



# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## Agency and Empowerment in consumption in relation to a patriarchal bargain: the case of Nigerian immigrant women in the UK

### Journal Item

#### How to cite:

Lindridge, Andrew M.; Penaloza, Lisa and Worlu, Onipreya (2017). Agency and Empowerment in consumption in relation to a patriarchal bargain: the case of Nigerian immigrant women in the UK. *European Journal of Marketing*, 50(9/10) pp. 1652–1671.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2016 Emerald Publishing

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1108/EJM-07-2011-0365>

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)



**Agency and Empowerment in consumption in relation to a  
patriarchal bargain:  
the case of Nigerian immigrant women in the UK**

Journal:	<i>European Journal of Marketing</i>
Manuscript ID	EJM-07-2011-0365.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Consumer research, Culture, Nigeria, Consumer behavior, Ethnic minorities, Gender

1  
2  
3 **Agency and Empowerment in consumption in relation to a patriarchal bargain:**  
4  
5  
6 **the case of Nigerian immigrant women in the UK**  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 **1. Introduction**  
12

13  
14 Migration movements, whether voluntary or imposed, are indicative of wider cultural and  
15 socio-economic changes that bring opportunities and implications for consumer markets and our  
16 understanding of them. The scale is global. In 2013, 232 million immigrants lived in countries  
17 other than where they were born (United Nations, 2015). Within these numbers, women represent  
18 51%, and most of these women migrate as a dependant of another family member, e.g., as a wife  
19 or daughter (ibid.). For married women, migration poses particular changes in the activities,  
20 access to resources, and family roles previously shaped by gender traditions and structures from  
21 their former geographic and socio-cultural contexts. The gender-related changes are particularly  
22 dramatic for married women immigrants transitioning from patriarchal to egalitarian societies.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 In this paper, we are concerned with the agency and empowerment of immigrant Nigerian  
36 women living in the UK as impacted by consumption within the context of their patriarchal  
37 bargain, and with attention to their personal, family and wider social lives. The patriarchal  
38 bargain itself does not represent a written agreement, but instead reflects the informal, day-to-day  
39 ways in which couples enact 'power hierarchies created through historical, political, economic,  
40 geographic, kinship-based and other socially stratifying factors' (Mahler and Pessar, 2001, pp.  
41 445–446). Indeed, as we demonstrate in this research, in the transition from being a wife in a  
42 consanguine family in their country of origin of Nigeria, which they describe as patriarchal, to  
43 becoming one within a nuclear family in the UK, a society to which they attribute gender  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 equality, Nigerian immigrant women alter their ways of thinking and consuming, with  
4  
5 implications to their agency and empowerment.  
6  
7

8 By examining the impacts of immigrant Nigerian women's consumption on agency and  
9 empowerment in relation to their patriarchal bargain, we extend previous research on immigrants  
10 that has tended to focus on their consumption adaptations between their lives in their home and  
11 residential countries. For example, Oswald (1999) described how Haitians selectively combined  
12 elements of their previous culture in consumption even as they adapted those from the dominant  
13 culture in the USA, and Peñaloza (1994) explored how Mexican immigrants learned and adapted  
14 their consumption in living in US society in comparison to their lives in Mexico. Such studies  
15 emphasize immigrants' consumption patterns as a matter of people's engagements and exchanges  
16 with other people and with what is available in stores where they live. And yet, also important is  
17 research into the private and public lives of immigrant women within the internal space of the  
18 home, within their couples, and within the larger immigrant and resident communities. For  
19 example, Dona and Ferguson (2004) recognised that external factors, such as education and  
20 employment, encouraged Chinese and Japanese immigrant women to alter their gender roles at  
21 home. Notably, these insights were drawn from the immigrants' children's retrospection. While  
22 accessing the children's perspectives is valuable, this approach denied immigrant women the  
23 opportunity to provide their thoughts and actions in relation to their patriarchal bargains in these  
24 private and public cultural contexts.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 By capturing immigrant women's voices, our paper contributes to understanding of the scope,  
49 rationale, and practices of immigrant women's agency and empowerment in consumption, as  
50 situated within the context of their patriarchal bargain. First, in studying immigrant women, we  
51 temper Kandiyoti's (1988) findings that acting within the contours of patriarchal bargains  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 maximises these women's security and life options. Instead, we show that the women restrict and  
4  
5 curtail their consumption as well as their employment in the new country in ways that partially  
6  
7 support the patriarchal system that privileges their husband. Second, we temper Kibria's (1990),  
8  
9 Lim's (1997) and Dona and Ferguson's (2004) findings that immigrant women resign themselves  
10  
11 to their husbands' authority. Specifically, we demonstrate how women indirectly and directly  
12  
13 manifest agency in simple and common daily consumption activities in ways that empower them  
14  
15 to adapt and even support the patriarchal bargain with their husbands at times. Finally, by  
16  
17 soliciting and attending to immigrant women's voices, we qualify our findings in explaining how  
18  
19 the women blend their interests with their love for their husbands and their appreciation for their  
20  
21 cultural traditions and institutions in exerting agency and empowering themselves in relation to  
22  
23 the patriarchal bargains with their husbands.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

## 32 **2. The Patriarchal Bargain**

33  
34 Kandiyoti (1988) introduced the term patriarchal bargaining to describe the 'set rules and  
35  
36 scripts regulating gender relations, to which both genders accommodate and acquiesce,' in  
37  
38 emphasizing that women contest, redefine, and renegotiate their marital roles and relations to  
39  
40 maximise security and optimise life options. Ultimately, she concluded that patriarchal bargains  
41  
42 'exert a powerful influence on the shaping of women's gendered subjectivity and determine the  
43  
44 nature of their gender ideology in various contexts' (p. 286).  
45  
46  
47

48 The origins of studies of patriarchal bargaining lie in the interdisciplinary field of feminist  
49  
50 theory, where researchers tend to view gender and related feminine identities as a socio-cultural  
51  
52 construction. In this sense, one is not born a woman, rather one is socialised into becoming one.  
53  
54  
55 A key theme in this work is examining the importance, role and behaviours within various  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 patriarchal social systems in which men predominately hold power and related authority over  
4  
5 women and children. Reviewing this work, Kandiyoti (1988) argues that radical feminist studies  
6  
7 liberally label as patriarchy almost any example of male domination, and that socialist feminists  
8  
9 emphasize issues of social class within related capitalist economic arrangements. In  
10  
11 complementing these perspectives, Kandiyoti (1988, p. 275) argues that feminist theory has  
12  
13 failed to understand ‘the intimate inner workings of culturally and historically distinct  
14  
15 arrangements between the genders’ that recreate patriarchal gender roles and relations.’ Thus,  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Years later, Gibson-Graham (2006) emphasized inter-dependency within the patriarchal family patterns, observing that women are rarely fully aware of their power and the opportunities available to them. In particular she notes how women are ‘caught up in two places, experiencing the dissatisfactions and disappointments of what they know and habitually desire and the satisfactions and surprises of what is new, but hard to fully recognise and want’ (Gibson-Graham, 2006, p. 162). As such, women implicate themselves in patriarchal bargains from positions rife with potential and compromise, and situated within a male dominated cultural system.

Importantly, the patriarchal bargain is not a timeless or an immutable entity. Rather, it is situated within distinct social and historical formations, within which migration opens up new avenues for women to empower themselves. We build upon the previous work of several scholars in exploring agency and empowerment in consumption for immigrant women, with consideration to their patriarchal bargains. For Drydyk, empowerment is a process “of engaging with power, and it is empowering to the degree that people’s agency is thereby engaged to expand their well-being freedom in a durable way’ (2010, p. 13). Also, Bertelsen and Holland (2006) and Pires,

1  
2  
3 Stanton and Rita (2006) emphasize multidimensional aspects of empowerment, as interdependent  
4 cultural, economic, political, legal and social opportunities impact women's ability to behave in a  
5 manner allowing them to gain control over issues that concern them and to make their own  
6 choices. Narayan (2005) emphasizes choice as well, measuring women's empowerment as a  
7 function of: (i) the existence of choice; (ii) how an individual expresses their choice; and (iii)  
8 what achievements arise from expressing choice. Further, Drydyk (2010) distinguishes between  
9 agency and empowerment, though acknowledging that the two concepts are related. Agency  
10 represents 'the degree to which a person is autonomously involved in their own activities and  
11 group activities in which they participate' (Drydyk, 2010, p. 13). Conversely, empowerment  
12 refers to the particular conditions and capabilities a person has to engage in specific actions.  
13 Thus, in building upon this work, we sought to evaluate agency and empowerment for immigrant  
14 Nigerian women within the context of their patriarchal bargain by examining the women's  
15 relationship with their husbands and their achievements and consequences in consumption, and  
16 with attention to the relation between agency and empowerment in consumption specifically.

