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# ON THE MINIMUM NUMBER OF SPANNING TREES IN $k$-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS 

S. OK AND C. THOMASSEN


#### Abstract

We show that a $k$-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices has at least $n(k / 2)^{n-1}$ spanning trees. This bound is tight if $k$ is even and the extremal graph is the $n$-cycle with edge-multiplicities $k / 2$. For $k$ odd, however, there is a lower bound $c_{k}^{n-1}$ where $c_{k}>k / 2$. Specifically, $c_{3}>1.77$ and $c_{5}>2.75$. Not surprisingly, $c_{3}$ is smaller than the corresponding number for 4 -edge-connected graphs. Examples show that $c_{3} \leq \sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}} \approx 1.93$.

However, we have no examples of 5 -edge-connected graphs with fewer spanning trees than the $n$-cycle with all edge-multiplicities (except one) equal to 3 , which is almost 6 -regular. We have no examples of 5 -regular 5 -edge-connected graphs with fewer than $3.09^{n-1}$ spanning trees which is more than the corresponding number for 6 -regular 6 -edge-connected graphs. The analogous surprising phenomenon occurs for each higher odd edge-connectivity and regularity.


## 1. Introduction

Every connected graph has a spanning tree, that is, a connected subgraph with no cycles containing all vertices of the graph. The number of spanning trees, denoted $\tau(G)$, is of importance in electrical networks, in particular, for expressing driving point resistances (effective resistances); see e.g. [9]. Kostochka [4] showed that, if $G$ is a connected $k$-regular simple graph, then $k^{(1-O(\log k / k))} \leq \tau(G)^{1 / n} \leq k$. But if we allow multiple edges, there are graphs with far less spanning trees. In this paper, we investigate the minimum number of spanning trees in $k$-edge-connected graphs with multiple edges. Since a loop is never contained in a spanning tree, we consider only graphs without loops.

In Section 2 we investigate how $\tau(G)$ changes when we replace a certain subgraph of $G$ by another graph. In Section 3 we derive the lower bounds stated in the abstract. Since this bound is not tight for any odd edge-connectivity, we show in Section 4 that $\tau(G) \geq$ $1.774^{n-1}$ for every 3 -edge-connected graph $G$ on $n$ vertices. The proof involves a new recursive description of the 3 -connected cubic graphs; they can all be obtained from $K_{4}$ or $K_{3,3}$ by
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successively adding vertices or blowing vertices up to triangles. In Section 5, we consider the class of 5 -regular 5 -edge-connected graphs. Section 6 presents a class of $k$-regular $k$-edgeconnected graphs which suggests that for odd $k>3$, the minimum number of spanning trees might be obtained by an almost $(k+1)$-regular graph. Even more surprisingly, all examples of 5-regular, 5-edge-connected graphs with $n$ vertices known to us have more than $3.09^{n-1}$ spanning trees while there are 6 -regular, 6 -edge-connected graphs with only $n 3^{n-1}$ spanning trees.

We adopt the notation and terminology of Diestel [3]. We repeat a few important definitions. A bridge is an edge whose removal disconnects the graph. A graph is k-edgeconnected if we need to remove at least k edges to disconnect the graph. A graph is $\mathbf{k}$-regular if each vertex has k incident edges. A 3-regular graph is also called cubic. If e is an edge in a graph G, then G/e is the graph obtained by contracting e.

## 2. Lifting pairs of edges

Let $G, H_{1}, H_{2}$ be connected graphs, and $X \subseteq V(G), X_{i} \subseteq V\left(H_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$ such that $|X|=\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|X_{2}\right|$. For $i=1,2$, let $G_{i}$ be the graph obtained from $G \cup H_{i}$ by identifying $X_{i}$ with $X$. We are interested in $\tau\left(G_{1}\right) / \tau\left(G_{2}\right)$. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. Then $T \cap G$ is a spanning forest of $G$. By comparing the number of ways of extending $T \cap G$ into a spanning tree of $G_{i}$ using $H_{i}$, and taking the minimum ratio over all possible such forests, we can find a lower bound for $\tau\left(G_{1}\right) / \tau\left(G_{2}\right)$. Note that the number of ways of extending $T \cap G$ in $G_{i}$ using $H_{i}$ is exactly the number of spanning trees of the graph obtained from $H_{i}$ by contracting each component of $T \cap G$ into a single vertex. This is made more precise in the following observation.

Observation 1. Let $G$ be a graph, and let $X \subseteq V(G)$ be a set of vertices. Suppose that $G$ has two connected subgraphs $G_{0}, G_{1}$ such that $G_{0} \cup G_{1}=G, V\left(G_{0} \cap G_{1}\right)=X$ and $E\left(G_{0} \cap G_{1}\right)=\emptyset$. Let $T$ be a spanning forest of $G_{0}$ such that each component contains at least one vertex in $X$. Then the number of ways of extending $T$ to a spanning tree of $G$ using edges in $G_{1}$ is $\tau\left(S_{0}\right)$, where $S_{0}$ is the graph obtained from $G_{1} \cup T$ by contracting each component of $T$ into a single vertex.

