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#### Abstract

Summary. In this article we formalize the Bertrand's Ballot Theorem based on [17]. Suppose that in an election we have two candidates: $A$ that receives $n$ votes and $B$ that receives $k$ votes, and additionally $n \geqslant k$. Then this theorem states that the probability of the situation where $A$ maintains more votes than $B$ throughout the counting of the ballots is equal to $(n-k) /(n+k)$.

This theorem is item \#30 from the "Formalizing 100 Theorems" list maintained by Freek Wiedijk at http://www.cs.ru.nl/F.Wiedijk/100/.
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## 1. Preliminaries

From now on $D, D_{1}, D_{2}$ denote non empty sets, $d, d_{1}, d_{2}$ denote finite 0 -sequences of $D$, and $n, k, i, j$ denote natural numbers.

Now we state the propositions:
(1) $\quad \operatorname{XFS} 2 \mathrm{FS}(d \upharpoonright n)=\operatorname{XFS} 2 \mathrm{FS}(d) \upharpoonright n$.
(2) $\operatorname{rng} d=\operatorname{rng} \operatorname{XFS} 2 \mathrm{FS}(d)$.
(3) Let us consider a finite 0 -sequence $d_{1}$ of $D_{1}$ and a finite 0 -sequence $d_{2}$ of $D_{2}$. If $d_{1}=d_{2}$, then $\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S\left(d_{1}\right)=\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S\left(d_{2}\right)$.

[^0](4) If $\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S\left(d_{1}\right)=\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S\left(d_{2}\right)$, then $d_{1}=d_{2}$. Proof: For every $i$ such that $i<\operatorname{len} d_{1}$ holds $d_{1}(i)=d_{2}(i)$ by [2, (13), (11)].
(5) Let us consider a finite sequence $d$ of elements of $D$. Then $\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S(\operatorname{FS} 2 \operatorname{XFS}(d))=d$.
(6) Let us consider a finite sequence $f$ and objects $x, y$. Suppose
(i) $\operatorname{rng} f \subseteq\{x, y\}$, and
(ii) $x \neq y$.

Then $\overline{\overline{f^{-1}(\{x\})}}+\overline{\overline{f^{-1}(\{y\})}}=\operatorname{len} f$.
(7) Let us consider functions $f, g$. Suppose $f$ is one-to-one. Let us consider an object $x$. If $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then $\operatorname{Coim}(f \cdot g, f(x))=\operatorname{Coim}(g, x)$. Proof: Set $f_{3}=f \cdot g$. $\operatorname{Coim}\left(f_{3}, f(x)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Coim}(g, x)$ by [6, (11), (12)].
(8) Let us consider a real number $r$ and a real-valued finite sequence $f$. Suppose $\operatorname{rng} f \subseteq\{0, r\}$. Then $\sum f=r \cdot \overline{\overline{f^{-1}(\{r\})}}$. Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}$ [natural number] $\equiv$ for every real-valued finite sequence $f$ such that len $f=\$_{1}$ and $\operatorname{rng} f \subseteq\{0, r\}$ holds $\sum f=r \cdot \overline{\overline{f^{-1}(\{r\})}} . \mathcal{P}[0]$ by [8, (72)]. For every $n$ such that $\mathcal{P}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[n+1$ ] by [22, (55)], [8, (74)], [25, (70)], [2, (11)]. For every $n, \mathcal{P}[n]$ from [2, Sch. 2].

## 2. Properties of Elections

In the sequel $A, B$ denote objects, $v$ denotes an element of $\{A, B\}^{n+k}$, and $f, g$ denote finite sequences.

Let us consider $A, n, B$, and $k$. The functor $\operatorname{Election}(A, n, B, k)$ yielding a subset of $\{A, B\}^{n+k}$ is defined by
(Def. 1) $v \in i t$ if and only if $\overline{\overline{v^{-1}(\{A\})}}=n$.
Let us note that Election $(A, n, B, k)$ is finite. Now we state the propositions:
(9) Election $(A, n, A, 0)=\{n \mapsto A\}$. Proof: Election $(A, n, A, 0) \subseteq\{n \mapsto A\}$ by [19, (29)], [9, (33)], [21, (9)].
(10) If $k>0$, then $\operatorname{Election}(A, n, A, k)$ is empty.

