

A MODIFIED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION BASED MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING FOR PHOTOVOLTAIC CONVERTER SYSTEM

MUSA ABDULKADIR

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

A MODIFIED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION BASED MAXIMUM
POWER POINT TRACKING FOR PHOTOVOLTAIC CONVERTER SYSTEM

MUSA ABDULKADIR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2015

*To my beloved wives, sons and daughter for their enduring love, motivation, and
support*

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents a modified Particle Swarm Optimization based Maximum Power Point Tracking for Photovoltaic Converter system. All over the world, many governments are striving to exploit the vast potential of renewable energy to meet the growing energy requirements mainly when the price of oil is high. Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) is a method that ensures power generated in Photovoltaic (PV) systems is optimized under various conditions. Due to partial shading or change in irradiance and temperature conditions in PV, the power-voltage characteristics exhibit multiple local peaks; one such phenomenon is the global peak. These conditions make it very challenging for MPPT to locate the global maximum power point. Many MPPT algorithms have been proposed for this purpose. In this thesis, a modified Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO)-based MPPT method for PV systems is proposed. Unlike the conventional PSO-based MPPT methods, the proposed method accelerates convergence of the PSO algorithm by consistently decreasing weighting factor, cognitive and social parameters thus reducing the steps of iterations and improved the tracking response time. The advantage of the proposed method is that it requires fewer search steps (converges to the desired solution in a reasonable time) compared to other MPPT methods. It requires only the idea of series cells; thus, it is system independent. The control scheme was first created in MATLAB/Simulink and compared with other MPPT methods and then validated using hardware implementation. The TMS320F28335 eZDSP board was used for implementing the developed control algorithm. The results show good performance in terms of speed of convergence and also guaranteed convergence to global MPP with faster time response compared to the other MPPT methods under typical conditions (partial shading, change in irradiance and temperature, load profile). This demonstrates the effectiveness of the proposed method.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini membentangkan Pengoptimaan Kerumunan Zarah berasaskan Pengesanan Poin Kuasa Maksimum yang diubah suai bagi sistem Photovoltaic Penukar. Di seluruh dunia, banyak kerajaan sedang berusaha untuk mengeksploitasi potensi besar tenaga boleh diperbaharui untuk memenuhi keperluan tenaga yang semakin meningkat sebahagian besarnya apabila harga minyak adalah tinggi. Maksimum Pengesanan Poin Kuasa (MPPT) adalah kaedah yang memastikan kuasa dijana dalam sistem photovoltaic (PV) dioptimumkan di bawah pelbagai keadaan. Oleh kerana teduhan separa atau perubahan dalam sinaran dan keadaan suhu di PV, ciri-ciri kuasa voltan mempamerkan pelbagai puncak tempatan; satu fenomena itu adalah kemuncak global. Keadaan ini membuat ia sangat mencabar bagi MPPT untuk mencari titik kuasa maksimum global. Banyak MPPT algoritma pengesanan telah dicadangkan untuk tujuan ini. Dalam tesis ini, Pengoptimuman Sekumpulan Zarah (PSO) diubah suai - berdasarkan teknik MPPT untuk sistem PV adalah dicadangkan. Berbeza dengan kaedah konvensional MPPT berasaskan PSO, kaedah yang dicadangkan mempercepatkan penumpuan algoritma PSO dengan secara konsisten mengurangkan faktor pemberat dan parameter kognitif dan sosial dengan itu mengurangkan langkah-langkah lelaran dan meningkatkan masa tindak balas pengesanan. Kelebihan kaedah yang dicadangkan ialah ia memerlukan langkah-langkah yang lebih sedikit carian (menumpu kepada penyelesaian yang diinginkan dalam masa yang munasabah) berbanding dengan kaedah-kaedah lain. Ia hanya memerlukan idea sel siri; dengan itu, ia adalah sistem bebas. Skim kawalan mula-mula diwujudkan pada MATLAB/Simulink dan dibandingkan dengan kaedah MPPT lain dan kemudian disahkan menggunakan pelaksanaan perkakasan. Papan TMS320F28335 eZDSP digunakan untuk melaksanakan algoritma kawalan yang terbina. Keputusan menunjukkan prestasi yang baik daripada segi kelajuan daripada penumpuan dan penumpuan juga dijamin MPP global dengan masa tindak balas lebih cepat berbanding kaedah MPPT lain di bawah keadaan biasa (bayang-bayang separa, perubahan dalam sinaran dan suhu, profil beban). Ini menunjukkan keberkesanan teknik yang dicadangkan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of Allah, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful. It is a pleasure to acknowledge the contribution of many individuals through the entire PhD years who have directly or indirectly helped my writing of this thesis.

I would like to express my highest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Ir. Dr. Abdul Halim Mohamed Yatim and Dr Ahmad Saudi Samosir for their advices, motivation and consistent support throughout my studies. Their consistent encouragement is invaluable in helping me to complete the work.

I wish to thank all members (staffs and students) of Energy Conversion Department (ENCON). In particular, I wish to acknowledge the Senior Technician, Mr. Yusof B. Jamil for his support in preparing the necessary research equipments. I would also like to thank my friends for the fruitful discussions and sharing of ideas.

My appreciation also goes to the TETFUND Federal Ministry of Education, Nigeria, University of Maiduguri for their financial assistance.

Last but not least, I am indebted to my entire family, my wives Bintu and Fatima, my sons and daughter for their love, motivation and moral support throughout my journey of education.

M.Abdulkadir, Nigeria

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Thesis Objective, Scope and Contribution	4
	1.2.1 Objectives	4
	1.2.2 Scope of Work	5
	1.2.3 Thesis Contributions	5
	1.3 Thesis Organisation	6
2	REVIEW OF SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC, MPPT CONTROLLERS AND DC-DC CONVERTER	8
	2.1 Introduction	8

2.2	Photovoltaic system overview	9
2.3	Modelling of solar photovoltaic, its dynamic and transient characteristics	13
2.3.1	Factors that influence the characteristics of the Photovoltaic Cells	18
2.3.2	Effect of partial shading on the photovoltaic cells	19
2.4	Interfacing of the Solar PV with DC-DC Converter Overview	23
2.5	Control Architecture of the Power Converter for MPPT	25
2.6	MPPT Control Techniques for Power Converter	26
2.6.1	Classification of MPPT Control Techniques for Power Converter	27
2.6.2	Review of Conventional MPPT Control Algorithms for Power Converter	27
2.6.2.1	Perturb and Observe (P&O) Control Techniques	28
2.6.2.2	The Hill Climbing Control Techniques	30
2.6.2.3	Incremental Conductance Control Techniques	31
2.6.2.3.1	Modified incremental conductance	33
2.6.2.4	Fractional Open-Circuit Voltage Control Techniques	34
2.6.2.5	Fractional Short-Circuit Current Control Techniques	36
2.6.3	Method of Soft Computing MPPT Control of Power Converter	37
2.6.3.1	Fuzzy Logic (FL) Techniques	37
2.6.3.2	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Control Techniques	40

