

# Fubini's Theorem on Measure

Noboru Endou  
National Institute of Technology, Gifu College  
2236-2 Kamimakuwa, Motosu, Gifu, Japan

**Summary.** The purpose of this article is to show Fubini's theorem on measure [16], [4], [7], [15], [18]. Some theorems have the possibility of slight generalization, but we have priority to avoid the complexity of the description. First of all, for the product measure constructed in [14], we show some theorems. Then we introduce the section which plays an important role in Fubini's theorem, and prove the relevant proposition. Finally we show Fubini's theorem on measure.

MSC: 28A35 03B35

Keywords: Fubini's theorem; product measure

MML identifier: MEASUR11, version: 8.1.05 5.40.1286

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F$ , and natural numbers  $n, m$ . If  $n < m$ , then  $\bigcup \text{rng}(F \upharpoonright n)$  misses  $F(m)$ .
- (2) Let us consider a finite sequence  $F$ , and natural numbers  $m, n$ . Suppose  $m \leq n$ . Then  $\text{len}(F \upharpoonright m) \leq \text{len}(F \upharpoonright n)$ .
- (3) Let us consider a finite sequence  $F$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Then  $\bigcup \text{rng}(F \upharpoonright n) \cup F(n+1) = \bigcup \text{rng}(F \upharpoonright (n+1))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (4) Let us consider a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Then  $\bigcup (F \upharpoonright n)$  misses  $F(n+1)$ .
- (5) Let us consider a set  $P$ , and a finite sequence  $F$ . Suppose  $P$  is  $\cup$ -closed and  $\emptyset \in P$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F(n) \in P$ . Then  $\bigcup F \in P$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \bigcup \text{rng}(F \upharpoonright \$_1) \in P$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$  by [2, (13)], [3, (59)], [19, (55)], [3, (82)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

Let  $A, X$  be sets. Observe that the functor  $\chi_{A,X}$  yields a function from  $X$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $X$  be a non empty set,  $S$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X$ , and  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $S$ . Let us observe that the functor  $\bigcup F$  yields an element of  $S$ . Let  $F$  be a sequence of  $S$ . Let us note that the functor  $\bigcup F$  yields an element of  $S$ . Let  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $X \dot{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $x$  be an element of  $X$ . The functor  $F \# x$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

(Def. 1)  $\text{dom } it = \text{dom } F$  and for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } it$  holds  $it(n) = F(n)(x)$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(6) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a non empty family  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $S$ , and a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $X \dot{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $\text{dom } f = \text{dom } F$  and  $f$  is disjoint valued and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F(n) = \chi_{f(n),X}$ . Let us consider an element  $x$  of  $X$ . Then  $\chi_{\bigcup f, X}(x) = \sum(F \# x)$ .

## 2. PRODUCT MEASURE AND PRODUCT $\sigma$ -MEASURE

Now we state the proposition:

(7) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , and a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ . Then  $\sigma(\text{DisUnion MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) = \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ .

Let  $X_1, X_2$  be non empty sets,  $S_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1$ ,  $S_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_2$ ,  $M_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_1$ , and  $M_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_2$ . The functor  $\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2)$  yielding an induced measure of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and  $\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2)$  is defined by

(Def. 2) for every set  $E$  such that  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  for every disjoint valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  such that  $E = \bigcup F$  holds  $it(E) = \sum(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2) \cdot F)$ .

The functor  $\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2)$  yielding an induced  $\sigma$ -measure of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and  $\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 3)  $\sigma\text{-Meas}(\text{the Caratheodory measure determined by } \text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2)) \upharpoonright \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (8) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ . Then  $\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2)$  is a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7).
- (9) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a set sequence  $F_1$  of  $S_1$ , a set sequence  $F_2$  of  $S_2$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Then  $F_1(n) \times F_2(n)$  is an element of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7).
- (10) Let us consider sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a sequence  $F_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a sequence  $F_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Suppose  $F_1$  is non descending and  $F_2$  is non descending. Then  $F_1(n) \times F_2(n) \subseteq F_1(n+1) \times F_2(n+1)$ .
- (11) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Then  $(\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2))(A \times B) = M_1(A) \cdot M_2(B)$ .
- (12) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a set sequence  $F_1$  of  $S_1$ , a set sequence  $F_2$  of  $S_2$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Then  $(\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2))(F_1(n) \times F_2(n)) = M_1(F_1(n)) \cdot M_2(F_2(n))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11).
- (13) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a finite sequence  $F_1$  of elements of  $S_1$ , a finite sequence  $F_2$  of elements of  $S_2$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Suppose  $n \in \text{dom } F_1$  and  $n \in \text{dom } F_2$ . Then  $(\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2))(F_1(n) \times F_2(n)) = M_1(F_1(n)) \cdot M_2(F_2(n))$ .
- (14) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and a subset  $E$  of  $X_1 \times X_2$ . Then (the Caratheodory measure determined by  $\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2)$ )( $E$ ) =  $\inf \text{Svc}(\text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2), E)$ .
- (15) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ . Then  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) \subseteq \sigma\text{-Field}(\text{the Caratheodory measure determined by } \text{ProdMeas}(M_1, M_2))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7).
- (16) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $E = A \times B$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E) = M_1(A) \cdot M_2(B)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (15) and (11).
- (17) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ ,

a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a set sequence  $F_1$  of  $S_1$ , a set sequence  $F_2$  of  $S_2$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(F_1(n) \times F_2(n)) = M_1(F_1(n)) \cdot M_2(F_2(n))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (9), (15), and (12).

- (18) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and elements  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E_1 \cup E_2) = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E_1) + (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E_2)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (8).
- (19) Let us consider sets  $X_1, X_2, A, B$ , a sequence  $F_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a sequence  $F_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , and a sequence  $F$  of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ . Suppose  $F_1$  is non descending and  $\lim F_1 = A$  and  $F_2$  is non descending and  $\lim F_2 = B$  and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n) = F_1(n) \times F_2(n)$ . Then  $\lim F = A \times B$ . The theorem is a consequence of (10).

### 3. SECTIONS

Let  $X$  be a set,  $Y$  be a non empty set,  $E$  be a subset of  $X \times Y$ , and  $x$  be a set. The functor  $X\text{section}(E, x)$  yielding a subset of  $Y$  is defined by the term (Def. 4)  $\{y, \text{ where } y \text{ is an element of } Y : \langle x, y \rangle \in E\}$ .

Let  $X$  be a non empty set,  $Y$  be a set, and  $y$  be a set.