17  
18 Complicating the picture somewhat for immigrant couples are the different gender roles and  
19 expectations in Nigeria and in the UK with respect to consumption. To illustrate, a husband  
20 permitting his wife to carry out consumption may not necessarily increase her agency nor foster  
21 her empowerment. Agency requires that she act in accord with her interests *as she defines them*.  
22 In turn, agentic action fosters the conditions in which she empowers herself.

23  
24 We distinguish further between empowerment and agency in reviewing two studies. First,  
25 Agarwal (1997) illustrated how South Asian women exert agency and empower themselves  
26 through consumption that is made possible by their employment. Specifically, these women  
27 undertook 'survival strategies' including hiding from their husbands their employment earnings,  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and purchasing and consuming products for themselves, which provided them an increased sense  
4  
5 of independence. The capacity to act, together with the agency in the actions, and the sense of  
6  
7 independence such action provided, were the means by which women empowered themselves.  
8  
9  
10 However, while identifying these strategies and discussing their implications are valuable  
11  
12 contributions (Agarwal 1997), we seek to extend this work by addressing the rationale, conflicts  
13  
14 and emotional consequences the women experienced with their husbands regarding their  
15  
16 patriarchal bargains.  
17  
18

19  
20 Second, Kibria's (1990) study of immigrant Vietnamese women in the USA also offers insight  
21  
22 into immigrant women's empowerment. The women had requested that their husbands undertake  
23  
24 household duties. Such agentic actions, unimaginable prior to the couple's migration, challenged  
25  
26 the gender roles characterizing their patriarchal bargains. The husbands' response was to reject  
27  
28 the wives' requests. In response to their husbands' rejection and wanting to resolve the ensuing  
29  
30 marital disputes, the women established support groups with other women from their community.  
31  
32 The women empowered themselves in the groups, reinforcing their respective actions and  
33  
34 offering advice and mediation regarding the disputes. In building on Kibria's (1990) work we sift  
35  
36 through women's and men's stories of immigration, their patriarchal bargains, and consumption.  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 Women's capacity to gain control over issues that concern them and to act independently from  
42  
43 their husbands is partially determined by the gender inequalities constituting their patriarchal  
44  
45 bargains. These inequalities are prescribed in socio-cultural definitions of gender roles and  
46  
47 behavioural expectations appropriate to men and women (O'Neil, 1981). Within Nigerian society  
48  
49 patriarchal bargains are such that women are expected to defer to their husbands and rely on them  
50  
51 to generate income. In return, women take responsibility for childcare, household cleaning and  
52  
53 food preparation, with limited involvement in food, education, and health expenditures, which  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 otherwise are the purview of men (Angel-Urdinola and Wodon, 2010; Asiyanbola, 2005; British  
4 Council, 2012; Omadjohwoefe, 2011). Further qualities noted for Nigerian women who challenge  
5 these gender inequalities include a higher level of education, where the couple live, the  
6 employment status of the couple, advancing age, and the husband's willingness to accept change  
7 (Angel-Urdinola and Wodon, 2010). However, these changes appear limited in the domain of  
8 consumption (British Council, 2012; Omadjohwoefe, 2011).

9  
10 For immigrant women, the cultural spaces of the family home, ethnic community, and resident  
11 society present opportunities and constraints to express their agency and challenge their  
12 patriarchal bargain, as Kibria (1990) noted. In another example regarding home life, Lim (1997)  
13 noted that immigrant Korean women and their husbands in the USA both emphasized the  
14 women's responsibility for household duties. When the women asked their husbands to help them  
15 with cooking, food purchases and cleaning, the husbands' refused, asserting Korean cultural  
16 values and expectations for dutiful wives to maintain the home. In a similar study Dona and  
17 Ferguson (2004) noted that both Chinese and Japanese immigrant women living in the USA  
18 continued to undertake household chores and to maintain their husbands' culturally derived  
19 position as head of the family. These studies qualify the conditions of choice that immigrant  
20 women experience, in noting that husbands' dominance curtailed the women's ability to exert  
21 agency and to empower themselves. In addition, most women lacked the support groups Kibria  
22 (1990) observed.

23  
24 De Haas and Fokkema's (2010) research with Moroccan immigrant women living in the  
25 Netherlands also shows them dutifully, albeit reluctantly performing household activities. This  
26 research challenges previous accounts (please add cite) depicting immigrant couples as having  
27 assimilated coherent plans and strategies, sharing power, and reaching consensual decisions.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Instead, these authors show that immigrant women living in a society that values equality become  
4 more aware of the gender inequalities within their patriarchal bargains. Further, such awareness is  
5 a factor in their resistance to their husbands' requests to return to live in their country of birth, as  
6 the women interpreted such returns to unravel the agency and empowerment they had gained by  
7 reinforcing their pre-migration patriarchal bargains. However, it is possible that immigrants  
8 attribute an idealized egalitarianism to resident couples. In his ethnographic study, Miller (2005)  
9 noted the tendency among British white women to sacrifice their own needs in privileging the  
10 desires of their husbands and family in shopping. Cast within the privacy of the home, such  
11 sacrifices may not be evident to immigrant women or men.

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Regarding Nigerian culture in particular, Angel-Urdinola and Wodon (2010) observed that exposure to Western cultural values of gender equality left gender roles relatively unchanged among Nigerian women immigrants at home. Earlier, in their study of African immigrants in Britain, Elam, Chinouya, and the Joint Health Survey (2000) noted that women's social skills and employment increased their empowerment in relation to men. However, in both studies, immigrant women's use of resources and expressions of agency did not necessarily result in husbands forgoing their patriarchal dominance. Such work is consistent with Zelizer (1989), who noted that immigrant women's earnings do not automatically increase their agency within their household, as husbands continue to assert a dominant position in the couple.

Thus, social institutions appear to both support and constrain women in expressing agency. Further, while immigrant women may internalize the egalitarian ideals that they attribute to resident cultures, there is some indication that they continue variations of the patriarchal bargain with their husbands. To recapitulate, our first research question explores how agency manifests in consumption for immigrant women. Second, we explore the extent to which immigrant women

1  
2  
3 empower themselves to reflect upon and challenge gender roles constituting the patriarchal  
4 bargain in the course of their consumption. Finally, we examine the relation between agency and  
5 empowerment in consumption for these women.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 **3. Methodology**

13  
14 Our research uses phenomenological interviews in examining the consumption discourses and  
15 experiences of immigrant Nigerian women in Britain in relation to their patriarchal bargain. We  
16 chose Nigerian immigrants living in Britain for a number of reasons. First, Nigerian culture  
17 contrasts with British culture in gender roles and relations. Second, post-colonial political  
18 relations between Nigeria and Britain are such that pre- and post-imperial links to Britain and  
19 political unrest led many middle-class and university-educated Nigerians to seek British  
20 citizenship in the 1970s and 1980s. Finally, there is a substantial Nigerian population in Britain;  
21 191,000 Nigerian immigrants live there, and 78% of these people live in London (Office for  
22 National Statistics, 2013).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Research informants consist of 10 first-generation Nigerian married couples, 10 husbands and  
37 10 wives, living in London and Manchester. This number exceeds the 'suggested minimum of  
38 eight for generating cultural themes and categories' (McCracken, 1988, p. 17). Regarding their  
39 profile, informants' immigration to Britain ranged from the 1970s and 1980s (the majority of the  
40 informants) through to early 2000 (one participant). Further, the majority of the male Nigerian  
41 informants migrated first, and the women joined their husbands in the UK shortly thereafter.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 One of the authors, who identifies herself as a second-generation black female Nigerian, and  
51 who does not speak a Nigerian language, conducted the interviews in English on a sequential  
52 basis. Interviews ranged from 90 to 180 minutes. First, she interviewed the wife and husband  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 together about their immigrant experience, life in Britain, and consumption, and then recorded,  
4  
5 transcribed and analysed the interviews. Subsequently, the first two authors interviewed the  
6  
7  
8 wives apart from their husbands, covering similar topics and seeking clarification on responses  
9  
10 from the first interview. In the second interview we sought detail regarding consumption  
11  
12 experiences at home and outside the home, including experiences with family and with Nigerian  
13  
14 and British friends and communities.  
15  
16

