JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY, Mar. 2008, p. 887–891 0095-1137/08/\$08.00+0 doi:10.1128/JCM.01611-07 Copyright © 2008, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

# Evaluation of Eight Different Bioinformatics Tools To Predict Viral Tropism in Different Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Subtypes<sup>⊽</sup>

Carolina Garrido,<sup>1</sup> Vanessa Roulet,<sup>2,3</sup> Natalia Chueca,<sup>4</sup> Eva Poveda,<sup>1</sup> Antonio Aguilera,<sup>5</sup> Katharina Skrabal,<sup>2,3</sup> Natalia Zahonero,<sup>1</sup> Silvia Carlos,<sup>4</sup> Federico García,<sup>4</sup> Jean Louis Faudon,<sup>2</sup> Vincent Soriano,<sup>1</sup> and Carmen de Mendoza<sup>1\*</sup>

Hospital Carlos III, Madrid, Spain<sup>1</sup>; Eurofins Viralliance Inc., Kalamazoo, Michigan<sup>2</sup>; Bioalliance Pharma, Paris, France<sup>3</sup>; Hospital Clínico Universitario San Cecilio, Granada, Spain<sup>4</sup>; and Hospital de Conxo—CHUS,

Santiago de Compostela, Spain<sup>5</sup>

Received 13 August 2007/Returned for modification 30 September 2007/Accepted 19 December 2007

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) tropism can be assessed using phenotypic assays, but this is quite laborious, expensive, and time-consuming and can be made only in sophisticated laboratories. More accessible albeit reliable tools for testing of HIV-1 tropism are needed in view of the prompt introduction of CCR5 antagonists in clinical practice. Bioinformatics tools based on V3 sequences might help to predict HIV-1 tropism; however, most of these methods have been designed by taking only genetic information derived from HIV-1 subtype B into consideration. The aim of this study was to evaluate the performances of several genotypic tools to predict HIV-1 tropism in non-B subtypes, as data on this issue are scarce. Plasma samples were tested using a new phenotypic tropism assay (Phenoscript-tropism; Eurofins), and results were compared with estimates of coreceptor usage using eight different genotypic predictor softwares (Support Vector Machine [SVM], C4.5, C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only, PART, Charge Rule, geno2pheno coreceptor, Position-Specific Scoring Matrix X4R5 [PSSM<sub>X4R5</sub>], and PSSM<sub>sinsi</sub>). A total of 150 samples were tested, with 115 belonging to patients infected with non-B subtypes and 35 drawn from subtype B-infected patients, which were taken as controls. When non-B subtypes were tested, the concordances between the results obtained using the phenotypic assay and distinct genotypic tools were as follows: 78.8% for SVM, 77.5% for C4.5, 82.5% for C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only, 82.5% for PART, 82.5% for Charge Rule, 82.5% for PSSM<sub>X4R5</sub>, 83.8% for PSSM<sub>sinsi</sub>, and 71.3% for geno2pheno. When clade B viruses were tested, the best concordances were seen for PSSM<sub>X4R5</sub> (91.4%), PSSM<sub>sinsi</sub> (88.6%), and geno2pheno (88.6%). The sensitivity for detecting X4 variants was lower for non-B than for B viruses, especially in the case of PSSM<sub>sinsi</sub> (38.4% versus 100%, respectively), SVM<sub>wetcat</sub> (46% versus 100%, respectively), and PART (30% versus 90%, respectively). In summary, while inferences of HIV-1 coreceptor usage using genotypic tools seem to be reliable for clade B viruses, their performances are poor for non-B subtypes, in which they particularly fail to detect X4 variants.

To enter human cells, the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 (HIV-1) gp120 envelope glycoprotein interacts with the cellular CD4 receptor and two main coreceptors, CCR5 and/or CXCR4. Based on the ability to use CCR5 or CXCR4, HIV-1 variants are classified as CCR5 tropic (R5), CXCR4 tropic (X4), or dual-mixed tropic (X4R5) (2). R5 strains are generally responsible for the establishment of early infection, while the use of the CXCR4 coreceptor is generally seen in more advanced disease stages. The emergence of X4 variants has generally been associated with a faster CD4 decline and progression to AIDS (15, 28). In addition to the relationship between coreceptor use and HIV pathogenesis, the interest in HIV tropism has recently been raised because of the introduction of CCR5 antagonists in the HIV armamentarium. Two agents, maraviroc and vicriviroc, are the agents

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Carlos III, Sinesio Delgado 10, 28029 Madrid, Spain. Phone: 34 91 4532500. Fax: 34 91 7336614. E-mail: cmendoza @teleline.es.

within this family in the latest steps of clinical development (25, 36). Since these drugs show activity against R5 viruses only, viral tropism testing should be made before their prescription and eventually during treatment in order to exclude the presence of X4 viruses.