Let $e=v u, f=v w$ be two adjacent edges of a graph. Lifting $e, f$ means that we replace $e, f$ by an edge $u w$ if $u \neq w$. If $u=w$ we remove both edges $e, f$ as we do not allow loops. By lifting at $v$ we mean that we lift a pair of edges incident with $v$. A complete lifting at a vertex $v$ with even degree is a sequence of liftings at $v$ until no edges are left at $v$. Then we remove $v$.

For the following lemma, we define a constant $c_{d}$ depending on a positive integer $d$ :

$$
c_{d}=\min _{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}} \min _{H} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}}{\tau(H)}
$$

where the minimum is taken over all sequences of positive integers $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$ with varying length $k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}=2 d$, and over all connected graphs $H$ on $k$ vertices with degree sequence $d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $d_{i}^{\prime} \leq d_{i}$ for each $i$.

In the above definition of $c_{d}, H$ has at most $d$ edges, so $c_{1}=1$. Furthermore, $c_{2}=2$, $c_{3}=8 / 3$ and $c_{4}=18 / 5=3.6$, which are attained by a 2 -cycle, a 3 -cycle, and a 3 -cycle plus an edge, respectively.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a graph with a vertex $v$ of degree $2 d$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by a complete lifting at $v$. Then $\tau(G) \geq c_{d} \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, where $c_{d}$ is defined as above.

Proof: Denote $G_{0}=G-v$ and the neighbors of $v$ in $G$ by $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 d}$, which are not necessarily distinct. We may assume that for each $i$, $v_{2 i-1} v_{2 i} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash E(G)$ resulting from lifting $v v_{2 i-1}$ and $v v_{2 i}$ unless $v_{2 i-1}=v_{2 i}$.

We consider a spanning forest, say $T_{0}$, of $G_{0}$ in which each component contains at least one of the neighbors of $v$. We shall estimate the number of ways of extending $T_{0}$ to a spanning tree using only edges not in $G_{0}$. The forest $T_{0}$ partitions the neighbors of $v$, say into $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{k}$ with sizes $\left|P_{i}\right|=d_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}=2 d$. By Observation 1 , the number of ways of extending $T_{0}$ to a spanning tree of $G$ (using no other edge of $G_{0}$ ) is precisely $\tau\left(S_{0}\right)$, where $S_{0}$ is the star graph at $v$ with edge-multiplicities $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$. Thus $\tau\left(S_{0}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}$. Likewise, the number of ways of extending $T_{0}$ to a spanning tree of $G^{\prime}$ is $\tau\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ where $S_{0}^{\prime}$ is the graph obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by contracting each component of $T_{0}$ into a single vertex, and then remove the remaining edges of $G_{0}$, if any. Let $p_{i}$ be the vertex of $S_{0}^{\prime}$ corresponding to $P_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{i}\right) \leq d_{i}$, since each $v_{j} \in P_{i}$ provides $p_{i}$ with at most one edge from $E\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash E\left(G_{0}\right)$. Therefore, the number of extensions of $T_{0}$ into spanning trees of $G$ divided by the number of extensions to $G^{\prime}$ is at least $\min _{H} \prod_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} / \tau(H)$, where $H$ is as described in the definition of $c_{d}$. Now we consider all possiblities for $T_{0}$ and get the inequality.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a graph with a vertex $v$ of degree $d \geq 3$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph resulting from lifting edges $v u$, $v w$ in $G$. Then $\tau(G) \geq\left(1+\frac{4}{d^{2}-4}\right) \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: We consider a spanning forest, say $T_{0}$, of $G-v$ in which each component contains at least one of the neighbors of $v$. Then $T_{0}$ partitions the neighbors of $v$, say into $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{k}$ with sizes $\left|P_{i}\right|=d_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}=d$. By Observation 1 , the number of ways to extend $T_{0}$ to a spanning tree of $G$ is $\tau\left(S_{0}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}$, where $S_{0}$ is the star graph at $v$ with edge-multiplicities
$d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k}$. Let $S_{0}^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by contracting each component of $T_{0}$ into a single vertex and then remove the remaining edges of $G-v$, if any. By Observation 1, there are $\tau\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ ways of extending $T$ to a spanning tree of $G^{\prime}$. If some $P_{j}$ contains both $u$, $w$, then $\tau\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left(d_{j}-2\right) \prod_{i \neq j} d_{i}$, so that either $\tau\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)=0$ or $\tau\left(S_{0}\right) / \tau\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)=d_{j} /\left(d_{j}-2\right)>1+4 /\left(d^{2}-4\right)$.

