

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Optimal Design of Permeable Fiber Network Structures for Fog Harvesting

*Kyoo-Chul Park<sup>1‡</sup>, Shreerang S. Chhatre<sup>2‡\*</sup>, Siddarth Srinivasan<sup>2</sup>,*

*Robert E. Cohen<sup>2\*</sup>, Gareth H. McKinley<sup>1\*</sup>*

[1] Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

[2] Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

## KEYWORDS

fog collection, woven mesh, fluid mechanics, surface wettability, droplet deposition

1  
2  
3 ABSTRACT  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Fog represents a large, untapped source of potable water, especially in arid climates. Numerous plants and animals use textural as well as chemical features on their surfaces to harvest this precious resource. In this work, we investigate the influence of surface wettability characteristics, length scale, and weave density on the fog harvesting capability of woven meshes. We develop a combined hydrodynamic and surface wettability model to predict the overall fog collection efficiency of the meshes and cast the findings in the form of a design chart. Two limiting surface wettability constraints govern re-entrainment of collected droplets and clogging of mesh openings. Appropriate tuning of the wetting characteristics of the surfaces, reducing the wire radii, and optimizing the wire spacing all lead to more efficient fog collection. We use a family of coated meshes with a directed stream of fog droplets to simulate a natural foggy environment and demonstrate a five-fold enhancement in the fog-collecting efficiency of a conventional polyolefin mesh. The design rules developed in this work can be applied to select a mesh surface with optimal topography and wetting characteristics to harvest enhanced water fluxes over a wide range of natural convected fog environments.

## Introduction

According to a recent UN water crisis report by the Food and Agriculture Organization<sup>1</sup> “fog collection technology appears to be an extremely promising and low-cost water harvesting system for drinking water, crop irrigation, livestock beverage and forest restoration in dryland mountains.” The UN authors go on to note that the growing global water crisis is a major issue threatening more than 2 billion people in arid regions. To recognize the significance of the water crisis, the UN declared the period 2005-2015 as International Decade for Action “Water for Life” and UNESCO recently launched the International Year for Water Cooperation 2013. As one part of the solution to the water crisis, fog harvesting has already been successfully demonstrated in the field in more than 17 countries over recent decades.<sup>2-8</sup>

The fog harvesting capability of plants and animals have been studied extensively (Figure 1a, 1b).<sup>9-17</sup> The Namib desert beetle (*Stenocara gracilipes*) survives by drinking fog water that it collects on its wing case.<sup>10, 15</sup> A grass (*Stipagrostis sabulicola*) in the same region employs an anisotropic microstructure on its thin long leaves to direct water droplets towards its roots.<sup>16</sup> Tree canopies with slender leaves (*Pinus radiata* and *Casuarina equisetifolia*) also harvest water from fog (Figure 1a).<sup>11-13</sup> Sticky spider webs<sup>17</sup> decorated with tiny water droplets collected from morning fog are a common sight (Figure 1b).<sup>18</sup>

Inspired by such natural phenomena, researchers have constructed fog harvesting meshes,<sup>3-7</sup> which can be characterized by the fiber radius  $R$  and the half spacing  $D$  of the mesh (Figure 1c-e). The goal is to maximize the efficiency (denoted by  $\eta$ ) at which the liquid water content is extracted from the incident moist air stream. Solid structures placed in a wind stream (with unperturbed velocity  $v_0$ ) deflect the air, but the fog droplets (of radius  $r_{fog}$ ), have a tendency to

1  
2  
3 migrate across streamlines due to their higher inertia and impact the solid fibers. This migration  
4  
5  
6 is controlled by the Stokes number,  $St = t_{particle}/t_{flow} = (2\rho_{water}r_{fog}^2/9\mu_{air})/(R/v_0)$   
7  
8  
9  $= (2\rho_{water}/9\rho_{air})Re_R(r_{fog}/R)^2$  which captures the ratio of the response time of a particle to that of  
10  
11 the surrounding flow.<sup>19</sup> Here  $\rho_{water}$  and  $\rho_{air}$  are the densities of the droplet and air, respectively,  
12  
13  $\mu_{air}$  is the viscosity of air and  $Re_R = \rho_{air}v_0R/\mu_{air}$  is the Reynolds number. Large Stokes numbers  
14  
15 ( $St \gg 1$ ) lead to higher rates of droplet interception and a higher fog collection efficiency.  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 Using woven polyolefin Raschel meshes (Figure 1c, 1d), Schemenauer, Cereceda and co-  
22  
23 workers have conducted numerous pilot-scale studies that demonstrate the feasibility of fog  
24  
25 harvesting.<sup>3,5-7</sup> A Standard Fog Collector consisting of 1m<sup>2</sup> of double-layered Raschel mesh is  
26  
27 typically deployed.<sup>6</sup> Water collection rates ranging from 10<sup>-1</sup> ℓ/m<sup>2</sup>/day to 10<sup>1</sup> ℓ/m<sup>2</sup>/day have  
28  
29 been reported for various locations and fog conditions.<sup>3</sup> Researchers have also investigated the  
30  
31 influence of the width of the mesh fibers ( $2R$ ), the shade coefficient ( $SC$ , *i.e.* the fractional area  
32  
33 coverage), and the composition of the mesh material on the fog harvesting efficiency.<sup>4,7</sup> Most  
34  
35 studies have been performed in the field using uncontrolled natural fog conditions, and  
36  
37 systematic studies of mesh-based fog harvesters under laboratory conditions have been rare.<sup>3-8, 20,</sup>  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 <sup>21</sup> Most work to date has focused on the mesh topology; the role of mesh surface wettability in  
43  
44 fog harvesting has received little attention.<sup>14, 20-22</sup>  
45  
46  
47

48  
49 In this study, we integrate a recent theoretical analysis<sup>4</sup> of the hydrodynamics near a woven  
50  
51 mesh with physico-chemical constraints that address i) the issues of clogging of the mesh by  
52  
53 coalesced droplets and ii) re-entrainment of collected droplets in the wind. We distill the  
54  
55 resulting composite understanding of liquid drop impact on a fiber network structure into a  
56  
57 design chart that predicts the overall collection efficiency for a given mesh geometry in a given  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 fog environment. We also report experimental collection efficiencies for a homologous series of  
4  
5 woven meshes with controlled surface chemistry that significantly exceed the current collection  
6  
7 efficiency of the Raschel mesh under controlled laboratory conditions.  
8  
9

## 10 11 12 13 14 **Materials and Methods**

### 15 16 17 18 Preparation of Meshes

19  
20  
21 Raschel mesh samples with 35% shade coefficient were procured from Fogquest volunteers in  
22  
23 Chile and were cut into 30 mm by 30 mm pieces. To keep the Raschel mesh flat and to ensure  
24  
25 that the meshes are normal to the direction of fog stream, the three edges of square planar mesh  
26  
27 were supported by a metal wire frame with diameter of 127  $\mu\text{m}$ . Sample wire mesh surfaces  
28  
29 were prepared by cutting commercially available wire meshes (McMaster-Carr, Corrosion-  
30  
31 Resistant Type 304 Stainless Steel Woven Wire Cloth) into the same square planar shape with 30  
32  
33 mm side.  
34  
35  
36

