

Technical University of Denmark



Generator bearing defect development based on discrete fault stages

Skrimpas, Georgios Alexandros; Dragiev, Ivaylo G.; Nezeritis, Nikolaos; Marhadi, Kun Saptohartyadi; Holbøll, Joachim

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Skrimpas, G. A., Dragiev, I. G., Nezeritis, N., Marhadi, K. S., & Holbøll, J. (2016). Generator bearing defect development based on discrete fault stages. Poster session presented at WindEurope 2016, Hamburg, Germany.

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Generator bearing defect development based on discrete fault stages

Introduction

CMS is employed by OEM and O&O as part of the condition based maintenance strategy, both in onshore and offshore wind farms. The main objectives are:

1. Reduce cost of energy (CoE)
2. Increase energy and time availability
3. Optimize maintenance and component replacement

Commonly, vibration-based CMS is applied on monitoring of the main drive-train components and tower oscillations.

Generator bearing monitoring

Monitoring of generator bearings is performed by radially installed accelerometers close to the load zone. A wide variety of faults is detectable, such as

- ✓ subcomponents defects (ball, cage, inner & outer race)
- ✓ rotor dynamic faults (imbalance, misalignment, looseness)
- ✓ slip ring unit malfunction in DFIGs




Fig. 1: Positioning of accelerometers and main parts of a DFIG

Severity estimation

B&K Vibro CMS combines an automated alarm generation system with operator interaction in alerting, diagnosing and evaluating the severity of a developing fault. Four discrete severity levels are employed, providing suggestions on the criticality of a fault and lead time to inspection and planning of any required maintenance needs.

Severity	Type	Description	Recommended Action
1	Danger	Severe progressing alarm	Immediate action. Operating the turbine has serious risk of functional loss and possible severe consequential damage.
2	Alert	Considerable progressing alarm	Action as soon as possible. Recommended within 2-4 weeks.
3	Alert	Progressing alarm	Action when convenient. Recommended within 2-4 months.
4	Alert	Small or none progressing alarm	No Action Required / No Feedback Required

Development of bearing faults

Data set consists of:

- 119 bearing defects (mainly BPFI), which have lead to
- 340 alarm reports of various severity.

The main observations are:

- Sev4 → Sev3: 80% of faults are upgraded within 10 months - 60% within 4 months
- Sev3 → Sev2: 80% of faults are upgraded within 4 months - 60% within 2 months
- Sev2 → Sev1: 85% of faults are upgraded within 2 months




Fig. 2: CDF of time interval for a fault to be upgraded from Severity 4 (lowest) to Severity 3




Fig. 3: CDF of time interval for a fault to be upgraded from Severity 3 to Severity 2




Fig. 4: CDF of time interval for a fault to be upgraded from Severity 2 to Severity 1 (highest)

Conclusions

- Fault progression is faster as higher severity levels are reached
- Upgrade time is consistent with provided lead time