2.6.3.3	Evolutionary Algorithm (EA)	
	Control Techniques	42
2.6.3.4	Overview of Particle Swarm	
	Optimization	42
2.6.3.5	Particle Swarm Optimization	
	Algorithm	43
2.7	Analysis and Comparison of Different MPPT	
	Control Techniques for Solar PV	48
2.7.1	Sensors	50
2.7.2	Design Complexity	50
2.7.3	Accuracy and Efficiency of the Methods	50
2.8	Discussion on Control Techniques for Solar PV DC-	
	DC Converter	51
2.8.1	The Merits and Drawbacks of the Control	
	Techniques	52
2.9	Summary	53

3

	MODELLING AND SIMULATION BASED	
	APPROACH OF PHOTOVOLTAIC SYETEM IN	
	SIMULINK MODEL	54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Modelling of the PV Module in Simulink Overview	55
3.2.1	One Diode Circuit Models of PV Cell	56
3.2.2	Two Diode Circuit Model of PV Cell	59
3.2.3	Four Parameter Extraction Model	
	Determination of PV Cells	62
3.3	Modelling of the Photovoltaic Module in Simulink	
	Model	66
3.3.1	Effect of Irradiance and Temperature on I-V	
	and P-V Characteristics	71
3.4	Design and Modelling of DC-DC Boost Converter	75
3.5	Interfacing the Boost DC-DC Converter with	
	Photovoltaic System	77

	3.6 Summary	78
4	A MODIFIED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION MPPT-BASED CONTROL FOR DC-DC CONVERTER	79
	4.1 Introduction	79
	4.2 Overview of Particle Swarm Optimization for PV system	80
	4.2.1 Application of MPPT-Based PSO Algorithm for PV system	81
	4.2.2 The Weakness of the Conventional PSO-Based MPPT techniques	83
	4.2.3 The Merits of the PSO-Based MPPT Techniques	83
	4.3 Proposed Modified PSO-Based MPPT Technique	84
	4.4 Effect of Partial Shading	88
	4.4.1 Tracking Global Maximum Power Point	89
	4.5 Simulation of the Proposed Modified PSO-Based MPPT Technique	90
	4.5.1 Simulation Under Uniform Irradiance Conditions	92
	4.5.2 Simulation Under Rapidly Changing Irradiance Conditions	94
	4.5.3 Simulation Under Changing Load Conditions	98
	4.6 Summary	102
5	HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	103
	5.1 Introduction	103
	5.2 Photovoltaic Interface Circuit System Configuration	104
	5.2.1 Power Converter Circuit	106
	5.2.2 IGBT Drive Circuit	107
	5.2.3 Feedback Measurement Circuits	109

5.2.4	The TMS320F28355 eZdsp Board	111
5.2.5	The ICO-100 W Solar PV Module	115
5.3	Experimental Results and Analysis	116
5.3.1	Test under Uniform Irradiance Condition	117
5.3.2	Test under Rapidly Changing Irradiance Condition	120
5.3.3	Test under Changing Load Condition	123
5.3.4	Test under Partial Shading Conditions	125
5.3.5	Performance Evaluation and Comparison	127
5.3.6	Tracking Efficiencies of the MPPT methods	128
5.4	Summary	130
6	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	131
6.1	Conclusions	131
6.2	Suggestions for Future Work	135
	REFERENCES	136
	Appendices A-B	152-167

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The Comparison of Different Methods	49
3.1	Simulation Model Parameters of ICO-SPC 100 W Photovoltaic Module	67
4.1	Simulation Parameter Setting of the MPSO-Based MPPT	91
5.1	Electrical Specification of ICO-SPC 100 watts PV Module	115
5.2	Average power (P_{pv}) at MPP of the techniques by simulation at STC	129

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Concept of Photovoltaic	9
2.2	Technology of PV	11
2.3	Energy Conversion of PV	12
2.4	Photovoltaic System Layouts	13
2.5	Equivalent Circuit of Solar Cell	14
2.6	(a) and (b) I-V and P-V Characteristic of PV System Under Variation in Temperature	16
2.7	(a) and (b) I-V and P-V Characteristic of PV System Under Variation in Irradiance	17
2.8	Operation of PV array (a) Under Uniform Irradiance (b) Under Partial Shading (c) The Resulting I-V and P-V Curves for (a) and (b)	20
2.9	The I-V and P-V Characteristic of PV System Under Partial Shading and Uniform Irradiance Condition	21
2.10	Flow-chart of [8] for Tracking the GMP Under Partial Shading Condition	22
2.11	I-V Curves for Non-operational and Operational Region of DC-DC Boost Converter	23
2.12	Configuration of the MPPT Block Diagram and DC-DC Boost Converter	25
2.13	Flow chart of conventional Perturb and Observe (P&O) method	29
2.14	Characteristics Curve of the P-V Photovoltaic Generator	

	showing Variation of the dP/dV	31
2.15	Flow-chart of the Incremental Conductance algorithm	34
2.16	Flow-chart of Fractional Open-circuit Voltage (Short-Current Circuit) Method	35
2.17	The 3-D Plot of V_{OC} and V_{MP} for Insolation and temperature Variations	36
2.18	The 3-D plot of I_{SC} and I_{MP} for Insolation and Temperature Variations	37
2.19	Basic Configuration of Fuzzy Logic Controller	38
2.20	MPPT Configuration of Fuzzy Logic Controller	39
2.21	MPPT Configuration of ANN Controller	41
3.1	Solar Cells with One Diode Model	56
3.2	Solar Cells with One Diode Model with R_S and R_P	57
3.3	Solar Cells with Two Diode Models with R_S and R_P	59
3.4	Model of the Short Circuit Current I_{SC}	67
3.5	Model of the Reverse Saturation Current I_{rs} of the Diode	68
3.6	Implementation of the Module Saturation Current I_o	68
3.7	Model Implementation to determine $NsAkT$	69
3.8	Models to Execute the Function given by Equation 3.8	69
3.9	Implementation of the whole PV Model Component	70
3.10	MATLAB/Simulink Block of the PV Module	70
3.11	Simulation block of the PV model to generate I-V and P-V curves	71
3.12	I – V Curve Showing Effect of Temperature on the PV Module	72
3.13	I – V Curve Showing Effect of Irradiance on the PV Module	72
3.14	P – V Curve Showing Effect of Irradiance on the PV Module	73
3.15	P – V Curve Showing Effect of Temperature on the PV Module	73
3.16	Calculated and Simulated I–V Characteristics of the ICO-SPC 100W PV Module	74