Although there are several methods to determine HIV-1 tropism, it is unclear at the moment which is the most appropriate for routine clinical use (26). Phenotypic assays based on recombinant viruses seem to be the most accurate methods (36); however, these methods are quite laborious and expensive and can be used only in sophisticated facilities. Therefore, more rapid and easier tools are desirable. The HIV-1 envelope V3 region has been shown to be the major determinant of viral tropism (5, 30), and accordingly, prediction of coreceptor usage based on the interpretation of V3 sequences using bioinformatics tools could be a good alternative to infer tropism in the clinical routine. The first genotypic algorithm designed to predict HIV-1 tropism took into account only the charge of amino acids at two key residues located within the V3 loop (amino acids 11 and 25). Estimates using the "11/25 charge rule" are relatively satisfactory (3), but other positions within

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Published ahead of print on 16 January 2008.

	Value for group				
Characteristic	Total	Non-B clades	Clade B	P value	
No. of patients	150	115	35		
% of male patients	69.7	66.66	80.64	0.136	
Median age (yr) (IQR)	39 (34-45.75)	38 (32–44)	42 (32–48)	0.003	
Median plasma HIV RNA copies/ml (IQR)	4.39 (3.64-4.91)	4.42 (3.58-4.91)	4.37 (3.91-4.75)	0.880	
Median CD4 count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ) (IQR)	300 (144–440)	304 (168–459.5)	262.5 (60-394)	0.096	
% CD4 count of $<200 \text{ cells/mm}^3$	32.4	28.6	44.1	0.093	
% of treatment-experienced patients	61.4	54.3	82.9	0.003	
% X4/X4R5 dual-tropic viruses	18.9	14.9	28.6	0.123	

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population

the V3 region are currently known to influence viral tropism as well, causing occasional disagreements (9, 11, 26). For this reason, most current genotypic bioinformatics tools consider the entire V3 sequence (27). Moreover, positions outside the V3 loop may also influence viral tropism (21). More importantly, most genotypic predictors have been designed based on the genetic characteristics of HIV-1 clade B (25, 33). Since non-B subtypes show a wide genetic variability in the V3 region and since X4 viruses might be more prevalent in some clades than others (1, 17, 22, 34, 35), there is an urgent need to know the reliability of genotypic tools for inferring HIV-1 tropism in non-B subtypes, especially in regions where these HIV-1 variants are quite prevalent and may soon have access to CCR5 antagonists.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the concordance between eight distinct bioinformatics tools to estimate HIV-1 coreceptor usage by taking the results of a phenotypic tropism assay in a relatively large population of patients infected with non-B subtypes as a reference.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study population.** Stored plasma specimens from HIV-1-positive patients known to be infected with non-B subtypes based on reverse transcriptase (RT) and protease (PR) sequences along the *pol* gene were identified at three HIV clinics located in Spain: Hospital Carlos III in Madrid, Hospital Clinico San Cecilio in Granada, and Hospital de Conxo—CHUS in Santiago de Compostela. As controls, stored plasma samples matching the same years of collection but derived from patients infected with clade B viruses were selected in these clinics. Demographic information as well as viral load, CD4 counts, and antiretroviral treatment exposure were recorded at the time of analysis. HIV-1 subtyping had been determined in all samples by phylogenetic analyses of the RT/PR genes using the PHYLIP software package (version 3.5c; J. Felsenstein, University of Washington, Seattle, WA). Evolutionary distances were estimated with Dnadist (Kimura two-parameter method), and phylogenetic relationships were determined by Neighbor (neighbor-joining method). The branch reproducibility of trees was evaluated using Seqbot (1,000 replicates) and Consense.

