

Hannah R. Wardill, Richard M. Logan, Joanne M. Bowen, Ysabella Z. A. Van Sebille, Rachel J. Gibson

Tight junction defects are seen in the buccal mucosa of patients receiving standard dose chemotherapy for cancer

Supportive Care in Cancer, 2016; 24(4):1779-1788

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00520-015-2964-6>

PERMISSIONS

<http://www.springer.com/gp/open-access/authors-rights/self-archiving-policy/2124>

Springer is a green publisher, as we allow self-archiving, but most importantly we are fully transparent about your rights.

Publishing in a subscription-based journal

By signing the Copyright Transfer Statement you still retain substantial rights, such as self-archiving:

"Authors may self-archive the author's accepted manuscript of their articles on their own websites. Authors may also deposit this version of the article in any repository, provided it is only made publicly available 12 months after official publication or later. He/ she may not use the publisher's version (the final article), which is posted on SpringerLink and other Springer websites, for the purpose of self-archiving or deposit. Furthermore, the author may only post his/her version provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be provided by inserting the DOI number of the article in the following sentence: "The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/>[insert DOI]"."

2 May, 2017

<http://hdl.handle.net/2440/104782>

Tight junction defects are seen in the buccal mucosa of patients receiving standard dose chemotherapy for cancer

Wardill HR^a, Logan RM^b, Bowen JM^c, Van Sebille YZA^c, Gibson RJ^a.

Hannah R Wardill: hannah.wardill@adelaide.edu.au

Richard M Logan: richard.logan@adelaide.edu.au

Joanne M Bowen: joanne.bowen@adelaide.edu.au

Ysabella ZA Van Sebille: ysabella.vansebille@adelaide.edu.au

Rachel J Gibson: rachel.gibson@adelaide.edu.au

10

- a. Discipline of Anatomy and Pathology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
- b. School of Dentistry, University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
- c. Discipline of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

Corresponding Author:

Hannah R. Wardill

20

School of Medical Sciences

University of Adelaide

North Terrace

Adelaide, 5005

South Australia

AUSTRALIA

Telephone: +61-8-83133787

Email: hannah.wardill@adelaide.edu.au

Word count: 3355

30

Key words: mucositis, chemotherapy, oral toxicity, tight junctions, clinical, oral mucosa

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD, Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin; 5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil.

1

Conflict of Interest Statement

None declared.

Abstract

Purpose Oral mucositis is one of the most common and debilitating side effects of chemotherapy treatment. Patients are often unable to eat and drink, which can lead to poor clinical outcomes and extensive resource utilisation. The primary aim of this study was to determine the molecular integrity of oral epithelial tight junctions in patients undergoing chemotherapy. The secondary aim was to correlate these changes with proinflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinase profiles.

Methods Patients (n=23) were recruited from the Royal Adelaide Hospital between 2000-03. Each patient underwent two oral buccal mucosa biopsies (4mm): one prior to chemotherapy treatment and a second one after chemotherapy treatment. Oral buccal mucosa biopsies were also taken from 7 healthy volunteers with no history of cancer, chemo- or radiotherapy treatment or inflammatory disorders. Routine haematoxylin and eosin staining was performed to determine epithelial thickness. Immunohistochemical staining was performed for claudin-1, zonular occludens-1, occludin, interleukin-1 β , tumour necrosis factor, interleukin-6, matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9.

Results Patients receiving standard dose chemotherapy had significant epithelial atrophy. Elevations in all cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases were seen, with significant lamina propria staining for interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor. Matrix metalloproteinase-2 appeared most upregulated within the oral epithelium. These changes coincided with altered tight junction staining properties. Changes in the staining intensity and localisation were both noted, with clear cytoplasmic staining for zonular occludens-1 and claudin-1 in patients treated with chemotherapy.

Conclusions Chemotherapy causes defects in oral tight junctions, coupled with altered cytokine and matrix metalloproteinase profiles. Tight junction disruption in the epithelium may contribute to ulcer development or lead to poor tissue integrity and the timing of these events may be a target for preventative treatment.

1.0 Introduction

Chemotherapy treatment is associated with a host of debilitating side effects with varying effects on patient quality of life, resource utilisation and treatment efficacy. Over the past decade, there has been an appreciation gained for the impact of chemotherapy-induced alimentary mucositis on patient quality of life, leading to vast improvements in our understanding of its pathobiology [1, 2]. Mucositis is characterised by severe ulceration along the entire alimentary tract [3], however, oral lesions are most easily accessed and therefore diagnosed. In fact, oral mucositis is frequently described as the most common dose-limiting factor for patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment, affecting 80-100% of those receiving high dose treatment [4, 5]. The development of oral mucositis in patients during cancer treatment places a significant clinical and economic burden on the provision of care. Additionally, oral mucositis can compromise treatment outcomes and, in itself, increase mortality through heightened infection risk. Despite its prevalence and clinical impact, there is limited data on the molecular mechanisms that underpin or initiate this toxicity.