If $u, w$ are contained in two different parts, say $P_{i}, P_{j}$ respectively, then $S_{0}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $S_{0}$ by lifting two edges connecting $v$ to the two vertices corresponding to $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$. Thus,

$$
\frac{\tau\left(S_{0}\right)}{\tau\left(S_{0}^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{d_{i} d_{j}}{d_{i} d_{j}-1} \geq 1+\frac{4}{d^{2}-4}
$$

since $d_{i}+d_{j} \leq d$ which implies $d_{i} d_{j} \leq\left[\left(d_{i}+d_{j}\right) / 2\right]^{2} \leq d^{2} / 4$.
By considering all possible such forests $T_{0}$, we get the inequality.

## 3. $k$-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Consider the pairs $(e, T)$ where $e \in E(G)$ and $T$ a spanning tree of $G$ containing $e$. For each $e \in E(G)$ we have $\tau(G / e)$ such pairs and for each $T$, we have $n-1$ such pairs. Therefore $(n-1) \tau(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} \tau(G / e)$. Hence, $G$ has an edge $e$ such that $\tau(G / e) / \tau(G) \leq(n-1) / m$. We restate this conclusion as the following observation.

Observation 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n>1$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then $G$ has an edge $e$ such that $\tau(G) \geq \frac{m}{n-1} \tau(G / e)$.

Now we prove the first lower bound stated in the abstract.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a $k$-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then $G$ has at least $n(k / 2)^{n-1}$ spanning trees. Moreover, $G$ has more than $n(k / 2)^{n-1}$ spanning trees unless $k$ is even and $G$ is a cycle whose edge-multiplicities are all $k / 2$.

Proof: We shall use induction on $n$. Since $G$ is $k$-edge-connected, the minimum degree of $G$ is at least $k$ and thus $m \geq k n / 2$. By Observation 2, $G$ has an edge $e$ such that $\tau(G) \geq \frac{m}{n-1} \tau(G / e) \geq \frac{k n}{2(n-1)} \tau(G / e)$. By the induction hypothesis, $\tau(G / e) \geq(n-1)(k / 2)^{n-2}$ so that $\tau(G) \geq n(k / 2)^{n-1}$. If equality holds, then $k$ is even, $m=k n / 2$, and $G / e$ is a cycle where all edge-multiplicities are $k / 2$. Moreover, any edge can play the role of $e$. This implies that all edge-multiplicities in $G$ are $k / 2$. If $H$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ obtained by replacing every multiple edge by a single edge, then $H$ has the property that the contraction of any edge results in a cycle. Then also $H$ is a cycle.

For $k$ even Theorem 1 is tight. However, for $k$ odd we shall present a lower bound for the number of spanning trees in a $k$-edge-connected graph of the form $c_{k}^{n-1}$ with $c_{k}>k / 2$. For that, we shall use the following Theorem by Mader [6].

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph on a vertex set $V \cup\{s\}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(s) \neq 3$ and $s$ is not incident with bridges, then $G$ has a lifting at such that for each pair $u, v$ of vertices in $V$, the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths between $u, v$ does not decrease after the lifting.

By Theorem 2 and Menger's Theorem, given a $k$-edge-connected graph and a vertex of degree $\geq k+2$, we can find a lifting without decreasing the edge-connectivity. Thus by Lemma 2, the minimum number of spanning trees of a $k$-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices must be obtained by a graph whose degrees are only $k$ or $k+1$. We state this as an observation for later use.

Observation 3. If $G$ is a $k$-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices with minimum $\tau(G)$, then each vertex of $G$ has either $k$ or $k+1$ incident edges.

Now we prove the following lower bound for odd edge-connectivity.
Theorem 3. Let $k>1$ be an odd number and let $G$ be a $k$-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\tau(G) \geq\left(k c_{k} / 2\right)^{n-1}$, where $c_{k}=\sqrt{1+\frac{4}{(k+3)^{2}-4}}>1$
Proof: Let $e$ be an edge for which $\tau(G) / \tau(G / e)$ is maximum. By Observation 2 we know $\tau(G) / \tau(G / e) \geq k / 2$. If the vertex of $G / e$ resulting from the contraction of $e$, say $v$, has degree bigger than $k+1$, then using Theorem 2 we can lift some pair of edges at $v$ such that $G / e$ after the lifting is still $k$-edge-connected. We do the lifting at $v$ until the degree of $v$ is at most $k+1$. Let $H$ be the resulting graph. If $\tau(G) / \tau(H) \geq k c_{k}^{2} / 2$ then we call $e$ a good edge. Note that, if $H \neq G / e$, then by applying Lemma 2 at the last lifting, we see that $e$ is good. Also, if $e$ has multiplicity at least $(k+1) / 2$, then $\tau(G) / \tau(H) \geq \tau(G) / \tau(G / e) \geq(k+1) / 2>k c_{k}^{2} / 2$ so that $e$ is good. If one of the ends of $e$ has degree at least $k+1$, then either $e$ has multiplicity at least $(k+1) / 2$, or the vertex obtained by the contraction of $e$ has degree at least $k+2$, so that $e$ is good. Thus $e$ is not good only if the ends of $e$ both have degree precisely $k$. In particular, both ends of $e$ have odd degree.

