UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *The Salmonella effector SseJ disrupts microtubule dynamics* when ectopically expressed in Normal Rat Kidney cells.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/117457/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Pryor, Paul Robert orcid.org/0000-0001-9123-8329 (2017) The Salmonella effector SseJ disrupts microtubule dynamics when ectopically expressed in Normal Rat Kidney cells. PLoS ONE. ISSN 1932-6203

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172588

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	The Salmonella effector SseJ disrupts microtubule dynamics when ectopically
2	expressed in Normal Rat Kidney cells.

3

Sally A Raines¹, Michael R. Hodgkinson¹, Adam A. Dowle³ and Paul R
Pryor^{1,2*}

- 6
- 7
- 8
- 0
- 9

¹Department of Biology and ²Hull York Medical School, Wentworth Way,
University of York. York. YO10 5DD. United Kingdom.

12 ³Technology Facility, Department of Biology, Wentworth Way, University of

- 13 York. York. YO10 5DD. United Kingdom
- 14 *Correspondence: paul.pryor@york.ac.uk

15

16 Short title: SseJ disrupts microtubules

18 Abstract

19 Salmonella effector protein SseJ is secreted by Salmonella into the host cell 20 cytoplasm where it can then modify host cell processes. Whilst host cell small 21 GTPase RhoA has previously been shown to activate the acyl-transferase 22 activity of SseJ we show here an un-described effect of SseJ protein 23 production upon microtubule dynamism. SseJ prevents microtubule collapse 24 and this is independent of SseJ's acyl-transferase activity. We speculate that 25 the effects of SseJ on microtubules would be mediated via its known 26 interactions with the small GTPases of the Rho family.

27 Introduction

28 Salmonellae are gram-negative bacteria that can infect a wide range of 29 hosts and in humans can cause diseases such as typhoid fever and 30 gastroenteritis. There are ~2600 recognized Salmonella serovars of which 31 over half are represented by S. enterica subspecies enterica (S. enterica 32 subspecies I), constituting 99% of human 33 clinical Salmonella infections. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. 34 Typhimurium; the cause of gastroenteritis) uses two type III secretion systems 35 (T3SS) to translocate pathogen effector proteins directly into the host cell's 36 cytoplasm. (reviewed by [1]). The T3SS encoded by Salmonella pathogenicity 37 island-1 (SPI-1; T3SS-1) is mostly active when extracellular Salmonella come 38 into contact with a host cell and allows effector proteins to be translocated 39 directly into the cell cytoplasm and causes the bacteria to be actively 40 phagocytosed. Another T3SS encoded by Salmonella pathogenicity island-2 41 (SPI-2; T3SS-2) enables the bacteria to multiply intracellularly in a Salmonella

42 containing vacuole (SCV) by allowing further effector proteins to be 43 translocated directly from the Salmonella (through the phagosomal membrane) into the host cell cytoplasm. It is unclear precisely how 44 45 Salmonella uses its multiple T3SS effector proteins to survive intracellularly 46 but theories range from delaying fusion with the degradative organelle the 47 lysosome [2], though the role of the T3SS in this process is contested [3], to 48 preventing the delivery of lysosomal hydrolases to the Salmonella-containing 49 phagosomal compartment by altering mannose 6-phosphate receptor 50 trafficking [4]. Only a finite number of intracellular membrane trafficking and 51 signalling events can be manipulated by a pathogen and hence successful 52 intracellular pathogens are often found to target the same host cell molecules, 53 for instance phosphoinositides are targeted by both Salmonellae and 54 Mycobacteria [5, 6]. Understanding how Salmonella survives intracellularly not 55 only provides information about Salmonella pathogenesis but potentially what 56 processes may also be targeted by other intracellular pathogens.

57 To understand the role of Salmonella T3SS effector proteins in the flow 58 of membranes to the lysosome a rapid screen was undertaken in 59 Saccharomyces cerevisiae (S. cerevisiae). Membrane trafficking events are 60 conserved between yeast and mammalian cells. Therefore, yeast can be used to rapidly identify any Sallmonella proteins that alter membrane traffic to the 61 62 yeast vacuole, the equivalent of the mammalian lysosome. The screen 63 identified the Salmonella virulence protein SseJ and subsequently we show a 64 previously un-described effect of this protein on the stability of host cell 65 microtubules. Microtubules are required for phagosome fusion [7-9] and by promoting a network of stable microtubules this can aid in phagosome fusion 66

67 with endocytic organelles enabling nutrients to be delivered to the 68 phagosomal lumen, promoting bacterial replication.

69

70 Results

71 SseJ production causes membrane trafficking defects

72 To identify Salmonella proteins that can disrupt intracellular membrane 73 trafficking, a genomic library from Salmonella was generated and the DNA 74 inserted into a yeast expression vector. S. cerevisiae were then transformed 75 with the plasmid library and colonies screened for a defect in the delivery of 76 the vacuolar hydrolase, carboxypeptidase-Y (CPY), to the yeast vacuole. If there is disruption of CPY delivery to the vacuole then CPY is secreted. We 77 78 assayed the secretion of a CPY-invertase fusion protein that oxidises an 79 applied solution of o-diansidine to a brown precipitate [10]. This approach has 80 been successfully employed to identify effector proteins of Legionella 81 pneumophila [11] and Mycobacterium tuberculosis [12] that interfere with 82 yeast membrane trafficking. Yeast transformed with the plasmid library were 83 screened for CPY-Inv secretion and 8 yeast clones were found to have CPY-84 Inv secretion in a plasmid dependent manner. One of the clones identified a 85 6kb fragment of Salmonella chromosomal DNA containing 1 partial open 86 reading frame (ORF) and 6 complete ORFs (Fig 1A). All of the Salmonella 87 genes identified in the plasmid, were cloned and expressed individually in 88 yeast and re-assayed for CPY secretion. Qualitative CPY-Inv secretion on 89 agar plates showed that SseJ caused CPY secretion, though we did not 90 analyse the protein production levels of the other 5 proteins. (Fig. 1B).