It is currently accepted that the pathobiology of alimentary toxicity, in which oral mucositis is included, can be described using a continuous and overlapping 5-phase model proposed by Sonis in 2004 [6, 7]. This model was the first to recognise that alimentary toxicity is not purely an epithelial phenomenon, highlighting the dynamic interactions that occur between the epithelium, extra cellular matrix (ECM), submucosa and the chemotherapeutic agent itself. Consequently, the pathobiology is defined as the collective consequences of direct cytotoxicity, induced by the chemotherapeutic agent, as well as inflammatory-driven indirect cytotoxicity primarily controlled through nuclear factor kappa B (NF κ B). Although this model of alimentary mucositis remains universally accepted, recent advances in our understanding have identified complimentary molecular mediators of toxicity. One such example is the emerging role of tight junctions [8] in regulating barrier dysfunction commonly observed following cytotoxic treatment.

Tight junctions are highly dynamic signaling complexes vital to epithelial homeostasis. Located at the apico-lateral boundary of adjacent epithelial cells, tight junctions are integral in maintaining epithelial adhesion as well as regulating paracellular permeability [9]. Tight junctions are primarily formed of four protein groups; claudins, zonular occludens (ZO), junctional adhesion molecules (JAMs) and occludin. Importantly, the molecular interactions of these proteins cause tight

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD, Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin; 5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil. 4

100 junctions to be highly malleable and plastic structure that assemble, grow, recognise
and disassemble in response to various physiological and pathological cues. Based
on their highly plastic nature, particularly in response to inflammatory mediators, tight
junctions have gained significant attention in a number of inflammatory-based
gastrointestinal pathologies, including mucositis [10, 11]. Tight junctions were first
identified to be involved in the pathobiology of gastrointestinal (GI) mucositis in 1997,
with Keefe and colleagues [12] showing increased and uncontrolled intestinal
permeability in patients receiving high dose chemotherapy. In 2000, ultrastructural
changes in small intestinal tight junctions were identified in patients receiving various
chemotherapeutic treatment regimens [5]. Since the early 2000's, several studies
110 have identified molecular defects in intestinal tight junctions following chemotherapy
treatment, with downregulation, redistributing and phosphorylation of occludin, ZO-1
and claudin-1 consistently reported [13-17]. Tight junction disruption is therefore
emerging are a key player in the pathobiology of mucositis.

Modification of tight junction proteins, particularly post-translationally, is a well-
documented phenomenon and forms the basis of many inflammatory pathologies
[18-20]. In the setting of both oral and GI mucositis, the interaction between
proinflammatory cytokines, matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) and tight junctions is
compelling given the strong inflammatory component of mucositis [21] and
documented changes in MMP profiles [22]. The ability of proinflammatory cytokines
and MMPs to degrade tight junctions is well-established [23, 24], highlighting a
120 potential interaction between mediators of mucositis and tight junction disruption.
Importantly, these mediators are not only found at elevated levels in the gut but also
the oral cavity [25] and circulating serum [21] therefore suggesting that tight junction
disruption may also play a role in the pathobiology of oral mucositis. This study
therefore aims to determine the phenotype of oral epithelial tight junctions in patients
receiving chemotherapy and correlate with established changes in proinflammatory
cytokines (IL-1 β IL-6, TNF) and MMP profiles (MMP-2, -9). Results from this study
will determine if tight junction disruption is a common mechanism of oral and GI
mucositis, and may shed light on the underlying mechanisms responsible for barrier
dysfunction.

130

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD, Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin; 5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil. 6

2.0 Materials and Methods

2.1 Patients

Tissue samples were sourced from a previously conducted study [26] published by Gibson et al., 2006. This previous study was approved Royal Adelaide Hospital Human Ethics Committee. Briefly, patients were recruited from the Department of Medical Oncology at the Royal Adelaide Hospital between 2000 and 2003 (n=23). The study included 7 male and 16 female patients with a median age of 52.4 years (32-86 years) [26]. Patients were excluded if they were undergoing concurrent radiotherapy to the head and neck, or if they had pre-existing mucosal damage. Tumour type was heterogeneous amongst patients and included breast, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, colorectal, lung and neuroendocrine pancreatic. Standard dose chemotherapy was used in all patients, administered over 1-4 hours [26]. Treatments included ABVD, AC, CMF, DOX, Docetaxel, CHOP, 5-FU/Folinic Acid, CAV and Streptozocin. For tabular breakdown of patient demographics and treatment regimens, please refer to Gibson et al., 2006.

