

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Resumptive pronouns and active dependency formation

Citation for published version:

Cokal, D & Sturt, P 2017, 'Resumptive pronouns and active dependency formation' CUNY Sentence Processing Conference, Boston, United States, 31/03/17, .

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Resumptive pronouns and active dependency formation



Derya Çokal & Patrick Sturt

Department of Philosophy, Durham University; Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University; Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh

BACKGROUND

Resumptive pronouns (RPs) are overt pronouns where a gap would normally be expected:

(1) Example with a RP: Jane liked the magazine that the hairdresser had talked about it before going to the salon.

(2) Example with a gap: Jane liked the magazine that the hairdresser had talked about_before going to the salon.

RPs are ungrammatical in English.

It has been suggested RPs can facilitate sentence comprehension (Beltrama, & Xiang, 2016).

RPs are acceptable under certain conditions (Hofmeister &Norcliffe, 2013).

(e.g., When RPs appear in contexts where a gap would cause an island violation [Beltrama, & Xiang, 2016]).

➢ Most studies have focused on how RPs affect global acceptability. Here we report: (a) how RPs are processed during incremental comprehension, and (b) how this relates to reading skill.

>Active dependency formation: Filler-gap dependencies are formed actively (Frazier, 1987).

However, active dependency formation is sensitive to islands and thus such a filled gap effect is ineffective in sentences with islands (Stowe, 1986; Traxler & Pickering, 1996)

Our predictions:

Relying on active dependency formation, we predict:

(1) If filler-gap dependencies are formed actively, then processing difficulty should be observed in [1] at or around the RP (it), due to the disconfirmed prediction of a gap in this position.

(2) If active dependency formation is sensitive to islands, such a filled gap effect should not be observed in [2], where it appears inside a strong (relative clause) island.

Experiment 1

Method

>(*n*=40) Native English-speaking volunteers: 40 items, eye-tracking during reading, Eyelink 1000K (SR Research Ltd), (non-island vs. island) (pronoun vs. gap)

Conditions

[1] RPs in the non-island condition.

(e.g.) Jane liked the magazine that the hairdresser had talked/ about **it/ before**/ going to/the salon.

[2] RPs in the island condition.

(e.g.) Jane liked the magazine that the hairdresser [nc who had talked/ about it/ before/going to/ the salon] bought.

[3] A gap in the non-island condition.

(e.g.) Jane liked the magazine that the hairdresser had talked/ about/ **before**/ going to/ the salon.

[4] A gap in the island condition.

(e.g.) Jane liked the magazine that the hairdresser [no who had talked/ about/ **before**/ going to/ the salon] bought. **Results**

As predicted, LMER analyses of multiple eye-movement measures in regions at or following the pronoun/gap-site revealed:

>active dependency formation lead to interaction in the non-island context and processing difficulties in [1] relative to a gap in [3] due to the filled gap effect.

> However, this contrast is not seen in the island context.



Experiment 2

Method >The method was identical to that used in Experiment 1.

Conditions:

1/2- RP or a gap in that complement condition (corresponding to nonisland in Exp 1)

This is the magazine that Jane said **that** the hairdresser had talked/ **about** (it)/ before/ going to/ the salon.

3/4- RPs or a gap in the weak island condition.

This is the magazine that Jane wondered whether the hairdresser had talked/ about (it)/ before/ going to/ the salon.
Results



LMER analysis: regression path times: t = 2.473 LMER analysis: regression path times: t = 2.502



EMER analysis, regression pair times, t = 2.455

OVERALL RESULTS FROM EYE-TRACKING EXPERIMENT >RPs are subject to well-known processing biases relating to active

PRPs are subject to well-known processing biases relating to active dependency formation and island sensitivity.

INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN EXPERIMENTS 1 & 2



LMER participants with high scores: t= 5.047; low scores: t= 2.747 LMER participants with high scores: t= -2.275; low scores: t= -1.819

Nelson Denny Test was used.

>(1) The magnitude of the observed two-way interaction in each experiment was greater for high-skilled readers than for low-skilled readers

>(2) This indicates that active dependency formation and/or island sensitivity is subject to individual differences in skill.