**HIV-1 coreceptor usage.** Phenotypic coreceptor usage was determined using the Phenoscript-tropism assay (Eurofins, Kalamazoo, MI). Briefly, amplification of HIV-1 envelope sequences derived from plasma was initially carried out, followed by homologous recombination between *env* amplicons and a deleted NL43 plasmid of the corresponding region during cotransfection of producer cells. Recombinant viruses were used to infect indicator cells expressing CCR5 or CXCR4, besides CD4, and carrying the *lacZ* gene under control of the viral long terminal repeat. The specificity of the infection was assessed in each assay by incubation of indicator cells in the presence and absence of coreceptor inhibitors and five controls. The sensitivity of detection of minor quasispecies and the specificity of the Phenoscript-tropism assay were previously reported for clinical specimens with different viral loads and different HIV-1 non-B variants (29, 33).

Inference of viral tropism using genotypic tools was carried out in parallel in the same plasma specimens. V3 sequences were amplified by nested PCR using E80 and E105 as outer primers and ES7 and E125 as inner primers; primers and PCR conditions were previously described (7, 26). Sequences were edited with SeqScape v2.5 (Applied Biosystems). Nucleotide mixtures were considered if the second highest peak in the electropherogram was  $\geq$ 25%. Codons containing mixtures were translated into every possible amino acid, and for each sample, all possible combinations of V3 amino acid sequences were considered for further analyses. The V3 sequences generated were then interpreted according to eight different genotypic predictors available at three Web pages: C4.5, C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only, PART, SVM, and Charge Rule (http://genomiac2.ucsd .edu:8080/wetcat/v3.html) (23); PSSM<sub>X4R5</sub> and PSSM<sub>sinsi</sub> (http://ubik.microbiol .washington.edu/computing/pssm/) (12, 13); and geno2pheno coreceptor (http: //coreceptor.bioinf.mpi-sb.mpg.de/cgi-bin/coreceptor.pl) (32). webPSSM may produce predictions based on subtype B or C (13). In our study, we used predictions based on subtype B orly. For the geno2pheno website, the maximum sensitivity value for recognizing X4 variants was chosen for predictions. In all cases, HIV-1 variants were classified as being R5 or X4 tropic, with the latter including both pure X4 and dual-mixed X4R5 variants.

Statistical analysis. Results were given as percentages or median values with their interquartile ranges (IQR). Comparisons were performed using nonparametric or chi-square tests. Logistic regression models were used for multivariate analyses. All reported P values were two sided and were considered to be significant only when P values were below 0.05. All data were analyzed using SPSS 13.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

## RESULTS

A total of 150 plasma specimens collected from HIV-1infected individuals with enough volume available were identified at three sites in Spain. Of them, 115 belonged to subjects infected with non-B subtypes and 35 belonged to patients infected with clade B viruses. The main baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. Overall, 69.7% were male and 61.4% were antiretrovirally experienced patients. The median viral load value was 4.4 log HIV RNA copies/ml, and the median CD4 count was 300 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. The proportion of treatment-experienced patients was significantly higher in the group infected with clade B than in the group infected with non-B viruses (82.9% versus 54.3%, respectively; P = 0.003). Moreover, treatment-experienced patients had significantly lower CD4 counts (271 versus 364 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, respectively; P = 0.012) and lower plasma HIV RNA levels (4.33) versus 4.54 log copies/ml, respectively; P = 0.033) than did drug-naïve subjects. HIV-1 clade distribution was as follows: 13 A (8.7%), 35 B (23.3%), 13 C (8.7%), 7 D (4.7%), 4 F (2.7%), 24 G (16%), 2 H (1.3%), 2 J (1.3%), 7 CRF01 AE (4.7%), 24 CRF02 AG (16%), 3 CRF11 (2%), 4 CRF12 BF (2.7%), 3 CRF14 BG (2%), 8 URF (5.5%), and 1 undetermined (0.7%).

The phenotypic assay could be successfully performed for 122 (81.3%) specimens, while results using the genotypic tools could be obtained from 130 (86.7%) specimens. Paired phenotypic and genotypic results were available for 115 samples, 80 belonging to non-B subtypes (69.5%) and all 35 clade B

