ENSEMBLE LEARNING USING MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMISATION FOR ARABIC HANDWRITTEN WORDS

HAITHAM QUTAIBA GHADHBAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy in Information Technology

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

DECEMBER 2021

DEDICATION

My utmost thanks and gratitude must first be offered to Almighty Allah for all his blessings, and in granting me good health throughout the duration of this research.

To my dear father and my beloved mother. The source of my strength, and the pillar of my success, whose unconditional and unlimited love, encouragement, prayers and supportmade me reach to this point.

Lurent. AMMARINA PERPUSTAKAAN To my dear brother and sisters, for their unlimited love, care and encouragement.

My sincere and grateful thanks

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful"

Alhamdulillah, first and foremost, praise be Allah, the Cherisher and Sustainer of the World and to the Prophet Muhammad (Peace and Blessings of Allah Be upon Him) who was sent by Allah to be a great teacher to the mankind.

Special thanks to my supervisor, DR. MUHAINI OTHMAN, and to my cosupervisor, ASSOCIATE PROF. DR. NOOR AZAH SAMSUDIN, for their support and guidance, most importantly, for providing me the freedom to pursue my ideas and find my own path in research. Also, I have gained a wealth of experience and knowledge working under their supervision, which will always be my delight to share along my life's journey.



ABSTRACT

Arabic handwriting recognition is a dynamic and stimulating field of study within pattern recognition. This system plays quite a significant part in today's global environment. It is a widespread and computationally costly function due to cursive writing, a massive number of words, and writing style. Based on the literature, the existing features lack data supportive techniques and building geometric features. Most ensemble learning approaches are based on the assumption of linear combination, which is not valid due to differences in data types. Also, the existing approaches of classifier generation do not support decision-making for selecting the most suitable classifier, and it requires enabling multi-objective optimisation to handle these differences in data types. In this thesis, new type of feature for handwriting using Segments Interpolation (SI) to find the best fitting line in each of the windows with a model for finding the best operating point window size for SI features. Multi-Objective Ensemble Oriented (MOEO) formulated to control the classifier topology and provide feedback support for changing the classifiers' topology and weights based on the extension of Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm (NSGA-II). It is designated as the Random Subset based Parents Selection (RSPS-NSGA-II) to handle neurons and accuracy. Evaluation metrics from two perspectives classification and Multiobjective optimization. The experimental design based on two subsets of the IFN/ENIT database. The first one consists of 10 classes (C10) and 22 classes (C22). The features were tested with Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Extreme Learning Machine (ELM). This work improved due to the SI feature. SI shows a significant result with SVM with 88.53% for C22. RSPS for C10 at k=2 achieved 91% accuracy with fewer neurons than NSGA-II, and for C22 at k=10, accuracy has been increased 81% compared to NSGA-II 78%. Future work may consider introducing more features to the system, applying them to other languages, and integrating it with sequence learning for more accuracy.



ABSTRAK

Pengenalan tulisan Arab merupakan bidang yang dinamik dalam teknologi pengecaman corak yang amat signifikan pada zaman globalisasi ini. Fungsi ini semakin berleluasa tetapi berkos tinggi disebabkan faktor-faktor seperti penulisan kursif, kosa kata yang luas, serta gaya penulisan. Ciri-ciri dalam kebanyakan literatur kekurangan teknik sokongan data dan pembinaan ciri geometri. Kebanyakannya berasaskan andaian gabungan linear adalah tidak sah kerana perbezaan antara jenis data serta kesukaran pemilihan pengelas yang paling sesuai. Pembolehan pengoptimuman multi-objektif diperlukan untuk mengawal perbezaan jenis data ini. Tesis ini memperkenalkan jenis ciri yang baru dengan Interpolasi Segment (SI) bagi menentukan garis yang paling tepat dalam setiap window dengan model pencarian saiz window yang paling tepat sebagai titik operasi untuk ciri SI. Ensembel Berorientasi Multi-Objektif (MOEO) dirumuskan untuk mengawal topologi pengelasan dan menyediakan sokongan maklumbalas untuk mengubah topologi dan pemberat berdasarkan sambungan pengoptimuman Penyusunan Genetik yang Tidak Didominasi (NSGA-II). Ia ditetapkan sebagai Pemilihan Ibu Bapa berdasarkan Subset Rawak (RSPS-NSGA-II) bagi menangani bilangan neuron dan ketepatan. Matriks penilaian daripada perspektif klasifikasi dan pengoptimuman multi-objektif. Eksperimen ini direka berdasarkan pangkalan data IFN/ENIT, 10 kelas (C10) dan 22 kelas(C22) yang diuji dengan Mesin Sokongan Vektor (SVM) dan Mesin Pembelajaran Ekstrem (ELM). Peningkatan prestasi ini disebabkan ciri SI yang menunjukkan keputusan signifikan dengan SVM, iaitu 88.53% untuk C22. RSPS untuk C10 pada k=2 mencapai ketepatan 91% dengan lebih kurang bilangan neuron berbanding NSGA-II, manakala C22 pada k=10, ketetapan meningkat ke 81% berbandingkan NSGA-II, 78%. Penyelidikan selanjutnya harus mempertimbangkan pengenalan lebih ciri dalam sistem, dan mengaplikasikan ciri tersebut untuk bahasa lain serta berintegrasi dengan pembelajaran berurutan bagi meningkatkan ketepatan.



CONTENTS

	TITLE		i
	DECLA	ARATION	ii
	DEDIC	iii	
	ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTR	ACT	v
	ABSTR	AK	vi
	CONTI	ENTS	vii
	LIST O	FTABLES	xi
	LIST O	OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST O	OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION	xvi
	LIST O	OF APPENDICES	xix
	LIST O	OF PUBLICATIONS	XX
CHAPTER 1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Research Motivation	6
	1.4	Research Problem	6
	1.5	Research Objectives	9
	1.6	Research Scope	9
	1.7	Research Significance	10
	1.8	Organisation of Thesis	10
CHAPTER 2	LITER	ATURE REVIEW	12
	2.1	Introduction	12
	2.2	Characteristics of Arabic Script	14
	2.3	Application of Arabic Handwriting	16
	2.4	Challenges in Arabic Handwriting	15
	2.5	Nature of Arabic Handwriting Recognition	17

vii

	2.5.1	Online Handwriting Recognition	17
	2.5.2	Offline Handwriting Recognition	19
	2.6	Class Definition Perspective	24
	2.6.1	Character Recognition	25
	2.6.2	Digit Recognition	27
	2.6.3	Word Recognition	29
	2.7	Segmentation Perspective	33
	2.7.1	Segmentation-based Techniques	34
	2.7.2	Segmentation-free Techniques	46
	2.8	Feature Extraction	50
	2.8.1	Statistical and Contour Based	
		Feature (SCF)	52
	2.8.2	Zernike Moments	53
	2.8.3	Chebyshev Moments (CM)	55
	2.9	Classification	56
	2.9.1	Extreme Learning Machine (ELM)	57 A
	2.9.2	Support Vector Machine (SVM)	60
	2.9.3	Discussion	64
	2.10	Ensemble Learning	65
	2.10.1	Single Objective Optimisation	65
	2.10.2	Multi Objective Optimisation	73
	2.11	Non-dominated Sorting Genetic	
		Algorithm II (NSGA-II)	78
	2.12	Related Work	89
	2.13	Discussion	93
	2.14	Chapter Summary	95
CHAPTER 3	RESEAI	RCH METHODOLOGY	97
	3.1	Introduction	97
	3.2	Feature Design	98
	3.2.1	The proposed Segments Interpolation	99
	3.2.2	Zernike Moments	105
	3.2.3	Chebyshev Moments	107
	3.2.4	Statistical Counter Features	108
	3.3	Feature Normalisation	111
	3.4	Classification	112

	3.4.1	Support Vector machine (SVM)	112
	3.4.2	Extreme Learning Machine (ELM)	114
	3.5	Ensemble learning Framework for Single Objective	116
	3.6	Multi-Objective Ensemble-Oriented	110
	361	tramework MOEO of ELM Non-Dominate Sorting Operator	118
	3.6.2	Crowding Distance Operator	122
	3.7	IFN/ENIT Dataset	124
	3.8	Evaluation Metrics and Experimental	
	3.8.1	Design Evaluation Metrics	126 126
	3.8.1.1	Accuracy	127
	3.8.1.2	Precision	127
	3.8.1.3	Recall	127
	3.8.1.4	F-measure	127
	3.8.2	Experimental Design	128
	3.8.3	Matlab Software	130
	3.9	Chapter Summary	131
CHAPTER A	RANDC	M SUR-SET BASED PARENTS	
CHAITER 4			
CHAITER 4	SELEC'	TION Introduction	132 132
CHATTER 4	SELEC 4.1 4.2	TION Introduction Problem Formulation	132 132 132
CHATTER 4	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection	132 132 132
	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II	132 132 132 132
PERP	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics	132 132 132 134 135
PERP	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage	 132 132 132 134 135 136
PERP	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume	132 132 132 134 135 136 136
PERP	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions	132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136
PERP	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design	132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136
PERP	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary	 132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136 136 141
CHAPTER 5	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6 EXPER	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary IMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	 132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136 141 142
CHAPTER 5	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6 EXPER 5.1	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary IMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction	 132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136 141 142 142
CHAPTER 5	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6 EXPER 5.1 5.2	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary IMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction Evaluation of Segments Interpolation	 132 132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136 141 142 142
CHAPTER 5	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6 EXPER 5.1 5.2	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary IMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction Evaluation of Segments Interpolation SI Features	 132 132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136 141 142 142 142
CHAPTER 5	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6 EXPER 5.1 5.2 5.3	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary IMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction Evaluation of Segments Interpolation SI Features Comparison	 132 132 132 133 134 135 136 136 136 136 136 141 142 142 142 142 147
CHAPTER 5	SELEC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 4.5 4.6 EXPER 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	TION Introduction Problem Formulation Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection RSPS-NSGA-II Evaluation Metrics Set Coverage Hyper Volume Number of Non-Dominated Solutions Experimental Design Chapter Summary IMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Introduction Evaluation of Segments Interpolation SI Features Comparison The Traditional Ensemble Learning	 132 132 132 134 135 136 136 136 136 136 141 142 142 142 142 147 148

