

PUBLISHED VERSION

James Esposito, Zoe Brown, Wendy Stevens, Joanne Sahhar, Candice Rabusa, Jane Zochling, Janet Roddy, Jennifer Walker, Susanna M. Proudman, and Mandana Nikpou

The association of low complement with disease activity in systemic sclerosis: a prospective cohort study

Arthritis Research and Therapy, 2016; 18(1):246-1-246-12


© The Author(s). 2016 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Originally published at:

<http://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-016-1147-2>

PERMISSIONS

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



The image is a graphic for the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). It features a blue header with the CC logo and the text "Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)". Below the header, it states "This is a human-readable summary of (and not a substitute for) the license. [Disclaimer.](#)". The main content is divided into two sections: "You are free to:" and "Under the following terms:". Under "You are free to:", it lists "Share" (copy and redistribute) and "Adapt" (remix, transform, and build upon), with a "Free Cultural Works APPROVED FOR" seal. Under "Under the following terms:", it lists "Attribution" (give credit, link to license, indicate changes) and "No additional restrictions" (no legal terms or technological measures). A small CC BY icon is next to the Attribution section.

5 June 2017

<http://hdl.handle.net/2440/103747>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



The association of low complement with disease activity in systemic sclerosis: a prospective cohort study

James Esposito^{1,2}, Zoe Brown², Wendy Stevens², Joanne Sahhar^{3,4}, Candice Rabusa², Jane Zochling⁵, Janet Roddy⁶, Jennifer Walker⁷, Susanna M. Proudman^{8,9} and Mandana Nikpour^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: In some rheumatic diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), low serum complement ('hypocomplementaemia') is a feature of active disease. However, the role of hypocomplementaemia in systemic sclerosis (SSc) is unknown. We sought to determine the frequency, clinical associations and relationship to disease activity of hypocomplementaemia in SSc.

Methods: The study included 1140 patients fulfilling the 2013 American College of Rheumatology criteria for SSc. Demographic, serological and clinical data, obtained prospectively through annual review, were analysed using univariable methods. Linear and logistic regression, together with generalised estimating equations, were used to determine the independent correlates of hypocomplementaemia ever, and at each visit, respectively.

Results: At least one episode of hypocomplementaemia (low C3 and/or low C4) occurred in 24.1 % of patients over 1893 visits; these patients were more likely to be seropositive for anti-ribonucleoprotein (OR = 3.8, $p = 0.002$), anti-Ro (OR = 2.2, $p = 0.002$), anti-Smith (OR = 6.3, $p = 0.035$) and anti-phospholipid antibodies (OR = 1.4, $p = 0.021$) and were more likely to display features of overlap connective tissue disease, in particular polymyositis (OR = 16.0, $p = 0.012$). However, no association was found between hypocomplementaemia and either the European Scleroderma Study Group disease activity score or any of its component variables (including erythrocyte sedimentation rate) in univariate analysis. Among patients with SSc overlap disease features, those who were hypocomplementaemic were more likely to have digital ulcers (OR = 1.6, $p = 0.034$), tendon friction rubs (OR = 2.4, $p = 0.037$), forced vital capacity <80 % predicted (OR = 2.9, $p = 0.008$) and lower body mass index (BMI) (OR for BMI = 0.9, $p < 0.0005$) at that visit, all of which are features associated with SSc disease activity and/or severity.

Conclusions: While hypocomplementaemia is not associated with disease activity in patients with non-overlap SSc, it is associated with some features of increased SSc disease activity in patients with overlap disease features.

Keywords: Systemic sclerosis, Complement, Disease activity

Background

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) or scleroderma is a systemic autoimmune disorder of unknown aetiology associated with substantial morbidity and mortality [1]. The hallmark pathological features of SSc are vasculopathy, inflammation and progressive perivascular and interstitial

fibrosis [2]. Prominent clinical manifestations of SSc include skin thickening, Raynaud's phenomenon, digital ulcers, gut involvement (gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, intestinal hypomotility and pseudo-obstruction), pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), interstitial lung disease (ILD) and renal crisis [3]. An 'SSc overlap' syndrome is considered present when a patient with SSc also has clinical and/or serological evidence of one or more of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), polymyositis, Sjögren's syndrome or rheumatoid arthritis [2].

* Correspondence: m.nikpour@unimelb.edu.au

¹Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne at St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne), 41 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, VIC 3065, Australia

²Department of Rheumatology, St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne), 41 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, VIC 3065, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

As in other systemic autoimmune diseases, disease activity leads to irreversible organ damage. Activity reflects features of the disease process that vary over time and are potentially reversible with intervention or spontaneously. Quantifying active disease would assist clinicians in assessment and management of disease activity, which might in turn prevent damage and improve outcomes [4]. Assessing disease activity is necessary for staging the disease and predicting prognosis. It is also useful for distinguishing between those patients requiring aggressive treatment and those for whom symptomatic treatment may be sufficient, as well as for monitoring response to treatment.

In certain rheumatic diseases characterised by distinct episodes of inflammation, such as SLE, validated measures already exist to assist clinicians in the assessment of disease activity [5]. There have been several endeavours to develop disease activity criteria for SSc. The European Scleroderma Study Group (EScSG) activity index was constructed on the basis of evaluation of features of a large multicentre cohort of patients with SSc, compared with a gold standard of disease activity assessment by three experts [6–8]. Univariate analysis of symptoms, signs and laboratory tests was performed to select single items that were significantly associated with the consensus disease activity score. The final index comprised ten components, including clinical features such as arthritis, modified Rodnan skin score (MRSS) and digital ulcers; patient-reported changes in cardiopulmonary, skin and vascular symptoms; and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) [6, 7]. Hypocomplementaemia was also included [7, 8], but it is not yet known whether low complement is truly a marker of disease activity in SSc.

Various candidate serological markers have been proposed to be related to disease activity in SSc, such as soluble interleukin-2 receptor (sIL-2R), type III procollagen aminopeptide (PIIINP) and von Willebrand factor propeptide [9–11]. However, in patients with SSc, changes in these markers have not been reflected in clinical responses to therapy. For example, chlorambucil administration was followed by normalization of sIL-2R levels but had no effect on disease activity [12]. Similarly, α -interferon administration resulted in a decrease in PIIINP levels without any improvement in disease activity assessed using a validated skin score, grip strength, measurements of digital contractures, Ritchie index, assessment of muscle weakness, and tendon friction rubs [13].