3.17	Calculated and Simulated P–V Characteristics of the ICO-SPC 100 W PV Module	74
3.18	Simulated Partial Shading P–V Characteristics of the ICO-SPC 100 W PV Module	75
3.19	The Circuit Topology for a Boost Converter	76
4.1	Movement of Particles in the Optimization Process	82
4.2	Flow-chart of the Proposed Modified PSO-Based MPPT Algorithm	87
4.3	P-V Characteristic of PV System Under Shading Condition	88
4.5	MATLAB/Simulink Configuration of the Proposed PV System	91
4.6	Power Waveforms for dynamic response tracking time	92
4.7	Voltage and Current Waveforms for dynamic tracking time response for the proposed method	93
4.8	Switching duty cycle waveform of the proposed method	93
4.9	Power Waveforms of the proposed method for dynamic response time under Change in Irradiance Condition	94
4.10	Voltage and Current Waveforms of the proposed method for change in Irradiance condition	95
4.11	Switching duty cycle of the proposed method under rapidly changing irradiance	97
4.12	A graph of I_{pv} , V_{pv} and P_{pv} of the proposed method	97
4.13	A relationship between PV power, time and irradiance for the proposed method	98
4.14	MATLAB/Simulink configuration of the proposed PV system under varying load	99
4.15	PV power tracked by the proposed method under varying load conditions	100
4.16	PV voltage at maximum power of the proposed method under varying load conditions	100
	PV current at maximum power of the proposed method under varying load conditions	101

4.18	Switching duty cycle of the proposed method under varying load conditions	101
5.1	Laboratory Experimental Set-up	104
5.2	Schematic Diagram of Overall System Configuration	105
5.3	Power Converter Prototype	106
5.4	Power Converter Circuit Diagram	107
5.5	Block Diagram of Gate Driver	108
5.6	Dead-time Circuit and its Corresponding Timing Diagram	109
5.7	Schematic Diagram of Voltage Sensor Circuit	110
5.8	DSP Based Controller System Block Diagram	111
5.9	TMS320F28335 eZdsp Board	112
5.10	Block Diagram of the TMS320F28335 eZdsp Board	113
5.11	Block Diagram of the DSP Interface Connection Board and TMS320F28335 eZdsp Board Assembly	114
5.12	eZdsp Board and DSP Interface Connection Board	114
5.13	Electrical Characteristics of ICO-SPC 100 W PV Module	115
5.14	ICO-SPC 100 W Solar Module	116
5.15	(a) the simulated Power, (b) the voltage and current waveform for dynamic response tracking time of the methods	118
5.16	The experimental Power, voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under uniform irradiance of the PSO-based method.	119
5.17	The experimental Power, voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under uniform irradiance of the conventional PSO method.	119
5.18	The experimental Power, voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under uniform irradiance of the incremental conductance method.	120
5.19	The simulated power, voltage and current waveform for dynamic response tracking time under rapidly changing	

	irradiance	121
5.20	The experimental power, voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under rapidly changing irradiance of the PSO-based method.	122
5.21	The experimental Power, Voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under rapidly changing irradiance of the conventional PSO method.	122
5.22	The experimental Power, Voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under Rapidly changing irradiance of the Incremental conductance method.	123
5.23	The Simulated Power, Voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under changing load conditions of the PSO-based method.	123
5.24	The Experimental Power, voltage and current waveform for dynamic response time under changing load conditions of the proposed technique	125
5.25	Eleven Hour UTM Skudai irradiance and temperature Day Profile	126
5.26	Power comparison of the PSO-based method and other methods under partial shading conditions.	127
5.27	Efficiencies Comparison of PSO-based method and other methods.	128

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
AC	-	Alternating Current
CIS	-	Copper Indium Diselenide
DC	-	Direct Current
DSP	-	Digital Signal Processor
EA	-	Evolutional Algorithm
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Control
FOCV	-	Fractional Open-Circuit Voltage
FSCC	-	Fractional Short-Circuit Current
GMP	-	Global Maximum Point
HC	-	Hill Climbing
INC	-	Incremental Conductance
MPP	-	Maximum Power Point
MPPT	-	Maximum Power Point Tracking
MPSO	-	Modified Particle Swarm Optimization
PI	-	Proportional Integral
PO	-	Perturb and Observe
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
PV	-	Photovoltaic
RHP	-	Right Hand Plane
QIDO	-	Quad-Correlation Control
RCC	-	Ripple Correlation Control
STC	-	Standard Test Conditions

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a, A	-	Diode ideality factor
C	-	Capacitor capacitance
d_{\min}	-	Duty cycle minimum
d_{\max}	-	Duty cycle maximum
dP/dI	-	Derivative of power to current
dP/dV	-	Derivative of power to voltage
G	-	Solar insolation
G_{best}	-	Global best position
I_{MAX}	-	Maximum power current
I_{PV}	-	Photovoltaic current
I_{SC}	-	Short circuit current
$P-V$	-	Current versus voltage
$I-V$	-	Current versus voltage
i_C	-	Capacitor current
i_L	-	Inductor current
i_o	-	Saturation current
K_i	-	Short circuit current coefficient
N_P	-	Number of particles
N_S	-	Number of series cell in PV module
P_{\max}	-	Maximum Power
P_{best}	-	Personal best position
P	-	Power
$P-I$	-	Power versus current

$P-V$	-	Power versus voltage
R_S	-	Series resistance of PV module
R_P	-	Parallel resistance of PV module
T	-	Temperature
V_{PV}	-	Photovoltaic voltage
V	-	Reference voltage
V_{ref}	-	velocity of PSO particles
V_{MP}	-	Maximum power voltage
V_{OC}	-	Open circuit voltage
V_T	-	Thermal voltage
Φ	-	Perturbation step
V	-	Voltage
V_t	-	Terminal Voltage
V_C, v_C	-	Capacitor Voltage
ω	-	Inertia or weighting factor of velocity of PSO particles
x	-	Position of PSO particles

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Energy is indispensable to human life. Energy is not only a measurement for economic and social improvement, but also a fundamental human necessity. Many countries are trying to find the means to solve energy problems which includes energy importation, environmental pollution, global warming, increasing cost of energy, and energy inefficiency.

Photovoltaic (PV) system has gained wide popularity in the past decade as one of the renewable-energy sources due to the possibility of depletion of conventional energy sources and its high cost as well as its negative effects on the environment. One essential fundamentals of all PV is the efficacy of its maximum power point tracking. The aspect has drawn immense enthusiasm from photovoltaic researchers and industry expert being the most economical means to enhance above all the photovoltaic system efficacy. Maximum power point tracking is primarily an operating point co-coordinating between the photovoltaic module and the DC-DC converter. Nonetheless, maximum power point tracking is not simple and easy to

track because of the non-linear I–V characteristics of the photovoltaic curve and the effect of the changing weather situations (especially irradiation and temperature), tracking the accurate maximum power point (MPP) has been always an intricate issue. The tracking eventually is further sophisticated when all photovoltaic modules do not experience constant radiation.

