

## 

**Citation:** Pang KH, Rosario DJ, Morgan SL, Catto JWF (2017) Evaluation of a short RNA within Prostate Cancer Gene 3 in the predictive role for future cancer using non-malignant prostate biopsies. PLoS ONE 12(4): e0175070. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175070

**Editor:** Mohammad Saleem, University of Minnesota Hormel Institute, UNITED STATES

Received: October 9, 2016

Accepted: March 20, 2017

Published: April 5, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Pang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: KHP received a Research Fellowship from the Royal College of Surgeons of England (https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/standards-andresearch/research/fellowships-awards-grants/ fellowships/current-and-past/one-year-fellowships-2013-2014/), and a Research Scholarship from The Urology Foundation (http://www. theurologyfoundation.org/professionals/our**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Evaluation of a short RNA within Prostate Cancer Gene 3 in the predictive role for future cancer using non-malignant prostate biopsies

#### Karl H. Pang<sup>1</sup>, Derek J. Rosario<sup>1</sup>, Susan L. Morgan<sup>2</sup>, James W. F. Catto<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Academic Urology Unit and Academic Unit of Molecular Oncology, Department of Oncology and Human Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom, 2 Department of Histopathology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, United Kingdom

\* j.catto@sheffield.ac.uk

## Abstract

## Background

Prostate Cancer 3 (PCA3) is a long non-coding RNA (ncRNA) upregulated in prostate cancer (PCa). We recently identified a short ncRNA expressed from intron 1 of PCA3. Here we test the ability of this ncRNA to predict the presence of cancer in men with a biopsy without PCa.

## Methods

We selected men whose initial biopsy did not identify PCa and selected matched cohorts whose subsequent biopsies revealed PCa or benign tissue. We extracted RNA from the initial biopsy and measured PCA3-shRNA2, PCA3 and PSA (qRT-PCR).

## Results

We identified 116 men with and 94 men without an eventual diagnosis of PCa in 2–5 biopsies (mean 26 months), collected from 2002–2008. The cohorts were similar for age, PSA and surveillance period. We detected PSA and PCA3-shRNA2 RNA in all samples, and PCA3 RNA in 90% of biopsies. The expression of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 were correlated (Pearson's r = 0.37, p<0.01). There was upregulation of PCA3 (2.1-fold, t-test p = 0.02) and PCA3-shRNA2 (1.5-fold) in men with PCa on subsequent biopsy, although this was not significant for the latter RNA (p = 0.2). PCA3 was associated with the future detection of PCa (C-index 0.61, p = 0.01). This was not the case for PCA3-shRNA2 (C-index 0.55, p = 0.2).

## Conclusions

PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression are detectable in historic biopsies and their expression is correlated suggesting co-expression. PCA3 expression was upregulated in men with PCa diagnosed at a future date, the same did not hold for PCA3-shRNA2. Futures studies should explore expression in urine and look at a time course between biopsy and PCa detection.

# PLOS ONE

#### programmes/research-programmes/220-tufcurrent-projects).

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common male cancer in the western world [1]. Detection usually occurs through the identification of men at elevated risk, through serum PSA measurement, MRI or family history, and then prostate biopsy (PBx) [2]. Whilst biopsy is a well tolerated procedure usually conducted in the out patient setting, it carries considerable risks of low severity morbidity [3]. PSA is the commonest trigger initiating investigation for PCa, however the majority of men with an elevated PSA do not have PCa. In most series, around 20–30% of men with a raised PSA have PCa on initial biopsy [2]. Patients without cancer in their initial biopsy and persistently elevated PSA levels are difficult to manage. Whilst 10–30% have undetected PCa [4, 5], automatic repeat PBx (rptPBx) represents over-investigation for many and carries the risks of additional procedures.