Low serum complement is a candidate serological marker of disease activity in SSc. Aberrant activation of the complement system is implicated in the pathogenesis of a number of systemic autoimmune disorders [14]. For example, in SLE, immune complex formation triggers the complement cascade via the classical pathway, and

the resulting low concentrations of complement components C3 and C4 are found in many patients with active and severe SLE [15, 16]. It has been shown that the manifestations of SSc are due to derangements in both innate and adaptive immunity. The association of Toll-like receptor (TLR) signalling variations with SSc suggests that TLR pathways, and hence complement activation and consumption, may play a role in the pathogenesis of SSc [17]. However, unlike SLE, wherein low serum complement is a validated measure of disease activity, the relationship between hypocomplementaemia and disease activity in SSc is less clear.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of hypocomplementaemia in a cohort of patients with SSc and to evaluate which clinical and serological features are significantly associated with hypocomplementaemia. We hypothesised that hypocomplementaemia is associated with clinical features of disease activity such as arthritis, tendon friction rubs, low body mass index (BMI) (a marker of severe SSc gastrointestinal involvement) and low forced vital capacity (FVC) (a measure of severe ILD).

Methods

Patients

Patients were recruited from the Australian Scleroderma Cohort Study, a prospective multi-centre cohort study of risk factors for clinically important outcomes in SSc. All patients fulfilled the 2013 American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for SSc [18]. Patients were recruited from multiple Australian centres that specialize in the care of patients with SSc, which included St Vincent's Hospital and Monash Medical Centre, Victoria; Royal Prince Alfred and St George Hospitals, New South Wales; Sunshine Coast Rheumatology and Prince Charles Hospital, Queensland; Royal Adelaide Hospital, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital and Flinders Medical Centre, South Australia; Royal Perth Hospital, Western Australia; and Menzies Institute for Medical Research, Tasmania. The study was approved by the human research ethics committees of each of the participating centres.

Consent was obtained from all patients prior to the collection of demographic and disease-related data (including clinical and laboratory data) according to a standardized protocol at recruitment and at each subsequent annual review. Patients were included if they had at least one annual visit where complement levels had been recorded, along with demographic, clinical and laboratory data (see below).

Measurement of complement levels

C3 and C4 levels were measured prospectively at each annual visit in the laboratories of each of the recruiting centres using sera obtained at recruitment and at each

subsequent review by performing nephelometry. Complement levels were defined as being either normal or low according to local laboratory standards. Patient visits were then recorded as normocomplementaemic if the patient had a normal C3 and C4 result or hypocomplementaemic if the patient had a low C3 and/or C4.

Demographic, clinical and laboratory variables

All data were collected prospectively.

Data collected at recruitment

Demographic data collected at recruitment included age at disease onset and age at recruitment. Disease onset was defined according to the age at which the first non-Raynaud's phenomenon manifestation of SSc occurred. Sex and race were also recorded; race was categorized as white, Asian, Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander, or other. Disease duration was defined as the time elapsed from disease onset to recruitment, and length of follow-up was defined as the time elapsed from recruitment until the date at which the data were censored for analysis.

Disease-related data gathered included SSc subtype (diffuse or limited) defined according to the LeRoy criteria [19]. The autoantibody status of each patient was determined at recruitment using immunofluorescence for antinuclear antibodies and commercially available assays for antibodies to extractable nuclear antigens Scl-70, Jo-1, ribonucleoprotein (RNP), Ro, La, Smith (Sm), and polymyositis scleroderma (PM-Scl) (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA]; ORGENTEC Diagnostika, Mainz, Germany); antibodies to double-stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA) (Amerlex radioimmunoassay; Trinity Biotech, Bray, Ireland); antibodies to RNA polymerase III (QUANTA Lite RNA Pol III; Inova Diagnostics, San Diego, CA, USA; and MBL Anti-RNA Pol III; MBL International, Woburn, MA, USA); anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA), including proteinase-3 or myeloperoxidase specificity (ELISA; ORGENTEC Diagnostika); rheumatoid factor and anti-phospholipid antibodies, including anti-cardiolipin antibodies (Vital Diagnostics, Bella Vista, Australia); and anti- β_2 -glycoprotein antibodies (ELISA; ORGENTEC Diagnostika).

Data collected at each annual visit

Disease manifestations were recorded at each visit. Raynaud's phenomenon was defined on the basis of characteristic colour changes in the extremities. The presence of puffy digits (scleroderma), tendon friction rubs, synovitis and muscle atrophy was based on examination findings of the patient's rheumatologist. The MRSS [20] was also calculated and recorded at each visit.

Persistent sicca symptoms were defined as dry eyes or dry mouth at two or more annual visits. Gastrointestinal symptoms, including reflux requiring treatment with

proton pump inhibitor, dysphagia, post-prandial bloating, vomiting, diarrhoea (more than three motions per day), constipation (fewer than one motion per day) or anal incontinence (faecal soiling) not due to other causes, were also recorded. Gastric antral vascular ectasia (GAVE) and oesophageal stricture were defined on the basis of endoscopy. Bowel dysmotility was defined on the basis of barium and nuclear medicine studies, antibiotic response and characteristic symptoms. Pseudo-obstruction was defined as the presence of clinical features suggestive of intestinal obstruction in the absence of an anatomical lesion.

Myocardial disease was defined on the basis of endomyocardial biopsy or as the presence of conduction defects, arrhythmias, and right ventricular or left ventricular dysfunction on echocardiography in the absence of other causes. Pericardial effusions were defined on the basis of an echocardiogram showing other than a small (<1-cm thickness), non-significant pericardial effusion.

PAH was defined on the basis of right heart catheterization as a mean pulmonary arterial pressure ≥ 25 mmHg and a pulmonary arterial wedge pressure ≤ 15 mmHg. The 6-minute walk distance was also recorded each year as a measure of PAH severity.

ILD was defined on the basis of characteristic changes visualised by chest high-resolution computed tomography. Pulmonary function tests were performed at each annual visit, and forced vital capacity (FVC) and diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) were recorded as percent predicted values, with FVC used as a measure of ILD activity and/or severity.

Renal crisis was defined as any two of the following three criteria: new-onset severe hypertension (≥ 180 mmHg systolic and/or ≥ 100 mmHg diastolic) without an alternate aetiology, microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia, or rising creatinine.

SSc treatment was recorded at each visit as follows: corticosteroids (oral prednisolone), immunosuppressives (leflunomide, methotrexate, azathioprine, penicillamine, hydroxychloroquine, mycophenolate, cyclophosphamide and calcineurin inhibitors), biologic therapies (tumour necrosis factor- α inhibitors, tocilizumab, abatacept, anti-CD20 antibodies and other B-cell modulators) and home oxygen.

Definition of SSc overlap syndrome

The presence of 'overlap disease' was recorded at each annual visit and was defined as evidence of SSc together with characteristic symptoms or signs of overlap disease, which included persistent sicca symptoms, episodes of inflammatory myositis (muscle weakness together with two or more of elevated serum creatinine kinase, characteristic changes on electromyography and/or magnetic resonance imaging, or muscle biopsy showing typical histopathological changes), or a rheumatologist's report

of overlap with another rheumatic disease, such as rheumatoid arthritis, polymyositis, dermatomyositis, SLE or Sjögren's syndrome.