	5.6	Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection	
		RSPS-NSGA-II	155
	5.7	Pareto Front	156
	5.7.1	Pareto Front for C10	156
	5.7.2	Pareto Front for C22	159
	5.8	Set Coverage and Hyper-Volume	161
	5.8.1	Set Coverage and Hyper-Volume	
		for C10	161
	5.8.1	Set Coverage and Hyper-Volume	
		for C22	165
	5.9	Number of Non-Dominated Solutions NDS	168
	5.9.1	Number of Non-Dominated	
		Solutions for C10	168
	5.9.2	Number of Non-Dominated	
		Solutions for C22	170
	5.10	Summarised Results	172
	5.11	Chapter Summary	172
CHAPTER 6	CONCL	USION	174
	6.1	Research Summary	174
	6.2	Research Contributions	175
	6.3	Limitations and Future Work	177
	REFERI	ENCES	178
	APPENI	DICES	201
	VITA		245

x

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Arabic language alphabets	15
2.2	Summary the major datasets for online Arabic	10
2.3	Summary of results for offline handwriting	19
	recognition	22
2.4	Brief descriptions of Arabic offline handwriting	22
2.5	Summary results for Arabic character recognition	25 25
2.6	Summary results for digit recognition	28
2.7	Brief description of recent Arabic handwriting	
20	word recognition	32
2.0	segmentation	40
2.9	Summary of the recent studies that based on	
2.10	segmentation free	48 52
2.10	Drief description of feature extraction techniques	52
2.11	Bher description of feature extraction SCF	55
2.12	Summary of feature extraction utilises Zernike	54
2.13	Brief description of feature extraction utilises	54
	Chebyshev moment	56
2.14	Summary of results extreme learning machine	58
2.15	Summary of results support vector machine	62
2.16	Summary of recent studies that based on ensemble	
2 17	learning	70 85
2.17	Summary of recent studies that based on NSGA-II	85 02
2.18	Related work studies of Arabic handwriting recognition	92
3.1	Variety handwriting styles	124
3.2	Statistics of IFN/ENIT	124
3.3	Summary of dataset	125
3.4	Examples of Arabic words in dataset C10	126



3.5	The parameters that are used for feature extraction	128
3.6	The parameters that are used for classifiers	130
4.1	RSPS-NSGA-II parameters	136
5.1	Validation accuracy for C10 SI features	143
5.2	Validation accuracy for C22 SI features	143
5.3	The classification results of SI features based on SVM classifier with three types of kernels	144
5.4	The classification results of SI features based on ELM classifier with five activation function The input and output examples of SI	145 146
5.6	Comparison between SL and other methods for SVM	110
5.0	classifier	147
5.7	Comparison between SI and other methods for	1.10
58	ELM classifier The setting parameters of ensemble learning framework	148
5.0	Populted accuracy of traditional ansamble	140
5.9	learning framework for C10 and C22	149
5.10	Statistic accuracy of Segments Interpolation with	
7 11	SVM for C10	153
5.11	Statistic accuracy of Segments Interpolation with ELM for C10	153
5.12	Statistic accuracy of Segments Interpolation with	100
	SVM for C22	154
5.13	Statistic accuracy of Segments Interpolation with ELM for C22	155
5.14	Descriptive statistics for Pareto Front benchmark C10	158
5 15	Descriptive statistics for Pareto Front RSPS C10	158
5.16	Descriptive statistics for Pareto Front benchmark C22	160
5.17	Descriptive statistics for Pareto Front RSPS C22	161
5.18	Overall results of RSPS and NSGA-II for set coverage	163
0.10	C10	105
5.19	Descriptive statistics for set coverage NSGA-II C10	163
5.20	Descriptive statistics for set coverage RSPS C10	164
5.21	Descriptive statistics for hyper-volume RSPS C10	164
5.22	Overall results of RSPS and NSGA-II for set coverage	166
5.23	C22 Descriptive statistics for set coverage NSGA-II C22	166
5.24	Descriptive statistics for set coverage RSPS C22	167
5.25	Descriptive statistics for hyper-volume RSPS C22	167
5.26	Descriptive statistics for NDS NSGA-II C10	169
5.27	Descriptive statistics for NDS RSPS C10	169
5.28	Descriptive statistics for NDS NSGA-II C22	171
5.29	Descriptive statistics for NDS RSPS C22	171

5.30	Quantitative results of comparison between the	
	framework based on NSGA-II and RSPS	172
5.31	NDS for NSGA-II and RSPS	172

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	(a) Original image (b) recognized text	2
1.2	Illustration of some irregularities present in Arabic handwriting	3
2.1	Taxonomy of Arabic handwriting recognition	13
3.1	General steps of research methodology	98
3.2	Segment Interpolation (SI) Model	99
3.3	Algorithm for SI features extraction	101
3.4	Segments interpolation steps	101
3.5	Visualise two images for same word: (a) The same word was written twice for SI; (b) SI features for the two images	
2.6	that represents the same word (window size=20)	102
5.0 2.7	Ulustrated facture value of one image with different	102
3.7	windows size	103
3.8	Greedy model of selecting the best window size of SI	105
	features	104
3.9	Visualise image with different window size :(a)	
	Handwriting image, (b) SI image with window size 20 and	104
2.10	(c) SI image with window size 12 (a) The same word was written twiss in Zamiles	104
5.10	(a) The same word was written twice in Zernike	106
3.10	(b) Zernike Moments features for the two images that	
	represents the same word	106
3.11	(a) The same word was written twice in Chebyshev	
	moments	107
3.11	(b) Chebyshev Moments features for the two images that	
2 1 2	represents the same word	108
3.12	Algorithm of calculating SCF features	109
3.13	Sliding window of width, height and overlap	110
3.14	Extraction features of SCF (a) Five windows and 2 x 4	110
2.15	blocks per window (b) Distances	110
3.15	(a) The same word was written twice for SCF	110
3.15	(b) SCF features for the two images that represents the	111
3 16	same word FIM model with input hidden and output layers	111
2.10	Ensemble Learning Eremework	110
3.17	Ensemble Learning Framework	110

3.18	General NSGA-II framework	119
3.19	Pareto front	121
3.20	Crowding distance	122
3.21	Framework of multi-objective ensemble-oriented for handwriting recognition of ELM classifiers	123
3.22	The requested form filled by writer	125
3.23	General framework of research methodology	129
4.1	Algorithm of random sub-set based parents selection	134
4.2	The exploitation of K value	135
4.3	Algorithm of select parents	135
4.4	Flowchart of the proposed system RSPS-NSGA-II	138
4.5	Illustrate random neurons and weights	139
4.6	The objective function of RSPS-NSGA-II	140
5.1	The confusion matrix of Segments Interpolation with SVM for C10	150
5.2	The confusion matrix of Segments Interpolation with SVM for C22	151
5.3	The confusion matrix of Segments Interpolation with ELM for C10	151
5.4	The confusion matrix of Segments Interpolation with ELM for C22	152
5.5	Training and Testing Pareto front of RSPS-NSGA-II and NSGA-II for C10 k=2	157
5.6	Training and Testing Pareto front of RSPS-NSGA-II and NSGA-II for C22 k=10	169
5.7	Comparison between RSPS and NSGA for C10 set k=2 coverage and hyper-volume	162
5.8	Comparison between RSPS and NSGA for C22 set k=3 coverage and hyper-volume	165
5.9	Comparison between RSPS and NSGA for NDS C10 k=2	168
5.10	Comparison between RSPS and NSGA for NDS C22 k=2	170

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

OCR	_	Optical Character Recognition
MSA	_	Modern Standard Arabic
SI	_	Segments Interpolation
MOEO	_	Multi-Objective Ensemble-Oriented
NSGA-II	_	Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm-II
RSPS	_	Random Sub-Set based Parents Selection
HMM	_	Hidden Markov Model
GHT	-	Generalised Hough Transform
CNN	-	Convolutional Neural Network
LSTM	-	Long Short-Term Memory
WFST	_	Weighted Finite-State Transducer
PDA	X A	Personal Digital Assistant
RNN	_	Recurrent Neural Network
SVM	_	Support Vector Machine
GRU	_	Gated Recurrent Unit
MCDNN	_	Multi-Column Deep Neural Networks
SIFT	_	Scale Invariant Feature Transform
HOG	_	Histogram of Oriented Gradients
DWT	_	Discrete Wavelet Transform
SCF	_	Statistical and Contour Based Feature
ZER	_	Zernike moments
PCVM	_	Probabilistic Classification Vector Machines
PSI-BLAST	_	Position-Specific Iterated Basic Local
		Alignment Search Tool
FCM	_	Fuzzy C-Means



EER	-	Error Function
СМ	_	Chebyshev moment
FOCM	_	Fractional-Order Chebyshev Moments
FOCMI	_	Fractional-Order Chebyshev Moment Invariants
EEG	_	Electroencephalogram
MLP	_	Multi-Layer Perceptron
ELM	_	Extreme Learning Machine
FFNN	_	Feed Forward Neural Networks
SLFN	_	Single Hidden Layer Feed-forward Neural
		Networks
SHFYN	_	Single Hidden Forward Layer Neural
OIAHCR	_	Offline Isolated Arabic Handwriting Character
		Recognition
FFT	_	Fast Fourier Transform
MR	-	Max Rule
GWAR	-	General Weighted Average Rule
MA	_	Max Average
AMR	-	Average Max Combination
RDA	ΞL	Regularised Discrimination Analysis
DST	<u>F</u>	Dempster-Shafer theory
PSO	_	Particle Swarm Optimisation
GA	_	Genetic Algorithm
GSA	_	Gravitational Search Algorithm
PF	_	Pareto Front
KNN	_	K-Nearest Neighbours
ТР	_	True Positive
TN	_	True Negative
FP	_	False Positive
FN	_	False Negative
NDS	_	Number of Non-Dominated Solution
MOO	_	Multi-Objective Optimisation
TDNN	_	Time Delay Neural Network



