

# Completion of markets by variation processes

Sure Mataramvura<sup>1</sup>, Sivuyile Wiseman Mgbhozi<sup>2</sup>,  
Peter Dankelmann<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Cape Town, Actuarial Science Department, Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>University of KwaZulu Natal, School of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Durban, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>University of Kwazulu Natal, School of Mathematical Sciences, Durban, South Africa

Nairobi Colloquium, 2012

## Outline

- 1 Introduction**
  - Background information and motivation
  - A different direction: Complete the market!
- 2 Our idea of completeness: The market model**
  - asset prices
  - The  $f^q$ -variance minimizer
- 3 Variation processes**
  - Asset prices
- 4 Market Completeness**
  - Martingale Representation Theorem in Complete Markets
- 5 Our Results/Contribution**
  - Martingale Representation Theorem for the enlarged market
  - Market Completeness
  - Hedging Portfolio

## Martingale Representation Theorem

- If we assume that  $X_t \in R^n$  and that  $\tilde{B}(t) \in R^n$  (recipe for completeness), then the value of portfolio  $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t \Theta(s) dX(s)$ .
- It can be shown that  $e^{-\rho T} V(T) = z + \int_0^T \phi(t) d\tilde{B}(t) = z + \int_0^T \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(t) d\tilde{B}_j(t)$   $z \in \mathbb{R}$  (\*\*)
- Thus  $E_Q[e^{-\rho T} V(T)] = z$  and  $\phi(t)$  is related to  $\Theta(t)$  in a special way. It turns out that  $z = E_Q[e^{-\rho T} F(\omega)]$  in a complete market and  $z = v(0, x)$  is the price at time 0 of the contingent claim.
- Therefore a market is complete iff there exists  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Theta(\cdot)$  such that (\*\*) is satisfied.
- **What if we fail to find  $z$  or  $\Theta(\cdot)$ ?**

## Incomplete markets

### If there is more than one risk neutral measure,

- The market is incomplete.
- Not every contingent claim  $F(\omega)$  is attainable in the form of (\*\*)
- There is an infinite number of prices for each contingent claim.
- This implies that buyers and sellers do not agree on a unique price
- It was proved that  $p_0^b(F) \leq p_0(F) \leq p_0^s(F)$
- The problem is to find the "best" price and "perfect hedge"

## Many ideas behind pricing

- relative entropy minimizer  $Q$  which minimizes

$$I(Q \setminus P) = \begin{cases} E_P \left[ \frac{dQ}{dP} \ln \left( \frac{dQ}{dP} \right) \right] & \text{if } Q \ll P \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- General  $f$ -divergence

$$I_f(Q \setminus P) = \begin{cases} E_P \left[ f \left( \frac{dQ}{dP} \right) \right] & \text{if } Q \ll P \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{where } f \text{ is convex}$$

on  $[0, \infty[$

- Esscher transform  $\frac{dQ_{X(T),h}}{dP} = \frac{e^{hX(T)}}{E_P[e^{hX(T)}]}$
- Mean variance-measure  $E_Q[V(T) - F]^2$
- Utility theory ...Nash equilibrium

A different direction: Complete the market!

## Completion of a Lévy market: Nualart et al's idea!

- Completing an incomplete market due to jumps were studied by Nualart et al. For any Lévy process  $Z_t = cB_t + X_t$ , where  $X_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} z\tilde{N}(dt, dz) + \alpha t$  ( $\alpha = E[X_1] - \int_{|z| \geq 1} z\nu(dz)$ ). Let  $\Delta Z_s = Z_s - Z_{s-}$  be the jump process
- Set  $Z_t^{(i)} = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\Delta Z_s)^i$  and by default let  $Z_t^{(1)} = Z_t$
- It turns out that  $E[X_t] = E[X_t^{(1)}] = ta = tm_1 < \infty$  and  $E[X_t^{(i)}] = E\left[\sum_{0 < s \leq t} (\Delta X_s)^i\right] = t \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^i \nu(dx) = m_i t < \infty$ ,  $i \geq 2$
- Denote by  $Y_t^{(i)} = Z_t^{(i)} - E[Z_t^{(i)}] = Z_t^{(i)} - m_i t$ ,  $i \geq 1$  (Teugels martingales of order  $i$ ) and  $T^{(i)} = c_{i,i} Y^{(i)} + c_{i,i-1} Y^{(i-1)} + \dots + c_{i,1} Y^{(1)}$ ,  $i \geq 1$

A different direction: Complete the market!