Patients had a single oral buccal mucosa biopsy prior to the commencement of their first chemotherapy cycle and a second after cessation of their treatment (mean 4.8 days; range 3-11 days). Seven healthy volunteers (3M:4F), with no history of cancer, chemotherapy treatment and pre-existing mucosal damage were also recruited for the study. All biopsies were performed by a single operator. Pre-chemotherapy biopsies were taken on one side of the mouth and post-chemotherapy biopsies were taken on the opposite side. The surrounding buccal mucosa was injected with local anesthetic, and a small (4 mm) punch biopsy was taken. A single stitch was placed at the site of the biopsy if necessary. The number of previous chemotherapy cycles undergone by each patient was recorded at recruitment to determine if these contributed to histological or molecular changes in the oral cavity.

2.2 Clinical assessment of oral mucositis

Case note reviews were used to identify the presence/absence of mucositis in this patient cohort at the time of sample collection. Institutional reporting guidelines did not require mandatory reporting of oral mucositis symptoms in patient case notes, and therefore oral toxicities were not as comprehensively reported in this archival patient group as would be required today. Gibson et al., (2006) reported that 50% of patients had mucositis symptoms of WHO grades 1-2 (relatively mild) ranging from

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD, Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin; 5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil.

170 mouth ulcers, loss of taste, mouth dryness, 'thick' feeling over the tongue and cheek
area and fissured tongues [26]. For full tabular breakdown of mucositis severity and
symptoms, please refer to Gibson et al., (2006) [26].

2.3 Histological analysis

180 Oral buccal mucosa biopsies were cut at 5µm using the Leica Microtome and
mounted onto glass microscope slides. Routine haematoxylin and eosin staining was
conducted on all buccal mucosa biopsy samples. Briefly, sections were dewaxed and
rehydrated through graded ethanols. Sections were placed in Harris Haematoxylin for
2 mins before being placed in 0.5% ammonia for 1 min. Sections were washed and
placed in eosin for 2 mins before being dehydrated, cleared and coverslipped. Slides
were scanned using a NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan) and analysed
180 using NanoZoomer Digital Pathology software (Histalim, Montpellier, France).
Epithelial thickness was measured ten times across the width of the tissue section
and an average determined [27]. All analysis was conducted in a blinded fashion.

2.4 Immunohistochemistry

190 Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was carried out on 4 µm sections of oral buccal
mucosal cut on a rotary microtome and mounted onto FLEX IHC microscope slides
(Flex Plus Detection System, Dako, Denmark; #K8020). Immunohistochemical
analysis was performed for three tight junction proteins (claudin-1, ZO-1 and
occludin), proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, TNF) as well as MMP-2 and MMP-
9 (Table 1). Immunohistochemical analysis was performed using Dako reagents on
190 an automated machine (AutostainerPlus, Dako, Denmark) following standard
protocols supplied by the manufacturer. Briefly, sections were deparaffinised in
histolene and rehydrated through graded ethanols before undergoing heat mediated
antigen retrieval using an EDTA/Tris buffer (0.37g/L EDTA, 1.21g/L Tris; pH 9.0).
Retrieval buffer was preheated to 65°C using the Dako PT LINK (pre-treatment
module). Slides were immersed in the buffer and the temperature raised to 97°C for
20 min. After returning to 65°C, slides were removed and placed in the Dako
AutostainerPlus and stained following manufacturer's guidelines. Briefly, endogenous
peroxidase was blocked using the FLEX peroxidase block followed by a serum-free
protein block (Dako, Denmark; #X0909). Primary antibodies were suspended in the
200 EnVision™ FLEX Antibody Diluent (Dako, Denmark; #K8006) and applied for 60.
Negative controls had the primary antibody omitted. The EnVision™ FLEX+

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: 8
interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD,
Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV,
Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/
Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin;
5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil.

Rabbit/Mouse LINKER (Dako, Denmark; #K8019) was then applied for 30-60 min before DAB was used to visualise the target protein. Slides were removed from the automated stainer, counterstained in Harris Haematoxylin, dehydrated and coverslipped. Slides were scanned using the NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan) and assessed with NanoZoomer Digital Pathology software (Histalim, Montpellier, France). Healthy control samples were used as an internal positive control for tight junction proteins. Human tonsil was used as a positive control for IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF, MMP-2 and MMP-9.

