

LUND UNIVERSITY

Sampling of a System with a Time Delay

Wittenmark, Björn

1984

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Wittenmark, B. (1984). Sampling of a System with a Time Delay. (Technical Reports TFRT-7267). Department of Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology (LTH).

Total number of authors:

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. • Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00 BJÖRN WITTENMARK

SAMPLING OF A SYSTEM WITH A TIME DELAY

÷

CODEN: LUTFD2/(TFRT-7267)/1-018/(1984)

data system. The expressions for the sampled data representations are also continuous time system can be represented by a finite dimensional sampled derived. which includes a time delay. It is shown that the infinite dimensional This report deals with the problem of sampling a continuous time system

Abstract

Björn Wittenmark Department of Automatic Control Lund Institute of Technology Box 725, S-220 07 Lund, Sweden

à

SAMPLING OF A SYSTEM WITH A TIME DELAY

23rd CDC and Transactions

The the the result given in Franklin and Powell (1980) and has been used in courses for easily can be sampled. The sampled data representation is finite dimensional. (1984) it is shown that a time delay followed by a finite dimensional system Jury z-transform. State space systems with time delays have not been analyzed to sampling period is easily obtained by using the modified z-transform. Pulse transfer functions of systems with a delay that is not a fraction of the same extent. result in (1964) and Aström and In Franklin and Powell (1980) Jury (1977) for Wittenmark (1984) was thorough discussions and Aström and Wittenmark derived independently from of the modified See

is a multiple of the sampling period" is often seen. be on integer times the sampling period. Statements such that "the time delay There has been a false folklore in digital control that the time delay should

The expressions for the sampled data representations will be derived. assumed to be constant over periods of time equal to the sampling period. time interval equal to the time delay. In this report it will be shown that the system. continuous time linear system with a time delay is an infinite dimensional to the To model the delay it is necessary to store a function of time over sampling mechanism and to the fact that the input signal This lis IS. ρ

due sampled data representation of such a system is finite dimensional.

Þ

!

INTRODUCTION

Ē

sampling interval i.e. where d is an integer, Þ is the sampling period and τ' is a fraction of the (2.4)

$$\tau = (d-1)h + \tau'$$
 (2.4)

delay be i.e. that there is a time delay between the two subsystems. Let the time

$$u_2(t) = y_1(t-\tau)$$
 (2.3)

is one input and one output of each subsystem. Further it is assumed that The orders of the systems are n_1 and n_2 respectively. It is assumed that there

$$S_2 : \dot{x}_2 = A_2 x_2 + B_2 u_2$$

 $Y = C_2 x_2 + D_2 u_2$ (2.2)

...

11

+

$$y_1 = C_1 x_1 + D_1 u$$
 (2.1)

$$S_1 : \dot{x}_1 = A_1 x_1 + B_1 u$$

 $V_1 = C_1 x_1 + B_1 u$
(2.1)

linear subsystems described by the state equations Consider the system in Fig. 1. The system consists of two finite dimensional

N PROBLEM FORMULATION

solved. The three problems formulated in the previous section are now going to be

3. SAMPLING OF A SYSTEM WITH A TIME DELAY

derivation of the sampled data representations for the other two cases. (1984), but the result is repeated in the following section together with the Case 1 is solved in Franklin and Powell (1980) and Astrom and Wittenmark

se 3 - Time delay between the subsystems. It is then assur
$$D_1 = D_2 = 0$$
.

Ca 3 med that

Case 2 -Time delay after the system. I.e. $A_2 = B_2 = C_2 = D_1 = 0$, $D_2 = 1$.