The functor  $Y\text{section}(E, y)$  yielding a subset of  $X$  is defined by the term (Def. 5)  $\{x, \text{ where } x \text{ is an element of } X : \langle x, y \rangle \in E\}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (20) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , subsets  $E_1, E_2$  of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $E_1 \subseteq E_2$ . Then  $X\text{section}(E_1, p) \subseteq X\text{section}(E_2, p)$ .
- (21) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , subsets  $E_1, E_2$  of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $E_1 \subseteq E_2$ . Then  $Y\text{section}(E_1, p) \subseteq Y\text{section}(E_2, p)$ .
- (22) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , a subset  $B$  of  $Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Then
- (i) if  $p \in A$ , then  $X\text{section}(A \times B, p) = B$ , and
  - (ii) if  $p \notin A$ , then  $X\text{section}(A \times B, p) = \emptyset$ , and
  - (iii) if  $p \in B$ , then  $Y\text{section}(A \times B, p) = A$ , and
  - (iv) if  $p \notin B$ , then  $Y\text{section}(A \times B, p) = \emptyset$ .
- (23) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a subset  $E$  of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Then
- (i) if  $p \notin X$ , then  $X\text{section}(E, p) = \emptyset$ , and

- (ii) if  $p \notin Y$ , then  $Y\text{section}(E, p) = \emptyset$ .
- (24) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Then
  - (i)  $X\text{section}(\emptyset_{X \times Y}, p) = \emptyset$ , and
  - (ii)  $Y\text{section}(\emptyset_{X \times Y}, p) = \emptyset$ , and
  - (iii) if  $p \in X$ , then  $X\text{section}(\Omega_{X \times Y}, p) = Y$ , and
  - (iv) if  $p \in Y$ , then  $Y\text{section}(\Omega_{X \times Y}, p) = X$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (22).

- (25) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a subset  $E$  of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Then
  - (i) if  $p \in X$ , then  $X\text{section}(X \times Y \setminus E, p) = Y \setminus X\text{section}(E, p)$ , and
  - (ii) if  $p \in Y$ , then  $Y\text{section}(X \times Y \setminus E, p) = X \setminus Y\text{section}(E, p)$ .

Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , subsets  $E_1, E_2$  of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ .

- (26)
  - (i)  $X\text{section}(E_1 \cup E_2, p) = X\text{section}(E_1, p) \cup X\text{section}(E_2, p)$ , and
  - (ii)  $Y\text{section}(E_1 \cup E_2, p) = Y\text{section}(E_1, p) \cup Y\text{section}(E_2, p)$ .
- (27)
  - (i)  $X\text{section}(E_1 \cap E_2, p) = X\text{section}(E_1, p) \cap X\text{section}(E_2, p)$ , and
  - (ii)  $Y\text{section}(E_1 \cap E_2, p) = Y\text{section}(E_1, p) \cap Y\text{section}(E_2, p)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (28) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $2^{X \times Y}$ , a finite sequence  $F_4$  of elements of  $2^Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_4$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_4$  holds  $F_4(n) = X\text{section}(F(n), p)$ . Then  $X\text{section}(\bigcup \text{rng } F, p) = \bigcup \text{rng } F_4$ .
- (29) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $2^{X \times Y}$ , a finite sequence  $F_3$  of elements of  $2^X$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_3$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_3$  holds  $F_3(n) = Y\text{section}(F(n), p)$ . Then  $Y\text{section}(\bigcup \text{rng } F, p) = \bigcup \text{rng } F_3$ .

Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , a set  $p$ , a sequence  $F$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a sequence  $F_4$  of subsets of  $Y$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (30) If for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_4(n) = X\text{section}(F(n), p)$ , then  $X\text{section}(\bigcup \text{rng } F, p) = \bigcup \text{rng } F_4$ .
- (31) If for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_4(n) = X\text{section}(F(n), p)$ , then  $X\text{section}(\bigcap \text{rng } F, p) = \bigcap \text{rng } F_4$ .

Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , a set  $p$ , a sequence  $F$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a sequence  $F_3$  of subsets of  $X$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (32) If for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_3(n) = Y\text{section}(F(n), p)$ , then  $Y\text{section}(\bigcup \text{rng } F, p) = \bigcup \text{rng } F_3$ .

- (33) If for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_3(n) = \text{Ysection}(F(n), p)$ ,  
then  $\text{Ysection}(\bigcap \text{rng } F, p) = \bigcap \text{rng } F_3$ .
- (34) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , sets  $x, y$ , and a subset  $E$  of  $X \times Y$ . Then
- (i)  $\chi_{E, X \times Y}(x, y) = \chi_{\text{Xsection}(E, x), Y}(y)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\chi_{E, X \times Y}(x, y) = \chi_{\text{Ysection}(E, y), X}(x)$ .
- (35) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , subsets  $E_1, E_2$  of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then
- (i)  $\text{Xsection}(E_1, p)$  misses  $\text{Xsection}(E_2, p)$ , and
  - (ii)  $\text{Ysection}(E_1, p)$  misses  $\text{Ysection}(E_2, p)$ .
- (36) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $2^{X \times Y}$ , and a set  $p$ . Then
- (i) there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F_4$  of elements of  $2^X$  such that  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_4$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_4$  holds  $F_4(n) = \text{Ysection}(F(n), p)$ , and
  - (ii) there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F_3$  of elements of  $2^Y$  such that  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_3$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_3$  holds  $F_3(n) = \text{Xsection}(F(n), p)$ .

PROOF: There exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F_4$  of elements of  $2^X$  such that  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_4$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_4$  holds  $F_4(n) = \text{Ysection}(F(n), p)$  by (35), [19, (29)]. There exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F_3$  of elements of  $2^Y$  such that  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_3$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_3$  holds  $F_3(n) = \text{Xsection}(F(n), p)$  by (35), [19, (29)].  $\square$

- (37) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , a disjoint valued sequence  $F$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $p$ . Then
- (i) there exists a disjoint valued sequence  $F_4$  of subsets of  $X$  such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_4(n) = \text{Ysection}(F(n), p)$ , and
  - (ii) there exists a disjoint valued sequence  $F_3$  of subsets of  $Y$  such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_3(n) = \text{Xsection}(F(n), p)$ .

PROOF: There exists a disjoint valued sequence  $F_4$  of subsets of  $X$  such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $F_4(n) = \text{Ysection}(F(n), p)$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}(\text{natural number}) = \text{Xsection}(F(\$1), p)$ . Consider  $F_3$  being a sequence of subsets of  $Y$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_3(n) = \mathcal{A}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4].  $\square$

- (38) Let us consider non empty sets  $X, Y$ , sets  $x, y$ , and subsets  $E_1, E_2$  of  $X \times Y$ . Suppose  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then

- (i)  $\chi_{E_1 \cup E_2, X \times Y}(x, y) = \chi_{X \text{section}(E_1, x), Y}(y) + \chi_{X \text{section}(E_2, x), Y}(y)$ , and
- (ii)  $\chi_{E_1 \cup E_2, X \times Y}(x, y) = \chi_{Y \text{section}(E_1, y), X}(x) + \chi_{Y \text{section}(E_2, y), X}(x)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (35), (34), and (26).