### 37 38 39 Polyolefin emulant coating (denoted by PO)

40  
41  
42  
43 When comparing the performance of the Raschel and wire meshes, it is important to note that  
44  
45 both the surface chemistry and the physical geometry are different. To enable us to investigate  
46  
47 the effect of each variable independently it is thus desirable to develop a coating for the mesh  
48  
49 that can emulate the properties of a polyolefin surface. We have previously shown that by tuning  
50  
51 the relative concentration of the FluoroPOSS species and a compatible polymer binder (*e.g.*  
52  
53 PEMA) we can tune the wettability characteristics of a dip-coated surface over a wide range.<sup>23</sup>  
54  
55

56  
57 In order to generate a coated wire mesh that closely mimics the surface properties of the  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 polyolefin Raschel mesh, the as-received wire mesh was dip-coated using a 1.7 wt.%  
4  
5 1H,1H,2H,2H-heptadecafluorodecyl polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (fluorodecyl POSS)  
6  
7  
8 98.3 wt.% poly(ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA,  $M_w = 515$  kDa, Sigma Aldrich) solution in a  
9  
10 volatile hydrochlorofluorocarbon solvent (Asahiklin AK-225, Asahi Glass Company) at a  
11  
12 concentration of  $10 \text{ mg/m}^{\ell}$ . The meshes were dipped for 5 minutes, and then air-dried to  
13  
14 evaporate the solvent. Uniformity of the coating was checked by scanning electron microscope  
15  
16 (SEM) and by contact angle measurements at multiple locations on the surface.  
17  
18  
19

#### 20 21 POSS-PEMA dipcoating (denoted by PPD) 22 23

24  
25 Mesh samples were dip-coated using a 50 wt.% fluorodecyl POSS / 50 wt.% PEMA solution  
26  
27 in Asahiklin at a concentration of  $10 \text{ mg/m}^{\ell}$ . The meshes were dipped for 5 minutes, and then  
28  
29 air-dried to evaporate the solvent, resulting in a smooth hydrophobic coating.  
30  
31

#### 32 33 POSS-PEMA spraycoating (denoted by PPS) 34 35

36  
37 A 50 wt.% fluorodecyl POSS 50 wt.% PEMA solution was prepared in Asahiklin at a  
38  
39 concentration of  $50 \text{ mg/m}^{\ell}$ . The superhydrophobic spray-coated meshes were prepared by spray  
40  
41 deposition of the polymer solution using an air spray-brush (McMaster-Carr) using a pressurized  
42  
43 nitrogen stream to produce a re-entrant microtexture with very low contact angle hysteresis.<sup>24</sup> A  
44  
45 representative micrograph of the spray-coated mesh is shown in Figure S3.  
46  
47

#### 48 49 Liquid Impregnated surfaces (denoted by KO) 50 51

52  
53 The spray-coated meshes were immersed into nonvolatile Krytox oil ( $\gamma_{LV} = 17 \text{ mN/m}$  at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  
54  
55  $\mu = 113 \text{ mPa}\cdot\text{s}$  at  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , DuPont) using a DCAT 11 (DataPhysics Instruments) tensiometer at a  
56  
57 rate of  $0.5 \text{ mm/min}$  and then retracted at the same speed. A thin film of fluorinated Krytox oil  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 remains imbibed into the porous spraycoated texture after the mesh is completely withdrawn  
4  
5 from the oil bath, resulting in a “SLIPS” surface with very low contact angle hysteresis.<sup>25-27</sup>  
6  
7

### 8 9 Experimental Procedure

10  
11 All fog collection experiments were performed at a relative humidity of  $RH = 100\%$  and  
12 temperature of  $26.4 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$  in a controlled-humidity glove box (environmental chamber,  
13 Electro-Tech Systems, Inc.) to eliminate complications resulting from evaporation and  
14 condensation. Each sample was positioned 10 mm in front of the end of two plastic concentric  
15 cylinders with different diameters of 100 mm and 30 mm, respectively. As shown in Figure S5,  
16 fog droplets ( $r_{fog} = 3 \mu\text{m}$ ) created from a nebulizer (Omron Compair XLT Nebulizer  
17 Compressor Kit NE-C25,  $\dot{Q}=16 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$ ) were convected towards the mesh sample by a wind  
18 stream of velocity  $v_0 \approx 2\text{m/s}$ , generated by a speed-tunable fan (Thermaltake Mobile Fan II  
19 External USB Cooling Fan). The concentric axis of the two cylinders and axes of symmetry of  
20 meshes and container were aligned to be on the same vertical plane. The mass of water collected  
21 in the container with dimension of 50 mm by 50 mm that was located 50 mm under the mesh  
22 samples was measured every hour for Raschel meshes and every 30 minutes for wire woven  
23 meshes to quantify the time evolution of the collection efficiency. Once the efficiency reached a  
24 steady asymptotic value, the readings from at least three consecutive experiments were averaged  
25 to calculate the fog collection efficiency.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Theoretical Basis

We introduce two dimensionless geometric groups to facilitate the mechanistic analysis of fog harvesting behavior: i) the ratio of the radius of fog droplets ( $r_{fog}$ ) to the radius of the mesh wire ( $R$ ); denoted by  $R^* = r_{fog}/R$  and ii) a spacing ratio denoted by  $D^* = (R + D)/R$  (see Figure 1e, 2a, 2b), which measures the relative openness of the weave. The more commonly reported shade coefficient ( $SC$ ), which is the fraction of projected area that is occluded by the solid mesh fibers, can also be evaluated in terms of  $D^*$  as  $SC = 2[1 - 1/(2D^*)]/D^*$ . We use the design space (Figure 2c) constructed from these two parameters to identify various meshes and evaluate their fog collection efficiencies  $\eta(R^*, D^*)$  using droplet impact models proposed by Langmuir and Blodgett,<sup>19</sup> and more recently by Rivera.<sup>4</sup>

As shown in Figure 1e, 2a and 2b, when fog droplets convected with a uniform velocity  $v_0$  approach the vertical mesh surface, some of the droplets collide with the mesh fibers and are subsequently deposited, whereas others pass through the void spaces between the wires. Repeated collisions lead to continued deposition, followed by droplet coalescence and growth. When the volume of a pinned water droplet reaches a critical value sufficient for the gravitational force to overcome the integrated line forces at the liquid-solid interface, the water droplet flows down along the mesh and is ultimately collected in a container. The void spaces do not collect any droplets, but they decrease the overall wind resistance and therefore help to maintain a high droplet flux towards the mesh.