210 Slides were scanned using a NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu, Japan) and analysed using NanoZoomer Digital Pathology software (Histalim, Montpellier, France). Tight junction staining was analysed in the superficial/intermediate, prickle cell and basal epithelium as well as the endothelium of the lamina propria (Figure 1), whilst IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF, MMP-2 and MMP-9 staining was analysed in the whole oral epithelium and lamina propria. Staining intensity was analysed using a validated semi-quantitative grading system [27] from 0-3; where 0 = no staining, 1 = mild staining, 2 = moderate staining and 3 = intense staining (Figure 2) and was conducted in a blinded fashion [27]. In addition, the characteristics of tight junction staining, including membrane specificity and location, were assessed qualitatively.

Table 1: Antibody specification and application.

Antibody	Distributor Catalogue #	Dilution	Polymer Type Incubation period
Occludin <i>Mouse monoclonal</i>	Invitrogen 33-1500	5 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 60 min
Claudin-1 <i>Rabbit polyclonal</i>	Abcam ab15908	2 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 60 min
ZO-1 <i>Rabbit polyclonal</i>	Invitrogen 61-7300	2.5 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 60 min
TNF <i>Rabbit polyclonal</i>	Abcam ab6671	10 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 30 min
IL-1β <i>Rabbit polyclonal</i>	Abcam ab9787	2 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 30 min
IL-6 <i>Rabbit polyclonal</i>	Abcam ab6672	1.67 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 30 min
MMP-2 <i>Rabbit polyclonal</i>	Abcam ab58803	1.25 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Rabbit LINKER 30 min
MMP-9 <i>Mouse monoclonal</i>	Abcam ab37150	1.25 μ g/ml	EnVision™ FLEX+ Mouse LINKER 30 min

220

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD, Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin; 5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil.

9

2.5 Statistical analysis

Epithelial thickness and immunohistochemical staining were compared between healthy control samples, pre-chemotherapy samples and post-chemotherapy samples using GraphPad Prism 7.0. Data was assessed for normality using the D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus test. When normality was confirmed, a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with a Tukey's post hoc. If normality was not achieved, a Kruskal-Wallis with a Dunn's multiple comparison was performed. To determine the relationship between previous chemotherapy cycles and epithelial thickness, a linear regression model was applied and the coefficient of determination (r^2) was determined. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

3.0 Results

3.1 Chemotherapy causes significant epithelial atrophy

Epithelial atrophy was observed both before ($p=0.0008$) and following chemotherapy cycles ($p<0.0001$; Figure 3a/3c). Given that patients were not naïve to chemotherapy treatment, it is likely that the atrophy observed prior to treatment was due to the previous cycles patients underwent. This was confirmed by a strong correlation between epithelial thickness and the number of previous chemotherapy cycles patients had undergone ($r^2=0.66$; Figure 3b).

240 3.2 Chemotherapy increases proinflammatory cytokines and alters MMP profiles

Increases were seen in all proinflammatory cytokines and MMPs subtypes following chemotherapy (Figure 4). IL-1 β and IL-6 showed increased expression in the epithelium of patients treated with chemotherapy ($p=0.0017$, $p=0.0167$, respectively). Although no significance change was seen in the epithelial expression of TNF across all groups ($p>0.05$), there was a significant increase in the lamina propria following chemotherapy treatment ($p<0.0001$). This was consistent with the changes seen in IL-6, with significant increases in patients treated with chemotherapy ($p<0.0001$).

Both IL-6 and TNF appeared most prominent in the fibrous material and amorphous ground substance of the lamina propria (Figure 4b). MMP-9 staining remained
250 showed mild increases in staining expression in both the epithelium ($p=0.0039$) and lamina propria ($p=0.0409$) of patients treated with chemotherapy. MMP-2 staining was most significant in the epithelium of patients treated with chemotherapy ($p=0.001$), with clear cytoplasmic staining in the prickle layer indicating active secretion. The vasculature and fibroblasts in the lamina propria also showed positive MMP-2 staining in patients treated with chemotherapy.

Residual inflammatory signaling was evident in the oral cavity of patients exposed to previous chemotherapy treatment, with pre-chemotherapy biopsies displaying increased TNF in the lamina propria ($p<0.0001$).

