

**The neuromuscular, biochemical and endocrine responses to a single session
verses double session training day in elite athletes.**

Michael J. Johnston,^{1,2} Christian J. Cook,² David Drake,⁴ Lisa Costley,⁵ Julie P.
Johnston⁶, Liam P. Kilduff²

1. English Institute of Sport, Loughborough University, Loughborough, United Kingdom
2. Applied Sports, Technology, Exercise and Medicine (A-STEM) Research Centre, Swansea University, Swansea, United Kingdom
3. School of Sport, Health and Exercise Science, Bangor University, Bangor, UK
4. Ulster Rugby, Newforge Lane, Belfast, United Kingdom.
5. Ulster sports academy, University of Ulster, Jordanstown, United Kingdom
6. Department of Sport Science, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University

Corresponding author contact details:

Name: Professor Liam P. Kilduff

Address: A-STEM, College of Engineering, Talbot Engineering, Talbot Building,
Swansea University, SA2 8PP

Tel: +44(0)1792513441

Fax: +44(0)1792513171

E-mail: l.kilduff@swansea.ac.uk

**The neuromuscular, biochemical, and endocrine responses to a single session
versus double session training day in elite athletes.**

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to compare the acute neuromuscular, biochemical, and endocrine responses of a training day consisting of a speed session only to performing a speed and weight training session on the same day **Methods:** Fifteen male academy level rugby players completed two protocols in a randomized order. The speed only protocol involved performing 6 maximal effort repetitions of 50m running sprints with 5 minutes recovery between each sprint, while the speed and weights protocol involved the same sprinting session but was followed 2 h post by a lower body weights session consisting of 4 sets of 5 back squat and Romanian deadlift at 85% 1RM. Testosterone, cortisol, creatine kinase, lactate, and perceived muscle soreness were determined immediately before, immediately after, 2h post, and 24h post both protocols. Peak power, relative peak power, jump height, and average rate of force development were determined from a counter movement jump (CMJ) at the same time points. **Results:** At 24h post, muscle soreness was significantly higher following the speed and weights protocol compared to speed only protocol (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.253$, $F = 4.750$, $p < 0.05$). There was no significant difference between any of the CMJ variables at any of the post training time points. Likewise creatine kinase, testosterone, and cortisol were unaffected by the addition of a weight training session. **Conclusion:** These data indicate that the addition of a weight training session 2h post a speed session, while increasing the perception of fatigue the following day, does not result in a difference in endocrine response or in neuromuscular capability.

Keywords: Testosterone; Cortisol; Creatine Kinase; Neuromuscular fatigue; speed

INTRODUCTION

Elite athletes are usually required to undertake a block of training that involves multiple high intensity training sessions per day repeated over the course of 4-6 weeks in order to stimulate the adaptations required to improve performance (12). Neural adaptations in particular are reported to be sensitive to training intensity (43), and it is therefore important that sessions aimed at inducing neural adaptations are performed when athletes are in an optimal state.

A recent study into the pattern of neuromuscular recovery post speed training found countermovement jump (CMJ) performance, while depressed immediately post, to be significantly increased 2h post maximal speed training consisting of 6 x 50m sprints when compared to 24 h post (24). This finding would suggest that this two-hour post window might be an appropriate time point to perform a second intensive neuromuscular training session. Indeed, a number of studies have utilized multiple training sessions on the same day to optimize neural adaptation (11, 18, 21, 23), and there is evidence of improvements in the isometric peak force of the knee extensors in both female ($4.8 \pm 5.0\%$) (18) and male ($5.1 \pm 10.2\%$) weight lifters (21) using this approach.

However, intensive dynamic training sessions result in inflammatory processes, which, in turn, can affect performance on subsequent training days (5). For example, isometric rate of force development has been shown to be depressed 24 h post a strength session consisting of 10 sets of three repetitions at 90% of 1RM with 5 minutes recovery between sets (27), while squat jump and CMJ performance were

reported to be depressed 24 h post a plyometric session consisting of 50 hurdle and 50 drop jumps (8).

To date, very few studies have examined the effects of multiple training sessions on neuromuscular performance and recovery (9, 17, 39, 40). Of these, only two performed any sort of follow-up in the days post training (39, 40). In both studies, the loss of performance evident after the second bout of exercise was no greater compared to the loss after the first (39, 40). This led the authors to conclude that this was due to the initial bout damaging the weak fibers, and the stimulus from the second session being insufficient to produce any additional damage. However, it is unclear from these studies as to how neuromuscular performance was affected 24 h post, and if any changes in neuromuscular performance at these time points would be different than those resulting from a single session. Having this information would better allow the coach to make informed decisions about the use of twice-daily training, and the placement and type of sessions they wish to have the athlete perform during the rest of the training week.