ΙΛΙΛΟ		Dogurrant Noural Natwork
KININ	_	Recurrent neural network
DCNN	-	Deep Convolutional Neural Network
MFCC	_	Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients
DBN	_	Deep Belief Network
DRL	_	Deep Reinforcement Learning
DNN	_	Deep Neural Network
NN	_	Nearest Neighbour
ANN	_	Artificial Neural Network
NB	_	Naïve Bayesian
HAS	_	Harmony Search Algorithm
RF	_	Random Forest
W-TSV	_	Weighted Topological Signature Vector
DAG	_	Directed Acyclic Graph
ARG	_	Attributed Relational Graph
RBF	-	Radial Basis Function
DL	-	Deep Learning
PSSM		Position-Specific Scoring Matrix
MPSO	_	Multi-phase Particle Swarm Optimisation
HNB	JA	Hierarchical Naïve Bays
MOP	<u>K</u> r	Multi-Objective Optimisation Problem
NRSGA	_	Non-dominated Rank Sorting Genetic Algorithm
SVR	_	Support Vector Regression
PDIP	_	Primal-Dual Interior-Point
MOLA	_	Multi-Objective Land Allocation



LIST OF APPENDICES

A	The classification results of features extraction based on SVM and ELM classifiers	201
В	The confusion matrix of features extraction with SVM and ELM	207
С	The Statistic accuracy of features extraction with SVM and ELM	214
D	T test and descriptive statistics for Pareto Front	223
E	Training and testing Pareto Front of RSPS-NSGA-II and NSGA-II	228

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Ghadhban, H. Q., Othman, M., Samsudin, N. A., Ismail, M. N. B. & Hammoodi, M. R. (2020). Survey of offline Arabic handwriting word recognition. In *International Conference on Soft Computing and Data Mining* (pp. 358-372). Springer, Cham.
- Ghadhban, H. Q., Othman, M., Samsudin, N., Kasim, S., Mohamed, S. A. & Aljeroudi, Y. (2021). Segments Interpolation Extractor for Finding the Best Fit Line in Arabic Offline Handwriting Recognition Words. *IEEE Access*, 9, 73482-73494.
- 3. Ghadhban, H. Q., Othman, M. & Samsudin, N. A. (2021). analysis of feature extraction and classification for offline arabic handwriting word recognition. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, *16*(3), 2719-2735.



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Handwriting recognition is a dynamic and stimulating field of study within pattern recognition and plays quite a significant part in today's global environment. It is a prevalent and computationally costly function (Yadav, 2015). It is not easy to understand the true meaning of handwritten texts in today's world. There are several aspects where have to identify the letters, words, and digits, such as bank cheques Al-Nuzaili *et al.* (2018), postal addresses Gopikrishna & Samatha (2018), and handwritten form processing Barrus *et al.* (2018) where handwriting recognition is necessary.

A graphical representation of handwritten recognition is available. It refers to converting the spatial and temporal aspects of writing into a symbol that can be recognised both offline Chen *et al.* (2017), and online (Alipour *et al.*, 2016). Optical Character Recognition (OCR) is an associated term, and it means converting text from scanned images, written text, or handwritten text into machine-readable text. Handwriting recognition is limited to the recognition of handwritten text. Figures 1.1 (a) and 1.1 (b) illustrate handwritten words and their corresponding recognised version.

جبل المضيلة حبل المضلة

(a)

Figure 1.1: (a) Original image (b) recognised text

(b)

In the past few decades Bhunia *et al.* (2018), much research has been conducted about handwriting recognition, particularly for the Latin script (Singh, P., 2018). Notably, there are quite good results for machine printed text recognition with over 99% accuracy for the renowned IAM handwritten text dataset for the Latin script (Marti & Bunke, 2003). However, only minor studies have been conducted in Arabic handwritten recognition as against Latin (Lawgali, 2015). Due to the intricacy of Arabic text and poor databases (Alkhateeb, 2015). Recognition of Arabic text is in the initial phases compared to the recognition of Chinese, Latin, and Japanese manuscripts.



Furthermore, there is a big challenge in Arabic writing recognition practices that arise from the data's cursive form. Recognising Arabic handwritten content is quite challenging. This challenge arises from several aspects, like the Arabic writing setup that is cursive, the pen, the writing style, and other elements.

There are few types of research works for unconstrained Arabic handwritten text recognition that has been published (Rabi *et al.*, 2017a). The majority of the studies on Arabic handwriting recognition have tackled isolated character, word or digit, (Jayech *et al.*, 2016a; Younis 2017; Alani, 2017). Hence, there is much research needs to be accomplished in Arabic handwritten text recognition. Academics have found many kinds of challenges in recognising Arabic handwriting (Aloud, 2018). The following section, discuss several of the challenges related to Arabic handwriting recognition.



(a) vertical overlapping of characters





(c) touching words from different lines



(e) touching and broken characters

(g) misplaced dots

(d) confusion in assigning dots/diacritics

(f) disconnected characters

(h) touching diacritics

Figure 1.2: Illustration of some irregularities present in Arabic handwriting (Parvez, 2010)

- The Arabic language is written in cursively forms Rabi *et al.* (2018a), with overlapping characters. Due to these overlapping characters, separation of words in Arabic handwriting is difficult and needs to utilise the contextual information in many cases. Moreover, the overlapping of characters makes the assignment of dots or diacritics a challenging task. As shown in Figure 1.2(a), it is not easy to decide which characters the dots belong to without contextual information.
- Arabic handwriting contains a lot of ligatures (like ^y). Some of these ligatures are optional (like ^l/ح/لح). Ligatures are difficult to segment into component characters and may be treated as different characters.
- Writing styles have a bad effect in some cases. For example, SEEN (----) is sometimes written as a long KASHEDA Figure 1.2(b), making it very difficult

to recognise it without a contextual/dictionary. Other examples include the different writing dots, confusion between double-dots, and madda (~).

- Many Arabic characters have ascenders and descenders characters which means the words, not on the same baseline. Sometimes, ascenders and descenders of words from different lines touch each other Figure 1.2(c). In addition, gaps between the lines on a page may not be uniform and written straight as in the text, which is a general handwriting recognition problem. In many cases, dots/diacritics are written in between two lines. Assigning these dots/diacritics to the correct words requires contextual information Figure 1.2(d). Other cases of irregularities in Arabic handwriting include touching and broken characters within a word Figure 1.2(e), disconnected characters within a word Figure 1.2(g) and touching diacritics Figure 1.2(h).
- There are also some other issues that the researchers in Arabic handwriting recognition have to deal with, for example, difficulties due to the writing process and scanning. Since the scanning process may introduce noise from the scanner bed-page border, these issues and difficulties make Arabic word decomposition into letters a very delicate process and not always ensured (Aloud, 2018). Many approaches and techniques developed for other languages cannot be applied directly to the Arabic script. Therefore, techniques for Arabic handwriting recognition are expected to consider these challenges of Arabic script.

Arabic offline handwriting recognition is a highly important research topic due to different challenges such as the cursive nature of writing, the connectivity between the Arabic letters, the huge number of Arabic words over 12 million, the different styles of writing, and the variation of various factors which makes the problem of recognition of Arabic words hard and challenging (Jemni *et al.*, 2018b). Researchers have tackled this problem in different ways. Some of them have aimed to improve the pre-processing of the manuscripts (Metwally, Khalil & Abbas, 2017). Others have developed approaches for segmenting the letters (Ghaleb, Nagabhushan & Pal, 2017). Others have focused on handcrafted feature extraction Amrouch, Rabi & Es-Saady (2018), and others have worked on the classification layer by optimising classifiers or developing approaches for combining them (Tamen, Drias & Boughaci, 2017).

In this thesis, two phases are considered: feature extraction based segmentation and ensemble learning that have an enormous impact on recognition rate. In the first phase, several studies have used different techniques for geometrical feature extraction and showed a good performance in recognizing Arabic words (Chherawala & Cheriet, 2014). Aouadi *et al.* (2016) applied segmentation on touching characters, and Li (2013) used to divide words horizontally. Other techniques have been used in recognising handwriting, such as zoning method based features Rani & Vasudev (2016) and sliding windows in different directions (Al-wajih & Ghazali, 2020). The sliding window technique has been used for extracting local structural or statistical features, (Alkhateeb *et al.*, 2009; Khémiri, Kacem & Belaïd, 2014). The structural features describe the topological and geometrical characteristics of the word. They include ascendants, descendants, loops, diacritics and their position relative to the baseline. All these previous studies were based on drawing the characteristics of the letters and lacking supportive data techniques such as regression or interpolation.



In the second phase, ensemble learning is that a combination of several models leads to a potentially reduced error level compared to a single classifier, thereby enhancing the model's predictive performance (Sagi & Rokach, 2018). Many of the ensemble methods that researchers adopt follow certain non-valid assumptions. For example, the weighted average rule of Tsai *et al.* (2018) assumes that the overall performance of combining sets of classifiers based on their training accuracy is optimal, which is not valid due to the non-linear, non-stationarity nature of the data-class distribution. Most studies have focused on accuracy only, which is the lack of control in the classifier's topology and its lack of feedback support for changing the classifiers' topology and weighting on the other side. Hence, a multi-objective needs to be consider to optimise the prediction of more than one perceptive, such as time, computational and other factors that are related to classifiers. This method will handle performance from the perspective of accuracy and efficiency to have a lightweight recognition model.

