Accepted Manuscript

Risk factors for behavior problems in cats presented to an Australian companion animal behavior clinic

A.A. Wassink-van der Schot, C. Day, J.M. Morton, J. Rand, C.J.C. Phillips

PII: S1558-7878(16)30058-2

DOI: 10.1016/j.jveb.2016.06.010

Reference: JVEB 978

To appear in: Journal of Veterinary Behavior

Received Date: 1 October 2015

Revised Date: 26 April 2016

Accepted Date: 17 June 2016

Please cite this article as: Wassink-van der Schot, A.A., Day, C., Morton, J.M., Rand, J., Phillips, C.J.C., Risk factors for behavior problems in cats presented to an Australian companion animal behavior clinic, *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2016.06.010.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Risk factors for behavior problems in cats presented to an Australian companion animal behavior
	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
2	clinic

- 3
- 4 A.A. Wassink-van der Schot^{1,2}, C. Day³, J.M. Morton^{4,5}, J. Rand⁵ and C. J.C. Phillips¹
- ⁵ ¹ Centre for Animal Welfare and Ethics, School of Veterinary Science, University of Queensland, Gatton,
- 6 Australia
- ² Department of Animal Sciences, Wageningen University, The Netherlands
- 8 ³ Pethealth, Brisbane, Australia
- ⁹ ⁴ Jemora Pty Ltd, Geelong, Victoria, Australia
- ⁵ School of Veterinary Science, University of Queensland, Gatton, Australia
- 11 *Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: <u>c.phillips@uq.edu.au</u>
- 12

13 Abstract

Behavior problems in companion animals are common reasons for relinquishment or euthanasia. Insight into 14 the risk factors for problem behaviors will facilitate the construction of strategies for solutions. We 15 identified risk factors for behavior problems in domestic cats whose owners contacted a companion animal 16 behavior clinic in Brisbane, Australia. Owners of 1,556 cats reported on their cats' behavior problem, breed, 17 sex and age, and owner's postcodes and work-routine were also recorded. Risk factors were determined 18 19 from proportional morbidities for the behavior problem that each cat was reported as having. Breed effects were also assessed by comparing the numbers of cats in each breed group with the breeds of registered cats 20 21 in a part of the catchment area. Behavior problems in domestic cats where the owners sought professional advice were mostly (71% of all cats) related to house soiling, usually urination, and aggression, especially to 22 familiar people. Persian and similar breeds were at reduced risk of aggression to familiar cats but increased 23 risk of house soiling, compared to other breed groups. Overall, Persian, Siamese, Burmese and similar 24 25 breeds had more behavior problems than companion cat breeds. Older cats showed increasing tolerance of familiar people but reduced tolerance of other cats. Males were more likely to present with excessive 26 vocalisation and house soiling with urine and less likely to present with aggression between familiar cats. 27

28 We conclude that cat breed, age and sex, and social advantage of the area in which the cat lives are risk ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

29 factors for specific behavior problems.

30

31 **Key words**: *behavior problem; breed; cat; socio-economic status; veterinary clinic; work routine*

32

33 Introduction

Veterinary practices are increasingly consulted about behavior problems in cats (Heath, 2007). The term 34 'abnormal ' is used to describe any behavior that is 'away from the norm'. 'statistically rare in their context' 35 or 'different from a given normal population' (Mason, 1991), where a normal population may consist of free 36 living animals (Hediger, 1950) or animals living in conditions that allow a full range of behavior (Fraser and 37 Broom, 1990). In addition, in clinical veterinary medicine and psychology, the term 'abnormal behavior' is 38 linked to pathological illness or damage (Gershon and Rieder, 1992). The term 'behavioral problem' is 39 commonly used by the general public for activities that are undesirable to the owner (O'Farrell, 1990; 40 McBane, 1994). Thus 'behavior problems' may include normal behaviors and merely reflect the subjective 41 perspective of the reporter rather than any deviant nature of the behavior itself (Dawkins, 1980). However, 42 behavior problems may also indicate physical or mental suffering by the cat, and underlying causal factors 43 can include an inappropriate environment (Cooper and Mason, 1998) and failure to adapt to the captive 44 environment, where relevant (Dawkins, 1980; Mench and Mason, 1997). 45

In a study of 385 cats euthanized in English veterinary practices, only 1% (n=4) were because of an 46 intractable behavior problem (Edney, 1998). Behavioral problems have been found to be the second most 47 common reason (28%) for cat relinquishment to an animal shelter, in particular house soiling, 48 incompatibility with other pets, aggressiveness, destructiveness, biting, disobedience, fearful behavior, 49 activeness and excessive attention seeking (Salman et al., 2000). In another large study of 1361 50 relinquishments to 12 animal shelters spread over 6 states in the US in 1995-1996, cat relinquishment was 51 52 caused by aggression towards people (5% of cases), aggression towards other animals (6%), and other behavior-problems (21%) (Scarlett et al., 1999). The Association of Pet Behavior Counsellors (2003, cited in 53 Heath, 2007) found indoor marking to be the biggest behavior problem in cats (25%), followed by 54

aggression to people (23%), aggression to other cats (13%), difficult house training (12%), attention seeking ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

(11%) and self-mutilation (6%), calculated from a database of 66 cases submitted to a behavior clinic. Cats submitted to behavior counsellors often have more than one behavior problem, with a mean of 1.7 problems per cat (Scarlett et al. 1999). It is also increasingly recognised that behavior problems can be sequelae to medical problems.

Susceptibility to behavior problems may differ between breeds, which is not always only a genetic 60 effect, but may be due to certain breeds being affected more by environmental factors, such as early weaning 61 which predisposes Birman but not Siamese cats to wool-sucking (Borns-Weil et al., 2015). In another study, 62 Siamese cats were over-represented for aggression and ingestive behavior problems, Persians over-63 represented for elimination outside of the litter box, and domestic shorthairs under-represented for most 64 behavior problems, in particular aggression, ingestive behavior and house soiling (Bamberger and Houpt, 65 2006). However, other studies did not find breed to be a risk factor for developing behavior problems (e.g. 66 Ramos and Mills, 2009; Adamelli et al., 2005). Sex can also influence the risk of behavior problems, with 67 Bamberger and Houpt (2006) finding that male cats were over-represented (58%) for behavior problems. 68 Males were particularly overrepresented amongst spraving cats (with 75% of affected cats being male) and 69 less so amongst house soiling cats (where 56% of affected cats were male). Neutered cats are generally at 70 greater risk of having behavior problems than entire cats, but intact females are more likely to have 71 aggression problems than neutered females (Heidenberger, 1997; Salman et al., 2000). Other risk factors 72 include a lack of early social exposure people, which reduces friendly responses to both familiar and 73 unfamiliar people (McCune, 1995). The physical environment in the home may present risks related to 74 behavior problems, including failing to provide a scratching post to alleviate unwanted scratching behavior 75 (Mengoli et al., 2013). Stress in the home environment, defined as 'the prolonged inability to remove a 76 77 source of potential danger, leading to activation of systems for coping with danger beyond their range of maximum efficiency' (Archer, 1979), often as a result of change, is another risk factor, facilitating 78 79 aggression towards humans (Ramos and Mills, 2009). Other factors may include the time cats are alone at home, age at adoption, location and positioning of the house, number of cats together, and available space 80 per cat (Heidenberger, 1997). Aggression (towards owners) is more common in single cat households than 81

