



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Optimal Control of Markov Processes with Incomplete Stateinformation II - the Convexity of the Lossfunction

Åström, Karl Johan

1968

*Document Version:*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Åström, K. J. (1968). *Optimal Control of Markov Processes with Incomplete Stateinformation II - the Convexity of the Lossfunction*. (Technical Reports TFRT-7002). Department of Automatic Control, Lund Institute of Technology (LTH).

*Total number of authors:*

1

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00

OPTIMAL CONTROL OF MARKOV PROCESSES  
WITH INCOMPLETE STATEINFORMATION II -  
THE CONVEXITY OF THE LOSSFUNCTION

K. J. ÅSTRÖM

REPORT 6805 SEPTEMBER 1968  
LUND INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
DIVISION OF AUTOMATIC CONTROL

OPTIMAL CONTROL OF MARKOV PROCESSES WITH INCOMPLETE STATE-  
INFORMATION II - THE CONVEXITY OF THE LOSSFUNCTION

by K. J. Åström

1. Introduction

A nonlinear adaptive control problem was discussed in [1]. It was shown that by quantizing time and statespace the problem could be reduced to a variational problem for a Markov chain with incomplete state-information. To solve the variational problem we introduced a hyperstate or an information state consisting of a vector  $w(t)$  such that  $w_i(t)$  is the conditional probability that the Markov process is in state  $i$  given all measured variables up to time  $t$ . We choose the statespace  $S$  as the subset of  $R_n$  defined by

$$S = \{x; x_i \geq 0\}$$

After introducing the lossfunction  $V: S \rightarrow R_1$ , it was shown in [1] that the variational problem could be reduced to the solution of the following functional equation

$$V_t(w) = \max_u \{ (g,w) + \sum_j ||A_j w|| V_{t+1}(A_j w / ||A_j w||) \}$$
$$V_N(w) = \max_u (g,w) \quad , \quad w \in S \tag{1.1}$$

where  $A_j$  is the linear transformation defined by

$$(A_j w)_i = \sum_s q_{ij} P_{si} w_s \tag{1.2}$$

$$||x|| = \sum |x_i|$$

The notation is that of [1]. The  $i$ :th component of the vector  $g$  denotes the instantaneous gain achieved by being in state  $i$  at time  $t$  and choosing the control variable  $u$ .  $P$  is the transition matrix of the Markov chain and  $Q$  is the observation matrix defined in [1],  $q_{ij}$  thus denotes the probability

-----  
This work was partially supported by the Swedish Board for Technical Development under Contract 68-336-f.

that the measuring equipment denotes the process as being in the  $j$ :th state when it actually is in state  $i$ . The matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  as well as the vector  $g$  depend on  $u$  and  $t$ . As  $P$  and  $Q$  are probability matrices having nonnegative elements it follows that  $A_j$  maps  $S$  into  $S$ . In [1] it was assumed that  $Q$  does not depend on  $u$ . All results of [1] will, however, also be valid when  $Q$  depends on  $u$ . Equation (1.1) admits an analytical solution only in very specific cases. The equation can, however, always be solved numerically. In the example 1 of [1] we found that  $V$  was convex in  $w$  and in example 2 of [1] where the maximum operation of (1.1) was substituted by a minimum operation, we found that  $V$  was concave in  $w$ . In this paper we will establish that this observation is true in general. Apart from being an amusing curiosity the result is useful for establishing convergence properties as well as for the simplification of numerical algorithms.

## 2. Main result

Before giving the main theorem we will establish some simple properties of convex functions. We have

### Lemma 1

Let  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$  be convex functions. The function

$$f(x) = \max \{f_1(x), f_2(x)\} \quad (2.1)$$

is then also convex.

### Proof

We first show that

$$\max \{a+b, c+d\} \leq \max \{a,c\} + \max \{b,d\} \quad (2.2)$$

Consider four separate cases:

1. If  $a > c$  and  $b > d$ , the right member becomes  $a+b$ . Further  $a+b > c+d$  and the result holds.
2. If  $a > c$  and  $b \leq d$ , the right member becomes  $a+d$ . Further  $a+b \leq a+d$  and  $c+d < a+d$ .