17  
18 In analysing the interviews, we combined Blood and Wolfe's (1960) classic 'final say'  
19  
20 approach with Moisander and Valtonen's (2006) insights regarding cultural texts and talk.  
21  
22 Specifically, Blood and Wolfe examined gender inequalities in couples with attention to who  
23  
24 tends to make and implement decisions regarding particular consumption objects and activities.  
25  
26 In following Moisander and Valtonen, we gave special attention to the differences in responses  
27  
28 and posturing by the women in the first interviews with their husbands as compared to the second  
29  
30 interviews without them. We noted that responses were more convivial in the first interviews and  
31  
32 contrasted with the more woman-centric responses apparent in the second interviews. To  
33  
34 elaborate, during the first interviews, we noted that the wives generally demonstrated support and  
35  
36 agreement with their husbands, especially with regard to their consumption decisions. Wives'  
37  
38 responses were factual, precise and unemotional, while husbands tended to emphasize their roles  
39  
40 in providing financial resources to support the family. In the second set of interviews wives  
41  
42 demonstrated greater animation and freedom of expression in contradicting the husbands' earlier  
43  
44 responses at times.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 Also in following Moisander and Valtonen (2006), in our final iteration of analysis we  
52  
53 critically analysed the transcripts and codes such as 'consumption necessity,' 'asserting power'  
54  
55 and 'conformity' with attention to informants' sociodemographic characteristics, and we revisited  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 earlier comparisons between the two sets of interviews, with concerted attention to our cultural  
4 backgrounds and experiences as authors. As one author is a second-generation black Nigerian  
5 woman living in Britain and the others are a white British man and an American Latina woman,  
6 our different experiences and interpretations allowed for deeper analyses of these data. In  
7 particular, we discussed the women's interpretation of their consumption and we triangulated  
8 analysis of their accounts and rationale as forms of agency and empowerment within the context  
9 of their patriarchal bargain within the home, in the local Nigerian community, and in British  
10 public contexts, as Nigerian women living in Britain.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

#### 24 **4. Findings**

25  
26 In presenting our findings we begin with the origins and contours of the patriarchal bargain  
27 for these women. We then detail their consumption experiences, delineating categories which  
28 subsequently serve as the foundation for further discussion of agency and for implications  
29 regarding empowerment. The categories include indirect and direct private and public  
30 consumption, through solo action and in alliances with others.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

##### 41 **(i) *Situating consumption within the post-migration patriarchal bargain***

42 All informants, women and men, expressed desires to continue to honour their patriarchal  
43 bargains, tracing them to Nigerian cultural and Christian religious beliefs learnt at an early age  
44 regarding what constituted a good wife and husband in relation to each other and to family. Bob,  
45 an accountant, succinctly summarized the bargain in his interview, '*A woman's role is to look*  
46 *after her husband and her children, while a man's role is to provide for his family. He should*  
47 *have the resources to support his family.'*  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In the first set of interviews, the men emphasized their Nigerian identity, the financial  
4 resources they had garnered, and the consumption decisions they had made. In contrast, women  
5 spoke of their attachments to Nigerian culture in terms of their responsibilities to their husbands  
6 and children. Jess, a midwife, put it this way, *“Being African women, you know, we have*  
7 *responsibilities. We can’t behave anyhow, especially when you are outside [the home]. We have*  
8 *to respect ourselves. You know, it’s not easy, very stressful, but that [is] our culture. Sometimes I*  
9 *ask myself why do I do this and that, but it’s my culture. I have to honour my culture.”*

10  
11 Informants reflected on their decisions to migrate. For husbands, migration offered  
12 employment opportunities to better provide for their family. Wives listed job opportunities as  
13 well, and some mentioned equal opportunity. For example, Margaret highlighted possible career  
14 progression, while Jess and Emily anticipated more autonomous gender roles than what was  
15 allowed with the surveillance of extended family and community in Nigeria. Emily, an  
16 administrator, stated, *“I like the fact that this country provides women with opportunities, yes, it’s*  
17 *true. There are so many doors that are open to women in this country, which is very refreshing,*  
18 *compared to Nigeria.”* Supporting their accounts was a rather idealized view of life in Britain as a  
19 country of opportunity, respect, and equality that some dated to Britain’s colonial rule of Nigeria.

20  
21 Yet for many informants, immigration experiences did not deliver these pre-migration  
22 fantasies. Several informants recalled their initial shock in experiencing distinct gender treatment  
23 and a lack of good manners, including disrespect to parents and elders. The testimony of Jane, a  
24 care worker, epitomized many informants’ observations, *‘They [British whites] do not place*  
25 *importance on the family, which is bad. I see women that let their children behave anyhow,*  
26 *women divorcing their husbands, and lack of respect.’* Accompanying these experiences was a  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 lack of job opportunities. Many men and women informants experienced significant periods of  
4 unemployment and underemployment, often working in jobs they were over-qualified for.  
5  
6

7  
8 Compounding career difficulties were experiences of racism. Informants listed verbal abuse,  
9 discrimination at work and in shops, and a few had even suffered physical attacks. Jess contrasted  
10 the surface friendliness at work with her exclusion from white-only friendship groups there,  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 *'Some of them [British whites] can be two-faced, you know. They can be all friendly, friendly in*  
16 *your face but later they change.'* As a result, most women female informants socialized primarily  
17 with other Nigerians living in Britain. Only three informants, Angela, Emily and Karen reported  
18 having made white British friends.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 The lack of career opportunities and racist experiences thwarted their patriarchal bargains as  
26 well. In response to husbands' un- and under-employment and family financial pressures, some  
27 wives sought work. Of the working wives, many wives recognized that working posed difficulties  
28 to their husbands and contradicted their end of the bargain. In her testimony below, Karen reflects  
29 on the difficulty her work posed to her and to her husband, and how she tried to deal with the  
30 judgment she felt from another member of the Nigerian community in the UK,  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 *"It was very difficult ... knowing my husband. I know he feels bad about me working the way I*  
40 *did when we first came to Britain ... for me it was uncomfortable leaving the children with him. I*  
41 *wasn't brought up in that way, but what could I do? I had to work. My husband was not working,*  
42 *so he supported us in that way... There was one day that I was at my family friend's house ... I*  
43 *told her that my husband was looking after the children. She looked at me in disgust, as though I*  
44 *committed a crime! I just said to myself, 'Do I care what this woman thinks? We're doing the best*  
45 *for this family.'*  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Other wives compromised their job opportunities or did not seek employment, at times in  
4 abiding to their husband's request. For example, Emma reluctantly closed her own commercial  
5 business to look after their children per her husband's request. Similarly, Shirley commented that  
6 she had complied with her husband's request that she train as a midwife instead of a dressmaker.  
7  
8 In the quote below, Jane explains her rationale to maintain her patriarchal bargain in not working,  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14  
15 *You know, Nigerian men. Their pride is in their home and being able to provide for*  
16 *their family. Let me tell you, men like to feel like they are in control. You know*  
17 *sometimes you have to give them the opportunities to feel like this. It is not that I can't*  
18 *contribute now, but I must give him his respect. Let him be a man, let him look after the*  
19 *well-being of the house, while I look after the family, so that is how I behave. It is not*  
20 *that I cannot do all these things myself. After all, I'm here and want to contribute*  
21 *because I know the pressure that he is under.*  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 In contrast to the employment difficulties and racism in British society informants  
33 reported, they credited the local Nigerian community in serving as a refuge sustaining them and  
34 reinforcing Nigerian traditional gender roles. According to informants, at community events and  
35 gatherings both men and women expressed disapproval of the gender equality they associated  
36 with British society. Further, such disapproval was cast upon those community members  
37 conforming to such British ways, as is evident in Jane's testimony above where she recalls  
38 receiving a 'look of disgust' from another Nigerian woman upon confiding that while she worked  
39 her husband cared for their children.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Even so, the contrast of job opportunities outside the home and the continued adherence to  
51 patriarchal gender roles at home produced conflicting feelings for many of these women. For  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Emily, these contradictions set the conditions for calling into question her patriarchal bargain, a  
4 question she answered by invoking the Bible,  
5  
6

7  
8 *Sometimes I find myself asking the question, 'Ah, I'm a professional woman in Britain. I have*  
9  
10 *all these skills, yet when I'm home I have to behave [in] a completely different way!' So you can*  
11  
12 *see where frustration can set in for some people, but then I look at [my husband] and say, 'Well,*  
13  
14 *in [the] Bible the Lord God said, "Thou should honour your husband"'. I have that constantly in*  
15  
16 *my mind.*  
17  
18

## 19 20 21 22 **ii Consumption within the patriarchal bargain** 23

24 Overall, in response to our questions regarding consumption, women and men indicated that a  
25  
26 good Nigerian wife maintained the home, purchased food and prepared meals, and dutifully  
27  
28 brought up the children to respect their father. In interviews both women and men directly and  
29  
30 indirectly acknowledged that men maintained authority over types of food, dress, and even home  
31  
32 furnishings, while women carried out such consumption. Further, women emphasized their  
33  
34 husbands' competence with the financial affairs of their families, and their authority with  
35  
36 electrical products, cars, and even home furnishings. When describing their consumption, Anna,  
37  
38 Jess, Margaret and Nicola described buying clothing to enhance their femininity and please their  
39  
40 husbands. Shirley mentioned purchasing clothes for her husband as an extension of herself, *'Well,*  
41  
42 *I want my husband to look good ... He is a reflection on me.'*  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Delving deeper into the consumption discourses and experiences in relation to the patriarchal  
49  
50 bargains, we noted different levels of directness/discretion, private and public location, and with  
51  
52 family members and members of the Nigerian community, both locally in the UK and in Nigeria.  
53  
54 Indirect, private forms of consumption consisted of hiding, manipulation, and pacification at  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 home. Direct private consumption occurred primarily in the home as well. Indirect public  
4  
5 consumption involved market situations and persons outside the local Nigerian community and in  
6  
7 Nigeria; and direct public consumption took place in relation to the local Nigerian community.  
8  
9