European Scleroderma Study Group disease activity score

The EScSG disease activity score and the presence of its ten components were recorded at each visit: scleroderma; digital necrosis; arthritis; MRSS >14; DLCO <80 % predicted; ESR >30 mm/h; hypocomplementaemia (low C3 and/or C4); and patient-reported changes in cardiopulmonary, vascular or skin symptoms in the preceding month. One point is assigned for the presence of each feature, and scores can range from 0 to 10. However, because hypocomplementaemia is one of the ten variables in the EScSG activity score, we calculated a score based on the other nine variables only, in order to avoid overcorrelation in subsequent analysis. Therefore, final scores ranged from 0 to 9, with higher scores indicating higher disease activity.

Physician global assessment

Physician global assessments of overall health, disease activity and disease damage were also recorded prospectively at each annual visit using a visual analogue scale. Physician global assessments entail the physician's taking note of all the available clinical and laboratory information in order to assign a score that ranges between 0 and 10 for each of overall health, disease activity and disease-related damage. Higher scores represent worse overall health, higher disease activity or greater disease-related damage.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (mean \pm SD, median [interquartile range], minimum and maximum, and number [percent]) were used to describe the characteristics of the patients and the data set. As there was <5 % missing data for any particular variable, we did not impute missing data.

Univariable comparisons between patients who were persistently normocomplementaemic during follow-up and patients who had experienced at least one episode of hypocomplementaemia during the course of the study were made using the *t* test for continuous variables, the chi-square test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for ordinal variables. In this analysis, disease manifestations were defined as 'present ever'. Additional analyses were performed comparing the two groups described above (persistent normocomplementaemia and at least one episode of hypocomplementaemia) with those who had persistent hypocomplementaemia at every visit during follow-up.

In multivariable analyses, the association between disease features at each visit and hypocomplementaemia at that particular visit was analysed. Here, we used

generalised estimating equations (GEEs) to account for the expected correlation that arises when repeated measurements are taken from the same individual at multiple visits over time [21]. Another advantage of this method of analysis is that it overcomes any potential misclassification error that might arise from dividing patients into subgroups based on arbitrary definitions such as hypocomplementaemia ever, persistent hypocomplementaemia and persistent normocomplementaemia. Furthermore, as there is currently no consensus regarding meaningful changes in clinical parameters from one visit to the next, the use of GEEs enables evaluation of the relationship between hypocomplementaemia at a particular visit and actual clinical parameters at that particular visit.

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA 14 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). All *p* values were two-tailed, and statistical significance was defined as $p \leq 0.05$. The overall study design and planned analyses are presented in Fig. 1.

Results


This study included 1140 patients with SSc who had complement levels recorded prospectively at one or more visits.

Characteristics of cohort and data set

The cohort presented in this study is summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Among the 1140 patients included in this study, the average age of patients was 46.0 ± 14.1 years at SSc diagnosis and 57.4 ± 12.3 years at recruitment, with a mean follow-up time of 3.4 ± 1.7 years. In terms of demographics, 87.2 % of patients were female, 93.3 % were white, 4.7 % were Asian, 1.3 % were Australian Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander and 0.9 % were of other racial origin. The mean disease duration at recruitment was 11.3 ± 9.9 years, with 14.3 % of patients having a disease duration ≤ 2 years and 31.2 % of patients having a disease duration ≤ 5 years at recruitment. Diffuse SSc was present in 27.2 % of patients, and 72.8 % of patients had limited SSc.

SSc overlap disease features were present in 23.4 % of patients. The autoantibody profile of patients is summarized in Table 1. Autoantibodies that were found in a significant proportion of the cohort included anti-Scl-70 (15.2 %), anti-centromere (46.2 %), anti-RNA polymerase (13.3 %), anti-dsDNA antibodies (13.3 %), ANCA (12.9 %), rheumatoid factor (27.2 %), anti-phospholipid (25.7 %) and anti- β_2 -glycoprotein (33.0 %) antibodies.

Characteristics of the data set pertaining to complement measurements are summarized in Table 3. Hypocomplementaemia was present at recruitment in 13.2 % of patients and thereafter present ever from recruitment to most recent visit in 24.1 % of patients. The median number of complement measurements per patient was 2,



with an interquartile range of 1–3. The minimum number of measurements was 1, and the maximum number of measurements was 8. The average time interval between measurements was 1.2 ± 0.4 years. A total of 1893 individual visit measurements of complement with corresponding clinical and serological data were available for analysis.

Univariable analyses

Univariable comparisons between patients who had persistent normocomplementaemia during follow-up and patients who had experienced at least one episode of hypocomplementaemia during the course of the study are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. Patients who had ever been hypocomplementaemic were more likely to have features of overlap disease present (29.5 % vs. 21.5 %, $p = 0.007$), in particular polymyositis (1.8 % vs. 0.1 %, $p = 0.001$). Hypocomplementaemia was also found to be associated with a number of autoantibodies typically associated with other rheumatic diseases, which included anti-RNP (4.5 % vs. 1.2 %, $p = 0.001$), anti-Ro (10.8 % vs. 5.2 %, $p = 0.001$), anti-Sm (1.5 % vs. 0.2 %, $p = 0.016$) and anti-phospholipid (31.3 % vs. 24.0 %, $p = 0.02$) antibodies. Patients with hypocomplementaemia were also more

likely to have a BMI <20 kg/m² (20.5 % vs. 13.0 %, $p = 0.003$), a feature of more active and severe gastrointestinal involvement; scleroderma (72.8 % vs. 66.2 %, $p = 0.044$); and muscle atrophy (23.2 % vs. 17.2 %, $p = 0.029$). A significant association with pericardial effusions (9.1 % vs. 5.3 %, $p = 0.023$) was also found.

There was no difference in the mean EScGS disease activity score or physician global assessment score for overall health, disease activity and damage across all visits in patients who were normocomplementaemic compared with those who were hypocomplementaemic. In additional analyses, we found no significant differences between those who had at least one episode of hypocomplementaemia and persistent hypocomplementaemia during follow-up, possibly due to very few patients in the latter group (data not shown).

Multivariable regression analyses

In the analyses described above, variables were defined as being ever present from disease onset to most recent review. We performed a second series of analyses using GEEs with data gathered at each visit. This set comprised a total of 1893 visits.

