



Freeman Gebler, O., Hicks, B., Harrison, A., & Barker, M. (2017). Investigating the diagnostic capabilities of monitored system parameters to support improvements in conveyor operation and maintenance. Paper presented at First World Congress on Condition Monitoring, London, United Kingdom.

Peer reviewed version

License (if available): Unspecified

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher BINDT.

# University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

## Investigating the diagnostic capabilities of monitored system parameters to support improvements in conveyor operation and maintenance

Owen Freeman Gebler<sup>1,2</sup>, Ben Hicks, Andrew Harrison University of Bristol<sup>1</sup> Bristol, BS8 1TR, England 07783719702 o.freemangebler@bristol.ac.uk

> Matt Barker Stirling Dynamics<sup>2</sup> Bristol, BS8 4HG, England

## Abstract

This paper investigates the potential value of a range of system parameters in supporting improvements in operation and maintenance practices of conveyor systems. To achieve this a conveyor emulation rig (CER) is developed to emulate the dynamics of a typical industrial conveyor system and allow the controlled application of a range of loading conditions and fault scenarios typical of general processing industries.

Initially, the design and specification of the rig is discussed, after which data is presented and analysed in the context of characterising the operational envelope of the system as well as supporting fault detection, isolation and typing tasks. For the purpose of this paper, the operational conditions employed to characterise the system include variations of radial, axial and braking loads, and types of seeded fault include component damage and environmental changes.

Results suggest that individual parameters present sensitivity to only a specific subset of scenarios and, as such, a greater fidelity of inference can potentially be achieved when the data from a range of parameters is considered in conjunction as opposed to each in isolation.

# 1. Introduction

Conveyor systems are ubiquitous assets, utilised throughout industry to provide the fundamental function of product transfer. Implementations range from the vast, kilometre length fixed systems in the mining industry, to product transfer systems in processing and packing plants, and portable systems used on domestic construction sites to clear excavated material.

While conveyor systems may not directly add value to a product their function within the overall process is critical for operation, and thus for value to flow. Typically a conveyor system will represent a single point of failure, where any inoperability will cause the en-

tire process to be halted. The cost of unplanned process downtime varies significantly between industries and applications, with determining an accurate quantification of the cost a challenge in itself, however it has been suggested that lost production within an open-pit mine can be as high as  $\sim$ \$300k/hr<sup>(1)</sup>. Consequently, availability demands on systems are stringent; operators need to be able to have confidence that systems are going to be operational on demand, thus avoiding potential for unplanned process downtime.

Due to the perceived utility of conveyor systems they are often operated in a flexible manner, with individual systems repurposed throughout a plant in response to process changes. In addition, it is not uncommon for a plant's production demands to increase over time, driven by high-level strategic factors<sup>(2)</sup>. As a result of this, systems are commonly exposed to a diverse operational envelope, where they are subjected to loadings, environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, humidity, airborne particulate) and conveyed products outside those considered in their design, which can result in the catastrophic and apparently random failure. This is compounded by the fact that operation and maintenance of conveyors may be conducted by untrained personnel who lack knowledge of the design envelope of a conveyor, and how to operate and maintain the system within this, thus further increasing the potential of 'abuse' loading and ultimately failure.

As a direct result of the significant variance in operational characteristics experienced by a conveyor, the failure modes observed in response can also be wide ranging and implementation specific. The failure of a system describes any occurrence which renders the system unable to satisfy its primary function. Within the context of a conveyor system a failure can thus be defined as any occurrence that impacts upon the ability of the system to satisfy its primary requirement of transferring product from one location to another.

As an example consider a completely severed belt; this occurrence will render a system unable to convey product and thus can be defined as a failure. However, in addition to such failures undesirable conditions can arise within a conveyor, which, while not necessarily rendering the system unable to satisfy its primary function, impact upon operations.

Such conditions are termed faults, describing any condition that occurs, which impacts upon the manner in which a system operates, but does not render the system immediately inoperable. For example, an idler can become seized during operation, leading to the development of a flat spot. This occurrence on its own will not necessarily prevent the conveyor from satisfying its primary requirement, however the sharp edges of the flat may ultimately cause damage to the belt eventually leading to complete system failure.

In contrast to the binary nature of failures, defining the point at which a fault within a system is considered to be present can be subjective and imprecise. This has significant impact upon the maintenance of the system, presenting a challenge to operators in determining the point at which to address a fault - too soon and an avoidable period of downtime will be incurred, too late and the fault may induce a failure with a potentially far greater period of associated downtime before primary function can be restored.

Failures and faults within conveyor systems can be classified at 4 primary levels:

- System a failure or fault affecting the operation of an entire process
- Asset a failure or fault affecting a specific conveyor's operation
- Component a failure or fault affecting a specific element of a conveyor e.g. motor
- Feature a failure or fault affecting a specific subcomponent within a conveyor component e.g. bearing inner race, motor rotor etc.

In most industries the corrective action in response to the occurrence of a failure or fault will be a component level replacement, regardless of whether a feature-level root cause can be determined. This is because the relatively low cost of components compared to the cost of downtime means that it is often more economical to replace immediately rather than repair or risk running components to failure. However, this is contingent on replacement components being available and a relatively low frequency of replacements. In some industries this practice is now being reviewed due to the significant cumulative cost of replacements. Consequentially, the low-value high-volume asset industries are now also seeking to improve their maintenance regimes and are looking at the potential of technologies such as reliability-centred maintenance (RCM) and prognostics and health management (PHM)<sup>(3),(4),(5),(6),(7)</sup>.

For these operators the challenges of applying PHM is no less trivial than for high-value assets. This is because the form of the failure and fault conditions experienced by a conveyor system are influenced by the environment within which it's operated, including both its physical operational environment (e.g. temperature, humidity, product conveying etc.) as well as the manner in which it's operated (e.g. maintenance, utilisation etc.) which may be different to the operational envelope for which it was designed. It is thus necessary to understand the operational demand, as well as the type of failure, and assess these with respect to the designed capability. Further, and in contrast to operators of high-value low-volume assets, operators of low-value high-volume assets typically face considerably tighter financial constraints, meaning that any technology-based solutions must in themselves be low cost to implement and operate.

## 1.1. Conveyor Operation and Maintenance

In order to sustain high levels of availability of plant and equipment informed maintenance procedures are essential. The maintenance of an asset, within the broadest engineering context, can be considered to constitute any action taken to preserve the function of the asset, as required by its stakeholders<sup>(8)</sup>. Broadly, approaches to maintenance of assets can be divided into three major categories, ordered in increasing complexity of implementation: reactive (RM), planned preventative (PPM), and predictive (PM)<sup>(9)</sup>.

Historically, approaches to the maintenance of conveyor systems have been dominated by RM and PPM-based schemes, due to their simplistic principles of implementation. Such schemes rely heavily upon human inspection ('walking the belt') to determine the operational health of assets<sup>(10),(11),(12)</sup>, and, as such operators have little reliable, objective information about the usage of a conveyor upon which to base operational and maintenance decisions. The manner in which a conveyor system has been operated can be considered

to have a direct impact upon the wear and thus life of components, therefore the potential value of asset health information to operator is significant.