For the past decades, many MPPT algorithms have been proposed, in which many centered on obtaining optimum maximum power point. Among the renowned power maximizing methods are perturb and observe (P&O), Hill climbing, incremental conductance (INC) and conventional PSO. These methods, nonetheless, fail to track the maximum power point when the irradiance level is not consistent for all PV solar cells or the panels are partially shaded. P&O method frequently leads to wrongful conclusion, oscillation around the maximum power point and it's generally needs to link one or many modifications for general usage. Incremental conductance methods overcome these shortfalls of Perturb and Observe methods but need relatively elaborate detection devices and the choice of the step and threshold is also distressing.

Recently, numerous researchers have presented intelligent MPPT methods for photovoltaic module arrays, both to track MPPs accurately and to improve the dynamic and steady-state tracking performance [2] – [6] . However, these methods are applicable only to MPPT in photovoltaic module arrays without shading. Nevertheless, the appearance of multi-peak output curves because of partial module shading in photovoltaic module arrays is common. Therefore, the development of an algorithm for accurately tracking the true MPPs of complex and nonlinear output curves is crucial. In [7], Ishaque *et al* presented an MPP tracker based on particle swarm optimization (PSO) for photovoltaic module arrays. Although this tracker was capable of tracking global MPPs of multi-peak characteristic curves because of the fixed values adopted for weighing within the algorithm, the tracking performance lacked robustness, resulting in low efficiency when tracking global MPPs. In an instance where the MPPs were tracked successfully, the dynamic response speed was very low. Therefore, this research critically employs PSO with added improvements

that will prevent it from being trapped in local MPPs (i.e., searching only local MPPs on the PV characteristics curves) and enabling it to track global MPPs quickly and consistently on the multi-peak characteristic curves of photovoltaic module arrays.

Invariably the best approach is to employ an evolutionary algorithm (EA) method due to its ability to handle nonlinear objective functions; EA is envisioned to be very effective to deal with MPPT problem. Among the EA methods, particle swarm optimization (PSO) is employed here because it is highly potential due to its simple structure, easy implementation and fast computation capability [6]. Fundamentally, PSO is best known as a search optimization; it is therefore able to locate the MPP for any type of P-V curve regardless of environmental irregularities. It can be used to track the MPP of PV system as the search space of the PSO will be reduced due to fast convergence, and hence, the time required can be greatly decreased.

Interestingly, over the years, one important feature of the PSO that has been ignored or not thoroughly studied by researchers is the searching speed through adaptive learning factors and inertia weight. These factors accelerates convergence of the PSO algorithm by consistently decreasing the weighting factor and the cognitive and social parameters, thereby reducing the steps of iterations and improved the tracking time response. The proposed approach is not only capable of tracking the maximum power point under constant irradiance, but is also able to find the maximum power point under fast changing irradiation conditions. The physical meaning of this modification of the weighting factor and the cognitive and social coefficient is that, larger step sizes are used to increase the particle search velocity during the initial search because the distance to the global optimum is relatively large. This prevents an excessively small step size from making local optimum traps unavoidable.

Furthermore, since the weighting factor ω decreases gradually as the step of iterations increases, this causes the steps in the particle movements to diminish, as

the particles approach the MPP, enabling the particles to track the MPP more accurately. Moreover, in PSO equation, the first term is exploited to maintain the same direction the particle was moving pristinely; thereby controls the converging demeanor of the particle swarm optimization. In order to expedite fast converging, the inertia weight will be culled such that the effect of the velocity equation of the algorithm diminishes during the operation. Therefore, decrementing the value of ω with time is desirable. To get refined solutions, a possible option is to set the inertia weight initially to a bigger value for better exploration and then reduce it gradually. Likewise, the cognitive and social parameter can also be modified as it affects the search ability of PSO. When this is done, the power loss as a result of the oscillation around the MPP is eradicated and the efficiency of the system increases. The theoretical analysis and simulation results illustrate the good performances of the proposed control schemes.

1.2 Thesis Objective, Scope and Contribution

1.2.1 Objectives

This research proposes a modified particle swarm optimization based maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic converter system. The objectives of this research are:

- (i) To model and simulate a Solar PV system and identifying its dynamic and transient characteristic.
- (ii) To design a PSO-based MPPT method to ensure fast, efficient and reliable tracking of maximum power point under load variations and fast changing environmental conditions.

- (iii) To verify and analyze the effectiveness of the proposed control method.
- (iv) To test the performance of the developed system using hardware.

1.2.2 Scope of Work

The scope of this thesis includes modelling and simulation of a Solar PV system to identify its dynamic and transient characteristic. A thorough study on the various control methods used by previous researchers for MPPT in photovoltaic applications is conducted. The design, modelling and simulation of an MPPT control system based on a proposed particle swarm optimization control algorithm is carried out. The proposed control method is analyzed to ascertain its performance through computer simulation using MATLAB/Simulink under load and changing environmental conditions. The proposed control method is validated via hardware experiments to test its effectiveness and the performance of the system under load and changing environmental conditions. Comparison of the proposed method with other existing methods is also included.

1.2.3 Thesis Contributions

This research will contribute significantly in developing a modified PSO-based MPPT method for a photovoltaic converter system. The significant contributions of this thesis are listed as follows:

- a) A new modified particle swarm optimization based maximum power point tracking method for photovoltaic converter system suitable for changing loads uniform and partial shading conditions.
- b) A digital controller based on TMS320F28335 eZDSP board that implement the algorithm of the new modified PSO-based MPPT method.

1.3 Thesis Organizations

This thesis is organized into six chapters. The content of these chapters is outlined as follows:

- Chapter 2 provides an overview of solar photovoltaic (PV) DC-DC converter system focused on the development of the photovoltaic cells modelling methods to identify its dynamic and transient characteristic. It will also identify the factors that influence the characteristics of the photovoltaic cells, and the effects of partial shading on the solar PV system. It will discuss the overview of MPPT control methods of power converter, and methods of interfacing of the solar PV with DC-DC converter used by other researchers; Different MPPT methods are analyzed and compared on the basis of hardware requirement, speed, accuracy, applicability, cost and the sensors used. The merits and drawbacks of the control methods are discussed lastly.
- Chapter 3 this chapter presents a description of the mathematical equations for modelling of PV cells and modules. The presented work is a detailed modeling and simulation of the PV system; it is implemented under MATLAB/Simulink environment. The numerical results for different

temperature and irradiance conditions to identifying its dynamic and transient characteristic are presented. Furthermore, this simulator tool will be used for testing MPPT algorithms' efficiencies and evaluating the effects of different partial shadows conditions. The design model of the boost DC-to-DC converter system is also being presented. Interfacing the boost DC-DC converter with a photovoltaic system is also discussed.