The overall collection efficiency is a product of i) the aerodynamic collection efficiency ( $\eta_a$ ) and ii) the subsequent deposition efficiency ( $\eta_d$ ).<sup>4</sup> Rivera argued that the aerodynamic collection



1  
2  
3 efficiency accounts for the fraction of fog flow that is directed towards the solid portion of the  
4 mesh. The high Reynolds number ( $Re \gg 1$ ) flow of moist air around the porous mesh (Figure 2a)  
5  
6 is modeled as a linear combination of the flow around an impermeable rigid solid (*i.e.*  $D^* = 1$ ),  
7  
8 and an unobstructed uniform flow.<sup>4</sup> Due to the no slip and no penetration boundary conditions at  
9  
10 the solid surface, the wind speed is locally reduced in the vicinity of the individual mesh  
11  
12 elements. This momentum deficit is modeled in terms of an appropriate drag coefficient for the  
13  
14 overall structure ( $C_D \approx 1.18$ )<sup>4</sup> and the aerodynamic collection efficiency is ultimately expressed  
15  
16 as  $\eta_a = SC / (1 + \sqrt{C_0/C_D})$ , where  $C_0$  is the pressure drop coefficient for a cylindrical mesh<sup>4</sup> given  
17  
18 as  $C_0 = 1.44 [1.3SC + (SC/(1-SC))^2]$ . The collection efficiency is not simply equal to the shade  
19  
20 coefficient of the mesh, but changes nonmonotonically with the openness of the mesh and the  
21  
22 aerodynamic characteristics of the flow through the mesh. As  $D^*$  increases from unity (an  
23  
24 impermeable plate), the aerodynamic collection efficiency ( $\eta_a$ ) increases from zero as the lateral  
25  
26 deflection of the air stream is diminished. There is a local maximum in the aerodynamic  
27  
28 efficiency at  $D_{crit}^* \approx 3.1$  (or equivalently,  $SC \approx 0.55$ ), and beyond this point most fog droplets  
29  
30 pass through the void area between wires without being deflected, consequently  $\eta_a$  decreases for  
31  
32 large values of  $D^* \gg 1$ .<sup>4</sup>  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The deposition efficiency ( $\eta_d$ ) quantifies the fraction of fog droplets that are actually  
47  
48 deposited from the population initially headed towards the solid wires. In their seminal work,  
49  
50 Langmuir and Blodgett used numerical calculations to develop an empirical correlation for the  
51  
52 deposition of small particles on an infinitely long cylinder.<sup>19</sup> They found that the deposition  
53  
54 efficiency could be described well by an empirical expression ( $\eta_d \approx St / (St + \pi/2)$ ), where  $St$  is  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

the Stokes number. This expression monotonically increases as the dimensionless radius ratio  $R^*$  increases, *i.e.* cylinders with progressively smaller radii are more efficient collectors.

The resultant overall collection efficiency from the two contributions can therefore be expressed in terms of the shade coefficient of the mesh and the Stokes number of the droplet as

$$\eta = \eta_a(D^*)\eta_d(R^*) = \left[ \frac{SC}{1 + \sqrt{C_0/C_D}} \right] \left[ \frac{St}{St + \pi/2} \right]. \quad [1]$$

This function is plotted in Figure 2c as a contour map in  $R^*, D^*$  parameter space, assuming a wind velocity of 2 m/s and uniform droplet size  $r_{fog} \approx 3 \mu\text{m}$ , (corresponding to  $0 \leq St \leq 4$ ). The contours indicate that the collection efficiency of a conventional Raschel mesh (with coordinates corresponding to  $D^* \approx 5.1$ ,  $R^* \approx 0.005$ , and an efficiency  $\eta \approx 4.8\%$ ) is much lower than that of a thinner and denser wire mesh (corresponding to  $D^* \approx 3.5$ ,  $R^* \approx 0.024$ ,  $\eta \approx 12\%$ ). The contour map can also be used as a design chart for selecting an optimal mesh by selecting a specified value of  $D^*$  (or  $SC$ ) and then maximizing  $R^*$ . Our chart can be employed to estimate the maximum fog collection efficiency and evaluate the amount of collected water expected for a specific mesh surface, if the characteristic wind speed of the fog ( $v_0$ ), liquid water content, total mesh area, and collection time are known.

It is clear from Figure 2c that a Raschel mesh is far from the optimal mesh design for high fog collection rates. The efficiency of a square planar mesh can be increased to about 5.5%, by optimizing the effective mesh opening  $D^*$  using multiple overlapping layers of meshes for example. However, for a given value of  $r_{fog}$ , further gains in efficiency are possible only by using meshes with smaller wire radii  $R$  (to achieve higher values of  $R^*$ ).

## Results and Discussion

The theoretical collection efficiency anticipated from this design framework for meshes can be adversely affected in actual performance due to two issues that depend on the surface wettability; i) convective loss of deposited droplets (or *re-entrainment*); as shown schematically in Figure 3a and ii) clogging of the mesh with pinned droplets that modifies the local aerodynamics (Figure 3b, S2).

Re-entrainment arises from aerodynamically-induced detachment of deposited water droplets back into the air stream before they can reach the critical volume at which gravitational drainage dominates. As the small deposited water droplets coalesce, the growing droplets are influenced by the competition between aerodynamic drag forces ( $F_{drag}$ ) and surface adhesion forces ( $F_{adhesion}$ ).<sup>28-30</sup> When the drag force overwhelms the adhesion force, the droplets are re-entrained in the fog flow, leading to a decrease in the fog collection efficiency (Figure 3a).

In Figure 3b we identify a second problem that occurs on a mesh when the deposited liquid volume becomes large. In the clogging region, the hysteretic wetting force pinning a droplet in the interstices of the mesh exceeds the gravitational draining force when the deposited water droplet size is less than a critical volume. The void area between mesh elements can thus become occluded by these pinned (non-draining) drops, depending on the spacing of the individual fibers that form the porous mesh structure. Such clogged parts of the mesh are impermeable and deflect the local air flow, significantly hampering the overall fog-harvesting ability of the grid. The effective void fraction of the porous mesh approaches zero (or  $D_{effective}^* \rightarrow 1$ ) as the mesh becomes increasingly clogged and the aerodynamic collection then becomes zero.

