

FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS Vol. 19, No. 3, Pages 169–178, 2011 DOI: 10.2478/v10037-011-0026-1

Definition of First Order Language with Arbitrary Alphabet. Syntax of Terms, Atomic Formulas and their Subterms¹

Marco B. Caminati² Mathematics Department "G.Castelnuovo" Sapienza University of Rome Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

Summary. Second of a series of articles laying down the bases for classical first order model theory. A language is defined basically as a tuple made of an integer-valued function (adicity), a symbol of equality and a symbol for the NOR logical connective. The only requests for this tuple to be a language is that the value of the adicity in = is -2 and that its preimage (i.e. the variables set) in 0 is infinite. Existential quantification will be rendered (see [11]) by mere prefixing a formula with a letter. Then the hierarchy among symbols according to their adicity is introduced, taking advantage of attributes and clusters.

The strings of symbols of a language are depth-recursively classified as terms using the standard approach (see for example [16], definition 1.1.2); technically, this is done here by deploying the '-multiCat' functor and the 'unambiguous' attribute previously introduced in [10], and the set of atomic formulas is introduced. The set of all terms is shown to be unambiguous with respect to concatenation; we say that it is a prefix set. This fact is exploited to uniquely define the subterms both of a term and of an atomic formula without resorting to a parse tree.

MML identifier: FOMODEL1, version: 7.11.07 4.160.1126

The papers [1], [3], [18], [5], [6], [12], [10], [7], [8], [9], [19], [14], [13], [2], [17], [4], [21], [22], [15], and [20] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

We follow the rules: m, n are natural numbers, m_1, n_1 are elements of \mathbb{N} , and X, x, z are sets.

Let z be a zero integer number. One can check that |z| is zero.

169

C 2011 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

¹The author wrote this paper as part of his PhD thesis research.

²I would like to thank Marco Pedicini for his encouragement and support.

Let us observe that there exists a real number which is negative and integer and every integer number which is positive is also natural.

Let S be a non degenerated zero-one structure. Observe that (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S} is non empty.

We introduce languages-like which are extensions of zero-one structure and are systems

 \langle a carrier, a zero, a one, an adicity \rangle ,

where the carrier is a set, the zero and the one are elements of the carrier, and the adicity is a function from the carrier $\{$ the one $\}$ into \mathbb{Z} .

Let S be a language-like. The functor AllSymbolsOf S is defined by:

(Def. 1) AllSymbolsOf S = the carrier of S.

The functor Letters Of ${\cal S}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) LettersOf S = (the adicity of $S)^{-1}(\{0\}).$

The functor OpSymbolsOf S is defined by:

- (Def. 3) OpSymbolsOf S = (the adicity of S)⁻¹($\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$). The functor RelSymbolsOf S is defined by:
- (Def. 4) RelSymbolsOf $S = (\text{the adicity of } S)^{-1}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}).$

The functor TermSymbolsOf ${\cal S}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) TermSymbolsOf S = (the adicity of $S)^{-1}(\mathbb{N}).$

The functor LowerCompoundersOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) LowerCompoundersOf $S = (\text{the adicity of } S)^{-1}(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}).$

The functor TheEqSymbOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) The EqSymbOf S = the zero of S.

The functor TheNorSymbOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) TheNorSymbOf S = the one of S.

The functor OwnSymbolsOf S is defined by:

- (Def. 9) OwnSymbolsOf S = (the carrier of $S) \setminus \{$ the zero of S, the one of $S\}$. Let S be a language-like. An element of S is an element of AllSymbolsOf S. The functor AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S is defined by:
- (Def. 10) AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S =AllSymbolsOf $S \setminus \{$ TheNorSymbOf $S \}$. The functor AtomicTermsOf S is defined by:
- (Def. 11) AtomicTermsOf $S = (LettersOf S)^1$.

We say that S is operational if and only if:

(Def. 12) OpSymbolsOf S is non empty.