- Chapter 4 presents the proposed modified PSO-based MPPT method for tracking MPP either in uniform irradiance or partial irradiance levels. A simplified structure of the proposed modified PSO-based MPPT model utilizing a boost converter topology has been presented. The proposed control scheme is verified using Simulink models to test the robustness and performance. The simulation results indicate that the converter can track the maximum power point of the photovoltaic system. The results of the proposed method are finally analyzed and compared with other methods.
- Chapter 5 describes the laboratory experimental set-up. A brief explanation is given on the power circuit and gate drives. A detailed description is provided on the implementation of the proposed controller using TMS320F28335 eZDSP and then evaluates the performances of the proposed controller. Verification of the effectiveness of the proposed control method is performed by comparing the tested results, with other established methods under typical load profile and partial shading conditions. The simulation and experimental results are provided.
- Chapter 6 concludes the research works and highlights the contributions and provides possible directions for future research work.

REFERENCES

1. Hodes, G., Howell, I. and Peter, L. Nanocrystalline Photoelectrochemical Cells A New Concept In Photovoltaic Cells. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*. 1992. 139(11): 3136-3140.
2. Wu, Y. E., Shen, C. L. and Wu, C. Y. Research and improvement of maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic systems. In *Power Electronics and Drive Systems, PEDS 2009, International Conference on*. IEEE. 2009. 1308-1312.
3. Elkholy, A., Fahmy, F. and Elela, A. A. A new technique for photovoltaic module performance mathematical model. *Chemistry and Chemical Engineering (ICCCE), 2010 International Conference on*. IEEE. 2010. 6-10.
4. Adly, M., Ibrahim, M. and El Sherif, H. Comparative study of improved energy generation maximization techniques for photovoltaic systems. In *Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC) 2012, Asia-Pacific*. IEEE. 2012. 1-5.
5. Ishaque, K., Salam, Z. and Syafaruddin. A comprehensive MATLAB Simulink PV system simulator with partial shading capability based on two-diode model. *Solar Energy*. 2011. 85(9): 2217-2227.
6. Mezzai, N., Rekioua, D., Rekioua, T., Mohammedi, A., Idjdarane, K. and Bacha, S. Modeling of hybrid photovoltaic/wind/fuel cells power system. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2014. 39(27): 15158-15168.
7. Ishaque, K., Salam, Z., Amjad, M. and Mekhilef, S. An Improved Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)-Based MPPT for PV With Reduced Steady-State Oscillation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*. 2012. 27(8): 3627-3638.

8. Yau, H. T., Liang, Q. C. and Hsieh, C. T. Maximum power point tracking and optimal Li-ion battery charging control for photovoltaic charging system. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*. 2012. 64(5): 822-832.
9. Dhople, S. V., Davoudi, A., Domínguez-García, A. D. and Chapman, P. L. A unified approach to reliability assessment of multiphase DC-DC converters in photovoltaic energy conversion systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*. 2012. 27(2): 739-751.
10. Houssamo, I., Locment, F. and Sechilariu, M. Maximum power tracking for photovoltaic power system: Development and experimental comparison of two algorithms. *Renewable Energy*. 2010. 35(10): 2381-2387.
11. Taghvae, M. H., Radzi, M. A. M., Moosavain, S. M., Hizam, H. and Hamiruce Marhaban, M. A current and future study on non-isolated DC-DC converters for photovoltaic applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2013. 17: 216-227.
12. Daoud, A. and Midoun, A. Simulation and experimental study of maximum power point tracker based on a DC/DC buck converter. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2010. 5(2): 514-520.
13. Zainuri, M. A. A. M., Radzi, M. A. M., Che Soh, A. and Rahim, N. A. Development of adaptive perturb and observe-fuzzy control maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic boost dc-dc converter. *IET Renewable Power Generation*. 2014. 8(2): 183-194.
14. Tian, H., Cao, J. and Li, Z. The high-gain boost converter for maximum power point tracking in photovoltaic system. *Advanced Materials Research*. 2012. 383: 2677-2684.
15. Ma, T. T. and Shr, T. H. Design and hardware implementation of a versatile photovoltaic power generating system. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2013. 8(1): 207-215.
16. Khiari, B., Sellami, A., Andoulsi, R. and Mami, A. A non linear MPPT control of photovoltaic pumping system based on discrete sliding mode. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2012. 7(6): 6129-6136.
17. Kok Soon, T., Mekhilef, S. and Safari, A. Simple and low cost incremental conductance maximum power point tracking using buck-boost converter. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*. 2013. 5(2): 023106.

18. De Assis Sobreira, P., Villalva, M. G., Barbosa, P. G., Braga, H. A. C., Gazoli, J. R., Ruppert, E. and Ferreira, A. A. Comparative analysis of current and voltage-controlled photovoltaic Maximum Power Point tracking. In *Power Electronics Conference (COBEP), 2011 Brazilian*. IEEE. 2011. 858-863.
19. Liu, P., Liu, H. and Guo, Q. DSP based voltage and current modes control of the quasi-z-source inverters for electric vehicles. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2012. 7(5): 5577-5586.
20. Trishan E., and Patrick L. C., Comparison of photovoltaic array maximum power point tracking techniques. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 2007, 22(2): 439-449.
21. Lalili, D., Mellit, A., Lourci, N., Medjahed, B. and Berkouk, E. M. Input output feedback linearization control and variable step size MPPT algorithm of a grid-connected photovoltaic inverter. *Renewable Energy*. 2011. 36(12): 3282-3291.
22. Zhang, L., Hurley, W. G. and Wölfle, W. H. A new approach to achieve maximum power point tracking for PV system with a variable inductor. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*. 2011. 26(4): 1031-1037.
23. Gonzalez, D., Ramos-Paja, C. A. and Petrone, G. Automated procedure for calculating the controller parameters in photovoltaic DC/DC converters. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2011. 6(7): 3027-3040.
24. Mamarelis, E., Petrone, G. and Spagnuolo, G. Design of a Sliding-Mode-Controlled SEPIC for PV MPPT Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2014. 61(7): 3387-3398.
25. Kakosimos, P. E. and Kladas, A. G. Implementation of photovoltaic array MPPT through fixed step predictive control technique. *Renewable Energy*. 2011. 36(9): 2508-2514.
26. Sun, K., Wu, H., Cai, Y. and Xing, Y. A Power Conditioning Stage Based on Analog-Circuit MPPT Control and a Superbuck Converter for Thermoelectric Generators in Spacecraft Power Systems. *Journal of Electronic Materials*. 2014. 43(6): 2287-2292.
27. Chekired, F., Mellit, A., Kalogirou, S. A. and Larbes, C. Intelligent maximum power point trackers for photovoltaic applications using FPGA chip: A comparative study. *Solar Energy*. 2014. 101(0): 83-99.