91 Quantitative CPY-Inv secretion from yeast in liquid culture demonstrated that 92 SseJ dependent CPY-Inv secretion was equivalent to that in yeast lacking the 93 CPY receptor, VPS10 (Δ VPS10; fig. 1C). There are numerous intermediate 94 vesicles involved in delivery of CPY to the vacuole and the retrograde 95 trafficking of the VPS10 receptor. When CPY is secreted, due to a trafficking 96 defect, it is possible to examine the phenotype of the yeast vacuole and in 97 some cases determine which part of the trafficking step of CPY from the Golgi 98 to the vacuole is disrupted [13, 14]. Using the membrane dye FM4-64 to label 99 the yeast vacuole in yeast expressing SseJ, no differences in the morphology 100 of the vacuole were seen compared to wild-type yeast (fig. 1D). These data 101 indicated that SseJ alone can cause a membrane trafficking defect in yeast.

102 SseJ production re-distributes late endocytic organelles

103 SseJ is one of several virulence proteins secreted by Salmonella's T3SSs into 104 the host's cytoplasm directly from the bacteria [15]. Salmonella strains lacking 105 SseJ are attenuated in replication [16-19] indicating that SseJ is crucial for 106 bacterial intracellular replication. SseJ was then expressed in mammalian 107 cells. In this case, we used Normal Rat Kidney (NRK) cells since they show 108 good spatial resolution between endocytic vesicles and in particular between 109 late endosomes and lysosomes. Late-endocytic organelles are poorly 110 resolved by light microscopy in HeLa cells, which are often used for Salmonella infection studies. Constitutive protein production of SseJ was 111 found to cause cell death so myc-tagged SseJ (myc-SseJ) was expressed 112 113 under the control of a metallothionein promoter allowing for inducible sseJ 114 expression upon the addition of cadmium. Immunofluorescence demonstrated 115 that myc-SseJ localises to lysosomes (Fig. 2A) as has previously been

116 reported [19]). Moreover there was a dramatic re-distribution of late endocytic organelles with both late endosomes and lysosomes becoming less peri-117 118 nuclear and more peripherally distributed (Fig. 2B). The trans-Golgi marker 119 TGN38 was observed to occupy a larger area of the cell (Fig. 2B), but in 120 general cells were flatter with an increased surface area (on average the cell surface area went from 591µm² to 2,057µm² upon SseJ expression). Ectopic 121 122 SseJ protein production can cause globular membranous compartments (GMCs) [19] and indeed when sseJ expression was induced for 24 h, 123 124 lysosomes were seen to aggregate as observed by LGP120 (rat equivalent of 125 LAMP1) staining (Fig. 2C). The metallothionein promoter regulating sseJ 126 expression is slightly leaky due to the presence of trace amounts of heavy 127 metals in the tissue culture media, which explains why the lysosomes are 128 partially aggregated in transfected cells before cadmium addition (Fig. 2C 129 panel b). The re-distribution of organelles is observed when the cytoskeleton 130 is perturbed [20] and indeed when the microtubule polymerisation inhibitor nocodazole was added to cells, late endocytic organelles re-distributed in a 131 132 manner similar to that observed with SseJ expression (Fig. 2D).

133 SseJ alters microtubule dynamics

134 To assess whether the re-distribution of organelles was related to changes to 135 the cytoskeleton the microtubules were visualised in cells expressing SseJ or 136 a mutant SseJ (SseJ S151A). SseJ has homology to the GDSL-like lipolytic 137 enzyme family [21] and shows deacylase, phospholipase and 138 glycerophospholipid-cholesterol acyltransferase (GCAT) activity [22-24]. 139 Ser151 in SseJ is the middle serine in a GDSLS motif, which is present in 140 GCAT enzymes and mutation of this residue reduces SseJ's deacylase

activity by 5 fold [22]. SseJ-S151A still localises to the Salmonella containing 141 vacuole and Salmonella induced filaments (Sifs) [25] are still visible in a SseJ-142 143 S151A mutant strain but the bacteria show reduced virulence [22]. The microtubules, in both WT and mutant-SseJ expressing cells, became 144 145 disorganised with no clear microtubule organising centre (MTOC; Fig. 3A). In 146 J774.2 macrophages the majority of cells don't have clear microtubules 147 emanating from a MTOC unless they have flattened out on the culture vessel 148 surface (Fig. 3B). Co-cultures of bacteria and J774.2 macrophages causes 149 the macrophages to flatten out and under these conditions the microtubule 150 network becomes more visible. However, a loss of organised microtubules, 151 emanating from a clearly defined MTOC, was seen in mouse macrophages 152 infected with WT Salmonella but not in cells infected with *AsseJ Salmonella* 153 (Fig. 3B). Typically, *AsseJ Salmonella* induced a four-fold increase in visible microtubules emanating from the MTOC compared to control cells, but WT 154 155 Salmonella only induced a two-fold increase (Fig. 3B). Unlike nocodazole that 156 completely disrupts tubulin polymers (Fig. 2D), cells expressing SseJ still 157 show some tubulin polymers albeit in a dis-organised manner. Long-lived, 158 stable microtubules are de-tyrosinated, resulting in the exposure of a 159 glutamate residue (Glu-tubulin), and acetylated [26, 27]. Cells were then 160 examined for the presence of Glu-tubulin (Fig. 3C). In cells expressing both 161 WT and mutant SseJ protein there was a reduction in Glu-tubulin 162 immunolabelling compared to control cells. Furthermore, there was a 163 reduction in acetylated-tubulin (Fig. 3D) in WT and mutant sseJ expressing 164 cells. The reduction in acetylated-tubulin corresponded to the time of induction 165 of sseJ expression (Fig. 3E). SseJ protein production was induced with 10µM

166 cadmium and the metal can alter the cytoskeleton [28, 29] but we saw no 167 effect of cadmium on the cytoskeleton in NRK cells without *ssej* expression 168 (all control cells in figure 3 are in the presence of 10µM cadmium chloride). 169 Together these data suggested that long-lived microtubules had been de-170 stabilised in cells expressing SseJ, but some un-organised microtubules could 171 still be observed. When cells were transfected with a plasmid encoding GFP-172 CLIP-170, a protein that binds to the growing ends of microtubules, and visualised by live cell microscopy, no CLIP-170 movement could be observed 173 174 in cells expressing SseJ (supplemental movie) compared to control cells 175 (supplemental movie). Similar data were obtained with EB3-tdTomato, 176 another microtubule plus-end binding protein, and single images of EB3-177 tdTomato transfected cells show the EB3 on the end of microtubules in control 178 cells but no visible incorporation of the EB3 onto microtubules in cells 179 expressing SseJ (Fig 3F). So whilst there was a reduction in long-lived 180 microtubules, as assessed by Glu-tubulin and acetylated-tubulin, there was no dynamism in the remaining microtubules. 181