To address this deficiency operators are increasingly seeking to implement aspects of PMbased philosophies, where aspirations are that such a shift could allow for more objectivity within the tasks of condition/health assessment. In doing so, a range of benefits can potentially be realised, as reported widely within literature ( $\sec^{(13),(14),(15)}$ ). To support this shift operators will require an understanding of how conveyor systems are being used in an objective, quantifiable manner.

In order to support these industries and, in particular, operators of low-value high-volume assets we aim to explore the potential inferencing capability of range of typical sensors and the observability of system parameters they enable. To achieve this, the design and development of an experimental test rig, created for the purpose of emulating the dynamics of a typical small-scale industrial conveyor system is presented.

The paper begins with an analysis of how a typical conveyor system could be expected to fail to operate, leading to formulation of requirements for the functionality of the test rig. Then, an overview of the design and development process for the rig is provided and a testing regime to enable characterisation and the repeatable replication of a range of observed failure modes is presented.

Finally, data acquired during the completion of the testing regime is presented and the ability of each system parameter to support four levels of diagnostics is considered:

- Characterising the operational envelope of a system how can the usage of a system be described and monitored using sensor data, when operating in a healthy state throughout its feasible loading conditions.
- Detecting the presence of system faults using this characterisation of 'healthy' operation can the transition to an abnormal or 'unhealthy' state be identified using the information provided by sensors.
- Isolating the location of system faults when the presence of an unhealthy operational state has been identified, can sensor data support the determination of the element within the system which is responsible for inducing the change in operational state.
- Determine the type of system faults can the form of any faults that occur within the system be determined using information from sensors, enabling the identification of the root cause mechanism.

# 2. The Conveyor Emulation Rig

To enable the detailed exploration of these tasks a test rig was developed. The conveyor emulation rig (CER) is designed to emulate the dynamics of a typical industrial conveyor system, and allow for the controlled introduction of modes of loading, reflective of those typically subjected to during operation. This research is not concerned with the condition assessment of belting material therefore a belt is omitted, however its presence can be replicated via applied loading.



Figure 1: Render of CER with major elements labelled

## 2.1. Requirements

To inform the design of the conveyor test rig requirements were derived from the form of failures and faults, as well as the loading envelope typically observed on an industrial conveyor system. To identify the possible failure and fault scenarios of a small-medium sized conveyor system, failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) was conducted, based upon physics of failure and extant literature such as ISO 17359:2011<sup>(16)</sup>.

From this initial exhaustive analysis a reduced set of failures and faults were selected (table 1), representing the most frequently encountered issues within industry, as determined through engagements with a conveyor manufacturer, as well as an operator within the waste management industry<sup>(11)</sup>.

## Table 1: Reduced set of failure modes of conveyor system

						Failure Mode		Failure Effect				
Asset		Function	Fu (La	nctional Failure oss of Function)		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	What happens when it fails?	What action is required in reponse?	Relative time cost (1-5)	
		To provide mechanical			,	Overheating motor	Fan performance	Fan outlet blocked		Clearing of blockage from outlet by operator	1	
Drive	А	power to the conveyor drive end shaft under a loaded motor	1	Unable to provide the required			reduced	Blade damaged	Motor housing internal temperature likely to rise	Replacement of fan and potetially motor dependent upon damage incurred	3-4	
motor		condition at the required speed and torque, when a voltage is applied		output torque and speed magnitude		performance		Fan blocked	potentailly inducing one of the failure modes above (I,ii,iii)	Clearing of blockage from fan blade by operator	2	
							Fan not operational	All blades damaged	ed .	Replacement of fan and potetially motor dependent upon damage incurred	3-4	
		To transfer the		Reduced torque			Abrasive action by		Conveyor can continue to	Once levels are considered		
Gearbox	В	required torque and	1	transfer efficiency compared to	' i	Gear tooth damage	debris		operate but likely increase in	unacceptable a replacement will	3-4	
		speed from the motor					Corrosion present	Water ingress	parameters such as temp, vib,	be required		
							Overheating causing					
					i	Damaged race	annealing		ł			
							Excessive loading	Misalianment in	+	Replacement of the damaged	3-1	
						Damaged face	Excessive vibration	bearing/shaft	Conveyor will continue to	bearing likely to be required	5-4	
							Excessive violation	Debris ingress	operate but at a lower speed			
							Installation issue	0.00	likely (assuming no motor			
				Rotation reduced		Lack of lubricant	Damaged seals		speed control) and thus	Depending on the magnitude of		
			1	for a given input	ii iii		Lack of maintenance		throughput will be reduced.	dmaaged incurred either a full	1-4	
		To support shaft		torque			Supply line issue		Additionally the drive components (gearbox, motor) are likely to incur increased load and thus increased wear	replacement of the bearing or a		
Driveshaft	С	loading whilst allowing free rotation of the driveshaft				Debris ingress	Damaged seals			Cleaning of the bearing may improve condition however replacement likely to be required	1-4	
bearing							Water ingress			Penlacement of the damaged		
					iv	Corrosion present	Contaminent ingress			hearing likely to be required	3-4	
							Age			bearing likely to be required		
							Seal damage	Excessive loading	If a bearing is totally siezed	Replacement of the damaged		
					1	Bearing collapsed	Age		either; the driveshaft and thus	bearing likely to be required	3-4	
			2	No rotation			Impact damage		the conveyor belt will be			
				possible		Pooring soized	Lack of lubricant		halted or if the shaft detaches	Replacement of the damaged	3.4	
					11	Bearing seizeu	roleigii bouy e.g.		from the siezed bearing	bearing likely to be required	3-4	
						Bearing fastening	Installation issue					
		To constrain the shaft		Shaft axial	i	failure	Excessive vibration		Belt ension will reduced.	Retightening of relevant fixtures	12	
	D	axially thus	1	movement			Significant thrust load	TT 1.1	potentially causing it to come	Doulocomout of the dound		
		transferring any axial		unconstrained	ii	Bearing collapsed	present	Uneven belt tension	loose	Replacement of the damaged bearing likely to be required	3-4	
		loading					Lack of lubricant					

## 2.2. Specification

In its simplest form a conveyor system is composed of a driven (head) and passive (tail) pulley connected by a flexible belt, supported along the belt length by a number of passive rollers (idlers) (fig. 2). An induction motor is used to move the belt, via a reduction gearbox, to provide an appropriate level of torque and speed. Traditionally drive motors are driven at a fixed speed by a constant frequency supply, via a direct-on-line (DoL) starter however variable-speed inverter-driven systems are finding increasing implementation throughout general processing applications.



Figure 2: Major components comprising typical industrial conveyor system

The rig is specified to reflect a popular portable conveyor system configuration, as supplied by a range of UK manufacturers such as Coveya, Hoverdale and Robson. With this in mind, a specification describing the top-level physical and performance characteristics of the test rig was developed (table 2).

Belt Width	600mm	Motor Power	370W
Conveyor Length	3000mm	Motor Speed	1370rpm @ 50Hz
Conveyor Speed	~200rpm	Gearbox Ratio	7.5:1
Throughput	100ton/hr	Pulley Diameter	40-50mm

Table 2: Specification of Conveyor Emulation Rig

## 2.3. Component Specification

Where possible low cost, commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) components were selected to ensure the rig reflected the make-up of an actual industrial system, as closely as possible.

