
- Pan, Y., Ni, B.-J., Lu, H., Chandran, K., Richardson, D., Yuan, Z., 2015. Evaluating two concepts
 for the modelling of intermediates accumulation during biological denitrification in wastewater
 treatment. Water Research. 71, 21–31.
- Petrie, B., McAdam, E.J., Lester, J.N., Cartmell, E., 2014. Assessing potential modifications to the
 activated sludge process to improve simultaneous removal of a diverse range of
 micropollutants. Water Research, 180-92.
- Plósz, B.G., Benedetti, L., Daigger, G.T., Langford, K.H., Larsen, H.F., Monteith, H., Ort, C., Seth,
 R., Steyer, J.-P., Vanrolleghem, P. a, 2013. Modelling micro-pollutant fate in wastewater

collection and treatment systems: status and challenges. Water Science and Technology 67, 1–
15.

- Plósz, B.G., Langford, K.H., Thomas, K. V, 2012. An activated sludge modeling framework for
 xenobiotic trace chemicals (ASM-X): assessment of diclofenac and carbamazepine.
 Biotechnology and Bioengineering 109 (11), 2757–69.
- Plósz, B.G., Leknes, H., Thomas, K. V, 2010. Impacts of competitive inhibition, parent compound
 formation and partitioning behavior on the removal of antibiotics in municipal wastewater
 treatment. Environmental Science and Technology 44 (2), 734–42.
- Polesel, F., Andersen, H.R., Trapp, S., Plosz, B.G., 2016. Removal of antibiotics in biological
 wastewater treatment systems A critical assessment using the Activated Sludge Modelling
 framework for Xenobiotics (ASM-X). Environmental Science and Technology 50 (19),
 10316–10334
- Popat, S.C., Deshusses, M.A., 2011. Kinetics and inhibition of reductive dechlorination of
 trichloroethene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene and vinyl chloride in a continuously fed anaerobic
 biofilm reactor. Environmental Science and Technology 45 (84), 1569–1578.
- Reichert, P., 1994. Aquasim a tool for simulation and data-analysis of aquatic systems. Water
 Science and Technology 30 (2), 21 30.
- Rittmann, B.E., 1992. Microbiological detoxification of hazardous organic contaminants: the
 crucial role of substrate interactions. Water Science and Technology 25, 403–410.
- Roeleveld, P.J., Van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., 2002. Experience with guidelines for wastewater
 characterisation in The Netherlands. Water Science and Technology 45 (6), 77–87.

710	Santos, S.G., Zaiat, M., Varesche, M.B., Foresti, E., 2001. Comparative research on the use of
711	methanol , ethanol and methane as electron donors for denitrification. Environmental
712	Engineering Science 21 (39), 313-320.

- Sözen, S., Çokgör, E.U., Orhon, D., Henze, M., 1998. Respirometric analysis of activated sludge
 behaviour—II. Heterotrophic growth under aerobic and anoxic conditions. Water Research. 32,
 476–488.
- Stadler, L.B., Love, N.G., 2016. Impact of microbial physiology and microbial community structure
 on pharmaceutical fate driven by dissolved oxygen concentration in nitrifying bioreactors.
 Water Research. 104, 189–199.
- Su, L., Aga, D., Chandran, K., Khunjar, W.O., 2015. Factors impacting biotransformation kinetics
 of trace organic compounds in lab-scale activated sludge systems performing nitrification and
 denitrification. Journal Hazardaus Material 282, 116–24.
- Suárez, S., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2010. Removal of Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products (
 PPCPs) under nitrifying and denitrifying conditions. Water Research 44 (10), 3214–3224.
- Suárez, S., Ramil, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., 2005. Removal of pharmaceutically active compounds
 in nitrifying-denitrifying plants. Water Science and Technology 52 (8), 9–14.
- Suárez, S., Reif, R., Lema, J.M., Omil, F., 2012. Mass balance of pharmaceutical and personal care
 products in a pilot-scale single-sludge system: influence of T, SRT and recirculation ratio.
 Chemosphere 89 (2), 164–71.
- Torresi, E., Fowler, J.S., Polesel, F., Bester, K., Andersen, H.R., Smets, B.F., Plosz, B.G.,
 Christensson, M., 2016. Biofilm thickness influences biodiversity in nitrifying MBBRs –