Let us consider $A$ and $n$. Let $k$ be a non empty natural number. Let us observe that $\operatorname{Election}(A, n, A, k)$ is empty. Now we state the proposition:
(11) $\operatorname{Election}(A, n, B, k)=\operatorname{Choose}(\operatorname{Seg}(n+k), n, A, B)$. Proof: Election $(A, n$, $B, k) \subseteq \operatorname{Choose}(\operatorname{Seg}(n+k), n, A, B)$ by [7, (2)].
Let us assume that $A \neq B$. Now we state the propositions:
(12) $v \in \operatorname{Election}(A, n, B, k)$ if and only if $\overline{\overline{v^{-1}(\{B\})}}=k$. The theorem is a consequence of (6).
(13) $\overline{\overline{\operatorname{Election}}(A, n, B, k)}=\binom{n+k}{n}$. The theorem is a consequence of (11).

## 3. Properties of Dominated Elections

Let us consider $A, n, B$, and $k$. Let $v$ be a finite sequence. We say that $v$ is an $(A, n, B, k)$-dominated-election if and only if
(Def. 2) (i) $v \in \operatorname{Election}(A, n, B, k)$, and
(ii) for every $i$ such that $i>0$ holds $\overline{\overline{\left(v\lceil i)^{-1}(\{A\})\right.}}>\overline{\overline{(v \upharpoonright i)^{-1}(\{B\})}}$.

Let us assume that $f$ is an $(A, n, B, k)$-dominated-election. Now we state the propositions:
(14) $A \neq B$.
(15) $n>k$. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (12).