	Total			Clade B			Non-B clades		
Genotypic tools	Concordance (%)	X4/R5 discordance (%)	R5/X4 discordance (%)	Concordance (%)	X4/R5 discordance (%)	R5/X4 discordance (%)	Concordance (%)	X4/R5 discordance (%)	R5/X4 discordance (%)
SVMwetcat	80.9	6.1	13	85.7	0	14.3	78.8	8.8	12.5
C4.5	79.1	13	7.8	82.9	14.3	2.9	77.5	12.5	10
C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only	81.7	14.8	3.5	80	20	0	82.5	12.5	5
PART	82.6	8.7	8.7	82.9	2.9	14.3	82.5	11.3	6.3
Charge Rule	83.5	10.4	6.1	85.7	8.6	5.7	82.5	11.3	6.3
PSSM <sub>X4P5</sub>	85.2	6.1	8.7	91.4	2.9	5.7	82.5	7.5	10
PSSM	85.2	7	7.8	88.6	0	11.4	83.2	10	6.3
geno2pheno	76.5	5.2	18.3	88.6	2.9	8.6	71.3	6.3	22.5

TABLE 2. Concordance between results of HIV-1 tropism obtained using genotypic tools and a reference phenotypic assay<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> For X4/R5 discordance, Phenoscript-tropism determines X4 dual tropism, while the bioinformatic tool predicts R5 tropism. For R5/X4 discordance, Phenoscript-tropism determines R5 tropism, while the bioinformatic tool predicts X4 dual tropism.

specimens. Failure of amplification was the main reason for the lack of results for non-B subtypes, most likely due to the high genetic variability within the envelope region in these variants.

Some differences in coreceptor usage based on the phenotypic information, considering baseline characteristics of the study population, were found. Overall, 18.9% of samples had X4 or X4R5 dual-tropic viruses. There were no significant differences in coreceptor usage when non-B and B samples were compared (14.9% versus 28.6%, respectively; P = 0.123). However, a higher prevalence of X4 variants was observed in subjects with CD4 counts below 200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> than in those with higher CD4 counts (32.4% versus 14.7%, respectively; P = 0.045). X4 variants also tended to be more prevalent in treatment-experienced than in drug-naïve patients (26.5% versus 10.6%, respectively; P = 0.056). In the multivariate analysis, a 3.6-fold-higher probability of X4 viruses was recognized for patients with CD4 counts below 200 cells/mm3 regardless of treatment exposure and HIV-1 clade (P = 0.012) [odds ratio = 3.6; 95% confidence interval, 1.33 to 9.82]. Consideration of each of the different HIV-1 subtypes separately did not provide any remarkable finding, although numbers were too low for most non-B subtypes tested.

**Reliability of genotypic tools to predict coreceptor usage.** The overall concordance between the results obtained using the distinct genotypic tools taking the phenotypic results as a reference was always over 76%. Overall, genotypic tools performed slightly better in testing clade B than non-B viruses (mean concordance of 85.7% versus 80.2%, respectively). For testing of subtype B samples, the most accurate bioinformatics tools were  $PSSM_{x4R5}$  (91.4%),  $PSSM_{sinsi}$  (88.6%), and geno2pheno (88.6%), while the best concordance for testing non-B variants was seen with  $PSSM_{sinsi}$  (83.8%), which was closely followed by Charge Rule, PART, C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only, and  $PSSM_{sinsi}$ , with a concordance of 82.5% for each one. Overall, no significant differences in concordance were found by comparing B and non-B groups, although the most remarkable difference was found using geno2pheno (88.6% versus 71.3%, respectively; P = 0.056) (Table 2).

SVM,  $PSSM_{R5X4}$ ,  $PSSM_{sinsi}$ , and geno2pheno discordances generally resulted in an overestimation of X4 viruses, while other bioinformatics tools tended to underestimate X4 viruses more frequently. Geno2pheno was the genotypic predictor more prone to overestimation of X4 tropism (up to 22.5% in non-B samples), and C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only was the genotypic tool that more frequently underestimated X4 (14.8% of all samples). Of note, a careful examination of subjects with discordances did not reveal that disagreements between phenotypic and genotypic tools could be attributed to differences in patient characteristics such as viral load, CD4 counts, or antiretroviral treatment exposure.