Overall, accuracy plays the most important measure value to analyse the performance at different phases. Each of these phases has its challenges and difficulties. For example, the segmentation of the text into lines is a challenging task itself, such as the curvy text lines, non–uniform gaps between the lines, dots, or

REFERENCES

- Abbas, N., & Chibani, Y. (2011). Combination of off-line and on-line signature verification systems based on SVM and DST. In 2011 11th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications (pp. 855–860). IEEE.
- Abdalkafor, A. S. (2017). Designing Offline Arabic Handwritten Isolated Character Recognition System using Artificial Neural Network Approach. *International Journal of Technology*, 8(3), 528–538.
- Abdelaziz, I., & Abdou, S. (2014). Altecondb: A large-vocabulary arabic online handwriting recognition database. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1412.7626*.
- Abdelaziz, I., Abdou, S., & Al-Barhamtoshy, H. (2016). A large vocabulary system for Arabic online handwriting recognition. *Pattern Analysis and Applications*, 19(4), 1129–1141. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10044-015-0526-7
- Abuhaiba, I. S. I., Mahmoud, S. A., & Green, R. J. (1994). Recognition of handwritten cursive Arabic characters. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *16*(6), 664–672.
- Abuzaraida, M. A., Zeki, A. M., & Zeki, A. M. (2014). Online database of Quranic handwritten words.
- Ahmad, I., & Fink, G. A. (2015). Multi-stage HMM based Arabic text recognition with rescoring. In 2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) (pp. 751–755). IEEE.
- Ahmed, M., Rasool, A. G., Afzal, H., & Siddiqi, I. (2017). Improving handwriting based gender classification using ensemble classifiers. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 85, 158–168.
- Aiadi, O., Korichi, A., & Kherfi, M. L. (2019). Recognizing Arabic handwritten words using multiple features and classifier selection. In 2019 International Conference on Networking and Advanced Systems (ICNAS) (pp. 1–5). IEEE.
- Akram, H., & Khalid, S. (2017). Using features of local densities, statistics and HMM toolkit (HTK) for offline Arabic handwriting text recognition. *Journal of*



Electrical Systems and Information Technology, 4(3), 387–396.

- Al-Helali, B. M., & Mahmoud, S. A. (2017). Arabic online handwriting recognition (AOHR): a survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, *50*(3), 33.
- Al-Ma'adeed, S., Elliman, D., & Higgins, C. A. (2002). A data base for Arabic handwritten text recognition research. In *Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition*, 2002. Proceedings. Eighth International Workshop on (pp. 485–489). IEEE.
- Al-Nuzaili, Q., Al-Maadeed, S., Hassen, H., & Hamdi, A. (2018). Arabic Bank Cheque Words Recognition Using Gabor Features. In 2nd IEEE International Workshop on Arabic and Derived Script Analysis and Recognition, ASAR 2018 (pp. 84–89). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ASAR.2018.8480197
- Al-Nuzaili, Q., Hamdi, A., Hashim, S. Z. M., Saeed, F., & Khalil, M. S. (2017). An enhanced quadratic angular feature extraction model for arabic handwritten literal amount recognition. In *International Conference of Reliable Information and Communication Technology* (pp. 369–377). Springer.
- Al-Ohali, Y., Cheriet, M., & Suen, C. (2003). Databases for recognition of handwritten Arabic cheques. *Pattern Recognition*, *36*(1), 111–121.
- Al-Saqqar, F., AL-Shatnawi, A., Al-Diabat, M., & Aloun, M. (2019). Handwritten Arabic text recognition using principal component analysis and support vector machines. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 10(12), 1–6.
- Al-Tashi, Q., Abdulkadir, S. J., Rais, H. M., Mirjalili, S., Alhussian, H., Ragab, M. G.,
 & Alqushaibi, A. (2020). Binary multi-objective grey wolf optimizer for feature selection in classification. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 106247–106263.
- Al-wajih, E., & Ghazali, R. (2020). Improving the accuracy for offline Arabic digit recognition using sliding window approach. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions of Electrical Engineering*, 44(4), 1633–1644.

Alani, A. A. (2017). Arabic Handwritten Digit Recognition Based on Restricted Boltzmann Machine and Convolutional Neural Networks. *Information*, 8(4), 142.

- Alipour, S. A., Tabatabaey-mashadi, N., & Abbassi, H. (2016). Recent Approaches in Online Handwriting Recognition for Persian and Arabic Right-to-Left Languages, 4, 358–364.
- Alizadeh, E., Lyons, S. M., Castle, J. M., & Prasad, A. (2016). Measuring systematic changes in invasive cancer cell shape using Zernike moments. *Integrative Biology*, 8(11), 1183–1193.

- Alkhateeb, J. H. (2015). A Database for Arabic Handwritten Character Recognition.
 Procedia Procedia Computer Science, 65(Iccmit), 556–561.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.09.130
- AlKhateeb, J. H., Jiang, J., Ren, J., & Ipson, S. (2009). Interactive knowledge discovery for baseline estimation and word segmentation in handwritten Arabic text. INTECH Open Access Publisher.
- Alkhateeb, J. H., Jiang, J., Ren, J., Khelifi, F., & Ipson, S. S. (2009). Multiclass
 Classification of Unconstrained Handwritten Arabic Words Using Machine
 Learning Approaches, (September), 21–28.
 https://doi.org/10.2174/1876825300902010021
- Alkhateeb, J. H., Ren, J., Jiang, J., & Al-Muhtaseb, H. (2011). Offline handwritten Arabic cursive text recognition using Hidden Markov Models and re-ranking. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 32(8), 1081–1088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2011.02.006
- AlKhateeb, J. H., Ren, J., Jiang, J., & Al-Muhtaseb, H. (2011). Offline handwritten Arabic cursive text recognition using Hidden Markov Models and re-ranking. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 32(8), 1081–1088.
- Almodfer, R., Xiong, S., Mudhsh, M., & Duan, P. (2017). Multi-column deep neural network for offline arabic handwriting recognition. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 10614 LNCS, 260–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68612-7_30
- Aloud, S. (2018). Handwriting Arabic Words Recognition Based on Structural. In Proceeding Book of First Conference for Engineering Sciences and Technology (CEST-2018)(Part 1).
- Althobaiti, H., & Lu, C. (2018). Arabic Handwritten Characters Recognition Using Support Vector Machine, Normalized Central Moments, and Local Binary Patterns. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Image Processing, Computer Vision, and Pattern Recognition (IPCV) (pp. 121–127). The Steering Committee of The World Congress in Computer Science, Computer
- Amrouch, M., Elyassa, M., Rachidi, A., & Mammass, D. (2008). Off-line arabic handwritten characters recognition based on a hidden Markov models. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 5099 LNCS, 447–454.

180

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-69905-7 51

- Amrouch, Mustapha, Rabi, M., & Es-Saady, Y. (2018). Convolutional Feature Learning and CNN Based HMM for Arabic Handwriting Recognition. In *International Conference on Image and Signal Processing* (pp. 265–274). Springer.
- ANALYSIS, A. M. (2007). Arabic-Handwritten-1.0 database. Retrieved from http://appliedmediaanalysis.com/Datasets. htm#Arabic.
- Aouadi, N. A., & Echi, A. K. (2016). Word extraction and recognition in arabic. handwritten Text. *International Journal of Computing and Information Sciences*, 12(01).
- Aouadi, N., & Kacem, A. (2013). OCR-independent and segmentation-free wordspotting in handwritten Arabic Archive documents. In *Electrical Engineering and Software Applications (ICEESA), 2013 International Conference on* (pp. 1–6). IEEE.
- Arani, S. A. A. A., Kabir, E., & Ebrahimpour, R. (2019). Handwritten Farsi word recognition using NN-based fusion of HMM classifiers with different types of features. *International Journal of Image and Graphics*, 19(01), 1950001.
- Arbain, N. A., Azmi, M. S., Muda, A. K., Radzid, A. R., & Tahir, A. (2018). Triangle Shape Feature based on Selected Centroid for Arabic Subword Handwriting. *Triangle*, 9(12).
- Arif, T., Shaaban, Z., Krekor, L., & Baba, S. (2009). OBJECT CLASSIFICATION VIA GEOMETRICAL, ZERNIKE AND LEGENDRE MOMENTS. Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology, 6(3).
- Asebriy, Z., Raghay, S., Bencharef, O., & Chihab, Y. (2014). Comparative systems of handwriting Arabic character recognition. In *Complex Systems (WCCS)*, 2014 Second World Conference on (pp. 90–93). IEEE.
- Athoillah, M., & Putri, R. K. (2019). Handwritten Arabic Numeral Character Recognition Using Multi Kernel Support Vector Machine. *Kinetik: Game Technology, Information System, Computer Network, Computing, Electronics, and Control*, 99–106.
- Awaidah, S. M., & Mahmoud, S. A. (2009). A multiple feature/resolution scheme to Arabic (Indian) numerals recognition using hidden Markov models. *Signal Processing*, 89(6), 1176–1184.
- Azeem, S. A., & Ahmed, H. (2013). Effective technique for the recognition of offline

Arabic handwritten words using hidden Markov models. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition*, *16*(4), 399–412. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10032-013-0201-8