- 731 Implications on micropollutant removal. Environmental Science and Technology. 50 (17),
 732 9279–9288
- Tran, N.H., Urase, T., Kusakabe, O., 2009. The characteristics of enriched nitrifier culture in the
 degradation of selected pharmaceutically active compounds. Journal of Hazardous Material
 171 (1-3), 1051–7.
- Tran, N.H., Urase, T., Ngo, H.H., Hu, J., Ong, S.L., 2013. Insight into metabolic and cometabolic
 activities of autotrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms in the biodegradation of emerging
 trace organic contaminants. Bioresource Technology. 146, 721–31.
- Vree, T.B., de Ven, E.S. van, Verwey-van Wissen, C.P.W.G.M., Baars, A.M., Swolfs, A., van
 Galen, P.M., Amatdjais-Groenen, H., 1995. Isolation, identification and determination of
 sulfadiazine and its hydroxy metabolites and conjugates from man and Rhesus monkey by
 high-performance liquid chromatography. Journal of Chromatography B: Biomedical Sciences
 and Applications 670 (1), 111–123.
- Wittebolle, L., Marzorati, M., Clement, L., Balloi, A., Daffonchio, D., Heylen, K., De Vos, P.,
 Verstraete, W., Boon, N., 2009. Initial community evenness favours functionality under
 selective stress. Nature 458 (72238), 623–6.
- Xia, S., Jia, R., Feng, F., Xie, K., Li, H., Jing, D., Xu, X., 2012. Effect of solids retention time on
 antibiotics removal performance and microbial communities in an A/O-MBR process.
 Bioresource Technology. 106, 36–43.
- Zarfl, C., Klasmeier, J., Matthies, M., 2009. A conceptual model describing the fate of sulfadiazine
 and its metabolites observed in manure-amended soils. Chemosphere 77 (6), 720–726.

752	Zupanc, M., Kosjek, T., Petkovšek, M., Dular, M., Kompare, B., Širok, B., Blažeka, Ž., Heath, E.,
753	2013. Removal of pharmaceuticals from wastewater by biological processes, hydrodynamic
754	cavitation and UV treatment. Ultrason. Sonochem. 20, 1104–12.
755	
756	
757	
758	Web references
759	EAWAG-BBD Pathway Prediction System. http://eawag-bbd.ethz.ch/predict/index.html (Accessed
760	February 24, 2016). (2016)

762 **Tables**

763 Table 1. Stoichiometric (Gujer) matrix of the ASM-X (which includes processes such as parent 764 compound retransformation, biotransformation and the cometabolic model) and two-step denitrifying model used in this study. 765

Stoichiometric coefficients: A= $(1-Y_H)/(1.143 * Y_H)$; B = $(1-Y_H)/(1.713 * Y_H)$; F = ratio between molecular 766 mass of parent compound and metabolite undergoing deconjugation. Parameters and state variables 767 for determination of micropollutant kinetics are described in the main text. Parameters and state 768 variables for the denitrifying model are defined in Table S1 in Supplementary Information. For 769 estimation of denitrification kinetics, biomass concentration X_H is expressed in gCOD L⁻¹; *Due to 770 short duration of the batch experiment and low S/X ratio, negligible biomass growth was assumed. 771

772

(i) Component \rightarrow i	C_{LI}	C_{CJ}	S _{NO3}	S _{NO2}	S _{N2}	Ss	X _{biomass}	Process rate
(j)Processes ↓								
Micropollutants kinetics								
⁽¹⁾ Parent compound retransformation	F	-1						$\frac{k_{Dec}C_{CJ}X_{biomass}}{1+K_DX_{biomass}}$
⁽²⁾ Biotransformation	-1							$\frac{k_{bio}C_{LI}X_{biomass}}{1+K_DX_{biomass}}$
⁽³⁾ Cometabolism	-1							$\frac{q_{bio}(\mathrm{Ss}/(\mathrm{Ss}+K_{S}))+k_{bio}}{1+K_{D}X_{biomass}}C_{II}X_{biomass}$
			Denitri	ificatio	n kine	tics		
R1			-A	+A		-1/Y _H	*	$\mu_{H}\eta_{g1}X_{H}\frac{Ss}{K_{S1}*S_{S}}\frac{S_{NO3}}{K_{NO3}^{HB}*S_{NO3}}$
R2				-В	+B	-1/Y _H	*	$\mu_{H}\eta_{g2}X_{H}\frac{Ss}{K_{S2}*S_{S}}\frac{S_{NO2}}{K_{NO2}^{HB}*S_{NO2}}$
R1: Anoxic growth of he	terotro	phs, re	ducing	nitrate	to nitr	ite (NO ₃	-> NO ₂)	
R2: Anoxic growth of he	terotro	phs, re	ducing	nitrite t	o nitro	gen (N	$O_2^> N_2$)	

773

775 Table 2. Values of denitrification rates normalized by carriers surface area $(r_{NO3,2-N})$ and biomass 776 concentration $(k_{NO3,2-N})$ measured during batch experiments. SA: total surface area of carriers in the 777 MBBRs.