28. Khanna, R., Zhang, Q., Stanchina, W. E., Reed, G. F. and Mao, Z.-H. Maximum Power Point Tracking Using Model Reference Adaptive Control. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*. 2014. 29(3): 1490-1499.
29. Jayawardena, A. V., Weerasinghe, W. M. D. T., Coorera, W. S., Abeyratne, S. G. and Rathnayake, K. R. M. N. Maximum power tracking for PVs with improved tracking accuracy at irradiance transients. In *Sustainable Energy Technologies (ICSET), 2010 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE. 2010. 1-4.
30. Hamidon, F. Z., Aziz, P. D. A. and Yunus, N. H. M. Photovoltaic array modelling with P&O MPPT algorithm in MATLAB. In *Statistics in Science, Business, and Engineering (ICSSBE), 2012 International Conference on*. IEEE. 2012. 1-5.
31. Idris, N. R. N. and Yatim, A. H. M. Modeling of a new torque controller for Direct Torque Control of induction machines. In *TENCON 2000 Proceedings*. IEEE. 2000. Vol. 2: 39-45.
32. Khan, S. I., Yatim, A. H. M. and Idris, N. R. N. Analysis and design of an unbalanced input three phase controlled rectifier. In *Power Electronics and Drive Systems, 1997 Proceedings. 1997 International Conference on*. IEEE. Vol. 2: 1997. 787-791.
33. Bidyadhar S., and Raseswari P., A comparative study on maximum power point tracking techniques for photovoltaic power systems. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 2013 4(1), 89-98.
34. Xiao, W., Dunford, W. G. and Capel, A. A novel modeling method for photovoltaic cells. *Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2004. PESC 04. 2004 IEEE 35th Annual*, IEEE. 2004. 1950-1956.
35. Talha, A., Boumaaraf, H. and Bouhali, O. Evaluation of maximum power point tracking methods for photovoltaic systems. *Archives of Control Sciences*. 2011. 21(2): 151-165.
36. Liu, B., Duan, S., Liu, F. and Xu, P. Analysis and improvement of maximum power point tracking algorithm based on incremental conductance method for photovoltaic array. In *Power Electronics and Drive Systems, 2007. PEDS'07. 7th International Conference on*. IEEE. 2007. 637-641.
37. Dong, M., Yang, J., Peng, K. and Luo, A. Zero average incremental conductance maximum power point tracking control for photovoltaic system.

- Zhongguo Dianji Gongcheng Xuebao/Proceedings of the Chinese Society of Electrical Engineering*. 2010. 30(21): 48-53.
38. Ishaque, K. and Salam, Z. A review of maximum power point tracking techniques of PV system for uniform insolation and partial shading condition. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2013. 19: 475-488.
 39. Tey, K. S. and Mekhilef, S. Modified Incremental Conductance Algorithm for Photovoltaic System Under Partial Shading Conditions and Load Variation. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2014. 61(10): 5384-5392.
 40. Kennedy, R. Eberhart, Particle swarm optimization, in: Proceedings of the 1995 IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, vol. 4, 1995, pp. 1942–1948.
 41. Ali R. R., Mohammad H. M., Shahriar J., Classification and comparison of maximum power point tracking techniques for photovoltaic system: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2013, 19, 433–443.
 42. Muhamad, N. D., Sahid, M. R., Yatim, A. H. M., Idris, N. R. N. and Ayob, M. S. Design of power stage and controller for DC-DC converter systems using PSPICE. In *Power Electronics and Drives Systems, 2005. PEDS 2005. International Conference on*. IEEE. 2005. Vol. 2: 903-908.
 43. Tian, H., Mancilla-David, F., Ellis, K., Muljadi, E. and Jenkins, P. A cell-to-module-to-array detailed model for photovoltaic panels. *Solar Energy*. 2012. 86(9): 2695-2706.
 44. Pallavee B., Nema R.K., Maximum power point tracking control techniques: State-of-the-art in photovoltaic applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2013, 23, 224–241.
 45. Ishaque, K., Salam, Z., Taheri, H. and Syafaruddin. Modeling and simulation of photovoltaic (PV) system during partial shading based on a two-diode model. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*. 2011. 19(7): 1613-1626.
 46. Lo Brano, V. and Ciulla, G. An efficient analytical approach for obtaining a five parameters model of photovoltaic modules using only reference data. *Applied Energy*. 2013. 111(0): 894-903.
 47. Liu, C.-L., Chen, J.-H., Liu, Y.-H. and Yang, Z.-Z. An Asymmetrical Fuzzy-Logic-Control-Based MPPT Algorithm for Photovoltaic Systems. *Energies*. 2014. 7(4): 2177-2193.

48. Barra, K. and Rahem, D. Predictive direct power control for photovoltaic grid connected system: An approach based on multilevel converters. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2014. 78: 825-834.
49. Ishaque, K., Salam, Z., Taheri, H. and Shamsudin, A. A critical evaluation of EA computational methods for Photovoltaic cell parameter extraction based on two diode model. *Solar Energy*. 2011. 85(9): 1768-1779.
50. Mozaffari Niapour, S. A. K., Danyali, S., Sharifian, M. B. B. and Feyzi, M. R. Brushless DC motor drives supplied by PV power system based on Z-source inverter and FL-IC MPPT controller. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2011. 52(8-9): 3043-3059.
51. Guenounou, O., Dahhou, B. and Chabour, F. Adaptive fuzzy controller based MPPT for photovoltaic systems. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2014. 78: 843-850.
52. Kim, K. H., Kim, H. S. and Park, J. H. Maximum power point tracking using double fuzzy logic controller for grid-connected photovoltaic system. *Transactions of the Korean Institute of Electrical Engineers*. 2011. 60(3): 471-478.
53. Bay, O. F. and Atacak, I. Real-time implementation of a type-2 fuzzy logic controller on an on-line UPS system. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2013. 8(1): 10-25.
54. Bouchafaa, F., Beriber, D. and Boucherit, M. S. Modeling and simulation of a grid connected PV generation system with MPPT fuzzy logic control. In *Systems Signals and Devices (SSD), 2010 7th International Multi-Conference on*. IEEE. 2010.1-7.
55. Ovalle, A. A., Chamorro, H. R. and Ramos, G. Step-size fuzzy control to maximum power point tracking algorithms for PV microgrid arrays. In *Robotics Symposium, 2011 IEEE IX Latin American and IEEE Colombian Conference on Automatic Control and Industry Applications (LARC)*. IEEE. 2011. 1-6.
56. Arulmurugan, R. and Suthanthiravanitha, N. Adaptive fuzzy logic control based optimal Hopfield neural network of standalone photovoltaic system with battery storage. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*. 2014. 6(3). 033113.