182 SseJ binds both RhoA and RhoC

183 Rho proteins are small GTPases that are primarily associated with modifying 184 the actin cytoskeleton, but they can effect cell polarity and microtubules [30]. 185 SseJ can interact with both RhoA or RhoC [31, 32], with GTP-bound RhoA 186 activating SseJ's lipase activity [32]. SseJ has only previously been shown to bind RhoA or RhoC separately. Large scale immunoprecipitations of SseJ 187 188 from cells overexpressing SseJ identified both RhoA and RhoC having bound 189 to SseJ under experimental conditions where the GTPases were in their GDP-190 bound form (Fig. 4A), with WT and SseJ-S151A binding Rho proteins with

equal ability (Fig. 4B). These experiments indicate that SseJ can bind either RhoA or RhoC in the presence of each other when neither protein is in a limiting amount. Although we have no evidence, it is unlikely that SseJ is binding both RhoA and RhoC simultaneously. Using an ELISA we found, as has been reported [32], that SseJ did not increase the levels of activated (GTP-bound) RhoA (Fig. 4C).

197 **Discussion**

198 In this study, we aimed to understand how Salmonella can survive 199 intracellularly by uncovering Salmonella effector molecules that can 200 manipulate membrane trafficking events. Manipulation of membrane traffic may disrupt late-organelle biogenesis, including lysosomes, and therefore 201 202 provide conditions that enable the bacteria to replicate. We hypothesised that 203 a Salmonella T3SS effector molecule may manipulate membrane trafficking in 204 yeast to the same extent as mammalian cells given that the delivery of 205 molecules to the vacuole/lysosome are conserved. Using an unbiased screen 206 we identified SseJ, which is a T3SS effector protein, that caused a membrane 207 trafficking defect in yeast (Fig. 1). This is the first demonstration that SseJ 208 causes changes to membrane trafficking in eukaryotes. The powerful yeast 209 screen led us to examine the distribution of organelles in mammalian cells, 210 expecting them to be perturbed. Indeed, organelles no longer localised to the MTOC (Fig. 2) and this observation could be related to changes to the 211 212 microtubules (Fig. 3). We further showed that SseJ can bind to both RhoA 213 and RhoC and whilst others have shown that RhoA can regulate the GCAT 214 activity of SseJ [32] this is the first report to prove the hypothesis that SseJ 215 alters the cytoskeleton [33].

216 How might SseJ alter the cytoskeleton? Whilst Rho proteins are well 217 known to alter the actin cytoskeleton they can also alter the stability of 218 microtubules via Diaphanous-related formins (DRFs) [34]. RhoA-mDia1/2 can 219 stimulate microtubule stabilisation with an increase in Glu-tubulin, precisely 220 how this is achieved is unknown, and it is possible that if SseJ recruits active 221 Rho proteins to the lysosome then the RhoA-mDia1/2 balance may be 222 disrupted leading to changes in the microtubules. Whilst we did not observe 223 an increase in Glu-tubulin we did see static microtubules. Although the 224 binding of SseJ to RhoA or RhoC has been documented, our data show for 225 the first time that SseJ can bind RhoA or RhoC when both proteins are 226 present and neither are in limited amounts i.e. SseJ does not preferentially 227 bind RhoA and then RhoC (Fig 4). This does raise the possibility that SseJ 228 may have differential effects through both RhoA and RhoC, with differences 229 between RhoA, RhoB and RhoC well documented [35]. So whilst RhoA-GTP 230 can stimulate the GCAT activity of SseJ [32], the binding of RhoC to SseJ 231 may affect the microtubules. RhoC is reported to have a higher affinity for the 232 kinases Rho-associated coiled-coil containing kinases (ROCK) and Citron 233 kinase compared to RhoA [35]. MAP2/Tau proteins stabilise microtubules and 234 inhibit depolymerisation (reviewed by [36]), an effect seen in SseJ expressing 235 cells, and MAP2/Tau proteins can be phosphorylated by numerous kinases 236 including ROCK [35, 37]. The effects of MAP2/Tau phosphorylation are yet to 237 be determined, but there is a precedence for microtubule regulation by Rho 238 proteins via DRFs and kinases such as ROCK [38]. Expression of sseJ before 239 Salmonella infection reduces Sif formation [19], which can be explained by the 240 fact that a dynamic cytoskeleton is required for phagosome maturation [39].

Additionally, whereas SseJ-S151A has reduced GCAT activity [22] the effects on the microtubules are still seen in the S151A mutant suggesting that the GCAT activity is separate from the microtubule effect, though we can't rule out that there is still enough residual GCAT activity in cells over-expressing *sseJ*.

245 SseJ has been shown to interact indirectly with another T3SS effector protein, SifA [31]. *AsifA* mutants escape the phagosomal vacuole but not if a 246 247 double sifA sseJ mutant is made, implying that loss of the integrity of the 248 phagosomal membrane is dependent on SseJ [19]. SifA and SseJ are 249 sufficient to cause endosome tubulation [31] and certainly SifA is required for 250 endosome tubulation [40, 41]. With SifA found to bind to RhoA, and SKIP, 251 which is a kinesin binding protein, it was hypothesised that RhoA, SseJ, SifA 252 and SKIP regulates endosome tubulation along microtubules [31]. However, 253 studies have shown that *AsseJ* Salmonella show endosomal tubulation 254 implying that SseJ is dispensable for endosome tubulation in a background 255 where all the other secreted effector proteins are expressed [42, 43].