778

MBBB	SA	Biomass	r _{NO3,2} -N	k _{NO3,2} -N	
WDDR	(m ²)	(g L ⁻¹)	$(gNO_{3,2}-N m^{-2} d^{-1})$	$(gNO_{3,2}-N g_{biomass}^{-1} d^{-1})$	
Methanol-dosed	0.2	3.28 ±0.93	1.77 ± 0.92	0.11 ± 0.06	
Ethanol-dosed	0.2	4.20 ±0.25	2.32 ± 0.62	0.11 ± 0.03	

779

781Table 3. Values of k, k_{bio} , q_{bio} and k_{Dec} estimated for the two MBBRs from the data obtained in the782batch experiments. "k" defines the removal rate constant obtained following single first-order783kinetics and not accounting for biomass concentration and sorption processes. " k_{bio} " and " q_{bio} " refer784to removal rate constants normalized for biomass and sorption processes. Unindexed values785correspond to " k_{bio} " (Biotransformation process, Table 1, Process 2). Index (1) indicates the case of786retransformation rate constant " k_{Dec} " of acetyl-sulfadiazine to sulfadiazine (Transformation process,787Table 1, Process 1). Index (2) refers to the cometabolic rate constant " q_{bio} " (Cometabolism, Table 1,788Process 3). The following abbreviations are used: n.d. = not degradable, SD =standard deviation.

789

	Methanol-dosed MBBR		Ethanol-dosed MBBR		
Compound	k ± SD (d ⁻¹)	$k_{bio}, q_{bio} \pm SD$ (L d ⁻¹ g _{biomass} ⁻¹)	k ± SD (d ⁻¹)	$k_{bio}, q_{bio} \pm SD$ $(Ld^{-1}g_{biomass}^{-1})$	
Easily degradable; k _{bio,}	q _{bio} >2				
Propranolol	17.8 ± 0.2	12.9 ± 1.3	17.7 ± 0.2	11.7 ± 0.7	
Atenolol	17.8 ± 0.2	6.4 ± 0.6	17.6 ± 0.2	5.1 ± 0.3	
Citalopram	14.2 ± 0.9	4.3 ± 0.5	12.3 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.1	
Trimethoprim	13.6 ± 0.1	4.1 ± 0.4	9.0 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.1	
Acetyl-sulfadiazine	12.1±0.3	$3.7 \pm 0.4^{(1)}$	17.6 ± 0.2	$4.2 \pm 0.3^{(1)}$	
Moderately degradable;	$0.2 \leq k_{bio}, q_{bio} \leq 2$	2			
Ibuprofen	4.6 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.03	
Clarithromycin	2.9 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.2 ⁽²⁾ 0.6 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.9	$0.9 \pm 0.2^{(2)}$ 0.4 ± 0.1	
lopromide	3.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	
Metoprolol	2.6 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.03	
Iohexol	2.3 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	
Iomeprol	2.1 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	
Sotalol	1.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02	
Erythromycin	1.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1 ⁽²⁾ 0.2 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1 ⁽²⁾ 0.2 ± 0.1	
Venlafaxine	6.0 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.2 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	
Carbamazepine	3.9 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.3 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	
Sulfamethoxazole	5.6 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.2 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	13.5 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.2 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	
Sulfamethizole	5.8 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 0.2 ⁽²⁾ 0.1 ± 0.1	13.8 ± 0.8	$3.3 \pm 0.2^{(2)}$ 0	
Sulfadiazine	1.9 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.2	
Hardly or non-degradat	ole; k _{bio} , q _{bio} <0.2				
Diatrizoic acid	0.3 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	
lopamidol	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.02	0.1 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	
Diclofenac	n d	nd	nd	nd	

Phenazone

n.d.

n.d.

n.d.

n.d.

791 Figures



792

793 Figure 1. Batch experiment results for selected compounds. On the X-axes "Time (d)" designates the sampling 794 time-points. On the Y-axes "Relative concentration" refers to concentrations normalized to the measured 795 starting concentrations. Symbols refer to measurements while lines refer to modelling. [a] Macro-pollutants. 796 Readily biodegradable substrate (Ss) is only modelled. [b-d] Solid lines: modelled concentrations assuming 797 cometabolism (process 3, Table 1). Dashed lines: concentrations according to the biotransformation model 798 (process 2, Table 1). [e] Solid lines: biotransformation-retransformation model (process 1, Table 1) assuming 799 deconjugation of acetyl-sulfadiazine to sulfadiazine. Dashed lines: biotransformation model (process 2, Table 800 1), [f] Solid lines: concentrations according to the biotransformation model (process 2, Table 1).



802

Figure 2. Order-level taxonomic classification of 16S rRNA amplicons of the two MBBRs. The three most abundant orders are reported also at genus level (*Methylophiulus, Arcobacter* and *Thiotrix*).

Taxa abundance is expressed in percentage (second left axis). Alpha-diversity is measured as ACE

807 extrapolated richness (first left axis) and Shannon diversity index (right axis).





815 when not specified, symbols refer to estimated k_{bio}.





819 Figure 4. Measured mean removal efficiency of micropollutants of all the tested COD_{added}/NO³-N influent ratios (presented in Table S3, SI) during the whole continuous-flow experiment, taking into account that no correlation was found between micropollutant removal and COD_{added}/NO³-N influent ratios. The measured removals were calculated as difference between influent and effluent concentration, expressed as a percentage. Predicted removal was based on removal rate constants k (d⁻¹) derived from batch experiments, calculated according to Equation 1 in Section 2.6.