Now we state the propositions:
(16) If $A \neq B$ and $n>0$, then $n \mapsto A$ is an ( $A, n, B, 0$ )-dominated-election.
(17) If $f$ is an $(A, n, B, k)$-dominated-election and $i<n-k$, then $f \wedge(i \mapsto B)$ is an $(A, n, B,(k+i))$-dominated-election. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (12).
(18) Suppose $f$ is an $(A, n, B, k)$-dominated-election and $g$ is an $(A, i, B$, $j$ )-dominated-election. Then $f^{\wedge} g$ is an $(A,(n+i), B,(k+j))$-dominatedelection. The theorem is a consequence of (14), (12), and (15).
Let us consider $A, n, B$, and $k$. The functor DominatedElection $(A, n, B, k)$ yielding a subset of $\operatorname{Election}(A, n, B, k)$ is defined by
(Def. 3) $f \in i t$ if and only if $f$ is an $(A, n, B, k)$-dominated-election.
(19) If $A=B$ or $n \leqslant k$, then DominatedElection $(A, n, B, k)$ is empty. The theorem is a consequence of (14) and (15).
(20) If $n>k$ and $A \neq B$, then $n \mapsto A^{\wedge}(k \mapsto B) \in \operatorname{DominatedElection~}(A, n, B$, $k)$. The theorem is a consequence of (17) and (16).
(21) If $A \neq B$, then $\overline{\overline{\text { DominatedElection }(A, n, B, k)}}=$
$\overline{\overline{\text { DominatedElection }(0, n, 1, k)}}$. Proof: Set $T=[A \longmapsto 0, B \longmapsto 1]$. Define $\mathcal{P}$ [object, object $] \equiv$ for every $f$ such that $f=\$_{1}$ holds $T \cdot f=\$_{2}$. For every object $x$ such that $x \in \operatorname{DominatedElection}(A, n, B, k)$ there exists an object $y$ such that $y \in \operatorname{DominatedElection}(0, n, 1, k)$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ by [25, (27), (26)], [5, (92)], (7). Consider $C$ being a function from DominatedElection $(A, n, B, k)$ into DominatedElection $(0, n, 1, k)$ such that for every object $x$ such that $x \in \operatorname{DominatedElection}(A, n, B, k)$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, C(x)]$ from [7. Sch. 1]. DominatedElection $(0, n, 1, k) \subseteq \operatorname{rng} C$ by [25, (27), (26)], [5, (92)], (7).
(22) Let us consider a finite sequence $p$ of elements of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $p$ is a $(0, n, 1$, $k)$-dominated-election if and only if $p$ is an $(n+k)$-tuple of $\{0,1\}$ and $n>0$ and $\sum p=k$ and for every $i$ such that $i>0$ holds $2 \cdot \sum(p \upharpoonright i)<i$. Proof: If $p$ is a $(0, n, 1, k)$-dominated-election, then $p$ is an $(n+k)$-tuple of $\{0,1\}$
and $n>0$ and $\sum p=k$ and for every $i$ such that $i>0$ holds $2 \cdot \sum(p \upharpoonright i)<i$ $\operatorname{by}(8),(12),(15),[25,(70)] . \overline{\underline{1 \cdot \overline{p^{-1}(\{1\})}}}=k \cdot \underline{\overline{p^{-1}(\{1\})}}+\overline{\overline{p^{-1}(\{0\})}}=\operatorname{len} p$. $1 \cdot \overline{\overline{(p \upharpoonright i)^{-1}(\{1\})}}=\sum(p \upharpoonright i) \cdot \overline{\overline{(p \upharpoonright i)^{-1}(\{1\})}}+\overline{\overline{(p \upharpoonright i)^{-1}(\{0\})}}=\operatorname{len}(p \upharpoonright i)$.
(23) If $f$ is an $(A, n, B, k)$-dominated-election, then $f(1)=A$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).
(24) Let us consider a finite 0 -sequence $d$ of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $d \in \operatorname{Domin}_{0}(n+k, k)$ if and only if $\langle 0\rangle{ }^{\sim} \operatorname{XFS} 2 F S(d) \in$ DominatedElection $(0, n+1,1, k)$. Proof: Set $X_{1}=\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S(d)$. Set $Z=\langle 0\rangle$. Set $Z_{1}=Z^{\wedge} X_{1}$. Reconsider $D=d$ as a finite 0 -sequence of $\mathbb{R}$. $\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S(d)=\operatorname{XFS} 2 F S(D)$. If $d \in \operatorname{Domin}_{0}(n+k, k)$, then $Z_{1} \in \operatorname{DominatedElection}(0, n+1,1, k)$ by [15, (20)], (2), 4, (31), (22)]. $Z_{1}$ is an $(n+1+k)$-tuple of $\{0,1\}$. For every $k$ such that $k \leqslant \operatorname{dom} d$ holds $2 \cdot \sum(d \upharpoonright k) \leqslant k$ by [20, (14)], [8, (76)], (1), (3). $d$ is dominated by 0 . $\sum d=k$.
(25) $\overline{\overline{\operatorname{Domin}_{0}(n+k, k)}}=\overline{\overline{\text { DominatedElection(0, } n+1,1, k)}}$. Proof: Set $D=$ $\operatorname{Domin}_{0}(n+k, k)$. Set $B=$ DominatedElection $(0, n+1,1, k)$. Set $Z=\langle 0\rangle$. Define $\mathcal{F}[$ object, object $] \equiv$ for every finite 0 -sequence $d$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $d=\$_{1}$ holds $\$_{2}=Z^{\wedge} \operatorname{XFS} 2 \mathrm{FS}(d)$. For every object $x$ such that $x \in D$ there exists an object $y$ such that $y \in B$ and $\mathcal{F}[x, y]$. Consider $f$ being a function from $D$ into $B$ such that for every object $x$ such that $x \in D$ holds $\mathcal{F}[x, f(x)]$ from [7, Sch. 1].
(26) $\overline{\overline{\operatorname{Domin}_{0}(n+k, k)}}=\overline{\overline{\text { DominatedElection }(0, n+1,1, k)}}$. Proof: Set $D=$ $\operatorname{Domin}_{0}(n+k, k)$. Set $B=$ DominatedElection $(0, n+1,1, k)$. Set $Z=\langle 0\rangle$. Define $\mathcal{F}$ [object, object] $\equiv$ for every finite 0 -sequence $d$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $d=\$_{1}$ holds $\$_{2}=Z^{\wedge} \operatorname{XFS} 2 \mathrm{FS}(d)$. For every object $x$ such that $x \in D$ there exists an object $y$ such that $y \in B$ and $\mathcal{F}[x, y]$. Consider $f$ being a function from $D$ into $B$ such that for every object $x$ such that $x \in D$ holds $\mathcal{F}[x, f(x)]$ from [7, Sch. 1].
(27) If $A \neq B$ and $n>k$, then $\overline{\overline{\text { DominatedElection }(A, n, B, k)}}=\frac{n-k}{n+k} \cdot\binom{n+k}{k}$. The theorem is a consequence of (21) and (26).

## 4. Main Theorem

## (28) Bertrand's Ballot Theorem:

If $A \neq B$ and $n \geqslant k$, then $\mathrm{P}($ DominatedElection $(A, n, B, k))=\frac{n-k}{n+k}$. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (19), and (27).
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