Now we repeat the contractions of an edge with maximum $\tau(G) / \tau(G / e)$, followed by liftings whenever possible, until only two vertices are left. Because of parity, among the $n-2$ contractions, at most $\lceil(n-2) / 2\rceil$ of them are edges whose ends both have odd degree. Thus at least $\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor$ times we get an additional factor of $c_{k}^{2}$, so $\tau(G) \geq k \cdot(k / 2)^{n-2} \cdot c_{k}^{2\lfloor(n-2) / 2\rfloor}>$ $\left(k c_{k} / 2\right)^{n-1}$.

By Theorem 3, Theorem 1 is not tight for any odd edge-connectivity, although it is tight for all even edge-connectivity. In the following we focus on $k$-edge-connected graphs where $k=3,5$.

## 4. 3-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

Let $G$ be a 3 -edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices. By Theorem 3, the lower bound $\tau(G) \geq$ $n(3 / 2)^{n-1}$ is not tight. Kostochka [4] showed that a cubic simple 2-connected graph on $n$ vertices has at least $8^{n / 4} \approx 1.68^{n}$ spanning trees. This result is essentially best possible because of the cubic 2 -connected graphs obtained by a collection of $K_{4}$ 's minus an edge by adding a matching. In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a 3-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\tau(G)>1.774^{n-1}$.
Kreweras [5] showed that the prism graph on $n$ vertices has approximately $1.93^{n}$ spanning trees; see Section 6. By Observation 3, a 3-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices with minimum number of spanning trees has vertex degrees only 3 and 4 . Thus by Lemma 1 , the following is enough to prove Theorem 4. Note that a cubic graph with more than two vertices has the same connectivity and edge-connectivity.

Theorem 5. Let $G$ be a 3-connected cubic graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\tau(G)>1.774^{n-1}$.
An often used operation to construct a 3-connected cubic graph is to join two edges, i.e. for non-parallel edges $e, f$, we replace each edge by a path of length 2 and connect the two new vertices of degree 2 by an edge. Note that joining two non-parallel edges in a 3 -connected cubic graph results in another 3 -connected cubic graph. The following lemma explains how the number of spanning trees changes after joining.

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a graph with two non-parallel edges e and $f$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by joining e and $f$. Then $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq(4-r) \tau(G)$, where $r=\tau(G / e / f) / \tau(G) \leq 1$.

Proof: We shall use Observation 1. We only consider the case when $e, f$ are not adjacent, but the other case can be done likewise. Let $e=a b$ and $f=c d$. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G$. Then $T-e-f$ is a spanning forest of $G$ in which each component contains at least one of $a, b, c$ and $d$. We shall consider how many ways $T-e-f$ can be extended to a spanning tree in $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ respectively. For example, if $T-e-f$ has two components such that one of them contains $a, c$ and the other contains $b, d$, then we can extend $T-e-f$ in two ways to a spanning tree of $G$, whereas there are eight ways for $G^{\prime}$. In fact, there are at least four times as many extensions in $G^{\prime}$ as extensions in $G$, unless $T$ contains both $e$ and $f$, in which case we have a factor 3 . Thus, $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 4(\tau(G)-\tau(G / e / f))+3 \tau(G / e / f)=(4-r) \tau(G)$.

To prove Theorem 5, we shall consider the following two operations to construct 3connected cubic graphs.
(1) Let $v$ be a vertex $v$ in a graph such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=3$ and all three neighbors of $v$ are distinct. Then the blow-up of $v$ is obtained by joining two of the incident edges of $v$.
(2) Select three edges, which may not be pairwise distinct, but not all the same, and subdivide each of them so that we have three new vertices of degree 2. Add a new vertex $v$ and an edge from $v$ to each of the three vertices of degree 2 . We call this a vertex-addition.

Since a blow-up is a join of two non-parallel edges, we get the following observation by Lemma 3.

Observation 4. Let $G$ be a graph with a vertex $v$ of degree 3 whose neighbors are all distinct. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by a blow-up of $v$. Then $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 3 \tau(G)$.