There are few agreed protocols for deciding upon identification of men needing rptBx to diagnose PCa or with active surveillance regimens [6] [7]. Whilst MRI now appears a promising tool in this context, there is an urgent need to identify biomarkers that may also inform this decision. With this role in mind, Prostate Cancer 3 (PCA3) was introduced into clinical practice. PCA3, is a long non-protein coding RNA (ncRNA) that is over-expressed in >95% of PCa [8]. PCA3 is located on chromosome 9q21-22, within the BMCC1/PRUNE2 gene, in an antisense orientation [9, 10]. PCA3 mRNA expression can be detected in urine samples from men with PCa and has a higher specificity than PSA for cancer detection (PROGENSA assay, [11]). As such, the PCA3 score (PCA3/PSA mRNA ratio x 1000) is higher in men with PCa and can be used to stratify the use of rptBx [12, 13].

PCA3 appears a promising biomarker, its widespread application is limited by analytical concerns and a lack of knowledge about its function [14]. With regards to the former, the assay detects a 380 base RNA transcript that is unstable *ex vivo*. Biological measurement requires sample protection from RNA degradation and transport to certified analytical laboratories, making the test expensive and vulnerable to transportation errors.

We recently identified a short hairpin ncRNA, termed PCA3-shRNA2, expressed from intron 1 of PCA3, whose expression was closely correlated to PCA3 [15]. PCA3-shRNA2 is stable *ex vivo* and so may overcome some of the risks of the PROGENSA assay. Furthermore, we identified regulatory targeting by this ncRNA, of mRNAs involved in PCa biology (including COPS2 and SOX11), suggesting one oncogenic function for the PCA3 locus. It is known that many short ncRNAs act through the regulation on larger mRNAs [16].

Our initial report used tissue and urine samples from men with and without PCa. All specimens were taken at first presentation or diagnosis. However, the PROGENSA assay is clinically advocated for guiding rptBx in men with an elevated PSA. Here we test the predictive role of PCA3-shRNA2 in men with and without PCa, whose initial biopsy did not detect cancer.

## Materials and methods

## Patients and samples

The Royal Hallamshire Hospital is the sole urological provider for the city of Sheffield, UK. We searched the pathology database for men with an initial PBx between 1994 and 2010 (to allow follow up). We annotated with clinical details, the number and timing of rptBx, and the eventual diagnosis of PCa. We identified men whose initial PBx did not show cancer, and selected a matched cohort whose rptBx did or did not find PCa. This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Trent Multicentre Research Ethics Committee HTA 96/20/99).

## RNA extraction from prostate biopsies

We obtained the formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) blocks from the initial PBx and cut sections at 10um thickness. We stained one section with H&E to confirm diagnosis and extracted RNA from the remaining. We removed paraffin (deparaffinization solution, Qiagen, UK) before lysis with Proteinase K. Tissue microdissection was not used. Samples were treated with DNase to eliminate all genomic DNA, before washing and elution in RNase-free water. Total and miRNA were extracted using miRNeasy FFPE kit (Qiagen, UK) as per manufacture's protocol and measured using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent).

#### Measurement of RNA expression

As detailed [15], extracted RNA was subject to real-time quantitative RT-PCR (HT7900 PCR system) using the high-capacity kit Reverse Transcription cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems) and the TaqMan microRNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). RNA expression was determined using qPCR with TaqMan primers for PSA (Assay ID: Hs03063374\_m1), PCA3 (Assay ID: Hs03309852\_g1) and two custom designed TaqMan assays, PCA3-shRNA2A (ACT GCACTCCAGCCTGGGCA (Ambion: assay IDs, CSGJ090)) and PCA3-shRNA2B (CACTGC ACTCCAGCCTGGGCA (Ambion: assay IDs, CSHSNF8)). Expression of PCA3, and PCA3-shRNA2 was normalized to PSA calculated using DCt values [17].

#### Power calculation and statistical analysis

We estimated that 10–15% of men with rptBx have PCa [4, 5] and that PCA3 increases this detection rate to 25% [18]. With a power  $(1-\beta)$  of 0.8 and a significance of 0.05, we calculated around 200 men were needed in our study. Following analysis, RNA expression in prostate tissues was compared between men with and without cancer using Student's t-test or ANOVA, and correlated between species using Pearson's coefficient. The ability of each RNA to detect PCa was calculated using concordance indices and plotted using ROC curves [19]. All analyses were two sided and conducted within SPSS Vsn. 23.0 (SPSS Inc, Illinois). Graphs were plotted using PRISM 6.0 (GraphPad Software inc.). A p value of <0.05 taken as the threshold of significance.