We say that S is relational if and only if:

(Def. 13) RelSymbolsOf $S \setminus \{\text{TheEqSymbOf } S\}$ is non empty.

Let S be a language-like and let s be an element of S. We say that s is literal if and only if:

170

(Def. 14) $s \in \text{LettersOf } S.$

We say that s is low-compounding if and only if:

(Def. 15) $s \in \text{LowerCompoundersOf } S.$

We say that s is operational if and only if:

(Def. 16) $s \in \text{OpSymbolsOf } S$.

We say that s is relational if and only if:

(Def. 17) $s \in \text{RelSymbolsOf } S$.

We say that s is termal if and only if:

(Def. 18) $s \in \text{TermSymbolsOf } S$.

We say that s is own if and only if:

(Def. 19) $s \in \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S$.

We say that s is of-atomic-formula if and only if:

(Def. 20) $s \in \text{AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf } S.$

Let S be a zero-one structure and let s be an element of (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S}. The functor TrivialArity s yields an integer number and is defined by:

(Def. 21) TrivialArity
$$s = \begin{cases} -2, & \text{if } s = \text{the zero of } S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let S be a zero-one structure and let s be an element of (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S}. Then TrivialArity s is an element of \mathbb{Z} .

Let S be a non degenerated zero-one structure. The functor S TrivialArity yielding a function from (the carrier of S) $\$ the one of S} into Z is defined by:

(Def. 22) For every element s of (the carrier of S) \ {the one of S} holds $(S \operatorname{TrivialArity})(s) = \operatorname{TrivialArity} s.$

Let us observe that there exists a non degenerated zero-one structure which is infinite.

Let ${\cal S}$ be an infinite non degenerated zero-one structure.

Observe that $(S \text{ TrivialArity})^{-1}(\{0\})$ is infinite.

Let S be a language-like. We say that S is eligible if and only if:

(Def. 23) LettersOf S is infinite and (the adicity of S)(TheEqSymbOf S) = -2.

One can check that there exists a language-like which is non degenerated.

One can check that there exists a non degenerated language-like which is eligible.

A language is an eligible non degenerated language-like.

We follow the rules: S, S_1, S_2 are languages and s, s_1, s_2 are elements of S. Let S be a non empty language-like. Then AllSymbolsOf S is a non empty set.

Let S be an eligible language-like. Note that LettersOf S is infinite.

Let S be a language.

Then LettersOf S is a non empty subset of AllSymbolsOf S. Note that TheEqSymbOf S is relational.

Let S be a non degenerated language-like. Then AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S is a non empty subset of AllSymbolsOf S.

Let S be a non degenerated language-like. Then TheEqSymbOf S is an element of AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S.

We now state the proposition

(1) Let S be a language. Then LettersOf $S \cap \text{OpSymbolsOf } S = \emptyset$ and TermSymbolsOf $S \cap \text{LowerCompoundersOf } S = \text{OpSymbolsOf } S$ and RelSymbolsOf $S \setminus \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S = \{\text{TheEqSymbOf } S\}$ and OwnSymbolsOf $S \subseteq \text{AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf } S$ and RelSymbolsOf $S \subseteq$ LowerCompoundersOf S and OpSymbolsOf $S \subseteq \text{TermSymbolsOf } S$ and LettersOf $S \subseteq \text{TermSymbolsOf } S \subseteq \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S$ and OpSymbolsOf $S \subseteq \text{LowerCompoundersOf } S \subseteq \text{AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf } S$.

Let S be a language. One can verify the following observations:

- * TermSymbolsOf S is non empty,
- * every element of S which is own is also of-atomic-formula,
- * every element of S which is relational is also low-compounding,
- * every element of S which is operational is also termal,
- * every element of S which is literal is also termal,
- * every element of S which is termal is also own,
- * every element of S which is operational is also low-compounding,
- * every element of S which is low-compounding is also of-atomic-formula,
- * every element of S which is termal is also non relational,
- * every element of S which is literal is also non relational, and
- * every element of S which is literal is also non operational.