To drive the test rig a Marelli 0.37kW, 3 phase, 240Vac powered induction motor of 'squirrel cage' construction form was selected, reflective of the form of drive motor commonly employed for industrial conveyor applications. The motor is an enclosed machine, with an IP55 protection rating, and is actively cooled using a permanently attached, on-shaft fan. The motor is powered by a Vacon 10 0.55kW variable-frequency drive, controlled remotely by a PC via an RS485 connection. The inverter is operated in open loop V/f (Volts-per-Hertz) mode (i.e. no closed-loop speed control), to reflect the typical configuration of an industrial conveyor system. A VFD is selected over DoL connection due to its ability to modulate conveyor speed, enabling a range of belt speeds to be characterised.

Coupled to the motor is a TEC worm-drive gearbox, with a speed reduction ratio of 7.5:1 and 85% power efficiency, thus providing an output of approximately 183RPM and 16.4Nm of torque at 50Hz input. In contrast to a typical industrial conveyor system the unit is entirely sealed, with no external breather required for cooling purposes. To transfer the drive power from the gearbox to the drive shaft a sprocket and chain system is used. The sprocket set has a ratio of 1:3, thus it increases the speed output from the gearbox by a factor of 3. Mechanical wear within a bearing can be considered a function of applied load and number of rotations, therefore by increasing the output of the gearbox wear can be accelerated.

To support the test rig drive shaft a pair of single row, angular contact ball bearings are employed, housed within a 'plummer block' style unit. Typically a conveyor of the specification described in Table ASAS would have a pulley shaft diameter of around 40-50mm, however, to accelerate the effect of externally loading the bearings a reduced shaft, and thus bearing diameter of 20mm was selected. The bearings selected are manufactured by SKF and have a stated static load rating of 6.5kN. They are prelubricated, and provided with non-removable press-fit seals as well as a shield cover on either side. Within the CER the bearing in closest proximity to the drive motor is referred to as being in location 1, with the bearing farthest from the drive motor at location 2.

 Table 3: CER major components

Component	Manufacturer	Manu. ID
Drive motor	Marelli	MAA71MB4 B14
Gearbox	TEC	FCNDK40-7.5:1-71B14
Shaft bearings	SKF	SY20 TF (housing unit), YAR 204-2F (bearing)

## 2.4. Loading Mechanisms

To enable the complete operational envelope of a conveyor system to be replicated on the test rig a series of loading mechanisms were designed. Analysis of the range of scenarios described in section 2.1 indicated that all could be replicated using a combination of axial, radial and torsional loading of the test rig drive shaft (table 4).

To facilitate the controlled application of radial load to the drive shaft the test rig employs a manually-operated hydraulic jack. When actuated, the radial jack applies a linear force to main shaft, distributed between the two support bearings, via a yoke.

Similarly, axial load can be applied to the drive shaft using a second hydraulic jack, transmitted via a needle roller thrust bearing, to minimise torsional friction. Each jack is capable of applying a maximum load of 30kN. However, due to the load-bearing ability of the drive shaft applied radial load is limited to 3kN, to minimise the risk of shaft fracture.

Finally, torsional load can be applied to oppose the drive motor via a second 4.8kW induc-

Scenario	Impact	Replication	
Varying quantity of product	Increased load observed by	Torsional loading of drive	
on belt	motor and gearbox	shaft	
Overload trip and subsequent	Starting torque requirement	Sudden stop of drive. Restart	
loaded restart of system	increased	with torsional load present	
Uneven tension in belt	Thrust load applied to drive shaft	Axial loading of shaft	
Increased belt tension	Increase in static radial load on shaft	Increase radial load on drive shaft	
Belt failure	Reduction in static radial load	Sudden reduction in radial	
	on shaft	load on shaft	
Corrosion of bearings	Increased rolling resistance	Seed corroded bearing	
	within bearing		
Damaged bearing seal	Increased rolling resistance	Seed seal damage	
Damaged bearing sear	mereased forming resistance	Inject debris into bearing	
Overloaded bearing	Increased rolling resistance	Radially load bearing	
	mereased forming resistance	Seed overloaded bearing	
Drivetrain obstructed by prod-	Cooling of drivetrain inhib-	Thermally inhibit drivetrain	
uct	ited		
Gearbox breather blocked	Reduction in cooling power	Manually block breather	
	of gearbox		
Gearbox component damage	Poor running of gearbox	Seed debris into gearbox dur-	
		ing operation	

Table 4: Summary of loads required to replicate operational scenarios

tion motor, utilising the principle of direct current (DC) injection braking. By injecting DC into the stator coils of the braking motor a stationary magnetic field is generated, which acts to oppose the torque of the drive motor. The strength of the torsional load generated is controlled by modulating the amplitude of the DC injected, up to a maximum of 9A. As such, by employing a programmable power supply various braking load profiles can be applied (e.g. saw tooth) enabling the effect of different operational scenarios to be simulated. It should be noted that the braking power generated by the motor is a function of the applied current and the speed of the rotor; greater torque is produced as the speed is reduced. A sprocket set is used to transfer the output of the gearbox unit to the drive shaft. Whilst not reflective of a typical industrial system this setup allows for increased flexibility in operating the rig; by enabling access to both ends of the shaft both axial and braking loads can be applied simultaneously.

#### 2.5. Instrumentation

Due to the exploratory nature of the research objectives it was desirable to continuously monitor a wide range of system parameters as potential proxies for health. Initially, a review of extant health monitoring literature was conducted to enable the identification of possible system parameters that could be monitored. The output of this review was then used, in conjunction with key reference materials<sup>(17),(18)</sup>, to analyse the probable effect of each scenario on each system parameters (table 5).

										Sy	stem	ı par	amet	er re	spon	se							
				System						Mo	otor			Gearbox					В	eariı	ıg		
Item	Failure mode	Root cause	∆ throughput	∆ belt speed	system vibration	↑ system noise	vision (belt)	∆ roller speed	f motor current draw	1 motor temp	∆ motor vibration	1 motor torque	∆ motor speed	∆ motor leakage current	↑ gearbox oil temp	∆ gearbox oil analysis	∆ gearbox oil level	∆ gearbox vibration	↑ bearing temp	∆ bearing speed	∆ bearing vibration	cearing SPM	∆ bearing AE
stem	Reduced throughput	Increased friction due to seized roller	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•				-					-		
eyor Sy	Reduced throughput	Increased friction due to collapsed bearing	•	•				•	•	•		•							•		•		
Conv	Reduced throughput	Conveyor blocked	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•											
×	Reduced transfer	Gear tooth damage due to debris			•	•			•		•					•		•			•		
Gearbo	Reduced transfer	Gear tooth damage due to corrosion							•							•		•			•		
Ŭ	No transfer	Gears seized due to overheating	•	•			•		•	•					•								
	Reduced rotation	Damaged race due to overheating			•	•		•	•				•		•				•	•	•	•	•
	Reduced rotation	Damaged race due to overloading	•					•	•			•	•		•				•	•	•	•	•
50	Reduced rotation	Damaged race due to excessive vibration			•	•		•			•							•	•	•	•	•	•
Bearin	No rotation	Collapsed due to seal damage	•	•	•	•	•	•											•	•	•		•
I	No rotation	Collapsed due to impact	•	•	•	•	•	•											•	•	•	•	•
	No rotation	Seized due to lack of lube	•	•	•	•	•	•											•	•	•		
	No rotation	Seized due to foreign object	•	•	•	•	•	•											•	•	•		

Table 5: Response of system parameters to failure modes

From this matrix a subset of parameters to monitor during operation of the CER was selected, based upon the expected richness of the information provided by each, as well as factors such as cost and implementability.