- Azeem, S. A., El Meseery, M., & Ahmed, H. (2012). Online arabic handwritten digits recognition. In 2012 International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (pp. 135–140). IEEE.
- Barrus, J. W., Schwartz, E. L., & Gormish, M. J. (2018, January 16). Creating a dashboard for tracking a workflow process involving handwritten forms. Google Patents.
- Benouini, R., Batioua, I., Zenkouar, K., Zahi, A., Najah, S., & Qjidaa, H. (2019). Fractional-order orthogonal Chebyshev Moments and Moment Invariants for image representation and pattern recognition. *Pattern Recognition*, 86, 332–343.
- Bernal, J., Trujillo, M., & Cabezas, I. (2014). Evaluating robustness of template matching algorithms as a multi-objective optimisation problem. In *Iberoamerican Congress on Pattern Recognition* (pp. 30–37). Springer.
- Bhattacharya, U., & Chaudhuri, B. B. (2005). Fusion of combination rules of an ensemble of MLP classifiers for improved recognition accuracy of handprinted Bangia numerals. In *Eighth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR'05)* (pp. 322–326). IEEE.
- Bhattacharya, U., Vajda, S., Mallick, A., Chaudhuri, B. B., & Belaïd, A. (2004). On the choice of training set, architecture and combination rule of multiple MLP classifiers for multiresolution recognition of handwritten characters. In *Ninth International Workshop on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition* (pp. 419–424). IEEE.
- Bhunia, A. K., Das, A., Kishore, P. S. R., Ghose, S., & Roy, P. P. (2018). Handwriting Recognition in Low-resource Scripts using Adversarial Learning. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1811.01396*.
- Bouadjenek, N., Nemmour, H., & Chibani, Y. (2016). Robust soft-biometrics prediction from off-line handwriting analysis. *Applied Soft Computing*, 46, 980– 990.
- Boufenar, C., Kerboua, A., & Batouche, M. (2017). Investigation on deep learning for off-line handwritten Arabic character recognition. *Cognitive Systems Research*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsys.2017.11.002

Bougamouza, F., Hazmoune, S., & Benmohammed, M. (2017). Using Mel Frequency

Cepstral Coefficient method for online Arabic characters handwriting recognition. *International Conference on Multimedia Computing and Systems - Proceedings*, 87–92. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMCS.2016.7905532

- Burges, C. J. C. (1998). A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 2(2), 121–167.
- Cai, X., Wang, P., Du, L., Cui, Z., Zhang, W., & Chen, J. (2019). Multi-objective three-dimensional DV-hop localization algorithm with NSGA-II. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 19(21), 10003–10015.
- Chatelain, C., Adam, S., Lecourtier, Y., Heutte, L., & Paquet, T. (2007). Multiobjective optimization for SVM model selection. In *Ninth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR 2007)* (Vol. 1, pp. 427–431). IEEE.
- Chen, L., Yan, R., Peng, L., Furuhata, A., & Ding, X. (2017). Multi-layer recurrent neural network based offline Arabic handwriting recognition. 2017 1st International Workshop on Arabic Script Analysis and Recognition (ASAR), 6– 10. https://doi.org/10.1109/ASAR.2017.8067749
- Chergui, L., Kef, M., & Chikhi, S. (2012). Combining Neural Networks for Arabic Handwriting Recognition. *International Arab Journal of Information Technology* (IAJIT), 9(6).
- Cheriet, M. (2008). Visual recognition of Arabic handwriting: challenges and new directions. In *Arabic and Chinese Handwriting Recognition* (pp. 1–21). Springer.
- Chherawala, Y., & Cheriet, M. (2012). W-TSV: Weighted topological signature vector for lexicon reduction in handwritten Arabic documents. *Pattern Recognition*, 45(9), 3277–3287.
- Chherawala, Y., & Cheriet, M. (2014). Arabic word descriptor for handwritten word indexing and lexicon reduction. *Pattern Recognition*, 47(10), 3477–3486.
- Chouikhi, N., Ammar, B., & Alimi, A. M. (2018). Hierarchical Bi-level Multi-Objective Evolution of Single-and Multi-layer Echo State Network Autoencoders for Data Representations. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1806.01016*.
- Cireşan, D., & Meier, U. (2015). Multi-column deep neural networks for offline handwritten Chinese character classification. In *Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, 2015 *International Joint Conference on* (pp. 1–6). IEEE.
- Darwish, S. M., Hassan, O. F., & Elzoghaly, K. O. (2020). Arabic Offline Character Recognition Model Using Non-dominated Rank Sorting Genetic Algorithm. In

International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems and Informatics (pp. 215–226). Springer.

- Das, D., Nayak, D. R., Dash, R., & Majhi, B. (2019). An empirical evaluation of extreme learning machine: application to handwritten character recognition. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 1–29.
- De Oliveira, J. J., Freitas, C. O. de A., de Carvalho, J. M., & Sabourin, R. (2009). Handwritten word recognition using multi-view analysis. In *Iberoamerican Congress on Pattern Recognition* (pp. 371–378). Springer.
- de Paula Neto, F. M., Cambuim, L. F., Macieira, R. M., Ludermir, T. B., Zanchettin, C., & Barros, E. N. (2015). Extreme learning machine for real time recognition of brazilian sign language. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (pp. 1464–1469). IEEE.
- Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S., & Meyarivan, T. (2002). A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6(2), 182–197.
- Ding, S., Zhao, H., Zhang, Y., Xu, X., & Nie, R. (2015). Extreme learning machine: algorithm, theory and applications. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 44(1), 103– 115.
- Dinges, L. (2017). Automatic recognition of common arabic handwritten words based on ocr and n-grams Andreas N " urnberger Institute for Information Technology and Communications (IIKT) †, Department for Technical & Business Information Systems (ITI), 3625–3629.
- Djeddi, C., Meslati, L.-S., Siddiqi, I., Ennaji, A., El Abed, H., & Gattal, A. (2014). Evaluation of texture features for offline arabic writer identification. In 2014 11th IAPR International Workshop on Document Analysis Systems (pp. 106–110). IEEE.
- Dos Santos, Eulanda M, Sabourin, R., & Maupin, P. (2009). Overfitting cautious selection of classifier ensembles with genetic algorithms. *Information Fusion*, 10(2), 150–162.
- Dos Santos, Eulanda Miranda, Sabourin, R., & Maupin, P. (2006). Single and multiobjective genetic algorithms for the selection of ensemble of classifiers. In *The* 2006 IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Network Proceedings (pp. 3070–3077). IEEE.
- El-Sherif, E. A., & Abdelazeem, S. (2007). A two-stage system for Arabic handwritten

digit recognition tested on a new large database. *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Pattern Recognition*, (January), 237 – 242.

- El Abed, H., Kherallah, M., Märgner, V., & Alimi, A. M. (2011). On-line arabic handwriting recognition competition. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJDAR)*, 14(1), 15–23.
- El, M. K. A. E. H., & Alimi, A. A. M. (2008). The on/off (LMCA) dual Arabic handwriting database. In *11th International conference on frontiers in handwriting recognition (ICFHR)*.
- Elanwar, R. I., Rashwan, M. A., & Mashali, S. A. (2010). OHASD: the first on-line Arabic sentence database handwritten on tablet PC. In *Proceedings of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology (WASET), International conference on International Conference on Signal and Image Processing ICSIP* (Vol. 69, pp. 910–915).
- Elarbi, M., Bechikh, S., Gupta, A., Said, L. Ben, & Ong, Y.-S. (2017). A new decomposition-based NSGA-II for many-objective optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 48(7), 1191–1210.
- Elleuch, M., Hani, A., & Kherallah, M. (2017). Arabic handwritten script recognition system based on HOG and gabor features. *Int. Arab J. Inf. Technol.*, 14(4A), 639– 646.
- Elleuch, M., Lahiani, H., & Kherallah, M. (2015). Recognizing Arabic Handwritten Script using Support Vector Machine classifier. In 2015 15th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications (ISDA) (pp. 551– 556). IEEE.
- Elleuch, M., Maalej, R., & Kherallah, M. (2016). A New design based-SVM of the CNN classifier architecture with dropout for offline Arabic handwritten recognition. *Procedia Computer Science*, 80, 1712–1723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2016.05.512
- Elleuch, M., Mokni, R., & Kherallah, M. (2016). Offline Arabic Handwritten recognition system with dropout applied in Deep networks based-SVMs. In 2016 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN) (pp. 3241–3248). IEEE.
- Elnagar, A., & Bentrcia, R. (2015). A recognition-based approach to segmenting arabic handwritten text. *Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications*, 7(04), 93.

- Fadel, S., Ghoniemy, S., Abdallah, M., Sorra, H. A., Ashour, A., & Ansary, A. (2016). Investigating the effect of different kernel functions on the performance of SVM for recognizing Arabic characters. *IJACSA*) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 7(1), 446–450.
- Fanjin, M., Ling, H., Jing, T., & Xinzheng, W. (2017). The Research of Semantic Kernel in SVM for Chinese Text Classification. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Information Processing* (pp. 1–7).
- Fitriana, G. F. (2014). Handwriting Digit Recognition using United Moment Invariant feature extraction and Self Organizing Maps. *Student Project Conference (ICT-ISPC), 2014 Third ICT International*, 43–46. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT-ISPC.2014.6923214
- Fredo, A. R. J., Abilash, R. S., Femi, R., Mythili, A., & Kumar, C. S. (2019). Classification of damages in composite images using Zernike moments and support vector machines. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 168, 77–86.
- Frinken, V., & Uchida, S. (2015). Deep BLSTM neural networks for unconstrained continuous handwritten text recognition. In 2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) (pp. 911–915). IEEE.
- Fujisawa, H. (2008). Forty years of research in character and document recognition an industrial perspective. *Pattern Recognition*, *41*(8), 2435–2446.
- Ghaleb, H., Nagabhushan, P., & Pal, U. (2017). Segmentation of offline handwritten Arabic text. In Arabic Script Analysis and Recognition (ASAR), 2017 1st International Workshop on (pp. 41–45). IEEE.
- Ghomeshi, H., Gaber, M. M., & Kovalchuk, Y. (2020). A non-canonical hybrid metaheuristic approach to adaptive data stream classification. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 102, 127–139.
- Ghosh, M., Guha, R., Mondal, R., Singh, P. K., Sarkar, R., & Nasipuri, M. (2018).
 Feature selection using histogram-based multi-objective GA for handwritten Devanagari numeral recognition. In *Intelligent engineering informatics* (pp. 471– 479). Springer.
- Ghosh, M. M. A., & Maghari, A. Y. (2017). A Comparative Study on Handwriting Digit Recognition Using Neural Networks. 2017 International Conference on Promising Electronic Technologies (ICPET), 77–81. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPET.2017.20

Ghosh, M., Malakar, S., Bhowmik, S., Sarkar, R., & Nasipuri, M. (2019). Feature



selection for handwritten word recognition using memetic algorithm. In *Advances in Intelligent Computing* (pp. 103–124). Springer.