Table 1 Patient characteristics ($n = 1140$)

Characteristic	n (%) or mean \pm SD
Age at diagnosis, years	46.0 \pm 14.1
Age at recruitment, years	57.4 \pm 12.3
Follow-up duration, years	3.4 \pm 1.7
Sex	
Male	148 (13.0 %)
Female	992 (87.2 %)
Race	
White	1012 (93.3 %)
Asian	50 (4.7 %)
Australian Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander	14 (1.3 %)
Other	9 (0.9 %)
Disease duration at recruitment ^a	11.3 \pm 9.9
≤ 2 years	163 (14.3 %)
≤ 5 years	356 (31.2 %)
Disease subtype ^b	
Diffuse	310 (27.2 %)
Limited	830 (72.8 %)
Overlap disease features present ever ^c	267 (23.4 %)
Rheumatoid arthritis	22 (1.9 %)
Polymyositis	6 (0.5 %)
Dermatomyositis	1 (0.01 %)
Sjögren's syndrome	21 (1.8 %)
SLE	9 (0.8 %)
Serological profile at recruitment	
Anti-centromere ANA	517 (46.2 %)
Anti-Scl-70 antibodies	167 (15.2 %)
Anti-RNAP antibodies	84 (13.3 %)
Anti-U1 RNP antibodies	22 (2.0 %)
Anti-Ro antibodies	72 (6.6 %)
Anti-La antibodies	18 (1.6 %)
Anti-Smith antibodies	6 (0.6 %)
Anti-PM-Scl antibodies	15 (1.4 %)
Anti-dsDNA antibodies	26 (3.1 %)
Anti-Jo-1 antibodies	5 (0.5 %)
ANCA	134 (12.9 %)
MPO specificity	17 (1.6 %)
PR-3 specificity	22 (2.1 %)
Rheumatoid factor	288 (27.2 %)
Anti-phospholipid antibodies	272 (25.7 %)
Cardiolipin IgM	165 (65.0 %)
Cardiolipin IgG	105 (39.3 %)
Anti- β_2 -glycoprotein antibody	84 (33.0 %)
Lupus anticoagulant	26 (3.1 %)

Abbreviations: ANA Anti-nuclear antibody, *Anti-Scl-70* Anti-scleroderma-70 antibodies, *Anti-U1 RNP* Anti-ribonucleoprotein antibodies, *Anti-Smith* Anti-Smith antibodies, *Anti-PM-Scl* Anti-polymyositis scleroderma antibodies, *Anti-dsDNA* Anti-double-stranded DNA antibodies, *Anti-RNAP* Anti-RNA polymerase antibodies, *ANCA* Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, *MPO* Myeloperoxidase, *PR-3* Proteinase-3

^aSince onset of first non-Raynaud's phenomenon disease manifestation

^bDisease subtype based on extent of skin involvement, with limited disease being confined to the extremities distal to elbows and knees, as well as the face

^cActual overlap disease features specified for only a proportion of patients classified by the treating physician as having 'SSc overlap syndrome'

We analysed data for up to six reviews per patient. We specified an exchangeable working correlation structure to account for the within-individual correlation and computed robust standard errors on the parametric estimates. We ran a univariable model of EScSG disease activity score (with the complement component removed to avoid over-correlation) and a multivariable model containing all of the individual disease activity variables listed in the EScSG disease activity index, with the exception of the complement item, and with some additional disease activity variables (C-reactive protein [CRP] >8 mg/L and BMI) included on the basis of univariable analyses (Table 6). Because scleroderma, muscle atrophy and pericardial effusion, which were statistically significant in univariable analysis, were not statistically significant in multivariable analysis, these variables were removed. Despite lack of significance in a simple univariable comparison, in a multivariable GEE model, FVC <80 % predicted was statistically significant and therefore included in the final model. We ran the multivariable models for (1) the whole cohort ($n = 886$; 1893 visits in total) as well as (2) patients classified as having SSc overlap ($n = 221$; 628 visits in total) and (3) those with non-SSc overlap ($n = 665$; 1265 visits in total) (Table 6).

In the multivariable analysis using the entire cohort, significant correlates of hypocomplementaemia at each visit included a lower BMI (OR for BMI = 0.90, 95 % CI 0.88–0.94, $p < 0.0005$), a lower MRSS (OR for MRSS = 0.98, 95 % CI 0.96–0.99, $p = 0.013$) and a lower ESR (OR for ESR = 0.99, 95 % CI 0.98–0.99, $p = 0.022$). In patients with SSc overlap disease features, significant correlates of hypocomplementaemia at each visit included digital ulcers (OR = 1.62, 95 % CI 1.04–2.51, $p = 0.034$), tendon friction rubs (OR = 2.31, 95 % CI 1.05–5.10, $p = 0.037$), an FVC <80 % predicted (OR = 2.90, 95 % CI 1.32–6.38, $p = 0.008$) and a lower BMI (OR for BMI = 0.90, 95 % CI 0.85–0.95, $p < 0.0005$), all variables that are associated with increased SSc disease activity and/or severity. In patients without overlap disease features, significant correlates of hypocomplementaemia at each visit included a lower BMI (OR for BMI = 0.91, 95 % CI 0.87–0.95, $p < 0.0005$) and a lower ESR (OR for ESR = 0.99, 95 % CI 0.97–0.99, $p = 0.026$).

Discussion

In this longitudinal cohort study of 1140 patients with SSc, we found that low serum complement occurred at least once in 23.4 % of patients over the study period. We also found that these patients were more likely to have SSc overlap disease features than patients who were normocomplementaemic (29.5 % vs. 21.5 %, $p = 0.007$), in particular polymyositis. We have also demonstrated that hypocomplementaemia is not a measure of disease

Table 2 Disease manifestations in cohort ($n = 1140$)

Characteristics	n (%) or median (25th–75th IQR)
Disease manifestation ^a	
Raynaud's phenomenon	1065 (94.0 %)
Digital ulcers	345 (30.5 %)
Digital gangrene/amputation	93 (8.2 %)
Telangiectasia	947 (83.8 %)
Calcinosis	439 (38.9 %)
Scleroderma	763 (67.8 %)
Tendon friction rub	112 (10.0 %)
Joint contracture	431 (38.3 %)
Synovitis	323 (28.7 %)
Muscle atrophy	210 (18.7 %)
MRSS score >20	207 (18.5 %)
Myocardial disease	87 (7.6 %)
Pericardial effusion ^b	70 (6.2 %)
PAH ^c	298 (26.9 %)
ILD ^d	340 (30.1 %)
Gastrointestinal involvement ^e	
GAVE	113 (10.0 %)
Reflux oesophagitis	958 (84.3 %)
Oesophageal stricture	207 (18.3 %)
Oesophageal dysmotility	457 (40.6 %)
Bowel dysmotility	297 (26.3 %)
Pseudo-obstruction	37 (3.3 %)
Renal crises ^f	
eGFR <60 ml/minute	297 (26.4 %)
Myositis ^g	58 (6.0 %)
CRP >8 mg/L	333 (29.7 %)
ESR >30 mm/h	323 (28.8 %)
Blood CK >200 IU/L	122 (11.0 %)
Anaemia ^h	403 (35.5 %)
FVC <80 % predicted	298 (26.9 %)
DLCO <80 % predicted	832 (79.9 %)
EScSG disease activity score ⁱ	2.5 (1–4)
Treatments ^a	
Corticosteroids	506 (44.4 %)
Immunotherapy ^j	495 (43.4 %)
Biological therapy ^k	16 (1.4 %)
Home oxygen	41 (3.6 %)

Table 2 Disease manifestations in cohort ($n = 1140$) (Continued)