	Monitored	Sensor	Signal conditioning	Acquisition	Acquisition	Continuous
	parameter			unit	rate	/ Periodic
	Line voltages	LEM LV25-P	Custom LP filter and	NI	10kHz	С
Drive motor	(VAB and VCA)		amplifier unit	USB6211	/phase	
Drive motor	Phase currents	LEM LA25-NP	Custom LP filter and	NI	10kHz	С
	(IA and IB)		amplifier unit	USB6211	/phase	
	Casing tempera-	PT100	Industrial Interface E	00	2Hz	С
	ture					
	Vibration	Dytran 3255A2	NI 9234		50kHz	Р
	Output shaft	Honeywell	NA	NI	Counter in-	C
Gearbox	speed	103SR13A-1		USB6211	put	
Gearbox	Casing tempera-	PT100	Industrial Interface El	00	2Hz	С
	ture					
	Audible noise	Bruel & Kjaer	NI 9234		50kHz	Р
		type 4117				
	Acoustic Emis-	Mistras WD	Mistras 2/4/6C volt-	NI	1MHz	Р
	sion		age amplifier	PCI9251		
	Casing tempera-	PT100	Industrial Interface El	100	2Hz	C
Bearing 1	ture					
	Vibration	Dytran 3255A2	NI 9234		50kHz	Р
	Audible noise	Bruel & Kjaer	NI 9234		50kHz	Р
		type 4117				
	Casing tempera-	PT100	Industrial Interface El	100	2Hz	C
Bearing 2	ture					
	Vibration	Dytran 3255A2	NI 9234		50kHz	Р
	Audible noise	Bruel & Kjaer	NI 9234		50kHz	P
		type 4117				
Radial jack	Applied load	Tedea Huntleigh	Soemer LAC65.1	NI	1Hz	C
		TH220	amplifier	USB6211		
Axial jack	Applied load	Novatech F210	Vishay Nobel AST	NI	1Hz	C
			3IS	USB6211		
Braking motor	Output power	Manson HCS-	NA		2Hz	C
Diaking motor		3302-USB				
	Applied tor-	HBM T5	Vishay Nobel	NĪ	1Hz	C
	sional load		AST3IS	USB6211		
Environment	Ambient temper-	PT100	Industrial Interface E-	100	2Hz	C
	ature					

#### Table 6: Summary of CER instrumentation

All data acquisition devices are interfaced with a single control PC running a custom HMI within the National Instruments LabVIEW environment, enabling both operation of the test rig as well as handling all data acquisition tasks. All data acquired from operation of the test rig is stored both locally on the control PC as well as in the cloud, with the LabVIEW TDMS file format employed. Each test scenario run is assigned a unique ID and data is stored within a top-level folder, beneath which data is split into data sections. Individual test scenario folders can subsequently be imported into the Mathworks® MAT-LAB® package for analysis.

The rotational velocity of the gearbox output shaft is monitored using a non-contact Halleffect sensor in conjunction with a custom magnet wheel. The rotational speed of the shaft is calculated from the time between sinking pulses, generated each time a magnet passes the sensor, and the know distance between magnets. The output of the speed sensor was calibrated prior to testing using a non-contact optical speed measurement device with a stated  $\pm 0.05\%$  accuracy. Both outputs were found to be within 0.2RPM typically.



Figure 3: Overview of CER: 1 - VFD box; 2 - DAQ cabinet; 3 - Control PC and HMI;
4 - Power monitoring; 5 - Radial jack; 6 - DC injection power supply; 7 - Gearbox; 8 - Speed sensor; 9 - Radial loadcell; 10 - Drive motor; 11 - Drive chain; 12 - Axial jack; 13 - Axial loadcell; 14 - Braking motor; 15 - Torque transducer; 16 - Bearing 2; 17 - Bearing 1

The magnitude of linear (radial and axial) loads applied to the rig is measured using two compression-type load cells, with maximum measurement capacities of 25kN and 100kN respectively. The output of each cell was amplified using a dedicated amplifier and ultimately input into a National Instruments USB-6211 data acquisition device to enable real-time monitoring of applied loads. The output of each load cell amplifier was calibrated using an Instron 8872 testing machine, with a class 0.5% calibration rating, to enable accurate conversion from amplifier output voltage to absolute load.

Torsional load applied by the braking motor is measured using an in-line torque transducer, capable of measuring loads up to 20Nm, interfaced with a dedicated amplifier. The output of the torque transducer was calibrated using a rig at the University of Bristol, where a digital torque transducer was used to verify the accuracy of the CER transducer.

To enable the power waveforms output from the VFD to be monitored a custom acquisition unit was designed and constructed, allowing for the waveforms of 2 motor line voltages and 2 motor phase currents to be observed. By selecting the appropriate line voltages and phase currents to monitor ( $v_{ab}$ ,  $v_{ca}$ ,  $i_a$ ,  $i_b$ ) it can be shown that the instantaneous input power of a 3-phase induction motor can be determined from only 2 line voltages and 2 phase currents<sup>(19)</sup>.

Power measurement is based around 4 closed-loop, Hall-effect COTS devices manufactured by LEM, with a measurable range and nominal accuracy of 250Vac RMS and 0.5%, and 5A RMS and 0.9% of full range, respectively. The output of each device is passed through an active filtering stage, where a 2<sup>nd</sup> order low-pass filter with cutoff frequency of around 300Hz is applied to each channel. This serves to remove any high-frequency harmonics present within the waveforms as a result of the VFD switching action. The output of each channel was calibrated using a sinusoidal supply and a high precision Fluke 45 digital multimeter (DMM) and accuracy within 1% of full scale was observed for each.

The temperature of the primary rig components under test (drive motor, gearbox, bearing A and B), as well as the ambient temperature, are monitored using sealed PT100 probes, selected due to their excellent linearity and precision<sup>(20)</sup>. Each component probe has a measurement range of -200°C, and is bonded directly to the surface of its associated component using a metalised epoxy adhesive, to ensure good physical and thermal bonding is present (fig. 4). All probes are connected to a single signal conditioning unit, which outputs a converted, IEEE 754 floating point value per channel, pertaining to the measured absolute temperature.



Figure 4: Locations of vibration, audible noise and acoustic emission sensors within CER

Single axis vibration of each of the drive motor and bearings A and B is monitored using a Dytran 3255A2 accelerometer. Each sensor is mounted directly to its monitored component using a threaded steel insert to ensure excellent mechanical fastening, and thus signal transmission. Additionally, silicon grease is used to reduce any attenuation<sup>(21)</sup>. For bearing measurements sensors are located top centre of each housing, measuring vibration in the Y axis, as suggested in<sup>(22)</sup>. For motor vibrations, suitable locations for threaded inserts are minimal; to overcome this a bespoke stainless steel adapter is employed, to enable an existing, unused threaded hole on the motor body to be used.

