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#### Abstract

There are numerous macro and micro level determinants of female labor force participation (FLFP) counted in the literature. Besides the other explanatory factors of FLFP, diversification in religion, ethnicity, language and culture in a society may also play an important role in the explanation of FLFP. Therefore this study empirically examines the impact of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity on FLFP by using a crosssection data of 109 countries. We hypothesize that societies with higher level of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity experience higher level of FLFP via interaction across distinct religions, ethnicities, languages, and cultures. Our empirical results endorse our hypothesis for religion, ethnicity, and language except culture. This finding reveals that diversification in religion, ethnicity, and language in a country significantly and positively affects the FLFP level in that country.
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## 1. Introduction

P
roduction factors are at a high level of productivity is one of the factors that positively impact economic development. In this context, it is also important to increase the productivity of the workforce which is one of the production factors. However, when the structure of the labor force is examined in terms of gender, it appears that the majority of males (Özer \& Biçerli, 2004).

Participation of women in working life extends to the Industrial Revolution, but it has gained weight in the last century. Developments such as the spread of human rights, globalization, democracy, emergence of international institutions and organizations have positively influenced women's position in business life. In addition, the decline in male labor force as a result of the first and second world wars also increased the need for labor and brought about the first increases in female employment.

It is theoretically accepted that the participation of women in the workforce will increase the productivity of the workforce from the factors of production (Evans \& Kelley, 2008). Particularly in developed countries, the high participation of women in the labor force is seen as a sign of a positive impact on labor productivity. For
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example, this rate is averagely $66.1 \%$ in 27 EU countries and averagely $60.8 \%$ in OECD countries (Karabıyık, 2010).

In addition to vast literature on the determinants of female labor force participation (FLFP), another interesting area worth to be queried is the relationship between diversification in religion, ethnicity, language, culture and FLFP. In other words, besides the other existing determinants of FLFP, religious, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity may also play an important role in the explanation of FLFP. Hence this study empirically examines the impact of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity on FLFP by using a cross-section data of 109 countries in a multivariate regression model. We hypothesize that societies with higher level of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity experience higher level of FLFP owing to the fact that interaction across different religions, ethnicities, languages, and cultures in daily routine life in a society may enhance female labor force participation.

According to our empirical estimation results, there exists a statistically significant positive association between diversification in religion, ethnicity, language and FLFP in an economy. On the other hand, we are unable to identify a significant relationship between culture and FLFP.
Meanwhile, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first empirical study in the literature examining the impact of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity on FLFP.

The remaining parts of the article are organized as follows: section 2 provides a brief literature review; part 3 describes the data and methodology used in the study; the empirical results are reported and discussed in section 4 , and the last part concludes.

## 2. Literature

In the literature, it is possible to reach various studies investigating the effects of ethnic, religious, cultural and linguistic influence on women's employment. As the elements such as country, culture and religion change, it is observed that different results have been reached about women employment. The related literature is shown in Table-1.

Table 1. Literature Summary

| Author | Period / <br> Countries | Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kızılgöl (2012) | Turkey <br> $(2002-2008)$ | Elements affecting the participation of married and single women in <br> urban and rural areas to the workforce have been researched. As a <br> result, married and single women are more likely to participate in <br> their workforce; Education level, household income, dependency <br> ratio, ownership status (rent or own house) and age of the woman <br> were found to be effective. In addition, while the number of children <br> owned has reduced participation in the workforce in urban areas; It <br> has been observed to increase participation in the workforce in rural <br> areas. |
| Lahoti \& | Indis study examines the relationship between economic development <br> and female labor supply. Unlike a few literatures that have been <br> shown in the study, no systematic relationship has been observed. |  |
| Swaminathan <br> (2013) | Besides, the addiction to growth may not be enough to increase the <br> economic activities of women and that the composition of the grown <br> up could also be remarkable. |  |
| 2011-2012 |  |  |
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$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { (2015) } & 2010 & 111 \text { countries } \\ \text { Yalçınkaya } \\ \text { Koyuncu, Yilmaz, } & \text { paid maternity leave, and high pre-school enrollment rates. } \\ \text { A positive correlation between female labor force participation } \\ \text { indicators and labor productivity is identified in the study. This } \\ \text { finding is statistically significant and valid for three different } \\ \text { productivity indicators and three different female labor force } \\ \text { participation indicators. }\end{array}\right]$

## 3. Data and Methodology

This study empirically examines the effect of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity on FLFP. Our cross-section data, in the widest sense, cover 109 countries and are constituted by period averaged values for the years between 2000 and 2009.