Furthermore, the majority of research conducted to date has used similar exercises and loadings in both training sessions (19). While a multiple daily resistance session approach is commonly used by weightlifters (21), the weekly training of an elite games player and sprinter often requires them to undertake both lifting and running sessions on the same day (12, 28). To date, no studies have investigated the effect of a training day containing speed and weight training sessions. Given that it has been suggested that changes in the contraction type, (10) and variations in stimulus (39) are factors that exacerbate the inflammatory response, it is possible that a second session

containing a significant change in stimulus may result in more muscle damage, and a greater loss in neuromuscular performance.

Very intensive sessions have also been shown to result in changes in serum testosterone (19), salivary (12) and serum (8) and cortisol release on subsequent training days. While acute changes in testosterone and cortisol have been linked to chronic adaptation (1), they have also been strongly linked to changes in acute neuromuscular function (11). Therefore changes in both testosterone and cortisol levels in the days that follow intensive training may, in turn, influence the athlete's readiness to undertake further intensive training at these time points. To date, only Johnston et al., (2015) have examined the endocrine response to maximal speed training over a 24-hour period (24). Johnston and colleagues reported no change in either plasma testosterone or cortisol in response to a session consisting of 6 x 50m sprints with five minutes recovery between repetitions 24 h post completion. However, serum testosterone levels have been reported to be depressed 24 hours after a weight training protocol (19), but elevated 24 hours after a plyometric protocol (8), while serum cortisol levels have been found to be elevated 24 h post weight training (46), but depressed 24 h post a concurrent strength and endurance session (38). It is also unclear as to what effect the addition of a second session would have on the endocrine response the following day.

Therefore, it is important to consider the combined effect of two sessions on both the neuromuscular and endocrine profiles, to determine if the second training session results will have an impact on the athlete's readiness to train in the hours or days that

follow. If this were found to be the case, it would have important implications for the subsequent training days and competition preparation.

Given this, the aim of the current study was to investigate the effect of a two session training day (speed and weights) versus a one session training day (speed only) on neuromuscular performance, markers of muscle damage, and hormone response.

METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem

This study profiled a training day consisting of a single maximal speed training session and compared it to a training day consisting of a maximal speed training session followed two hours later by a heavy weight training session. The decision to perform the second session two hours after the maximal speed training session was based off the findings of a previous study, which showed neuromuscular performance to have recovered by this time point following this type of session (23). The study was designed as a randomized crossover trial and each experimental protocol was completed over two days. On the speed only training day, baseline measurement (Pre) of lactate, perceived muscle soreness, creatine kinase, testosterone, cortisol, and CMJ performance preceded the maximal speed training session. These measurements were then recollected immediately (IPS), 2 hours (2h) and 24 hours (24h) post the completion of the training session.

During the speed and weights protocol, the same measurements were also collected Pre, IPS and 2h post the maximal speed training. However, following the 2h post collection, subjects completed a heavy weight training session. Immediately following the completion of the weights session (IPW), subjects were retested for lactate, muscle soreness, and CMJ performance. Twenty-four hours after completion of the maximal speed training session lactate, perceived muscle soreness, creatine kinase, testosterone, cortisol, and CMJ performance were all assessed for a final time. CMJs were processed for peak power (absolute and relative), jump height, and

average rate of force development. Blood samples were analyzed for lactate, creatine kinase, testosterone, and cortisol.

Subjects

Fifteen academy level rugby players from a professional rugby team were recruited for this study (mean \pm standard deviation: age 21 ± 1 years; 100.5 ± 10.5 kg; height 185.7 ± 6.6 cm). Each player had been involved in the professional academy system for a minimum of two years, during which time they were exposed to regular strength, power, and speed training and testing (mean \pm standard deviation: Squat one repetition maximum (1RM) 170 ± 20 kg, Bench 1RM 135 ± 10 kg, 10m sprint time 1.75 ± 0.1 sec). The study was undertaken at the end of the regular playing season, and subjects were performing physical training programs that consisted of speed, strength, and conditioning sessions 4 days per week. Subjects provided written informed consent, and a university research ethics committee provided ethical approval for the study.

Procedures

Prior to arriving at the indoor track on day 1 of each protocol, subjects were given two days off training. Each subject was given an arrival and start time, which was maintained throughout the study to account for circadian variation in hormones and body temperature (16). Upon arrival, subjects filled out a questionnaire on perceived muscle soreness and a blood sample was collected for subsequent analysis. Subjects then performed a 10 minute standardized warm-up before reporting to the testing area.

During each protocol, the first day's breakfast, lunch, snacks, and dinner along with the following day's breakfast were provided (soulmate food, Lancashire, UK). Both calorie intake and food choice were kept the same throughout both the speed only and speed and weights protocols in order to ensure that the participant's nutritional intake was standardized throughout the study. Consumption of water was also allowed throughout the testing and training periods.