Barnette and Grünbaum [1] and independently Titov [10] gave a characterization of 3connected graphs which implies that every 3-connected cubic graph can be obtained from $K_{4}$ by successively joining edges. We shall here prove a stronger result for cubic graphs.

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a 3-connected cubic graph with more than two vertices. Then $G$ can be constructed from $K_{4}$ or $K_{3,3}$ by blow-ups and vertex-additions, such that blow-ups are never used consecutively.

Proof: Our proof consists of two parts. We show that if $G$ has no induced subgraph which is a subdivision of another 3-connected graph, then $G$ is one of $K_{4}, K_{3,3}$ or the prism on 6 vertices defined in Section 6. Then we assume that $G$ has a maximal induced subgraph, say $H$, which is a subdivision of another 3 -connected graph $H^{*}$, and we show that $G$ can be obtained from $H^{*}$ by a vertex addition, possibly followed by a blow-up.

Suppose that $G$ has no proper induced subgraph which is a subdivision of a 3-connected cubic graph. Let $C$ be a cycle in $G$ of minimum length so that $C$ has no chord. Let $v$ be a vertex in $G-V(C)$. Since $G$ is 3-connected, Menger's Theorem implies that $G$ has three paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ where $P_{i}=v u_{1}^{i} u_{2}^{i} \ldots u_{k_{i}}^{i} u_{i}, C \cap P_{i}=\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ for each $i$ and the paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ share only $v$. Let $v$ be such a vertex with $k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}$ being smallest. Note that some $k_{i}$ may be 0 , implying that $P_{i}$ is an edge. If $G$ has an edge between the non-endvertices of two $P_{i}$ 's, say $u_{i}^{1} u_{j}^{2}$, then by taking $v=u_{i}^{1}$ instead and using $P_{1} \cup P_{3}$ and $u_{i}^{1} u_{j}^{2} u_{j+1}^{2} \ldots u_{k_{2}}^{2}$, we get a smaller sum of the lengths of the paths unless $u_{j}^{2}$ is the neighbor of $v$ in $P_{2}$. Similarly, we deduce that $u_{i}^{1}$ is also the neighbor of $v$ in $P_{1}$. In this case, $v u_{1}^{1} u_{1}^{2}$ is a triangle and hence $C$
must also be a triangle, so that the vertex set of $C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup P_{3}$, say $V$, induces a subgraph of $G$ which is a subdivision of the prism graph. Thus by the assumption, $G$ itself is the prism graph.

Hence we may assume that $G$ has no edge between the non-endvertices of $P_{i}$ 's. Denote by $G[V]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V$. Suppose $k_{1} \geq 1$ and some $u_{i}^{1}$ has a neighbor on $C$ different from $u_{1}$. Because of the minimality of $k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}$, we have $i=k_{1}$ and by taking $v=u_{k_{1}}^{1}$ and using its two neighbors on $C$, we see $k_{2}=k_{3}=0$. Therefore $G[V]$ is a subdivision of either the prism graph or $K_{3,3}$, so that again $G$ itself is either the prism graph or $K_{3,3}$. The remaining case leaves no other edge in $G[V]$ than $C \cup P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup P_{3}$, which is a subdivision of $K_{4}$. Thus in this case $G$ itself is $K_{4}$. This completes the first part.

Now we assume that $G$ has an induced proper subgraph which is a subdivision of a 3connected cubic graph. Let $H$ be a maximal such subgraph. Let us call a path in $H$ suspended if its ends both have degree 3 in $H$ and all other vertices in the path have degree 2 in $H$. Suspended paths intersect only at their ends. By replacing each suspended path of $H$ by an edge between its ends, we get a 3 -connected cubic graph, which we denote $H^{*}$. Since $G$ is 3-connected, $H$ has at least two suspended paths. If $G$ has a vertex, say $v$, outside $H$ which has neighbors in at least two distinct suspended paths of $H$, then the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V(H) \cup\{v\}$ is a subdivision of a 3-connected graph, which must be $G$ because of the maximality of $H$. Then $G$ can be obtained from $H^{*}$ by the vertex-addition of $v$. Thus we may assume that for each vertex in $V(G) \backslash V(H)$, its neighbors in $H$, if any, are in a single suspended path of $H$. Also, we may assume that $|V(G) \backslash V(H)|>1$. If $V(G) \backslash V(H)=\{u, v\}$, then $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, and they have neighbors in distinct suspended paths. Thus we can obtain $G$ from $H^{*}$ by first vertex-adding $u$ and then a blow-up to make $v$. Therefore, we assume that $|V(G) \backslash V(H)|>2$.