The sensitivities and specificities for detecting X4 variants using distinct genotypic tools are summarized in Table 3. All genotypic tools showed a higher sensitivity for testing of clade B than non-B viruses, while the specificity differed according to the method used. Of note, while geno2pheno showed a good sensitivity for inferring X4 tropism for clade B viruses, its

TABLE 3. Sensitivities and specificities of distinct bioinformatics tools to predict X4 tropism using the results obtained using a phenotypic assay as a reference

Bioinformatics tool		Sensitivity (%	(o)		Specificity (9	6)
	Total	B clade	Non-B clades	Total	B clade	Non-B clades
SVM <sub>wetcat</sub>	69	100	46	83	80	85
C4.5	34	50	23	90	96	88
C4.5 with positions 8 to 12 only	26	30	23	95	100	94
PART	56	90	30	89	80	92
Charge Rule	47	70	30	92	92	92
PSSM <sub>×4D5</sub>	69	90	53	89	92	88
PSSM	62.5	100	38.4	91.2	84	92.5
geno2pheno	74	90	61	77	88	73

specificity was the worst for non-B subtypes. An overestimation of X4 viruses, mainly for non-B subtypes, was the main reason for this behavior.

# DISCUSSION

The imminent approval of CCR5 antagonists for the treatment of HIV-1 infection has significantly raised interest in viral tropism. In general, coreceptor usage must be known before these drugs are prescribed. While phenotypic tests are considered to be the "gold standard," they are laborious and costly and require sophisticated facilities and experienced personnel. Genotypic predictors of HIV-1 tropism have been developed to circumvent these disadvantages; however, the high genetic variability of HIV-1 may represent a serious obstacle to ensure their reliability. In addition to these diagnostic obstacles, it is important to better understand HIV pathogenesis and explain why some HIV-1 clades seem to be more frequently X4 tropic than others, as recently claimed for subtypes A, D, and CRF14 BG (1, 10, 14, 17, 22), since patients infected with these variants might progress faster to AIDS and will benefit less from CCR5 antagonists.

In this study, we evaluated the prevalence of X4 or X4R5 dual-tropic viruses in a relatively large population of non-B HIV-1 clinical specimens. Up to 19% of these individuals carried X4/X4R5 dual-tropic viruses, with no significant differences with a control group of patients infected with clade B viruses. In other studies that included a larger number of samples from clades C and D, it was noted that clade C might less frequently harbor X4 viruses, even in late disease stages (8, 16, 20, 24, 31), while conversely, clade D could be X4 tropic more frequently (10, 14). The small number of samples with these variants in our study precluded the examination of this aspect more appropriately.

A significantly higher prevalence of X4 and X4/R5 dualtropic viruses was found in patients with lower CD4 counts, which is in agreement with previously reported observations and with the notion that these HIV-1 variants tend to be selected as immunodeficiency progresses (3, 14, 15, 31). A higher prevalence of X4/X4R5 viruses also tended to be associated with prior exposure to antiretroviral therapy, which is also in agreement with previously reported observational data from cross-sectional surveys (11, 19).

The accuracy of genotypic tools to assess viral tropism based on V3 sequences was previously examined mostly in samples collected from patients infected with clade B viruses, using phenotypic tests as a comparison (4, 18, 26). To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the performances of various genotypic bioinformatics tools to predict HIV-1 tropism in non-B subtypes using the results obtained with a phenotypic test as a reference. In the present study, we have sequenced the V3 region for the bulk viral population. Moreover, we also considered minority species by assessing nucleotide mixtures in the electropherogram. Molecular cloning of each sample seems to be the best method to detect mixed populations, but it is much more complicated, and it seems to produce very similar results (6).

By comparing the results obtained using genotypic tools and the phenotypic assay in the whole population, there was a relatively good concordance (mean of 81.3%, ranging from 76% using geno2pheno to 85.5% using the charge rule). Most genotypic algorithms, however, performed better for testing clade B than non-B viruses. The most pronounced differences were found using PSSM and geno2pheno, most likely because they are designed exclusively based on clade B strains, while the wetcat system has been built with both B and non-B sequences.

Considering that the main application for the V3 genotypic algorithms in clinical settings will be for excluding the presence of X4 or X4R5 dual-tropic variants in patients in whom CCR5 antagonists might be considered as potential therapy, it is mandatory to know the sensitivity and specificity of each of these genotypic tools precisely. Our results showed that the specificity was above 80% when either B or non-B variants were tested by all the different genotypic tools except geno2pheno (77%). Moreover, geno2pheno showed the highest differences when B and non-B samples were compared, obtaining a specificity of 73% for non-B and 88% for B specimens. However, the sensitivity for the detection of X4 variants differed substantially when distinct genotypic tools were used according to HIV-1 subtype. The sensitivities of SVM and PSSM<sub>sinsi</sub> were 100% for clade B viruses, while they were only 46% and 38.4%, respectively, for non-B clades. The highest sensitivity for recognizing X4 viruses when testing non-B subtypes was seen for geno2pheno, although it was only 61%, which may be considered to be unacceptable for clinical use to replace phenotypic tests.