Each accelerometer is connected to a National Instruments NI 9234 data acquisition module, which employs built-in antialiasing filters, and configured to sample each channel at 50kHz. Similarly, each of the 3 audible noise sensors are connected to a second NI9234 module, again employing antialiasing filters and configured to a 50kHz sample rate. Audible noise sensors are housed within custom 3D printed mounts, coupled to their monitored components magnetically. As with the vibration monitoring, the drive motor presents no obvious location for mounting an audible noise sensor, and, along with the gearbox, is not magnetic, hence a sensor is located on the steel gearbox mount. Finally, an acoustic emission sensor, manufactured by Physical Acoustics, is used to monitor gearbox meshing activities. The sensor is of wideband differential specification, providing a good response between frequencies of 100-900kHz. The sensor's output is amplified using an inline voltage preamplifier, set to a gain of 20dB, and ultimately interfaced with a National Instruments PCI6251 data acquisition card, where it is sampled at a rate of 1.25MHz. The sensor is mounted exactly as the gearbox audible noise sensor, directly to the gearbox mount, and again silicone grease is employed to improve signal transmission.

To reduce the volume of data acquired during operation of the rig, whilst avoiding the attenuation of potentially valuable, high frequency content contained within parameters, certain sensors were sampled periodically. For vibration, audible noise and acoustic emission parameters samples were taken in a 1 second period, every 30 seconds.

Vibration, audible noise and acoustic emission parameters were sampled periodically (30s), in order to reduce data volumes whilst avoiding the attenuation of high frequency content within these parameters. Additionally, this phase of research shall focus solely upon time-domain analysis of signals.



**Figure 5: CER instrumentation schematic** 

# 3. Testing Regime

## 3.1. Operational Procedure

Test scenarios are commenced from a cold start, with all primary rig parameters allowed to reach a steady state condition each time an operational condition (speed/load) changes. Consistent steps in speed and load are used during test scenarios (table 7) to support repeatability. A 3kN maximum limit on applied radial loading is specified to prevent significant deflection of the drive shaft, minimising the potential for plastic deformation or even complete fracture.

		-		
Variable	Abbrev.	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Speed (Hz)	S	15	30	45
Axial Load (kN)	А	2	4	6
Radial Load (kN)	R	1	2	3
Torsional Load (Adc)	Т	2	5	8

Table 7: Summary of magnitudes of testing variables used

Durations of test scenarios are dictated by the time required for parameters to reach steady state conditions in response to operational changes, and each scenario is run 3 times to confirm repeatability of observations.

To minimise the impact upon system dynamics of component wear or replacements between test scenarios the rig is run through a baselining test. If any significant changes in system parameters during unloaded operation are observed, then the relevant component(s) are replaced by fresh components to maximise consistency between scenarios.

## 3.2. Characterisation of Operational Envelope

The purpose of this phase of testing aims to profile the response of system parameters to changing speed and load conditions. As presented in table 8 these conditions are applied over 8 scenarios, encompassing variation in speed, radial, axial, and torsional load.

## 3.3. Seeding of Fault Conditions

The second phase of testing is designed to enable the seeding of the failure and fault conditions described in section 2.1, for the purpose of assessing the degree to which each monitored system parameter is able to detect the presence of each seeded condition. Data collected from the test rig aims to be as reflective of typical conveyor operation as possible, therefore the scenarios implemented are based upon observations of issues observed in industrial operations, as opposed to the trivial nature of faults typically seeded within literature.

Description from Lowerney Interior	
Loading Profile	
PTR_TS_A   Multi speed char-   None   NA   Characterise un-   Run at 15 30 4	45Hz se-
acterisation loaded operation. quentially.	
PTR_TS_B Radial loading of Radial Step Emulate in- Run at 45Hz, and	pply 1 2
drive shaft creased belt 3kN sequential	ly.
tension.	
PTR_TS_C   Axial loading of   Axial   Step   Emulate uneven   Run at 45Hz, aj	pply 1 2
drive shaft belt tracking. 3kN sequential	ly.
PTR TS D Combined radial Radial Constant Emulate increas- Run at 45Hz, an	ply 3kN
and axial loading Axial Step ing belt tension radial, then ap	ply 2 4
with uneven belt 6kN axial seque	entially.
tracking.	5
PTR_TS_E Torsional loading Torsional Step Emulate increas- Run at 30Hz,	apply 3
of drive shaft ing levels of prod- 5 8A power to	braking
uct on belt. motor sequentia	ally.
PTR_TS_F Torsional loading Torsional Cyclic Emulate typical Run at 30Hz, a	pply tri-
of drive shaft profile of product angle wave curr	rent pro-
being loaded. file at 3 5 8A pe	eak.
PTR TS G Combined radial Radial Constant Emulate in- Run at 30Hz an	mly 3kN
and torsional Step creased belt radial then apr	olv trian-
loading of drive tension dur- gle wave curren	nt profile
shaft ing fluctuating at 3.5 8A neak	. Prome
product level	

Table 8: Summary of operational characterisation test scenarios

To maximise legitimacy of data 'natural' faults would be generated on the rig (i.e. damage generated cumulatively as a result of applied loading, rather than seeding damage) however, due to the timescales associated with inducing such damage, at this stage in the research focus shall be confined to seeded conditions. For example, a shielded, grease lubricated SKF YAR 204-2F bearing is estimated to have an operational life of 3250hrs when subject to 3kN of constant axial and radial load, rotating at 180RPM. As described in table 9 the scenarios seeded on the rig are a combination of artificial damage and manipulation of the operational environment.

Scenario	Description	Mode of	Loading	Rationale	Method
ID		loading	profile		
PTR_TS_H	Corroded bear- ing	Radial	Step	Emulate bearing exposed to wet en- vironment.	Bathe bearing in saline so- lution for 72hrs. Install at location B and run at 15 30 45Hz. Apply 3kN radial load when at 45Hz.
PTR_TS_I	Poorly main- tained bearing	Radial	Step	Emulate poorly maintained bearing being operated.	Bathe bearing in Acetone for 72hrs. Install at loca- tion B and run at 15 30 45Hz. Apply 3kN radial load when at 45Hz.
PTR_TS_J	Damaged bear- ing seal	Radial	Step	Emulate damage to bearing seal.	Seed defect in seal at inner race using metal punch. In- stall at location B and run at 15 30 45Hz. Apply 3kN radial load when at 45Hz.
PTR_TS_K	Overloaded bearing	Radial	Step	Emulate bearing damaged during installation.	Statically load bearing in- ner race with 25kN using Instron machine. Install at location B and run at 15 30 45Hz. Apply 3kN radial load when at 45Hz.
PTR_TS_L	Contaminated bearing	Radial	Step	Emulatemetallicdebrispresentwithinbearingraces.	Mill hole in bearing seal and insert steel filings. Run at 15 30 45Hz. Apply 3kN radial load when at 45Hz.
PTR_TS_M	Thermal inhibition	Torsional	Step	Emulate prod- uct buildup around motor and gearbox.	Cover motor and gearbox with insulating foil. Run at 30Hz. Apply 2 5 8A con- stant current.
PTR_TS_N	Gearbox breather blockage	Torsional	Step	Emulate breather tube blockage.	Remove gearbox plug. Run at 30Hz. Apply 2 5 8A constant current.
PTR_TS_O	Damaged gear- box	Torsional	Step	Emulate cumula- tive buildup of metallic debris in gearbox from tooth wear.	Run at 30Hz. Remove plug and insert steel filings. Apply 2 5 8A constant cur- rent.

 Table 9: Summary of seeded fault test scenarios

## 3.3.1. Bearings

Five bearing damage scenarios were seeded on the rig, a mixture of single point and distributed damage, as described by<sup>(23)</sup>. Damage was created using a variety of methods, as described in table 10.