Maximal Speed training

After a running specific warm up consisting of 4 sub-maximal 50m sprints interspaced with 2 minutes recovery, subjects proceeded to an indoor track. Following a 5 minute passive recovery period, a session consisting of 6 maximal 50m sprints with 5 minutes recovery between each run was completed. Each sprint started 30cm behind the start line and was timed at 10m and 50m using electronic timing gates (Brower timing system, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). The training parameters used reflected the subjects normal speed training sessions and are in line with the volume of maximal speed running per session suggested by elite track and field coaches (15).

Weight training

In the speed and weights protocol subjects undertook a typical lower body strength training session, consisting of 5 sets of 4 repetitions of the back squat and 5 sets of 4 repetitions of the Romanian deadlift (RDL) all at 85% 1RM and with 4 minutes recovery between sets. Each exercise was preceded by two sets of four at 50% and 70% 1RM by way of a warm-up. Subjects were regularly tested on their 1RM and the percentages were calculated from tests performed within 3 weeks of the data

collection. This session was performed 2 h post the completion of the maximal speed training session.

Biochemical Testing

Blood samples were collected from the antecubital vein after 10 minutes of lying supine to determine the acute responses of testosterone, cortisol, and creatine kinase, and each sample was taken by trained practitioners via venipuncture. After collection, the samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature. Plasma was analyzed for testosterone, cortisol, and creatine kinase activity using commercially available kits (Roche Diagnostic Limited, Charles Avenue, Burgess hill) on a Cobas C8000 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland). Lactate was analyzed from blood taken from a capillary using a lactate analyzer (Lactate pro, Arkray).

Neuromuscular performance

The CMJ tests were performed on a force platform (type 9287CA, Kistler Instruments Ltd., Franborough, United Kingdom). It has previously been reported that CMJ correlates well with dynamic performance (47), making it a relevant marker for the assessment of neuromuscular function. A sample rate of 1,000 Hz, and a vertical force range of 20 kN were used for all trials, in accordance with previous research (33). After collection, the vertical component of the ground reaction force (GRF) time history was exported to a custom-built excel sheet for analysis. Body mass, jump start time, and take off were calculated using methods previously described (42).

In order to calculate jump height, maximal vertical displacement was first calculated using the impulse momentum method. Jump height (m) was then defined as the difference between vertical displacement at takeoff and maximal vertical displacement. Data collected from a pilot study found the test-retest reliability of jump height using this method to be high (ICC 0.93). To calculate peak power, instantaneous power was first calculated by multiplying vertical GRF by the vertical velocity of the centre of gravity. Relative peak power was calculated by dividing the peak power by the body weight, in kilograms (kg). Peak power and relative peak power were both found to have test-retest ICC's of 0.96 during a pilot study.

CMJ average rate of force development was calculated using a published method (44), and was defined as the change of force during the eccentric deceleration phase divided by the time of the eccentric deceleration phase. The eccentric deceleration phase was defined as the point at which the force passed through body weight during the eccentric phase, through to the point when displacement became positive. The ICC for this method was found to be 0.92 during a pilot study.

Perceived Muscle Soreness

Perceived muscle soreness was recorded at each data collection point, using a 7-point Likert scale designed to measure soreness in the lower body. The scale ranged from very, very good (1) to very, very sore (7). The use of this Likert scale is supported by previous research in the area (2). The subjects were asked to base their scores on perceived soreness during normal movement, and were alone when questioned in order to reduce the desire to provide favorable scores in front of their peers.

Statistical Analysis

Data is expressed in its recorded form as the mean \pm standard deviation. After tests for normal distribution, and prior to any further statistical analysis, creatine kinase recorded values were log transformed due to large inter-participant variability. Differences between and within protocol were assessed using a two-way (time point and protocol) repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). If significant F values were observed ($p \leq 0.05$) a repeated measure one-way ANOVA was used in conjunction with Holm's Bonferroni method for control of type I error to determine where significant differences occurred. Effect size was determined using η^2 with an effect size of approximately 0.2 considered small, approximately 0.5 considered medium and approximately 0.8 considered large. The level of significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$ for the present study and all statistics were performed using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Sprints

The mean 10m and 50m times for the speed only and speed and weights protocols can be seen in Table 1. 10m and 50m times were not found to differ between the protocols.

Insert table 1 around here

Endocrine response

The endocrine data for the two protocols is presented in Table 2. Analysis revealed a significant time effect for both testosterone (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.530$, $F = 15.797$, $P < 0.05$) and cortisol (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.673$, $F = 28.824$, $P < 0.05$), with testosterone found to be significantly elevated immediately after the maximal speed training part of both protocols while cortisol, in contrast, was significantly lower at the same time point during the speed and weights protocol but unchanged during the speed only protocol.