3.3 Tight junction defects are seen following chemotherapy

260 Claudin-1 and ZO-1 protein expression decreased most notably in the basal (claudin-1: $p=0.0130$, ZO-1: $p<0.0001$) and prickle cell layers (claudin-1: $p=0.0078$, ZO-1: $p<0.0001$). Despite only modest changes in the overall staining intensity of tight junction proteins, clear changes in their localisation were evident (Figure 5). In

healthy controls, ZO-1 and claudin-1 displayed strong specificity for the membrane, with epithelial staining showing the typical 'cobblestone' appearance. In patients treated with chemotherapy, claudin-1 expression appears disrupted, particularly in the basal epithelium, and less specific for the membrane. Membrane specificity is not evident until more superficial epithelial layers. This redistribution is also clear in ZO-1 staining characteristics, with clear cytoplasmic staining evident.

270

4.0 Discussion

Recent clinical practice guidelines [8] and preclinical research outcomes [10] have highlighted the growing evidence indicating the impact of tight junction disruption in the development of chemotherapy-induced mucositis. In light of this new research avenue, the current study utilised archival tissue samples obtained from patients undergoing standard chemotherapy, with the aim of determining oral epithelial tight junction integrity and correlating with established changes in proinflammatory cytokine and MMP profiles.

280 An unexpected finding from the current study was significant epithelial atrophy seen in the buccal mucosa biopsies taken prior to chemotherapy treatment. Importantly, all patients recruited for the original study had received previous cycles of cytotoxic treatment indicating that treatment causes persistent, long-term changes in the oral cavity. Epithelial thickness strongly correlated with the number of previous treatments patients underwent. These results support the idea that affected tissue exhibits long-term ultrastructural changes. These changes in epithelial thickness were also accompanied by residual inflammation and extra cellular matrix signalling, with elevated staining intensity compared to healthy controls. Unfortunately, we were unable to access information regarding the timing of previous cytotoxic treatment and
290 correlations could not be drawn.

This study is the first to identify chemotherapy-induced oral epithelial tight junction disruption in patients receiving chemotherapy. In fact, it is one of only a few clinical studies that have documented changes in tight junctions from clinical patient samples. Keefe and colleagues (2000) showed altered tight junction integrity in the duodenum of patients undergoing chemotherapy [5]. These changes, detected by transmission electron microscopy, were the first to suggest that tight junction disruption may contribute to ulceration, loss of tissue integrity and diarrhoea development in patients undergoing chemotherapy. Consequently, chemotherapy-induced tight junction disruption may indeed be a critical aspect of oral ulceration – a
300 major clinical aspect of mucositis. More importantly however, tight junctions provide an important paracellular barrier to potential pathogens and thus disruption may promote bacterial translocation and increase the risk of local, or systemic, infection in already immunocompromised patients. This is a well documented risk associated with tight junction disruption in the gastrointestinal tract, with chemotherapy-treated rats showing increased bacterial translocation to the mesenteric lymph nodes and

ZO: zonular occludens, JAMs: junctional adhesion molecules, MMP: matrix metalloproteinase, IL: 13 interleukin, TNF: tumour necrosis factor, GI: gastrointestinal, ABVD, Adriamycin/Bleomycin/Vincristine/Dacarbazine; AC, Doxorubicin/Cyclophosphamide; CAV, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine; CHOP, Cyclophosphamide/Doxorubicin/Vincristine/Prednisolone; CMF, Cyclophosphamide/Methotrexate/5-Fluorouracil; DOX, Doxorubicin; 5-FU, 5-Fluorouracil.

spleen [28] coupled with severe tight junction impairment. Implications for oral epithelial tight junction disruption may therefore not only promote mucosal breaches, but have detrimental effects on patients' clinical health outcomes.

310 Tight junctions are highly plastic complexes, with the ability to change in response to a wide variety of physiological and pathological cues. Although reduced expression of key tight junction proteins is most widely documented, cytoplasmic redistribution of these proteins has also been shown to drastically affect their function. For example, Nassour et al., (2014) showed that application of STb, a low molecular weight heat-resistance toxin produced by enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, caused significant translocation of claudin-1 to the cytoplasm of T84 cells [29]. This was accompanied by increased permeability of T84 monolayers and poor transepithelial resistance. In similar studies, redistribution of claudin-1 from the membrane to a more soluble form was associated with marked alterations in F-actin stress fibres [30]. F-actin filament
320 fragmentation and condensation were also accompanied by redistribution and fragmentation of ZO-1 and occludin. This relationship has also been demonstrated in response to IL-1 β treatment, with altered subcellular localisation of claudin-1 and ZO-1 shown in both thyroid cells [19] and cultured human corneal epithelial (HCE) cells [31]. In the setting of chemotherapy-induced tight junction disruption, it has also been shown that downregulation and redistribution of ZO-1 drastically affects the function of intestinal tight junctions. For example, Hamada and colleagues showed that methotrexate-induced diarrhoea resulted in significantly increased permeability to fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran coupled with internalisation of ZO-1 in colonic epithelial cells [32, 33]. Although shown in a variety of cell types and in response to varying cues, these studies emphasise the significance of cytoplasmic redistribution
330 of tight junction proteins and may offer mechanistic avenues to explore.