Let S be a language. Note that there exists an element of S which is relational and there exists an element of S which is literal. Observe that every low-compounding element of S which is termal is also operational. One can check that there exists an element of S which is of-atomic-formula.

Let s be an of-atomic-formula element of S. The functor $\operatorname{ar} s$ yielding an element of \mathbb{Z} is defined by:

(Def. 24) ar s = (the adicity of S)(s).

Let S be a language and let s be a literal element of S. Note that ar s is zero. The functor S-cons yielding a binary operation on (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*} is defined as follows:

(Def. 25) S-cons = the concatenation of AllSymbolsOf S.

Let S be a language.

172

The functor S-multiCat yields a function from $((AllSymbolsOf S)^*)^*$ into $(AllSymbolsOf S)^*$ and is defined by:

(Def. 26) S-multiCat = (AllSymbolsOf S)-multiCat.

Let S be a language. The functor S-firstChar yielding a function from (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*} \ { \emptyset } into AllSymbolsOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 27) S-firstChar = (AllSymbolsOf S)-firstChar.

Let S be a language and let X be a set. We say that X is S-prefix if and only if:

(Def. 28) X is AllSymbolsOf S-prefix.

Let S be a language. Note that every set which is S-prefix is also

AllSymbolsOf S-prefix and every set which is AllSymbolsOf S-prefix is also S-prefix. A string of S is an element of (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*} \ { \emptyset }.

Let us consider S. One can check that (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*} \{ \emptyset } is non empty. Note that every string of S is non empty.

Let us note that every language is infinite. Observe that AllSymbols Of ${\cal S}$ is infinite.

Let s be an of-atomic-formula element of S, and let S_3 be a set. The functor Compound (s, S_3) is defined by:

(Def. 29) Compound(s, S_3) = { $\langle s \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(S_4); S_4 ranges over elements of ((AllSymbolsOf S)^{*})^{*}: rng $S_4 \subseteq S_3 \land S_4$ is |ar s|-element}.

Let S be a language, let s be an of-atomic-formula element of S, and let S_3 be a set. Then Compound (s, S_3) is an element of $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$. The functor S-termsOfMaxDepth yields a function and is defined by the conditions (Def. 30).

- $(Def. 30)(i) \quad dom(S-termsOfMaxDepth) = \mathbb{N},$
 - (ii) S-termsOfMaxDepth(0) = AtomicTermsOf S, and
 - (iii) for every natural number n holds S-termsOfMaxDepth $(n + 1) = \bigcup \{ Compound(s, S-termsOfMaxDepth(n)); s \text{ ranges over of-atomic-formula elements of } S: s is operational <math>\} \cup S$ -termsOfMaxDepth(n).

Let us consider S. Then AtomicTermsOf S is a subset of (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*}. Let S be a language. The functor AllTermsOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 31) AllTermsOf $S = \bigcup \operatorname{rng}(S \operatorname{-termsOfMaxDepth}).$

One can prove the following proposition

(2) S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m_1) \subseteq$ AllTermsOf S.

Let S be a language and let w be a string of S. We say that w is termal if and only if:

(Def. 32) $w \in \text{AllTermsOf } S$.

Let m be a natural number, let S be a language, and let w be a string of S. We say that w is m-termal if and only if: (Def. 33) $w \in S$ -termsOfMaxDepth(m).

Let m be a natural number and let S be a language. Note that every string of S which is m-termal is also termal.

Let us consider S. Then S-termsOfMaxDepth is a function from \mathbb{N} into $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*}$. Then AllTermsOf S is a non empty subset of (AllSymbolsOf S)*. Note that AllTermsOf S is non empty.

Let us consider m. One can verify that S-termsOfMaxDepth(m) is non empty. Observe that every element of S-termsOfMaxDepth(m) is non empty. Observe that every element of AllTermsOf S is non empty.