## Results

#### Patients and samples

We obtained residual tissue from the first PBx (between 2002 and 2008) of 116 men with an eventual diagnosis of PCa and 94 men without PCa (Table 1). The two populations were broadly comparable for clinical features. The mean ( $\pm$  st. dev.) age at referral within our cohort was 63.5 ( $\pm$ 7.1) for men with cancer and 62.5 ( $\pm$ 6.5) years for those with benign PBx. The mean initial PSA was 9.5 ( $\pm$ 1.8) and 13.2 ( $\pm$ 61.4) in the cancer and benign group respectively. A total of 17/23 (73.4%) men with suspicious findings and 4/7 (57.1%) men with HGPIN on initial PBx were found to have cancer on rptBx. The majority of cancers (n = 74, 64%) were detected on the second PBx and Gleason score 3+3 = 6 was the most common grade amongst these tumors (n = 78, 67.2%). The mean (SD) time to diagnosis of PCa from the initial negative PBx was 29.8 ( $\pm$ 36.6) months.

#### RNA expression in prostate biopsies

We detected PSA and PCA3-shRNA2 RNA in all samples, and PCA3 mRNA in 190 (90%) biopsies. Expression of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 was normalized to PSA mRNA, as for the PROGENSA assay. As seen previously, expression of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 were

#### Table 1. Patients and samples analyzed in this report.

	(	Cancer		Benign	
	n	%	n	%	p-value
Total	116	55.2	94	44.8	
Age at referral: Mean (± st.dev)	6	63.5 ± 7.1		2.5 ± 6.5	0.25
Initial PSA: Mean (± st. dev)	9	9.5 ± 1.8		.2 ± 61.4	0.56
Referral to diagnostic biopsy (months): Mean (± st. dev)	29	.8±36.6	25.1 ± 29.6		0.97
Initial biopsy					
Benign prostate	95	81.9%	84	72.4%	
ASAP	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	
PIN	4	3.4%	3	2.6%	
Suspicious	17	14.7%	6	5.2%	0.16
No. biopsies					
2	74	63.8%	65	56.0%	
3	25	21.6%	23	19.8%	
4	14	12.1%	6	5.2%	
5	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	0.20
Gleason Score					
3+3 = 6	78	67.2%	NA		
3+4 = 7	17	14.7%	NA		
4+3 = 7	10	8.6%	NA		
8–10	11	9.5%	NA		NA

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175070.t001

correlated (Pearson's r = 0.37, p<0.01, Fig 1) suggesting co-expression. Quantification relative to PSA mRNA showed higher expression of the shRNA than PCA3 (average  $\pm$  st. dev = 373,829  $\pm$ 228,546 fold), suggesting stability of the shorter RNA and easier detection. Interestingly, there appeared no deterioration in RNA yield across the time period that the samples were stored (S1 Fig).

## RNA expression and eventual diagnosis

We compared RNA expression with the eventual diagnosis in each man (Table 2 and S2 and S3 Figs). We saw upregulation of PCA3 (average 2.1 fold) and PCA3-shRNA2 (average 1.5 fold) in men with an eventual diagnosis of cancer, when compared to those with only benign histology (Table 2). For PCA3, this difference reached statistical difference (t-test p = 0.02), but this was not the case for PCA3-shRNA2 (p = 0.2, Fig 2). The expression of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 did not vary significant when stratified by time of prostate cancer diagnosis from initial biopsy (S2 Fig). To determine predictive role, we calculated the concordance index for each RNA (Fig 3). Whilst, PCA3 was associated with the detection of PCa (C-index 0.61, p = 0.01), this was not the case for PCA3-shRNA2 (C-index 0.55, p = 0.22).