256 Salmonella induced endosomal tubules or Salmonella induced 257 filaments (Sifs) are initially dynamic but become stabilised (>8h after cell 258 infection; [42] and this stabilisation could correspond to the changes that we 259 see in the dynamics of the microtubules, given that SseJ is secreted from 260 Salmonella within 4 h [17]. It is has been known for a long time that 261 lysosomes can form tubules [44, 45] and that microtubules regulate the 262 distribution of lysosomes [46] and their tubular morphology [7]. Although SseJ 263 is dispensable for the formation of Sifs in infected cells, SseJ may aid in 264 stabilising the Sifs that do form.. Why would this be advantageous to the 265 Salmonella? Endosome fusion and delivery of endocytosed material to

266 lysosomes can occur at the end of lysosome tubules [47] and the curvature of 267 the membrane at the tip of a tubule is likely to be more fusogenic with 268 endocytic vesicles compared to a larger, more-rounded phagosomal 269 membrane [48]. By reducing microtubule de-polymerisation this allows 270 Salmonella to promote tubular lysosomes (endosomal tubules), in conjunction 271 with other proteins such as SifA, increasing fusion events with endosomal 272 vesicles carrying in nutrients from the extracellular environment. Rho 273 GTPases are a common target of bacterial pathogens [49, 50] and further 274 work is required to determine whether SseJ's effect on cellular microtubules is 275 mediated through RhoA or RhoC.

276 Materials and Methods

277 Reagents and Antibodies

278 Chemical reagents were of laboratory grade. Anti-c-myc (9E10) antibodies 279 9E10 hybridoma tissue culture were purified from supernatants 280 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank). Anti rat LGP110 (580), anti-mouse 281 cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (MPR; 1001) and anti-rat 282 TGN38 (2F7.1) were kind gifts from J. P. Luzio (University of Cambridge, UK). 283 Anti α -tubulin (T-9026) was from Sigma, anti-glu-tubulin was from Synaptic 284 Systems, anti-acetylated tubulin (D20G3), rabbit monoclonal anti-RhoA 285 (67B9) and anti-RhoC (D40E4) were from Cell Signalling.

286 Yeast Strains

BHY10 and BHY11 haploid yeast strains expressing CPY-Inv [51] and BHY10
ΔVPS10::TRP1 were a kind gift from Dr. M. Seaman (University of

289 Cambridge). For the screen BHY10 and BHY11 were mated on YPD agar 290 plates, diploid yeast (BHY12) picked from SC–Lys,-Ade plates and then 291 maintained on YPD agar plates.

292 Salmonella genomic library generation

293 Chromosomal DNA was isolated from stationary phase Salmonella 294 Typhimurium strain 14028 [52]. DNA was partially digested with Sau3AI for 1h 295 at 37°C. DNA was electrophoresed on a gel, and the region corresponding to ≈0.8-5 kb was excised and the DNA purified. pVT-100 U [53] a gift from Dr. K. 296 297 Bowers (UCL, UK), was linearised with BamHI and then de-phosphorylated 298 using calf intestinal phosphatase. DNA was ligated into linearised pVT-100U 299 using T4 DNA ligase and transformed into NEB 10-beta competent E. 300 *coli* (High Efficiency). Ampicillin-resistant colonies ($\approx 0.5 \times 10^6$) were scraped, 301 and plasmid DNA prepared (Qiagen midiprep).

302 Constructs

303 SseJ was cloned from S. Typhimurium DNA by PCR. Primers were used to 304 append a myc-tag to the SseJ PCR product along with 15bp regions of 305 homology to the destination vector to allow for homologous recombination 306 using In-Fusion cloning (Invitrogen). The myc-tagged SseJ DNA was inserted 307 into the HindIII restriction enzyme site of the $\Delta pMEP4$ vector [54] by 308 homologous recombination. The S151A mutant was made by QuikChange 309 site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) of the myc-SseJ construct as per the 310 manufacturer's instructions.

311

312 Invertase (Inv) assays

The *Salmonella* plasmid library was transformed into BHY12 yeast [55], and transformants were plated on synthetic complete medium without uracil (SC-Ura) plates with 2% (w/v) fructose. Carboxypeptidase-Y-invertase (CPY-Inv) assay, both quantitatively and qualitatively, is based on previous methodologies [10].

318 FM4-64 Staining

1ml of log-phase yeast were pelleted and then resuspended in 50µl YPD medium containing 40µM FM 4-64 (Molecular Probes). Yeast were incubated at 30°C for 15 min before the yeast were pelleted and washed with YPD media. Yeast in fresh YPD were then incubated for 30 min at 30°C. Yeast were pelleted and then resuspended in 1ml of H_20 and then aliquots directly visualised by confocal microscopy.

325 **Tissue culture and cell transfection**

All cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), 326 327 supplemented with 10% (v/v) FCS, 100U/L penicillin, 100mg/L streptomycin 328 and 2mM L-glutamine, in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. Cells were 329 transfected with plasmid DNA using Fugene 6 (Roche Diagnostics) as per the 330 manufacturer's instructions. ApMEP4 transfected cells were selected with media containing 0.2 mg/ml hygromycin to generate a stable population of 331 transfected cells and individual clones were selected and assessed for SseJ 332 333 protein production. SseJ production was induced with 10 µM CdCl₂ for 16-24h 334 before analyses.

335 J774.2 Salmonella infection.

336 J774.2 cells were seeded onto glass coverslips and cultured for 48 h in 337 antibiotic-free DMEM medium supplemented with 10 % (v/v) FBS (heat 338 inactivated to 56 °C for 30 min) and 2 mM glutamine. Salmonellae (WT and 339 △SseJ Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain 12023 were a kind gift from Prof. David Holden, Imperial College London). were cultured overnight in 340 341 LB media with shaking at 30 °C. An appropriate number of bacteria were 342 taken to infect J774.2 cells at an MOI (multiplicity of infection) of 10 and 343 resuspended in PBS. Bacteria were centrifuged onto cells at 80 x g for 5 min 344 and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C to allow phagocytosis of bacteria. Monolayers 345 were rinsed 3 times with DMEM to remove unbound bacteria, and the media 346 replaced with DMEM containing 150 µg/ml gentamycin to kill extracellular 347 bacteria. The cells were cultured for a further hour, and washed with PBS. 348 The media was then replaced with DMEM containing 10 µg/ml gentamycin, 349 and cells cultured for 24 h to allow intracellular bacteria to grow. Cells were 350 fixed with 4 % formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and then 351 processed for immunofluorescence.