- Gopikrishna, M., & Samatha, B. (2018). Handwritten Digit Recognition Based on Convolution Neural network, 8(1493), 1493–1497.
- Goswami, M. M., & Mitra, S. K. (2015). Offline handwritten Gujarati numeral recognition using low-level strokes. *International Journal of Applied Pattern Recognition*, 2(4), 353–379.
- Graves, A. (2012). Offline Arabic Handwriting Recognition with Multidimensional Recurrent Neural Networks. *Guide to OCR for Arabic Scripts*, 297–313. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-4072-6 12
- Guha, R., Ghosh, M., Singh, P. K., Sarkar, R., & Nasipuri, M. (2019). M-HMOGA: a new multi-objective feature selection algorithm for handwritten numeral classification. *Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 29(1), 1453–1467.
- Gunter, S., & Bunke, H. (2002). A new combination scheme for HMM-based classifiers and its application to handwriting recognition. In *Object recognition supported by user interaction for service robots* (Vol. 2, pp. 332–337). IEEE.
- Günter, S., & Bunke, H. (2004). Optimization of weights in a multiple classifier handwritten word recognition system using a genetic algorithm. *ELCVIA Electronic Letters on Computer Vision and Image Analysis*, *3*(1), 25–44.
- Gupta, A., Sarkhel, R., Das, N., & Kundu, M. (2019). Multiobjective optimization for recognition of isolated handwritten Indic scripts. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 128, 318–325.
- Hamdani, M., Doetsch, P., & Ney, H. (2015). Bagging by design for continuous Handwriting Recognition using multi-objective particle swarm optimization. In 2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) (pp. 256–260). IEEE.
- Haraty, R. A., & El-Zabadani, H. M. (2005). Abjad Hawwaz: An offline Arabic handwriting recognition system. *International Journal of Computers and Applications*, 27(3), 178–189.
- Hasanpour, H., Meibodi, R. G., & Navi, K. (2019). Optimal selection of ensemble classifiers using particle swarm optimization and diversity measures. *Intelligent Decision Technologies*, 13(1), 131–137.
- Hassan, A. K. A. (2018). Combine SVM and KNN classifiers for Handwriting Arabic Word Recognition based on Multifeatures. *Al-Rafidain University College For*

Sciences, (43), 303–323.

- Hassan, A. K. A., & Alawi, M. (2017). Proposed Handwriting Arabic Words classification Based On Discrete Wavelet Transform and Support Vector Machine. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 58(2C), 1159–1168.
- Hassan, A. K. A., Mahdi, B. S., & Mohammed, A. A. (2019). Arabic Handwriting Word Recognition Based on Scale Invariant Feature Transform and Support Vector Machine. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 60(2), 381–387.
- Heryanto, A., Nasrudin, M. F., & Omar, K. (2008). Offline Jawi handwritten recognizer using hybrid artificial neural networks and dynamic programming. In 2008 International Symposium on Information Technology (Vol. 2, pp. 1–6). IEEE.
- Holland, J. H. (1992). Adaptation in natural and artificial systems: an introductory analysis with applications to biology, control, and artificial intelligence. MIT press.
- Hosseinzadeh, H., & Razzazi, F. (2016). LMDT: A weakly-supervised large-margindomain-transfer for handwritten digit recognition. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 52, 119–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2016.02.014
- Hu, Y., Bie, Z., Ding, T., & Lin, Y. (2016). An NSGA-II based multi-objective optimization for combined gas and electricity network expansion planning. *Applied Energy*, *167*, 280–293.
- Huang, C., & Srihari, S. N. (2008). Word segmentation of off-line handwritten documents. *Proceedings of SPIE*, 68150E-68150E – 6. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.767055
- Huang, G., Zhu, Q., & Siew, C. (2004). Extreme Learning Machine : A New Learning Scheme of Feedforward Neural Networks, (August 2004). https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.2004.1380068
- Huang, W., Chen, C., Sarem, M., & Zheng, Y. (2008). Overlapped rectangle image representation and its application to exact legendre moments computation. *Geo-Spatial Information Science*, 11(4), 294–301.
- Impedovo, D., & Pirlo, G. (2015). Class-adaptive zoning methods for recognizing handwritten digits and characters. In 2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) (pp. 36–40). IEEE.

Impedovo, S., Mangini, F. M., & Pirlo, G. (2013). A new adaptive zoning technique

for handwritten digit recognition. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 8156 LNCS(PART 1), 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-41181-6_10

- Islam, S. M. M., Rahman, A., Prasad, N., Boric-Lubecke, O., & Lubecke, V. M. (2019). Identity Authentication System using a Support Vector Machine (SVM) on Radar Respiration Measurements. In 2019 93rd ARFTG Microwave Measurement Conference (ARFTG) (pp. 1–5). IEEE.
- Ismail, S. M., & Abdullah, S. (2013). Geometrical-matrix feature extraction for online handwritten characters recognition. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 49(1), 86–93.
- Jayech, K., Mahjoub, M. A., & Amara, N. E. Ben. (2016a). Arabic handwritten word recognition based on dynamic bayesian network. *Int. Arab J. Inf. Technol.*, 13(6B), 1024–1031.
- Jayech, K., Mahjoub, M. A., & Amara, N. E. Ben. (2016b). Synchronous Multi-Stream Hidden Markov Model for offline Arabic handwriting recognition without explicit segmentation. *Neurocomputing*, 214, 958–971.
- Jemni, S. K., Kessentini, Y., Kanoun, S., & Ogier, J.-M. (2018a). Offline Arabic Handwriting Recognition Using BLSTMs Combination. 2018 13th IAPR International Workshop on Document Analysis Systems (DAS), 31–36. https://doi.org/10.1109/DAS.2018.54
- Jemni, S. K., Kessentini, Y., Kanoun, S., & Ogier, J.-M. (2018b). Offline Arabic Handwriting Recognition Using BLSTMs Combination. In 2018 13th IAPR International Workshop on Document Analysis Systems (DAS) (pp. 31–36). IEEE.
- Ji, Y., & Sun, S. (2010). Feature selection for ensembles using Non-dominated Sorting in Genetic Algorithms. In 2010 Sixth International Conference on Natural Computation (Vol. 2, pp. 888–891). IEEE.
- John, J., Pramod, K. V, Balakrishnan, K., & Chaudhuri, B. B. (2014). A two stage approach for handwritten Malayalam character recognition. In 2014 14th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (pp. 199– 204). IEEE.
- Karamizadeh, S., Abdullah, S. M., Halimi, M., Shayan, J., & javad Rajabi, M. (2014). Advantage and drawback of support vector machine functionality. In *Computer*,

189

Communications, and Control Technology (I4CT), 2014 International Conference on (pp. 63–65). IEEE.

- Kessentini, Y., BenAbderrahim, S., & Djeddi, C. (2018). Evidential Combination of SVM Classifiers for Writer Recognition. *Neurocomputing*.
- Kharma, N., Ahmed, M., & Ward, R. (1999). A new comprehensive database of handwritten Arabic words, numbers, and signatures used for OCR testing. In *Electrical and Computer Engineering, 1999 IEEE Canadian Conference on* (Vol. 2, pp. 766–768). IEEE.
- Khayyat, M., & Suen, C. Y. (2018). Improving Word Spotting System Performance using Ensemble Classifier Combination Methods. In 2018 16th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR) (pp. 229–234). IEEE.
- Khedher, M. Z., & Abandah, G. (2002). Arabic character recognition using approximate stroke sequence. In *Proc. Workshop Arabic Language Resources and Evaluation: Status and Prospects and 3rd Int'l Conf. on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2002).*
- Khémiri, A., Kacem, A., & Belaïd, A. (2014). Towards arabic handwritten word recognition via probabilistic graphical models. In 2014 14th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (pp. 678–683). IEEE.
- Kherallah, M., Elbaati, A., Abed, H. E., & Alimi, A. M. (2008). The on/off (LMCA) dual Arabic handwriting database. In *11th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR).*
- Khlif, H., Prum, S., Kessentini, Y., Kanoun, S., & Ogier, J. M. (2017). Fusion of explicit segmentation based system and segmentation-free based system for online Arabic handwritten word recognition. *Proceedings of International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition, ICFHR*, 399–404. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICFHR.2016.0081
- Kouka, N., Fdhila, R., & Alimi, A. M. (2017). Multi Objective Particle Swarm Optimization based Cooperative Agents with Automated Negotiation. In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing* (pp. 269–278). Springer.
- Kulkarni, S. R., & Rajendran, B. (2018). Spiking neural networks for handwritten digit recognition—Supervised learning and network optimization. *Neural Networks*, 103, 118–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2018.03.019