82 in multi-cat households (Amat et al., 2009), and cats from pet shops and cats without outdoor access have ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

the most behavior problems. Possible human factors include the number of household members (singles and couples without children more often report anxiety in their cats), number of children (absence of children has been related to more behavior problems), number of interactions between cat and owner, and owner's cat experience (i.e., number of cats the owner had in the past) (both negatively associated with risk of behavior problems) (Heidenberger, 1997).

Factors associated with behavior problems in cats have rarely been examined in large studies. We 88 used a large case series to identify the relevant factors. We hypothesized that specific breeds may exhibit 89 certain behavior problems, and also that there is a relationship between work-routine of the owner and some 90 behavior problems in cats. We assessed proportional morbidities in cats submitted to the clinic and 91 compared these by cat breed, sex, and age when reported, and owner's work-routine and estimated, assumed 92 socioeconomic status derived from postcodes. We also compared distributions of breeds for cats with 93 common behavior problems to the expected breed distribution based on council registrations for each breed 94 to determine whether commonness of problem was associated with relative popularity of relevant breed. 95

96

97 Material and Methods

A retrospective case series evaluation of 1,557 cats was conducted. Privately-owned cats with behavior 98 problems were identified from records of a Brisbane companion animal behavior clinic (Pethealth.com.au). 99 Each cat owner respondent was uniquely identified. Cat owners were 'clients', i.e., those that had face-to-100 face consultations, 'customers', i.e., those that had purchased products but did not have a consultation, or 101 'prospective clients' i.e., those who made an inquiry but did not proceed to a consultation or to purchase a 102 product. The clinical consultation notes for the cat owners were provided by a professional veterinary 103 behavior consultant¹. Approximately 43% of the participants (N = 674) were referred to the clinic directly or 104 indirectly by their own veterinarian or the RSPCA. Descriptions of problem behaviors were collected using 105 106 an on-line questionnaire (available from Pethealth.com.au or in the Supplemental Material). This was

¹Dr Cam Day, BSc, BVSc, MACVS (Animal behavior), Adjunct Associate Professor at the University of Queensland's School of Veterinary Science, and full-time small animal veterinary behavior consultant since 1995.

107 usually the first point of contact with the clinic. The URL was also sent to prospective respondents by e-mail ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

after they had spoken to the staff of the clinic and the questionnaire was promoted in social media. In about 108 60% of cases (N= approximately 934), questionnaire data were clarified with the owner by clinic staff 109 during or after phone conversations with cat owners who called for advice or during a face-to-face 110 consultation. Consultations were in one of four forms: a 30 minute telephone review (allowed in Australia), 111 a 30 minute in-clinic assessment, a 2 hour in-clinic consultation and a 2 hour house call with treatment. In 112 the remainder of cases the questionnaire was completed by the client. Multiple owner-level records from the 113 same owner were identified based on respondent email addresses. Clinic staff also routinely checked for 114 duplicate owner-level records and, when detected, concatenated records. Data were collected from 2001 to 115 2013. Respondents owning multiple animals with behavior problems were asked to provide details for the 116 animal with the most problematic behavior first. Behavior problems could be either selected from a drop-117 down list or described by the respondent in a free-text field, allowing the inclusion of multiple behavior 118 problems. Within each owner only the first cat listed was used for analysis. Where multiple behavior 119 problems were reported, only the first listed problem was used, on the assumption this was the most 120 problematic one. The unit of analysis was the first-listed cat for each owner. All cats whose owner's record 121 was created from 2001 to 2013 were included except where there was incomplete description of the 122 behavioral problem or a non-Australian postcode was recorded. Records with no postcode and invalid but 123 fully numeric postcodes were retained as these were almost certainly from cats in Australia. 124

The questionnaire recorded the owners' residential postcode, work routine (i.e., time people were 125 away from home for work), the cat's date of birth, breed, and sex, its neuter status at the time the owner's 126 127 record was created, and the nature of the behavior problem(s). Australia is divided spatially into postcode areas. It was not possible to assess the socio-economic status of each cat's household but the owner's 128 postcodes were used to identify the relative socioeconomic status of each owner's residential postcode using 129 the Index of Relative Socio-Economic Advantage and Disadvantage (Pink, 2013). This index summarises 130 information about the economic and social conditions of people and households within an area. The index is 131 a relative measure and particular absolute differences have no readily identifiable meaning. But a low score 132 indicates relatively greater disadvantage and a lack of advantage in the area, and a high score indicates a 133

relative lack of disadvantage and greater advantage in the area. Postcodes were identified using on-line ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

software (Freemaptools, 2015). Respondents' work routine was categorised based on responses selected by 135 respondents: mostly at home, 20-40 h per week at work; 40-60 h per week at work; or variable work routine 136 (shift work). Behavior problems were subsequently categorised by the authors into the following categories: 137 aggression (specified as predatory, between familiar cats, to dogs, to familiar people, to unfamiliar cats, to 138 unfamiliar people, of mixed type or unspecified); anxiousness/fearfulness; assimilation with a new baby; 139 assimilation into a new home or with new cats; attention seeking; clawing, vertical scratching; excessive 140 vocalising: grieving: house soiling (either with feces only, urine only, unspecified, or urine and feces): 141 hyperactive and boisterous; overgrooming/self-harming; pica/oral compulsions; roaming, escaping and 142 confinement; and unspecified behavior problem. 143

For breed identification, the online questionnaire enabled respondents to describe the animal's breed 144 in a free-text field. Breed types were specified and then categorised into groups defined by the Australian 145 Cat Federation Inc (ACF, 2014): group 1 - Persian, Ragdoll, Maine coon and similar breeds; group 2 -146 Siamese, Balinese and similar breeds: group 3 - Australian mist, Bengal, Burmese and similar breeds: group 147 4 - companion pets. When a cat was listed as cross bred with the parent breeds described, the first breed 148 description was used, unless it was a crossbred crossed with a crossbred, in which case its breed was 149 recorded as such. If the owner only described their cat as crossbred, it was listed as crossbred. Respondents 150 indicated the cat's sex as a combination of male/female and neutered-desexed/entire. 151

The animal's approximate or actual date of birth was entered in a free-text field. This was used in combination with the date that the owner's record was created to estimate the animal's age at the time of reporting the problem behavior (problems were usually reported on the date that the owner's record was created), to the nearest half year when older than one year. When younger than one year, age was calculated to the nearest month. Four age classes for analyses were then used: < 1 year; 1 to < 2 years; 2 to < 9 years, and 9 years and older.