To overcome these two challenges and to design fog collection mesh surfaces with high efficiency in practice, we consider the two critical water drop radii - denoted  $r_e$  and  $r_c$  in Figure 3c and 3d - that control these phenomena and how they vary with the physico-chemical surface properties of the meshes. In Figure 3c, the right-most shaded region represents the range of parameter space where the aerodynamic drag force (which grows as  $F_{drag} \approx \rho_{air} v_0^2 r_{drop}^2$ ) exceeds the adhesion force (which grows as  $F_{adhesion} \approx \gamma_{LV} (1 + \cos \theta_{rec}) r_{drop}$ ). Droplet re-entrainment is expected in this region when the drag force  $F_{drag} > F_{adhesion}$ . A detailed force balance (see Supporting Information for derivation) on a spherical cap gives a critical droplet radius at which these two forces balance each other:

$$r_e \approx \frac{4\pi\gamma_{LV} \sin^2 \theta (1 + \cos \theta_{rec})}{\rho_{air} v_0^2 C_D (\theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta)} \quad [2]$$

where  $\gamma_{LV}$  is the surface tension of water,  $\theta = (\theta_{adv} + \theta_{rec})/2$  is the mean contact angle of the droplet on the surface, and  $\theta_{adv}$ ,  $\theta_{rec}$  are the advancing contact angle and receding contact angle, respectively, (see Supporting Information for details). A droplet with radius  $r_{drop} > r_e$  is susceptible to re-entrainment. To reduce re-entrainment, the slope of the adhesion force curve, which is proportional to the work of adhesion of the liquid droplet on the surface of the mesh  $\gamma_{LV} (1 + \cos \theta_{rec})$ , must be increased. This can be achieved in practice by lowering the receding contact angle  $\theta_{rec}$ .

As the droplets grow on the mesh, gravity has to overcome the surface pinning force in order to shed these collected droplets into the collecting gutter at the base of the mesh. We compare the magnitude of the gravitational body force  $F_{grav} \approx \rho_{water} g r_{drop}^3$  with the pinning force arising from

1  
2  
3 contact angle hysteresis  $F_{CAH} \approx \gamma_{LV}(CAH)r_{drop}$  , where  $CAH = \cos\theta_{rec} - \cos\theta_{adv}$  is a  
4  
5  
6 dimensionless scalar parameter that characterizes depinning of a liquid droplet in the interstitial  
7  
8 gap of a woven mesh with a simple square weave. Setting  $F_{grav} = F_{CAH}$  gives a second critical  
9  
10 radius for “choking” or clogging of the mesh  
11  
12

$$r_c \approx \sqrt{\frac{12\gamma_{LV}(\cos\theta_{rec} - \cos\theta_{adv})}{\pi\rho_{water}gB(3+B^2)}} \quad [3]$$

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 where the coefficient  $B = (1 - \cos\theta)/\sin\theta$  (see Supporting Information for additional details).  
21  
22  
23 Figure 3d shows that the impact of mesh-clogging as a result of contact line pinning can be  
24  
25 reduced (*i.e.* the minimum droplet size  $r_c$  that will freely drain off the mesh is reduced) by  
26  
27 developing surface coatings with smaller values of the contact angle hysteresis  $CAH$ .  
28  
29  
30

31 For an ideal fog-collecting surface, liquid droplets convected towards the mesh and deposited  
32  
33 on the surface will be drained quickly by gravity into the collecting gutter without loss by re-  
34  
35 entrainment to the airflow, thus refreshing the base mesh surface for capture of new fog droplets.  
36  
37 Design of an improved mesh to maximize fog collection efficiency thus involves the following  
38  
39 steps: I) minimizing  $r_c$  to avoid clogging, II) maximizing  $r_c$  to reduce re-entrainment, while also  
40  
41 III) selecting a mesh opening near the optimal aerodynamic value of  $D^* \approx 3.1$  and IV)  
42  
43 maximizing  $R^*$  to the extent possible.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 The surface forces controlling adhesion and hysteresis depend upon the physico-chemical  
50  
51 surface characteristics of the mesh,<sup>31</sup> and therefore smart engineering of suitable coatings can  
52  
53 enable woven meshes to collect fog with improved efficiency. If we can make  $r_c \gg r_c$  by surface  
54  
55 modifications, then the performance degradation associated with both of the shaded regions  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 shown in Figure 3c and 3d can be minimized. Such a mesh surface would have both low contact  
4 angle hysteresis ( $CAH \ll 1$ ) and a low receding contact angle ( $\theta_{rec} \rightarrow 0$ ). This material  
5 challenge can be summarized in the surface modification design space shown in Figure 3e. The  
6 two important design parameters are the contact angle hysteresis ( $CAH$ ) and a scaled work of  
7 adhesion ( $W_a/\gamma_{LV} = 1 + \cos\theta_{rec}$ ) that can be exploited to rank the wetting properties of various  
8 materials for preventing clogging and re-entrainment problems. From the expression for mesh  
9 clogging ( $r_c$ ) given in eq.[3], it is clear that a coating with extremely low hysteresis ( $CAH \rightarrow 0$ )  
10 will lead to a small value of the critical mesh half spacing (denoted  $D_{crit}$ ) above which the  
11 clogging problem does not occur.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 Fog harvesting setups that were previously deployed have used a double-layered Raschel  
27 mesh made of polyolefin (denoted by the superscript PO) which we measure through contact  
28 angle goniometry to have  $CAH^{(PO)} = \cos\theta_{rec}^{(PO)} - \cos\theta_{adv}^{(PO)} \approx 0.40$  (see Table 1). By contrast, a  
29 topographically smooth surface dipcoated with a blend of 50% POSS - 50% PEMA (denoted by  
30 PPD) has one of the lowest values of contact angle hysteresis reported to date;  
31  $CAH^{(PPD)} = \cos\theta_{rec}^{(PPD)} - \cos\theta_{adv}^{(PPD)} \approx 0.06$ .<sup>23</sup> The critical opening size for a PPD coated mesh  
32  $D_{crit}^{(PPD)} \approx 0.41$  mm is significantly smaller than the value for a polyolefin mesh (which we  
33 calculate to be  $D_{crit}^{(PO)} \approx 1.5$  mm using eq. [3]), and therefore finer meshes, if dipcoated with  
34 POSS-PEMA, will drain water droplets more readily and be less susceptible to clogging.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 This hysteretic drainage criterion involves differences in the surface energies for dewetting;  
51 however, from eq. [2] it is clear that the absolute magnitude of the receding contact angle is also  
52 important for preventing re-entrainment and subsequent loss of deposited droplets. The adhesive  
53 work (per unit area) required to pull a droplet off the surface by aerodynamic drag scales with  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  $W_a = \gamma_{LV}(1 + \cos\theta_{rec})$ . As a result of the large values of  $\theta_{rec}$  (which lead to easy drop roll-off and  
5  
6 low adhesion forces), the POSS-PEMA spraycoating (denoted by PPS) shows a smaller value of  
7  
8  $r_c$  than the half spacing  $D$  of most of the woven meshes used in the fog collection experiments,  
9  
10 which explains the low collection efficiency value we measure experimentally (because of re-  
11  
12 entrainment of deposited water droplets back into the convected air stream). Therefore, “sticky”  
13  
14 surfaces with low receding contact angles  $\theta_{rec}$  (and high  $W_a$ ) promote the adhesion of droplets  
15  
16 on solid surfaces.  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 The surface properties of our POSS coating can be manipulated further by altering the  
23  
24 deposition technique as we show in Figure 4. The contact angle hysteresis  $CAH$  can be further  
25  
26 decreased to  $CAH \approx 0.01$  by spray-coating the mesh to create a re-entrant roughened topography  
27  
28 using POSS-PEMA.<sup>24</sup> However, the receding contact angle increases to  $\theta_{rec} \approx 159^\circ$ . Therefore,  
29  
30 such spray coated meshes (denoted by PPS in Figure 4) are extremely clogging-resistant, but the  
31  
32 higher value of the receding contact angle reduces drop adhesion which leads to greater  
33  
34 propensity for droplet re-entrainment and a lower overall collection efficiency. A liquid  
35  
36 impregnated textured surface or “SLIPS surface”<sup>25-27</sup> with low  $CAH$  and low  $\theta_{rec}$  can be obtained  
37  
38 by imbibing a nonvolatile oil (*e.g.*, Krytox oil) into the pores of the spray-coated surface.<sup>27</sup> This  
39  
40 liquid-impregnated surface (denoted KO in Figure 4) initially exhibits a critical droplet drainage  
41  
42 radius ( $r_c$ ) that is similar to the POSS-PEMA dipcoating (PPD), but after a short period of  
43  
44 operation this ultimately transitions to a value that is greater than the critical radius of the  
45  
46 original polyolefin material (PO). The reason for the increased value of  $r_c$  for the liquid-  
47  
48 impregnated coating is described in the supporting information.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Guided by this framework relating surface wettability and fog harvesting performance, we performed a set of parametric experiments using a family of simple woven meshes with a range of surface coatings (see Materials and Methods for details). We benchmarked our results against the canonical Raschel mesh, using an artificially generated laboratory fog. Our results are summarized in Figure 4 and Table 1. As shown in Table 1, for most coating materials (which do not approach the limit  $\cos\theta_{rec} \rightarrow -1$ ), we find that the critical radius for re-entrainment is much bigger than the clogging radius  $r_e \gg r_c$ , and re-entrainment does not impact the fog collection efficiency under our simulated fog conditions. For a given fog condition (*i.e.*, a fixed value of  $r_{fog}$ ) the critical mesh half spacing  $D_{crit}$  with a given coating to prevent the clogging and re-entrainment problems (*i.e.*,  $r_c = D_{crit} < D < r_e$ ) can be represented as a line through the origin with positive slope  $D_{crit}/r_{fog}$ , from the following relation