This study has several limitations. First, although the entire set of non-B subtypes examined included a relatively large number of clinical samples, some HIV-1 clades were not or only scarcely represented. Second, only one phenotypic assay was used as a reference, and disagreement between distinct phenotypic tests for determining HIV-1 coreceptor usage in pairwise plasma samples has recently been highlighted, being of up to 15% in one recent study (33). Third, phenotypic and genotypic results could not be obtained from 14 to 19% of specimens belonging to patients infected with non-B subtypes, and therefore, the concordance between phenotypic and genotypic tropism results could have been slightly overestimated.

In conclusion, estimations of HIV-1 tropism using bioinformatics tools based on V3 sequences are better for testing clade B than non-B viruses. Moreover, the sensitivity for detecting X4 variants differed substantially according to HIV-1 subtype. In light of their low sensitivity, it should be advisable not to rely on genotypic tools to exclude X4 variants in patients infected with non-B subtypes.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by grants from the Fundación Investigación y Educación en SIDA, Red de Investigación en SIDA (ISCIII-RETIC RD06/006), the European NEAT Network, Agencia Lain Entralgo, and Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias projects CP06/ 00284 and PI06/1826.

### REFERENCES

- Andreoletti, L., K. Skrabal, V. Perrin, N. Chomont, S. Saragosti, G. Gresenguet, H. Moret, J. Jacques, J. D. D. Longo, M. Matta, F. Mammano, and L. Belec. 2007. Genetic and phenotypic features of blood and genital viral populations of clinically asymptomatic and antiretroviral-treatment-naïve clade A human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1838–1842.
- 2. Berger, E., R. Doms, E. Fenyo, B. Korber, D. Littman, J. Moore, Q. Satten-

tau, H. Schuitemaker, J. Sodroski, and R. Weiss. 1998. A new classification for HIV-1. Nature **391**:240.