Case 1 -Time delay before the system. I.e. $A_1 = B_1 = C_1 = D_2 = 0$, $D_1 = 1$. considered: equations for x_1 (kh) and x_2 (kh) should be derived. Three cases will be

ω

then

$$\Phi_{2}(t) = e^{A_{2}t}$$
(3.1)
$$\Gamma_{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{2}s} B_{2}ds$$
(3.2)

Introduce the notations

$$x_{2}^{A_{2}h} = e^{A_{2}h} x_{2}^{A_{2}(kh)} + \int e^{A_{2}(kh+h-g)} B_{2}^{A_{3}(kh-dh)}$$
kh

defined in (2.4). This gives

$$det[zI-\Phi_2(h)] = 0$$

to store these values. input signal is constant over the sampling periods. It is thus only necessary that the continuous time system is infinite dimensional. The reason is that the is $n_2 + d$. The sampled data representation is of finite order despite the fact delay and d additional poles at the origin. I.e. the order of the operator (3.4) The system with time delay has the same poles as the system without time

changed. The integer d is the pole excess of the sampled data system. Notice that only the zeros and not the poles of (3.4) will vary when τ' is

Case 2 - Time delay after the system

i.e. that It is now assumed that the subsystem ${\rm S_2}$ has a transfer function that is unity,

$$A_2 = B_2 = C_2 = D_1 = 0$$
 $D_2 = 1$

The sampled representation of (2.1) is

Γ it is found that For convenience the indices have been dropped. Using the definitions of Φ and

Proof

To show the theorem it is first shown that

(3.4) if the systems ${\rm S}_1$ and ${\rm S}_2$ are the same.

THEOREM 3.1

The pulse transfer operator $H_2(q)$ given by (3.7) is equal to $H_1(q)$ given by

The sampled representation is also in this case finite dimensional. (3.7)

H

$$2^{(q)} = C_1 \left[\Phi_1^{(h-\tau')}(qI - \Phi_1^{(h)})^{-1} \Gamma_1^{(h)} + \Gamma_1^{(h-\tau')} \right] q^{-d}$$

The pulse

П

$$= \Phi_1(h-\tau')x_1(kh-dh) + \Gamma_1(h-\tau')u(kh-dh) \quad (3.6)$$
transfer operator is now

$$= e^{A_1(h-\tau')} \times \frac{a_1 e^{A_1 e}}{a_1} B_1 ds' u(kh-dh)$$

$$0$$

 $h-\tau'$

This more complicated case can be solved using the same ideas as for the previous cases. To be able to make a comparison and to introduce comp

<u>Case 3 - Time delay between the subsystems</u>

1

it follows that

$$H_{2}(q) = C \left[\Phi(h-\tau')(qI-\Phi(h))^{-1}\Gamma(h) + \Gamma(h-\tau') \right] q^{-d}$$

$$= C(qI-\Phi(h))^{-1} \left[\Phi(h-\tau')\Gamma(h) + q\Gamma(h-\tau') - \frac{1}{2} \Phi(h)\Gamma(h-\tau') \right] q^{-d}$$

$$= C(qI-\Phi(h))^{-1} \left[q\Gamma(h-\tau') + \Phi(\tau')\Gamma(h-\tau') \right] q^{-d}$$

$$= C(qI-\Phi(h))^{-1} \left[q\Gamma(h-\tau') + \Phi(h-\tau')\Gamma(\tau') \right] q^{-d}$$

$$= H_{1}(q)$$

7

¢(s+t)

IŁ

\$(s)\$(t)

(3.10)

$$x_{1}(s) = e^{A_{1}(s-kh)}$$
 $x_{1}(s) = e^{A_{1}(s-kh)}$
 $x_{1}(kh) + \int e^{A_{1}(s-s')}$
 $B_{1}ds' u(kh)$
 kh

In the

$$x_{1}(kh+h) = e^{A_{1}h} x_{1}(kh) + \int e^{A_{1}(kh+h-s)} B_{1}u(s)ds$$

$$x_{1}(kh+h) = e^{A_{1}h} x_{1}(kh) + \int e^{A_{1}s} B_{1}ds u(kh)$$

$$= \Phi_{1}(h)x_{1}(kh) + \Gamma_{1}(h)u(kh)$$

$$x_{2}(kh+h) = e^{A_{2}h} x_{2}(kh) + \int e^{A_{2}(kh+h-s)} A_{21}x_{1}(s)ds (3.9)$$
interval $kh \leq s \leq kh + h$

equations The sampled representation of (3.8) is obtained by integrating the differential