By using cross-section data, we estimated the following multivariate regression models:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F L F P:=B_{1}+\beta_{\imath} R E L I G:+B_{\imath} A G R I:+B_{1} I N D U S T:+B_{c} U R B P O P+B_{c} I N F L+B_{7} B I R T H: \\
& +\beta_{0} E N R P R I_{:}+\beta_{0} E N R S E C:+\beta_{1 n} E N R T E R:+\beta_{11} C O N S U M P:+u_{i}  \tag{Model1}\\
& F L F P:=\beta_{1}+\beta_{\imath} \text { LING }_{:}+\beta_{2} A G R I:+\beta_{1} I N D U S T:+\beta_{2} U R B P O P:+\beta_{k} I N F L:+\beta_{7} B I R T H: \\
& +\beta_{0} E N R P R I_{:}+\beta_{n} E N R S E C:+\beta_{1 n} E N R T E R_{i}+\beta_{11} \text { CONSUMP } i+u_{i} \\
& F L F P:=\beta_{1}+\beta_{\urcorner} E T H N_{:}+\beta_{2} A G R I_{:}+\beta_{\wedge} I N D U S T:+\beta_{\varepsilon} U R B P O P: \beta_{\kappa} I N F L:+\beta_{7} B I R T H: \\
& +\beta_{0} E N R P R I:+\beta_{n} E N R S E C:+\beta_{1 n} E N R T E R+\beta_{1} C O N S U M P:+u  \tag{Model3}\\
& F L F P:=\beta_{1}+\beta_{\imath} C U L T:+\beta_{\imath} A G R I:+\beta_{1} I N D U S T:+\beta_{c} U R B P O P:+B_{c} I N F L:+\beta_{7} B I R T H: \\
& +B_{0} E N R P R I:+\beta_{n} E N R S E C: \beta_{1 n} E N R T E R+\beta_{1} C O N S U M P:+u \tag{Model4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ subscript stands for the $i$-th country's observation value for the particular variable. $u_{i}$ is error term of the regression.

Our dependent variable is FLFP. It is taken from WDI and measured as labor force participation rate, female (\% of female population ages $15+$ ) (modeled ILO estimate).

The list of independent variables, their definitions, and the data sources are given in Table 2 below.

Table 2. List of Independent Variables

| Variables | Definition | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RELIG | Religious fractionalization | A. Alesina, E. La Ferrara (2005), "Ethnic Diversity and |
| LING | Linguistic fractionalization | Economic Performance", Journal of Economic Literature. |
|  | A. Alesina, E. La Ferrara (2005), "Ethnic Diversity and |  |
| ETHN | Ethnic fractionalization | Economic Performance", Journal of Economic Literature. |
| CULT | Cultural diversity index | Economic, Performance", Journal of Fernic Diversity and |
|  | James Fearon (2003), "Ethnic and Cultural Diversity by |  |
| AGRI | Employment in agriculture (\% <br> of total employment) | Country", Journal of Economic Growth. |
|  |  |  |
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| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| INDUST | Employment in industry (\% of <br> total employment) | WDI |  |
| URBPOP | Urban population growth <br> (annual \%) | WDI |  |
| INFL | Inflation, GDP deflator (annual | WDI |  |
| \%) | UN data |  |  |
| BIRTH | Number of births | WDI |  |
| ENRPRI | School enrollment, primary, <br> female e (\% gross) | WDI |  |
| ENRSEC | School enrollment, secondary, <br> female (\% gross) | WDI |  |
| ENRTER | School enrollment, tertiary, <br> female (\% gross) | WDI |  |
| CONSUMP | Household final consumption <br> expenditure, etc. (constant <br> 2010 US\$) | WDI |  |

While logarithmic values for BIRTH and CONSUMP variables are utilized, level values are used for the other independent variables in our analyses.

We anticipate to having a positive association between the level of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity and FLFP due to the fact that interaction across different religions, ethnicities, languages, and cultures in daily routine life in a society may promote female labor force participation in that society.