## Discussion

We previously identified a novel short ncRNA expressed within the host PCA3 and BMCC1 loci [15]. In fresh frozen prostate tissues and in urine samples obtained following prostatic massage, we identified that the expression of PCA3 and shRNA2 were closely correlated, and both were associated with the presence of PCa. Expression was not restricted to prostatic cell lines and was present at lower levels in men without PCa. However, the clinical context of the





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175070.g001

PCA3 assay is to guide further investigation in men with an elevated serum PSA, whose PBx do not reveal cancer. With this in mind, we undertook the current study. Whilst the PCA3 assay uses urine samples to measure PCA3, this resource was not available, and others have found that urine PCA3 expression reflects that within tissues [11].

We selected men with and without PCa, matched for age, PSA and duration of rptBx, and extracted RNA from stored FFPE tissue not used during pathological reporting. We identified several key findings. Firstly, despite storage at room temperature for 8–14 years, we detected

#### Table 2. RNA expression stratified by eventual diagnosis.

	Eventual diagnosis								
	Cancer		Benign		Fold change**	t-test			
	Mean DCt*	± St. Dev	Mean DCt*	± St. Dev		p-value			
PCA3-shRNA2	-16.53	3.24	-15.99	3.61	1.45	0.20			
PCA3	6.40	3.03	7.48	2.86	2.12	0.02			

\*DCt normalized to PSA mRNA

\*\*Fold change in patients with cancer vs. those with BPH

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175070.t002

robust expression of PSA and PCA3 mRNA, and the short ncRNA (PCA3-shRNA2). The latter was most abundant, in keeping with its stability and ease of detection. Secondly, we identified correlated expression of the two RNAs expressed from the PCA3 gene confirming our previous observations and suggesting that the short ncRNA is a product of PCA3 transcription [15]. These two observations suggest that PCA3-shRNA2 may be incorporated into the PCA3 assay to facilitate easier handling of the biological samples prior to laboratory measurement.

However, our experiments failed to support our primary hypothesis, namely that PCA3shRNA2 expression was associated with a subsequent diagnosis of PCa. This is in contrast to expression of PCA3 mRNA within our population, and may reflect that this ncRNA is not expressed by PCa, that ncRNAs have a dynamic expression that is less stable than mRNAs over time, or that our experimental design was wrong. With regards to the former, we previously found high malignant expression of PCA3-shRNA2 in 3 separate cohorts (i.e. PCa cell lines, fresh frozen microdissected prostatic tissues and prostate massage fluids) suggesting this may not be the explanation. With regards to dynamic expression, it is known that one function of short ncRNAs is to epigenetically regulate mRNA expression. This regulation is dynamic, with ncRNA expression fluctuating depending upon the cellular needs and stress. For example, individual ncRNAs have been found to have both oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles, depending upon the context [20]. Thus, it is plausible that 2–3 years before the diagnosis of cancer, PCA3-shRNA2 expression is not elevated, as the target mRNAs (such as COPS2 and

P=0.017 P=0.017 P=0.22 P=0.22 P=0.22 P=0.22 P=0.22 P=0.22 P=0.22

PCA3

## PCA3-shRNA2

Fig 2. Bot plot of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression (shown as DCt normalized to PSA mRNA) stratified for eventual diagnosis. Lines represent Mean and Standard Deviation. \*p-value = Student's t-test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175070.g002



Fig 3. Predictive ability of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression for the eventual diagnosis of prostate cancer.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175070.g003

SOX11) do not have, as yet, altered function in the prostate. With regards to experimental design, we used whole biopsy tissues without micro or macro-dissection which may have influenced the quality of our samples. We powered the study using expression estimates and used FFPE tissues (to replace urine samples). We did find a trend towards upregulation of PCA3-shRNA2 in cancer, suggesting underpowering of the sample size. It may also be that FFPE tissues do not preserve differential expression of all RNAs. Of note, previous analyses of PCA3 expression in PBx tissues have reported inconsistencies, with both upregulation in cancer and no difference between malignant and normal prostate [21, 22] [23].

#### Conclusions

Here we have found stable expression of PSA, PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 in historic prostate biopsy samples. Whilst PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression were correlated, only the former was significantly associated with the presence of occult PCa. To clarify these conflicting results, futures studies should have a larger sample size and analyze expression with respect to time before the diagnosis of cancer.