Since $G$ is 3-connected, at least one component of $G-V(H)$ has edges to two distinct suspended paths of $H$. Thus $G$ has a path of length $>1$ between distinct suspended paths of $H$ which intersects $H$ at only its ends. Let $P=v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{k}$ be such a path with smallest length. Since $P$ has no chord, the subgraph of $G$ induced by $H \cup P$ is a subdivision of a 3-connected graph, so that $V(H) \cup V(P)=V(G)$, implying $k \geq 4$. By assumption, the neighbors of $v_{1}$ and $v_{k-1}$, respectively, are in different suspended paths of $H$. Let $v$ be the neighbor of $v_{2}$ in $H$. Then either $v_{0} v_{1} v_{2} v$ or $v v_{2} v_{3} \ldots v_{k}$ contradicts the minimality of $P$, a contradiction which completes the proof.

Let $c$ be the positive real solution of the equation $x^{4}-3 x^{2}-1=0$ which is approximately $c \approx 1.8174$. Note that a vertex-addition is equivalent to a joining of two edges and then joining the new edge with an edge.

Lemma 4. Let $G_{0}$ be a 3-connected graph and let $G$ be a graph obtained from $G_{0}$ by joining two non-parallel edges of $G_{0}$, where e denotes the joining edge. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by joining e with another edge $f$. Then either $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq c^{2} \tau(G)$ or $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq c^{4} \tau\left(G_{0}\right)$.

Proof: Let $r=\tau(G / e / f) / \tau(G)$ be as in Lemma 3. Let $r^{\prime}=\tau(G / e) / \tau(G)$ so that $\tau(G) / \tau(G-$ $e)=1 /\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)$. Since $r^{\prime} \geq r$, Lemma 3 implies $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq(4-r) \tau(G) \geq\left(4-r^{\prime}\right) \tau(G)$. If $4-r^{\prime} \geq c^{2}$ then we are done. Thus we may assume that $4-r^{\prime}<c^{2}$, equivalently $1-r^{\prime}<c^{2}-3$. By modifying the equation for $c$, we get $1+3 /\left(c^{2}-3\right)=c^{4}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) & \geq\left(4-r^{\prime}\right) \tau(G)=\frac{\left(4-r^{\prime}\right) \tau(G)}{\tau\left(G_{0}\right)} \tau\left(G_{0}\right) \geq \frac{\left(4-r^{\prime}\right) \tau(G)}{\tau(G-e)} \tau\left(G_{0}\right)=\frac{4-r^{\prime}}{1-r^{\prime}} \tau\left(G_{0}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\frac{3}{1-r^{\prime}}\right) \tau\left(G_{0}\right)>\left(1+\frac{3}{c^{2}-3}\right) \tau\left(G_{0}\right)=c^{4} \tau\left(G_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5: We shall prove $\tau(G) \geq\left(3 c^{2}\right)^{(n-1) / 4}$ by induction on $n=|V(G)|$, where $c$ is the constant used in Lemma 4. We may assume that $n \geq 8$ because $K_{4}, K_{3,3}$ and the prism on 6 vertices have 16,81 and 75 spanning trees, respectively. By Theorem $6, G$ can be obtained from $K_{4}$ or $K_{3,3}$ by repeatedly applying vertex-additions and blow-ups. If the last operation is a vertex-addition, then by Lemma $4, \tau(G) \geq c^{2} \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ or $\tau(G) \geq c^{4} \tau\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some 3-connected cubic graph $G^{\prime}$ with $n-2$ vertices or $G^{\prime \prime}$ with $n-4$ vertices, so we are done. Otherwise, $G$ can be obtained from a 3 -connected cubic graph using a vertex-addition and then a blow-up. By Observation 4, a blow-up multiplies the number of spanning trees by at least 3 , so that using Lemma $4, \tau(G) \geq 3 c^{2} \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ or $\tau(G) \geq 3 c^{4} \tau\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some 3-edgeconnected cubic graph $G^{\prime}$ with $n-4$ vertices or $G^{\prime \prime}$ with $n-6$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, $\tau(G) \geq\left(3 c^{2}\right)^{(n-1) / 4}>1.774^{n-1}$.

## 5. 5-REGULAR 5-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS

Let $G$ be a 5 -regular 5 -edge-connected graph. A 5 -cut is a set of edges $E$ with $|E|=5$ such that $G-E$ is disconnected. If one of the components of $G-E$ is a single vertex, then we call $E$ trivial. Otherwise we call $E$ nontrivial. A 5 -side is a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that $\delta(X)$ (that is, the set of edges with precisely one end in $X$ ) is a nontrivial 5 -cut. If a 5 -side $X$ has the property that no nontrivial 5 -cut contains an edge with both ends in $X$, then $X$ is called minimal.

Lemma 5. Let $G$ be a 5-regular 5-edge-connected graph. If $G$ has a nontrivial 5-cut, then $G$ has a minimal 5-side.