Registration of domestic cats kept in the Council area was compulsory at the time of data collection. Data sourced from cats registered with a large municipal council servicing part of the catchment area of our study, the Gold Coast City Council, were used to compare breed distributions of cats in the study with those 161 in the registry. Registration data for the year 2014 were used (n=14,017 cats of which 13,975 had ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

identifiable breeds). The 13,975 council-registered cats were categorised into the four breed groups as
described above. Only study cats whose breed was recorded and whose owner's postcode was in Central
Brisbane, Ipswich, Gold Coast, (all in South East Queensland, Australia) and other locations within a radius
of 200 km of the Gold Coast (including in New South Wales, Australia) were used for these comparisons.
These To increase the validity of comparisons to registration data for 2014, only study cats whose owner's
record was created from 2005 to 2013 were used for these comparisons.

168

169 Statistical analyses

For each behavior problem, we calculated proportional morbidities - the proportions of study cats with a 170 particular behavior problem. For specific behavior problems where at least 39 cats were affected, we then 171 compared proportional morbidities between subsets of cats based on exposure (breed group, age group, sex 172 and socioeconomic status of the owner's postcode), generating proportional morbidity ratios. Statistical 173 power for comparisons of behavior problems where less than 39 cats were affected was minimal, except for 174 implausibly large effects, so these proportional morbidities were not compared for these problems. For each 175 comparison we selected a reference category; for example, for breed group, group 4 was selected as the 176 reference category. Proportional morbidities for a particular behavior problem described the proportion of all 177 cats with any behavior problems that had that particular behavior problem. Assuming no bias and 178 disregarding statistical variability, proportional morbidity is higher for one group (e.g. one breed category) 179 than another if: a) that group is at higher risk of that particular behavior problem, b) that group is at lower 180 181 risk of the other behavior problems, or c) both. Proportional morbidity ratios were estimated using generalised linear models with log link and binomial error distributions, fitted using the -glm- command in 182 Stata (version 13, StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). For each behavior problem, overall univariable 183 effects of each exposure were assessed using likelihood ratio tests, and exposure categories were compared 184 to the reference category using Wald tests. 185

Distributions of study cats across breed groups was compared to the breed distribution expected based on the proportions of Council registrations of each breed group using goodness-of-fit log likelihood 188 ratio chi-square tests for multinomial data. These were performed with the -mgofi- command in Stata. ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Exhaustive enumeration exact tests were used except when assessing the breed distribution for all 867 cats, 189 when the large sample chi-square test was used. These 867 cats were those for which the breed was 190 recorded, the owner's postcode was within a radius of 200 km of the Gold Coast and the owner's record was 191 created from 2005 to 2013. For each of the 10 behavior problems where the overall goodness-of-fit p-value 192 was <0.05 and for any behavior problem (i.e. using all 867 cats), for each of the 4 breed groups, the 193 proportion of cats in any one breed group was compared with that expected proportion based on council 194 registrations using the same methods as described above. These 44 p-values were adjusted for multiple pair-195 wise comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg step-up False Discovery Rate method, with the Etcetera 196 module in WinPepi (version 11.43; Abramson, 2011). 197

198

199 **Results**

In total, 1,708 cats were initially enrolled. Of these, 152 were excluded because of either incomplete description of the behavioral problem (n =148) or non-Australian postcodes (n=4). Analyses were performed on the remaining 1,556 cats. Of the 1556 study cats, 80.5% (N=1253) were from Queensland, 7.0% (N=109), New South Wales, 3.9% (N=61), Victoria, 1.7% (N=26), Western Australia, 0.7% (N=11) , South Australia, 0.4% (N=6), Tasmania, 0.3% (N=5), Australian Capital Territory, 0.2% (N=3) from Northern Territory and 5.3% of unknown origin (N=82).

5

Sixteen percent (N=244) of the cases were in breed group 1 (Persian and similar), 7% (N=106) group 206 2 (Siamese and similar), 25% (N=388) group 3 (Burmese and similar), and 52% (N= 815) group 4 207 (Companion). The study population consisted of 57% males (N=833) and 43% females (N=640). Fifteen % 208 were younger than 1 year (N=229), 13% between 1 and 2 years (N=201), 58% between 2 and 9 years 209 (N=864), and 14% were older than 9 years (N=207). Of respondents reporting work hours, 31% of the cat 210 owners were away from home for 20 - 40 hours per week (N=154), 31% of them were away 40 - 60 hours 211 (N=73), 18% were mostly home (N=122), and 20% reported to be at home at variable times (N=83). Twenty 212 four percent (N=355) of the cat owners scored below 1000 on the relative socioeconomic status index, 23% 213 (N=343) between 1000 and 1039, 28% (N=404) between 1040 and 1079, and 25% (N=365) 1080 or more. 214

The most common behavior problems reported were 'house soiling - with urine only' (25% of all ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

cases; N=384), 'aggression - to familiar people' (13%; N=203)), 'aggression -between familiar cats' (8%;
N=129), 'anxious or fearful' (8%; N=125), 'excessive vocalising' (6%; N=87), and 'house soiling with urine
and faeces' (6%; N=86). Of all behavior problems reported, 38% (N=584) were about different categories of
house soiling, and 33% (N=459) about different categories of aggression (Table 1).

When comparing proportional morbidities of behavior problems by breed group, the behavior 220 problem 'aggression-predatory' was only reported in breed group 4 (companion) (n=9). Breed group 1 221 (Persian) had lower proportional morbidity for aggression between familiar cats when compared with breed 222 group 4 (P=0.020) (Table 2). Relative to Breed group 4 cats, breed group 3 cats had lower proportional 223 morbidity for aggression to familiar people (P=0.054) and to unfamiliar people (P=0.048), and higher 224 proportional morbidity for pica and oral compulsions (P=0.002). Relative to breed group 4 cats 225 (companion), Breed group 1 (Persian type) and Breed group 2 (Siamese type) cats had higher proportional 226 morbidity for house soiling with urine only (P<0.001), and Breed group 1 had higher proportional morbidity 227 for house soiling with urine and faeces (P<0.001). 228