Physician global assessments ^l	
Overall health ^m	4 (3–6)
Activity ⁿ	3 (2–5)
Damage ⁿ	4 (3–6)

Abbreviations: MRSS Modified Rodnan skin score, EScSG European Scleroderma Study Group, PAH Pulmonary arterial hypertension, ILD Interstitial lung disease, GAVE Gastric antral vascular ectasia, eGFR Estimated glomerular filtration rate, CRP C-reactive protein, ESR Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CK Creatinine kinase, FVC Forced vital capacity, DLCO Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide corrected for haemoglobin

^aEver from disease onset to most recent visit

^bPericardial effusion defined by echocardiography

^cPAH defined by right heart catheterization with a mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥ 25 mmHg and a pulmonary arterial wedge pressure ≤ 15 mmHg

^dPulmonary fibrosis defined by chest high-resolution computed tomography

^eGastrointestinal symptoms defined as the presence of any of reflux, dysphagia, post-prandial bloating, vomiting, constipation, diarrhoea or anal incontinence as defined in text

^fRenal crisis defined as the presence of at least two of the following: new-onset hypertension, rising creatinine or microangiopathic haemolytic anaemia

^gMyositis defined as either definite (biopsy), suspected (CK or electromyogram) or possible (magnetic resonance imaging scan)

^hAnaemia defined as haemoglobin level <135 g/L in males and <120 g/L in females

ⁱCalculated without hypocomplementaemia. Final scores range from 0 to 9, with higher scores indicating higher disease activity

^jIncludes leflunomide, methotrexate, azathioprine, penicillamine, hydroxychloroquine, mycophenolate, cyclophosphamide and calcineurin inhibitors

^kIncludes tumour necrosis factor alpha inhibitors, tocilizumab, abatacept, anti-CD20 antibodies and other B-cell modulators

^lHighest score ever recorded over the study period

^mScores range from 0 to 10, with higher scores being indicative of worse overall health

ⁿScores range from 0 to 10, with higher scores being indicative of higher disease activity or damage

Table 3 Characterisation of complement levels ($n = 1140$)

Parameter	n (%) or mean \pm SD or median (25th–75th IQR)
Hypocomplementaemia ^a	
At recruitment	150 (13.2 %)
Ever ^b	275 (24.1 %)
Number of complement measurements per patient	
1	400 (35.1 %)
2	265 (23.3 %)
3	196 (17.2 %)
4	129 (11.3 %)
5	85 (7.5 %)
6	50 (4.4 %)
7	14 (1.2 %)
8	1 (0.1 %)
Total number of complement measurements in data set	1893
Time interval between complement measurements, years	1.2 \pm 0.4

^aA low C3 and/or C4 result^bAt least one episode of hypocomplementaemia over the study period

Table 4 Univariable comparison of demographics, disease subtypes and serological profiles in patients with persistent normocomplementaemia and those with at least one episode of hypocomplementaemia

Characteristic	Persistent normocomplementaemia (n = 865)	At least one episode of hypocomplementaemia (n = 275)	p Value
	n (%) or mean ± SD or median (IQR)	n (%) or mean ± SD or median (IQR)	
Age at diagnosis, years	46.4 ± 0.5	44.6 ± 0.8	0.071
Sex			
Male	113 (13.1 %)	35 (12.7 %)	0.89
Female	752 (86.9 %)	240 (87.3 %)	0.89
Disease duration	11.3 ± 0.4	11.6 ± 0.7	0.71
≤ 2 years	117 (14.5 %)	46 (17.5 %)	0.24
≤ 5 years	268 (33.2 %)	88 (33.5 %)	0.94
Disease type			
Diffuse	238 (27.5 %)	72 (26.2 %)	0.67
Limited	627 (72.5 %)	203 (73.8 %)	0.67
Overlap features present ^a	186 (21.5 %)	81 (29.5 %)	0.007
Rheumatoid arthritis	15 (1.7 %)	7 (2.6 %)	0.39
Polymyositis	1 (0.1 %)	5 (1.8 %)	0.001
Dermatomyositis	0 (0 %)	1 (0.4 %)	0.076
Sjögren's syndrome	14 (1.6 %)	7 (2.6 %)	0.32
SLE	6 (0.7 %)	3 (1.1 %)	0.52
Serological profile ^a			
Anti-centromere ANA	391 (46.0 %)	126 (47.0 %)	0.084
Anti-Scl-70 antibodies	134 (16.1 %)	33 (12.3 %)	0.13
Anti-Jo-1 antibodies	4 (0.5 %)	1 (0.4 %)	0.82
Anti-RNP antibodies	10 (1.2 %)	12 (4.5 %)	0.001
Anti-Ro antibodies	43 (5.2 %)	29 (10.8 %)	0.001
Anti-La antibodies	12 (1.5 %)	6 (2.2 %)	0.38
Anti-Sm antibodies	2 (0.2 %)	4 (1.5 %)	0.016
Anti-PM-Scl antibodies	10 (1.2 %)	5 (1.9 %)	0.43
Anti-dsDNA antibodies	16 (2.5 %)	10 (4.9 %)	0.089
Anti-RNAP antibodies	61 (13.6 %)	23 (12.6 %)	0.74
ANCA	95 (12.1 %)	39 (15.2 %)	0.20
MPO specificity	14 (1.8 %)	3 (1.2 %)	0.50
PR-3 specificity	14 (1.8 %)	8 (3.1 %)	0.20
Rheumatoid factor	208 (26.1 %)	80 (31.3 %)	0.11
Anti-phospholipid antibodies	192 (24.0 %)	80 (31.3 %)	0.02
Cardiolipin IgM	115 (63.2 %)	50 (69.4 %)	0.351
Cardiolipin IgG	78 (41.5 %)	27 (34.2 %)	0.26
β ₂ -glycoprotein	59 (32.8 %)	25 (33.3 %)	0.93
Lupus anti-coagulant	15 (2.4 %)	11 (5.0 %)	0.056

Abbreviations: ANA Anti-nuclear antibody, *Anti-Scl-70* Anti-scleroderma-70 antibodies, *Anti-RNP* Anti-ribonucleoprotein antibodies, *Anti-Sm* Anti-Smith antibodies, *Anti-PM-Scl* Anti-polymyositis scleroderma antibodies, *Anti-dsDNA* Anti-double-stranded DNA antibodies, *Anti-RNAP* Anti-RNA polymerase antibodies, ANCA Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, MPO Myeloperoxidase, PR-3 Proteinase-3, Ig Immunoglobulin, SLE Systemic lupus erythematosus

^aEver from disease onset to most recent visit

activity when applied across the entire cohort of patients with SSc. However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate that hypocomplementaemia is a marker of the presence of certain SSc disease features

measured at each visit among the subset of patients with overlap disease features. These disease features include digital ulcers, tendon friction rubs, low BMI and low FVC, all of which are clinical features of SSc disease