$$D^* = (D_{crit}/r_{fog})R^* + 1. \quad [4]$$

Therefore the high efficiency regions to the right of these lines are inaccessible with  $D < D_{crit}$  due to clogging; *i.e.* in this region of Figure 5a the mesh openings are too small ( $D < r_c$ ) and the clogged mesh grids become impermeable to airborne fog water droplets.

We first consider the polyolefin Raschel mesh (with a large measured contact angle hysteresis  $CAH = \cos\theta_{rec} - \cos\theta_{adv}$ , and a critical opening size  $D_{crit}^{(PO)} \approx 1.5$  mm). Because of the clogging constraint, large portions of the design space (below the red line depicted in Figure 5a) with the highest efficiency cannot be accessed and, at best, a theoretical efficiency of 5% efficiency can be obtained. As shown in Figure 5b, the measured fog-harvesting efficiency of a Raschel mesh ( $D^* \approx 5.1$ ) was  $\eta^{(PO)} \approx 1.8 \pm 0.5\%$ , under our simulated fog conditions.



1  
2  
3 The locus of the conventional polyolefin Raschel mesh in the design space of Fig 5a is shown  
4 by the open diamond. Because it lies above the critical clogging line (*i.e.*,  
5  $D_{Raschel} > D_{crit}^{(PO)} \approx 1.5$  mm) it is not prone to clogging. Additional dipcoating of the Raschel mesh  
6 with a POSS-PEMA coating to reduce propensity of the clogging problem therefore does not  
7 appreciably enhance its fog collection performance. This is confirmed by sample  $\blacklozenge$  shown in Fig  
8 5b ( $\eta \approx 2.0 \pm 0.5\%$ ). To access the regions of higher efficiency shown in Figure 5a, it is clear  
9 that meshes with higher  $R^*$  are required. We therefore explore the fog-collecting performance of  
10 a series of woven wire meshes with different radii. The locus of these points in our design space  
11 is shown by the filled symbols in Figure 5c. Surfaces that are dipcoated in POSS-PEMA (to  
12 achieve smaller values of  $CAH$ ) have a lower critical opening size  $D_{crit}^{(PPD)} \approx 0.41$  mm, and  
13 therefore, a significantly higher fraction of the design space is free of clogging and drainage  
14 limitations. As a result, meshes with thinner fibers (higher  $R^*$ ) that were previously unusable  
15 due to clogging effects can now be employed for fog harvesting and have higher shade  
16 coefficients closer to the optimal value. These finer meshes are predicted to have much higher  
17 fog collection efficiencies ( $\eta \approx 12\%$ ), provided a suitable low hysteresis coating is applied.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 In Figure 5b, we first explore the role of surface coating alone for a particular mesh geometry.  
42 The measured efficiency increases from  $\eta \approx 1.8\%$  (for a woven mesh that is dip-coated to  
43 achieve the same surface wettability characteristics as the polyolefin Raschel mesh) to  $\eta \approx 4.6\%$   
44 for a POSS-PEMA dipcoated woven mesh (PPD,  $\bullet$ ) with the same radius  $R \approx 445$   $\mu\text{m}$  and the  
45 same shade coefficient  $SC \approx 0.5$  ( $D^* \approx 3.5$ ). However, the fog-collecting efficiency of a sprayed  
46 texture (PPS,  $\circ$ ) and a liquid-impregnated surface (KO,  $\circ$ ) woven mesh (again with the same  
47 value of  $R \approx 445$   $\mu\text{m}$ ) do not perform as well as the dip-coated mesh due to the predominance of  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 re-entrainment and clogging effects. Interaction with water droplets impacting and sliding along  
4 the Krytox-impregnated “SLIPS surface”<sup>25-27</sup> results in a progressive loss of the nonvolatile  
5 impregnating liquid (see Figure S4 for details). This leads to increasing contact angle hysteresis  
6 and the mesh openings start to clog, resulting in no further increase in fog harvesting efficiency.  
7  
8 We therefore focus our optimization studies on the dip-coated surfaces as they tend to be stable  
9 over much longer timescales.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 In Figure 5c, the measured fog-collecting efficiency is plotted as the wire radius is  
19 progressively decreased, keeping the weave constant ( $D^* \approx 3.5$ ) with the same surface coating  
20 (dip-coated with POSS-PEMA). The theoretical fog collecting efficiency  $\eta(R^*, D^*)$  given by  
21 our analysis in eq. [1] above (broken line) provides a good estimate of the observed trends. The  
22 measured efficiency increases monotonically from 4.6% to 10.2% as the fiber radius decreases  
23 from  $R \approx 445 \mu\text{m}$  to  $R \approx 172 \mu\text{m}$  for the first 4 meshes (denoted by symbols ●, ■, ▼, and ▲  
24 in Figure 5a) that lie in the region of the design chart where clogging is not a limiting factor.  
25 However, the finest mesh ( $R \approx 127 \mu\text{m}$ , ►) is located inside the hysteresis-limited region (with  
26 mesh spacing  $D < D_{\text{crit}} = r_c$  calculated from eqs. [3] and [4] for a dipcoated surface with  
27  $CAH^{(PPD)} = \cos\theta_{\text{rec}}^{(PPD)} - \cos\theta_{\text{adv}}^{(PPD)} \approx 0.06$  and  $D_{\text{crit}}^{(PPD)} \approx 0.41 \text{ mm}$ ). Clogging once again prohibits  
28 any further increase in the efficiency. A mesh located in the clogging-prone region of the design  
29 space still collects an appreciable amount of fog ( $\eta \approx 9.1 \pm 0.4\%$ ), but its efficiency could be  
30 improved still further by reducing the clogging constraint imposed by eq. [3] (*i.e.*, lowering the  
31 slope of the bounding line for  $D_{\text{crit}}$ , via even lower values of  $CAH$ ).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 The maximum fog harvesting efficiency observed in our experiments is  $\eta \approx 10.2 \pm 0.9\%$   
55 (N=3) for a fine POSS-PEMA dipcoated mesh with close to optimal weave  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