352 Immunofluorescence

353 Cells were fixed with 4 % (w/v) formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at 20°C. Cells 354 to be immunolabelled for microtubules were rinsed with microtubule stabilising 355 buffer (MTSB; 80mM PIPES, pH 6.8, 1mM MgCl₂, 4mM EGTA) then 356 incubated in MTSB containing 0.05% (w/v) saponin (Sigma S-4521) for 1 min 357 then fixed with 2% (w/v) formaldehyde, 0.05% (w/v) glutaldehyde in MTSB for 358 20 min. Cells immunolabelled for Glu-tubulin were fixed with -20°C MeOH for

359 5 min at -20°C. All fixed cells were incubated for 10 min in 50 mM NH₄Cl in 360 PBS followed by 10 min in 0.2 % (w/v) BSA in PBS containing 0.5% (w/v) 361 saponin (PBS-BS). Cells were immunolabelled with primary antibodies in 362 PBS-BS for 1h at 20°C. Cells were rinsed 3 x 5 min with PBS-BS and then 363 incubated with fluorescent secondary-antibodies in PBS-BS for 30 min at 20°C. Cells were rinsed 3 x 5 min with PBS-BS before being mounted in 364 365 Mowiol. Fluorescence was imaged using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal 366 microscope. All images are maximum intensity z-projections unless otherwise 367 stated.

368 Cell lysates

Lysates were generated by rinsing cells with PBS and then scraping cells into ice cold lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 % (v/v) NP-40). Lysates were left on ice for 10 min before removal of detergent insoluble material by centrifugation (16,400 g, 10 min, 4°C).

373 Immunoprecipitation

9E10 antibody was coupled to Amino Link Plus resin (Pierce) following the manufacturer's instructions. Small scale immunoprecipitations used 20 µl of resin and 250µg of cell lysate and samples were incubated for 2 h at 4°C with rotation. Resins were washed 3 x with lysis buffer and immunoprecipitated proteins eluted using IgG gentle elution buffer (Pierce) and analysed by SDS-PAGE. Large scale immunoprecipitations used 12 x T75 flasks and 2ml of anti-myc resin.

381 Mass spectroscopy

382 Proteins in gel bands were reduced with DTT and alkylated with 383 before with modified iodoacetamide digestion porcine trypsin 384 (Promega). Digests were dissolved in 4-hydroxy- α -cyano-cinnamic acid and 385 analysed by positive-ion MALDI-MS/MS using a Bruker ultraflex III. Spectra 386 were submitted to Mascot MS/MS ions search against the NCBI database.

387 **RhoA activity assays**

Active RhoA in cell lysates was assessed by ELISA using a RhoA activity assay (RhoA G-LISA; Cytoskeleton, Inc) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

391 Live cell imaging

392 NRK cells (WT) or expressing SseJ were transfected with either GFP-393 CLIP170 (kind gift of Folma Buss, University of Cambridge) or EB3-tdTomato 394 (a kind gift from Dr Anne Straube, University of Warwick) and 24 hours later 395 imaged on an Andor Spinning Disc Confocal Microscope. Images were 396 collected with 200ms exposures and a 800ms delay between exposures, 397 giving 1 frame per second.

398

399

400

401

402

403 Acknowledgements

We thank Gareth Evans, Nia Bryant, Jonathan Bennett and Nathalie Signoretfor helpful advice and for reading the manuscript.

406 **Author Contributions**

S.A.R. and M.H. provided technical support and carried out some of the
experiments. A.A.D carried out the proteomic identification of proteins. P.R.P
designed and carried out the majority of the research and wrote the
manuscript.

411

413 **Figure Legends**

414 Figure 1. Expression of ssej causes CPY-Inv to be mis-sorted in 415 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. (A) Fragment of the Salmonella chromosome inserted into the yeast expression vector causing CPY-Inv secretion. (B) 416 417 Qualitative CPY-Inv secretion in yeast expressing individual Salmonella genes identified in (A). Negative control yeast (ctrl) contain just the cloning vector 418 419 (pVT-100U) and positive control yeast lack the receptor VPS10 for CPY 420 (Δ VPS10). (C) Quantitative CPY-Inv secretion in yeast expressing Salmonella 421 genes. Controls as in (B). Data are from n=3-9 (number of experiments for 422 each condition in parentheses above each bar) and are mean \pm S.D. 423 *P<0.001 SseJ c.f. Ctrl (P>0.05 SseJ c.f. Δ VPS10). (D) Fluorescence 424 visualisation of the yeast vacuole in wild type yeast (WT) transformed with 425 vector (pVT-100U) alone or SseJ in pVT-100U (SseJ). Top panels DIC and bottom panels FM 4-64 fluorescence. Scale bar = $10 \mu m$. 426

427 Figure 2. Re-distribution of late endocytic organelles in cells expressing

428 **SseJ.** (A) NRK cells expressing myc-SseJ were double labelled with anti-myc 429 (a) and anti-lysosome glycoprotein 110 (Lgp110; b) followed by fluorescently 430 labelled secondary antibodies. Panel c is the merged image of panels a and b, 431 co-localisation is shown by yellow. (B) Control (Ctrl) NRK cells or NRK cells 432 expressing myc-SseJ (myc-SseJ) were immuno-labelled for the mannose 6-433 phosphate receptor (MPR), Lgp110 and trans-Golgi network 38 (TGN38) 434 followed by fluorescently-labelled secondary antibodies to visualise the late 435 endosomes, lysosomes and *trans*-Golgi network respectively. (C) Aggregation of Lgp110 in NRK cells expressing SseJ for 24h (a). Quantification of cells 436

showing aggregated lysosomes after induction of SseJ production with cadmium (Cd) (b). Expression of myc-SseJ protein -/+ Cd is shown by the western blot insert (b). (D) NRK cells were immunolabelled for microtubules (α -tubulin; a,d), lysosomes (lgp120;b,e) and late endosomes (cationindependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor; c,f) in control cells (ctrl) or after cells had been treated with 10µM nocodazole for 1h. Scale bars represent 10µm.

