

## I think and it seems: A corpus cognitive approach to stance-taking and social status on an online bulletin board

Pöldvere, Nele; Glynn, Dylan

2013

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Pöldvere, N., & Glynn, D. (2013). I think and it seems: A corpus cognitive approach to stance-taking and social status on an online bulletin board. Abstract from Empirical approaches to multi-modality and to language variation, Lille, France.

Total number of authors:

Creative Commons License: CC BY-NC-ND

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply: Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

- or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# I think and it seems: A corpus cognitive approach to stance-taking and social status on an online bulletin board

Nele Põldvere and Dylan Glynn Lund University

This study examines the epistemic mental predicate construction *I think* and the evidential predicate construction *it seems* in rhythmic gymnastics bulletin board conversations. It employs the Cognitive Linguistic analytical framework and corpus-driven quantitative methodology to examine the expression of stance relative to the extra-linguistic dimension of social hierarchy (rank). The study demonstrates that, despite the democracy and social freedom on Internet communication, social hierarchy and its linguistic expression is transmuted to virtual societies.

The expression of intersubjective stance is at once one of the most common and complex functions of language. Natural interactive language is indisputably characterized by varied and complex epistemic and evidential expressions, which are constantly chosen to convince one's interlocutors of a given construal of the world. In Functional Linguistics (Biber & Finegan 1989, Aijmer 1998, Scheibman 2002 Kärkkäinen 2003, Hunston & Thompson 2003, Martin & White 2005, Englebretson 2007), work has sought to understand the structures used in the expression of stance. However, these approaches have largely focused on discourse function at the expense of conceptual representation. This study advances our understanding of the phenomenon in two ways. Firstly, it employs a conceptual analysis, especially construal and grounding (Langacker 1990, Brisard 2002), in order to explore the multidimensionality of linguistic expressions. Secondly, it employs a quantitative corpus-driven method, multifactorial usage-feature analysis (Geeraerts *et al.* 1994, Gries 2003, Divjak 2010, Glynn forthc.) to systematically map the formal and conceptual structures involved.

The data for the study are extracted from an online rhythmic gymnastics bulletin board. The study benefits from the dialogic and conversational style of the medium, where the exchange of views and opinions is a prominent feature (Claridge 2007). The members of the board are seen as having formed a virtual community that is characterized by social and linguistic variation. Therefore, we have divided the users into three ranks in terms of activity (number of posts) and status (e.g., moderators) in the community. The sample consists of around 8000 messages, with their immediate context, extracted from the posts of 12 members. Extracted postings are restricted to native speakers of British or Australian English in order to control for regional variation. All instances of epistemic *I think* and evidential *it seems* are annotated for a range of formal, semantic and extra-linguistic features, operationalizing the lexical profile of the two constructions in question. The quantitative treatment of the results of the feature analysis permits the use of both exploratory (multiple correspondence analysis) and confirmatory (ordinal logistic regression) statistical analyses.

The multifactorial analysis implemented in our study will help explore the multidimensionality of linguistic structuring and consequently test our hypothesis that bulletin board users on a higher rank are more likely to use assertive epistemic and evidential mental predicates to express speaker stance than members on a lower rank.

Keywords: stance, corpus linguistics, cognitive linguistics, multivariate statistics

### References

- Aijmer, K. 1997. I think an English modal particle. T. Swan & O. Westvik (eds.), Modality in Germanic Languages. Historical and Comparative Perspectives, 1-47. Berlin: Mouton.
- Athanasiadou, A., C. Canakis & B. Cornillie (eds.). 2006. Subjectification: Various Paths to Subjectivity. Berlin: Mouton.
- Biber, D. & Finegan, F. 1989. Styles of stance in English: Lexical and grammatical marking of evidentiality and affect. *Text* 9: 93-124.
- Brisard, F. (ed.). 2002. Grounding: The Epistemic Footing of Deixis and Reference. Berlin: Mouton.
- Claridge, C. 2007. Constructing a corpus from the web: message boards. M. Hundt, N. Nesselhauf & C. Biewer (eds.), *Corpus Linguistics and the Web*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Davidse, K., L. Vandelanotte & K. Cuyckens (eds.), 2010. Subjectification, Intersubjectification and Grammaticalization. Berlin: Mouton
- Divjak, D. 2010. Structuring the Lexicon: A clustered model for near-synonymy. Berlin: Mouton. Englebretson, R. (ed.), 2007. Stancetaking in Discourse. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Geeraerts, D., S. Grondelaers & P. Bakema. 1994. Structure of Lexical Variation. Meaning, naming, and context. Berlin: Mouton.
- Glynn, D. Forthc. Mapping Meaning. Corpus methods for Cognitive Semantics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gries, St. Th. 2003. Multifactorial Analysis in Corpus Linguistics: A study of particle placement. London: Continuum.
- Hunston, S. & Thompson, G. 2003. Evaluation in Text. Authorial Stance and the Construction of Discourse. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kärkkäinen, E. 2003. Epistemic Stance in English Conversation. A description of its interactional functions, with a focus on I think. Amsterdam: Benjamins
- Langacker, R. 1990. Subjectification. Cognitive Linguistics 1: 5-38.
  - Martin, J. & White, P. 2005. Language of Evaluation. Appraisal in English. Houndmills: Palgrave.
- Scheibman, J. 2002. Point of View and Grammar. Structural patterns of subjectivity in American English conversation. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Verhagen, A. 2005. Constructions of Intersubjectivity: Discourse, Syntax, and Cognition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.