Fault ID	Damage type	Location	Method
BF1	Corrosion	Distributed	Bathe bearing in Acetone so-
			lution
BF2	Lubricant removal	Distributed	Bathe bearing in saline solu-
			tion
BF3	Seal deformation	Single point	Deform seal using metal
			punch
BF4	Brinneling/Overload	Distributed	Statically load inner race
			against outer in axial direc-
			tion (25kN)
BF5	Debris contamination	Distributed	Mill access hole in seal and in-
			sert steel filings

Table 10: Summary of bearing faults artificially seeded

## 3.3.2. Drive System

Three fault scenarios were seeded within the test rig drive system, as described within table 11.

Table 11: Summary of drive system faults artificially seeded

Fault ID	Scenario	Method			
DS1	Thermal inhibition of	Encase motor and gearbox in shroud of insulating foil			
201	motor and gearbox	Encuse motor and Searbox in smoud of insulating is			
052	Blocked breather	Remove gearbox breather plug, run rig at 45Hz until			
0.52	DIOCKEU UICatilei	SS reached. Insert breather plug			
	Debrig contemination	Run rig at 45Hz until SS reached. Remove breather			
D33	Debris containination	plug and insert steel filings. Replace breather plug			

## 4. Results

A total of total of 48 scenarios were run on the test rig, representing more than 250hrs of operation, with each scenario generating over 100 directly measured or derived parameters. As such, for brevity, exhaustive results are omitted from this paper, with only salient data presented and discussed.

#### 4.1. Operational Characterisation

In response to changes in operational speed the majority of parameters present sensitivity (fig. 6). The power drawn by the drive motor increases almost linearly with increasing speed demands. Similarly, the step changes in speed can be clearly identified in the root-mean-square (RMS) value of the vibration, audible noise (AN) and acoustics emission (AE) waveforms, with the exception of the motor vibration RMS, which peaks at S2 before reducing at S3 to a value similar to S1. This is likely explained by the mounting configuration of the sensor, with the adapter used to mechanically couple the sensor to the motor casing likely producing a filtering effect on the vibration recorded at S3.



Figure 6: Multispeed characterisation test scenario. Changes in demanded speed indicated by vertical dashed lines

The temperature of all monitored components also rises in response to increases in operational speed, however in a less linear manner, with a reduction in the magnitude of the temperature increase seen from S2-S3 compared to S1-S2. Additionally, and as expected, temperature responses present significant delay in reaching a steady state, with each presenting an approximately first order response with a time constant of 49mins. The response of the motor temperature can also be observed to present stable, non-minimum phase characteristics i.e. initially, the direction of the temperature response opposes that of the change in speed<sup>(24)</sup>.

In the case of the motor temperature response such behaviour can be explained by the construction characteristics of the motor. To increase the rotor speed the motor must consume more power, which, due to thermal inefficiency (i.e. Joule heating) results in a corresponding increase in heat generation within the motor core. However, as the motor temperature is monitored using a case mounted sensor this increased heat is not immediately observed, but instead time-delayed. To actively cool the motor a fan is directly mounted to the rotor to provide forced convection. As the fan is rigidly coupled to the rotor, it provides an immediate increase in airflow and thus convective power in response to an increase in rotor speed, which is observed with minimal delay by the externally mounted temperature sensor. Thus, the response of the motor casing temperature to speed variation is the summation of a fast response, non-minimum phase component (i.e. fan action), which acts to remove heat, and a slow response, minimum phase component (i.e. heating losses) that acts to add heat.

When the test rig is subjected to an axial load in isolation a decrease in drive speed of around 1rpm per 2kN of load can be observed, however there is no corresponding increase in power consumption. Due to the open-loop operation of the VFD it makes no attempt to compensate for the reduction in speed caused by the application of axial load. Accordingly, little change in the RMS value of the motor and gearbox vibration, audible noise and acoustic emission is seen, and only a small increase of 1°C in the temperature of each at 6kN axial load.



Figure 7: Axial loading characterisation test scenario

In contrast, the temperature of the main bearings present a significant increase in response to axial load. Initially, the temperature of bearing 1 increases at a greater rate than bearing 2, rising by 2.5°C from 0-2kN, compared to 1°C for bearing 2. Due to the stepped profile present on the drive shaft and the direction in which axial load is applied, much of the load is reacted by bearing 1, thus it experiences increased frictional losses resulting in the temperature rise observed. In contrast, bearing 2 is axially constrained to the drive shaft by just two grub screws, hence it reacts only a fraction of the applied load and so experiences less increase in heat.

However, as the applied load is increased from 2-4-6kN, whilst bearing 1 presents a further 2 steps of  $2.5^{\circ}$ C, bearing 2 presents steps of  $2.5^{\circ}$ C and  $5^{\circ}$ C respectively. This behaviour can be explained by the design of the test rig. Axial load is transferred to the drive shaft via a needle roller thrust bearing to minimise parasitic torsional force. However, as a consequence the motion of the thrust bearing generates heat via frictional losses, proportional to the applied load, which is added into the system. Thermal images taken during tests indicate that a temperature of around  $70^{\circ}$ C was reached at the thrust bearing's location, which eventually causes an artificial increase in the temperature of bearing 2 as heat is conducted through the shaft.



#### Figure 8: Thermal image of drive shaft and axial loading location during the application of 6kN axial load - note maximum scale temperature of 74.9°C

The vibration RMS value of each bearing shows an increase at each step of axial load applied, with bearing 1 values slightly greater, as a result of supporting more load. The audible noise RMS value of bearing 1 shows little sensitivity to applied axial load, however bearing 2 presents a clear drop across the range of axial load.



Figure 9: Radial loading characterisation test scenario

As with the application of axial load, radial load applied in isolation is not supported mechanically by the test rig drivetrain and thus induces little change in motor and gearbox parameters, with no significant change in speed, power consumption, temperature, RMS vibration or RMS acoustic emission observed across the applied range.

Both main bearings show a small reduction in temperature and vibration RMS value as radial load is applied, with the applied load producing a constraining effect, however a significant increase in audible noise of both bearings and the gearbox can be seen in the presence of radial load. This increased noise is generated by the chain drive; in response to the application of radial load the drive shaft deflects causing a reduction in the centre distance of the sprockets. This, in turn, causes a slackening of the chain and thus an increase in noise.



Figure 10: Torsional loading characterisation test scenario

In contrast to the application of axial and radial load, torsional load is reacted by the motor and gearbox. As such, the application of torsional load causes a simultaneous decrease in speed and increase in power consumption of 5rpm and 15W respectively. An increase of 5°C can also be observed in the response of both the motor and gearbox to 2Nm of torsional load, however, this corresponds with a reduction in the motor vibration and gearbox audible noise RMS values, as a result in the reduction in speed.

Neither of the main bearings present significant temperature sensitivity to torsional load, however vibration and audible noise RMS levels do increase as torsional load is stepped up. This is likely a result of the increased noise generated by the chain under torsional load, reflected in the greater magnitude of bearing 1 audible noise compared to bearing 2.