( $R \approx 172 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $D^* \approx 3.5$ ,  $CAH \approx 0.06$ , shown by the symbol  $\blacktriangle$ ). In Figure 5d, we show the time evolution over many hours of the fog harvesting performance for its optimal mesh and a comparison with the Raschel mesh. The optimized wire mesh collects water at a faster rate and also reaches steady state faster than the Raschel mesh because of reduced ‘liquid hold-up’ on the mesh surface. Thus, by an appropriate choice of the surface texture and coating chemistry, the fog harvesting efficiency for representative fog conditions (corresponding to a velocity  $v_0 = 2$  m/s and fog droplet radius  $r_{fog} \approx 3 \mu\text{m}$ ) has been enhanced approximately five-fold.

## Conclusion

28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

We have presented a design framework for integrating a systematic analysis of the flow, the mesh geometry and the mesh surface properties to predict the overall fog collection efficiency of the meshes. This analysis has been supported by laboratory experiments, employing a family of coated meshes with a directed stream of fog droplets, which demonstrate a five-fold enhancement in the fog-collecting efficiency of a conventional polyolefin mesh. A comparison of theoretical fog collection efficiency across many fog conditions (see Supporting Information) indicates that the fog harvesting efficiency for the POSS-PEMA dipcoated wire mesh ( $R \approx 172 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $\blacktriangle$ ) is also more robust than the conventional Raschel mesh to changes in fog conditions ( $1 \leq r_{fog} \leq 40 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $1 \leq v_0 \leq 10$  m/s). As a result, fog harvesting technology based on POSS-PEMA dipcoated woven meshes may be deployable in many regions of the world where the size and velocity of fog droplets are not suitable for traditional Raschel meshes to work well. The performance of these rapidly-draining woven meshes are less susceptible to daily or

1  
2  
3 seasonal changes of wind speed and direction, or temperature. Optimally-engineered surfaces  
4  
5 can work effectively in foggy conditions for longer periods of time, and subsequently produce  
6  
7 much more water. An alternative approach using temperature-triggered switchable surface  
8  
9 coatings that swell and release water droplets, respectively, has recently been described by Yang  
10  
11 and coworkers.<sup>32</sup> Even in a mild fog with droplet radius of  $3\ \mu\text{m}$ , wind speed of  $2\ \text{m/s}$  and liquid  
12  
13 water content of  $0.1\ \text{g/m}^3$ , the optimal dipcoated mesh surface ( $R \approx 172\ \mu\text{m}$ , ▲) can collect  
14  
15 approximately two liters of water through an area of  $1\ \text{m}^2$  per day. Using literature values for  
16  
17 conditions in a typical Chilean fog environment<sup>7</sup> and our new design framework, we can estimate  
18  
19 the amount of water that can be collected using the optimal fog harvesting mesh. Typical Chilean  
20  
21 fog conditions are characterized by a larger droplet size and higher wind velocity  
22  
23 ( $r_{\text{fog}} \approx 13\ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $v_0 \approx 6\ \text{m/s}$ , corresponding to a Stokes number close to  $St \sim 10^2$ ) In such inertially-  
24  
25 dominated conditions, the collection rate is predicted using eq. [1] to be as large as twelve liters  
26  
27 of water through an area of  $1\ \text{m}^2$  per day, assuming a liquid water content of  $0.7\ \text{g/m}^3$ . From such  
28  
29 calculations it is clear that understanding the enabling roles of surface wettability in conjunction  
30  
31 with the physical characteristics of the woven mesh geometry (*i.e.* fiber radius and shade  
32  
33 coefficient) expands the accessible fog harvesting design space as well as future geographic  
34  
35 opportunities for deploying fog harvesting technology.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## FIGURES

**Figure 1.** Natural and artificial examples of fog harvesting surfaces. (a) Slender leaves of trees (*Pinus radiata*, Image courtesy Robert Holmes). (b) A spider web decorated with droplets of water collected from fog (Image courtesy William Lee). (c) A large area man-made fog collector deployed in the Atacama Desert of Chile (4) and (d) triangular weave of the Raschel Mesh used in the Large Fog Collector. (e) The basic mechanism of fog collection by physical impaction of droplets on the mesh, collection of larger coalesced droplets, and subsequent drainage.

**Figure 2.** Fog flow through a woven mesh surface, and a contour plot of the fog harvesting efficiency. (a) Illustration indicating the stream surfaces of fog laden wind and their deflection after passing through a woven mesh surface. (b) An enlarged picture focusing on the interaction between incoming fog droplets and a horizontal mesh element. Droplets that are closer to the central axis are trapped on the cylindrical wire, whereas droplets closer to the periphery follow the streamline around the wire. Droplets on the wire coalesce, and once they grow past a threshold size, they drain under gravity. (c) A contour plot of the fog harvesting efficiency ( $\eta$ ) as a function of the ratio of radius of the fog droplets to the radius of the wire ( $R^* = r_{fog}/R$ ) and the spacing ratio of the woven mesh ( $D^* = (R + D)/R$ ). The location of various mesh surfaces and corresponding predicted efficiencies are highlighted on the design chart.