At 2 h post, testosterone was no longer significantly different to baseline values, while cortisol had dropped significantly in both protocols. At 24 post, testosterone and cortisol did not differ from pre-training levels in either protocol (Table 2).

No protocol time interaction was found for either testosterone (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.025$, $F = 0.366$, $p > 0.05$) or cortisol (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.049$, $F = 0.722$, $p > 0.05$).

Insert Table 2 around here

Neuromuscular performance

The CMJ data is presented in Table 3. No protocol time interaction was found for any of the CMJ variables. However, there was a time effect for peak power (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.733$, $F = 38.456$, $p < 0.05$), jump height (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.575$, $F = 18.966$, $p < 0.05$), average rate of force development (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.170$, $F = 2.860$, $p < 0.05$), and relative peak power (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.732$, $F = 38.216$, $p < 0.05$).

As can be seen in Table 3, several CMJ variables were found to have declined from their baseline values immediately post the maximal speed training session, before returning to baseline values 2 h. When observed 24 hours post, several jump variables were again found to be depressed versus pre-training values indicating a second decline in neuromuscular performance (Table 3).

During the speed and weights protocol, an additional measure of jump performance was taken immediately post the weights session. At this time point, peak power, jump height, and relative peak power were significantly lower than both the pre-training and 2-hour post levels (Table 3).

Insert table 3 around here

Creatine Kinase, lactate, and muscle soreness

A protocol time interaction was found for perceived muscle soreness (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.253$, $F = 4.750$, $p < 0.05$), but not for lactate or creatine kinase. Further analysis revealed that the speed and weights protocol resulted in significantly higher levels of perceived muscle soreness at 24h post than in the speed only protocol (Figure 1).

Significant time effects were found for lactate (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.975$, $F = 540.593$, $p < 0.05$), perceived muscle soreness (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.537$, $F = 16.205$, $p < 0.05$), and creatine kinase (effect size $\eta^2 = 0.503$, $F = 14.155$, $p < 0.05$) (Table 4).

Lactate levels were elevated immediately after the speed training sessions but not at either 2 or 24 hours post in either protocol (Table 4). Creatine kinase response was

significantly elevated at immediately post, 2 hours post and 24 hours post the maximal speed training session in both protocols.

Insert figure 1 and table 4 around here

DISCUSSION

The present study is the first to compare the temporal responses of various neuromuscular, biochemical, and endocrine parameters from a training day consisting of a speed session performed in isolation to a training day containing one speed and one weight training session separated by two hours. The main finding from the study was that, while the addition of a lower body weights session 2 hours after a speed training session did result in an increase in perceived muscle soreness at the 24 hour post time point, it did not result in any additional changes in hormonal, biochemical, or neuromuscular response over the course of the 24-hour measurement period.

Neuromuscular performance

Immediately after the maximal speed training session in both protocols, several of the CMJ parameters had declined significantly when compared to pre training levels.. These initial depressions in neuromuscular performance were accompanied by significant elevations in plasma creatine kinase, blood lactate, and perceived muscle soreness.

When measured 2 hours after the maximal speed training session, blood lactate and jump performance had returned to pre training levels in both protocols while plasma creatine kinase, and perceived muscle soreness continued to rise (table 4). When considered alongside the recovery of neuromuscular performance, our finding that blood lactate had returned to baseline levels 2 hours after the maximal sprint session, suggests that, at least in part, the decreased jump performance observed immediately post maximal speed training was due to decreased functioning of the contractile

mechanisms of the muscle fiber (41) in the presence of the metabolites produced during exercise.

Several CMJ parameters were also found to be depressed after the weight training session performed during the speed and weights protocol (table 3). When these post-weights session depressions in performance were compared to the drops experienced immediately after maximal speed training no significant differences were found. This is consistent with the results of previous research (9, 17, 39, 40) which, while using different measures of neuromuscular performance, also reported no significant difference in the losses experienced after each of the two training sessions performed on the same day. However, the current study is the first to report these findings after a training day consisting of a speed and weight training session which is a common approach, and one recommended by elite coaches (15).

To date, only Hakkinen and colleagues (20) have reported the blood lactate response to multiple daily sessions. In their study, they compared two strength sessions consisting of a mix of Olympic and strength lifts, and found no difference between the post-session metabolic responses. This is in contrast to our findings, where a significant difference in the post-session blood lactate levels was observed. This observed difference in the metabolic response to the two sessions is an interesting finding given that the recoveries were the same and the duration of the efforts were shorter during the sprint training. It appears that, even though the duration of efforts performed during the maximal speed training would have been expected to primarily tax the adenosine triphosphate phosphocreatine system, and the between-effort recoveries of 5 minutes would have been expected to allow significant creatine

phosphate replenishment, the high observed blood lactates of 9.31 ± 1.65 mmol (speed only) and 9.41 ± 1.38 mmol (speed and weights) would suggest that replenishment did not occur. Research indicates that 3 x 100m sprints produce greater blood lactate levels than one effort of 300m (36). The authors suggest that this was a result of the 3 x 100m protocol allowing their subjects to operate at higher speeds over the same total distance and that the repeated maximal efforts performed throughout the speed session most likely resulted in a significant post effort energy demand. Given this, it seems likely that the fatigue observed after the maximal speed training was peripheral in origin.