- (39) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , a set  $x$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $Y$ . Suppose  $E$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = X \text{section}(E(n), x)$ . Then  $G$  is non descending. The theorem is a consequence of (20).
- (40) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , a set  $x$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $X$ . Suppose  $E$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = Y \text{section}(E(n), x)$ . Then  $G$  is non descending. The theorem is a consequence of (21).
- (41) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , a set  $x$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $Y$ . Suppose  $E$  is non ascending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = X \text{section}(E(n), x)$ . Then  $G$  is non ascending. The theorem is a consequence of (20).
- (42) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , a set  $x$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $X$ . Suppose  $E$  is non ascending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = Y \text{section}(E(n), x)$ . Then  $G$  is non ascending. The theorem is a consequence of (21).
- (43) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $x$ . Suppose  $E$  is non descending. Then there exists a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $Y$  such that
  - (i)  $G$  is non descending, and
  - (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = X \text{section}(E(n), x)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}) = X \text{section}(E(\$1), x)$ . Consider  $G$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^Y$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $G(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = X \text{section}(E(n), x)$ .  $\square$

- (44) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $x$ . Suppose  $E$  is non descending. Then there exists a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $X$  such that
  - (i)  $G$  is non descending, and
  - (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = Y \text{section}(E(n), x)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}) = Y \text{section}(E(\$1), x)$ . Consider  $G$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^X$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $G(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = Y \text{section}(E(n), x)$ .  $\square$

(45) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a non empty set  $Y$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $x$ . Suppose  $E$  is non ascending. Then there exists a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $Y$  such that

- (i)  $G$  is non ascending, and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = \text{Xsection}(E(n), x)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}) = \text{Xsection}(E(\$_1), x)$ . Consider  $G$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^Y$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $G(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = \text{Xsection}(E(n), x)$ .  $\square$

(46) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $Y$ , a sequence  $E$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ , and a set  $x$ . Suppose  $E$  is non ascending. Then there exists a sequence  $G$  of subsets of  $X$  such that

- (i)  $G$  is non ascending, and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = \text{Ysection}(E(n), x)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{natural number}) = \text{Ysection}(E(\$_1), x)$ . Consider  $G$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^X$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $G(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $G(n) = \text{Ysection}(E(n), x)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MEASURABLE SECTIONS

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and a set  $K$ . Now we state the propositions:

(47) Suppose  $K = \{C, \text{ where } C \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ for every set } p, \text{Xsection}(C, p) \in S_2\}$ . Then

- (i) the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2) \subseteq K$ , and
- (ii)  $K$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

PROOF: For every set  $x$ ,  $\text{Xsection}(\emptyset_{X_1 \times X_2}, x) \in S_2$  by (24), [5, (7)]. For every subset  $C$  of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $C \in K$  holds  $C^c \in K$  by [17, (5), (6)], (25), (23).  $\square$

(48) Suppose  $K = \{C, \text{ where } C \text{ is a subset of } X_1 \times X_2 : \text{ for every set } p, \text{Ysection}(C, p) \in S_1\}$ . Then

- (i) the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2) \subseteq K$ , and
- (ii)  $K$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .



PROOF: For every set  $y$ ,  $Y\text{section}(\emptyset_{X_1 \times X_2}, y) \in S_1$  by (24), [5, (7)]. For every subset  $C$  of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $C \in K$  holds  $C^c \in K$  by [17, (5), (6)], (25), (23).  $\square$

(49) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Then

- (i) for every set  $p$ ,  $X\text{section}(E, p) \in S_2$ , and
- (ii) for every set  $p$ ,  $Y\text{section}(E, p) \in S_1$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (47) and (48).

Let  $X_1, X_2$  be non empty sets,  $S_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1$ ,  $S_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_2$ ,  $E$  be an element of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and  $x$  be a set. The functor  $\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x)$  yielding an element of  $S_2$  is defined by the term

(Def. 6)  $X\text{section}(E, x)$ .

Let  $y$  be a set. The functor  $\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y)$  yielding an element of  $S_1$  is defined by the term

(Def. 7)  $Y\text{section}(E, y)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(50) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , a finite sequence  $F_4$  of elements of  $S_2$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_4$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_4$  holds  $F_4(n) = \text{MeasurableXsection}(F(n), p)$ . Then  $\text{MeasurableXsection}(\bigcup F, p) = \bigcup F_4$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28).

(51) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , a finite sequence  $F_3$  of elements of  $S_1$ , and a set  $p$ . Suppose  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } F_3$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F_3$  holds  $F_3(n) = \text{MeasurableYsection}(F(n), p)$ . Then  $\text{MeasurableYsection}(\bigcup F, p) = \bigcup F_3$ . The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(52) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ , and an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ . Then  $M_2(B) \cdot \chi_{A, X_1}(x) = \int \text{curry}(\chi_{A \times B, X_1 \times X_2}, x) dM_2$ .

PROOF: For every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $(\text{curry}(\chi_{A \times B, X_1 \times X_2}, x))(y) = \chi_{A, X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B, X_2}(y)$ .  $\square$

(53) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of

$\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ , and an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ . Suppose  $E = A \times B$ . Then  $M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x)) = M_2(B) \cdot \chi_{A, X_1}(x)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22).

- (54) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ , and an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ . Then  $M_1(A) \cdot \chi_{B, X_2}(y) = \int \text{curry}'(\chi_{A \times B, X_1 \times X_2}, y) dM_1$ .

PROOF: For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $(\text{curry}'(\chi_{A \times B, X_1 \times X_2}, y))(x) = \chi_{A, X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B, X_2}(y)$ .  $\square$

- (55) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ , and an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ . Suppose  $E = A \times B$ . Then  $M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y)) = M_1(A) \cdot \chi_{B, X_2}(y)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (22).

## 5. FINITE SEQUENCE OF FUNCTIONS

Let  $X, Y$  be non empty sets,  $G$  be a non empty set of functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ ,  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $G$ , and  $n$  be a natural number. Observe that the functor  $F_n$  yields an element of  $G$ . Let  $X$  be a set and  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . We say that  $F$  is (without  $+\infty$ )-valued if and only if

- (Def. 8) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F(n)$  is without  $+\infty$ .

We say that  $F$  is (without  $-\infty$ )-valued if and only if

- (Def. 9) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F(n)$  is without  $-\infty$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (56) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $\langle X \mapsto 0 \rangle$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ , and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom} \langle X \mapsto 0 \rangle$  holds  $\langle X \mapsto 0 \rangle(n)$  is without  $+\infty$ , and
- (iii) for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom} \langle X \mapsto 0 \rangle$  holds  $\langle X \mapsto 0 \rangle(n)$  is without  $-\infty$ .

Let  $X$  be a non empty set. One can verify that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$  which is (without  $+\infty$ )-valued and (without  $-\infty$ )-valued.

- (57) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a (without  $+\infty$ )-valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ , and a natural number  $n$ . If  $n \in \text{dom } F$ , then  $(F_n)^{-1}(\{+\infty\}) = \emptyset$ .
- (58) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a (without  $-\infty$ )-valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ , and a natural number  $n$ . If  $n \in \text{dom } F$ , then  $(F_n)^{-1}(\{-\infty\}) = \emptyset$ .
- (59) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , and a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . Suppose  $F$  is (without  $+\infty$ )-valued or (without  $-\infty$ )-valued. Let us consider natural numbers  $n, m$ . If  $n, m \in \text{dom } F$ , then  $\text{dom}(F_n + F_m) = X$ . The theorem is a consequence of (57) and (58).

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . We say that  $F$  is summable if and only if

(Def. 10)  $F$  is (without  $+\infty$ )-valued or (without  $-\infty$ )-valued.

Observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$  which is summable.

Let  $F$  be a summable finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . The functor

$(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$  yielding a finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$  is defined by

(Def. 11)  $\text{len } F = \text{len } it$  and  $F(1) = it(1)$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $1 \leq n < \text{len } F$  holds  $it(n+1) = it_n + F_{n+1}$ .

One can check that every finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$  which is (without  $+\infty$ )-valued is also summable and every finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$  which is (without  $-\infty$ )-valued is also summable.