- The market of stock  $Z_t$  and bond and normalized processes  $\tilde{H}_t^{(i)} = e^{rt} T_t^{(i)}$ ,  $i \geq 2$  is complete.
- But if  $X_t \equiv 0$ , then  $\Delta Z_s \equiv 0$  and this method fails!

## Mathematical preliminaries

- Consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$
- bond price:

$$dS_0(t) = \rho(t)S_0(t)dt \quad (1)$$

- Stock:

$$dS_1(t) = S_1(t) [\alpha(t)dt + \sigma_1(t)dB_1(t) + \dots + \sigma_m(t)dB_m(t)] \quad (2)$$

- Girsanov theorems:

$$\vec{u}(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_m(t))^T$$

- so that  $\sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j \cdot u_j(t) = \alpha(t) - \rho(t)$

- The equivalent martingale measure is  $Q$ , given by

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = Z(T) \text{ where}$$

$$Z(t) = \exp \left[ - \int_0^t u(s)dB(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t u^2(s)ds \right] \text{ is not}$$



## The $f^q$ -variance minimizer

- Recall that the  $f^q$ -divergence is defined by  $f^q(Q \setminus P) = E_P [f^q(Z(T))] = E_P [Z(T)^q]$ .
- The  $f^q$ -variance minimizer is then the martingale measure  $Q^{q*}$  such that  $f^q(Q^{q*} \setminus P) = \min_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} E_P [Z(T)^q]$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of equivalent martingale measures and  $q \in I$  is arbitrary and  $I \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ .
- $u_j = u_j^q = \frac{\sigma_j(\alpha - \rho)}{\Delta}$  where  $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j^2$  which does not depend on  $q$ .
- Let  $Q^{q*}$  be the equivalent martingale measure induced by  $\vec{u} = \vec{u}^q = (u_1^q, u_2^q, \dots, u_m^q)^T$
- Then with respect to  $Q^{q*}$ , we have

$$dS_1(t) = S_1(t) \left[ \rho dt + \sigma_1 d\tilde{B}_1(t) + \dots + \sigma_m d\tilde{B}_m(t) \right] \quad (3)$$

## Summary

- From now on, we consider that with respect to  $Q^{q^*}$ , all asset parameters are constant. One can then use the measure  $Q^{q^*}$  to price any contingent claim  $F$  such that
 
$$V_t = E_{Q^{q^*}} \left[ e^{-\rho(T-t)} F(\omega) | \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$
- However, what is not guaranteed here is for the hedging portfolio of  $F$  to exist, in other words, it is possible that for some contingent claim  $F$ , there is no self-financing portfolio such that the terminal value of the portfolio at least equals  $F$  with positive probability
- A quick example in this case is any payoff which depends on the terminal value of one of the standard Brownian motions, that is, if  $F(\omega) = f(\tilde{B}_j(T))$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , say, for some measurable function  $f$

## Stock price and generated variation assets

We now construct the  $i^{\text{th}}$  – variation processes as follows:

- We know that  $e^{-\rho t} S_1(t)$  is a  $Q^{q^*}$ -martingale. Now, let  $W_1(t) = e^{-\rho t} S_1(t) - S_0$ , then

$$E_{Q^{q^*}} \left[ W_1^2(t) \right] < \infty \quad (4)$$

- Let  $\mathcal{M}_2$  be the set of all  $Q^{q^*}$ -martingales such that (4) holds. Then  $W_1(t) \in \mathcal{M}_2$  and  $W_1^2(t) - \langle W_1 \rangle_t$  is a  $Q^{q^*}$ -martingale.
- Let  $Z_1(t) = W_1^2(t) - \langle W_1 \rangle_t$  and define  $S_2(t)$  by  $S_2(t) = e^{\rho t} Z_1(t)$  and  $W_2(t) = e^{-\rho t} S_2$  is a  $Q^{q^*}$ -martingale
- We continue like this so that the process  $Z_i(t) = W_i^2(t) - \langle W_i \rangle_t$  is a  $Q^{q^*}$ -martingale for any  $i \geq 1$ .