Table 5 Univariable associations of hypocomplementaemia with clinical manifestations, treatment, European Scleroderma Study Group disease activity score and physician global assessments

Characteristic	Persistent normocomplementaemia (n = 859) n (%) or mean ± SD or median (IQR)	At least one episode of hypocomplementaemia (n = 275) n (%) or mean ± SD or median (IQR)	p Value
Disease manifestation^a			
Raynaud's phenomenon	803 (93.5 %)	262 (95.6 %)	0.19
BMI <20 kg/m ²	104 (13.0 %)	54 (20.5 %)	0.0030
Digital ulcers	263 (30.7 %)	82 (30.0 %)	0.848
Digital gangrene/amputation	76 (8.8 %)	17 (6.2 %)	0.17
Telangiectasia	716 (83.6 %)	231 (84.6 %)	0.68
Calcinosis	340 (39.7 %)	99 (36.3 %)	0.31
Scleroderma	565 (66.2 %)	198 (72.8 %)	0.044
Tendon friction rub	88 (10.3 %)	24 (8.8 %)	0.47
Joint contracture	332 (38.9 %)	99 (36.4 %)	0.46
Synovitis	241 (28.3 %)	82 (30.0 %)	0.59
Muscle atrophy	147 (17.2 %)	63 (23.2 %)	0.029
MRSS >20	158 (18.7 %)	49 (18.0 %)	0.81
Myocardial disease	70 (8.1 %)	17 (6.2 %)	0.30
Pericardial effusion	45 (5.3 %)	25 (9.1 %)	0.023
PAH	90 (10.4 %)	30 (10.9 %)	0.82
Pulmonary fibrosis	263 (30.8 %)	77 (30.1 %)	0.40
Gastrointestinal involvement	493 (57.5 %)	145 (52.7 %)	0.17
GAVE	86 (10.1 %)	27 (9.9 %)	0.94
Reflux oesophagitis	722 (83.8 %)	236 (85.8 %)	0.41
Oesophageal stricture	109 (12.8 %)	23 (8.4 %)	0.051
Oesophageal dysmotility	346 (40.5 %)	111 (40.8 %)	0.92
Bowel dysmotility	226 (26.4 %)	71 (25.9 %)	0.88
Pseudo-obstruction	25 (2.9 %)	12 (4.4 %)	0.23
Renal crises	36 (4.2 %)	8 (2.9 %)	0.34
eGFR <60 ml/minute	229 (22.8 %)	68 (25.0 %)	0.55
Myositis	37 (5.2 %)	21 (8.5 %)	0.055
CRP >8 mg/L	266 (31.1 %)	67 (24.9 %)	0.051
ESR >30 mm/h	252 (29.6 %)	71 (26.1 %)	0.27
Blood CK >200 IU/L	105 (12.1 %)	44 (16.0 %)	0.098
Anaemia	302 (35.1 %)	101 (36.7 %)	0.62
FVC <80 %	225 (26.9 %)	73 (27.0 %)	0.97
DLCO <80 %	631 (80.5 %)	201 (78.2 %)	0.43
Treatment^a			
Corticosteroids	380 (43.9 %)	126 (45.8 %)	0.58
Immunotherapy	364 (42.1 %)	131 (47.6 %)	0.11
Biologic therapy	9 (1.0 %)	7 (2.6 %)	0.065
Home oxygen	32 (3.7 %)	9 (3.3 %)	0.74
EScSG score ^b	2.5 (1.4)	2 (1–4)	0.16
Physician global assessments^b			
Health	4 (3–6)	4 (3–6)	0.25
Activity	3 (2–5)	3 (2–5)	0.076
Damage	4 (2.5–6)	4 (3–6)	0.44

Abbreviations: MRSS Modified Rodnan skin score, EScSG European Scleroderma Study Group, PAH Pulmonary arterial hypertension, ILD Interstitial lung disease, GAVE Gastric antral vascular ectasia, BMI Body mass index, eGFR Estimated glomerular filtration rate, CRP C-reactive protein, ESR Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CK Creatinine kinase, FVC Forced vital capacity, DLCO Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide corrected for haemoglobin

^aEver from disease onset to most recent visit

^bMean score from all visits

Table 6 Multivariable associations of hypocomplementaemia with features of disease activity at each visit among the whole cohort and analysis subsets determined using generalised estimating equations

Parameter	Whole cohort (n = 886; 1893 visits)		SSc with features of overlap disease (n = 221;628 visits)		SSc without features of overlap disease (n = 665; 1265 visits)	
	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	p Value	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	p Value	Odds ratio (95 % CI)	p Value
Self-reported worsening of cardiopulmonary, vascular or skin symptoms ^a	1.05 (0.57–1.94)	0.87	1.24 (0.48–3.18)	0.66	1.03 (0.45–2.35)	0.94
Digital ulcers/necrosis ^a	1.15 (0.84–1.56)	0.38	1.62 (1.04–2.51)	0.034	0.82 (0.53–1.27)	0.37
Scleroderma ^a	0.97 (0.76–1.23)	0.78	1.08 (0.75–1.58)	0.67	0.87 (0.63–1.18)	0.37
Tendon friction rubs ^a	1.15 (0.64–2.08)	0.64	2.31 (1.05–5.10)	0.037	0.54 (0.19–1.53)	0.24
Synovitis/arthritis ^a	0.95 (0.69–1.31)	0.76	1.18 (0.73–1.91)	0.50	0.80 (0.51–1.25)	0.32
Modified Rodnan skin score >14 ^a	0.98 (0.96–0.99)	0.013	0.97 (0.95–1.01)	0.087	0.98 (0.96–1.01)	0.16
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate >30 mm/h ^a	0.98 (0.98–0.99)	0.022	0.99 (0.99–1.01)	0.97	0.99 (0.97–0.99)	0.026
DLCO <80 % predicted ^a	0.81 (0.61–1.09)	0.17	1.14 (0.66–1.96)	0.65	0.72 (0.51–1.03)	0.073
C-reactive protein >8 mg/L ^a	1.01 (0.99–1.01)	0.90	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.073	1.01 (0.99–1.01)	0.41
BMI ^b	0.91 (0.88–0.94)	<0.0005	0.90 (0.85–0.95)	<0.0005	0.91 (0.87–0.95)	<0.0005
FVC <80 % predicted ^b	1.24 (0.78–1.97)	0.37	2.90 (1.32–6.38)	0.0080	0.87 (0.47–1.61)	0.65

Abbreviations: BMI Body mass index, FVC forced vital capacity, DLCO Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide corrected for haemoglobin

All variables listed in the table were included in the final multivariable generalised estimating equation model

^aComponents of European Scleroderma Study Group disease activity index

^bAdditional disease activity variables entered into multivariable regression model on the basis of significance in univariable analysis

activity or severity. This in turn suggests a role for measurement of C3 and C4 in assessment and monitoring of disease activity in patients with overlap SSc.