Now we state the propositions:

- (60) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , and a (without  $+\infty$ )-valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . Then  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$  is (without  $+\infty$ )-valued.

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $\$1 \in \text{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(\$1)$  is without  $+\infty$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$  by [19, (29)], [2, (14)], [19, (25)], [2, (13)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

- (61) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , and a (without  $-\infty$ )-valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . Then  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$  is (without  $-\infty$ )-valued.

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $\$1 \in \text{dom}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(\$1)$  is without  $-\infty$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[n+1]$  by [19, (29)], [2, (14)], [19, (25)], [2, (13)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

- (62) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a set  $A$ , an extended real  $e$ , and a function  $f$  from  $X$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose for every element  $x$  of  $X$ ,  $f(x) = e \cdot \chi_{A,X}(x)$ . Then
- (i) if  $e = +\infty$ , then  $f = \overline{\chi}_{A,X}$ , and
  - (ii) if  $e = -\infty$ , then  $f = -\overline{\chi}_{A,X}$ , and
  - (iii) if  $e \neq +\infty$  and  $e \neq -\infty$ , then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $r = e$  and  $f = r \cdot \chi_{A,X}$ .
- (63) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $A$  of  $S$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $A \subseteq \text{dom } f$ . Then  $-f$  is measurable on  $A$ .

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $f$  be a without  $-\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Observe that  $-f$  is without  $+\infty$ .

Let  $f$  be a without  $+\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . One can check that  $-f$  is without  $-\infty$ .

Let  $f_1, f_2$  be without  $+\infty$  partial functions from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let us note that the functor  $f_1 + f_2$  yields a without  $+\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $f_1, f_2$  be without  $-\infty$  partial functions from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Note that the functor  $f_1 + f_2$  yields a without  $-\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $f_1$  be a without  $+\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $f_2$  be a without  $-\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . One can verify that the functor  $f_1 - f_2$  yields a without  $+\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $f_1$  be a without  $-\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $f_2$  be a without  $+\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Observe that the functor  $f_1 - f_2$  yields a without  $-\infty$  partial function from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (64) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , and partial functions  $f, g$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then
- (i)  $-(f + g) = -f + -g$ , and
  - (ii)  $-(f - g) = -f + g$ , and
  - (iii)  $-(f - g) = g - f$ , and
  - (iv)  $-(-f + g) = f - g$ , and
  - (v)  $-(-f + g) = f + -g$ .
- (65) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , without  $+\infty$  partial functions  $f, g$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element  $A$  of  $S$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $g$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $A \subseteq \text{dom}(f + g)$ . Then  $f + g$  is measurable on  $A$ . The theorem is a consequence of (63) and (64).
- (66) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , an element  $A$  of  $S$ , a without  $+\infty$  partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a without  $-\infty$

partial function  $g$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $g$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $A \subseteq \text{dom}(f - g)$ . Then  $f - g$  is measurable on  $A$ . The theorem is a consequence of (63) and (64).

- (67) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , an element  $A$  of  $S$ , a without  $-\infty$  partial function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a without  $+\infty$  partial function  $g$  from  $X$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose  $f$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $g$  is measurable on  $A$  and  $A \subseteq \text{dom}(f - g)$ . Then  $f - g$  is measurable on  $A$ . The theorem is a consequence of (64), (63), and (65).
- (68) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , an element  $P$  of  $S$ , and a summable finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$ . Suppose for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F_n$  is measurable on  $P$ . Let us consider a natural number  $n$ . Suppose  $n \in \text{dom } F$ . Then  $((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_n$  is measurable on  $P$ . The theorem is a consequence of (60), (65), and (61).

### 6. SOME PROPERTIES OF INTEGRAL

Now we state the propositions:

- (69) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ , an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ , and an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ . Suppose  $E = A \times B$ . Then

- (i)  $\int \text{curry}(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, x) \, dM_2 = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x)) \cdot \chi_{A, X_1}(x)$ ,  
and
- (ii)  $\int \text{curry}'(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, y) \, dM_1 = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y)) \cdot \chi_{B, X_2}(y)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (52), (53), (54), and (55).

- (70) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and there exists a finite sequence  $A$  of elements of  $S_1$ .

There exists a finite sequence  $B$  of elements of  $S_2$  such that  $\text{len } f = \text{len } A$  and  $\text{len } f = \text{len } B$  and  $E = \bigcup f$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $\pi_1(f(n)) = A(n)$  and  $\pi_2(f(n)) = B(n)$  and for every natural number  $n$  and for every sets  $x, y$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$  holds  $\chi_{f(n), X_1 \times X_2}(x, y) = \chi_{A(n), X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B(n), X_2}(y)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $E_1$  being a subset of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $E = E_1$  and there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  such that  $E_1 = \bigcup f$ . Consider  $f$  being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  such that  $E_1 = \bigcup f$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}$ [natural number, object]  $\equiv \mathcal{S}_2 = \pi_1(f(\mathcal{S}_1))$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg len } f$  there exists an element  $A_1$  of  $S_1$  such that  $\mathcal{S}[i, A_1]$  by [12, (4)], [1, (9)], [5, (7)]. Consider  $A$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_1$  such that  $\text{dom } A = \text{Seg len } f$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg len } f$  holds  $\mathcal{S}[i, A(i)]$  from [3, Sch. 5]. Define  $\mathcal{T}$ [natural number, object]  $\equiv \mathcal{S}_2 = \pi_2(f(\mathcal{S}_1))$ . For every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg len } f$  there exists an element  $B_1$  of  $S_2$  such that  $\mathcal{T}[i, B_1]$  by [12, (4)], [1, (9)], [5, (7)]. Consider  $B$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_2$  such that  $\text{dom } B = \text{Seg len } f$  and for every natural number  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg len } f$  holds  $\mathcal{T}[i, B(i)]$  from [3, Sch. 5]. For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $\pi_1(f(n)) = A(n)$  and  $\pi_2(f(n)) = B(n)$ . Consider  $A_2$  being an element of  $S_1$ ,  $B_2$  being an element of  $S_2$  such that  $f(n) = A_2 \times B_2$ .  $\square$

- (71) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ , an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ , an element  $U$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $V$  of  $S_2$ . Then

- (i)  $M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap U) = \int \text{curry}'(\chi_{E \cap (U \times X_2)}, X_1 \times X_2, y) dM_1$ , and  
(ii)  $M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap V) = \int \text{curry}(\chi_{E \cap (X_1 \times V)}, X_1 \times X_2, x) dM_2$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (34), (27), and (22).

- (72) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ , and an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ . Then

- (i)  $M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y)) = \int \text{curry}'(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, y) dM_1$ , and  
(ii)  $M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x)) = \int \text{curry}(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, x) dM_2$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (71).

- (73) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a disjoint valued finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ , an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ , a natural number  $n$ , an element  $E_2$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A_2$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B_2$  of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $f(n) = E_2$  and  $E_2 = A_2 \times$

$B_2$ . Then  $\int \text{curry}(\chi_{f(n), X_1 \times X_2}, x) \, dM_2 = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E_2, x)) \cdot \chi_{A_2, X_1}(x)$ .