10 ***Indirect private Consumption.*** In the second interviews the women revealed more of the  
11  
12 complexity of their situations. For example, Jess and Shirley reported having hidden shoe  
13  
14 purchases from their respective husbands, George and Oliver. Mary mentioned that she at times  
15  
16 hid English food from her husband, Alan, because he insisted that she prepare only Nigerian food  
17  
18 for them to eat, “*Sometimes what I do, don’t laugh... I secretly buy English food and pack it in the*  
19  
20 *deep freezer, so maybe for lunch I’ll take it to work and eat it.* [laughs] *It’s just easier for me!*  
21  
22  
23

24 In other instances, women hid their purchases of electronics. As with food, both men and  
25  
26 women informants considered electronics to fall within the domain of men. Fiona, Emily, and  
27  
28 Jane used funds they had earned from their jobs to purchase high-cost electrical products for their  
29  
30 children. Fiona purchased a laptop for her daughter, while Emily purchased a Nintendo game  
31  
32 console for her son. In the excerpt below, Jane explains that she purchased a video game for their  
33  
34 youngest son to compensate for the husband’s purchase of a computer for their eldest son.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 *There was a time when he [their youngest son] got so vexed with my husband, as he gave*  
42  
43 *my eldest son his laptop for university. My son was not happy [and] it nearly became*  
44  
45 *something serious. Well [laughs], don’t mention this to my husband, but later I bought*  
46  
47 *him one of those computer games. They call it [pauses] a Nintendo. He deserved it*  
48  
49 *anyway; he did well in his exams!*  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
Despite their attempts to engage in such purchases for themselves in secret, the wives noted that their husbands eventually discovered some of their 'secret' purchases. In these instances, and in response to our follow-up questions, the women continued with their explanations to their husbands at the time on the grounds of marketing opportunities, such as a short-term price discount and impulse purchasing, and in relation to caring for their children.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
Shirley noted that her husband's reluctance to reveal his purchases encouraged her to do the same thing and not tell him, referring to the above example of shoes, *"You see, there are times when I do things that my husband is unaware of ... not all things have to be shared with my husband [laughs]. You've got to be smart, my dear. You see, when I'm buying things for myself, my husband doesn't have to know! Does he tell me everything that he buys? No, so that is it.*

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Other instances the women supplied in the second set of interviews we categorized as deliberate strategies of manipulation and pacification to achieve what they desired in consumption. Margaret and Jess explained to us how they drew upon Nigerian patriarchal values to manipulate their husbands, Geoff and George, to purchase the car brands they desired. To paraphrase Jess' account, George initially expressed his interest in a Renault Espace. Then, instead of demonstrably asserting her preference for a Jeep Grand Cherokee, Jess warned him that a Renault Espace would undermine his standing within the Nigerian community, while the Jeep would uphold his position. Ultimately, Jess's husband purchased a Jeep Grand Cherokee. In her words, *"So I said to him that a Jeep would be better ... ah, he was complaining, it's too expensive, this and that. Ah, you know us women. We buy our time very carefully [laughs and turns to us] ah, how can I go and drive that sort of car [Renault], me?! People would be saying, 'Ah Jess, what kind of car be this?' I thought, 'Say you're husband, be big man!'" [1]*

1  
2  
3 As noted earlier, food preparation is an especially highly charged area in Nigerian culture  
4 (British Council, 2012). Indeed, two husbands, Alan and Oliver, requested that their respective  
5 wives, Mary and Shirley, cook Nigerian food exclusively. In creatively meeting this aspect of  
6 their patriarchal bargains, a few of the women informants reported cooking food that was quick  
7 to prepare. Others gave reasons for not doing so. For example, Emily distinctly recalled using an  
8 exaggerated feminine voice in blaming the closed meat shop to pacify the disappointment of her  
9 husband, Peter, acting as a typical Niaja [ii] man when she ‘made the mistake’ of serving him  
10 spaghetti, “*I remember one time I made the mistake of serving him spaghetti [laughs]. Oh, I never*  
11 *heard the last of it; ah, he complained. My dear, I just packed the food and ate it myself. Typical*  
12 *Niaja man [laughs]. I mean, by the time I get back from work, I’m just too tired to cook, so I look*  
13 *for something quick to prepare. He complains and gets angry. You know men. But I don’t bother*  
14 *myself. I just serve what I can cook and say, ‘Honey, I’m sorry, the meat shop was closed today,*  
15 *so I couldn’t make soup today.’ You know I have to talk in a sweet voice [laughs].*  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 And what does your husband say?

35  
36 *He doesn’t say anything. He may complain, but he’ll eat. He has no choice in the matter [she*  
37 *laughs].*  
38  
39

40  
41 **Direct private consumption.** As mentioned previously, men and women informants made  
42 clear in the first set of interviews that a husband’s role as family provider extended beyond  
43 employment into particular forms of consumption. In addition, men described their wives’  
44 compliance with their consumption demands as being shown respect. Conversely, in the second  
45 interviews, while readily acknowledging the importance of respecting their husband, several of  
46 the women reported instances of consumption that directly encroached upon their husbands’  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 domain. Examples included Jess paying a household bill, Shirley having the curtains repaired,  
4  
5 Emily purchasing a TV, and Jess and Karen purchasing home furniture.  
6  
7

8 In the second set of interviews we further noted that the women typically provided rationale  
9  
10 for their actions using language that implicitly or explicitly designated their and their husbands'  
11  
12 acknowledgement of these acts as displeasing to the men and requiring an explanation. The  
13  
14 explanations featured husbands' time constraints, wives' earnings, and considerations for  
15  
16 children. Only a few women mentioned that their husband had demanded an explanation. For  
17  
18 example, in the excerpt below Fiona, who worked as an administrator, explains how she drew  
19  
20 upon her own earnings to replace a chair that one of their children broke while Wayne, her  
21  
22 husband, was away. She acknowledges Wayne's dislike for the shop where she purchased the  
23  
24 chairs and continues in mentioning its price promotion at the time, "*Well, I bought the chairs in*  
25  
26 *the living room, yes. While my husband travelled, one of the chairs went bad. You know children;*  
27  
28 *they like to jump and play. I don't know what happened. One of the seats went bad, so I went to*  
29  
30 *one furniture shop and bought two chairs to replace the bad ones.*  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 What did your husband say?

37  
38 *He did not say anything really. He just asked where I got them from. I told him; he was not*  
39  
40 *happy. He doesn't like the shop, but I was thinking to myself, 'Well, they were having a*  
41  
42 *sale', and the price was good, so I bought [them] and they look nice.*  
43  
44  
45

46 In several instances, women and men described or intimated the wives' consumption as  
47  
48 reasonable given the men's time constraints. That is, because wives had more free time, they  
49  
50 were entitled to take on men's consumption roles. For example in the excerpt below, Jane  
51  
52 explains that her husband, Andrew's, excessive work hours left him too exhausted to replace a  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 broken curtain rail. After a considerable period of waiting, she resolved the problem that he  
4  
5  
6 ‘should have done’:

7  
8 *There was a time that I had to replace one of the curtains in our room. Well, I couldn't*  
9  
10 *wait for him, so I had to replace it myself. I just went to Homebase [iii] and got a new*  
11  
12 *rail. I then called one friend I have that knows a builder, and that is how he came to fix*  
13  
14 *the curtain rails. They are still there today!*

15  
16  
17 So what did your husband think?

18  
19  
20 *Well, what could he say? I couldn't wait, something that he should have done ... he*  
21  
22 *hadn't done! So I had to do it myself. It did not matter what he thought [laughs].*  
23

24  
25 The women mentioned children in their explanations as well. Emily noted that when her  
26  
27 husband Peter confronted her about the new television, her response was that she was encouraged  
28  
29 and supported by her children to make the purchase. The explanation involving the children  
30  
31 appears to have appeased him. According to Emily, Peter's reaction was quiet resignation.  
32  
33

34 ***Indirect public consumption.*** In a few instances, husbands charged wives with carrying out  
35  
36 a purchase. For example, Shirley explained the sequence of events when her husband had  
37  
38 requested a particular brand of wood-working tools, and his reaction when she substituted a  
39  
40 cheaper brand, “*His work tools he has ... for his hobby. They are so expensive! Wow, the amount*  
41  
42 *that it costs is ridiculous. He wanted the expensive ones, but I didn't buy them. They were just too*  
43  
44 *expensive, so I bought the cheaper [tools] to save money.*  
45  
46  
47