Proportional morbidities for behavior problems cats aged < 1 year, 1 to < 2 and 2 to < 9 years are 229 shown in Table 3. Relative to cats aged <1 year, cats aged 1 to <2 years and 2 to <9 years showed more 230 aggression to unfamiliar cats (P=0.004). Relative to cats aged <1 year, cats aged 2 to <9 years also showed 231 more aggression to familiar cats (P=0.011), but less house soiling with faeces only (P=0.007) and house 232 soiling with urine and faeces (P=0.042). Aggression to familiar people was less common (P<0.001) but 233 mixed aggression more common (P=0.002) in cats aged 2 and older than in younger cats. Cats aged 9 or 234 more years showed more excessive vocalising (P<0.001) but less pica and oral compulsion (P<0.001) 235 relative to cats ages <1 year. 236

Proportional morbidities for behavior problems by cat sex and neuter status are shown in Table 4. Proportional morbidities for aggression between familiar cats and overgrooming/self-harming were lower in males than females (P=0.001 and P=0.022 respectively). In contrast, proportional morbidities for excessive vocalization and house soiling with urine only were higher in males (P=0.016 and P<0.001 respectively). For most behavior problems, there was no significant association with socioeconomic status of the

owner's postcode. Only the proportional morbidity for anxiety or fearfulness was higher in cats whose owners had postcodes associated with socioeconomic status scores in the groups1040 to <1080 and \geq 1080 (10% in both groups), relative to those from less advantaged areas i.e., with scores <1000 (4%; P=0.007).

There was no significant association of any behaviors with work routine, but working schedules were not reported for 74% (1,154 out of 1,556) of respondents. House soiling with urine only was commonly reported by respondents who worked (18%, n= 20-40 hours per week away from home; 12%, n=, 40-60 hours per week away) and also respondents who were mostly home (13%, n=). In contrast, respondents who had variable shifts, reported 'aggression to familiar people' the most (19%).

When comparing the distribution of behavior problems of the cats in the Gold Coast area (n=867) in 250 different breed groups to that expected based on the distribution of Gold Coast city council-registered cats, 251 'aggression between familiar cats', 'aggression to familiar people' and 'excessive vocalising' were more 252 often reported in breed group 3 (Burmese type), and less reported in breed group 4 (Companion cats) than 253 expected (both p<0.001) (Table 5). 'Anxious or fearful' was less often reported in breed group 4 than 254 expected (P=0.027). 'House soiling - with urine only' was higher than expected for breed group 1, 2, and 3 255 and lower than expected for breed group 4 (P<0.001). 'House soiling-spraving' was overrepresented in 256 Breed groups 2 and 3 (Siamese and Burmese types) and underrepresented in breed group 4 (P<0.001). 257 'House soiling – inappropriate urination or spraying' was lower in Breed group 4 than expected (P=0.016). 258 'House soiling with urine and faeces' was overrepresented in breed group 1 (Persian type) and 259 underrepresented in breed group 4 (Companion cats) (P<0.001). Cats in breed group 2 (Siamese type) were 260 more likely to be reported with the problem 'overgrooming/self-harming' than expected (P=0.028). 'Pica 261 and oral compulsions' was over-represented in breed group 3 and under-represented in breed group 4 262 (P<0.001). 'Roaming and escaping' occurred more often than expected in breed group 3 (P=0.029). Overall, 263 behavior problems were higher than expected in breed group 1, 2, and 3 and breed group 4 had fewer 264 behavior problems than expected (P<0.001). 265

266

267 **Discussion**

268 Behavior problems in pets are common reasons for owners to consult a veterinarian, common reasons for ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

owners relinquishing the animal for adoption, and common reasons for euthanisia. The most common 269 behavior problems reported in our study were 'house soiling - with urine only' (25% of all cats with 270 behavior problems; N=385), 'aggression - to familiar people' (13%; N=203), 'aggression -between familiar 271 cats' (8%; N=129), 'anxious or fearful' (8%; N=125), 'excessive vocalising' (6%; N=87), and 'house soiling 272 with urine and faeces' (6%; N=86). Of all behavior problems reported, 38% were related to house soiling 273 (N=586), and 33% to aggression (N=509). We detected significant differences between groups only for 274 house soiling, aggression, and overgrooming/self-harming. The problems we found to be most frequently 275 reported were also the most commonly reported behavior problems in other studies (e.g. Bamberger and 276 Houpt, 2006; Association of Pet Behavior Counsellors, 2003, as cited in Heath, 2007) and most often 277 mentioned as reasons for relinquishment (Salman, 2000). As in our study, Amat et al. (2009) found 278 aggression and inappropriate elimination to be the two most reported behavior problems, but in reverse order 279 (47 and 39%, respectively). In contrast, Heidenberger (1997) found anxiety, as described by cat owners, to 280 be the biggest problem, followed by scratching furniture, and then followed by house soiling, then feeding 281 problems, and then aggression 282

Our classifications of behavior problems are based on owners' reports and therefore are subject to 283 possible reporting bias and misclassification errors. Personal perception defines the concept of a 'problem'. 284 Shore et al. (2008) asked 170 dog and cat owners about perceptions of behavior problems and found 285 behaviors directly affecting the owners were perceived as most severe; those involving the destruction of 286 belongings were next in perceived severity; and those affecting only the animal were rated as least severe. 287 Given these patterns and our methods for handling data, this report may present a simplied portrait of feline 288 behavioural concerns. In our study, breed was a contributory factor for aggressive behavior. Proportional 289 morbidity for aggression to familiar cats was lower amongst cats in breed group 1 (Persian et al.) those in 290 breed group 4 (companion cats). Veterinarians in Japan have classified Persians as less aggressive to other 291 292 cats than most other cat breeds there (Takeuchi and Morio 2009). Bamberger and Houpt (2006) found 293 Siamese cats (in our study grouped in breed group 2) were predisposed to behave more aggressively, and domestic shorthairs (in our study grouped in breed group 4) tended to behave less aggressively in the 294

295 Animal Behavior Clinic population at Cornell University, compared with the Cornell University Hospital for ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Animals population. We did not find breed group 2 to be more or less often reported to be aggressive as 296 compared with breed group 4, and we found aggression between familiar cats more common in breed group 297 4 (companion) rather than 1 (Persian type). Aggression to familiar people was less common in cats in breed 298 group 3 (Burmese type) as compared with breed group 4 (companion). As in our study, Ramos and Mills 299 (2009) found mixed breeds (breed group 4 in our study) more likely to be aggressive. When then comparing 300 the number of records of aggression in cats in the four breed groups in the Gold Coast area to the number of 301 cats in those breed groups expected based on council registrations we found aggression between familiar 302 cats and aggression to familiar people to be less often reported in breed group 4 (companion) and more often 303 reported in breed group 3 (Burmese et al.), demonstrating that that the popularity of certain breed groups 304 may influence prevalence of behavior problems. 305