## Explicit solutions

- Note here that

$$dS_k(t) = \rho S_k(t)dt + 2^{(k-1)} e^{-(k-1)\rho t} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} S_j(t) \cdot S_1(t) \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j d\tilde{B}_j(t) \quad k \geq 1$$

- and

$$dZ_k(t) = 2^k e^{-(k+1)\rho t} \prod_{j=1}^k S_j(t) \cdot S_1(t) \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j d\tilde{B}_j(t), \quad k \geq 1$$

- so that

$$e^{-\rho t} S_k(t) = S_k(0) + \int_0^t 2^{k-1} e^{-\rho ku} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} S_j(u) S_1(u) \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j d\tilde{B}_j(u) \quad (5)$$

## The Oksendals

- and

$$Z_k(t) = Z_k(0) + \int_0^t 2^k e^{-(k+1)\rho u} \prod_{j=1}^k S_j(u) S_1(u) \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j d\tilde{B}_j(u) \quad (6)$$

- we define the following processes  $Y_i$  as

$$Y_i = a_{i,i}Z_i + a_{i,i-1}Z_{i-1} + \dots \dots + a_{i,1}Z_1, \quad i \geq 1 \quad (7)$$

- The coefficients  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $i, j \geq 1$  through an orthogonalization process as in Nualart et al
- The processes  $\tilde{Y}_k = e^{\rho t} Y_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  (where  $Y_k$ , given in (7)) will be the orthonormal versions of the processes  $S_k(t)$ .
- We shall call the processes  $\tilde{Y}_i(t)$ , the Oksendals

## Review of market completeness

- If a market is complete, then any contingent claim  $F(\omega)$  can be replicated by a self-financing portfolio of stocks and bonds in that  $F(\omega) = V(T)$ .
- Moreover, by the martingale representation theorem (MRP) there exists a real number  $z$  and an adapted process  $\phi(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$  such that,

$$F(\omega) = z + \int_0^T \phi(t, \omega) d\tilde{B}(t) \quad (8)$$

where  $\tilde{B}(t) = \left( \tilde{B}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{B}_m(t) \right)^{Tr}$

- In incomplete markets, it is possible to find some contingent claims  $F(\omega)$  such that there exists no  $\phi(t, \omega)$  such that equation (8) holds

## Proposition (Martingale Representation Theorem)

Let  $F = e^{-\rho T} V(T) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{Q}^{q*})$  where  $V(T)$  is the terminal value of a portfolio of bond, stock and  $i^{\text{th}}$ -variation processes.

Assume further that  $E \left[ \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \int_0^T h_i(s) dY_i(s) \right]^2 < \infty$ . Then there exist processes  $h(t)$  and  $h_i(t)$ ,  $i \geq 2$  such that  $F$  can be written as

$$F = z + \int_0^T h(s) \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_j d\tilde{B}_j(s) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \int_0^T h_i(s) dY_i(s) \quad (9)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $h(t)$  and  $h_i(t)$ ,  $i \geq 2$  are adapted processes such that

$$E \left[ \int_0^t |h(s)| ds \right] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad E \left[ \int_0^t \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} |h_i(s)|^2 ds \right] < \infty \quad (10)$$

PROOF OMITTED



## Theorem

*An incomplete market model with more noise terms than stocks can be completed by variation processes in the sense that any  $T$ -claim  $F$  such that  $E_{Q^{q^*}} [F] < \infty$  can be replicated by a portfolio of bond, stock and  $ith$ -variation processes.*