48 What did he do when he found out?

49  
50 *Well, he was not impressed. In fact, he went back to Tesco<sup>iv</sup> to return it; that thing*  
51  
52 *caused an argument ... I didn't know how important the tools were! That day, all I did*  
53  
54 *was take the refund, which was a gift voucher, and bought myself a pair of shoes.*  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Women's clothing was another contested area for the couples. For many of the men, racist  
4 experiences of British society had discouraged them from socializing outside the local Nigerian  
5 community and they requested that their wives refrain as well. Indeed, many women reported  
6 having compromised their social activities to comply with their husbands' wishes to not establish  
7 friendships or socialize outside the Nigerian community. There were exceptions. Emily claimed  
8 to invite her white friends to her home only when her husband was away. Karen's testimony was  
9 more disjointed. In her first interview she agreed that she complied with her husband, Howard's  
10 request that she wear the colorful Nigerian clothing. In her second interview, however, she  
11 recalled having purchased a Western-style dress and wearing it to the 40<sup>th</sup> birthday party of a  
12 white friend from work over his objection, *"I bought this lovely dress from Monsoon. Anyway,*  
13 *my husband saw what I was wearing and he said, 'Darling, are you wearing that?' I know what*  
14 *he was trying to say; he didn't like what I was wearing. As for me, I didn't bother myself and just*  
15 *continued with what I was wearing.*

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 In the most dramatic example, Margaret utilised British and Nigerian banks and lawyers to  
35 secretly purchase land and construct a house in the Nigerian city of Lagos without her husband  
36 knowing about it, and despite his wishes to build a second house in his ancestral village. She  
37 explains, *"We already had [a house in Nigeria]. Although it is in need of decoration, it is still*  
38 *there [in the ancestral village] ... I can't imagine the children wanting to stay there when they*  
39 *visit, so I just took it upon myself to start to develop my land, and, to be honest, it was the best*  
40 *decision that I have ever made. The house is wonderful.*

41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 Her consumption required a secret savings account and hidden legal correspondence. She  
52 took full responsibility for her actions, and even planned to repeat it with her sister, *"Yes, actually*  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*I have been discussing with my sister, and we want to invest in a few properties in Nigeria, the market is so good. But I have to keep that hush-hush for now [laughs].*

Why?

*Well, you see, my husband is really not into property investment, but I feel it would be an ideal way for us to have another external income when we go back to Nigeria.*

**Direct public consumption.** Instances of women informants blatantly engaging in consumption in public that was counter to the Nigerian patriarchal bargain were rare. We mentioned already that both women and men associated Nigerian clothing with traditional gender roles and Western clothing with gender equality. In her second interview Margaret spoke of community pressure to conform in wearing Nigerian clothing and adhering to cultural customs, especially when her husband became president of their local Rumuokwurusi community,<sup>v</sup> *“I had to behave like this, carry myself like that; it was tiring, too much of a stress. I wasn’t able to talk freely. My duty was to look well-to-do and support my husband. It just wasn’t me, but it was something that was expected of me. I [would] have to wear what my husband was wearing [Nigerian clothing].*

She then explained the time she wore an ‘elegant’ Western-style dress to a party within the Rumuokwurusi community in the UK, *“There was one time when I wanted to wear something really elegant. I knew my husband would be wearing traditional, but I said, ‘Ah, let me wear something different for a change.’ That day I went to Marks & Spencer<sup>vi</sup>] and bought a very elegant black dress. My husband did not know! [laughs]. The only time he saw it was when we were ready to go. He just looked at me; he couldn’t say anything! Ah, I just pretended that I did not see him; I just wore it to the event [laughs]. Funnily enough, since that day he has not mentioned anything about it!*



*Solo and allied consumption patterns.* Our last findings subsection distinguishes the consumption patterns of women informants by themselves and in alliance with others, both family members and friends, both in the private confines of their homes and in public. Alone, at home, women informants hid their consumption from their husbands. Further, the women aligned with their husbands privately in manipulating and pacifying them to carry out the consumption activities the women desired. Parents implicated th

1  
2  
3 complex contours of empowerment. The paper closes with practical recommendations for  
4  
5 marketing managers.  
6

7  
8 ***i. Agency.***  
9

10 In evaluating women's agency in these data, we revisited Drydyk's (2010) conceptualization  
11 emphasizing autonomous involvement in personal and group activities. For us, important in  
12 evaluating such agency is the women's interpretations of what they do and how they define their  
13 interests and desires, necessarily including their consideration to their couple. The contrasts  
14 between interviews done with the women and those done with them and their husbands, was as  
15 crucial as it was problematic in accessing these women's understandings and manifestations of  
16 their interests. It was crucial to see them apart from their husbands to yield a sense of their  
17 personal views. At the same time, we acknowledge that our presence was somewhat problematic  
18 in likely having an impact on what they said they did.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 The findings proceed from the most limited forms of agency to those of moderate and greater  
32 magnitude. First, regarding indirect private consumption, we find some agency in *hiding*, as the  
33 women selectively develop their interests and manifest their desires. As when Shirley says, "Be  
34 smart," we noted that hiding does avoid confrontation, at least when it is successful.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 We noted some agency in women's *manipulation* of their husbands as well. While this type  
42 of consumption activity keeps the patriarchal bargain intact, in that women express their interests  
43 and desires within the bounds of his interests, such manipulation does develop and at times  
44 accomplishes her desires. Jess's quote was insightful, to "bide our time carefully" in successfully  
45 convincing husband George to buy a Jeep.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In addition, the strategy of *pacifying* husbands yielded women some agency as well. Emily's  
4  
5 excuses such as his time constraints and the closed meat shop entailed a softer form of expressing  
6  
7 agency. The women disguised their interests, yet ultimately accomplished their desires.  
8  
9

10  
11 Second, we noted more agency in direct private consumption. Women were taking on  
12  
13 activities they and their husbands considered to fall in the husbands' domain. This included Jess  
14  
15 paying the household bills, Jane repairing the curtains, and Emily buying a TV for the children.  
16  
17 Importantly, such consumption activity took place within the domestic sphere of the home.  
18  
19

20  
21 Third, the agency in indirect public consumption was both discrete and impressive. Both Jane  
22  
23 and Margaret prioritized their interests at times over their husbands, Andrew and Geoff, in their  
24  
25 consumption of clothing for their children, albeit in opposite ways. For Jane, it was in buying less  
26  
27 expensive clothing than husband Andrew wished for, while for Margaret, the clothing was more  
28  
29 expensive than what he preferred that she buy. In other instances, Karen exerted strong forms of  
30  
31 agency in wearing western clothes and seeing her white friends, yet she did so when husband  
32  
33 Howard was not around, thus minimizing a direct confrontation with him. Margaret exerted the  
34  
35 most agency in buying a house and using a bank in secrecy from her husband Geoff, and was in  
36  
37 the process of doing so again with her sister.  
38  
39

40  
41 Finally, direct public consumption, while potentially the most agentic, ultimately was not so  
42  
43 in practice. Margaret exerted much agency in wearing a stylish, black western dress to a local  
44  
45 Nigerian community event in the UK. This was her husband's domain, as he operated in a  
46  
47 leadership position in the group. Yet, such agency appears to have been limited. While he said  
48  
49 nothing in response to her action, it was not something she mentioned having done again.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## 55 *ii. Empowerment*

56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In addressing the nature of empowerment for Nigerian women in these data, we found  
4  
5 Narayan's (2005) three dimensions useful, yet requiring some qualifications. To recall, Narayan  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

In addressing the nature of empowerment for Nigerian women in these data, we found Narayan's (2005) three dimensions useful, yet requiring some qualifications. To recall, Narayan emphasized: (i) the existence of choice; (ii) how an individual expresses their choice; and (iii) the achievements that arise from expressing choice. Crucial for us in evaluating these women's empowerment included their understandings and interpretations of their capabilities to act, and of the consequences of those actions, with regard to their consideration of their patriarchal bargain. Our qualifications to this work feature the importance of goals and consequences of consumption activity in appreciating the multiple and at times contradictory conditions of married Nigerian women immigrants in the UK. While technically the women have choices, they express their desires partially in consumption, acting in their husband's interests as well as their own, with consideration to the couple in their activity. For these women, empowerment in consumption is only partly about them and partly about their husband and couple.

First, we noted some empowerment in the strategy of *hiding*. In demonstrating their capacity to develop and satisfy their own desires and tastes in consumption apart from their husbands, the women carved a separate place alongside their patriarchal bargain. Jess' and Shirley's shoe purchases and Mary shopping for food and hiding it in the freezer were good examples of this.

Second, we noted women's empowerment in a somewhat different form in *manipulation*, as the women accomplished their desires within context of patriarchal bargain. To be more specific, wives cajoled their husbands to carry out her interests, which worked both for her and for him in a 'win/win' arrangement for the couple, as she is voicing her interests in terms of his interests.

We noted greater forms of empowerment in the strategy of *pacifying*, in that the women acknowledged their patriarchal bargain, even as their actions transgressed it. As an example, Emily privileges her interests in not wanting to cook soup everyday, although she disguises them

1  
2  
3 in terms of her inability to buy the key ingredient, meat, since the shop was closed that day.

4  
5 Husband Peter didn't get the soup he wanted, but at least she 'tried.'