444 Figure 3. Microtubules are disrupted in cells expressing SseJ. (A) Control 445 (Ctrl) NRK cells and cells expressing myc-SseJ (SseJ) or myc-SseJ-S151A 446 (S151A) were fixed and the microtubules visualised using anti α -tubulin 447 antibodies and fluorescently-labelled secondary antibodies. Bars = 10µm. (B) 448 J774.2 mouse macrophages were either uninfected (Ctrl) or infected with WT 449 or *∆sseJ Salmonella* Typhimurium for 24h before fixing. The DNA (blue) was 450 visualised using DAPI and the microtubules (red) were visualised as in A. 451 Bars = 20µm. Quantification of the number of cells showing an organised 452 microtubule network under each condition is shown (n=1, scoring 100 cells 453 per condition). (C) Cells as in A were fixed and de-tyrosinated α -tubulin (Glu-454 tubulin) visualised by immunolabelling using anti Glu-tubulin antibodies and 455 fluorescently-labelled secondary antibodies. Bars = 10µm. (D) Cells as in A 456 were lysed and lysates immunoblotted for acetylated- α -tubulin (Ac-tubulin) 457 and α -tubulin. (E) myc-SseJ production was induced in NRK cells up to 24h. 458 Lysates were generated and western blotted for myc-SseJ, acetylated-tubulin 459 and Rho (pan specific). (F) NRK cells (Ctrl) and those expressing *sseJ* (SseJ) 460 were transfected with a plasmid encoding EB3-tdTomato. EB3-tdTomato was

visualised live, 24h later, on a spinning disc confocal microscope. Images
represent a single time frame. Bars = 10µm.

463

464 Figure 4. SseJ binds GTPases RhoA and RhoC. (A) Anti-myc antibody was covalently attached to sepharose and myc-SseJ was immunoprecipitated from 465 control (Ctrl) NRK cells or NRK cells expressing myc-SseJ (myc-SseJ). 466 467 Proteins bound to the beads were eluted and subjected to SDS-PAGE and 468 the gel stained with coomassie (shown). SseJ is indicated by an arrowhead. A 469 band at ≈21kDa specifically found in the SseJ immunoprecipitation was 470 excised and sequenced by mass spectroscopy and identified both RhoA and 471 RhoC. Peptides identified are shown by the insert with peptides common to 472 both RhoA and RhoC shown in bold, peptides unique to RhoA shown in blue 473 and peptides unique to RhoC shown by red. Only a single peptide was unique 474 to RhoC (highlighted by an asterisk). (B) Experiments as shown in A, including cells expressing myc-SseJ(S151A), were repeated and western 475 blotted for myc, RhoA and RhoC. Western blots show 1/10th of the input 476 477 before and after the immunoprecipitation and the total eluate from the 478 immunoprecipitations. (C) The activity of RhoA was measured by ELISA, on 479 extracts from control cells and cells expressing myc-SseJ or myc-SseJ 480 (S151A) mutant. Data are means \pm SD, n=8.

481

482 **References**

483 1. van der Heijden J, Finlay BB. Type III effector-mediated processes in 484 Salmonella infection. Future microbiology. 2012;7(6):685-703. doi: 485 10.2217/fmb.12.49. PubMed PMID: 22702524. 486 Garcia-del Portillo F, Finlay BB. Targeting of Salmonella typhimurium to 2. 487 vesicles containing lysosomal membrane glycoproteins bypasses compartments 488 with mannose 6-phosphate receptors. The Journal of cell biology. 489 1995;129(1):81-97. PubMed PMID: 7698996; PubMed Central PMCID: 490 PMC2120372. 491 3. Drecktrah D, Knodler LA, Howe D, Steele-Mortimer O. Salmonella 492 trafficking is defined by continuous dynamic interactions with the 493 endolysosomal system. Traffic. 2007;8(3):212-25. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-494 0854.2006.00529.x. PubMed PMID: 17233756; PubMed Central PMCID: 495 PMC2063589. 496 McGourty K, Thurston TL, Matthews SA, Pinaud L, Mota LJ, Holden DW. 4. 497 Salmonella inhibits retrograde trafficking of mannose-6-phosphate receptors 498 and lysosome function. Science. 2012;338(6109):963-7. doi: 499 10.1126/science.1227037. PubMed PMID: 23162002. Hernandez LD, Hueffer K, Wenk MR, Galan JE. Salmonella modulates 500 5. 501 vesicular traffic by altering phosphoinositide metabolism. Science. 502 2004;304(5678):1805-7. doi: 10.1126/science.1098188. PubMed PMID: 503 15205533. 504 6. Fratti RA, Backer JM, Gruenberg J, Corvera S, Deretic V. Role of 505 phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and Rab5 effectors in phagosomal biogenesis and 506 mycobacterial phagosome maturation arrest. The Journal of cell biology. 507 2001;154(3):631-44. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200106049. PubMed PMID: 11489920; 508 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2196432. 509 Swanson J, Bushnell A, Silverstein SC. Tubular lysosome morphology and 7. 510 distribution within macrophages depend on the integrity of cytoplasmic 511 microtubules. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United 512 States of America. 1987;84(7):1921-5. PubMed PMID: 3550801; PubMed Central 513 PMCID: PMC304553. 514 Jahraus A, Storrie B, Griffiths G, Desjardins M. Evidence for retrograde 8. 515 traffic between terminal lysosomes and the prelysosomal/late endosome 516 compartment. Journal of cell science. 1994;107 (Pt 1):145-57. PubMed PMID: 517 8175904. 518 9. Desjardins M, Huber LA, Parton RG, Griffiths G. Biogenesis of 519 phagolysosomes proceeds through a sequential series of interactions with the 520 endocytic apparatus. The Journal of cell biology. 1994;124(5):677-88. PubMed PMID: 8120091; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2119957. 521 522 10. Darsow T, Odorizzi G, Emr SD. Invertase fusion proteins for analysis of 523 protein trafficking in yeast. Methods in enzymology. 2000;327:95-106. PubMed 524 PMID: 11044977. 525 11. Shohdy N, Efe JA, Emr SD, Shuman HA. Pathogen effector protein 526 screening in yeast identifies Legionella factors that interfere with membrane 527 trafficking. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States 528 of America. 2005;102(13):4866-71. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0501315102. PubMed PMID: 15781869; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC555709. 529 530 12. Thi EP, Hong CJ, Sanghera G, Reiner NE. Identification of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis protein PE-PGRS62 as a novel effector that 531