### Supporting information

**S1** Fig. PSA, PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression in formalin fixed paraffin embedded prostate biopsies stratified by year of collection. (PDF)

S2 Fig. PSA, PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression in formalin fixed paraffin embedded prostate biopsies stratified by time to diagnosis of prostate cancer from initial prostate biopsy

(PDF)

S3 Fig. Box plot of PCA3 and PCA3-shRNA2 expression (DCt normalized to PSA mRNA) stratified for Gleason Scores. (PDF)

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by grants from The Royal College of Surgeons of England and The Urology Foundation.

### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: KHP JWC.

Formal analysis: KHP JWC.

Investigation: KHP.

Methodology: KHP JWC.

Resources: DJR SLM JWC.

Supervision: DJR JWC.

Writing - original draft: KHP DJR SLM JWC.

Writing - review & editing: KHP DJR SLM JWC.

#### References

- Gandaglia G., Bray F., Cooperberg M.R., Karnes R.J., Leveridge M.J., Moretti K., et al., Prostate Cancer Registries: Current Status and Future Directions. Eur Urol, 2016. 69(6): p. 998–1012. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.05.046">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.05.046</a> PMID: 26056070
- Mottet N., Bellmunt J., Bolla M., Briers E., Cumberbatch M.G., De Santis M., et al., EAU-ESTRO-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part 1: Screening, Diagnosis, and Local Treatment with Curative Intent. Eur Urol, 2016.
- 3. Rosario D.J., Lane J.A., Metcalfe C., Donovan J.L., Doble A., Goodwin L., et al., Short term outcomes of prostate biopsy in men tested for cancer by prostate specific antigen: prospective evaluation within ProtecT study. BMJ, 2012. 344: p. d7894. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d7894 PMID: 22232535
- Djavan B., Ravery V., Zlotta A., Dobronski P., Dobrovits M., Fakhari M., et al., Prospective evaluation of prostate cancer detected on biopsies 1, 2, 3 and 4: when should we stop? The Journal of urology, 2001. 166(5): p. 1679–83. PMID: <u>11586201</u>
- Herawi M., Kahane H., Cavallo C. and Epstein J.I., Risk of prostate cancer on first re-biopsy within 1 year following a diagnosis of high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia is related to the number of cores sampled. J Urol, 2006. 175(1): p. 121–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(05)00064-9 PMID: 16406886
- Vedder M.M., de Bekker-Grob E.W., Lilja H.G., Vickers A.J., van Leenders G.J., Steyerberg E.W., et al., The added value of percentage of free to total prostate-specific antigen, PCA3, and a kallikrein panel to the ERSPC risk calculator for prostate cancer in prescreened men. Eur Urol, 2014. 66(6): p. 1109–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2014.08.011 PMID: 25168616
- Bokhorst L.P., Alberts A.R., Rannikko A., Valdagni R., Pickles T., Kakehi Y., et al., Compliance Rates with the Prostate Cancer Research International Active Surveillance (PRIAS) Protocol and Disease Reclassification in Noncompliers. Eur Urol, 2015. 68(5): p. 814–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo. 2015.06.012 PMID: 26138043