We found that the prevalence of hypocomplementaemia at recruitment was 13.2 %, which is consistent with previous smaller studies [22, 23]. The finding that hypocomplementaemia is associated with the presence of overlap disease features in SSc is also consistent with trends identified by Hudson et al. in a cohort of 321 Canadian patients with SSc [23]. This suggests that complement consumption plays a significant role in the etiopathogenesis of SSc overlap disease.

Several small studies have cited a role for abnormal complement activation in SSc. Senaldi et al. found that the levels of serum complement fragments Ba, C3d and C4d were higher in patients with diffuse SSc than in patients with limited SSc and that both subtypes had serum complement fragment levels higher than those of normal patients, suggesting that complement consumption occurs in SSc and may also be related to disease activity and/or severity [24]. Batal et al. undertook a study of prognostic factors in systemic sclerosis renal crisis (SRC) in which they retrospectively analysed renal biopsies. They found that peritubular capillary C4d deposition was higher in patients with SRC than in both hypertensive non-SRC and normotensive non-SRC control subjects and suggested a role for immune complex- and antibody-mediated injury in SRC [25]. Whilst in vivo activation of the complement system in SSc remains a possibility, the general consensus is that complement consumption does not play a significant role in the pathophysiology of SSc and that therefore

the presence of hypocomplementaemia in SSc signifies the presence of another overlapping disease. This is consistent with the results of our study, which demonstrated a significant association between hypocomplementaemia and the presence of SSc overlap disease, in particular polymyositis. However, it is intriguing to note that even among patients with overlap disease, low serum complement was associated with disease features classically associated with SSc itself, such as digital ulcers and tendon friction rubs.

Hypocomplementaemia is one of the ten variables listed in the EScSG disease activity index [26, 27]. However, its inclusion has been a point of controversy. In the present study, we were unable to demonstrate a consistent association between hypocomplementaemia and either the EScSG disease activity score (calculated on the basis of nine non-complement variables to avoid over-correlation between independent variables) or any of its component variables in the univariable analysis. In addition, the associations found in the multivariable analysis in the subset of patients with SSc without overlap disease features (non-overlap SSc) suggest that, compared with normocomplementaemic patients, hypocomplementaemic patients have lower EScSG disease activity scores and ESR levels, which is the opposite of what one might expect. This lack of expected correlation with SSc disease activity measures suggests that hypocomplementaemia is not a measure of disease activity in the majority of patients with SSc, which is consistent with the conclusions drawn from previous studies [23]. Only one study suggested the contrary. Cuomo et al. reported the associations between

hypocomplementaemia and clinical status in a cohort of 302 Italian patients with SSc and excluded patients with overlap disease features. They found that 16.5 % of their cohort had hypocomplementaemia and that hypocomplementaemia was associated with significantly increased disease activity, significantly increased severity of disease manifestations in the skin, cardiovascular and respiratory systems, and greater functional disability [22]. However, it is unclear whether the results of their study are generalizable to other SSc cohorts, because there was a very high prevalence of patients with anti-Scl-70 and anti-centromere ANA. The latter autoantibodies in particular have been suggested to play a role in complement activation [28].

The lack of association between hypocomplementaemia and other potential markers of disease activity in the EScSG disease activity index may also speak to the latter's not being a very good measure of 'disease activity' per se. A trend toward a significant difference in the physician global assessment of disease activity between the persistently normocomplementaemic and the hypocomplementaemic groups ($p = 0.076$) supports this statement. However, it is also possible that each item in the EScSG disease activity index measures disease activity independently of all other items. Furthermore, it must be noted that functional abnormalities in complement in SSc may occur in the absence of hypocomplementaemia.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to use GEE methods to definitively show that hypocomplementaemia is associated with some features of disease activity in patients with SSc who have overlap disease features. We found that within this subset of patients with SSc, those who were hypocomplementaemic were more likely to manifest digital ulcers, tendon friction rubs, an FVC <80 % predicted and a lower BMI at each visit, all of which are well-established features of disease activity and severity in non-overlap SSc itself. No association was found with either CRP or ESR, possibly because these inflammatory markers generally are not considered to be good measures of disease activity in SSc. In particular, it has been shown that CRP levels are not elevated in most patients with SSc and that CRP levels regress as disease duration increases [29].

This study is not without limitations. As patients had varying lengths of follow-up, some had more annual complement measurements than others, making it possible that there was a low complement reading by chance alone on one or more occasions. However, the use of GEEs for statistical analysis overcomes this issue to a degree because it enables hypocomplementaemia at each visit to be associated with disease features at that particular visit, eliminating the need to divide patients into subgroups based on arbitrary definitions such as hypocomplementaemia ever, persistent hypocomplementaemia and persistent normocomplementaemia.

Conclusions

In this study, we have shown that hypocomplementaemia in SSc is associated with the presence of SSc overlap features, in particular SSc-polymyositis overlap syndrome. We have also confirmed the results of previous studies by showing that hypocomplementaemia is not a measure of disease activity in non-overlap SSc cohorts. However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to show that hypocomplementaemia is associated with features of disease activity in SSc overlap disease and that, in these patients, the measurement of complement levels is potentially useful for monitoring disease activity and response to treatment.

Abbreviations

ANA: Anti-nuclear antibodies; ANCA: Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies; Anti-dsDNA: Anti-double-stranded DNA; Anti-PM-Scl: Anti-polymyositis scleroderma antibodies; Anti-RNAP: Anti-RNA polymerase antibodies; Anti-Scl-70: Anti-scleroderma-70 antibodies; Anti-Sm: Anti-Smith; Anti-U1 RNP: Anti-ribonucleoprotein antibodies; BMI: Body mass index; CK: Creatine kinase; CRP: C-reactive protein; DLCO: Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; EScSG: European Scleroderma Study Group; ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FVC: Forced vital capacity; GAVE: Gastric antral vascular ectasia; GEE: Generalised estimating equation; Ig: Immunoglobulin; ILD: Interstitial lung disease; MPO: Myeloperoxidase; MRSS: Modified Rodnan skin score; PAH: Pulmonary arterial hypertension; PI1INP: Type III procollagen aminopeptide; PR-3: Proteinase-3 specificity; RNA Pol: RNA polymerase; RNP: Ribonucleoprotein; sIL-2R: Soluble interleukin-2 receptor; SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus; SRC: Systemic sclerosis renal crisis; SSc: Systemic sclerosis; TLR: Toll-like receptor

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Peter Youssef, Dr Alan Sturgess, Dr Fiona Kermeen, Dr Catherine Hill and Dr Peter Nash for contributing data.

Funding

MN holds a National Health and Medical Research Council fellowship (APP1071735). This work was supported by Scleroderma Australia, Arthritis Australia, Actelion Pharmaceuticals Australia, Bayer, CSL Behring, GlaxoSmithKline Australia and Pfizer.

Availability of data and materials

Data sets on which the conclusions of this article rely have not been disclosed.