œ

It is clear from the derivation that if there is a delay in the system then

$$y(kh) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(kh) \\ x_2(kh) \end{bmatrix}$$

(3.12)

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 (kh+h) \\ x_2 (kh+h) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 (h) & 0 \\ \Phi_{12} (h) & \Phi_2 (h) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 (kh) \\ x_2 (kh) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 (h) \\ \Gamma'_2 (h) \end{bmatrix} u(kh)$$

(3.8) is thus

$$= \int_{0}^{h} e^{A_2 s^*} A_{21} \Gamma_1 (h - s^*) ds^*$$

o
efined as in (3.2). The sampled version of

г

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{A_2 s^*} A_{21} \Gamma_1 (h-s^*) ds^*$$

o
efined as in (3.2). The sampled version of (

h) =
$$\int e^{A_{1}r_{1}A_{2}(kh+h-g)} A_{21} \int e^{A_{1}(g-g')} B_{1}dg' dg$$

kh
= $\int e^{A_{2}g^{*}} A_{21}\Gamma_{1}(h-g^{*})dg^{*}$

 $\Gamma_2(h)$

 $\frac{kh+h}{a_2}(kh+h-s)$

II

h ≜^A2^B′ ∫ e^A2^B′

A₂₁e^A1(h-s')

ds,

Φ₂₁(h)

kh

 $= \int e^{A_2(kh+h-s)} e^{A_1(s-kh)} ds$

+
$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{1}(h-\tau) & 0 \\ \Phi_{21}(h-\tau) & \Phi_{2}(h-\tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{1}(\tau) \\ \Gamma_{2}'(\tau) \end{bmatrix} u(kh-h)$$
+
$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{1}(h-\tau) \\ \Gamma_{2}'(h-\tau) \end{bmatrix} u(kh).$$

The problem is that x_(kh) is not a function of y (kh) but

L

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_{1}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{h}) \\ \mathbf{x}_{2}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1}(\mathbf{h}) & \mathbf{0} \\ \phi_{21}(\mathbf{h}) & \phi_{2}(\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_{1}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}) \\ \mathbf{x}_{2}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} +$$

series with S_2 is given by (3.8). Using (3.3) and (3.12) gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}+\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1(\mathbf{h}) & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{h}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Sampling the system in Fig. 2 is the same problem as treated in Case 1. S $_1$ in

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1 \\ \mathbf{k} \\ \mathbf{h} \\ \mathbf{h} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \mathbf{h} \end{bmatrix} 0 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1 \\ \mathbf{k} \\ \mathbf{h} \end{bmatrix}$$

The states of S
$$_2$$
 in Fig. 2 are identical to the states of S $_2$ in Fig. 1.

Compared with Fig. 1 the subsystem
$$S_1$$
 and the delay are interchanged. The states of S_1 in Fig. 2 are denoted x'_1 and are related to the states of S_1 in Fig. 1 through $x'_1(t) = x_1(t-\tau)$.

problem to the two problems treated above. Consider the system in Fig. 2.

Periodic sampling of the system
$$(2.1) - (2.3)$$
 with the sampling interval h and with

THEOREM 3.2

2

The derivation is summarized in the following theorem.

$$x_{1}(kh-\tau) = \Phi_{1}(h-\tau)x_{1}(kh-h) + \Gamma_{1}(h-\tau)u(kh-h),$$

$$x_{2}(kh+h) = \Phi_{21}(h) \left[\Phi_{1}(h-\tau)x_{1}(kh-h) + \Gamma_{1}(h-\tau)u(kh-h) \right]$$

$$+ \Phi_{2}(h)x_{2}(kh) + \Gamma_{2}'(h-\tau)u(kh)$$

$$+ \left[\Phi_{21}(h-\tau)\Gamma_{1}(\tau) + \Phi_{2}(h-\tau)\Gamma_{2}'(\tau) \right]u(kh-h)$$

$$+ \left[\Phi_{21}(h)\Gamma_{1}(h-\tau)x_{1}(kh-h) + \Phi_{2}(h)x_{2}(kh) \right]$$

$$+ \left[\Phi_{21}(h)\Gamma_{1}(h-\tau) + \Phi_{21}(h-\tau)\Gamma_{1}(\tau) \right]$$

$$+ \Phi_{2}(h-\tau)\Gamma_{2}'(\tau) \left] u(kh-h) + \Gamma_{2}'(h-\tau)u(kh).$$