1  
2  
3 **Figure 3.** Two factors that reduce collection efficiency, and the surface modification design  
4 space that depicts the relative resistance to re-entrainment and drainage. These factors affecting  
5 fog harvesting and reduce collection efficiency are (a) re-entrainment of collected droplets in the  
6 wind and (b) blockage of the mesh. (c) The plot identifies the range of droplet sizes where the  
7 forces of adhesion dominate the drag forces, and establishes a criterion for a threshold droplet  
8 size for re-entrainment. (d) A second constraint arises from comparing the weight of the droplet  
9 with the surface pinning force arising from contact angle hysteresis. The threshold size where  
10 gravity dominates hysteretic pinning can be decreased by minimizing  $CAH = \cos\theta_{rec} - \cos\theta_{adv}$ .  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 **Figure 4.** The design space constructed from two dimensionless parameters related to work of  
27 adhesion (abscissa) and contact angle hysteresis (ordinate) depicts the relative resistance to re-  
28 entrainment and drainage. Measured values for droplets of water ( $V \approx 10 \mu\ell$ ) deposited on  
29 several different coatings are shown in the plot. Wetting characteristics corresponding to a higher  
30 work of adhesion and lower contact angle hysteresis are ideal for maximum fog collection  
31 efficiency.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Figure 5.** Measured fog collection efficiency. The coordinates of various mesh surfaces tested in  
4 the laboratory are depicted on the design space in (a) and the measured fog-collecting  
5 efficiencies ( $N = 3$ ) are plotted in (b) and (c). At any point to the right of the critical lines shown  
6 for the polyolefin and POSS-PEMA coating in Figure 5a (*i.e.* any point in the shaded region with  
7  $D < D_{crit}$ ), the mesh openings clog with liquid droplets and the fog harvesting efficiency  
8 deteriorates. (b) and (c) explore the role of the mesh coating and structure (*i.e.*, the individual  
9 fiber size  $R$  and the spacing  $D$ ). In (c) the theoretical efficiency evaluated from eq. [1] is shown  
10 by a broken line. The measured fog collection efficiency increases monotonically as the radius of  
11 the wire mesh decreases from  $R \approx 445 \mu\text{m}$  (●) to  $R \approx 172 \mu\text{m}$  (▲), but decreases in the case of  
12  $R \approx 127 \mu\text{m}$  (▶) as we enter the drainage-limited zone on the design chart. The time evolution  
13 of the fog harvesting performance of the optimal wire mesh (▲) and the Raschel mesh is  
14 compared over many hours in (d). The optimal wire mesh (▲) collects more water and reaches a  
15 steady state faster than the Raschel mesh (◇).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## TABLES

**Table 1.** The values of the receding contact angle, contact angle hysteresis, and critical radii  $r_e$  and  $r_c$  for each surface coating, with the laboratory fog condition used in the humidity chamber experiment ( $v_0 \approx 2$  m/s) and a representative value of  $C_D = 0.7$ . For this airstream velocity, all four of the coatings show  $r_c \ll r_e$ .

	Polyolefin (PO)	POSS-PEMA dipcoating (PPD)	POSS-PEMA spraycoating (PPS)	Liquid-impregnated coating (KO)
$\theta_{rec}$ ( $^\circ$ )	85	117	159	100 $\rightarrow$ 77
$CAH = \cos\theta_{rec} - \cos\theta_{adv}$	0.396	0.061	0.006	0.034 $\rightarrow$ 0.632
$r_e$ (mm)	29	7.9	0.041	20 $\rightarrow$ 29
$r_c$ (mm)	1.5	0.41	0.030	0.42 $\rightarrow$ 2



1  
2  
3 ASSOCIATED CONTENT  
4  
5

6  
7 **Supporting Information.** (1) Derivation of critical droplet radii  $r_e$  and  $r_c$ , (2) Time evolution of  
8  
9 the performance of liquid-impregnated textured surfaces and schematic diagram of experimental  
10  
11 setup for fog collection efficiency measurement, and (3) Comparison of theoretical fog collection  
12  
13 efficiencies for mesh surfaces. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at  
14  
15 <http://pubs.acs.org>.  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 AUTHOR INFORMATION  
2324  
25  
26 **Corresponding Author**  
2728  
29  
30 \*Robert E. Cohen  
3132  
33  
34 77 Massachusetts Ave. 66-554, Cambridge, MA, USA 02139  
3536  
37 Tel 617-253-3777  
3839 Email [recohen@mit.edu](mailto:recohen@mit.edu)  
40  
4142  
43 \*Gareth H. McKinley  
4445  
46  
47 77 Massachusetts Ave. 3-250, Cambridge, MA, USA 02139  
4849  
50 Tel 617-258-0754  
5152  
53 Fax 617-258-8559  
5455  
56  
57 E-mail [gareth@mit.edu](mailto:gareth@mit.edu)  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Present Addresses**  
4

5  
6 † Shreerang S. Chhatre  
7

8  
9 Exxon Mobil Upstream Research Company, PO Box 2189 Houston TX, 77252  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15 **Author Contributions**  
16

17  
18 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval  
19 to the final version of the manuscript. ‡These authors contributed equally.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**  
31

32  
33 The research was supported by a Samsung Scholarship (K.-C.P.) and graduate fellowship from  
34 the MIT-Legatum Center for Entrepreneurship and Development (S.S.C). This work was also  
35 partially funded by the MIT MISTI-Chile program and the Xerox Foundation. We thank Prof.  
36 Michael Rubner and Hyomin Lee for the use of humidity chamber; Mr. Robert Schemenauer for  
37 providing the Raschel mesh sample; Prof. Juan de Dios Rivera, Prof. Pilar Cereceda and  
38 coworkers from PUC-Santiago, Chile for helpful discussions during the preparation of this  
39 manuscript.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## REFERENCES

1. Food and Agricultural Organization for the United Nations, United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, Mountain Partnership Secretariat, Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation, and Centre for Development and Environment. Highlands and Drylands – Mountains, a Source of Resilience in Arid Regions, **2011**, Rome.
2. United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization The United Nations World Water Development Report 4: Managing Water under Uncertainty and Risk, **2012**, Paris.
3. Klemm, O.; Schemenauer, R. S.; Lummerich, A.; Cereceda, P.; Marzol, V.; Corell, D.; van Heerden, J.; Reinhard, D.; Gherezghiher, T.; Olivier, J.; Osses, P.; Sarsour, J.; Frost, E.; Estrela, M. J.; Valiente, J. A.; Fessehaye, G. M. Fog as a Fresh-Water Resource: Overview and Perspectives. *Ambio* **2012**, *41*, 221-234.
4. Rivera, J. D. D. Aerodynamic Collection Efficiency of Fog Water Collectors. *Atmos. Res.* **2011**, *102*, 335-342.
5. Cereceda, P.; Larrain, H.; Osses, P.; Faras, M., Egana, I. The Spatial and Temporal Variability of Fog and Its Relation to Fog Oases in the Atacama Desert, Chile. *Atmos. Res.* **2008**, *87*, 312-323.
6. Schemenauer, R. S.; Cereceda, P. A Proposed Standard Fog Collector for Use in High-Elevation Regions. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* **1994**, *33*, 1313-1322.
7. Schemenauer, R. S.; Joe, P. I. The Collection Efficiency of a Massive Fog Collector. *Atmos. Res.* **1989**, *24*, 53-69.
8. Lekouch, I.; Muselli, M.; Kabbachi, B.; Ouazzani, J.; Melnytchouk-Milimouk, I.; Beysens, D. Dew, Fog, and Rain as Supplementary Sources of Water in South-Western Morocco. *Energy* **2011**, *36*, 2257-2265.