Authors' contributions

JE designed the study, analysed the data, interpreted the results and prepared the manuscript. WS designed the study, collected data, interpreted the results and prepared the manuscript. ZB, CR, JS, JZ, JR, JW and SMP collected data, interpreted the results and prepared the manuscript. MN designed the study, collected and analysed data, interpreted the results and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the human research ethics committees of each of the participating centres. Consent to participate was obtained from all patients prior to the collection of demographic and disease-related data (including clinical and laboratory data) according to a standardized protocol.

Author details

¹Department of Medicine, The University of Melbourne at St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne), 41 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, VIC 3065, Australia.

²Department of Rheumatology, St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne), 41 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, VIC 3065, Australia. ³Department of Rheumatology, Monash Health and Monash University, 246 Clayton Road, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia.

⁴Department of Medicine, Monash Health and Monash University, 246 Clayton Road, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia. ⁵Department of Rheumatology, Menzies Institute for Medical Research, Private Bag 23, Hobart, TAS 7001, Australia. ⁶Department of Rheumatology, Royal Perth Hospital, 197 Wellington Street, GPO Box X2213, Perth, WA 6001, Australia. ⁷Department of Rheumatology, Flinders Medical Centre, Flinders Drive, Bedford Park, SA 5042, Australia. ⁸Rheumatology Unit, Royal Adelaide Hospital, North Terrace, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia. ⁹Discipline of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia.

Received: 29 June 2016 Accepted: 5 October 2016

Published online: 22 October 2016

References

- Nikpour M, Stevens WM, Herrick AL, Proudman SM. Epidemiology of systemic sclerosis. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2010;24(6):857–69.
- Gabrielli A, Avvedimento EV, Krieg T. Scleroderma. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360(19):1989–2003.
- Medsgger Jr TA. Natural history of systemic sclerosis and the assessment of disease activity, severity, functional status, and psychologic well-being. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am*. 2003;29(2):255–73.
- Symmons DPM. Disease assessment indexes: activity, damage and severity. *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol*. 1995;9(2):267–85.
- Gladman DD, Ibañez D, Urowitz MB. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000. *J Rheumatol*. 2002;29(2):288–91.
- Valentini G, Silman AJ, Veale D. Assessment of disease activity. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2003;21(3 Suppl 29):S39–41.
- Della Rossa A, Valentini G, Bombardieri S, Bencivelli W, Silman AJ, D'Angelo S, et al. European multicentre study to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. I. Clinical and epidemiological features of 290 patients from 19 centres. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2001;60(6):585–91.
- Valentini G, Della Rossa A, Bombardieri S, Bencivelli W, Silman AJ, D'Angelo S, et al. European multicentre study to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. II. Identification of disease activity variables and development of preliminary activity indexes. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2001;60(6):592–8.
- Scheja A, Akesson A, Horslev-Petersen K. Serum levels of aminoterminal type III procollagen peptide and hyaluronan predict mortality in systemic sclerosis. *Scand J Rheumatol*. 1992;21:5–9.
- Steen VD, Engel EE, Charley MR, Medsgger Jr TA. Soluble serum interleukin 2 receptors in patients with systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol*. 1996;23(4):646–9.
- Vischer U, Ingerslev J, Wollheim C, Mestries JC, Tsakiris D, Haefeli W, et al. Acute von Willebrand factor secretion from the endothelium in vivo: assessment through plasma propeptide (vWf:AgII) levels. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;77:387–93.
- Clements PJ, Peter JB, Agopian MS, Telian NS, Furst DE. Elevated serum levels of soluble interleukin 2 receptor, interleukin 2 and neopterin in diffuse and limited scleroderma: effects of chlorambucil. *J Rheumatol*. 1990;17(7):908–10.
- Stevens W, Vancheeswaran R, Black CM; UK Systemic Sclerosis Study Group. Alpha interferon-2a (Roferon-A) in the treatment of diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis: a pilot study. *Br J Rheumatol*. 1992;31(10):683–9.
- Chen M, Daha MR, Kallenberg CGM. The complement system in systemic autoimmune disease. *J Autoimmun*. 2010;34(3):J276–86.
- Valentijn RM, van Overhagen H, Hazevoet HM, Hermans J, Cats A, Daha MR, et al. The value of complement and immune complex determinations in monitoring disease activity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1985;28(8):904–13.
- Sturfelt G, Sjöholm AG. Complement components, complement activation, and acute phase response in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 1984;75(1):75–83.
- Bhattacharyya S, Varga J. Emerging roles of innate immune signaling and Toll-like receptors in fibrosis and systemic sclerosis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep*. 2015;17(1):474.
- van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, Johnson SR, Baron M, Tyndall A, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2013;65(11):2737–47.
- LeRoy EC, Medsgger Jr TA. Criteria for the classification of early systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol*. 2001;28(7):1573–6.
- Clements P, Lachenbruch P, Siebold J, White B, Weiner S, Martin R, et al. Inter and intraobserver variability of total skin thickness score (modified Rodnan TSS) in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol*. 1995;22(7):1281–5.
- Ma Y, Mazumdar M, Memtsoudis SG. Beyond repeated-measures analysis of variance: advanced statistical methods for the analysis of longitudinal data in anesthesia research. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2012;37(1):99–105.
- Cuomo G, Abignano G, Ruocco L, Vettori S, Valentini G. Hypocomplementemia in systemic sclerosis [in Italian]. *Reumatismo*. 2008;60(4):268–73.
- Hudson M, Walker JG, Fritzler M, Taillefer S, Baron M. Hypocomplementemia in systemic sclerosis - clinical and serological correlations. *J Rheumatol*. 2007;34(11):2218–23.
- Senaldi G, Lupoli S, Vergani D, Black CM. Activation of the complement system in systemic sclerosis: relationship to clinical severity. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1989;32(10):1262–7.
- Batal I, Domsic RT, Shafer A, Medsgger Jr TA, Kiss LP, Randhawa P, et al. Renal biopsy findings predicting outcome in scleroderma renal crisis. *Hum Pathol*. 2009;40(3):332–40.
- Valentini G, Bencivelli W, Bombardieri S, D'Angelo S, Della Rossa A, Silman AJ, et al. European Scleroderma Study Group to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. III. Assessment of the construct validity of the preliminary activity criteria. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2003;62(9):901–3.
- Valentini G, D'Angelo S, Della Rossa A, Bencivelli W, Bombardieri S. European Scleroderma Study Group to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. IV. Assessment of skin thickening by modified Rodnan skin score. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2003;62(9):904–5.
- Yuan J, Chen M, Zhao MH. Complement in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. *Clin Exp Nephrol*. 2013;17(5):642–5.
- Muangchan C, Harding S, Khimdas S, Bonner A, Group CSR, Baron M, Pope J. Association of C-reactive protein with high disease activity in systemic sclerosis: results from the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2012;64(9):1405–14.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