In contrast, significantly lower blood lactates were observed immediately post the weight training. Research indicates that variations in the metabolic demand of exercise can result in different mechanisms of fatigue, even when the decreases in neuromuscular performance are similar (27). Furthermore, it is reported that central rather than peripheral mechanisms are the primary cause of the depressions in neuromuscular performance that occur as the result of high intensity strength training (17, 27). Therefore, while similar decreases in neuromuscular performance were observed after both sessions in our study, it is possible that different mechanisms may have contributed to these decreases.

In the current study, while several of the jump variables were depressed at the 24-hour post time point in response to both protocols (Table 2), there was no significant difference between the protocols with regard to the degree of depression experienced. Given this, the results from the CMJs suggest that the addition of a weight training session 2 hours after maximal speed training does not result in a greater loss in

neuromuscular performance at 24 hours post. The finding that several jump variables underwent a secondary decline in response to the speed only protocol confirms our previous findings (24), and suggests that maximal speed training induces a bimodal recovery pattern in this population. The depressions in performance at 24 h post were accompanied by elevations in both creatine kinase, and perceived muscle soreness in both protocols, indicating significant muscle damage. It has been reported that it is the inflammatory response to muscle damage as opposed to the muscle damage itself that ultimately affects muscle performance (14). While absent at the 2 hours post, this inflammatory response would be expected to be well underway by 24 post (4) and, as such, represents the most likely explanation for the secondary decline in neuromuscular performance observed.

Interestingly, at 24 hours post there was a significant difference between the protocols in terms of perceived muscle soreness, but not plasma creatine kinase. While perceived muscle soreness is often presented as a marker of muscle damage (31), previous research has reported perceived muscle soreness to provide a poor reflection of the degree of muscle damage and inflammation experienced (32), and as such a distinction should be drawn between the two. Given this, their roles in the development of fatigue may also be different. For example, a significant decrease (15%) in maximal voluntary torque has been demonstrated to correlate with elevated levels of perceived muscle soreness (35). However, the authors reported that this correlation was due to the subjects reducing exercise intensity on a conscious and/or unconscious level, rather than via an acute exercise-related physiological or biochemical alteration. Nevertheless, in the current study, the majority of jump variables showed no difference in the degree of decline despite the difference in

perceived muscle soreness. One possible explanation for this may be that in trained athletes performance is less affected by perceived muscle soreness. This is supported by the findings of a study, which tracked maximal voluntary isometric force, and perceived muscle soreness in both trained and untrained subjects for five days after an eccentric protocol designed to induce muscle damage (30). The study reported that, while both groups reported similar levels of perceived muscle soreness, neuromuscular performance returned much quicker in the trained group.

It is important to highlight that, in the current study; the weight training session followed the maximal speed training. Eccentric stress is reported to be one of the main mechanisms behind muscle damage/ inflammation (8), and it is unclear if changing the exercise session order would have had an effect on the degree of muscle damage, perceived muscle soreness, and loss of performance experienced 24 hours post.

Endocrine response to the speed only, and speed and weights protocols

The current study also set out to compare how the two training protocols affected endocrine response. While plasma levels of testosterone significantly increased immediately after the speed training sessions, cortisol did not (Figure 1). This finding is in contrast to previous work into the endocrine response to maximal speed training. Pullum et al., (2005) reported significant post session increases in both serum testosterone and cortisol levels immediately after a training session consisting of 10 x 50m sprints with 4 minutes recovery between repetitions (34), while Johnston et al., (2015) reported no change in plasma levels of either marker in response to a session consisting of 6 x 50m sprints with 5 minutes recovery between repetitions (24).

However, an increase in salivary testosterone coupled with a decrease in cortisol has been reported post resistance training (6), and a similar response in serum levels has been reported after a repeated sprint-training session consisting of 4 x 250m sprints with 3 minutes recovery between repetitions (29).