Let m be a natural number and let S be a language. Note that there exists a string of S which is m-termal. Observe that every string of S which is 0-termal is also 1-element.

Let S be a language and let w be a 0-termal string of S. Observe that S-firstChar(w) is literal.

Let us consider S and let w be a termal string of S. Note that S-firstChar(w) is termal.

Let us consider S and let t be a termal string of S. The functor ar t yielding an element of \mathbb{Z} is defined as follows:

(Def. 34) ar $t = \operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar(t).

Next we state the proposition

(3) For every $m_1 + 1$ -termal string w of S there exists an element T of S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m_1)^*$ such that T is |ar S-firstChar(w)|-element and $w = \langle S$ -firstChar $(w) \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(T).

Let us consider S, m. Note that S-termsOfMaxDepth(m) is S-prefix.

Let us consider S and let V be an element of $(\text{AllTermsOf } S)^*$. Observe that S-multiCat(V) is relation-like.

Let us consider S and let V be an element of $(\text{AllTermsOf } S)^*$. One can verify that S-multiCat(V) is function-like.

Let us consider S and let p_1 be a string of S. We say that p_1 is 0-w.f.f. if and only if:

(Def. 35) There exists a relational element s of S and there exists an $|\operatorname{ar} s|$ -element element V of (AllTermsOf S)^{*} such that $p_1 = \langle s \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(V).

Let us consider S. Note that there exists a string of S which is 0-w.f.f..

Let p_1 be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Observe that S-firstChar (p_1) is relational. The functor AtomicFormulasOf S is defined as follows:

(Def. 36) AtomicFormulasOf $S = \{p_1; p_1 \text{ ranges over strings of } S: p_1 \text{ is } 0\text{-w.f.f.}\}$.

Let us consider S. Then AtomicFormulasOf S is a subset of (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*}\

 $\{\emptyset\}$. Note that AtomicFormulasOf S is non empty. Observe that every element

of AtomicFormulas Of S is 0-w.f.f.. Observe that AllTermsOf S is $S\mbox{-}prefix.$

> Brought to you by | Biblioteka Uniwersytecka w Bialymstoku Authenticated Download Date | 12/8/15 8:49 AM

Let us consider S and let t be a termal string of S. The functor SubTerms t yields an element of (AllTermsOf S)^{*} and is defined by:

- (Def. 37) SubTerms t is |ar S-firstChar(t)|-element and $t = \langle S$ -firstChar $(t) \rangle \cap S$ -multiCat(SubTerms t).
 - Let us consider S and let t be a termal string of S. One can verify that SubTerms t is $|\operatorname{ar} t|$ -element.

Let t_0 be a 0-termal string of S. Note that SubTerms t_0 is empty.

- Let us consider m_1 , S and let t be an $m_1 + 1$ -termal string of S. One can verify that SubTerms t is S-termsOfMaxDepth (m_1) -valued.
- Let us consider S and let p_1 be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. The functor SubTerms p_1 yields an $|\operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar $(p_1)|$ -element element of (AllTermsOf S)^{*} and is defined as follows:

(Def. 38) $p_1 = \langle S \text{-firstChar}(p_1) \rangle \cap S \text{-multiCat}(\text{SubTerms } p_1).$

Let us consider S and let p_1 be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Note that SubTerms p_1 is $|\operatorname{ar} S$ -firstChar $(p_1)|$ -element.

Then AllTermsOf S is an element of $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$. Note that every element of AllTermsOf S is termal. The functor S-subTerms yielding a function from AllTermsOf S into (AllTermsOf S)* is defined by:

(Def. 39) For every element t of AllTermsOf S holds S-subTerms(t) =SubTerms t.