532 functions to block phagosome maturation and inhibit iNOS expression. Cellular 533 microbiology. 2013;15(5):795-808. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12073. PubMed PMID: 23167250. 534 535 Raymond CK, Howald-Stevenson I, Vater CA, Stevens TH. Morphological 13. 536 classification of the yeast vacuolar protein sorting mutants: evidence for a 537 prevacuolar compartment in class E vps mutants. Molecular biology of the cell. 538 1992;3(12):1389-402. PubMed PMID: 1493335; PubMed Central PMCID: 539 PMC275707. 540 14. Banta LM, Robinson JS, Klionsky DJ, Emr SD. Organelle assembly in yeast: 541 characterization of yeast mutants defective in vacuolar biogenesis and protein 542 sorting. The Journal of cell biology. 1988;107(4):1369-83. PubMed PMID: 543 3049619; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2115260. 544 15. Miao EA, Miller SI. A conserved amino acid sequence directing 545 intracellular type III secretion by Salmonella typhimurium. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 546 547 2000;97(13):7539-44. PubMed PMID: 10861017; PubMed Central PMCID: 548 PMC16581. 549 Figueira R, Watson KG, Holden DW, Helaine S. Identification of salmonella 16. pathogenicity island-2 type III secretion system effectors involved in 550 intramacrophage replication of S. enterica serovar typhimurium: implications for 551 552 rational vaccine design. mBio. 2013;4(2):e00065. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00065-13. PubMed PMID: 23592259; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3634603. 553 554 Freeman JA, Ohl ME, Miller SI. The Salmonella enterica serovar 17. 555 typhimurium translocated effectors SseJ and SifB are targeted to the Salmonella-556 containing vacuole. Infection and immunity. 2003;71(1):418-27. PubMed PMID: 557 12496192; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC143161. Lawley TD, Chan K, Thompson LJ, Kim CC, Govoni GR, Monack DM. 558 18. 559 Genome-wide screen for Salmonella genes required for long-term systemic 560 infection of the mouse. PLoS pathogens. 2006;2(2):e11. doi: 561 10.1371/journal.ppat.0020011. PubMed PMID: 16518469; PubMed Central 562 PMCID: PMC1383486. 563 Ruiz-Albert J, Yu XJ, Beuzon CR, Blakey AN, Galyov EE, Holden DW. 19. 564 Complementary activities of SseJ and SifA regulate dynamics of the Salmonella 565 typhimurium vacuolar membrane. Molecular microbiology. 2002;44(3):645-61. 566 PubMed PMID: 11994148. 567 Matteoni R, Kreis TE. Translocation and clustering of endosomes and 20. 568 lysosomes depends on microtubules. The Journal of cell biology. 569 1987;105(3):1253-65. PubMed PMID: 3308906; PubMed Central PMCID: 570 PMCPMC2114818. 571 21. Upton C, Buckley JT. A new family of lipolytic enzymes? Trends in 572 biochemical sciences. 1995;20(5):178-9. PubMed PMID: 7610479. 573 Ohlson MB, Fluhr K, Birmingham CL, Brumell JH, Miller SI. SseJ deacylase 22. activity by Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium promotes virulence in mice. 574 Infection and immunity. 2005:73(10):6249-59. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.10.6249-575 576 6259.2005. PubMed PMID: 16177296; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1230951. Nawabi P, Catron DM, Haldar K. Esterification of cholesterol by a type III 577 23. 578 secretion effector during intracellular Salmonella infection. Molecular 579 microbiology. 2008;68(1):173-85. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06142.x. 580 PubMed PMID: 18333886.

581 24. Lossi NS, Rolhion N, Magee AI, Boyle C, Holden DW. The Salmonella SPI-2 582 effector SseJ exhibits eukaryotic activator-dependent phospholipase A and 583 glycerophospholipid : cholesterol acyltransferase activity. Microbiology. 584 2008;154(Pt 9):2680-8. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2008/019075-0. PubMed PMID: 585 18757801; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2885629. 586 Garcia-del Portillo F, Zwick MB, Leung KY, Finlay BB. Salmonella induces 25. 587 the formation of filamentous structures containing lysosomal membrane 588 glycoproteins in epithelial cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 589 of the United States of America. 1993;90(22):10544-8. PubMed PMID: 8248143; 590 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC47813. 591 Khawaja S, Gundersen GG, Bulinski JC. Enhanced stability of microtubules 26. 592 enriched in detyrosinated tubulin is not a direct function of detyrosination level. 593 The Journal of cell biology. 1988;106(1):141-9. PubMed PMID: 3276710; 594 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2114950. 595 Palazzo A, Ackerman B, Gundersen GG. Cell biology: Tubulin acetylation 27. 596 and cell motility. Nature. 2003;421(6920):230. doi: 10.1038/421230a. PubMed 597 PMID: 12529632. 598 Li W, Zhao Y, Chou IN. Alterations in cytoskeletal protein sulfhydryls and 28. 599 cellular glutathione in cultured cells exposed to cadmium and nickel ions. Toxicology. 1993;77(1-2):65-79. PubMed PMID: 8442019. 600 601 29. Ledda FD, Ramoino P, Ravera S, Perino E, Bianchini P, Diaspro A, et al. Tubulin posttranslational modifications induced by cadmium in the sponge 602 Clathrina clathrus. Aquatic toxicology. 2013;140-141:98-105. doi: 603 10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.05.013. PubMed PMID: 23765032. 604 605 Hodge RG, Ridley AJ. Regulating Rho GTPases and their regulators. Nature 30. 606 reviews Molecular cell biology. 2016;17(8):496-510. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.67. 607 PubMed PMID: 27301673. 608 Ohlson MB, Huang Z, Alto NM, Blanc MP, Dixon JE, Chai J, et al. Structure 31. 609 and function of Salmonella SifA indicate that its interactions with SKIP, SseJ, and 610 RhoA family GTPases induce endosomal tubulation. Cell host & microbe. 611 2008;4(5):434-46. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2008.08.012. PubMed PMID: 18996344; 612 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2658612. 613 Christen M, Coye LH, Hontz JS, LaRock DL, Pfuetzner RA, Megha, et al. 32. 614 Activation of a bacterial virulence protein by the GTPase RhoA. Science signaling. 615 2009;2(95):ra71. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2000430. PubMed PMID: 19887681. 616 33. Kolodziejek AM, Miller SI. Salmonella modulation of the phagosome 617 membrane, role of SseJ. Cellular microbiology. 2015;17(3):333-41. doi: 618 10.1111/cmi.12420. PubMed PMID: 25620407. Palazzo AF, Cook TA, Alberts AS, Gundersen GG. mDia mediates Rho-619 34. regulated formation and orientation of stable microtubules. Nature cell biology. 620 621 2001;3(8):723-9. doi: 10.1038/35087035. PubMed PMID: 11483957. Wheeler AP, Ridley AJ. Why three Rho proteins? RhoA, RhoB, RhoC, and 622 35. cell motility. Experimental cell research. 2004;301(1):43-9. doi: 623 624 10.1016/i.vexcr.2004.08.012. PubMed PMID: 15501444. 625 Dehmelt L, Halpain S. The MAP2/Tau family of microtubule-associated 36. proteins. Genome biology. 2005;6(1):204. doi: 10.1186/gb-2004-6-1-204. 626 PubMed PMID: 15642108; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC549057. 627 628 37. Castro-Alvarez JF, Gutierrez-Vargas J, Darnaudery M, Cardona-Gomez GP. ROCK inhibition prevents tau hyperphosphorylation and p25/CDK5 increase 629