3. If  $a \leq c$  and  $b > d$ , the right member becomes  $b+c$ .  
Further  $a+b \leq b+c$  and  $c+d < b+c$ .
4. If  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , the right member becomes  $c+d$ .  
Further  $a+b \leq c+d$  and the result also holds.

Now let  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $\mu = 1 - \lambda$ . Consider the value of the function  $f$  defined by (2.1) for the argument  $\lambda x + \mu y$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\lambda x + \mu y) &= \max\{f_1(\lambda x + \mu y), f_2(\lambda x + \mu y)\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\lambda f_1(x) + \mu f_1(y), \lambda f_2(x) + \mu f_2(y)\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\lambda f_1(x), \lambda f_2(x)\} + \max\{\mu f_1(y), \mu f_2(y)\} \\
 &= \lambda \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x)\} + \mu \max\{f_1(y), f_2(y)\} \\
 &= \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y) \tag{2.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  being convex, the second from equation (2.2) and the last two equalities from  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  being nonnegative and equation (2.1). The result is then established.

We have further

Lemma 2

Let the function  $g: S \rightarrow R_1$  be convex and let  $A$  be a linear transformation which maps  $S$  into  $S$ . The function  $f: S \rightarrow R_1$  defined by

$$f(x) = \|Ax\| \cdot g(Ax/\|Ax\|), \quad x \in S \tag{2.4}$$

is then also convex.

Proof

Let  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $\mu = 1 - \lambda$ , take  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\lambda x + \mu y) &= \|\lambda Ax + \mu Ay\| \cdot g\left(\frac{\lambda Ax + \mu Ay}{\|\lambda Ax + \mu Ay\|}\right) \\
 &= \|\lambda Ax + \mu Ay\| \cdot g\left(\lambda_1 \frac{Ax}{\|Ax\|} + \mu_1 \frac{Ay}{\|Ay\|}\right) \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\lambda ||Ax||}{||\lambda Ax + \mu Ay||}, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\mu ||Ay||}{||\lambda Ax + \mu Ay||} \quad (2.6)$$

As  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are nonnegative and  $A$  maps  $S$  into  $S$ ,  $\lambda Ax \in S$ ,  $\mu Ay \in S$ ,  $\lambda Ax + \mu Ay \in S$ . For two elements  $u$  and  $v$  of  $S$  we have  $||u+v|| = ||u|| + ||v||$  hence

$$\lambda_1 + \mu_1 = 1$$

Now using the convexity of  $g$  we find

$$g\left(\lambda_1 \frac{Ax}{||Ax||} + \mu_1 \frac{Ay}{||Ay||}\right) \leq \lambda_1 g\left(\frac{Ax}{||Ax||}\right) + \mu_1 g\left(\frac{Ay}{||Ay||}\right) \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + \mu y) &\leq \lambda ||Ax|| \cdot g\left(\frac{Ax}{||Ax||}\right) + \mu ||Ay|| \cdot g\left(\frac{Ay}{||Ay||}\right) \\ &= \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y) \end{aligned}$$

and the result is established.

We can now state the main result.

Theorem

Let  $A_j$  be mappings from  $S$  into  $S$  the functions  $V_t = S \rightarrow R_1$  defined recursively by (1.1) are then convex.

Proof

The linear function  $(g, w)$  is convex. By repeated application of Lemma 1 we now find that  $V_N(w)$  is convex. Now consider  $V_{N-1}(w)$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $V_N(A_j w / ||A_j w||) ||A_j w||$  is convex. As a sum of convex functions is convex we find that both terms within the brackets of the right member of (1.1) are convex. Application of Lemma 1 now shows that  $V_{N-1}(w)$  is convex. Now proceeding by induction we can show that all functions  $V_t(w)$  are convex, and the theorem is proven.

Remark

We can show in a completely analogous way that the solutions  $V_t(w)$  of the equation

$$V_{t-1}(w) = \min_u \{ (g,w) + \sum_j ||A_j w|| V_t (A_j w / ||A_j w||) \}$$

$$V_N(w) = \min_u (g,w)$$

are concave. Compare [1] fig. 2.

3. Reference

- [1] K. J. Åström: "Optimal control of Markov processes with incomplete state information", Journal of Mathematical analysis and applications.