Figure 11: Torsional square-wave loading characterisation test scenario

When subject to a triangle-wave torsional load profile, the filtering effect of thermal inertia can be observed in the response of the motor and gearbox temperatures. Whilst the response of the speed, load, vibration, AN and AE RMS parameters present little phase delay in response to changes in torsional load, the temperature of the motor and gearbox presents both lag and smoothing. This effect becomes more apparent as the period of the input profile is increased (fig. 11).

#### 4.2. Fault Scenarios

Across all bearing fault scenarios no significant sensitivity in any motor or gearbox parameters can be observed (fig. 12).



Figure 12: Bearing fault test scenarios

However, all faulty bearings present an increased temperature compared to the healthy bearing 1 baseline shown in fig. 6. Additionally, all bearing differential temperatures show the faulty bearing (bearing 2) under test exceeding the associated healthy bearing (bearing 1), with the exception of BF2. This is likely explained by the nature of the fault; the removal of lubricant likely enables more heat to be radiated away from the contact surfaces. However, the differential of BF2 can be seen to reverse as radial load, demonstrating the purpose of lubricant in reducing frictional losses and thus heat generation.

Differential vibration RMS values across bearing faults are mostly negligible. BF4 does show an increased level of vibration however this doesn't persist when radial load is applied. Similarly, most audible noise RMS differentials are not significant or consistent, with any differentials present prior to load often reversing once radial load is applied. Typically, bearing 1 presents a greater audible noise RMS value than bearing 2, likely as a result of its proximity to the drive chain.

In response to artificial thermal inhibition of the drivetrain only the motor and gearbox temperatures show significant sensitivity, with each increasing by 27°C and 16°C respectively, after a sharp initial rate of change.



Figure 13: Thermal inhibition of the drive system test scenarios

No significant change in speed or power consumption of the motor is seen, despite the restricted airflow. A small increase in motor vibration RMS and decrease in gearbox AN RMS does also result, as an effect of the presence of the insulating cover.

Operating the rig with the gearbox breather plug removed causes little effect on any monitored parameters, despite a quantity of gearbox oil being observed to escape whilst the plug was removed (fig. 14).



Figure 14: Insertion and removal of gearbox breather plug test scenarios

In response to the insertion of metallic debris in the gearbox a small increase (1°C) in the temperature of both the motor and gearbox is seen. However, a far more significant increase in the RMS value of both the motor vibration and gearbox AE can also be seen. No significant sensitivity is seen in any bearing parameters.



Figure 15: Insertion of debris into gearbox test scenarios

## 5. Discussion

When applied in isolation, from the data acquired during tests identification of both the presence of and form of the loading applied is indicated in the response of specific combinations of parameters (table 12).

Such understanding could support operators in assessing the usage of a system in realtime, enabling more informed PPM intervals to be scheduled, based upon how the operation of the system is changing rather than purely operational hours. Initially, this could be implemented through encoding understanding within thresholds of system parameters to simplify interpretation by operators, however a more detailed and evidenced understanding of behaviours is likely to be required in order to mitigate the issues associated with determining appropriate thresholds across multiple assets<sup>(25),(26),(27)</sup>.

Applied Loading	Sensitive parameters	Response
Axial	B1 temp, B2 temp, B1 vib, B2	Bearing temperature and vibration
	vib, B2 AN,	RMS differential present - B1
		greater than B2. Reduced B2AN
		RMS under load
Radial	B1 temp, B2 temp, B1 AN,	Decrease in absolute temp and in-
	B2 AN	crease in AN RMS of both B1 and
		B2
Torsional	Motor temp, gbx temp, mo-	Increased power drawn with de-
	tor vib RMS, gbx AN and	creased gbx speed. Increases in all
	AE RMS, motor power, gbx	other parameters
	speed	

Table 12: Summary of sensitivity of parameters to applied loading profiles

The degree of confidence associated with identification of loading conditions can be considered a function of the quantity and quality of operational information available. For example, one can be more confident in stating that an axial load is present by using both temperature and audible noise data as opposed to temperature data alone. Whilst this may increase confidence in the inference made it also increases the data acquisition requirement associated with a system, bringing both added cost and complexity to the system<sup>(11),(7)</sup>. Therefore, the value of such inferences to operators should be assessed, likely dependent upon how much correlation between the operational usage and failure of a system can be observed.

In addition, loading conditions have been considered only in isolation, that is, it is assumed only a single loading condition is ever present. In actuality there is nothing preventing a conveyor system being subjected to multiple modes of loading simultaneously, which is likely to influence negatively upon the accuracy of the inference able to be made.

Therefore, quantifying system usage in terms of the cumulative work done by the system may provide a more accurate metric for maintenance interval scheduling over purely cumulative operational hours. The total work done by a conveyor in moving product is a function of the power required to move the product and the distance the product is moved i.e.

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_n} P_{in} \cdot v_{belt} \, dt$$

Where  $P_{in}$  is the instantaneous power consumed by the drive motor and  $\nu_{belt}$  is the speed of the belt.

Typically, PPM actions are applied to assets which have been observed to present agerelated failures, with intervals defined based upon heuristic understanding of the mean time between failures (MTBF). Intervals defined in such a manner neglect the impact of intensity of usage on failure, therefore by utilising both the intensity of work as well as the quantity more accurate intervals may be identifiable. However, whilst observing the intensity of operation does neglect the effect this may have on the health of a system. For



Figure 16: Cumulative usage during isolated loading test scenarios

example, does operating at 100% load for 1 hour have the same impact upon asset health as operating at 100% load for 10 hours? Understanding such relationships is key to realising maximum asset life whilst minimising unplanned downtime, a task which will require a comprehensive dataset, compiled from the in situ operation of typical industrial conveyor systems. Similarly to the identification of operational state, the level of inference able to be made about the occurrence of fault conditions is dependent upon the range of information available. Data acquired during the seeding of faults enables indicators of the presence of faults seeded to be identified, as summarised in table 13.

Fault detection may be possible from a single parameter alone e.g. an increase in motor temperature can indicate a fault is present within the system, however, there is a significant degree of ambiguity in such a single source inference.

As indicated in fig. 17 the increase observed could be a response to a number of scenarios, such as a change in rotor speed, increased torsional load or thermal inhibition, and without more extensive information it is not possible to accurately determine whether a change in operation or a fault has occurred, and if so, type it. Additionally, as with applied loading conditions, faults have been seeded in isolation. The addition of having potentially multiple loads and faults present simultaneously within a system further increases the complexity of fault detection, isolation and typing tasks.

Fault Scenario	Sensitive parameters	Response
Gearbox with debris injected	Motor vib RMS, gbx	Increase in all as debris
	AE RMS and temp	introduced
Gearbox breather blocked	-	-
Drive thermally inhibited	Motor, gbx temp	Increase in both without speed or power change
Corroded bearing	B2 temp, B2 AN RMS	Increase in both relative to B1
Delubricated bearing	B2 temp	Decrease in B2 com- pared to B1
Bearing seal damage	B2 temp, B2 AN RMS	Increase in temp de- crease in AN of B2 com- pared to B1
Axially overloaded bearing	B2 temp, B2 AN RMS	Increase in temp and AN of B2 compared to B1
Bearing with debris injected	B2 temp, B2 AN RMS	Increase in temp and AN of B2 compared to B1

# Table 13: Summary of sensitivity of parameters to the presence of seeded fault conditions

Additional data may support inferences at these lower levels, observed either directly or identified via advanced signal processing techniques. For example, AN RMS values of BF4 and BF5 were similar during tests and thus it could be inferred that typing between the two is not possible. However, during testing an audible differential between the two scenarios could be identified to the ear, therefore by using frequency domain-based signal processing techniques such typing may be possible. Again, increasing the volume of data available describing such scenarios within industrial settings will be vital in increasing accuracy and confidence in such inferences, supporting the development of probabilistic models of failure.