Women are more prone to prefer jobs possessing more flexible working hours or days because of interruptions in their life such as giving birth, child caring, daily housework etc.. Therefore women may be more inclined to work in agricultural sector than industrial sector since agricultural sector offers more flexible working periods than industrial sector. The expected sign for the coefficient of AGRI is positive whereas it is negative for INDUST.

An increase in urban population growth may force women to join labor force due to higher cost of living of urban area relative to rural area. Hence we expect to have a positive sign for the coefficient of URBPOP variable.

Inflation in our model is proxy for the rate of increase of cost of living. If cost of living rises as a result of an increase in inflation, we anticipate women to participate in labor force more.

Giving birth and thus having children hold a significant portion in a woman's life and this type of interruptions discourages women to join labor force. The anticipated sign for the coefficient of BIRTH variable is negative.

Higher education level encourages women to take part in labor force and for that reason we expect to have a positive relation between ENRPRI, ENRSEC, and ENRTER variables and FLFP.

An increase in household consumption expenditure may compel women to participate in labor force. Therefore a positive association between CONSUMP and FLFP variables is predicted.

## 4. Estimation Results

The multivariate estimation results are depicted in Table 3 below.
Table 3. Multivariate Estimation Results

|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constant | -14.2051 | -13.4292 | -18.6735 | -3.8516 |
| Std. Error | 25.5562 | 27.5272 | 25.1951 | 31.6973 |
| P-value | 0.5796 | 0.6267 | 0.4604 | 0.9036 |
| RELIG | 19.9098 |  |  |  |
| Std. Error | 4.4957 |  |  |  |
| P-value | 0.0000 |  |  |  |
| LING |  | 9.5167 |  |  |
| Std. Error |  | 4.4323 |  |  |
| P-value |  | 0.0343 |  | 10.6555 |
| ETHN |  |  | 5.5960 |  |
| Std. Error |  |  | 0.0598 |  |
| P-value |  |  |  | 8.2028 |
| CULT |  |  |  | 6.1493 |
| Std. Error |  | 0.4958 | 0.4889 | 0.5261 |
| P-value |  |  |  | 0.1857 |
| AGRI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Std. Error | 0.1552 | 0.1475 | 0.1189 | 0.1758 |
| P-value | 0.0019 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 | 0.0160 |
| INDUST | -0.3999 | -0.5571 | -0.5598 | -0.8322 |
| Std. Error | 0.1624 | 0.1879 | 0.1940 | 0.2737 |
| P-value | 0.0156 | 0.0038 | 0.0048 | 0.0031 |
| URBPOP | 1.3828 | 0.7000 | 0.6293 | -0.4572 |
| Std. Error | 0.5265 | 0.6264 | 0.7949 | 1.1581 |
| P-value | 0.0100 | 0.2665 | 0.4305 | 0.6940 |
| INFL | 0.0038 | 0.0395 | -0.0043 | 0.1102 |
| Std. Error | 0.1502 | 0.1634 | 0.1768 | 0.1824 |
| P-value | 0.9801 | 0.8097 | 0.9807 | 0.5472 |
| BIRTH | -5.8014 | -6.6581 | -6.9137 | -7.8065 |
| Std. Error | 1.8927 | 2.0537 | 1.8432 | 2.0427 |
| P-value | 0.0028 | 0.0016 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 |
| ENRPRI | 0.3130 | 0.3678 | 0.3141 | 0.3518 |
| Std. Error | 0.0716 | 0.0848 | 0.0881 | 0.0889 |
| P-value | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 |
| ENRSEC | -0.1161 | -0.1190 | -0.0983 | -0.2012 |
| Std. Error | 0.0877 | 0.0883 | 0.0925 | 0.0915 |
| P-value | 0.1886 | 0.1810 | 0.2908 | 0.0306 |
| ENRTER | 0.2127 | 0.2174 | 0.2121 | 0.1919 |
| Std. Error | 0.0613 | 0.0704 | 0.0679 | 0.0824 |
| P-value | 0.0008 | 0.0026 | 0.0023 | 0.0222 |
| CONSUMP | 3.7173 | 4.2595 | 4.6996 | 5.2400 |
| Std. Error | 1.7168 | 1.8981 | 1.7292 | 1.9448 |
| P-value | 0.0328 | 0.0271 | 0.0078 | 0.0085 |
| Num. OfObs. | 109 | 109 | 109 | 98 |
| R-square | 0.5312 | 0.4626 | 0.4607 | 0.4807 |
| Fstat. | 11.1029 | 8.4362 | 8.3712 | 8.0535 |
| Prob(F stat.) | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| B-G stat. | 0.0077 | 0.5602 | 1.3370 | 3.9463 |
| Prob(B-G stat.) | 0.9302 | 0.4542 | 0.2476 | 0.0470 |
| White stat. | 17.7003 | 17.9371 | 14.6555 | 20.3616 |
| Prob(White stat.) | 0.0602 | 0.0560 | 0.1451 | 0.0260 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Model 1 in Table 3 reports the estimation results for model using RELIG variable as primary interested variable. Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test statistic shows that there is no serial correlation problem in Model 1. On the other hand White heteroskedasticity test statistic indicates a heteroskedasticity problem in Model 1. Therefore we reported White heteroskedasticity consistent standard errors and covariance in Model 1. Results of Model 1 display that RELIG variable has a highly statistically significant positive impact on FLFP. This finding hints that an increase in religious fractionalization enhances females' participation to labor force. Among the other control variables; only AGRI, INDUST, URBPOP, BIRTH, ENRPRI, ENRTER, and CONSUMP variables are statistically significant at least at $\% 5$ significance level and take the priori expected signs.