- (74) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and  $E \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and there exists a finite sequence  $A$  of elements of  $S_1$  and there exists a finite sequence  $B$  of elements of  $S_2$ .

There exists a summable finite sequence  $X_3$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{X_1 \times X_2}$  such that  $E = \bigcup f$  and  $\text{len } f \in \text{dom } f$  and  $\text{len } f = \text{len } A$  and  $\text{len } f = \text{len } B$  and  $\text{len } f = \text{len } X_3$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(n) = A(n) \times B(n)$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } X_3$  holds  $X_3(n) = \chi_{f(n), X_1 \times X_2}$  and  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_3(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(\text{len } X_3) = \chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}$  and for every natural number  $n$  and for every sets  $x, y$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } X_3$  and  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$  holds  $X_3(n)(x, y) = \chi_{A(n), X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B(n), X_2}(y)$ .

For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $\text{curry}(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, x) = \text{curry}((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_3(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } X_3}, x)$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $\text{curry}'(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, y) = \text{curry}'((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_3(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } X_3}, y)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $f$  being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ ,  $A$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_1$ ,  $B$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_2$  such that  $\text{len } f = \text{len } A$  and  $\text{len } f = \text{len } B$  and  $E = \bigcup f$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $\pi_1(f(n)) = A(n)$  and  $\pi_2(f(n)) = B(n)$  and for every natural number  $n$  and for every sets  $x, y$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  and  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$  holds  $\chi_{f(n), X_1 \times X_2}(x, y) = \chi_{A(n), X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B(n), X_2}(y)$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{set}) = \chi_{f(\$1), X_1 \times X_2}$ . Consider  $X_3$  being a finite sequence such that  $\text{len } X_3 = \text{len } f$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } X_3$  holds  $X_3(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$  from [3, Sch. 2]. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $\$1 \in \text{dom } f$ , then  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_3(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(\$1) = \chi_{\bigcup(f \upharpoonright \$1), X_1 \times X_2}$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$  by [9, (20)], [3, (39)], [13, (25)], [2, (14)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n]$  from [2, Sch. 2]. For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(n) = A(n) \times B(n)$  by [12, (4)], [13, (90)], [1, (9)]. For every natural number  $n$  and for every sets  $x, y$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } X_3$  and  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$  holds  $X_3(n)(x, y) = \chi_{A(n), X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B(n), X_2}(y)$ . For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $\text{curry}(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, x) = \text{curry}((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_3(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } X_3}, x)$ .  $\square$

- (75) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , and a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $\bigcup F \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $\$1 \leq \text{len } F$ , then  $\bigcup \text{rng}(F \setminus \$1) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$  by [2, (11)], [19, (25)], [8, (11)], [3, (59)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

- (76) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and  $E \neq \emptyset$ .

Then there exists a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and there exists a finite sequence  $A$  of elements of  $S_1$  and there exists a finite sequence  $B$  of elements of  $S_2$  and there exists a summable finite sequence  $C$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{X_1 \times X_2}$  and there exists a summable finite sequence  $I$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{X_1}$  and there exists a summable finite sequence  $J$  of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{X_2}$  such that  $E = \bigcup F$  and  $\text{len } F \in \text{dom } F$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } A$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } B$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } C$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } I$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } J$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } C$  holds  $C(n) = \chi_{F(n), X_1 \times X_2}$  and  $((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C} = \chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}$ .

For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } I$  holds  $I(n)(x) = \int \text{curry}(C_n, x) dM_2$  and for every natural number  $n$  and for every element  $P$  of  $S_1$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } I$  holds  $I_n$  is measurable on  $P$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $\int \text{curry}(((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C}, x) dM_2 = ((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} I(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } I}(x)$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } J$  holds  $J(n)(y) = \int \text{curry}'(C_n, y) dM_1$  and for every natural number  $n$  and for every element  $P$  of  $S_2$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } J$  holds  $J_n$  is measurable on  $P$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $\int \text{curry}'(((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C}, y) dM_1 = ((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} J(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } J}(y)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $F$  being a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ ,  $A$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_1$ ,  $B$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_2$ ,  $C$  being a summable finite sequence of elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{X_1 \times X_2}$  such that  $E = \bigcup F$  and  $\text{len } F \in \text{dom } F$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } A$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } B$  and  $\text{len } F = \text{len } C$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } F$  holds  $F(n) = A(n) \times B(n)$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } C$  holds  $C(n) = \chi_{F(n), X_1 \times X_2}$  and  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(\text{len } C) = \chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}$  and for every natural number  $n$  and for every sets  $x, y$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } C$  and  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$  holds  $C(n)(x, y) = \chi_{A(n), X_1}(x) \cdot \chi_{B(n), X_2}(y)$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $\text{curry}(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, x) = \text{curry}(((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C}, x)$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $\text{curry}'(\chi_{E, X_1 \times X_2}, y) = \text{curry}'(((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C}, y)$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv$  there exists a function  $f$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $f = \$2$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $f(x) = \int \text{curry}(C_{\$1}, x) dM_2$ .



For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{Seg len } F$  there exists an object  $z$  such that  $\mathcal{S}[n, z]$ . Consider  $I$  being a finite sequence such that  $\text{dom } I = \text{Seg len } F$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{Seg len } F$  holds  $\mathcal{S}[n, I(n)]$  from [3, Sch. 1]. For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } I$  holds  $I(n)(x) = \int \text{curry}(C_n, x) \, dM_2$  by [12, (4)]. Define  $\mathcal{T}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv$  there exists a function  $f$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $f = \mathcal{S}_2$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_2$ ,  $f(x) = \int \text{curry}'(C_{\mathcal{S}_1}, x) \, dM_1$ . For every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{Seg len } F$  there exists an object  $z$  such that  $\mathcal{T}[n, z]$ . Consider  $J$  being a finite sequence such that  $\text{dom } J = \text{Seg len } F$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{Seg len } F$  holds  $\mathcal{T}[n, J(n)]$  from [3, Sch. 1]. For every element  $x$  of  $X_2$  and for every natural number  $n$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } J$  holds  $J(n)(x) = \int \text{curry}'(C_n, x) \, dM_1$  by [12, (4)]. For every natural number  $n$  and for every element  $P$  of  $S_1$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } I$  holds  $I_n$  is measurable on  $P$  by [12, (4)], (69), (22). For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $\int \text{curry}(((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C}, x) \, dM_2 = ((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} I(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } I}(x)$  by [19, (24), (25)], [2, (13)], [9, (20)]. For every natural number  $n$  and for every element  $P$  of  $S_2$  such that  $n \in \text{dom } J$  holds  $J_n$  is measurable on  $P$  by [12, (4)], (69), (22). For every element  $x$  of  $X_2$ ,  $\int \text{curry}'(((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} C(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } C}, x) \, dM_1 = ((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} J(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})_{\text{len } J}(x)$  by [19, (24), (25)], [2, (13)], [9, (20)].  $\square$

Let  $X_1, X_2$  be non empty sets,  $S_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1$ ,  $S_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_2$ ,  $F$  be a set sequence of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and  $n$  be a natural number. One can verify that the functor  $F(n)$  yields an element of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Let  $F$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N} \times \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$  into  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ ,  $n$  be an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $E$  be an element of

$\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Let us observe that the functor  $F(n, E)$  yields an element of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Now we state the propositions:

(77) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and an element  $V$  of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

(i) for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap V)$ , and

(ii) for every element  $P$  of  $S_1$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $P$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (22), (27), (24), (76), (71), and (68).