Older cats were more likely to be reported for aggression to both familiar and unfamiliar cats but less 306 likely for aggression to familiar people, which may indicate increasing tolerance of familiar people but 307 reduced tolerance of other cats. Female cats were more often aggressive to familiar cats. Hart and Cooper 308 (1984) found that in cats neutered prior to puberty, males engaged in spraying and fighting more than female 309 cats, but Barry and Crowell-Davis (1999) found no difference in indoor cats. In a retrospective study of a 310 small (n = 48) sample of clinical cases, Lindell et al. (1997) found that males were more likely to be 311 aggressive than females Amat et al. (2009) found intact females exhibited more aggressive behavior than 312 neutered females. Both our study and that of Amat et al. (2009) found house soiling with urine to be the 313 most common soiling problem reported (66 and 59%, respectively), followed by house soiling with urine 314 and faeces (15 and 32%, respectively) and faeces only least often reported (8 and 9%, respectively). House 315 soiling may be related to factors such as not feeling safe (Heath, 2007), attention seeking (Casey, 2009), 316 agonistic interactions with cats in the neighbourhood or the household (Pryor et al., 2001), inadequate 317 opportunity to go outdoors (Pryor et al., 2001), aversions to (Amat et al., 2009) or too few (Heath, 2007) 318 319 litter trays. Both Amat et al. (2009) and our study found Persian cats were predisposed to house soiling problems. In contrast, Bamberger and Houpt (2006) found Siamese cats (our breed group 2) displayed more 320 house soiling and domestic shorthairs (in breed group 4) displayed less house soiling problems. We found 321

house soiling with only urine more often reported in breed groups 1, 2, and 3 and less often in breed group 4 ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

in the Gold Coast area, when compared to the number of cats in those breed groups expected based on 323 council registrations. ouse soiling with urine and faeces was more often reported in breed group 1 (Persian) 324 and less often reported in breed group 4 (companion). Age also had an effect on house soiling problems and 325 was less common in cats aged 2 to < 9, compared with younger cats. We found 'anxious or fearful' behavior 326 less often reported in breed group 4 (companion) than expected based on council registered cats. Lack of 327 socialization (Hunthausen and Seksel, 2002) and early handling (Bradshaw, 1992) is often associated with 328 anxiety. We found that anxiety/fearful behavior to be more associated with owners whose postcodes had 329 socioeconomic status scores in groups 1040 to <1080 and \geq 1080, relative to those with scores in the <1040 330 group. It is possible that people with a higher socioeconomic status are more able to seek professional help 331 and have higher expectations for social behaviour in their cats. Excessive vocalising was less often reported 332 in breed group 4 (Companion) and more often in breed group 3 (Burmese type) in the Gold Coast area when 333 compared to the number of cats in those breed groups expected based on council registrations. Schneck and 334 Caravan (1991) and Case (2003) found Siamese cats (in breed group 2) vocalized more, and n Edwards et al. 335 (2007) found oriental cats (also in breed group 2) vocalize more than other breeds.<ales were more reported 336 with the behavior problem excessive vocalizing than females. Overgrooming/self-harming was rare (3% of 337 cats) compared with other behavior problems in our study, but more often reported in females rather than 338 males and more often in breed group 2 (Siamese type) than other breed groups. Roaming and escaping 339 confinement were rare but more often reported for cats in breed group 3 (Burmese type) compared with the 340 number of cats in that breed group expected based on council registrations. Pica and oral compulsions were 341 342 more often reported in breed group 3 (Burmese type) and less often in breed group 4 (Companion) in the Gold Coast area when compared to the number of cats in those breed groups expected based on council 343 registrations, supporting the results of Bamberger and Houpt (2006). Our study suggests that age is a 344 contributory factor. Pica and oral compulsions were less often reported in the age class >9 years compared 345 Bradshaw et al. (1992) found that stress of rehoming could be a trigger for pica. 346 with kittens (<1 year). Since pica and oral compulsions seems to be restricted to certain breed groups, there is probably a genetic 347 basis, triggered by stress susceptibility. 348

349 Anxious or fearfulness behavior was the only behavior which was associated with socioeconomic ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

status, and no behaviors were associated with work routine. People who relinquished animals for non-350 351 personal issues (mostly behavior problems) have been found to be less well educated (associated with lower socioeconomic status) and have a lower income than people relinquishing for personal issues (Scarlett et al. 352 (1999), suggesting that there could be a relationship between socioeconomic status and behavior problems. 353 Our data suggest that regardless of socioeconomic status, owners seek assistance for problem behaviors that 354 most adversely affect them such as house soiling and aggression, while owners with higher income (and 355 likely more education) also seek assistance for behaviors that may affect them less. All behaviour problems 356 are concerns for cat welfare. 357

358

359 Conclusions

We identified a range of factors that were significantly associated with behavior problems in cats. Behavior 360 problems in domestic cats where the owners seeks behavioral expert advice most commonly relate to house 361 soiling and aggression. Overall, behavior problems occurred more commonly in breed groups 1 (Persian 362 type), 2 (Siamese type), and 3 (Burmese type) and less commonly in breed group 4 (Companion cats) 363 compared to that expected based on council registrations. Older cats showed increasing tolerance of familiar 364 people but reduced tolerance of other cats. Males were more likely to present with excessive vocalisation 365 and house soiling with urine and less likely to present with aggression between familiar cats. 366 Anxious/fearfulness behavior was associated with socioeconomic status, with increased proportional 367 morbidity amongst cats residing in areas of greater relative social advantage. Work routine was not 368 369 associated with any behavior problems. We conclude that breed, age and sex, and social advantage of the area in which the cat lives are risk factors for specific behavior problems. Elucidation of risk factors for 370 behavior problems in cats is possible by careful evaluation of referrals to behavior clinics. 371

372 Acknowledgement

No external funding was provided for this study.

374

375

Dr Cam Day manages the pet behavior consultancy company, Pethealth.com.au, that generated the data forthis study. There are no other conflicts of interest.

378

379 Authorship statement

- 380 The idea for the study was conceived by Clive Phillips and Cam Day. The study was performed by Agnes
- 381 Wassink-van der Schot, in consultation with John Morton, Jacquie Rand, Cam Day and Clive Phillips. The
- data was collected by Cam Day. The data were analyzed statistically by John Morton and Agnes Wassink-
- van der Schot. The paper was written by Agnes Wassink-van der Schot, in consultation with John Morton,
- 384 Jacquie Rand, Cam Day and Clive Phillips.
- 385

386 **References**

- Abramson, J.H., 2011. WINPEPI updated: computer programs for epidemiologists, and their teaching
 potential. Epidemiol. Perspect. Innov. 8, 1.
- ACF 2014. ACF standards. http://www.acf.asn.au/index.php?page=standards. Last accessed 1 October, 2015.
- 390 Adamelli, S., Marinelli, L., Normando, S., Bono, G., 2005. Owner and cat features influence the quality of
- life of the cat. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 94, 89-98.
- Amat, M., De La Torre, J.L.R., Fatjó, J., Mariotti, V.M., Van Wijk, S, Manteca, X., 2009. Potential risk
 factors associated with feline behaviour problems. Appl. Anim. Beh. Sci. 121, 134-139.
- Anon, 2004. Fabcats up close and purrsonal. <u>http://www.cathospitals.net/Felix_CAT_REPORT.pdf</u>. Accessed
 19 February 2016
- Anon, 2014. The UK's cats are stressed out by cuddles. http://www.cats.org.uk/harlow/news/the-uks-cats-are stressed-out-by-cuddles. Accessed 18 February, 2014.
- 398 Archer, J. 1979. Animals under Stress. Edward Arnold, London.