Proof: Let $A$ be a 5 -side which is not minimal. Then some nontrivial 5 -cut $S=\delta(B)$ contains an edge $u v$ with $u \in A \cap B$ and $v \in A \cap B^{c}$. Let $T=\delta(A)$. One of the sets $A \cap B$, $A \cap B^{c}, A^{c} \cap B$ or $A^{c} \cap B^{c}$ is empty because $G$ is 5-edge-connected, $S, T$ are 5 -cuts and 5 is odd. Since $u \in A \cap B$ and $v \in A \cap B^{c}$, either $A^{c} \cap B$ or $A^{c} \cap B^{c}$ is empty, so that either $A \cap B$ or $A \cap B^{c}$ is a 5 -side strictly smaller than $A$. If it is not minimal, then we repeat the argument until we eventually find a minimal 5 -side.

Lemma 6. Let $G$ be a connected graph with a connected subgraph $H$. If $G^{\prime}$ is the graph obtained by contracting $H$ into a single vertex, then $\tau(G) \geq \tau(H) \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: For each pair $S, T$ of spanning trees of $H, G^{\prime}$, we can expand the contracted vertex of $G^{\prime}$ using $S$ to get a spanning tree of $G$.

Theorem 7. Let $G$ be a 5-regular 5-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\tau(G) \geq$ $7.6^{(n-1) / 2} \approx 2.7568^{n-1}$.

Proof: We shall use induction on $n$. Being 5-regular and 5-edge-connected, $G$ has no edge of multiplicity at least 3 . If $G$ has a nontrivial 5 -cut, then by Lemma 5 , we can find a minimal 5 -side, and we let $e=u v$ be an edge inside that minimal side. Otherwise let $e=u v$ be an arbitrary edge.

Suppose first $e$ has multiplicity 1. $G / e$ has a vertex of degree 8 , which we can completely lift using Theorem 2. Denote the resulting 5-regular 5-edge-connected graph by $G^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1, $\tau(G / e) \geq 3.6 \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Now we consider $G-e$. Since $e$ is not contained in any nontrivial 5 -cut, $G-e$ has at least 5 edge-disjoint paths between any pair of vertices distinct from the ends of $e$. Thus by Theorem 2, we can completely lift $u, v$ in $G-e$ so that the resulting graph, say $G^{\prime \prime}$, is 5 -edge-connected and 5-regular. By Lemma $1, \tau(G-e) \geq 4 \tau\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and by the induction hypothesis,

$$
\tau(G)=\tau(G / e)+\tau(G-e) \geq 3.6 \tau\left(G^{\prime}\right)+4 \tau\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 7.6^{(n-1) / 2}
$$

Now we may assume that every edge of $G$ with multiplicity 1 is contained in a nontrivial 5 -cut. Let $X$ be a minimal 5 -side. Since the edges inside $X$ are not contained in any nontrivial 5-cut, every edge inside $X$ must be a double edge. Hence every vertex in $X$ is incident with $\delta(X)$, so that $X$ is the 5 -double-cycle which has 80 spanning trees. By Lemma $6, \tau(G) \geq 80 \tau(G / X)$, and by the induction hypothesis, $\tau(G) \geq 7.6^{(n-1) / 2}$.


Figure 1. Two different drawings of $M P_{12}(5)$

## 6. Examples of $k$-REGULAR $k$-EDGE-CONNECTED GRAPHS WITH FEW SPANNING TREES

In this section, we describe some $k$-regular $k$-edge-connected graphs for odd $k$, leading to a conjecture that the minimum number of spanning trees of a $k$-edge-connected graph is obtained by a nearly $(k+1)$-regular graph if $k$ is odd. See Open Problems 2, 3 in Section 7.

Let $k C_{n}$ be the cycle of length $n$ whose edge multiplicities are all $k$. By Theorem 1 , when $k$ is even, $\frac{k}{2} C_{n}$ has the minimum number of spanning trees among all $k$-edge-connected graphs on $n$ vertices. If $k$ is odd, $\frac{k+1}{2} C_{n}$ minus an edge, say $\frac{k+1}{2} C_{n}-e$, gives an upper bound on the minimum number of spanning trees of a $k$-edge-connected graph on $n$ vertices. The spanning trees of $\frac{k+1}{2} C_{n}-e$ belong to either the unique path with uniform edge-multiplicity $\frac{k+1}{2}$ or the $(n-1)$ paths in which the edge-multiplicities are $\frac{k+1}{2}$ except an edge with one less multiplicity. Thus, the number of spanning trees of $\frac{k+1}{2} C_{n}-e$ is

$$
\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{n-1}+(n-1)\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{n-2} \frac{k-1}{2}=\left(1+(n-1) \frac{k-1}{k+1}\right)\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)^{n-1} .
$$

We conjecture that this number is the minimum number of spanning trees of a $k$-edgeconnected graph on $n$ vertices when $k$ is an odd number bigger than 3 , and $\frac{k+1}{2} C_{n}-e$ is the unique extremal graph realizing the number.