# 6. Conclusions

The data acquired during tests completed on the Conveyor Emulation Rig (CER) as presented has suggested that both changes in operational state as well as the occurrence of specific fault scenarios can feasibly be identified from certain system parameters. Data suggests that each parameter monitored presents sensitivity to specific scenarios, however no single parameter can provide valuable insight in all scenarios. Additionally, the level of insight possible varies across parameters, with accurate insight at the isolation and typing levels typically requiring the leveraging of information from multiple parameters in conjunction with historical failure observations.

At this stage, data suggests that detection of the faults explored may be possible using a



Figure 17: Comparison of motor temperature response to various changes in operation

combination of speed, power and temperature parameters alone. However, there is a nontrivial cost associated with obtaining the information required to make these inferences, potentially which far exceeds the cost of the monitored components themselves, therefore more investigation is required to enable assessment of the value of different levels of inference to operators. Increasing the extent of sensing infrastructure incurs not only financial cost, but also increases system complexity which can require significant effort to maintain, therefore an increased understanding of the insight that can be realised by each sensor, not only in isolation but also in combination, is required before justification can be demonstrated to operators.

In this vein, further testing within both a laboratory and industrial environment is essential, not only to enable validation of the CER, but to enable an improved understanding of failures and fault scenarios. The complex dynamics of conveyor operation have thus far been isolated where possible to ease analysis, however to maximise validity of data, and thus value of outputs increased complexity of loading and faults must be addressed.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the EPSRC funded Industrial Doctorate Centre in Systems, University of Bristol and University of Bath (Grant EP/G037353/1) and the company sponsor Stirling Dynamics.

## References

- 1. T. Schools. "Condition Monitoring of Critical Mining Conveyors". In: *Engineering and Mining Journal* 216.3 (2015), p. 50.
- 2. R. T. Swinderman et al. *Foundations The practical resource for cleaner, safer, more productive dust & material control.* Martin Engineering, 2009, p. 574.
- 3. A. J. J. Braaksma, W Klingenberg, and J Veldman. "Failure mode and effect analysis in asset maintenance: a multiple case study in the process industry." In: *International Journal of Production Research* 51.4 (2013), pp. 1055–1071.
- 4. D Fonseca. "An expert system for reliability centered maintenance in the chemical industry". In: *Expert Systems with Applications* 19.1 (2000), pp. 45–57.
- 5. J. Igba et al. "A Systems Approach Towards Reliability-Centred Maintenance (RCM) of Wind Turbines". In: *Conference on Systems Engineering Research*. Vol. 16. Elsevier B.V., Jan. 2013, pp. 814–823.
- 6. D. Kwon et al. "IoT-Based Prognostics and Systems Health Management for Industrial Applications". In: *IEEE Access* 4 (2016), pp. 3659–3670.
- W. W. Tiddens, A. J. J. Braaksma, and T. Tinga. "The Adoption of Prognostic Technologies in Maintenance Decision Making: A Multiple Case Study". In: *Procedia CIRP* 38 (2015), pp. 171–176.
- 8. J. Moubray. *Reliability-centered Maintenance 2.1 (2nd Edition)*. 2nd. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1997.
- 9. A. Starr et al. "Maintenance Today and Future Trends". In: *E-maintenance*. London: Springer London, 2010. Chap. 2, pp. 5–37.
- R. Blazej, L. Jurdziak, and W. Kawalec. "Operational Safety of Steel-Cord Conveyor Belts Under Non-stationary Loadings". In: *Advances in Condition Monitoring of Machinery in Non-Stationary Operations. Applied Condition Monitoring*. Ed. by F. Chaari et al. Vol. 4. Springer International Publishing, 2016, pp. 535–544.
- 11. O. Freeman Gebler et al. "Towards the implementation of a predictive maintenance strategy : Lessons learned from a case study within a waste processing plant". In: *European Conference of the Prognostics and Health Management Society 2016*. 2016, pp. 1–17.
- G. Lodewijks et al. "An Application of the IoT in Belt Conveyor Systems". In: *Internet and Distributed Computing Systems. IDCS 2016.* Ed. by Wenfeng Li et al. Vol. 9258. Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 340–351.
- 13. A. Heng et al. "Rotating machinery prognostics: State of the art, challenges and opportunities". In: *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 23.3 (Apr. 2009), pp. 724–739.

- 14. A. K. S. Jardine, D. Lin, and D. Banjevic. "A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance". In: *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 20.7 (Oct. 2006), pp. 1483–1510.
- 15. I. K. Jennions. *Integrated Vehicle Health Management: Perspectives on an Emerging Field*. SAE International, 2011.
- 16. B. S. Iso. "Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines Prognostics Part 1 : General guidelines". In: 3 (2004).
- 17. ISO and IEC. *ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2: Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards*. Tech. rep. ISO, 2011, p. 76.
- 18. P. Tavner et al. *Condition Monitoring of Rotating Electrical Machines.* 2nd. The Institute of Engineering and Technology, 2008, p. 304.
- B. Lu, T. G. Habetler, and R. G. Harley. "A nonintrusive and in-service motorefficiency estimation method using air-gap torque with considerations of condition monitoring". In: *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications* 44.6 (2008), pp. 1666– 1674.
- 20. R. L. Rusby. *Introduction to Temperature Measurement*. Tech. rep. 125. National Physical Laboratory, 2015.
- 21. P. Theobald, B. Zeqiri, and J. Avison. "Couplants and their Influence on AE Sensor Sensitivity". In: *Journal of Acostic Emission* 26 (2008), pp. 91–97.
- 22. ISO. ISO 13373-1:2002 Condition monitoring and diagnostics of machines Vibration condition monitoring — Part 1 : General procedures. 2002.
- 23. C. Lessmeier et al. "Condition Monitoring of Bearing Damage in Electromechanical Drive Systems by Using Motor Current Signals of Electric Motors : A Benchmark Data Set for Data-Driven Classification Condition Monitoring of Bearing Damage in Electromechanical Drive Systems". In: *European Conference of the Prognostics and Health Management Society 2016*. Ed. by I. Eballard and A. Bregon. PHM Society, 2016.
- 24. R. C. Dorf and R. H. Bishop. *Modern Control Systems*. Pearson Prentice Hall, 2011, p. 1082.
- 25. E. Bechhoefer and A. P. F. Bernhard. "A generalized process for optimal threshold setting in HUMS". In: *IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings* Ci (2007).
- 26. M. Sawicki et al. "An automatic procedure for multidimensional temperature signal analysis of a SCADA system with application to belt conveyor components". In: *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science* 15 (2015), pp. 781–790.
- P. K. Stefaniak et al. "Diagnostic Features Modeling for Decision Boundaries Calculation for Maintenance of Gearboxes Used in Belt Conveyor System". In: Advances in Condition Monitoring of Machinery in Non-Stationary Operations. Applied Condition Monitoring. Ed. by F. Chaari et al. Vol. 4. Springer International Publishing, 2016, pp. 251–262.