We now state several propositions:

- (4) S-termsOfMaxDepth $(m) \subseteq S$ -termsOfMaxDepth(m+n).
- (5) If $x \in \text{AllTermsOf } S$, then there exists n_1 such that $x \in S$ -termsOfMaxDepth (n_1) .
- (6) AllTermsOf $S \subseteq (AllSymbolsOf S)^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$
- (7) AllTermsOf S is S-prefix.
- (8) If $x \in \text{AllTermsOf } S$, then x is a string of S.
- (9) AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf $S \setminus OwnSymbolsOf S = \{The EqSymbOf S\}.$
- (10) TermSymbolsOf $S \setminus \text{LettersOf } S = \text{OpSymbolsOf } S$.
- (11) AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf $S \setminus \text{RelSymbolsOf } S = \text{TermSymbolsOf } S$.

Let us consider S. Observe that every of-atomic-formula element of S which is non relational is also termal.

Then OwnSymbolsOf S is a subset of AllSymbolsOf S. Observe that every termal element of S which is non literal is also operational.

Next we state three propositions:

- (12) x is a string of S iff x is a non empty element of (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*}.
- (13) x is a string of S iff x is a non empty finite sequence of elements of AllSymbolsOf S.
- (14) S-termsOfMaxDepth is a function from \mathbb{N} into $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^*}$.

Let us consider S. Note that every element of LettersOf S is literal. One can check that TheNorSymbOf S is non low-compounding.

Observe that TheNorSymbOf S is non own.

Next we state the proposition

(15) If $s \neq$ TheNorSymbOf S and $s \neq$ TheEqSymbOf S, then $s \in$ OwnSymbolsOf S.

For simplicity, we use the following convention: l, l_1, l_2 denote literal elements of S, a denotes an of-atomic-formula element of S, r denotes a relational element of S, w, w_1 denote strings of S, and t_2 denotes an element of AllTermsOf S.

Let us consider S, t. The functor Depth t yielding a natural number is defined by:

(Def. 40) t is Depth t-termal and for every n such that t is n-termal holds Depth $t \le n$.

Let us consider S, let m_0 be a zero number, and let t be an m_0 -termal string of S. Note that Depth t is zero.

Let us consider S and let s be a low-compounding element of S. Note that ar s is non zero.

Let us consider S and let s be a termal element of S. Observe that ar s is non negative and extended real.

Let us consider S and let s be a relational element of S. Note that ar s is negative and extended real.

Next we state the proposition

(16) If t is non 0-termal, then S-firstChar(t) is operational and SubTerms $t \neq \emptyset$.

Let us consider S. Observe that S-multiCat is finite sequence-yielding.

Let us consider S and let W be a non empty AllSymbolsOf $S^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ -valued finite sequence. One can verify that S-multiCat(W) is non empty.

Let us consider S, l. Note that $\langle l \rangle$ is 0-termal.

Let us consider S, m, n. One can check that every string of S which is $m + 0 \cdot n$ -termal is also m + n-termal.

Let us consider S. One can check that every own element of S which is non low-compounding is also literal.

Let us consider S, t. One can check that SubTerms t is rng t^* -valued.

Let p_0 be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Observe that SubTerms p_0 is rng p_0^* -valued. Then S-termsOfMaxDepth is a function from \mathbb{N} into $2^{(\text{AllSymbolsOf }S)^* \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$.

Let us consider S, m_1 . Observe that S-termsOfMaxDepth (m_1) has non empty elements.

Let us consider S, m and let t be a termal string of S. One can verify that t null m is Depth t + m-termal. One can check that every string of S which is termal is also TermSymbolsOf S-valued. Observe that AllTermsOf $S \setminus (\text{TermSymbolsOf } S)^*$ is empty.

Let p_0 be a 0-w.f.f. string of S. Observe that SubTerms p_0 is TermSymbolsOf S^* -valued. One can verify that every string of S which is 0w.f.f. is also

AtomicFormulaSymbolsOf S-valued. One can check that OwnSymbolsOf S is non empty.