- Brumme, Z., J. Goodrich, H. Mayer, C. Brumme, B. Henrick, B. Wynhoven, J. Asselin, P. Cheung, R. Hogg, J. Montaner, and P. Harrigan. 2005. Molecular and clinical epidemiology of CXCR4-using HIV-1 in a large population of antiretroviral-naive individuals. J. Infect. Dis. 192:466–474.
- 4. Chueca, N., M. Alvarez, M. Casañas, E. Poveda, C. Garrido, V. Guillot, N. Zahonero, V. Soriano, C. de Mendoza, and F. García. 2007. A comparison of 7 different tools available to predict coreceptor usage based on V3 genetic sequences, abstr. 53. Abstr. 5th Eur. HIV Drug Resist. Wkshp., Cascais, Portugal.
- Cormier, E., and T. Dragic. 2002. The crown and stem of the V3 loop play distinct roles in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope glycoprotein interactions with the CCR5 coreceptor. J. Virol. 76:8953–8957.
- Delobel, P., M. T. Nugeyre, M. Cazabat, C. Pasquier, B. Marchou, P. Massip, F. Barre-Sinoussi, N. Israel, and J. Izopet. 2007. Population-based sequencing of the V3 region of *env* for predicting the coreceptor usage of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 quasispecies. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45: 1572–1580.
- de Mendoza, C., C. Rodriguez, F. Garcia, J. M. Eiros, L. Ruiz, E. Caballero, A. Aguilera, P. Leiva, J. Colomina, F. Gutierrez, J. del Romero, J. Aguero, V. Soriano, and the Spanish HIV Seroconverter Study Group. 2007. Prevalence of X4 tropic viruses in patients recently infected with HIV-1 and lack of association with transmission of drug resistance. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59:698–704.
- Holm-Hansen, C., E. Baan, B. Asjo, F. Pascu, J. Goudsmit, and J. De Jong. 2000. Determinants for the syncytium-inducing phenotype of HIV-1 subtype F isolates are located in the V3 region. AIDS Res. Hum. Retrovir. 16:867– 870.
- Holm-Hansen, C., D. Grothues, S. Rustad, B. Rosok, F. Pascu, and B. Asjo. 1995. Characterization of HIV type 1 from Romanian children: lack of correlation between V3 loop amino acid sequence and syncytium formation in MT-2 cells. AIDS Res. Hum. Retrovir. 11:597–603.
- Huang, W., S. Eshleman, J. Toma, S. Fransen, E. Stawiski, E. Paxinos, J. Whitcomb, A. Young, D. Donnell, F. Mmiro, P. Musoke, L. Guay, J. Jackson, N. Parkin, and C. Petropoulos. 2007. Co-receptor tropism in subtype D HIV-1: high prevalence of CXCR4 tropism and heterogeneous composition of viral populations. J. Virol. 81:7885–7893.
- Hunt, P., P. Harrigan, W. Huang, M. Bates, D. Williamson, J. McCune, R. Price, S. Spudich, H. Lampiris, R. Hoh, T. Leigler, J. Martin, and S. Deeks. 2006. Prevalence of CXCR4 tropism among antiretroviral-treated HIV-1infected patients with detectable viremia. J. Infect. Dis. 194:926–930.
- Jensen, M., F. Li, A. van't Wout, D. Nickle, D. Shriner, H. He, and S. McLaughlin. 2003. Improved coreceptor usage prediction and genotypic monitoring of R5-to-X4 transition by motif analysis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 *env* V3 loop sequences. J. Virol. 77:13376–13388.
- Jensen, M., M. Coetzer, A. van't Wout, L. Morris, and J. Mullins. 2006. A reliable phenotype predictor for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 subtype C based on envelope V3 sequences. J. Virol. 80:4698–4704.
- 14. Kaleebu, P., I. Nankya, D. Yirrell, L. Shafer, J. Kyosiimire-Lugemwa, D. Lule, D. Morgan, S. Beddows, J. Weber, and J. Whitworth. 2007. Relation between chemokine receptor use, disease stage, and HIV-1 subtypes A and D: results from a rural Ugandan cohort. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 45:28-33.
- Koot, M., I. Keet, A. Vos, R. de Goede, M. Roos, R. Coutinho, F. Miedema, P. Schellekens, and M. Tersmette. 1993. Prognostic value of HIV-1 syncytiuminducing phenotype for rate of CD4+ cell depletion and progression to AIDS. Ann. Intern. Med. 118:681–688.
- Kuiken, C., J. de Jong, E. Baan, W. Keulen, M. Tersmette, and J. Goudsmit. 1992. Evolution of the V3 envelope domain in proviral sequences and isolates of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 during transition of the viral biological phenotype. J. Virol. 66:4622–4627.
- Laeyendecker, O., X. Li, M. Arroyo, F. McCutchan, R. Gray, M. Wawer, D. Serwadda, F. Nalugoda, G. Kigozi, T. Quinn, and the Rakai Health Science Program. 2006. The effect of HIV subtype on rapid progression in Rakai, Uganda, abstr. 44LB. Abstr. 13th Conf. Retrovir. Opportun. Infect., Denver, CO.
- Low, A., D. Chan, W. Dong, T. Sing, R. Swanstrom, M. Jensen, S. Pillai, B. Good, V. Dias Lima, and P. Harrigan. 2007. Current implementations of HIV genotyping algorithms are inadequate for the prediction of X4 core-

ceptor usage in clinical isolates from population-based V3 sequences: approaches to improvement. Antivir. Ther. **12:**S164.