- 399 Bamberger, M. and Houpt, K.A., 2006. Signalment factors, comorbidity, and trends in behaviour diagnoses in ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
- 400 cats, 736 cases (1991–2001). JAVMA 229, 1602-1606.
- 401 Barry, K.J. and Crowell-Davis, S.L., 1999. Gender differences in the social behaviour of the neutered indoor-
- 402 only domestic cat. Appl. Anim. Beh. Sci. 6, 193-211.
- Bradshaw, J.W.S., Neville, P.F., Sawyer, D., 1997. Factors affecting pica in the domestic cat. Appl. Anim.
 Beh. Sci. 52, 373-379.
- Bradshaw, J.W.S., 1992. The cat–human relationship. In, Bradshaw, J.W.S., (Ed.), The Behaviour of the
 Domestic Cat. CAB International, pp. 163–176.
- Borchelt, P.L., Voith, V.L., 1982. Classification of animal behaviour problems. Vet. Clin. North Am., Small
 Anim. Pract. 12, 571-585.
- 409 Bryan, J., Frank, L.A., 2010. Food Allergy in the Cat, a Diagnosis by Elimination. J. Fel. Med. Surg. 12, 861-

410 866.

- 411 Carlotti, D.N., Jacobs, D.E., 2000. Therapy, control and prevention of flea allergy dermatitis in dogs and cats.
 412 Vet. Dermat. 11, 83-98.
- 413 Case, L.P., 2003. The Cat. Its Behaviour, Nutrition and Health. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA.
- 414 Casey, R., 2009. Management problem in cats. In, Horwitz, DF and Mills DS (eds) BSAVA Manual of
- 415 Canine and Feline Behavioural Medicine. 2nd ed. Gloucester, BSAVA, pp. 98–110.
- 416 Cooper, J.J., Mason, G.J., 1998. The identification of abnormal behaviour and behavioural problems in
- stabled horses and their relationship to horse welfare, a comparative review. Eq. Vet. J. 30, 5-9.
- 418 Dawkins, M.S., 1980. Animal Suffering, the Science of Animal Welfare. Chapman and Hall, London.
- 419 Edney, A.T.B., 1998. Reasons for euthanasia of dogs and cats. Vet. Rec. 143, 114.
- Edwards, C., Heiblum, M., Tejeda, A., Galindo, F., 2007. Experimental evaluation of attachment behaviours
 in owned cats. J. Vet. Beh.: Clin. Appl. Res. 2, 119–125.
- 422 Freemaptools, 2015. http,//www.freemaptools.com/find-australian-postcodes-inside-radius.htm. Accessed
 423 July, 2015
- 424 Gershon, E.S., Rieder, R.O., 1992. Major disorders of mind and brain. Sci. Am. 267, 89-103.

- 425 Hart, B.L. and Cooper, L., 1984. Factors relating to urine spraying and fighting in prepubertally ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
- 426 gonadectomized cats. JAVMA 184, 1255–1258.
- Heath, S., 2007. Behaviour problems and welfare. In, Rochlitz, I., (Ed), The Welfare of Cats. Dordrecht,
 Springer, pp. 91–118.
- Heidenberger, E., 1997. Housing conditions and behavioural problems of indoor cats as assessed by their
 owners. Appl. Anim. Beh. Sci. 52, 345-364.
- 431 Horwitz, D., and Horwitz, A., 2009. A comparison of dog owners' claims about their pets' guilt with evidence
- 432 from dog behaviour. J. Vet. Beh.: Clin. Appl. Res. 4, 104.
- 433 Hunthausen, W., Seksel, K., 2002. Preventive behavioural medicine. In: Horwitz, D.F., Mills, D.S., Heath, S.,
- 434 (Eds), BSAVA Manual of Canine and Feline Behavioural Medicine, British Small Animal Veterinary
- 435 Association, Quedgeley, Gloucester, pp. 49-60.
- Kendall, K., Ley, J., 2008. Owner observations can provide data for constructive behaviour analysis in normal
 pet cats in Australia. J. Vet. Beh., Clinical applications and research 3(6),244-247.
- Kleinbaum, D.G., Sullivan, K.M., Barker, N.D., 2013. ActiveEpi Companion Textbook, 2nd edn, Springer,
 New York, pp. 60.
- 440 Lindell, E.M., Erb, H.N., Houpt, K.A., 1997. Intercat aggression, a retrospective study examining types of
- 441 aggression, sexes of fighting pairs, and effectiveness of treatment. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 55, 153–
 442 162.
- 443 Mason, G.J., 1991. Stereotypy, a critical review. Anim. Behav. 41, 1015-1038.
- 444 McBane, S., 1994. Behaviour Problems in Horses. David and Charles, Birmingham.
- McCune, S., 1995. The impact of paternity and early socialization on the development of cats' behaviour to
 people and novel objects. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 45, 109–124.
- McDowell, M. (1983) Adjusting proportional mortality ratios for the influence of extraneous causes of death.
 Stat. Med. 2, 467-475.
- 449 Mench, J.A., Mason, G.J., 1997. Behaviour. In, M.C. Appleby and B.O. Hughes(Eds), Animal Welfare, CAB
- 450 International, Wallingford.