Model 2 in Table 2 depicts the estimation results for model using LING variable as primary interested variable. Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test statistic implies that there is no serial correlation problem in Model 2. On the other hand White heteroskedasticity test statistic points out a heteroskedasticity problem in Model 2. Thus we reported White heteroskedasticity consistent standard errors and covariance in Model 2. Results in Model 2 hint that LING variable has a statistically significant positive impact on FLFP. This finding reveals that an increase in linguistic fractionalization improves female labor force participation. Among the other control variables; only AGRI, INDUST, BIRTH, ENRPRI, ENRTER, and CONSUMP variables are statistically significant at least at $\% 5$ significance level and take the priori expected signs.

Model 3 in Table 2 shows the estimation results for model using ETHN variable as primary interested variable. Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test statistic shows that there is no serial correlation problem in Model 3. Moreover White heteroskedasticity test statistic indicates no heteroskedasticity problem in Model 3. Results in Model 3 imply that ETHN variable has a statistically significant positive impact on FLFP. This finding reveals that an increase in ethnic fractionalization augments females' participation to labor force. Among the other control variables; only AGRI, INDUST, BIRTH, ENRPRI, ENRTER, and CONSUMP variables are
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statistically significant at least at $\% 1$ significance level and take the priori expected signs.

Model 4 in Table 2 reports the estimation results for model using CULT variable as primary interested variable. Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test statistic shows that there is serial correlation problem in Model 4. Also White heteroskedasticity test statistic indicates a heteroskedasticity problem in Model 4. Therefore we reported heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent standard errors and covariance in Model 4. Results of Model 4 point out that CULT variable has no a statistically significant impact on FLFP. Among the other control variables; only AGRI, INDUST, BIRTH, ENRPRI, ENRSEC ENRTER, and CONSUMP variables are statistically significant at least at \%5 significance level and take the priori anticipated signs except ENRSEC variable.

## 5. Conclusion

There are numerous studies analyzing female labor force participation in the literature. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study in the literature questioning the impact of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity on FLFP. In addition to other identified determinants of FLFP, religious, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity may also play a significant role in the explanation of FLFP. Therefore in this study we empirically analyze the possible effect of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity on FLFP by utilizing a crosssection data of 109 countries in a multivariate regression model. Our research hypothesis is that societies with higher level of religion, ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity may have higher level of FLFP due to the fact that interaction across distinct religions, ethnicities, languages, and cultures in daily routine life in a society may augment female labor force participation.

Empirical estimation results reveal there exists a statistically significant positive association between diversification in religion, ethnicity, language and FLFP in a country. However, we did not find a statistically significant relationship between culture and FLFP.

As a result, as the degree of religious fractionalization, linguistic fractionalization, and ethnic fractionalization increases in a society, then female's participation to labor force increases as well.
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