- Bussemakers M.J., van Bokhoven A., Verhaegh G.W., Smit F.P., Karthaus H.F., Schalken J.A., et al., DD3: a new prostate-specific gene, highly overexpressed in prostate cancer. Cancer research, 1999. 59(23): p. 5975–9. PMID: 10606244
- Clarke R.A., Zhao Z., Guo A.Y., Roper K., Teng L., Fang Z.M., et al., New genomic structure for prostate cancer specific gene PCA3 within BMCC1: implications for prostate cancer detection and progression. PLoS One, 2009. 4(3): p. e4995. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004995 PMID: 19319183
- Salagierski M., Verhaegh G.W., Jannink S.A., Smit F.P., Hessels D. and Schalken J.A., Differential expression of PCA3 and its overlapping PRUNE2 transcript in prostate cancer. Prostate, 2010. 70(1): p. 70–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.21040 PMID: 19760627
- Hessels D., Klein Gunnewiek J.M., van Oort I., Karthaus H.F., van Leenders G.J., van Balken B., et al., DD3(PCA3)-based molecular urine analysis for the diagnosis of prostate cancer. European urology, 2003. 44(1): p. 8–15; discussion 15–6. PMID: <u>12814669</u>
- Haese A., de la Taille A., van Poppel H., Marberger M., Stenzl A., Mulders P.F., et al., Clinical utility of the PCA3 urine assay in European men scheduled for repeat biopsy. European urology, 2008. 54(5): p. 1081–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2008.06.071 PMID: 18602209
- Schroder F.H., Venderbos L.D., van den Bergh R.C., Hessels D., van Leenders G.J., van Leeuwen P. J., et al., Prostate cancer antigen 3: diagnostic outcomes in men presenting with urinary prostate cancer antigen 3 scores >/ = 100. Urology, 2014. 83(3): p. 613–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2013.12</u>. 005 PMID: 24581524
- Tomlins S.A., Day J.R., Lonigro R.J., Hovelson D.H., Siddiqui J., Kunju L.P., et al., Urine TMPRSS2: ERG Plus PCA3 for Individualized Prostate Cancer Risk Assessment. Eur Urol, 2016. 70(1): p. 45–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.04.039 PMID: 25985884
- Drayton R.M., Rehman I., Clarke R., Zhao Z., Pang K., Miah S., et al., Identification and diagnostic performance of a small RNA within the PCA3 and BMCC1 gene locus that potentially targets mRNA. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 2015. 24(1): p. 268–75. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-14-0377 PMID: 25392181
- Aakula A., Kohonen P., Leivonen S.K., Makela R., Hintsanen P., Mpindi J.P., et al., Systematic Identification of MicroRNAs That Impact on Proliferation of Prostate Cancer Cells and Display Changed Expression in Tumor Tissue. Eur Urol, 2016. 69(6): p. 1120–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015. 09.019 PMID: 26489476
- Miah S., Dudziec E., Drayton R.M., Zlotta A.R., Morgan S.L., Rosario D.J., et al., An evaluation of urinary microRNA reveals a high sensitivity for bladder cancer. Br J Cancer, 2012. 107(1): p. 123–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2012.221 PMID: 22644299
- de Kok J.B., Verhaegh G.W., Roelofs R.W., Hessels D., Kiemeney L.A., Aalders T.W., et al., DD3 (PCA3), a very sensitive and specific marker to detect prostate tumors. Cancer research, 2002. 62(9): p. 2695–8. PMID: <u>11980670</u>
- Catto J.W., Abbod M.F., Linkens D.A., Larre S., Rosario D.J. and Hamdy F.C., Neurofuzzy modeling to determine recurrence risk following radical cystectomy for non-metastatic urothelial carcinoma of the bladder Clin Cancer Res, 2009. 15(9): p. 3150–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-1960</u> PMID: 19336522
- Svoronos A.A., Engelman D.M. and Slack F.J., OncomiR or Tumor Suppressor? The Duplicity of Micro-RNAs in Cancer. Cancer Res, 2016. 76(13): p. 3666–70. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0359 PMID: 27325641
- Alinezhad S., Vaananen R.M., Tallgren T., Perez I.M., Jambor I., Aronen H., et al., Stratification of aggressive prostate cancer from indolent disease-Prospective controlled trial utilizing expression of 11 genes in apparently benign tissue. Urol Oncol, 2016. 34(6): p. 255 e15–22.
- Paziewska A., Dabrowska M., Goryca K., Antoniewicz A., Dobruch J., Mikula M., et al., DNA methylation status is more reliable than gene expression at detecting cancer in prostate biopsy. Br J Cancer, 2014. 111(4): p. 781–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.337 PMID: 24937670
- 23. Klecka J., Holubec L., Pesta M., Topolcan O., Hora M., Eret V., et al., Differential display code 3 (DD3/ PCA3) in prostate cancer diagnosis. Anticancer Res, 2010. 30(2): p. 665–70. PMID: 20332487