6  
7  
8 Direct private consumption was most interesting, as the wives were engaging in activities that  
9  
10 were the husbands' domains. Emily is paying bills and buying electronics, Jane is repairing  
11  
12 curtains, buying children's clothes, and also buying electronics. Margaret is taking the children  
13  
14 shopping for their clothes. Fiona is buying chairs and, like Jane, electronics, and Shirley is  
15  
16 buying Oliver's tools. These consumption activities entail a partial, defacto alteration to the  
17  
18 patriarchal bargain, as the wives make allowances for their actions in terms of his work-related  
19  
20 time constraints. While these actions were somewhat suggestive of a new bargain, the husbands  
21  
22 did not seem overly concerned. Andrew did object to the source of the curtains Jane furnished,  
23  
24 and Wayne did not like the chairs Fiona bought, but both curtains and chairs remained in their  
25  
26 respective houses. Only Peter returned items. Importantly, with the exception of Peter's tools, the  
27  
28 items related to their homes, and so this consumption, while novel, is at the same time  
29  
30 recognizable as an extension of the former patriarchal bargain from Nigeria. Ultimately, we find  
31  
32 mixed empowerment in direct private consumption, as the wives are doing more 'work.'

33  
34  
35 We further noted valuable empowerment in indirect public consumption. It was a means of  
36  
37 developing a public persona apart from husband and Nigerian culture for the women, and it  
38  
39 sidestepped the patriarchal bargain initially and continually for some of them. Importantly, we  
40  
41 noted integration for Emily is seeing her white friends and wearing western clothes at home in  
42  
43 the UK, although she did so while husband Peter was away. In other instances Margaret engaged  
44  
45 financially in building a house in Nigeria and was in the process of doing so again with her sister.

46  
47  
48 Finally, while we noted some empowerment in direct public consumption, it was tempered in  
49  
50 the longer term. Margaret did wear a black western dress to a function of the Nigerian community  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 in the UK, but did so in a way that was too late for him to object, and she did not mention ever  
4  
5 having done that again.  
6

7  
8 Regarding the consequences of these various forms of agency and empowerment, we noted  
9  
10 very limited response on the part of the husbands. Few of the men said or did anything in  
11  
12 response to women's actions, at least in the women's accounts to us. While perhaps this was a  
13  
14 matter of what the women were willing to tell us, there are other reasons related to the men's  
15  
16 constraints of time and money, the upper middle class stature of the couples, and their somewhat  
17  
18 idealized understandings of the UK system based on partial contact with it. Overall, the Nigerian  
19  
20 patriarchal bargains appear somewhat untenable in the UK as both women and men demonstrated  
21  
22 novel roles and activities. In explaining further our findings, we emphasize that these women  
23  
24 defined their interests partly on their own terms and in relation to their husbands and culture.  
25  
26 While apparently conflicting, these are not distinct or mutually exclusive interests for the women,  
27  
28 as they combined their interests with their love for their husbands and culture. Thus, we  
29  
30 emphasise that gender role transitions are an important part of immigrant consumer acculturation,  
31  
32 offering both opportunities and challenges for agency and empowerment for women within, and  
33  
34 apart from, their patriarchal bargains with their husbands.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 *iii. Marketing Implications*

44  
45 The many adaptations of informant couples we have discussed in this work offer  
46  
47 opportunities and challenges to marketers. Our recommendations to marketing managers  
48  
49 emphasize first understanding and appreciating the importance of the patriarchal bargain in its  
50  
51 varied and changing forms to the women, the men, and for their couples.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Though marketers may be tempted to support immigrant women's gender advancement and equality in marketing strategies, as doing so is consistent with UK gender traditions and values, an explicit strategy is unlikely to be successful. These women did not perceive their consumption to be acts of resistance to male domination or assertions of their increasing roles and associated power within the family, but rather as a means to advance the well-being of their couple and family. Thus, marketers should explicitly and implicitly support these women as active, competent consumers, as women, and as wives and family champions in depicting how they consume and make purchase decisions together with their husbands, and how they engage with the symbolic meanings of products and brands. In addition, retail employees' training should recognise the differing roles the wife undertakes when she is alone and with other women, as compared to when she is accompanied by her husband and family. Retail employees can make a huge difference in comfortably accommodating immigrant consumers by colluding, supporting and even subtly challenging traditional gender roles, as appropriate. Such actions and representations are likely to resonate deeply with women in serving as their allies in acculturation and in the ongoing adaptation of their patriarchal bargain, while recognising that, in various forms, the bargain remains intact for many.

Regarding the limitations of this research, we first note that the ten couples we interviewed were predominantly from the middle classes, with some representation among the working classes for the men. As such, we qualify our findings and analyses in offering limited generalizability to all immigrant Nigerian married women living in the UK. Second, we acknowledge that while interviewing the women initially with their husbands and subsequently alone generated for us some sense of these women it is possible that our presence impacted the responses. It is also possible that conducting the interviews in English possibly skewed the

1  
2  
3 responses. At the same time, we suggest that the presence of a second generation Nigerian  
4  
5 women helped enable a rapport that tempered these effects.  
6  
7

8 Many questions remain. Future research could focus particularly on how consumption  
9  
10 impacts the marital relations between Nigerian immigrant women and men living in couples in  
11  
12 the UK, as well as for immigrants from other nations living here and/or living in other nations.  
13  
14 Another promising theme for future research is the role of children in parents' changing power  
15  
16 relations. We welcome further research to explore the significance of existing ethnic relations on  
17  
18 changing marital power relations in couples. Gender roles are among the most contentious  
19  
20 aspects of immigrant–resident–ethnic–social relations. In particular, the historical, political,  
21  
22 economic, geographic, kinship-based and other socially stratifying factors noted by Mahler and  
23  
24 Pessar (2001) merit further exploration within couples, and between them and the resident ethnic  
25  
26 subcultures, as well as with the local Nigerian community and that in Nigeria. Finally,  
27  
28 longitudinal studies are particularly well-suited to documenting the changing nature of patriarchal  
29  
30 bargains in the ways immigrant women and men adapt their immigrant families. Only by  
31  
32 conducting further research over time that expressly features the intricate interactions and  
33  
34 relations of immigrant couples, with attention to cultural traditions and wider social changes in  
35  
36 both home and resident countries, will we appreciate how women constitute agency and empower  
37  
38 themselves through consumption.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

## 48 **References**

49  
50  
51  
52  
53 Agarwal, B. (1997), “‘Bargaining’ and gender relations: within and beyond the household”,  
54  
55 *Feminist Economics*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 1-51.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Alsop, R., Bertelsen, M. and Holland, J. (2006), *Empowerment in Practice from Analysis to*  
4  
5 *Implementation*, World Bank, Washington, DC.  
6  
7  
8 Angel-Urdinola, D. and Wodon, Q. (2010), "Income generation and intra-household decision  
9  
10 making: a gender analysis for Nigeria", MPRA Paper 27738.  
11  
12  
13 Asiyambola, A.R. (2005), "Patriarchy, male dominance, the role and women empowerment in  
14  
15 Nigeria", paper presented at the International Union for the Scientific Study of Population  
16  
17 (IUSSP/UIESP), XXV International Population Conference Tours, France.  
18  
19  
20 Blood, R. and Wolfe, D. (1960), *Husbands and Wives*, Free Press, NY.  
21  
22  
23 British Council (2012), "Gender in Nigeria report 2012: Improving the lives of girls and women  
24  
25 in Nigeria, Issues, policies and actions", 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, London, UK.  
26  
27  
28 De Haas, H. and Fokkema, T. (2010), "Intra-household conflicts in migration decision-making:  
29  
30 return and pendulum migration in Morocco", *Population and Development Review*, Vol. 36  
31  
32 No. 3, pp. 541-556.  
33  
34  
35 Dona, J. and Ferguson, S.J. (2004), "Gendering processes in immigration for Chinese American  
36  
37 and Japanese American women", *Equal Opportunities International*, Vol. 23 Nos 3-5, pp.  
38  
39 80-106.  
40  
41  
42 Drydyk, J. (2010), "How to distinguish empowerment from agency", unpublished paper cited in  
43  
44 Helpdesk Research Report: Empowerment, Choice and Agency, Governance and Social  
45  
46 Resource Development Research Centre, 8.8.2010.  
47  
48  
49 Elam, G., Chinouya, M. and the Joint Health Surveys Unit (2000), "Feasibility study for health  
50  
51 surveys among black African populations living in the UK: Stage 2 – diversity among black  
52  
53 African communities", Department of Health, London.  
54  
55  
56 Gibson-Graham, J.K. (2006), *A Postcapitalist Politics*, University of Minnesota Press,  
57  
58 Minneapolis, MN.  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- Kandiyoti, D. (1988), "Bargaining with patriarchy", *Gender & Society*, Vol. 2 No. 3, pp. 274-290.
- Kibria, N. (1990), "Power, patriarchy, and gender conflict in the Vietnamese immigrant community", *Gender & Society*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 9-24.
- Lim, I. (1997), "Korean immigrant women's challenge to gender inequality at home: the interplay of economic resources, gender, and family", *Gender & Society*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 31-51.
- Mahler, S.J. and Pessar, P.R. (2001), "Gendered geographies of power: analyzing gender across transnational spaces", *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 441-459.
- McCracken, G.M. (1988), *The Long Interview*, Sage, Newbury Park, CA.
- Miller, P.W. (2005), "The role of gender among low-paid and high-paid workers", *Australian Economic Review*, Vol. 38 No. 4, pp. 405-417.
- Moisander, Johanna and Anu Valtonen (2006), "Cultural Texts and Talk," in *Qualitative Marketing Research*, London: Sage, p. 68-83.
- Narayan, D. (2005), *Measuring Empowerment: Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives*, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Office for National Statistics (2013), Population size, available at:  
<http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/search/index.html?newquery=ethnicity> (accessed 14<sup>th</sup> October 2013).
- Omadjohwoefe, O.S. (2011), "Gender role differentiation and social mobility of women in Nigeria", *Journal of Social Science*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 67-74.