(78) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and an element  $V$  of  $S_1$ .

Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

- (i) for every element  $x$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(x) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, x) \cap V)$ , and
- (ii) for every element  $P$  of  $S_2$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $P$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (22), (27), (24), (76), (71), and (68).

- (79) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Let us consider an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Then  $E \in \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$ : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . The theorem is a consequence of (77).
- (80) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Let us consider an element  $B$  of  $S_1$ . Then  $E \in \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$ : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $x$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(x) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, x) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . The theorem is a consequence of (78).
- (81) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Then the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$ : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (79).
- (82) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_1$ . Then the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$ : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (80).

7.  $\sigma$ -FINITE MEASURE

Let  $X$  be a non empty set,  $S$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X$ , and  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S$ . We say that  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if

(Def. 12) there exists a set sequence  $E$  of  $S$  such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M(E(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\bigcup E = X$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (83) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ . Then  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if there exists a set sequence  $F$  of  $S$  such that  $F$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M(F(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim F = X$ .
- (84) Let us consider a set  $X$ , a semialgebra  $S$  of sets of  $X$ , a pre-measure  $P$  of  $S$ , and an induced measure  $M$  of  $S$  and  $P$ . Then  $M =$  (the Caratheodory measure determined by  $M$ )  $\upharpoonright$  (the field generated by  $S$ ).

8. FUBINI'S THEOREM ON MEASURE

Now we state the propositions:

- (85) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $M_2(B) < +\infty$ . Then  $\{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \text{ there exists a function } F \text{ from } X_1 \text{ into } \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ such that for every element } x \text{ of } X_1, F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B) \text{ and for every element } V \text{ of } S_1, F \text{ is measurable on } V\}$  is a monotone class of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

PROOF: Set  $Z = \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \text{ there exists a function } F \text{ from } X_1 \text{ into } \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ such that for every element } x \text{ of } X_1, F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B) \text{ and for every element } V \text{ of } S_1, F \text{ is measurable on } V\}$ . For every sequence  $A_1$  of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $A_1$  is monotone and  $\text{rng } A_1 \subseteq Z$  holds  $\lim A_1 \in Z$  by [10, (3)], [5, (35)], [21, (63)], [12, (45)].  $\square$

- (86) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_1$ . Suppose  $M_1(B) < +\infty$ . Then  $\{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \text{ there exists a function } F \text{ from } X_2 \text{ into } \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ such that for every element } y \text{ of } X_2, F(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap B) \text{ and for every element } V \text{ of } S_2, F \text{ is measurable on } V\}$  is a monotone class of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

PROOF: Set  $Z = \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$  : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . For every sequence  $A_1$  of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $A_1$  is monotone and  $\text{rng } A_1 \subseteq Z$  holds  $\lim A_1 \in Z$  by [10, (3)], [5, (35)], [21, (63)], [12, (45)].  $\square$

(87) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a field  $F$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a sequence  $L$  of subsets of  $X$ . Suppose  $\text{rng } L$  is a monotone class of  $X$  and  $F \subseteq \text{rng } L$ . Then

- (i)  $\sigma(F) = \text{monotone-class}(F)$ , and
- (ii)  $\sigma(F) \subseteq \text{rng } L$ .

(88) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a field  $F$  of subsets of  $X$ , and a family  $K$  of subsets of  $X$ . Suppose  $K$  is a monotone class of  $X$  and  $F \subseteq K$ . Then

- (i)  $\sigma(F) = \text{monotone-class}(F)$ , and
- (ii)  $\sigma(F) \subseteq K$ .

(89) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $M_2(B) < +\infty$ . Then  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$  : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . The theorem is a consequence of (85), (81), (7), and (88).

(90) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_1$ . Suppose  $M_1(B) < +\infty$ . Then  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))\}$  : there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap B)$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ . The theorem is a consequence of (86), (82), (7), and (88).

(91) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

- (i) for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x))$ , and
- (ii) for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ .

PROOF: Consider  $B$  being a set sequence of  $S_2$  such that  $B$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M_2(B(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim B = X_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv$  there exists a function  $f_1$  from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\$2 = f_1$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $f_1(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B(\$1))$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $f_1$  is measurable on  $V$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element  $f$  of  $X_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n, f]$  by (89), [12, (45)]. Consider  $f$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $X_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n, f(n)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $f(n)$  is a function from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $f(n)(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap B(n))$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $f(n)$  is measurable on  $V$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$ ,  $\text{dom}(f(n)) = \text{dom}(f(m))$ . For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  such that  $x \in X_1$  holds  $f\#x$  is convergent by [5, (11), (31)], [20, (7), (37)]. Reconsider  $F = \lim f$  as a function from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $F(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x))$  by [21, (80)], [22, (92)], (49), [5, (11)].  $\square$

(92) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then there exists a function  $F$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that

- (i) for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y))$ , and
- (ii) for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $F$  is measurable on  $V$ .

PROOF: Consider  $B$  being a set sequence of  $S_1$  such that  $B$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M_1(B(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim B = X_1$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv$  there exists a function  $f_1$  from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\$2 = f_1$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $f_1(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap B(\$1))$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $f_1$  is measurable on  $V$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element  $f$  of  $X_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n, f]$  by (90), [12, (45)]. Consider  $f$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $X_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n, f(n)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $f(n)$  is a function from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $f(n)(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap B(n))$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $f(n)$  is measurable on  $V$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$ ,  $\text{dom}(f(n)) = \text{dom}(f(m))$ . For every element  $y$  of  $X_2$  such that  $y \in X_2$  holds  $f\#y$  is convergent by [5, (11), (31)], [20, (7), (37)]. Reconsider  $F = \lim f$  as a function from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $F(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y))$  by [21, (80)], [22, (92)], (49), [5, (11)].  $\square$

Let  $X_1, X_2$  be non empty sets,  $S_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1$ ,  $S_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_2$ ,  $M_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_2$ , and  $E$  be an element of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Assume  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. The functor  $\text{Yvol}(E, M_2)$  yielding a non-negative function from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

(Def. 13) for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $it(x) = M_2(\text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x))$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_1$ ,  $it$  is measurable on  $V$ .

Let  $M_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_1$ . Assume  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. The functor  $\text{Xvol}(E, M_1)$  yielding a non-negative function from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

(Def. 14) for every element  $y$  of  $X_2$ ,  $it(y) = M_1(\text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y))$  and for every element  $V$  of  $S_2$ ,  $it$  is measurable on  $V$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(93) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and elements  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then  $\text{Yvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_2) = \text{Yvol}(E_1, M_2) + \text{Yvol}(E_2, M_2)$ .

PROOF: For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  such that  $x \in \text{dom Yvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_2)$  holds  $(\text{Yvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_2))(x) = (\text{Yvol}(E_1, M_2) + \text{Yvol}(E_2, M_2))(x)$  by (26), (35), [5, (30)].  $\square$

(94) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and elements  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then  $\text{Xvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_1) = \text{Xvol}(E_1, M_1) + \text{Xvol}(E_2, M_1)$ .