The exact reason why cortisol did not respond immediately after the initial training session and testosterone did is unclear. Our initial baselines were taken immediately prior to the start of each protocol and, as such, it is possible they are unrepresentative of resting cortisol levels due to the subject's anticipation of the training sessions. However, it is unclear how much pre-session anticipation affects cortisol levels with a recent study into the endocrine response to a powerlifting competition reporting that pre-exercise anticipation did not cause elevations in salivary cortisol in all cases (25). Alternatively, it has been suggested that there is a training load 'threshold' upon which the hypothalamic adrenal (HPA) axis is activated (7), and it is possible that the low volume (6 x 50m) in the current study was insufficient to activate it.

Testosterone has been reported to have several fast acting non-genomic effects, including several related to muscle function (13). Given this, it is possible that instead of being a direct response to session volume, the post sprint training increases in testosterone occurred in order to support the effort to sustain neuromuscular performance throughout the session.

Considering the maximal speed training sessions performed in both the current study and in Johnston et al., (2015) were identical, it is curious that they resulted in different testosterone responses. Testosterone response to a training stimulus is reported to be

dependent on training background (1), and in the current study, were considerably stronger with a 1RM squat and bench personal bests of $170 \pm 20\text{kg}$ and $135 \pm 10\text{kg}$. In contrast, the subjects in Johnston et al., (2015) had reported 1RM squat of $150 \pm 22\text{kg}$ and a reported 1RM bench of $121 \pm 15\text{kg}$ (24). Therefore, this difference in training level may have contributed to the differences in post exercise elevations observed.

While the lack of a control group represented a limitation in the current study, previous research into the circadian pattern of testosterone suggests that declines in levels would normally occur over the timeframes our data was collected (22). Given this, the lack of decrease observed at 2 hours post in the current study may actually be viewed as an elevation versus the levels that would have been expected without the sprint training session. Cortisol, conversely, appeared to follow the expected circadian pattern, and was significantly depressed 2 hours post the maximal speed training in both protocols. While the degree to which the sustained post exercise elevations in testosterone observed may or may not be directly involved in inducing muscle protein synthesis is subject to controversy (37), acute variations have been suggested to play a role in other adaptations relevant to strength/power athletes (3). For instance, it has been demonstrated that altering the normal circadian pattern of salivary testosterone with a morning weight training session correlated with improved afternoon sprint performance in male rugby players (11). It cannot, therefore, be ruled out that the post-exercise testosterone response observed in the current study may have resulted in a superior training or competitive environment. If so, this may have implications for training order and, potentially, pre-competition preparation.

Previously, variations in testosterone and or cortisol hormones in the days following training have been thought to give an indication of training stress (8). In the current study, neither hormone was different from pre-training levels when assessed 24 hours post , and there was no difference in the response between the protocols. This would suggest that the addition of a second training session does not affect hormonal levels the following day. However, further research is required to see if this pattern continues long term or if continually performing multiple training sessions per day does induce altered hormonal responses long term.

In conclusion, our primary finding is that the addition of a weights session two hours after an initial maximal speed session did result in an increase in perceived muscle soreness. However, this increase in muscle soreness did not result in any increased loss of neuromuscular performance or difference in the endocrine or biochemical responses. One possible explanation for this is that the weight training was less damaging than the maximal speed training and, as a result, any damage that was done during the speed and weights protocol had already been done prior to the weight training session. However, further research is required to assess if indeed these findings were influenced by session order.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Athletes are often required to undertake training sessions aimed at developing several different physical qualities in the same day and / or week. This study shows that two hours was sufficient for the neuromuscular system to recover from a maximal speed session. In addition, the performance of weight training two hours after speed training does not result in any difference in the biochemical or neuromuscular markers

assessed 24 later when compared to a training day consisting only of maximal speed training. This has implications for the programming of the training day and week as compressing the weight and speed training into a single training day does not seem to result in additional fatigue or damage. Finally, given that neuromuscular performance was depressed the day after maximal speed training, this two a day training approach may actually promote superior adaptation.

REFERENCES

1. Ahtiainen JP, Pakarinen A, Alen M, Kraemer WJ, and Hakkinen K. Muscle hypertrophy, hormonal adaptations and strength development during strength training in strength-trained and untrained men. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 89: 555-563, 2003.
2. Andersson H, Raastad T, Nilsson J, Paulsen G, Garthe I, and Kadi F. Neuromuscular fatigue and recovery in elite female soccer: effects of active recovery. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 40: 372-380, 2008.
3. Anttila K, Manttari S, and Jarvilehto M. Testosterone and Ca²⁺ regulation in skeletal muscle. *Int J Sports Med* 29: 795-802, 2008.
4. Armstrong RB. Initial events in exercise-induced muscular injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 22: 429-435, 1990.
5. Asp S, Daugaard JR, Kristiansen S, Kiens B, and Richter EA. Exercise metabolism in human skeletal muscle exposed to prior eccentric exercise. *J Physiol-London* 509: 305-313, 1998.
6. Beaven CM, Gill ND, and Cook CJ. Salivary testosterone and cortisol responses in professional rugby players after four resistance exercise protocols. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 22: 426-432, 2008.
7. Cadore EL, Pinheiro E, Izquierdo M, Correa CS, Radaelli R, Martins JB, Lhullier FL, Laitano O, Cardoso M, and Pinto RS. Neuromuscular, hormonal, and metabolic responses to different plyometric training volumes in rugby players. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 27: 3001-3010, 2013.