- 451 Mengoli, M., Mariti, C., Cozzi, A., Cestarollo, E., Lafont-Lecuelle, C., Pageat, P., Gazzano, A., 2013. ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
- 452 Scratching behaviour and its features, a questionnaire-based study in an Italian sample of domestic 453 cats. J. Fel. Med. Surg. 15, 886–892.
- 454 O'Farrell, V., 1990. Behavioural problems in companion animals. In: P. Monaghan and D.G.M. Wood-Gush,
- (Eds), Managing the Behaviour of Animals, Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 233-252.
- 456 Pink, B., 2013. Australian Bureau of Statistics.
- 457 <u>http,//www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/ausstats/subscriber.nsf/0/22CEDA8038AF7A0DCA257B3B00116E34</u>
 458 /\$File/2033.0.55.001%20seifa%202011%20technical%20paper.pdf
- Pink, B., 2008. Information Paper, An Introduction to Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA). Australian
 Bureau of Statistics. ISBN 0 642 47936 4.
- Pryor, P.A., Hart, B.L., Bian, M.J., Cliff, K.D., 2001. Causes of urine marking in cats and effects of
 environmental management on the frequency of marking. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 219, 1709-1713.
- 463 Recio, M.R. and Seddon, P.J., 2013. Understanding determinants of home range behaviour of feral cats as
- 464 introduced apex predators in insular ecosystems, a spatial approach. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 67, 1971465 1981.
- Salman, M.D., Hutchison, J., Ruch-Gallie, R., Kogan, L., New, J.C. Jr., Kass, P.H., Scarlett, J.M., 2000.
 Behavioural Reasons for Relinquishment of Dogs and Cats to 12 Shelters. J Appl Anim Welfare Sci
 3(2), 93-106.
- Scarlett, J. M., Salman, M. D., New, J. C., Kass, P. H., 1999. Reasons for relinquishment of companion
 animals in U.S. animal shelters, Selected health and personal issues. J. Appl. Anim. Welfare Sci. 2,
 471 41–57.
- 472 Schneck, M., Caravan, J., 1991. Animales Domésticos, Gatos del Mundo, Hispano Europea, S.A., Barcelona,
 473 Spain.
- 474 Shore , E.R., Burdsal, C., Douglas, D.K., 2008. Pet owners' views of pet behaviour problems and willingness
 475 to consult experts for assistance. J. Appl. Anim. Welfare Sci. 11 63-73.
- 476 Takeuchi, Y. and Morio, Y., 2009. Behavioural profiles of feline breeds in Japan. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 71, 1053-
- 477 1057.

- 478 Tami, G., Gallagher, A., 2009. Description of the behaviour of the domestic dog (Canis familiaris) by ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
- 479 experienced and inexperienced people. Appl. Anim. Beh. Sci. 120, 159–169.
- 480 Thomas, R. L., Baker, P.J., Fellowes, M.D.E., 2014. Ranging characteristics of the domestic cat (Felis catus)
- in an urban environment. Urban Ecosyst. 17(4), 911-921.

482Table 1. Distribution of cats by nature of behavior problems for cats with behavior problems (n = 1,556)ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

that were identified from records of a Brisbane companion animal behavior clinic after contacts between

484 2001-2013.

Behavior problem	n	%
Aggression		
- predatory	9	1
- between familiar cats	129	8
- to dogs	5	<1
- to familiar people	203	13
- to unfamiliar cats	54	3
- to unfamiliar people	46	3
- mixed	57	4
- unspecified	6	<1
Anxious or fearful	125	8
Assimilation with new baby	2	<1
Assimilation with new home or	16	1
new cats		
Attention seeking	47	3
Clawing, vertical scratching	18	1
Excessive vocalising	87	6
Grieving	7	<1
House-soiling		
- with faeces only	48	3
- with urine only	385	25
- with urine and faeces	86	6
- unspecified	67	4

Hyperactive, boisterous	21		
Overgrooming/self-harming	ACCEPTED M 39	ANUSCRIPT 3	
Pica and oral compulsions	56	4	
Roaming, escaping, confinement	34	2	
Behavior - other	9	1	
Total	1556	100	Â

488 Table 2. Proportional morbidities (% of cats with behavior problems that had each specific behavior ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

problem) for 1556 cats by breed groups (defined by the Australian Cat Federation Inc (ACF, 2014)) with

Behavior problem	Breed group 1	Breed group 2	Breed group 3	Breed group 4	Breed not specified	P-va	lue
	(Persian type)	(Siamese type)	(Burmese type)	(Companion pets)	-		
	(n=244)	(n=106)	(n=388)	(n=814)	(n=4)	Overall ¹	Pair- wise ²
Aggression							
- between familiar cats	4	6	10	9	0	0.020	1
- to familiar people	12	9	10	15	0	0.054	3
- to unfamiliar	2	1	2	4	25	0.048	3
people							
House soiling							
- with urine only	32	36	26	21	25	< 0.001	1,2
- with urine and	12	5	4	4	0	< 0.001	1
faeces							
Pica and oral	4	3	7	2	25	0.002	3
compulsions							

490 significant ($P \le 0.05$) breed effects.

491

¹ Overall likelihood ratio test univariable p-values for associations between breed and proportional
 morbidity (proportion of cats with behavior problems that had the specific behavior problem); only assessed
 for specific behavior problems affecting at least 39 cats.

² Categories where the percentage of cats that had the specific behavior problem differed significantly
(Wald P <0.05) from that in Breed group 4.

- 497
- 498
- 499

500 Table 3. Proportional morbidities (% of cats with behavior problems that had each specific behavior ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

501 problem) for 1,556 cats by cat age. Within rows, bolded proportional morbidities differ significantly

502 (P<0.05) from those for <1 year.

Behavior problem	< 1 yr	1 to < 2 yr	2 to < 9 yr	\ge 9 yr	Age not specified	P-1	value
	(n=229)	(n=201)	(n=864)	(n=207)	(n=55)	Overall ¹	Pair-wise ^{2,3}
Aggression							
- bet. familiar cats	4	7	10	7	11	0.011	3
- to unfamiliar cats	0	4	5	2	2	0.004	2;3
- to familiar people	18	17	13	6	9	<0.001	3,4
- mixed	0	2	5	5	0	0.002	3,4
Excessive vocalising	3	3	5	14	4	< 0.001	4
House-soiling							
- with faeces only	4	5	2	6	0	0.007	3
- with urine only	24	17	28	20	25	0.005	-
- with urine/faeces	9	5	4	7	2	0.042	3
Pica and oral	10	6	2	1	2	< 0.001	4
compulsions							

¹Overall likelihood ratio test univariable p-values for associations between age and proportional morbidity (proportion of cats with behavior problems that had the specific behavior problem); only assessed for specific behavior problems affecting at least 39 cats.

² 2 refers to cats with an age of 1 to < 2 yrs; 3 to cats with an age of 2 to < 9 yrs; and 4 to cats with an age 507 $of \ge 9$ yrs.

³ Categories where the percentage of cats that had the specific behavior problem differed significantly (Wald P < 0.05) from that in cats < 1 year.

510

511

- 512 Table 4. Proportional morbidities (% of cats with behavior problems that had each specific behavior ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
- 513 problem) for 1556 cats by cat sex and neuter status (F female cats with neuter status not recorded, FD 514 desexed females, FE entire females, M males with neuter status unknown, MD desexed males) and behavior
- 515 problem. No males were known to be entire.