57. Lee, H. H., Phuong, L. M., Dzung, P. Q., Dan Vu, N. T. and Khoa, L. D. The new maximum power point tracking algorithm using ANN-based solar PV systems. In *TENCON 2010-2010 IEEE Region 10 Conference*. IEEE. 2010. 2179-2184.
58. Phan, Q. D., Le, D. K., Hong, H. L., Le, M. P. and Vu, N. T. D. The new MPPT algorithm using ANN-based PV. In *Strategic Technology (IFOST), 2010 International Forum on*. IEEE. 2010. 402-407.
59. Safari, A. and Mekhilef, S. Simulation and hardware implementation of incremental conductance MPPT with direct control method using cuk converter. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2011. 58(4): 1154-1161.
60. Lin, W. M. and Hong, C. M. Intelligent approach to maximum power point tracking control strategy for variable-speed wind turbine generation system. *Energy*. 2010. 35(6): 2440-2447.
61. Miyatake, M., Veerachary, M., Toriumi, F., Fujii, N. and Ko, H. Maximum power point tracking of multiple photovoltaic arrays: A PSO approach. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*. 2011. 47(1): 367-380.
62. Seol, J. W., Jang, J. J., Kim, D. M., Lee, S. H. and Kim, J. O. Assessment on power quality of grid-connected PV system based on incremental conductance MPPT control. *Transactions of the Korean Institute of Electrical Engineers*. 2007. 56(1): 8-13.
63. Phimmason, V., Endo, T., Kondo, Y. and Miyatake, M. Improvement of the maximum power point tracker for photovoltaic generators with particle swarm optimization technique by adding repulsive force among agents. In *Electrical Machines and Systems, 2009. ICEMS 2009. International Conference on*. Tokyo. IEEE. 2009. 1-6.
64. Yang, L., Cao, J. and Li, Z. Principles and implementation of maximum power point tracking in photovoltaic system. In *Mechanic Automation and Control Engineering (MACE), 2010 International Conference on*. IEEE. 2010. 2239-2242.
65. Hossain, M. K. and Ali, M. H. Overview on maximum power point tracking (MPPT) techniques for photovoltaic power systems. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2013. 8(4): 1363-1378.

66. Liu, W., Liu, L. and Cartes, D. A. Particle Swarm Optimization as a general design tool in power engineering. In *Power and Energy Society General Meeting-Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century, 2008*. Pittsburgh, PA IEEE. 2008. 1-8.
67. Cheng, Z., Zhou, H. and Yang, H. Research on MPPT control of PV system based on PSO algorithm. In *Control and Decision Conference (CCDC), 2010 Chinese*. Xuzhou. IEEE. 2010. 887-892.
68. Phimmason, V., Kondo, Y., Kamejima, T. and Miyatake, M. Evaluation of extracted energy from PV with PSO-based MPPT against various types of solar irradiation changes. In *Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS), 2010 International Conference on*. Incheon. IEEE. 2010. 487-492.
69. Liu, Y., Xia, D. and He, Z. MPPT of a PV system based on the particle swarm optimization. In *Electric Utility Deregulation and Restructuring and Power Technologies (DRPT), 2011 4th International Conference on*. Weihai, Shandong. IEEE. 2011. 1094-1096.
70. González-Morán, C., Arboleya, P., Reigosa, D., Díaz, G. and Gómez-Aleixandre, J. Improved model of photovoltaic sources considering ambient temperature and solar irradiation. In *Sustainable Alternative Energy (SAE), 2009 IEEE PES/IAS Conference on*. IEEE. 2009. 1-6.
71. Yusof, Y., Sayuti, S. H., Latif, M. A. and Wanik, M. Z. C. Modeling and simulation of maximum power point tracker for photovoltaic system. In *Power and Energy Conference, 2004. PECon 2004. Proceedings. National*. IEEE. 2004. 88-93.
72. Dorofte, C., Borup, U. and Blaabjerg, F. A combined two-method MPPT control scheme for grid-connected photovoltaic systems. In *Power electronics and applications, 2005 European conference on*. IEEE. 2005. 10-pp.
73. Hamrouni, N., Jraidi, M., Cherif, A. and Dhouib, A. Measurements and simulation of a PV pumping systems parameters using MPPT and PWM control strategies. In *Electrotechnical Conference, 2006. MELECON 2006. IEEE Mediterranean*. IEEE. 2006. 885-888.
74. Azam, M. A., Abdullah-Al-Nahid, S., Alam, M. M., Plabon, B. A. Microcontroller based High Precision PSO Algorithm for Maximum Solar Power Tracking. *2012 International Conference on Informatics, Electronics & Vision (Iciev)*. 2012. 292-297.

75. Villalva, M. G., & Gazoli, J. R. (2009). Comprehensive approach to modeling and simulation of photovoltaic arrays. *Power Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 2009. 24(5): 1198-1208.
76. Ishaque, K. and Salam, Z. An improved modeling method to determine the model parameters of photovoltaic (PV) modules using differential evolution (DE). *Solar Energy*. 2011. 85(9): 2349-2359.
77. Ma, T., Yang, H. and Lu, L. Solar photovoltaic system modeling and performance prediction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2014. 36(0): 304-315.
78. Krismadinata, Rahim, N. A., Ping, H. W. and Selvaraj, J. Photovoltaic Module Modeling using Simulink/Matlab. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 2013. 17(0): 537-546.
79. Vengatesh, R. P. and Rajan, S. E. Investigation of cloudless solar radiation with PV module employing Matlab–Simulink. *Solar Energy*. 2011. 85(9): 1727-1734.
80. Zegaoui, A., Aillerie, M., Petit, P., Sawicki, J. P., Charles, J. P. and Belarbi, A. W. Dynamic behaviour of PV generator trackers under irradiation and temperature changes. *Solar Energy*. 2011. 85(11): 2953-2964.
81. Bellia, H., Youcef, R. and Fatima, M. A detailed modeling of photovoltaic module using MATLAB. *NRIAG Journal of Astronomy and Geophysics*. 2014.
82. Abdulkadir, M., Samosir, A. S. and Yatim, A. Modeling and simulation based approach of photovoltaic system in simulink model. *ARPJN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*. 2012. 7(5): 616-623.
83. Román, E., Alonso, R., Ibañez, P., Elorduizapatarietxe, S. and Goitia, D. Intelligent PV module for grid-connected PV systems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2006. 53(4): 1066-1073.
84. Ding, K., Zhang, J., Bian, X. and Xu, J. A simplified model for photovoltaic modules based on improved translation equations. *Solar Energy*. 2014. 101(0): 40-52.
85. Mohd Zainuri, M., Radzi, M., Soh, A. C. and Rahim, N. A. Development of adaptive perturb and observe-fuzzy control maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic boost dc-dc converter. *Renewable Power Generation, IET*. 2014. 8(2): 183-194.