In the sequel p_0 is a 0-w.f.f. string of S.

The following proposition is true

(17) If S-firstChar $(p_0) \neq$ TheEqSymbOf S, then p_0 is OwnSymbolsOf S-valued.

Let us observe that there exists a language-like which is strict and non empty. Let S_1 , S_2 be languages-like. We say that S_2 is S_1 -extending if and only if:

(Def. 41) The adicity of $S_1 \subseteq$ the adicity of S_2 and TheEqSymbOf $S_1 =$ TheEqSymbOf S_2 and TheNorSymbOf $S_1 =$ TheNorSymbOf S_2 .

Let us consider S. One can verify that S null is S-extending. Observe that there exists a language which is S-extending.

Let us consider S_1 and let S_2 be an S_1 -extending language. Observe that OwnSymbolsOf $S_1 \setminus \text{OwnSymbolsOf } S_2$ is empty.

Let f be a \mathbb{Z} -valued function and let L be a non empty language-like. The functor L extendWith f yields a strict non empty language-like and is defined by the conditions (Def. 42).

- (Def. 42)(i) The adicity of L extend With $f = f \upharpoonright (\text{dom } f \setminus \{\text{the one of } L\}) + \cdot \text{the adicity of } L$,
 - (ii) the zero of L extendWith f = the zero of L, and
 - (iii) the one of L extend With f = the one of L.

Let S be a non empty language-like and let f be a \mathbb{Z} -valued function. Note that S extend With f is S-extending.

Let S be a non degenerated language-like. Observe that every language-like which is S-extending is also non degenerated.

Let S be an eligible language-like. One can check that every language-like which is S-extending is also eligible.

Let E be an empty binary relation and let us consider X. Note that $X \upharpoonright E$ is empty.

Let us consider X and let m be an integer number. Note that $X \longmapsto m$ is \mathbb{Z} -valued.

Let us consider S and let X be a functional set.

The functor S addLettersNotIn X yields an S-extending language and is defined as follows:

(Def. 43) S addLettersNotIn X =

S extendWith((AllSymbolsOf $S \cup$ SymbolsOf X)-freeCountableSet \mapsto 0 qua \mathbb{Z} -valued function).

Let us consider S_1 and let X be a functional set.

Note that LettersOf(S_1 addLettersNotIn X) \ SymbolsOf X is infinite.

Let us note that there exists a language which is countable.

Let S be a countable language. Observe that AllSymbolsOf S is countable. One can verify that (AllSymbolsOf S)^{*} \ { \emptyset } is countable.

Let L be a non empty language-like and let f be a \mathbb{Z} -valued function. Note that AllSymbolsOf(L extendWith f) $\dot{-}$ (dom $f \cup$ AllSymbolsOf L) is empty.

Let S be a countable language and let X be a functional set. One can check that S addLettersNotIn X is countable.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153-164, [9] 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):521-527, 1990.
- [10] Marco B. Caminati. Preliminaries to classical first order model theory. Formalized Mathematics, 19(3):155–167, 2011, doi: 10.2478/v10037-011-0025-2.
- [11] Marco B. Caminati. First order languages: Further syntax and semantics. Formalized Mathematics, 19(3):179–192, 2011, doi: 10.2478/v10037-011-0027-0.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] Katarzyna Jankowska. Transpose matrices and groups of permutations. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):711–717, 1991.
- [14] Rafał Kwiatek and Grzegorz Zwara. The divisibility of integers and integer relative primes. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):829–832, 1990.
- [15] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [16] W. Pohlers and T. Glaß. An introduction to mathematical logic. Vorlesungsskriptum, WS, 93, 1992.
- [17] Marta Pruszyńska and Marek Dudzicz. On the isomorphism between finite chains. Formalized Mathematics, 9(2):429–430, 2001.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [19] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):501-505, 1990.
- [20] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [21] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received December 29, 2010