8. Chatzinikolaou A, Fatouros IG, Gourgoulis V, Avloniti A, Jamurtas AZ, Nikolaidis MG, Douroudos I, Michailidis Y, Beneka A, Malliou P, Tofas T, Georgiadis I, Mandalidis D, and Taxildaris K. Time course of changes in performance and inflammatory responses after acute plyometric exercise. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 24: 1389-1398, 2010.
9. Chiu LZ, Fry AC, Schilling BK, Johnson EJ, and Weiss LW. Neuromuscular fatigue and potentiation following two successive high intensity resistance exercise sessions. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 92: 385-392, 2004.
10. Coffey VG, Pilegaard H, Garnham AP, O'Brien BJ, and Hawley JA. Consecutive bouts of diverse contractile activity alter acute responses in human skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol* 106: 1187-1197, 2009.
11. Cook CJ, Kilduff LP, Crewther BT, Beaven M, and West DJ. Morning based strength training improves afternoon physical performance in rugby union players. *Journal of science and medicine in sport / Sports Medicine Australia*, 2013.
12. Cormack SJ, Newton RU, and McGuigan MR. Neuromuscular and endocrine responses of elite players to an Australian rules football match. *International journal of sports physiology and performance* 3: 359-374, 2008.
13. Crewther BT, Cook C, Cardinale M, Weatherby RP, and Lowe T. Two Emerging Concepts for Elite Athletes The Short-Term Effects of Testosterone and Cortisol on the Neuromuscular System and the Dose-Response Training Role of these Endogenous Hormones. *Sports Medicine* 41: 103-123, 2011.
14. Dousset E, Avela J, Ishikawa M, Kallio J, Kuitunen S, Kyrolainen H, Linnamo V, and Komi PV. Bimodal recovery pattern in human skeletal

- muscle induced by exhaustive stretch-shortening cycle exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39: 453-460, 2007.
15. Francis C. *The Structure of Training for Speed*. Canada: Charliefrancis.com, 2008.
 16. Hackney AC and Viru A. Research methodology: endocrinologic measurements in exercise science and sports medicine. *J Athl Train* 43: 631-639, 2008.
 17. Hakkinen K. Neuromuscular responses in male and female athletes to two successive strength training sessions in one day. *The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness* 32: 234-242, 1992.
 18. Hakkinen K and Kallinen M. Distribution of strength training volume into one or two daily sessions and neuromuscular adaptations in female athletes. *Electromyography and clinical neurophysiology* 34: 117-124, 1994.
 19. Hakkinen K and Pakarinen A. Acute hormonal responses to two different fatiguing heavy-resistance protocols in male athletes. *J Appl Physiol* 74: 882-887, 1993.
 20. Hakkinen K, Pakarinen A, Alen M, Kauhanen H, and Komi PV. Neuromuscular and hormonal responses in elite athletes to two successive strength training sessions in one day. *European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology* 57: 133-139, 1988.
 21. Hartman MJ, Clark B, Bemben DA, Kilgore JL, and Bemben MG. Comparisons Between Twice-Daily and Once-Daily Training Sessions in Male Weight Lifters. *International journal of sports physiology and performance* 2: 159-169, 2007.

22. Hayes LD, Bickerstaff GF, and Baker JS. Interactions of cortisol, testosterone, and resistance training: influence of circadian rhythms. *Chronobiol Int* 27: 675-705, 2010.
23. Ijichi T, Hasegawa Y, Morishima T, Kurihara T, Hamaoka T, and Goto K. Effect of sprint training: Training once daily versus twice every second day. *Eur J Sport Sci*: 1-8, 2014.
24. Johnston M, Cook CJ, Crewther BT, Drake D, and Kilduff LP. Neuromuscular, physiological and endocrine responses to a maximal speed training session in elite games players. *Eur J Sport Sci*: 1-7, 2015.
25. Le Panse B, Labsy Z, Baillot A, Vibarel-Rebot N, Parage G, Albrings D, Lasne F, and Collomp K. Changes in steroid hormones during an international powerlifting competition. *Steroids* 77: 1339-1344, 2012.
26. Marcora SM and Bosio A. Effect of exercise-induced muscle damage on endurance running performance in humans. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports* 17: 662-671, 2007.
27. McCaulley GO, McBride JM, Cormie P, Hudson MB, Nuzzo JL, Quindry JC, and Travis Triplett N. Acute hormonal and neuromuscular responses to hypertrophy, strength and power type resistance exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 105: 695-704, 2009.
28. McLean BD, Coutts AJ, Kelly V, McGuigan MR, and Cormack SJ. Neuromuscular, endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses during different length between-match microcycles in professional rugby league players. *International journal of sports physiology and performance* 5: 367-383, 2010.
29. Meckel Y, Eliakim A, Seraev M, Zaldivar F, Cooper DM, Sagiv M, and Nemet D. The effect of a brief sprint interval exercise on growth factors and