86. Ahmed, J. and Salam, Z. A Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) for PV system using Cuckoo Search with partial shading capability. *Applied Energy*. 2014. 119: 118-130.
87. El Khateb, A., Rahim, N. A., Selvaraj, J. and Uddin, M. N. (Year). Fuzzy logic controller based SEPIC converter of maximum power point tracking. *Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting (IAS), 2012 IEEE*, 2012. IEEE, 1-9.
88. Salam, Z., Ishaque, K. and Taheri, H. An improved two-diode photovoltaic (PV) model for PV system. In *Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES) & 2010 Power India, 2010 Joint International Conference on*. New Delhi. IEEE. 2010. 1-5.
89. Ponkarthik, N. and Murugavel, K. K. Performance enhancement of solar photovoltaic system using novel Maximum Power Point Tracking. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2014. 60: 1-5.
90. Raj, J. S. C. M. and Jeyakumar, A. E. A Novel Maximum Power Point Tracking Technique for Photovoltaic Module Based on Power Plane Analysis of I-V Characteristics. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2014. 61(9): 4734-4745.
91. Yang, Y. and Zhou, K. Photovoltaic cell modeling and MPPT control strategies. *Diangong Jishu Xuebao/Transactions of China Electrotechnical Society*. 2011. 26(SUPPL. 1): 229-234.
92. Zhao, G. and Jiang, H. Simulation of an improved method of maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic array *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 2012. 130: 3472-3475.
93. Azli, N. A. and Yatim, A. H. M. DSP-based online optimal PWM multilevel control for fuel cell power conditioning systems. In *Industrial Electronics Society, 2001. IECON'01. The 27th Annual Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE. 2001. Vol. 2: 921-926.
94. Tsai, H.-L. Insolation-oriented model of photovoltaic module using Matlab/Simulink. *Solar Energy*. 2010. 84(7): 1318-1326.
95. Ayob, S. M., Azli, N. A. and Salam, Z. Single input PI-fuzzy controller for single phase inverter system. *International Review of Electrical Engineering*. 2008. 3(3): 418-425.

96. El Khateb, A., Rahim, N. A., Selvaraj, J. and Uddin, M. N. Maximum power point tracking of single-ended primary-inductor converter employing a novel optimisation technique for proportional-integral-derivative controller. *IET Power Electronics*. 2013. 6(6): 1111-1121.
97. Chatterjee, A., Ghoshal, S. P. and Mukherjee, V. Chaotic ant swarm optimization for fuzzy-based tuning of power system stabilizer. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*. 2011. 33(3): 657-672.
98. Coelho, R. F., Concer, F. M. and Martins, D. C. A MPPT approach based on temperature measurements applied in PV systems. In *Industry Applications (INDUSCON), 2010 9th IEEE/IAS International Conference on*. IEEE. 2010. 1-6.
99. Azli, N. A., Nayan, N. M. and Ayob, S. M. Particle swarm optimisation and its applications in power converter systems. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing*. 2013. 3(4): 372-386.
100. Abdulkadir, M., Yatim, A. H. M. and Yusuf, S. T. An Improved PSO-Based MPPT Control Strategy for Photovoltaic Systems. *International Journal of Photoenergy*. 2014.
101. Ishaque, K., Salam, Z., Taheri, H. and Shamsudin, A. Maximum Power Point Tracking for PV system under partial shading condition via particle swarm optimization. In *Applied Power Electronics Colloquium (IAPEC), 2011 IEEE Johor Bahru*. IEEE. 2011. 5-9.
102. Siwakoti, Y. P., Chhetri, B. B., Adhikary, B. and Bista, D. Microcontroller based intelligent DC/DC converter to track maximum power point for solar photovoltaic module. In *Innovative Technologies for an Efficient and Reliable Electricity Supply (CITRES), 2010 IEEE Conference on*. IEEE. 2010. 94-101.
103. Fu, Q. and Tong, N. A Complex-method-based PSO algorithm for the maximum power point tracking in photovoltaic system. In *information technology and computer science (ITCS), 2010 second international conference on*. Kiev. IEEE. 2010. 134-137.
104. Miyatake, M., Toriumi, F., Endo, T. and Fujii, N. A novel maximum power point tracker controlling several converters connected to photovoltaic arrays with particle swarm optimization technique. In *power electronics and applications, 2007 European conference on*. Aalborg. IEEE. 2007. 1-10.

105. Chen, L. R., Tsai, C. H., Lin, Y. L. and Lai, Y. S. A biological swarm chasing algorithm for tracking the PV maximum power point. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*. 2010. 25(2): 484-493.
106. Liu, C. and Liu, L. Particle SWARM optimization MPPT method for PV materials in partial shading. *Advanced Materials Research*, 2011. 321: 72-75.
107. Zainuri, M. A. A. M., Radzi, M. A. M., Che Soh, A. and Rahim, N. A. Development of adaptive perturb and observe-fuzzy control maximum power point tracking for photovoltaic boost dc-dc converter. *Iet Renewable Power Generation*. 2014. 8(2): 183-194.
108. Lian, K. L., Jhang, J. H. and Tian, I. S. A Maximum Power Point Tracking Method Based on Perturb-and-Observe Combined With Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics*. 2014. 4(2): 626-633.
109. Phimmason, V., Kondo, Y., Shiota, N., Miyatake, M. The Demonstration Experiments to Verify the Effectiveness of the Improved PSO-based MPPT Controlling Multiple Photovoltaic Arrays In *ECCE Asia Downunder (ECCE Asia), 2013 IEEE*. IEEE. 2013. 86-92.
110. Anurag, A., Bal, S., Sourav, S. and Nanda, M. A review of maximum power-point tracking techniques for photovoltaic systems. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*. 2014. (ahead-of-print): 1-24.
111. Yasada, K., Iwasaki, N., Adaptive particle swarm optimization using velocity information of swarm, in: *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 2004, pp. 3475–3481.
112. Eberhart, R.C., Shi, Y., Particle swarm optimization: developments application and resources, in: *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Systems*, 2001, pp. 68–73.
113. Y. Shi, R. Eberhart, A modified particle swarm optimizer, in: *In Evolutionary Computation Proceedings, 1998. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence, the 1998 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE. 1998. pp. 69–73.
114. Y. Shi, R.C. Eberhart, Parameter selection in particle swarm optimization, in: *Proceedings of 7th International Conference on Evolutionary Programming VII, Springer Berlin Heidelberg*. 1998. pp. 591-600.

- 115 Xing, J., & Xiao, D. New Metropolis coefficients of particle swarm optimization. In: *Control and Decision Conference, 2008. CCDC 2008. Chinese*. IEEE. 2008. pp. 3518-3521.