O'Donoghue, M., Jarvis, H., Drey, N., Almond, M. H., Seneviratne, S., Lalvani, A. & Kon, O. M. (2016). P109 The impact of tb nice guidance on resource capacity and contact screening outcomes: a retrospective, observational study within a central london tb centre. THORAX, 71(3), A142. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.252



City Research Online

Original citation: O'Donoghue, M., Jarvis, H., Drey, N., Almond, M. H., Seneviratne, S., Lalvani, A. & Kon, O. M. (2016). P109 The impact of tb nice guidance on resource capacity and contact screening outcomes: a retrospective, observational study within a central london tb centre. THORAX, 71(3), A142. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209333.252

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/17669/

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>.

The impact of TB NICE guidance on resource capacity and contact screening outcomes: a retrospective, observational study within a central London TB centre.

M O'Donoghue¹, H Jarvis¹, N Drey², MH Almond¹, S Seneviratne¹, A Lalvani³, OM Kon¹

1 Imperial College NHS Trust, London, UK. 2 School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, UK. 3 Imperial College, London, UK

Introduction and objectives Recently published NICE guidance has significantly expanded the approach to adult tuberculosis (TB) contact screening by recommending tuberculin skin testing (TST) for pulmonary and laryngeal contacts only, increasing the age threshold for screening and treatment to 65 years and defining a positive TST as induration ≥5 mm, regardless of BCG vaccination status. Interferon Gamma Release Assay (IGRA) is recommended only in situations where more evidence of infection is needed.

Our institution has previously adopted an approach comprising a chest radiograph, TST and IGRA.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the impact of NICE guidance on screening outcomes and resource capacity by applying the criteria to a well-defined historic cohort of TB contacts.

Methods This was a retrospective, observational study carried out at a central London teaching hospital. The study population comprised 593 consecutive, adult TB contacts screened between 1/1/2008 and 31/12/2010. Data was collected through a retrospective review of TST and IGRA tests.

Results Of the 593 contacts screened, 358 pulmonary contacts had TST and IGRA results. 56% had a TST \geq 5 mm, regardless of BCG status, qualifying them for treatment as per the new NICE guidance. Of these, 61% were IGRA negative (discordant) and may therefore include false positive diagnoses, resulting in the potential for over treatment. In those with TST 5–14 mm, discordance rises to 84%. Conversely, 6% of those with TST < 5 mm are IGRA positive representing potentially missed cases.

16% of screened individuals were contacts of extra pulmonary TB. Not screening this group would reduce the demand for outpatient appointments by 151* in our cohort. In contrast, testing contacts > 35 years would require capacity for an additional 165* appointments. Furthermore, there were 162 additional LTBI cases in comparison to previous guidance requiring an additional 648* appointments. 72% of this group were IGRA negative.

(*Approximate)

Conclusions Our results show the revised guidance will require increased resource capacity largely due to more patients being classified as having latent TB. In addition to workforce planning to meet these demands, further debate is needed to decide if this new approach truly reduces the incidence of active TB or results in unnecessary treatment.