	F	FD	FE	Females	М	MD	Males	Sex no	t P-value ¹
				pooled			pooled	specified	
	(n=39)	(n=581)	(n=20)	(n=640)	(n=41)	(n=792)	(n=830)	(n=83)	
Aggression							\sim	/	
- between familiar cats	5	11	5	11	5	6	6	13	0.001
Excessive vocalising	8	4	0	4	2	7	7	4	0.016
House-soiling									
- with urine only	15	19	30	20	17	29	28	29	< 0.001
Overgrooming/self-	0	4	0	4	5	2	2	0	0.022
harming	U	·	V		3	2			0.022

¹ Overall univariable p-values for associations between cat sex (female [F, FD and FE combined] versus male [M and MD combined]) and proportional morbidity (proportion of cats with behavior problems that had the specific behavior problem); only assessed for specific behavior problems affecting at least 39 cats.

- 519
- 520

521 Table 5. Distribution of breeds* for 13,975 cats registered with the Gold Coast City Council in 2014, and for ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

		Breed	Breed	Breed	Breed group	P-va	lues
	No. cats	group 1* (%) (Persian et al.)	group 2* (%) (Siamese et al.)	group 3* (%) (Burmese et al.)	4* (%) (Companion cats)	Overall ¹	Pair- wise ²
Council registrations	13,975	12	3	11	75		
Aggression							
- between familiar cats	78	9	8	27	56	<0.001	3,4
- to familiar people	109	16	6	23	55	<0.001	3,4
Anxious or fearful	71	15	7	18	59	0.027	4
Excessive vocalising	45	20	9	29	42	< 0.001	3,4
House-soiling)		
- with urine only	209	22	11	26	41	< 0.001	1,2,3,4
- with urine and faeces	56	39	4	18	39	< 0.001	1,4
Overgrooming self-	18	6	17	22	56	0.028	2
harming							
Pica and oral	38	16	8	53	24	<0.001	34
compulsions	50	10	0	55		<0.001	5,1
Roaming, escaping,	16	13	0	38	50	0.029	3
confinement	Ċ						
Behavior - other	6	67	0	33	0	< 0.001	1,4
Any behavioral problem	867	17	7	26	50	< 0.001	1,2,3,4

522 867 cats reported as having behavior problems.

¹ Overall p-value for distribution of cats across groups compared to that expected based on council registrations; a low p-value provides evidence that the distribution of cats across breed groups differs from that expected.

² Groups where the percentage of affected cats differed significantly (P adjusted for multiple pair-wise comparisons <0.05) from that expected based on council registrations.

⁵²⁸ * *Grouped as defined by the Australian Cat Federation Inc (ACF, 2014).*

- We model 1556 behavior problems recorded over 12 years in a cat behavior clinic
- House soiling by urination and aggression to familiar people were most common
- We examine risk factors for the behavior problems from demographic information provided
- Persians had reduced risk of aggression and increased risk of house soiling
- Older cats were more tolerant of familiar people but less tolerant of other cats

Cat Questionnaire

Enter your Name and Address details I First Name*	below. (Fields with * need completion for form to submit)					
Last Name*						
Email Address*	Email address is required					
Work Phone						
Mobile						
Fax						
Address						
Address 2						
Address 3						
Suburb						
State	Select					
Zip Code						
Country	Select Country					
Your Lifestyle: Your lifestyle is shared helps to make tailored behaviour soluti	by your pets and vice versa. Obtaining information about this ions that work.					
No. Infants (under 5 yrs) at home?	\square Unknown \square 0 \square 1 \square 2 \square 3 \square >3					
No. Children (5-15 years) at home?	$\square_{\text{Unknown}} \square_{0} \square_{1} \square_{2} \square_{3} \square_{>3}$					
No. Adults (15-60ys) at home?	\square Unknown \square 0 \square 1 \square 2 \square 3 \square >3					
No. Seniors (over 60 yrs) at home?	\square Unknown \square $_0$ \square $_1$ \square $_2$ \square $_3$ \square >3					
What is your family's work routine?	 Unknown Mostly home 					
, hat is your fulling 5 work fourne.	Variable attendance (shifts)					

ACCEP		Mostly 20 - 40 hours away
		Mostly 40 - 60 hours away
	C	Unknown
		House confined
		Mostly an inside pet
Pet's lifestyle when you are home	\Box	An inside and outside pet
		Mostly an outside pet
	0	Entirely an outside pet
	C	Unknown
		House confined
	O	Mostly an inside pet
Pet's lifestyle when you are NOT home	O	An inside and outside pet
		Mostly an outside pet
	O	Entirely an outside pet
		Entitely an outside per
Is the pet you are worried about a dog?		Yes No
And/or a cat?	C	Yes ^C No
Your Pet's Details:- Please complete the follo pet, give the details of the pet with the bigges pet(s) in the field provided	owin st pr	g details about your pet(s). If you have more than one oblem first. Then add similar details for your other
Pet Name		
Pet Breed		
	\bigcirc	Unknown
	\bigcirc	Male desexed
Pet Sex	\bigcirc	Male entire
	\Box	Female desexed
	0	Female entire
Pet Birth Date (approx)		
	C	Unknown
A an of not when the intel	\Box	< 6 weeks
Age of pet when obtained	Ο	Btw 6 and 12 weeks

Btw 12 and 6 months

ACCI	EPT E	Btw 6 and 12 months		
	0	Btw 12 months and 2 years		
	0	After two years of age		
	C	Aggression to family		
	\Box	Aggression to visitors		
		Aggression to people met when out		
	C	Aggression to other dogs Lown		
	O	Aggression to dogs met when out		
What behaviour do you want to solve?	C	Aggression to dogs met when out		
		Aggression to cats, investock, wildlife		
		Barking when I am home		
	n	Barking when I am away		
		Boisterous and disobedient		
		Destructive, chewing, digging		
	O	House-soiling		
		Pacing spinning tail chasing		
	O	Factoring and reaming		
		Escaping and roanning		
		Noise phobias		
		Separation anxiety		
		Fearful, timid, generally anxious		
		Attention seeking		
		Eating unusual objects		
	7 🖸	Problem not listed		
Please do this hit! Add details of other nots a	nd			

Please do this bit! Add details of other pets and fully describe your concern here

This field needs a response to allow form submission. If you indicate you need a consultation service we will contact you. If you select web membership, you have the option of asking for assistance or not. If you prefer the free DIY system we are unlikely to contact you due to the number of requests we receive. Thanks for your understanding!

- \Box Full Therapy House call
- \Box Full Therapy Clinic Visit \Box

What form of assistance would you prefer?

 \Box Tele-Assist Service

Clinic Assessment

- Web Membership DIY \Box
- \square Free DIY - I don't need to be contacted.

Please enter how you find us. If you were referred by a vet or pet care professional please enter details here

Who referred you to us?

Enter who referred you

Click the submit button - you should then proceed to a Thank You page. If nothing appears to happen, scroll through the form to ensure all fields marked with * are completed. If successful, you should get an immediate automatic reply by email.

* Indicates field is required.

<u>S</u>ubmit

1