- 1  
2  
3 O'Neil, J.M. (1981), "Patterns of gender role conflict and strain: sexism and fear of femininity in  
4 men's lives", *The Personnel and Guidance Journal*, Vol. 60 No. 4, pp. 203-210.  
5  
6  
7  
8 Oswald, L. (1999), "Culture swapping: the ethnogenesis of middle-class Haitian-American  
9 immigrants", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 303-318.  
10  
11  
12 Peñaloza, L. (1994), "Atravesando fronteras/border crossings: a critical ethnographic exploration of  
13 the consumer acculturation of Mexican immigrants", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 21 No.  
14 1, pp. 32-54.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 Pires, G.D., Stanton, J. and Rita, P. (2006), "The internet, consumer empowerment and marketing  
20 strategies", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 40 Nos 9/10, pp. 936-949.  
21  
22  
23  
24 United Nations (2003), "Migration and mobility and how this movement affects women",  
25  
26 Division for the Advancement of Women (DAW), Consultative Meeting in Malmö, Sweden, 2  
27 to 4 December 2003, prepared by Asis, M. M. B.  
28  
29  
30  
31 United Nations (2015), "Migration", accessed at: <http://www.unfpa.org/migration>, on 26<sup>th</sup>  
32  
33 October 2015.  
34  
35  
36 Zelizer, V.A. (1989), "The social meaning of money: special monies", *American Journal of*  
37  
38 *Sociology*, Vol. 95 No. 2, pp. 342-377.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### Appendix 1: Female sample group profiles

**Table 1: Demographic information of Nigerian informants living in Britain**

Participants	Name	Age	Occupation	Married to:	Age	Occupation	Dependants
1	Jane	45	Care Worker	Andrew	49	Accountant	Yes
2	Shirley	51	Midwife	Oliver	64	Surgeon	Yes
3	Emily	49	Administrator	Peter	52	Businessman	Yes
4	Jess	36	Midwife	George	42	Train Driver	Yes
5	Emma	39	Nurse	Matt	44	Security Man	Yes
6	Anna	40	Police Officer	Bob	45	Accountant	Yes
7	Karen	38	Nursery Assistant	Howard	44	Security Man	Yes
8	Mary	51	Housing Officer	Alan	64	Semi-retired	Yes
9	Fiona	49	Administrator	Wayne	50	Lecturer	Yes
10	Margaret	55	Administrator	Geoff	61	Financial Director	Yes

**Endnotes**

- 
- i Nigerian slang for Nigerian man.
  - ii Pidgin English with Nigerian slang intimating that her husband act in accord with the patriarchal bargain.
  - iii A British home-improvement retailer.
  - iv Tesco is a large supermarket chain in the UK.
  - v Fortnightly meeting held by individuals from Rumuokwurusi who now reside in Britain.
  - vi A British department store chain.

European Journal of Marketing

**Reviewer 1**

We would like to thank Reviewer 1 for their continued support and invaluable comments regarding our paper. We have taken this opportunity to extract the key points from Reviewer 1's comments below and show how we have addressed these key points.

<b>Reviewer 1 comments</b>	<b>Authors response</b>
<p>A problem with the theoretical foundation accounted for and hence for its theoretical contribution. You write in your letter that you have given us more substance on what patriarchal bargaining is but I would still like to see more on it even if you offer us Kandiyoti's definition.</p> <p>I even fail to understand exactly what it is, as it in the analysis sometimes is implied as something gender neutralizing and sometimes as something strictly patriarchal.</p> <p>I think what I am trying to say is that I want it more grounded in what kind of gender theory canon "patriarchal bargain" stems from so that I understand its gender political site.</p>	<p>We have now provided a Kandiyoti's definition of patriarchal bargaining:</p> <p><i>"Kandiyoti (1988) introduced the term patriarchal bargaining to describe the 'set rules and scripts regulating gender relations, to which both genders accommodate and acquiesce,' in emphasizing that women contest, redefine, and renegotiate their marital roles and relations to maximise security and optimise life options. Ultimately, she concluded that patriarchal bargains 'exert a powerful influence on the shaping of women's gendered subjectivity and determine the nature of their gender ideology in various contexts' (p. 286)."</i></p> <p>We have also provided a more clearer positioning of where Patriarchal Bargaining emerged from and how it is positioned within the wider Feminist literature:</p> <p>We accept this point. We have now made a consistent and clear reference throughout the paper to patriarchal bargaining being around how migrant women use consumption to negotiate patriarchy within their marriage. Negotiations we argue that can be understand through the related but differing variables of agency and empowerment.</p> <p>As we have pointed out previously, we have made great efforts to locate the patriarchal bargain within Feminist studies. Accompanying this we have also located patriarchal bargains within a gender political site. Clearly, locating Kandiyoti's original thoughts on how she saw the patriarchal bargain but also drawing on the work of Gibson-Graham has achieved this. In particular their</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

<p>How is the construct founded in gender theory in general?</p>	<p>narrative regarding women being “caught up in two places, experiencing the dissatisfactions and disappointments of what they know and habitually desire and the satisfactions and surprises of what is new, but hard to fully recognize and want” (Gibson-Graham, 2006, p. 162). A perspective that allows us to explore the contradictions that emerged from our findings.</p> <p>We now provided a paragraph explaining how the constructs founded in gender theory that lends itself to understanding the origins of Kandiyoti’s research into patriarchal bargaining. The new paragraph reads:</p> <p><i>“The origins of studies of patriarchal bargaining lie in the interdisciplinary field of feminist theory, where researchers tend to view gender and related feminine identities as a socio-cultural construction. In this sense, one is not born a woman, rather one is socialised into becoming one. A key theme in this work is examining the importance, role and behaviours within various patriarchal social systems in which men predominately hold power and related authority over women and children. Reviewing this work, Kandiyoti (1988) argues that radical feminist studies liberally label as patriarchy almost any example of male domination, and that socialist feminists emphasize issues of social class within related capitalist economic arrangements. In complementing these perspectives, Kandiyoti (1988, p. 275) argues that feminist theory has failed to understand ‘the intimate inner workings of culturally and historically distinct arrangements between the genders’ that recreate patriarchal gender roles and relations.’ Thus, Kandiyoti focuses on women’s rationale for negotiating and compromising their position and relationships with men, and the impact of cultural values in supporting the patriarchal bargain.”</i></p>
<p>Both the analysis and discussion would be very much improved if the theoretical foundation was more elaborated on in the theory/literature section as you then could return to that.</p>	<p>We have now provided a clearer and direct theoretical foundation for our paper. By providing a clear definition of what constitutes the patriarchal bargain, and locating it within a gender political site, this allowed us to reflect upon and review the literature. This approach led to the inclusion of agency and</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

This leads me to the implications. Had you been able to identify a more clear research gap in the beginning, the theoretical/research implications would be improved in the discussion, whereas now I think they are almost non-existing.

empowerment to understand how previous research has attempted to address the issue of patriarchal bargains. In particular noting the absences in existing research, such as why and how women use consumption to assert their agency, how they husband's respond to these challenges and assert their patriarchy.

By identifying this research gaps supported our findings which now clearly refer to the wider themes identified in the literature review. For example, distinguishing the female participants' consumer actions between acts of agency and empowerment.