- Kumar, S., Singh, M. P., Goel, R., & Lavania, R. (2013). Hybrid evolutionary techniques in feed forward neural network with distributed error for classification of handwritten Hindi 'SWARS.' *Connection Science*, 25(4), 197–215.
- Kuriakose, S., & Shunmugam, M. S. (2005). Multi-objective optimization of wireelectro discharge machining process by non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 170(1–2), 133–141.
- Lawgali, A. (2015). A Survey on Arabic Character Recognition, 8(2), 401–426.
- Li, X. (2013). An effective approach to offline arabic handwriting recognition. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence & Applications (IJAIA), 4(6).
- Li, Y., Huo, J., Yang, M., & Zhang, G. (2019). Algorithm of locating the sphere center imaging point based on novel edge model and Zernike moments for vision measurement. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 66(2), 218–227.
- Lorigo, L., & Govindaraju, V. (2005). Segmentation and pre-recognition of Arabic handwriting. In *Eighth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR'05)* (pp. 605–609). IEEE.
- Luo, X., Jiang, C., Wang, W., Xu, Y., Wang, J.-H., & Zhao, W. (2019). User behavior prediction in social networks using weighted extreme learning machine with distribution optimization. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 93, 1023–1035.
- Maalej, R., Tagougui, N., & Kherallah, M. (2016). Online Arabic Handwriting Recognition with Dropout Applied in Deep Recurrent Neural Networks. *Proceedings - 12th IAPR International Workshop on Document Analysis Systems,* DAS 2016, 417–421. https://doi.org/10.1109/DAS.2016.49
- Mahmoud, S. A., Ahmad, I., Alshayeb, M., Al-Khatib, W. G., Parvez, M. T., Fink, G. A., ... El Abed, H. (2012). KHATT: Arabic offline Handwritten Text Database. Proceedings - International Workshop on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition, IWFHR, 449–454. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICFHR.2012.224
- Mahmoud, S. A., Luqman, H., Al-Helali, B. M., BinMakhashen, G., & Parvez, M. T. (2018). Online-khatt: an open-vocabulary database for Arabic online-text processing. *The Open Cybernetics & Systemics Journal*, 12(1).
- Mahmoud, S. A., & Olatunji, S. O. (2009). Automatic recognition of off-line handwritten Arabic (Indian) numerals using support vector and extreme learning machines. *International Journal of Imaging*, 2(A09), 34–53.
- Märgner, V., & El Abed, H. (2008). Databases and competitions: strategies to improve Arabic recognition systems. In *Arabic and Chinese Handwriting Recognition* (pp.

191

82-103). Springer.

- Marti, U. V., & Bunke, H. (2003). The IAM-database: An English sentence database for offline handwriting recognition. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition*, *5*(1), 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s100320200071
- Meddeb, O., & Maraoui, M. (2017). A generic multi-strategy classification approach for Arabic Handwriting Recognition AHR. In 2017 International Conference on Engineering & MIS (ICEMIS) (pp. 1–8). IEEE.
- Meddeb, O., Maraoui, M., & Aljawarneh, S. (2016). Hybrid modeling of an offline arabic handwriting recognition system AHRS. In 2016 International Conference on Engineering & MIS (ICEMIS) (pp. 1–8). IEEE.
- Metiaf, A., Wu, Q., & Aljeroudi, Y. (2019). Searching with direction awareness: Multi-objective genetic algorithm based on angle quantization and crowding distance MOGA-AQCD. *IEEE Access*, 7, 10196–10207.
- Metwally, A. H., Khalil, M. I., & Abbas, H. M. (2017). Offline Arabic handwriting recognition using Hidden Markov Models and Post-Recognition Lexicon Matching. In *Computer Engineering and Systems (ICCES)*, 2017 12th International Conference on (pp. 238–243). IEEE.
- Mohamad, H., Hashim, S. A., & Al-Saleh, A. H. (2019). Recognize printed Arabic letter using new geometrical features. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 14(3), 1518–1524.
- Mosa, M. A. (2020). A novel hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm for multi-objective optimization of text mining. *Applied Soft Computing*, *90*, 106189.
- Mozaffari, S., Faez, K., Margner, V., & El-Abed, H. (2007). Strategies for large handwritten Farsi/Arabic lexicon reduction. In *Document Analysis and Recognition, 2007. ICDAR 2007. Ninth International Conference on* (Vol. 1, pp. 98–102). IEEE.
- Mukundan, R., Ong, S. H., & Lee, P. A. (2001). Image analysis by Tchebichef moments. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 10(9), 1357–1364.
- Nakkach, H., Hichri, S., Haboubi, S., & Amiri, H. (2016). A segmentation-free approach to strokes extraction from online isolated Arabic handwritten character. 2nd International Conference on Advanced Technologies for Signal and Image Processing, ATSIP 2016, 431–436. https://doi.org/10.1109/ATSIP.2016.7523129

- Nassiri, N., Lakhouaja, A., & Cavalli-Sforza, V. (2017). Modern Standard Arabic readability prediction. In *International Conference on Arabic Language Processing* (pp. 120–133). Springer.
- Nayak, J., Naik, B., & Behera, H. (2015). A comprehensive survey on support vector machine in data mining tasks: applications & challenges. *International Journal* of Database Theory and Application, 8(1), 169–186.
- Naz, S., Umar, A. I., Ahmed, S. B., Ahmad, R., Shirazi, S. H., Razzak, M. I., & Zaman, A. (2018). STATISTICAL FEATURES EXTRACTION FOR CHARACTER RECOGNITION USING RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORK. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics*, 34(1).
- Neto, A. F., Canuto, A. M. P., Goldbarg, E. F. G., & Goldbarg, M. C. (2011). Optimization techniques for the selection of members and attributes in ensemble systems. In 2011 IEEE Congress of Evolutionary Computation (CEC) (pp. 1912– 1919). IEEE.
- Olatunji, S. O. (2017). Extreme Learning machines and Support Vector Machines models for email spam detection. In 2017 IEEE 30th Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE) (pp. 1–6). IEEE.
- Oliveira, L. S., Sabourin, R., Bortolozzi, F., & Suen, C. Y. (2003). A methodology for feature selection using multiobjective genetic algorithms for handwritten digit string recognition. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 17(06), 903–929.
- Ouyang, J. (2017). Combining Extreme Learning Machine, RF and HOG for Feature Extraction. In 2017 IEEE Third International Conference on Multimedia Big Data (BigMM) (pp. 419–422). IEEE.
- Parvez, M. T. (2010). Arabic handwritten text recognition using structural and syntactic pattern attributes. King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (Saudi Arabia).
- Parvez, M. T., & Mahmoud, S. A. (2013a). Offline Arabic Handwritten Text Recognition : A Survey, 45(2). https://doi.org/10.1145/2431211.2431222
- Parvez, M. T., & Mahmoud, S. A. (2013b). Offline Arabic handwritten text recognition: a survey. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 45(2), 23.
- Pechwitz, M., Maddouri, S. S., Märgner, V., Ellouze, N., & Amiri, H. (2002). IFN/ENIT-database of handwritten Arabic words. In *Proc. of CIFED* (Vol. 2, pp. 127–136). Citeseer.

- Phan, A. V., Le Nguyen, M., & Bui, L. T. (2017). Feature weighting and SVM parameters optimization based on genetic algorithms for classification problems. *Applied Intelligence*, 46(2), 455–469.
- Pirlo, G., & Impedovo, D. (2012). Voronoi-based zoning design by multi-objective genetic optimization. In 2012 10th IAPR International Workshop on Document Analysis Systems (pp. 220–224). IEEE.
- Potrus, M. Y., Ngah, U. K., & Ahmed, B. S. (2014). An evolutionary harmony search algorithm with dominant point detection for recognition-based segmentation of online Arabic text recognition. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 5(4), 1129–1139.
- Qiao, J., Wang, G., Li, W., & Chen, M. (2018). An adaptive deep Q-learning strategy for handwritten digit recognition. *Neural Networks*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2018.02.010
- Rabi, M., Amrouch, M., & Mahani, Z. (2017a). Hybrid HMM/MLP Models for Recognizing Unconstrained Cursive Arabic Handwritten Text. In *International Conference on Advanced Information Technology, Services and Systems* (pp. 438–448). Springer.
- Rabi, M., Amrouch, M., & Mahani, Z. (2017b). Improved HMM for Cursive Arabic Handwriing Recognition System using MLP Classifier. *Transactions on Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence*, 5(4).
- Rabi, M., Amrouch, M., & Mahani, Z. (2018a). Cursive Arabic Handwriting Recognition System Without Explicit Segmentation Based on Hidden Markov Models. *Journal of Data Mining and Digital Humanities*.
- Rabi, M., Amrouch, M., & Mahani, Z. (2018b). Recognition of cursive Arabic handwritten text using embedded training based on hidden Markov models. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, 32(01), 1860007.
- Ramli, D. A., & Chien, T. W. (2017). Extreme Learning Machine based weighting for decision rule in Collaborative Representation Classifier. *Procedia Computer Science*, 112, 504–513.
- Ramzi, A., & Zahary, A. (2014). Online Arabic handwritten character recognition using online-offline feature extraction and back-propagation neural network. In 2014 1st International Conference on Advanced Technologies for Signal and Image Processing (ATSIP) (pp. 350–355). IEEE.

Rani, N. S., & Vasudev, T. (2016). A Comparative Study on Efficiency of



Classification Techniques with Zone Level Gabor Features towards Handwritten Telugu Character Recognition. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, *148*(1).

- Raquel, C. R., & Naval Jr, P. C. (2005). An effective use of crowding distance in multiobjective particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings of the 7th Annual conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation* (pp. 257–264).
- Rawls, S., Cao, H., Kumar, S., & Natarajan, P. (2018). Combining Convolutional Neural Networks and LSTMs for Segmentation-Free OCR. Proceedings of the International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR, 1, 155–160. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDAR.2017.34
- Rothacker, L., Vajda, S., & Fink, G. A. (2012). Bag-of-features representations for offline handwriting recognition applied to Arabic script. In 2012 International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (pp. 149–154). IEEE.
- Saabni, R. (2015). Ada-boosting extreme learning machines for handwritten digit and digit strings recognition. In 2015 Fifth International Conference on Digital Information Processing and Communications (ICDIPC) (pp. 231–236). IEEE.
- Sagi, O., & Rokach, L. (2018). Ensemble learning: A survey. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 8(4), e1249.

Salam, M., & Hassan, A. A. (2019). Offline isolated arabic handwriting character recognition system based on SVM. *Int. Arab J. Inf. Technol.*, *16*(3), 467–472.