PROOF: For every element  $x$  of  $X_2$  such that  $x \in \text{dom Xvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_1)$  holds  $(\text{Xvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_1))(x) = (\text{Xvol}(E_1, M_1) + \text{Xvol}(E_2, M_1))(x)$  by (26), (35), [5, (30)].  $\square$

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and elements  $E_1, E_2$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Now we state the propositions:

(95) Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then  $\int \text{Yvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_2) dM_1 = \int \text{Yvol}(E_1, M_2) dM_1 + \int \text{Yvol}(E_2, M_2) dM_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (93).

(96) Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$ . Then  $\int \text{Xvol}(E_1 \cup E_2, M_1) dM_2 = \int \text{Xvol}(E_1, M_1) dM_2 + \int \text{Xvol}(E_2, M_1) dM_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (94).

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

(97) Suppose  $E = A \times B$  and  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then

- (i) if  $M_2(B) = +\infty$ , then  $Y\text{vol}(E, M_2) = \bar{\chi}_{A, X_1}$ , and
- (ii) if  $M_2(B) \neq +\infty$ , then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $r = M_2(B)$  and  $Y\text{vol}(E, M_2) = r \cdot \chi_{A, X_1}$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (53).

(98) Suppose  $E = A \times B$  and  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then

- (i) if  $M_1(A) = +\infty$ , then  $X\text{vol}(E, M_1) = \bar{\chi}_{B, X_2}$ , and
- (ii) if  $M_1(A) \neq +\infty$ , then there exists a real number  $r$  such that  $r = M_1(A)$  and  $X\text{vol}(E, M_1) = r \cdot \chi_{B, X_2}$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (55).

(99) Let us consider a non empty set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S$  of subsets of  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M$  on  $S$ , an element  $A$  of  $S$ , and a real number  $r$ . If  $r \geq 0$ , then  $\int r \cdot \chi_{A, X} dM = r \cdot M(A)$ .

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Now we state the propositions:

(100) Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $F$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(F(n)) = \int Y\text{vol}(F(n), M_2) dM_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (16), (97), and (99).

(101) Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $F$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(F(n)) = \int X\text{vol}(F(n), M_1) dM_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (16), (98), and (99).

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a disjoint valued finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and a natural number  $n$ . Now we state the propositions:

(102) Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $F$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(\cup F) = \int Y\text{vol}(\cup F, M_2) dM_1$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(\cup(F \upharpoonright \$1)) = \int Y\text{vol}(\cup(F \upharpoonright \$1), M_2) dM_1$ .  $\mathcal{P}[0]$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$  by [2, (13)], [3, (59)], [19, (55)], [3, (82)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

(103) Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $F$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(\cup F) = \int X\text{vol}(\cup F, M_1) dM_2$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(\cup(F \upharpoonright \$1)) = \int X\text{vol}(\cup(F \upharpoonright \$1), M_1) dM_2$ .  $\mathcal{P}[0]$ . For every natural number  $k$  such that

$\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k + 1]$  by [2, (13)], [3, (59)], [19, (55)], [3, (82)]. For every natural number  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [2, Sch. 2].  $\square$

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $V$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (104) Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then suppose  $V = A \times B$ . Then  $E \in \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int Y \text{vol}(E \cap V, M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (102).
- (105) Suppose  $E \in$  the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$  and  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then suppose  $V = A \times B$ . Then  $E \in \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int X \text{vol}(E \cap V, M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (103).

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $V$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (106) Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $V = A \times B$ . Then the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int Y \text{vol}(E \cap V, M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (104).
- (107) Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $V = A \times B$ . Then the field generated by  $\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int X \text{vol}(E \cap V, M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7) and (105).
- (108) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , elements  $E, V$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , a set sequence  $P$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ . Suppose  $P$  is non descending and  $\lim P = E$ . Then there exists a sequence  $K$  of subsets of  $S_2$  such that
- (i)  $K$  is non descending, and
  - (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $K(n) = \text{MeasurableXsection}(P(n), x) \cap \text{MeasurableXsection}(V, x)$ , and
  - (iii)  $\lim K = \text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap \text{MeasurableXsection}(V, x)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (43), (49), and (30).

- (109) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , elements  $E, V$



of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , a set sequence  $P$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ . Suppose  $P$  is non descending and  $\lim P = E$ . Then there exists a sequence  $K$  of subsets of  $S_1$  such that

- (i)  $K$  is non descending, and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $K(n) = \text{MeasurableYsection}(P(n), y) \cap \text{MeasurableYsection}(V, y)$ , and
- (iii)  $\lim K = \text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap \text{MeasurableYsection}(V, y)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (44), (49), and (32).

- (110) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , elements  $E, V$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , a set sequence  $P$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and an element  $x$  of  $X_1$ . Suppose  $P$  is non ascending and  $\lim P = E$ . Then there exists a sequence  $K$  of subsets of  $S_2$  such that

- (i)  $K$  is non ascending, and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $K(n) = \text{MeasurableXsection}(P(n), x) \cap \text{MeasurableXsection}(V, x)$ , and
- (iii)  $\lim K = \text{MeasurableXsection}(E, x) \cap \text{MeasurableXsection}(V, x)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (45), (49), and (31).

- (111) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , elements  $E, V$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , a set sequence  $P$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , and an element  $y$  of  $X_2$ . Suppose  $P$  is non ascending and  $\lim P = E$ . Then there exists a sequence  $K$  of subsets of  $S_1$  such that

- (i)  $K$  is non ascending, and
- (ii) for every natural number  $n$ ,  $K(n) = \text{MeasurableYsection}(P(n), y) \cap \text{MeasurableYsection}(V, y)$ , and
- (iii)  $\lim K = \text{MeasurableYsection}(E, y) \cap \text{MeasurableYsection}(V, y)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (46), (49), and (33).

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , an element  $V$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ , an element  $A$  of  $S_1$ , and an element  $B$  of  $S_2$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (112) Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $V = A \times B$  and  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(V) < +\infty$  and  $M_2(B) < +\infty$ . Then  $\{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int Y \text{vol}(E \cap V, M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$  is a monotone class of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

PROOF: Set  $Z = \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int Y\text{vol}(E \cap V, M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . For every sequence  $A_1$  of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $A_1$  is monotone and  $\text{rng } A_1 \subseteq Z$  holds  $\lim A_1 \in Z$  by [10, (3)], [5, (35)], [21, (63)], [12, (45)].  $\square$

- (113) Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $V = A \times B$  and  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(V) < +\infty$  and  $M_1(A) < +\infty$ . Then  $\{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int X\text{vol}(E \cap V, M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$  is a monotone class of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

PROOF: Set  $Z = \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int X\text{vol}(E \cap V, M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . For every sequence  $A_1$  of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$  such that  $A_1$  is monotone and  $\text{rng } A_1 \subseteq Z$  holds  $\lim A_1 \in Z$  by [10, (3)], [5, (35)], [21, (63)], [12, (45)].  $\square$

- (114) Suppose  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $V = A \times B$  and  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(V) < +\infty$  and  $M_2(B) < +\infty$ . Then  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int Y\text{vol}(E \cap V, M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (112), (106), (7), and (88).