The current study has shown clear increases in several proinflammatory cytokines and MMP subtypes. This change comes as no surprise given the vast amount of research showing a strong inflammatory component to alimentary toxicity [21, 25, 27, 34]. However, few studies have assessed cytokine and MMP expression in the oral epithelium of patients receiving chemotherapy, with most research coming from preclinical animal models. For example, our laboratory has previously shown elevations in IL-1 β , TNF and IL-6 in the oral mucosa of tumour-bearing rats receiving chemotherapy [25], paralleling the clinical changes observed in the current study.

These results compliment earlier clinical findings showing increased NF κ B and

340 cyclooxygenase-2 expression in the oral cavity of patients following cytotoxic
chemotherapy [35]. Recent research has also shown elevated MMP-9 expression in
the ventral surface of the tongue of tumour-bearing rats treated with chemotherapy
[27]. These parallel earlier research showing a time dependent increase in both
MMP-2 and MMP-9 in the jejunum following irinotecan administration [34]. Although
more substantial changes were seen preclinically, particularly for MMP-9, results
again reflected the changes observed clinically. Importantly, the changes in
proinflammatory cytokine and MMP profiles observed in our present study were
clearly coupled with changes in tight junction integrity.

The idea that both proinflammatory cytokines and MMPs regulate tight junctions is
350 not a new phenomenon, with strong supportive in vitro and in vivo evidence. The
earliest evidence for proinflammatory-cytokine dependent tight junction disruption
was seen in the setting of inflammatory bowel disorders, with clear changes in
claudin-1, ZO-1 and occludin coinciding with peak relapse and remission phases
[36]. Recent in vitro research has solidified the modulatory roles of proinflammatory
cytokines on tight junction integrity, showing that IL-1 β and TNF are able to disrupt
tight junction integrity [37-39]. Comparable effects have also been documented
following exposure to MMPs [40], although much of the research to date has only
focused on their effects on endothelial tight junctions. Importantly however,
interactions between proinflammatory cytokine signaling, MMP activity and epithelial
360 tight junction integrity have been documented. In fact, treatment with TNF has been
reported to activate both MMP-2 and MMP-9 resulting in tight junction disruption and
epithelial hyper-permeability [41].

More recently, MMP-tight junction interactions have been demonstrated using human
airway epithelial models [42] and human embryonic kidney cell lines [43]. In both
cases, MMP-9 activation caused altered expression and localisation of occludin,
claudin-1 and ZO-1, tight junction strand breaks and epithelial apoptosis, thus
highlighting a clear role of MMPs in the regulation of tight junctions and barrier
function. Given the wealth of supportive literature showing cytokine- and MMP-
mediated tight junction disruption, the idea that these interaction underpin
370 chemotherapy-induced oral toxicity is compelling. Given that these interaction have
also been reported to contribute to chemotherapy-induced gut toxicity and associated
diarrhoea, this study therefore indicates that tight junction defects occur throughout
the entirety of the alimentary tract, regardless of anatomic site. This provides further

evidence for a common pathway for mucositis development, which is modified as a consequence of local structural differences in the mucosae. These differences are overwhelming when comparing the oral mucosa to the gastrointestinal tract, however these structural differences may have implications for the resilience that different mucosae may exhibit in response to the effects of chemotherapeutic drugs.

5.0 Conclusions

380 Chemotherapy causes defects in key tight junction proteins of the oral cavity, characterised by decreased expression and cytoplasmic redistribution. This is the first study to identify changes in oral epithelial tight junctions of patients undergoing chemotherapy. This provides further evidence for a common pathway for alimentary mucositis, with regional differences the result of structural variations in the alimentary mucosae. Changes in oral epithelial tight junctions were coupled with altered cytokine and MMP profiles and the timing of these events may be a target for preventative treatment. It is therefore critical that these results be assessed in a more controlled manner to assess if tight junction disruption is in fact the cause of oral mucositis, or purely an effect. It must also be acknowledged that not all patients
390 undergoing chemotherapy treatment developed clinical mucositis. Despite this, subclinical evidence of mucositis was apparent in the form of apoptosis [26], inflammation, atrophy and perhaps tight junction defects. For a stronger understanding of the temporal relationship between mediators of inflammation, tight junctions and mucositis development to be establish, these investigations should now be extended into controlled animal studies as well as into larger patient cohorts with heterogeneous diagnoses and more detailed reporting of mucositis onset, severity and duration.