630 after global cerebral ischemia. Behavioral neuroscience. 2011;125(3):465-72. 631 doi: 10.1037/a0023167. PubMed PMID: 21517148. Pan J, Lordier L, Meyran D, Rameau P, Lecluse Y, Kitchen-Goosen S, et al. 632 38. 633 The formin DIAPH1 (mDia1) regulates megakaryocyte proplatelet formation by 634 remodeling the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons. Blood. 2014;124(26):3967-635 77. doi: 10.1182/blood-2013-12-544924. PubMed PMID: 25298036. 636 39. Harrison RE, Bucci C, Vieira OV, Schroer TA, Grinstein S. Phagosomes fuse 637 with late endosomes and/or lysosomes by extension of membrane protrusions 638 along microtubules: role of Rab7 and RILP. Molecular and cellular biology. 639 2003;23(18):6494-506. PubMed PMID: 12944476; PubMed Central PMCID: 640 PMC193691. 641 Brumell JH, Tang P, Mills SD, Finlay BB. Characterization of Salmonella-40. 642 induced filaments (Sifs) reveals a delayed interaction between Salmonella-643 containing vacuoles and late endocytic compartments. Traffic. 2001;2(9):643-53. PubMed PMID: 11555418. 644 Stein MA, Leung KY, Zwick M, Garcia-del Portillo F, Finlav BB. 645 41. 646 Identification of a Salmonella virulence gene required for formation of 647 filamentous structures containing lysosomal membrane glycoproteins within 648 epithelial cells. Molecular microbiology. 1996;20(1):151-64. PubMed PMID: 8861213. 649 650 42. Rajashekar R, Liebl D, Seitz A, Hensel M. Dynamic remodeling of the endosomal system during formation of Salmonella-induced filaments by 651 652 intracellular Salmonella enterica. Traffic. 2008;9(12):2100-16. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00821.x. PubMed PMID: 18817527. 653 654 Birmingham CL, Jiang X, Ohlson MB, Miller SI, Brumell JH. Salmonella-43. 655 induced filament formation is a dynamic phenotype induced by rapidly 656 replicating Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium in epithelial cells. Infection 657 and immunity. 2005;73(2):1204-8. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.2.1204-1208.2005. 658 PubMed PMID: 15664965; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC547014. 659 Robinson JM, Okada T, Castellot JJ, Jr., Karnovsky MJ. Unusual lysosomes 44. 660 in aortic smooth muscle cells: presence in living and rapidly frozen cells. The 661 Journal of cell biology. 1986;102(5):1615-22. PubMed PMID: 3700469; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2114221. 662 663 45. Swanson JA, Yirinec BD, Silverstein SC. Phorbol esters and horseradish peroxidase stimulate pinocytosis and redirect the flow of pinocytosed fluid in 664 macrophages. The Journal of cell biology. 1985;100(3):851-9. PubMed PMID: 665 666 3972898; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2113515. Phaire-Washington L, Silverstein SC, Wang E. Phorbol myristate acetate 667 46. stimulates microtubule and 10-nm filament extension and lysosome 668 redistribution in mouse macrophages. The Journal of cell biology. 669 670 1980;86(2):641-55. PubMed PMID: 6893202; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2111499. 671 672 47. Bright NA, Gratian MJ, Luzio JP. Endocytic delivery to lysosomes mediated 673 by concurrent fusion and kissing events in living cells. Current biology : CB. 674 2005;15(4):360-5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.01.049. PubMed PMID: 15723798. McMahon HT, Kozlov MM, Martens S. Membrane curvature in synaptic 675 48. 676 vesicle fusion and beyond. Cell. 2010;140(5):601-5. doi: 677 10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.017. PubMed PMID: 20211126.

678 49. Lemichez E, Aktories K. Hijacking of Rho GTPases during bacterial 679 infection. Experimental cell research. 2013;319(15):2329-36. doi: 680 10.1016/j.yexcr.2013.04.021. PubMed PMID: 23648569. 681 50. Quintero CA, Tudela JG, Damiani MT. Rho GTPases as pathogen targets: 682 Focus on curable sexually transmitted infections. Small GTPases. 2015;6(2):108-683 18. doi: 10.4161/21541248.2014.991233. PubMed PMID: 26023809. 684 Horazdovsky BF, Busch GR, Emr SD. VPS21 encodes a rab5-like GTP 51. binding protein that is required for the sorting of yeast vacuolar proteins. The 685 EMBO journal. 1994;13(6):1297-309. PubMed PMID: 8137814; PubMed Central 686 687 PMCID: PMC394945. 688 Wilson K. Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. Current protocols in 52. 689 molecular biology / edited by Frederick M Ausubel [et al]. 2001;Chapter 2:Unit 2 690 4. doi: 10.1002/0471142727.mb0204s56. PubMed PMID: 18265184. 691 Vernet T, Dignard D, Thomas DY. A family of yeast expression vectors 53. containing the phage f1 intergenic region. Gene. 1987;52(2-3):225-33. PubMed 692 693 PMID: 3038686. 694 54. Girotti M, Banting G. TGN38-green fluorescent protein hybrid proteins 695 expressed in stably transfected eukaryotic cells provide a tool for the real-time, in vivo study of membrane traffic pathways and suggest a possible role for 696 697 ratTGN38. Journal of cell science. 1996;109 (Pt 12):2915-26. PubMed PMID: 698 9013339. 699 Gietz RD, Schiestl RH. Transforming yeast with DNA. Method Mol Cell Biol. 55. 700 1995;5(5):255-69. PubMed PMID: WOS:A1995UH90700003.









Fig 3







Fig 4