- inflammatory mediators. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 23: 225-230, 2009.
30. Newton MJ, Morgan GT, Sacco P, Chapman DW, and Nosaka K. Comparison of responses to strenuous eccentric exercise of the elbow flexors between resistance-trained and untrained men. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 22: 597-607, 2008.
31. Nguyen D, Brown LE, Coburn JW, Judelson DA, Eurich AD, Khamoui AV, and Uribe BP. Effect of delayed-onset muscle soreness on elbow flexion strength and rate of velocity development. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 23: 1282-1286, 2009.
32. Nosaka K, Newton M, and Sacc P. Delayed-onset muscle soreness does not reflect the magnitude of eccentric exercise-induced muscle damage. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports* 12: 337-346, 2002.
33. Owen NJ, Watkins J, Kilduff LP, Bevan HR, and Bennett M. Development of a Criterion Method to Determine Peak Mechanical Power Output in a Countermovement Jump. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*, 2013.
34. Pullinen T, MacDonald E, Pakarinen A, Komi PV, and Mero A. Hormonal responses and muscle fatigue in maximal repetitive sprinting. *Journal of Human Movement Studies* 48: 91-107, 2005.
35. Racinais S, Bringard A, Puchaux K, Noakes TD, and Perrey S. Modulation in voluntary neural drive in relation to muscle soreness. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 102: 439-446, 2008.

36. Saraslanidis PJ, Manetzi CG, Tsalis GA, Zafeiridis AS, Mougios VG, and Kellis SE. Biochemical Evaluation of Running Workouts Used in Training for the 400-M Sprint. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 2266-2271, 2009.
37. Schroeder ET, Villanueva M, West DD, and Phillips SM. Are acute post-resistance exercise increases in testosterone, growth hormone, and IGF-1 necessary to stimulate skeletal muscle anabolism and hypertrophy? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 45: 2044-2051, 2013.
38. Schumann M, Eklund D, Taipale RS, Nyman K, Kraemer WJ, Hakkinen A, Izquierdo M, and Hakkinen K. Acute neuromuscular and endocrine responses and recovery to single-session combined endurance and strength loadings: "order effect" in untrained young men. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 27: 421-433, 2013.
39. Skurvydas A, Kamandulis S, and Masiulis N. Effects on muscle performance of two jumping and two cycling bouts separated by 60 minutes. *International Sportmed Journal* 11: 291-300, 2010.
40. Skurvydas A, Kamandulis S, and Masiulis N. Two series of fifty jumps performed within sixty minutes do not exacerbate muscle fatigue and muscle damage. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 24: 929-935, 2010.
41. Skurvydas A, Masiulis N, Satkunskiene D, Stanislovaitis A, Mamkus G, Kamandulis S, and Dudoniene V. Bimodal recovery of quadriceps muscle force within 24 hours after sprint cycling for 30 seconds. *Med Lith* 43: 226-234, 2007.

42. Street G, McMillan S, Board W, Rasmussen M, and Heneghan JM. Sources of error in determining countermovement jump height with the impulse method. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics* 17: 43-54, 2001.
43. Tan B. Manipulating resistance training program variables to optimize maximum strength in men: A review. *J Strength Cond Res* 13: 289-304, 1999.
44. Thorlund JB, Michalsik LB, Madsen K, and Aagaard P. Acute fatigue-induced changes in muscle mechanical properties and neuromuscular activity in elite handball players following a handball match. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports* 18: 462-472, 2008.
45. Tomazin K, Sarabon N, and Strojnik V. Myoelectric alterations after voluntary induced high- and low-frequency fatigue. *J Sport Sci Med* 7: 242-248, 2008.
46. Uchida MC, Crewther BT, Ugrinowitsch C, Bacurau RF, Moriscot AS, and Aoki MS. Hormonal responses to different resistance exercise schemes of similar total volume. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 23: 2003-2008, 2009.
47. West DJ, Owen NJ, Jones MR, Bracken RM, Cook CJ, Cunningham DJ, Shearer DA, Finn CV, Newton RU, Crewther BT, and Kilduff LP. Relationships between force-time characteristics of the isometric midhigh pull and dynamic performance in professional rugby league players. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association* 25: 3070-3075, 2011.