

Next Blog»



Spatial Economics Research Centre

Wednesday, 13 April 2011

Planning: Localism versus Growth

I see that DCLG has announced the four groups that will provide free advice to communities in drawing up neighbourhood plans.

To my mind the list highlights the unresolved tensions that lie at the heart of the coalition's approach to planning. I would argue that at least three of the organisations (The Prince's Foundation, The Royal Town Planning Institute, and the National Association of Local Councils in partnership with the Campaign to Protect Rural England) do not appropriately recognise the economic issues surrounding constraints on land use. This is a problem becau the good things about neighbourhood planning (giving people control over their neighbourhood) also come at a cost (in terms of constraints on development). I assume that advice from organisations that emphasise the former, but downplay the latter, will tend to reinforce the NIMBYist nature of neighbourhood planning.

In turn, NIMBY neighbourhood plans will directly conflict with the government's stated desire to ensure that planning does not act as a barrier to economic development. I am still unclear how the government intends to square this particular circle.

Posted by Prof Henry G. Overman on Wednesday, April 13, 2011



1 comment:

nick gray said...

My reading is that they are trying to make a tactical withdrawal on Neighbourhood Planning. The growth plan that came out with the budget said that the Government "will ensure that neighbourhood plans are only adopted if they fit with the local authority plan and national planning policy, and they show that they have considered representations from business" IE, you can implement a neighbourhood plan so long as nobody else gives a monkey's...

We'll have to see what's in the regulations

14 April 2011 at 14:51

Post a Comment

Newer Post Home Older Po

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

Simple theme. Powered by Blogger.