Salimi, H., & Giveki, D. (2013). Farsi/Arabic handwritten digit recognition based on ensemble of SVD classifiers and reliable multi-phase PSO combination rule. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJDAR)*, 16(4), 371–386.

- Sari, T., & Sellami, M. (2005). Cursive Arabic script segmentation and recognition system. *International Journal of Computers and Applications*, 27(3), 161–168.
- Sarkhel, R., Das, N., Saha, A. K., & Nasipuri, M. (2016). A multi-objective approach towards cost effective isolated handwritten Bangla character and digit recognition. *Pattern Recognition*, 58, 172–189.
- Schambach, M.-P. (2005). Fast script word recognition with very large vocabulary. In Eighth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR'05) (pp. 9–13). IEEE.
- Schlosser, S. (1995). ERIM Arabic document database. *Environmental Research Institute of Michigan*.



- Shams, M., Elsonbaty, A., & ElSawy, W. (2020). Arabic Handwritten Character Recognition based on Convolution Neural Networks and Support Vector Machine. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2009.13450*.
- Shamsan, E. A., Khalifa, O. O., Hassan, A., & Hamdan, H. G. M. (2017). Off line Arabic handwritten character using neural network. 2017 IEEE 4th International Conference on Smart Instrumentation, Measurement and Application (ICSIMA), (November), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSIMA.2017.8312026
- Shu, H., Zhang, H., Chen, B., Haigron, P., & Luo, L. (2010). Fast computation of Tchebichef moments for binary and grayscale images. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 19(12), 3171–3180.
- Singh, Pratibha, Verma, A., & Chaudhari, N. S. (2016). Performance evaluation of classifier combination techniques for the handwritten devanagari character recognition. In *Information systems design and intelligent applications* (pp. 651– 662). Springer.
- Singh, Priyanka, Roy, P. P., & Raman, B. (2018). Writer identification using texture features: A comparative study. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, *71*, 1–12.
- Singh, U., & Singh, S. N. (2017). Optimal feature selection via NSGA-II for power quality disturbances classification. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 14(7), 2994–3002.
- Song, J., Liu, Q., Tian, S., Wei, Y., Jin, F., Mo, W., & Dong, K. (2018). Research on Handwritten Alphabet Recognition System Based on Extreme Learning Machine. In 2018 37th Chinese Control Conference (CCC) (pp. 9448–9451). IEEE.
- Song, M., & Chen, D. (2018). An improved knowledge-informed NSGA-II for multiobjective land allocation (MOLA). *Geo-Spatial Information Science*, 21(4), 273– 287.
- Soyel, H., Tekguc, U., & Demirel, H. (2011). Application of NSGA-II to feature selection for facial expression recognition. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 37(6), 1232–1240.
- Srihari, S. N., Ball, G. R., & Srinivasan, H. (2008). Versatile search of scanned Arabic handwriting. In *Arabic and Chinese Handwriting Recognition* (pp. 57–69). Springer.
- Srinivas, N., & Deb, K. (1994). Multiobjective optimization using nondominated sorting in genetic algorithms. *Evolutionary Computation*, 2(3), 221–248.
- Sun, Y., Lin, F., & Xu, H. (2018). Multi-objective optimization of resource scheduling

in fog computing using an improved NSGA-II. *Wireless Personal Communications*, *102*(2), 1369–1385.

- Suwanwiwat, H., Das, A., Ferrer, M., Pal, U., & Blumenstein, M. (2018). An investigation of discrete Hidden Markov Models on handwritten short answer assessment system. *ICPRAI*, *2*, 18.
- Takruri, M., Al-Hmouz, R., Al-Hmouz, A., & Momani, M. (2015). Fuzzy c means based hybrid classifiers for offline recognition of handwritten indian (Arabic) numerals. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*.
- Tamen, Z., Drias, H., & Boughaci, D. (2017a). An efficient multiple classifier system for Arabic handwritten words recognition. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 93, 123– 132.
- Tamen, Z., Drias, H., & Boughaci, D. (2017b). An efficient multiple classifier system for Arabic handwritten words recognition. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 93, 123– 132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2017.01.020
- Tavoli, R., Keyvanpour, M., & Mozaffari, S. (2018). Statistical geometric components of straight lines (SGCSL) feature extraction method for offline Arabic/Persian handwritten words recognition. *IET Image Processing*, 12(9), 1606–1616.
- Tekguc, U., Soyel, H., & Demirel, H. (2009). Feature selection for person-independent
 3D facial expression recognition using NSGA-II. In 2009 24th International Symposium on Computer and Information Sciences (pp. 35–38). IEEE.
- Tiwari, U., Jain, M., & Mehfuz, S. (2019). Handwritten Character Recognition—An Analysis. In *Advances in System Optimization and Control* (pp. 207–212). Springer.
- Tsai, K.-Y., Ding, J.-J., & Lee, Y.-C. (2018). Frontalization with adaptive exponentially-weighted average ensemble rule for deep learning based facial expression recognition. In 2018 IEEE Asia Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems (APCCAS) (pp. 447–450). IEEE.
- Vajda, S., & Belaïd, A. (2005). Structural information implant in a context based segmentation-free hmm handwritten word recognition system for latin and bangla script. In *Eighth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR'05)* (pp. 1126–1130). IEEE.
- Van Phan, T., & Nakagawa, M. (2014). Text/non-text classification in online handwritten documents with recurrent neural networks. In 2014 14th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (pp. 23–28).



IEEE.

- Vapnik, V., Guyon, I., & Hastie, T. (1995). Support vector machines. *Mach. Learn*, 20(3), 273–297.
- Wang, L., Li, Z., Adenutsi, C. D., Zhang, L., Lai, F., & Wang, K. (2021). A novel multi-objective optimization method for well control parameters based on PSO-LSSVR proxy model and NSGA-II algorithm. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 196, 107694.
- Wang, P., Xue, F., Li, H., Cui, Z., Xie, L., & Chen, J. (2019). A multi-objective DV-Hop localization algorithm based on NSGA-II in internet of things. *Mathematics*, 7(2), 184.
- Wang, X. D., Hirsch, C., Kang, S., & Lacor, C. (2011). Multi-objective optimization of turbomachinery using improved NSGA-II and approximation model. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 200(9–12), 883–895.
- Wang, Y., You, Z., Li, X., Chen, X., Jiang, T., & Zhang, J. (2017). PCVMZM: using the probabilistic classification vector machines model combined with a zernike moments descriptor to predict protein–protein interactions from protein sequences. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18(5), 1029.
- Xu, Q., Kim, J. H., Lam, L., & Suen, C. Y. (2002). Recognition of handwritten month words on bank cheques. In *Proceedings Eighth International Workshop on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition* (pp. 111–116). IEEE.
- Xu, X., Fu, S., Li, W., Dai, F., Gao, H., & Chang, V. (2020). Multi-objective data placement for workflow management in cloud infrastructure using NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, 4(5), 605–615.
- Xue, B., Zhang, M., & Browne, W. N. (2012). Particle swarm optimization for feature selection in classification: A multi-objective approach. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 43(6), 1656–1671.
- Yadav, P. (2015). Handwriting Recognition System- A Review, 114(19), 36-40.
- Yan, R., Peng, L., Bin, G., Wang, S., & Cheng, Y. (2018). Residual Recurrent Neural Network with Sparse Training for Offline Arabic Handwriting Recognition. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, ICDAR, 1*, 1031–1037. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDAR.2017.171
- Yap, P. T., Raveendran, P., & Ong, S. H. (2001). Chebyshev moments as a new set of moments for image reconstruction. In *IJCNN'01. International Joint Conference*



on Neural Networks. Proceedings (Cat. No. 01CH37222) (Vol. 4, pp. 2856–2860). IEEE.

- Younis, K. S. (2017). Arabic Handwritten Character Recognition based on Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. *Jordanian Journal of Computers and Information Technology (JJCIT)*, 3(3).
- Yousfi, S., Berrani, S.-A., & Garcia, C. (2015). Deep learning and recurrent connectionist-based approaches for Arabic text recognition in videos. In 2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) (pp. 1026–1030). IEEE.
- Zafar, A., & Iqbal, A. (2020). Application of soft computing techniques in machine reading of Quranic Kufic manuscripts. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*.
- Zaghdoudi, R., & Seridi, H. (2017). Combination of Multiple Classifiers for Off-Line Handwritten Arabic Word Recognition. *International Arab Journal of Information Technology (IAJIT)*, 14(5).
- Zangooei, M. H., Habibi, J., & Alizadehsani, R. (2014). Disease Diagnosis with a hybrid method SVR using NSGA-II. *Neurocomputing*, *136*, 14–29.
- Zarro, R. D., & Anwer, M. A. (2017). Recognition-based online Kurdish character recognition using hidden Markov model and harmony search. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 20(2), 783–794.
- Zhang, Y.-P., Ye, X.-N., Liu, K.-H., & Yao, J.-F. (2020). A Novel Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm Based Error Correcting Output Codes. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 100709.
- Zhu, Q.-Y., Huang, G.-B., & Siew, C.-K. (2004). A fast constructive learning algorithm for single-hidden-layer neural networks. In *ICARCV 2004 8th Control, Automation, Robotics and Vision Conference, 2004.* (Vol. 3, pp. 1907–1911). IEEE.
- Zitouni, R., Bezine, H., & Arous, N. (2020). Graph based Method for Online Handwritten Character Recognition. In *VISIGRAPP (1: GRAPP)* (pp. 263–270).
- Zouari, R., Boubaker, H., & Kherallah, M. (2016). A Time Delay Neural Network for Online Arabic Handwriting Recognition. *International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*, 1005–1014. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53480-0
- Zouari, R., Boubaker, H., & Kherallah, M. (2017). Two Staged Fuzzy SVM Algorithm

199

and Beta-Elliptic Model for Online Arabic Handwriting Recognition. In *International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks* (pp. 450–458). Springer.