- Moyle, G., A. Wildfire, S. Mandalia, H. Mayer, J. Goodrich, J. Whitcomb, and B. Gazzard. 2005. Epidemiology and predictive factors for chemokine receptor use in HIV-1 infection. J. Infect. Dis. 191:866–872.
- Ndung'u, T., E. Sepako, M. McLane, F. Chand, K. Bedi, S. Gaseitsiwe, F. Doualla-Bell, T. Peter, I. Thior, S. Moyo, P. Gilbert, V. Novitsky, and M. Essex. 2006. HIV-1 subtype C in vitro growth and coreceptor utilization. Virology 347:247–260.
- Pastore, C., R. Nedellec, A. Ramos, S. Pontow, L. Ratner, and D. Mosier. 2006. HIV type 1 coreceptor switching: V1/V2 gain-of-fitness mutations compensate for V3 loss-of-fitness mutations. J. Virol. 80:750–758.
- 22. Pérez-Alvarez, L., M. Muñoz, E. Delgado, C. Miralles, A. Ocampo, V. Garcia, M. Thomson, G. Contreras, R. Nájera, and the Spanish group for Antiretroviral Resistance Studies in Galicia. 2006. Isolation and biological characterization of HIV-1 BG intersubtype recombinants and other genetic forms circulating in Galicia Spain. J. Med. Virol. 78:1520–1528.
- Pillai, S., B. Good, D. Richman, and J. Corbeil. 2003. A new perspective on V3 phenotype prediction. AIDS Res. Hum. Retrovir. 19:145–149.
- 24. Ping, L., J. Nelson, I. Hoffman, J. Schock, S. Lamers, M. Goodman, P. Vernazza, P. Kazembe, M. Maida, D. Zimba, M. Goodenow, J. Eron, S. Fiscus, M. Cohen, and R. Swanstrom. 1999. Characterization of V3 sequence heterogeneity in subtype C human immunodeficiency virus type 1 isolates from Malawi: underepresentation of X4 variants. J. Virol. 73:6271–6281.
- Poveda, E., V. Briz, M. Quiñones-Mateu, and V. Soriano. 2006. HIV tropism: diagnostic tools and implications for disease progression and treatment with entry inhibitors. AIDS 20:1359–1367.
- Poveda, E., V. Briz, V. Roulet, M. González, J. L. Faudon, K. Skrabal, M. González, and V. Soriano. 2007. Correlation between a phenotypic assay and three bioinformatic tools for determining HIV co-receptor use. AIDS 21: 1487–1490.
- Resch, W., N. Hoffman, and R. Swanstrom. 2001. Improved success of phenotype prediction of the HIV type 1 from envelope variable loop 3 sequence using neural networks. Virology 288:51–62.
- Richman, D., and S. Bozzette. 1994. The impact of the syncytium-inducing phenotype of HIV on disease progression. J. Infect. Dis. 169:968–974.
- Roulet, V., S. Rochas, J. L. Labernardiere, F. Mammano, J. L. Faudon, N. Raja, S. Lebel-Binay, and K. Skabal. 2007. HIV PHENOSCRIPT ENV: a sensitive assay for the detection of HIV X4 minority species and determination of non-B subtype viral tropism, abstr. 617. Abstr. 14th Conf. Retrovir. Opportun. Infect., Los Angeles, CA.
- Sander, O., T. Sing, I. Sommer, A. Low, P. Cheung, P. Harrigan, T. Lengauer, and F. Domingues. 2007. Structural descriptors of gp120 V3 loop for the prediction of HIV-1 coreceptor usage. PLoS Comput. Biol. 3:e58.
- 31. Schuitemaker, H., M. Koot, N. Kootstra, M. Dercksen, R. de Goede, R. van Steenwijk, J. Lange, J. Schattenkerk, F. Miedema, and M. Tersmette. 1992. Biological phenotype of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 clones at different stages of infection: progression of disease is associated with a shift from monocytotropic to T-cell-tropic virus population. J. Virol. 66:1354– 1360.
- 32. Sing, T., N. Beerenwinkel, and T. Lengauer. 2004. Learning mixtures of localized rules by maximizing the area under the ROC curve, p. 89–96. *In* J. Hernández-Orallo, N. Lachiche, and P. Flach (ed.), The ROCAI-2004 International Workshop on ROC Analysis in Artificial Intelligence, Valencia, Spain.
- 33. Skrabal, K., A. Low, W. Dong, T. Sing, P. Cheung, F. Mammano, and P. Harrigan. 2007. Determining human immunodeficiency virus coreceptor use in a clinical setting: degree of correlation between two phenotypic assays and a bioinformatic model. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:279–284.
- Tscherning, C., A. Alaeus, R. Fredriksson, A. Bjorndal, H. Deng, D. Littman, E. Fenyo, and J. Albert. 1998. Differences in chemokine coreceptor usage between genetic subtypes of HIV-1. Virology 241:181–188.
- 35. Tscherning-Casper, C., D. Vödrös, E. Menu, K. Aperia, R. Fredriksson, G. Dolcini, G. Chaouat, F. Barré-Sinoussi, J. Albert, E. Fenyö, et al. 2000. Coreceptor usage of HIV-1 isolates representing different genetic subtypes obtained from pregnant Cameroonian women. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 24:1–9.
- Weber, J., H. Piontkivska, and M. Quinones-Mateu. 2006. HIV type 1 tropism and inhibitors of viral entry: clinical implications. AIDS Rev. 8:60–77.