We accept this point. We have now significantly rewritten the discussion / implications section to address this point. In particular, we state:

*“By capturing immigrant women’s voices, our paper contributes to understanding of the scope, rationale, and practices of immigrant women’s agency and empowerment in consumption, as situated within the context of their patriarchal bargain. First, in studying immigrant women, we temper Kandiyoti’s (1988) findings that acting within the contours of patriarchal bargains maximises these women’s security and life options. Instead, we show that the women restrict and curtail their consumption as well as their employment in the new country in ways that partially support the patriarchal system that privileges their husband. Second, we temper Kibria’s (1990), Lim’s (1997) and Dona and Ferguson’s (2004) findings that immigrant women resign themselves to their husbands’ authority. Specifically, we demonstrate how women indirectly and directly manifest agency in simple and common daily consumption activities in ways that empower them to adapt and even support the patriarchal bargain with their husbands at times. Finally, by soliciting and attending to immigrant women’s voices, we qualify our findings in explaining how the women blend their interests with their love for their husbands and their appreciation for their cultural traditions and institutions in exerting agency and empowering themselves in relation to the patriarchal bargains with their husbands.”*



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

<p>You discuss your results but not sufficiently in relation to prior research.</p>	<p>The results and discussion now make clear reference to prior research.</p>
<p>Perhaps the "Literature review" chapter p.2 could be a "Patriarchal bargain" chapter instead in order to focus your theoretical contribution and show the research gap.</p>	<p>As we have now changed the literature review to address previous comments, retitling this section ‘The Patriarchal Bargain’ does not seem appropriate.</p>
<p>In the "Findings" p.8 you say you have two parts. But I see no parts. Only equally standing subsections.</p>	<p>We have now significantly revised the Findings section, identifying a number of distinct themes. These themes include: offering a background / contextual perspective of the participants’ lives followed by a number of themes grouped under the heading of ‘Consumption within the patriarchal bargain.’</p> <p>In particular, we explore the various manifestations of these women’s use of consumption to sustain, support and sometimes challenge their patriarchal bargain. In doing do we identify how these women use a number of strategies, and how often. This approach now provides clearer and more concise analysis, clearly showing the extent these women are willing to challenge their patriarchal bargain.</p>
<p>The concept of acculturation was eliminated from the paper which was good for the focus, but it suddenly appears in the article's last sentence. Maybe it can be seen as generic enough to appear like that but knowing the history of the article I just want to mention it in case it is still there by mistake.</p>	<p>Thank you for bringing this to our attention. We have now removed this section from the paper.</p>

**Reviewer 2**

We would like to thank Reviewer 1 for their continued support and invaluable comments regarding our paper. We have taken this opportunity to extract the key points from Reviewer 1's comments below and show how we have addressed these key points.

<b>Reviewer 2 comments</b>	<b>Authors response</b>
<p>You can further strengthen the link between the motivation for the study and the findings and discussion sections. Focusing on the motivation for the study- you state</p> <p>Page 1 line 33- "As stated in this section the paper centers on migrant women and examines how their agency develops in migration."</p> <p>Page 5 line – "This research seeks to explore the extent to which migrant women appropriate consumption decisions traditionally undertaken by their husbands and the consequence's of these actions."</p> <p>How can you bring these two statements more in line with each other? More important, how can you focus the statement of the motivation for the study so that the findings follow from it. Just to remind ourselves the findings are that women....</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Resistance through subversion</li> <li>2. Resistance through active disobedience</li> <li>3. Resistance through pacification and manipulation</li> <li>4. Resistance through hiding</li> </ol>	<p>Owing to the significant changes to our paper, this initial comment is no longer applicable. However, in the revised Introduction we now make a closer link between the findings and the discussion. These changes provide a clear and more concise explanation for the motivation behind this paper.</p> <p>We have now removed these statements from our paper and strongly believe that we have made clearer links between the literature and the revised Findings.</p>
<p>Page 2- line 13: "as representing a set of pre-existing rules and scripts that men and women accept and collude with to</p>	<p>We have now changed the two sentences the reviewer highlights based upon the first reviewer's comments. Therefore, the first sentence originally quoted on</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

maximize their security and life options and these roles and conditions establish the conditions for the exertion of agency by women.”

Page 3- 51: “Within the patriarchal bargain women are unlikely to challenge their husband’s position, instead renegotiating their agency through passive and tacit strategies.

Page 2 line 13 has now been changed and replaced with a more clearer definition of patriarchal bargaining:

First in the Introduction we define patriarchal bargaining as:

“The patriarchal bargain itself does not represent a written agreement, but instead reflects the informal, day-to-day ways in which couples enact ‘power hierarchies created through historical, political, economic, geographic, kinship-based and other socially stratifying factors’ (Mahler and Pessar, 2001, pp. 445–446).

In the Literature Review we elaborate upon this further by stating that:

The new paragraph reads:

*“The origins of studies of patriarchal bargaining lie in the interdisciplinary field of feminist theory, where researchers tend to view gender and related feminine identities as a socio-cultural construction. In this sense, one is not born a woman, rather one is socialised into becoming one. A key theme in this work is examining the importance, role and behaviours within various patriarchal social systems in which men predominately hold power and related authority over women and children. Reviewing this work, Kandiyoti (1988) argues that radical feminist studies liberally label as patriarchy almost any example of male domination, and that socialist feminists emphasize issues of social class within related capitalist economic arrangements. In complementing these perspectives, Kandiyoti (1988, p. 275) argues that feminist theory has failed to understand ‘the intimate inner workings of culturally and historically distinct arrangements between the genders’ that recreate patriarchal gender roles and relations.’ Thus, Kandiyoti focuses on women’s rationale for negotiating and compromising their position and relationships with men, and the impact of cultural values in supporting the patriarchal bargain.”*

<p>The distinction between the two is that the second definition includes the elements of 1. Not challenging the status-quo, and 2. Using passive tactics. Both elements, especially the second, are central to your research. My suggestion is to rewrite the first definition so that it includes the elements of the second.</p>	<p>Owing to the changes in this paper, this comment is no longer relevant. However, we would like to point out that we also discuss how the patriarchal bargain exists within the wider political field, making links to Feminism and related studies.</p>
<p>3. Misuse of the concept of “patriarchal bargain:” In very many places (though not all) you misuse the concept of the patriarchal bargain. There are two examples:</p> <p>1. Page 4- line 46: You state.....“his patriarchal bargain.” He is not the one making the patriarchal bargain. She is.</p> <p>2. Page 19- Line 41. You state “He expects her to make food according to his patriarchal bargain. Again, he is not making the bargain. She is.</p> <p>I suggest you do a search for the term “patriarchal bargain” in the document and examine if it is used correctly.</p>	<p>We would like to thank the reviewer for these observations, which, where appropriate these have been changed. As suggested by the reviewer we have word searched the term ‘patriarchal bargain’ and made a number of changes throughout this paper.</p>
<p>C. The section on “Gender roles and pre and post migration experiences.”</p> <p>As an immigrant, wife, and student of women’s consumption strategies I read this section with a great deal of interest. It did not fail to deliver. To the contrary, it covers some very difficult issues succinctly and well.</p> <p>None-the-less, I think this section does not fit in this paper. There is virtually nothing new in the issues you present e.g. racism, when you present it as a reality of their lives post</p>	<p>We would like to thank the reviewer for her positive comments.</p> <p>As the reviewer has recommended we have edited and rewritten this section to capture the issues raised. We now focus on the conflicts and resolutions that these women experienced from migration, i.e. at times seeking expressions of their agency and empowerment, and at other times needing to maintain their patriarchal bargain. This has allowed us to focus more clearly on the female participants and allow their reasons for rationalising away their agency in order to support their husband.</p> <p>These changes now offer the reader a more succinct understanding of these female participants’ actions. At the same time by maintaining, the wider context in this section we feel is so essential in understanding these women’s lived lives.</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

<p>migration. However, the issue of racism does become important when you draw the connection to its impact on the consumption strategies women use. You find that the women are likely to rationalize away acts of agency because they recognized their husbands were facing deep-seated racism and wanted to support and prop them up.</p> <p>My suggestion is that you capitalize on this finding. It is another consumption strategy used by migrant women to maintain the status-quo or at least the appearance of it. You could title this strategy as: Rationalizing away acts of agency. Include the discussion from page 13 line 36 about Jane and the rail here.</p>	<p>For example, we have kept a brief description on the reasons for migration and participants experiences of racism. An approach that that allows us to return to these themes in our discussion.</p> <p>As we noted above, we accept this comment.</p>
<p>D. Managerial Implications section</p> <p>Flesh out this section linking the recommendations directly to the findings of the study.</p>	<p>We have now rewritten this section to emphasise the linkage and relevance managerial implications to the findings of the study.</p>
<p>. Page 5- line 3- You state.....”Consistent with Miller’s argument on women’s role in shopping.” Please spell it out. What is Miller’s argument on women’s role in shopping?</p> <p>2. Page 15- line 23- You state....”allowed her to demonstrate her agency towards her husband.” When a women acts with agency she does so by, for, and with herself not towards any one else- especially her husband.</p> <p>3. Page 26 – Line 11. Please tell us what Angel-Urdinola and Wodon find.</p>	<p>We have now edited this sentence accordingly now stating: “<i>reminiscent of Miller’s (2005) argument on women’s role in shopping where women, obedient and loyal objectify their husband’s efforts through a willingness to shop for his well-being.</i>”</p> <p>We note this point and we have been extensively revised the findings to reflect the reviewer’s comment.</p> <p>We extensively cite Angel-Urdinola and Wodon’s research throughout this paper. In all instances, we have now clearly stated what they found. Including how increasing age, education and status of the couple increased Nigerian women’s agency.</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

European Journal of Marketing