PROOF OMITTED

# The Greeks

## Theorem

Let

$$Y(t) = \left( S_1(t), \tilde{Y}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right)^{Tr} = \left( Y_0(t), \tilde{Y}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right)^{Tr}$$

with  $Y(0) = y_0$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_i(0) = \tilde{y}_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$

and  $Y_0(0) = S_1(0) = s_1 = y_0$ .<sup>6</sup>

Then

$$dY(t) = b \left( Y_0(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right) dt + \sigma \left( Y_0, \tilde{Y}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right) d\tilde{B}(t)$$

where

$$b \left( Y_0(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right) =$$

$$\left( b_0(Y_0(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t)), \dots, b_n(Y_0(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t)) \right)^{Tr} =$$

$$\left( \rho S_1(t), \rho \tilde{Y}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right)^{Tr} \text{ and}$$

<sup>6</sup> Note that we shall (with some abuse of notation) also use  $y_0$ ,  $\tilde{y}_i$  for respectively the process  $Y(t)$  and  $\tilde{Y}_i(t)$  starting at any other point  $t > 0$

$$\sigma \left( Y_0, \tilde{Y}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{Y}_n(t) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \sigma_1 & S_1 \sigma_2 & \dots & S_1 \sigma_m \\ S_1 \sigma_1 \gamma_i & S_1 \gamma_1 \sigma_2 & \dots & S_1 \gamma_1 \sigma_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ S_1 \gamma_n \sigma_1 & S_1 \gamma_n \sigma_2 & \dots & S_1 \gamma_n \sigma_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a function  $h \in C_0^2$  then we have

$$h(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}(t, Y(t)) E_{Q^{q^*}}^y [h(Y(T-t))] S_1(t) \quad (11)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \varepsilon(t) = e^{\rho t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}(t, Y(t)) E_{Q^{q^*}}^y [h(Y(T-t))] \quad \text{and}$$

$$h_i(t) = e^{\rho t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}_i}(t, Y(t)) E_{Q^{q^*}}^y [h(Y(T-t))], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (12)$$

PROOF OMITTED:

## Summary

- Note that if  $v(t, Y(t))$  is the value of portfolio  $\Theta(t)$  of stock, bond and  $i^{\text{th}}$ -variation processes, and if  $h(Y(t)) = e^{-\rho t} v(t, Y(t))$ , then

$$\Delta(t) = \varepsilon(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}_1} E_{Q^{q^*}}^y [v(t, Y(T-t))] \text{ and}$$





- $\tilde{\Delta}_i(t) = \beta_i(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}_1} E_{Q^{q^*}}^y [v(t, Y(T-t))] \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n$   
and these are the “deltas” of the securities.

### Definition (African option)





An option whose payoff is not attainable in a market of stocks and bonds but which can be hedged by a portfolio of stocks, bonds and Oksendals is called an African option.



## For Further Reading I

-  D. Nualart: Chaotic and predictable representations for Lévy processes. *Stochastic processes and their applications* **90** (1999), 109–122
-  JM. Corcuera, D. Nualart and W. Schoutens: Completion of a Lévy market by power-jump processes. *Finance and Stochastics* **9** (2005), 109–127.
-  J.M. Harrison and S.R. Pliska: A stochastic calculus model of continuous trading: complete markets. *Stochastic processes and their applications*. **15** (1983), 313-316.
-  M. Jeanblanc, S. Klöppel and Y. Miyahara: Minimal  $f^q$ -martingale measures for exponential Lévy processes. *The Annals of Applied Probability*. **17** (2007), 1615 - 1638.

## For Further Reading II

-  Ph.Protter: Stochastic integration and differential equations. Springer (1990)
-  I.Karatzas and S.E Shreve: Brownian motion and stochastic calculus. Springer-Verlag.
-  D.Nualart and W.Schoutens: Backward stochastic differential equations and Feynman-Kac formula for Levy processes, with applications in finance. Bernoulli.7(2001),761-776.
-  Bernt Oksendal . Stochastic Differential Equations. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. Springer-Verlag.

## For Further Reading III



H.Föllmer and M.Schweizer.Hedging of contingent claims under incomplete information. In M.H.A. Davis and R.J. Elliott( eds),Applied Stochastic Analysis. Stochastic Monographs 5. 389-414. London and New York: Gordon and Breach