400

6.0 Acknowledgements

This current study was supported by funds awarded to Ms Hannah Wardill by the Australian Dental Research Foundation (AGRF 2013-3). Ms Hannah Wardill and Ms Ysabella Van Seville are recipients of the Australian Postgraduate Award. Ms Hannah Wardill is also the recipient of the Florey Medical Research Foundation Doctor Chun Chung Wong and Madam So Sau Lam Memorial Postgraduate Cancer Research Top Up Scholarship.

7.0 References

- 410 1. Sonis, S.T., *The pathobiology of mucositis*. Nat Rev Cancer, 2004. **4**(4): p. 277-84.
2. Carlotto, A., et al., *The economic burden of toxicities associated with cancer treatment: review of the literature and analysis of nausea and vomiting, diarrhoea, oral mucositis and fatigue*. Pharmacoeconomics, 2013. **31**(9): p. 753-66.
3. Logan, R.M., et al., *Characterisation of mucosal changes in the alimentary tract following administration of irinotecan: implications for the pathobiology of mucositis*. Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology, 2008. **62**(1): p. 33-41.
- 420 4. Keefe, D.M., *Intestinal mucositis: mechanisms and management*. Current opinion in oncology, 2007. **19**(4): p. 323-7.
5. Keefe, D.M., et al., *Chemotherapy for cancer causes apoptosis that precedes hypoplasia in crypts of the small intestine in humans*. Gut, 2000. **47**(5): p. 632-7.
6. Sonis, S.T., *A biological approach to mucositis*. J Support Oncol, 2004. **2**(1): p. 21-32; discussion 35-6.
7. Sonis, S.T., *Pathobiology of mucositis*. Semin Oncol Nurs, 2004. **20**(1): p. 11-5.
8. Al-Dasooqi, N., et al., *Emerging evidence on the pathobiology of mucositis*. Support Care Cancer, 2013. **21**(7): p. 2075-83.
- 430 9. Gonzalez-Mariscal, L., R. Tapia, and D. Chamorro, *Crosstalk of tight junction components with signaling pathways*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2008. **1778**(3): p. 729-56.
10. Wardill, H.R., et al., *Irinotecan disrupts tight junction proteins within the gut : implications for chemotherapy-induced gut toxicity*. Cancer Biol Ther, 2014. **15**(2): p. 236-44.
11. Wardill, H.R., J.M. Bowen, and R.J. Gibson, *Chemotherapy-induced gut toxicity: are alterations to intestinal tight junctions pivotal?* Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2012. **70**(5): p. 627-35.
- 440 12. Keefe, D.M., et al., *Effect of high-dose chemotherapy on intestinal permeability in humans*. Clinical Science, 1997. **92**(4): p. 385-9.
13. Wardill, H.R., et al., *Irinotecan disrupts tight junction proteins within the gut : implications for chemotherapy-induced gut toxicity*. Cancer biology & therapy, 2014. **15**(2): p. 236-44.
14. Hamada, K., et al., *Disruption of ZO-1/claudin-4 interaction in relation to inflammatory responses in methotrexate-induced intestinal mucositis*. Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology, 2013. **72**(4): p. 757-65.
15. Hamada, K., et al., *Zonula Occludens-1 alterations and enhanced intestinal permeability in methotrexate-treated rats*. Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology, 2010. **66**(6): p. 1031-8.
- 450 16. Beutheu Youmba, S., et al., *Methotrexate modulates tight junctions through NF-kappaB, MEK, and JNK pathways*. Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition, 2012. **54**(4): p. 463-70.