We do not know any $k$-regular $k$-edge-connected graphs with that few spanning trees. Instead, there are $k$-regular $k$-edge-connected graphs with $\left(\frac{k+2}{2}+O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right)^{n-1}$ spanning trees, namely multiprisms defined below.

The prism $P_{2 n}$ is the Cartesian product of $C_{n}$ and $K_{2}$. If $n>2$ is a natural number and $k$ is odd then the multiprism $M P_{2 n}(k)$ is defined as follows:
(1) Let $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n-1}$ be the vertices of $\frac{k-1}{2} C_{2 n}$, where $v_{i}$ and $v_{i+1}$ are adjacent for all $i$.
(2) Add edges $v_{0} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{5}, \ldots, v_{2 n-4} v_{2 n-1}$ and $v_{2 n-2} v_{1}$.

If $n$ is even, $M P_{2 n}(k)$ can also be obtained by choosing a Hamilton cycle of $P_{2 n}$ and replace its edges by $(k-1) / 2$-multiple edges. See Figure 1.

Kreweras [5] determined the exact number of spanning trees in the prisms. Rubey [8, p. 40] showed another method, which can be used to give the exact formula for $\tau\left(M P_{2 n}(k)\right)$; c.f. [7]. Let $k=2 s+1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(M P_{2 n}(2 s+1)\right) & =\frac{s n}{A-B} A^{n}\left[1+2 \frac{s^{2} A^{n-2}-s^{n}}{A^{n}-s^{2} A^{n-2}}+\frac{1+s^{2}}{A} \frac{A^{n}-s^{n}}{A^{n}-s^{2} A^{n-2}}\right] \\
& -B^{n}\left[1+2 \frac{s^{2} B^{n-2}-s^{n}}{B^{n}-s^{2} B^{n-2}}+\frac{1+s^{2}}{B} \frac{B^{n}-s^{n}}{B^{n}-s^{2} B^{n-2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=\frac{s}{2}\left(s+3+\sqrt{s^{2}+6 s+5}\right)$ and $B=\frac{s}{2}\left(s+3-\sqrt{s^{2}+6 s+5}\right)$.
Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(M P_{2 n}(k)\right)^{1 / 2 n}=A^{1 / 2}=s+\frac{3}{2}+O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)=\frac{k+2}{2}+O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$.
In particular, $\tau\left(M P_{n}(5)\right)>3.09^{n}$ for large even $n$.
Note again that the number of spanning trees of $M P_{2 n}(k)$, which is $k$-regular $k$-edgeconnected, is asymptotically $\left(\frac{k+2}{2}\right)^{2 n}$. As we have a $(k+1)$-regular $(k+1)$-edge-connected graph, namely $\frac{k+1}{2} C_{2 n}$, with asymptotically less spanning trees, we suspect that the minimum number of spanning trees of a $k$-edge-connected graph, when $k$ is odd, may be achieved by an almost ( $k+1$ )-regular graph. Specifically, we believe that for every odd $k \geq 5, \frac{k+1}{2} C_{n}$ minus an edge has the fewest spanning trees among all $k$-edge-connected graphs on $n$ vertices.

## 7. OPEN PROBLEMS

For $\mathcal{C}$ an infinite class of finite graphs, define $c(\mathcal{C})=\liminf \left\{\tau(G)^{1 / n}: G \in \mathcal{C}, n=|V(G)|\right\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ be the class of $k$-edge-connected graphs. Let $\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\prime}$ be the class of $k$-regular $k$-edgeconnected graphs. We have proved that $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)=c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=k / 2$ for $k$ even and that $k / 2<$ $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right) \leq c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ for $k$ odd. Moreover $1.774<c\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)=c\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}^{\prime}\right) \leq 1.932,2.75<c\left(\mathcal{C}_{5}\right) \leq 3$ and $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{5}\right) \leq c\left(\mathcal{C}_{5}^{\prime}\right)<3.1$.

Open Problem 1. Is $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)=\sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}} \approx 1.93$, which is obtained by the prisms?
Open Problem 2. Is $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}\right)=c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k+1}\right)=\frac{k+1}{2}$ for $k$ odd, $k \geq 5$ ?
Open Problem 3. Is $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\prime}\right)=k / 2+1+O(1 / k)$ for $k$ odd?
Open Problem 4. Is $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{5}^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{5+\sqrt{21}} \approx 3.0956$, which is obtained by the multiprisms $M P_{n}(5)$ ?

Even if Problems 2 and 3 both have negative answers, we may still ask if $c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}^{\prime}\right)>c\left(\mathcal{C}_{k+1}\right)$ for each odd $k \geq 5$.
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