- 1  
2  
3 9. Hamilton, W. J.; Seely, M. K. Fog Basking by the Namib Desert Beetle, *Onymacris*  
4 *unguicularis*. *Nature* **1976**, *262*, 284-285.  
5  
6
- 7  
8 10. Parker, A. R.; Lawrence, C. R. Water Capture by a Desert Beetle. *Nature* **2001**, *414*, 33-34.  
9
- 10 11. Dawson, T. E. Fog in the California Redwood Forest: Ecosystem Inputs and Use by Plants.  
11  
12 *Oecologia* **1998**, *117*, 476-485.  
13
- 14 12. Limm, E. B.; Simonin, K. A.; Bothman, A. G.; Dawson, T. E. Foliar Water Uptake: A  
15  
16 Common Water Acquisition Strategy for Plants of the Redwood Forest. *Oecologia* **2009**, *161*,  
17  
18 449-459.  
19
- 20 21  
22 13. Vasey, M. C.; Loik, M. E.; Parker, V. T. Influence of Summer Marine Fog and Low Cloud  
23  
24 Stratus on Water Relations of Evergreen Woody Shrubs (*Arctostaphylos*: Ericaceae) in the  
25  
26 Chaparral of Central California. *Oecologia* **2012**, *170*, 325-337.  
27
- 28 29  
30 14. Andrews, H. G.; Eccles, E. A.; Schofield, W. C. E.; Badyal, J. P. S. Three-Dimensional  
31  
32 Hierarchical Structures for Fog Harvesting. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27*, 3798-3802.  
33
- 34 35  
36 15. Zhai, L.; Berg, M. C.; Cebeci, F. Ç.; Kim, Y.; Milwid, J. M.; Rubner, M. F.; Cohen, R. E.  
37  
38 Patterned Superhydrophobic Surfaces: Toward a Synthetic Mimic of the Namib Desert Beetle.  
39  
40 *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 1213-1217.  
41
- 42 43  
44 16. Roth-Nebelsick, A.; Ebner, M.; Miranda, T.; Gottschalk, V.; Voigt, D.; Gorb, S.; Stegmaier,  
45  
46 T.; Sarsour, J.; Linke, M.; Konrad, W. Leaf Surface Structures Enable the Endemic Namib  
47  
48 Desert Grass *Stipagrostis sabulicola* to Irrigate Itself with Fog Water. *J. R. Soc., Interface*  
49  
50 **2012**, *9*, 1965-1974.  
51
- 52 53  
54 17. Sahni, V.; Harris, J.; Blackledge, T. A.; Dhinojwala, A. Cobweb-Weaving Spiders Produce  
55  
56 Different Attachment Discs for Locomotion and Prey Capture. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**,  
57  
58 DOI:10.1038/ncomms2099.  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 18. Zheng, Y.; Bai, H.; Huang, Z.; Tian, X.; Nie, F.-Q.; Zhao, Y.; Zhai, J.; Jiang, L. Directional  
4 Water Collection on Wetted Spider Silk. *Nature* **2010**, *463*, 640-643.  
5  
6  
7  
8 19. Langmuir, I.; Blodgett, K. B. A Mathematical Investigation of Water Droplet Trajectories.  
9 Collected works of Irving Langmuir **2004** (Pergamon Press, Oxford).  
10  
11  
12 20. Garrod, R. P.; Harris, L. G.; Schofield, W. C. E.; McGettrick, J.; Ward, L. J.; Teare, D. O.  
13 H.; Badyal, J. P. S. Mimicking a Stenocara Beetle's Back for Microcondensation using  
14 Plasmachemical Patterned Superhydrophobic-Superhydrophilic Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*,  
15 689-693.  
16  
17  
18 21. Yu, T. S.; Park, J.; Lim, H.; Breuer, K. S. Fog Deposition and Accumulation on Smooth and  
19 Textured Hydrophobic Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 12771-12778.  
20  
21  
22 22. Dorrer, C.; Rhe, J. Mimicking the Stenocara Beetle - Dewetting of Drops from a Patterned  
23 Superhydrophobic Surface. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 6154-6158.  
24  
25  
26 23. Meuler, A. J.; Chhatre, S. S.; Nieves, A. R.; Mabry, J. M.; Cohen, R. E.; McKinley, G. H.  
27 Examination of Wettability and Surface Energy in Fluorodecyl POSS/Polymer Blends. *Soft*  
28 *Matter* **2011**, *7*, 10122-10134.  
29  
30  
31 24. Srinivasan, S.; Chhatre, S. S.; Mabry, J. M.; Cohen, R. E.; McKinley, G. H. Solution  
32 Spraying of Poly(methyl methacrylate) Blends to Fabricate Microtextured, Superoleophobic  
33 Surfaces. *Polymer* **2011**, *52*, 3209-3218.  
34  
35  
36 25. Qur, D. Non-Sticking Drops. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2005**, *68*, 2495-2532.  
37  
38  
39 26. Wong, T.-S.; Kang, S. H.; Tang, S. K. Y.; Smythe, E. J.; Hatton, B. D.; Grinthal, A.;  
40 Aizenberg, J. Bioinspired Self-Repairing Slippery Surfaces with Pressure-Stable  
41 Omniphobicity. *Nature* **2011**, *477*, 443-447.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
27. Smith, J. D.; Dhiman, R.; Anand, S.; Reza-Garduno, E.; Cohen, R. E.; McKinley, G. H.; Varanasi, K. K. Droplet Mobility on Lubricant-Impregnated Surfaces. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 1772-1780.
28. Paxson, A. T.; Varanasi, K. K. Self-Similarity of Contact Line Depinning from Textured Surfaces. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, DOI:10.1038/ncomms2482.
29. Milne, A. J. B.; Amirfazli, A. Drop Shedding by Shear Flow for Hydrophilic to Superhydrophobic Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 14155-14164.
30. Ledesma-Aguilar, R.; Nistal, R.; Hernández-Machado, A.; Pagonabarraga, I. Controlled Drop Emission by Wetting Properties in Driven Liquid Filaments. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, *10*, 367-371.
31. Quéré, D. Wetting and Roughness. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2008**, *38*, 71-99.
32. Yang, H.; Zhu, H.; Hendrix, M. M. R. M.; Lousberg, N. J. H. G. M.; de With, G.; Esteves, A. C. C.; Xin, J. H. Temperature-Triggered Collection and Release of Water from Fogs by a Sponge-Like Cotton Fabric. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 1150-1154.

## Table of Contents Only