17. Nakao, T., et al., *Irinotecan injures tight junction and causes bacterial translocation in rat*. The Journal of surgical research, 2012. **173**(2): p. 341-7.
18. Edelblum, K.L. and J.R. Turner, *The tight junction in inflammatory disease: communication breakdown*. Curr Opin Pharmacol, 2009. **9**(6): p. 715-20.
- 460 19. Rebuffat, S.A., et al., *IL-1beta and TSH disturb thyroid epithelium integrity in autoimmune thyroid diseases*. Immunobiology, 2013. **218**(3): p. 285-91.
20. Schulzke, J.D., et al., *Epithelial tight junctions in intestinal inflammation*. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 2009. **1165**: p. 294-300.
21. Logan, R.M., et al., *Serum levels of NFkappaB and pro-inflammatory cytokines following administration of mucotoxic drugs*. Cancer biology & therapy, 2008. **7**(7): p. 1139-45.
22. Al-Dasooqi, N., et al., *Irinotecan-induced alterations in intestinal cell kinetics and extracellular matrix component expression in the dark agouti rat*. International journal of experimental pathology, 2011. **92**(5): p. 357-65.
- 470 23. Cummins, P.M., *Occludin: one protein, many forms*. Mol Cell Biol, 2012. **32**(2): p. 242-50.
24. Wardill, H.R. and J.M. Bowen, *Chemotherapy-induced mucosal barrier dysfunction: an updated review on the role of intestinal tight junctions*. Curr Opin Support Palliat Care, 2013. **7**(2): p. 155-61.
25. Logan, R.M., et al., *Characterisation of mucosal changes in the alimentary tract following administration of irinotecan: implications for the pathobiology of mucositis*. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2008. **62**(1): p. 33-41.
- 480 26. Gibson, R.J., et al., *Apoptosis occurs early in the basal layer of the oral mucosa following cancer chemotherapy*. Asia-Pacific Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2006. **2**(1): p. 10.
27. Al-Azri, A.R., et al., *Involvement of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-3 and MMP-9) in the pathogenesis of irinotecan-induced oral mucositis*. J Oral Pathol Med, 2014.
28. Nakao, T., et al., *Irinotecan injures tight junction and causes bacterial translocation in rat*. J Surg Res, 2012. **173**(2): p. 341-7.
29. Nassour, H. and J.D. Dubreuil, *Escherichia coli STb enterotoxin dislodges claudin-1 from epithelial tight junctions*. PLoS One, 2014. **9**(11): p. e113273.
- 490 30. Ngendahayo Mukiza, C. and J.D. Dubreuil, *Escherichia coli heat-stable toxin b impairs intestinal epithelial barrier function by altering tight junction proteins*. Infect Immun, 2013. **81**(8): p. 2819-27.
31. Kimura, K., S. Teranishi, and T. Nishida, *Interleukin-1beta-induced disruption of barrier function in cultured human corneal epithelial cells*. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2009. **50**(2): p. 597-603.
32. Hamada, K., et al., *Disruption of ZO-1/claudin-4 interaction in relation to inflammatory responses in methotrexate-induced intestinal mucositis*. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2013. **72**(4): p. 757-65.

- 500 33. Hamada, K., et al., *Zonula Occludens-1 alterations and enhanced intestinal permeability in methotrexate-treated rats*. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*, 2010. **66**(6): p. 1031-8.
34. Al-Dasooqi, N., et al., *Matrix metalloproteinases are possible mediators for the development of alimentary tract mucositis in the dark agouti rat*. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*, 2010. **235**(10): p. 1244-56.
35. Logan, R.M., et al., *Nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-kappaB) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression in the oral mucosa following cancer chemotherapy*. *Oral Oncol*, 2007. **43**(4): p. 395-401.
- 510 36. Bertiaux-Vandaele, N., et al., *The expression and the cellular distribution of the tight junction proteins are altered in irritable bowel syndrome patients with differences according to the disease subtype*. *Am J Gastroenterol*, 2011. **106**(12): p. 2165-73.
37. Ma, T.Y., et al., *Mechanism of TNF- α modulation of Caco-2 intestinal epithelial tight junction barrier: role of myosin light-chain kinase protein expression*. *American journal of physiology. Gastrointestinal and liver physiology*, 2005. **288**(3): p. G422-30.
38. Ma, T.Y., et al., *TNF-alpha-induced increase in intestinal epithelial tight junction permeability requires NF-kappa B activation*. *American journal of physiology. Gastrointestinal and liver physiology*, 2004. **286**(3): p. G367-76.
- 520 39. Al-Sadi, R., et al., *Mechanism of IL-1beta-induced increase in intestinal epithelial tight junction permeability*. *Journal of immunology*, 2008. **180**(8): p. 5653-61.
40. Al-Dasooqi, N., H.R. Wardill, and R.J. Gibson, *Gastrointestinal mucositis: the role of MMP-tight junction interactions in tissue injury*. *Pathol Oncol Res*, 2014. **20**(3): p. 485-91.
41. Vermeer, P.D., et al., *MMP9 modulates tight junction integrity and cell viability in human airway epithelia*. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*, 2009. **296**(5): p. L751-62.
- 530 42. Vermeer, P.D., et al., *MMP9 modulates tight junction integrity and cell viability in human airway epithelia*. *American journal of physiology. Lung cellular and molecular physiology*, 2009. **296**(5): p. L751-62.
43. Jeong, S., et al., *Interaction of clusterin and matrix metalloproteinase-9 and its implication for epithelial homeostasis and inflammation*. *The American journal of pathology*, 2012. **180**(5): p. 2028-39.