- (115) Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $V = A \times B$  and  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(V) < +\infty$  and  $M_1(A) < +\infty$ . Then  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) \subseteq \{E, \text{ where } E \text{ is an element of } \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)) : \int X\text{vol}(E \cap V, M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap V)\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (113), (107), (7), and (88).

- (116) Let us consider sets  $X, Y$ , a sequence  $A$  of subsets of  $X$ , a sequence  $B$  of subsets of  $Y$ , and a sequence  $C$  of subsets of  $X \times Y$ . Suppose  $A$  is non descending and  $B$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $C(n) = A(n) \times B(n)$ . Then

- (i)  $C$  is non descending and convergent, and
- (ii)  $\bigcup C = \bigcup A \times \bigcup B$ .

PROOF: For every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  holds  $C(n) \subseteq C(m)$  by [13, (96)].  $\square$

- (117) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then  $\int Y\text{vol}(E, M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $A$  being a set sequence of  $S_1$  such that  $A$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M_1(A(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim A = X_1$ . Consider  $B$  being a set sequence of  $S_2$  such that  $B$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M_2(B(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim B =$

$X_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{C}(\text{element of } \mathbb{N}) = A(\$_1) \times B(\$_1)$ . Consider  $C$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{X_1 \times X_2}$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $C(n) = \mathcal{C}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $C(n) = A(n) \times B(n)$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $C(n) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  holds  $C(n) \subseteq C(m)$  by [13, (96)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(C(n)) < +\infty$  by (16), [6, (51)]. Set  $C_1 = E \cap C$ . For every object  $n$  such that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $C_1(n) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\int \text{Yvol}(E \cap C(n), M_2) dM_1 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap C(n))$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathbb{N}, \text{object}] \equiv \$_2 = \text{Yvol}(E \cap C(\$_1), M_2)$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element  $f$  of  $X_1 \dot{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n, f]$  by [12, (45)]. Consider  $F$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $X_1 \dot{\rightarrow} \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n, F(n)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n) = \text{Yvol}(E \cap C(n), M_2)$ . Reconsider  $X_3 = X_1$  as an element of  $S_1$ . For every natural number  $n$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$ ,  $(F\#x)(n) = (\text{Yvol}(E \cap C(n), M_2))(x)$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$ ,  $\text{dom}(F(n)) = \text{dom}(F(m))$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n)$  is measurable on  $X_3$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  for every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  such that  $x \in X_3$  holds  $F(n)(x) \leq F(m)(x)$  by (20), [5, (31)]. For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  such that  $x \in X_3$  holds  $F\#x$  is convergent by [20, (7), (37)]. Consider  $I$  being a sequence of extended reals such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $I(n) = \int F(n) dM_1$  and  $I$  is convergent and  $\int \lim F dM_1 = \lim I$ . For every element  $x$  of  $X_1$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } \lim F$  holds  $(\lim F)(x) = (\text{Yvol}(E, M_2))(x)$  by (116), (108), (27), [10, (13)]. Set  $J = E \cap C$ . For every object  $n$  such that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $J(n) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ .  $\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2)$  is a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $I(n) = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2)_* J)(n)$  by [10, (13)].  $\square$

(118) FUBINI'S THEOREM:

Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1, X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and an element  $E$  of  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . Suppose  $M_1$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and  $M_2$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Then  $\int \text{Xvol}(E, M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E)$ .

PROOF: Consider  $A$  being a set sequence of  $S_1$  such that  $A$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M_1(A(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim A = X_1$ . Consider  $B$  being a set sequence of  $S_2$  such that  $B$  is non descending and for every natural number  $n$ ,  $M_2(B(n)) < +\infty$  and  $\lim B = X_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{C}(\text{element of } \mathbb{N}) = A(\$_1) \times B(\$_1)$ . Consider  $C$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{X_1 \times X_2}$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $C(n) = \mathcal{C}(n)$  from [11, Sch. 4]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $C(n) = A(n) \times$

$B(n)$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $C(n) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  holds  $C(n) \subseteq C(m)$  by [13, (96)]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $(\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(C(n)) < +\infty$  by (16), [6, (51)]. Set  $C_1 = E \cap C$ . For every object  $n$  such that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $C_1(n) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $\int \text{Xvol}(E \cap C(n), M_1) dM_2 = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2))(E \cap C(n))$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathbb{N}, \text{object}] \equiv \mathcal{J} = \text{Xvol}(E \cap C(\mathcal{J}_1), M_1)$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element  $f$  of  $X_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[n, f]$  by [12, (45)]. Consider  $F$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $X_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n, F(n)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. For every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n) = \text{Xvol}(E \cap C(n), M_1)$ . Reconsider  $X_3 = X_2$  as an element of  $S_2$ . For every natural number  $n$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X_2$ ,  $(F \# x)(n) = (\text{Xvol}(E \cap C(n), M_1))(x)$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$ ,  $\text{dom}(F(n)) = \text{dom}(F(m))$ . For every natural number  $n$ ,  $F(n)$  is measurable on  $X_3$ . For every natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $n \leq m$  for every element  $x$  of  $X_2$  such that  $x \in X_3$  holds  $F(n)(x) \leq F(m)(x)$  by (21), [5, (31)]. For every element  $x$  of  $X_2$  such that  $x \in X_3$  holds  $F \# x$  is convergent by [20, (7), (37)]. Consider  $I$  being a sequence of extended reals such that for every natural number  $n$ ,  $I(n) = \int F(n) dM_2$  and  $I$  is convergent and  $\int \lim F dM_2 = \lim I$ . For every element  $x$  of  $X_2$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } \lim F$  holds  $(\lim F)(x) = (\text{Xvol}(E, M_1))(x)$  by (116), (109), (27), [10, (13)]. Set  $J = E \cap C$ . For every object  $n$  such that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $J(n) \in \sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ .  $\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2)$  is a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $\sigma(\text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2))$ . For every element  $n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $I(n) = (\text{Prod } \sigma\text{-Meas}(M_1, M_2) * J)(n)$  by [10, (13)].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Curried and uncurried functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):537–541, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Heinz Bauer. *Measure and Integration Theory*. Walter de Gruyter Inc., 2002.
- [5] Józef Białas. The  $\sigma$ -additive measure theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(2):263–270, 1991.
- [6] Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):173–183, 1991.
- [7] Vladimir Igorevich Bogachev and Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas. *Measure theory*, volume 1. Springer, 2007.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some properties of restrictions of finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):241–245, 1996.

- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1): 55–65, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [14] Noboru Endou. Product pre-measure. *Formalized Mathematics*, 24(1):69–79, 2016. doi:10.1515/forma-2016-0006.
- [15] Gerald B. Folland. *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*. Wiley, 2nd edition, 1999.
- [16] P. R. Halmos. *Measure Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [17] Andrzej Nędzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [18] M.M. Rao. *Measure Theory and Integration*. Marcel Dekker, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [20] Hiroshi Yamazaki, Noboru Endou, Yasunari Shidama, and Hiroyuki Okazaki. Inferior limit, superior limit and convergence of sequences of extended real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(4):231–236, 2007. doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0026-3.
- [21] Bo Zhang, Hiroshi Yamazaki, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Limit of sequence of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):347–352, 2005.
- [22] Bo Zhang, Hiroshi Yamazaki, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Some equations related to the limit of sequence of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(3):407–412, 2005.

*Received February 23, 2017*

---