Finally

Case 2 and (3.6) gives

 $x'_1(kh) =$

 $x_1(kh-\tau)$.

$$\left[x_{1}^{(kh+h)}\right] \left[\phi_{1}^{\bullet}\right] 0 0 0 \left[x_{1}^{(kh)}\right] \left[\Gamma_{1}^{\bullet}\right]$$

space representation of (3.13) is Finally the order of the sampled data system will be discussed. One state sampling a system. implies that the computations are easily made using standard programs for time delay for the sampling periods h, h- τ , and τ . Compare (3.12). This The sampled data representation is obtained by sampling the system without <u>Remark</u>

۵

$$\Phi_{1}(t) = e^{A_{1}t}$$

$$\Gamma_{1}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{1}B}B_{1}ds$$

$$\Phi_{21}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{2}B}A_{21}e^{A_{1}(t-s)}ds$$

$$\Gamma_{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{2}B}A_{21}\Gamma_{1}(t-s)ds$$

$$\Gamma_{2}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{2}B}A_{21}\Gamma_{1}(t-s)ds$$

i = 1,2

(2.1) - (2.3) with the sampling interval h and with
$$\tau > 0$$
 gives a sampled data representation of order

if ਰ

n 1

 $+ n_2 + d$

system thus has the order

 $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 (kh) \\ y(kh) \end{bmatrix}$

....

<u>م</u>ً م

NC O

ت₁ 0

 $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 (kh-h) \\ x_2 (kh) \\ u(kh-h) \end{bmatrix}$

(3.14)

In the general case d old values of u has to be stored. The sampled data

derivation of (3.13). We have the following theorem:

> 0. That this is the minimum order is easily understood from the

$$n_1 + n_2 + d$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 (kh) \\ x_2 (kh+h) \\ u(kh) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 & \Gamma_1 \\ -\phi_2 & \phi_2 & \Gamma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 (kh-h) \\ x_2 (kh) \\ x_2 (kh) \\ x_2 (kh) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\phi_2 \\ -\phi_2 \\ -\phi_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Phi_{21}^{-} = (1 - e^{-h})e^{-(h+\tau)}$$

I. 4

L

This gives $\Phi_{21}(h) =$ 1 - e^{-h}

 $\Gamma_1(h) =$ •1(h) П e-h ы 1 e-h $\Gamma_2'(h) =$ $\Phi_2(h) =$ 5 H 1 н + e-h

x(kh+h) =[e-h [1-e^{-h} 0 x(kh) + [h-1+e^{-h} 1-e^{-h} u(kh)

Thus

Sampling this system with sampling period h gives

6 1]x.

ч Ч

ו " ط ط ا $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u$

continuous time system without time delay is described by, compare (3.8),

Consider the system in Fig. 3. Let the sampling interval be

h = 1.

The

4

AN EXAMPLE

Jury,

Theory and Practice, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. Wittenmark, B. (1984): Computer Controlled Systems

t

ም

REFERENCES

Aström,

K.J.

and

Franklin, G.F. and Powell, J.D. (1980): Digital Control of Dynamic Systems, Addison - Wesley, Reading MA.

E.I. (1964): Theory and Application of the z-Transform Method, Wiley,

New York.

n 1 + ⁿ2 + ሷ

(3.13)

are determined

sampling a system.

sampled data representation is easy to compute using standard programs for

time delay for the sampling periods h, h- τ ' and τ '. This implies that

the the The sampled data representation is given in Theorem 3.2. The expressions in

by sampling the continuous time system without

time system. The integer d is defined in (2.4).

is the dimension of the finite dimensional part of the continuous

where $n_1 + n_2$