brought to you by **CORE**

1 A Survey of Topsoil Arsenic and Mercury Concentrations Across France

2 B.P. Marchant^{a*}, N.P.A. Saby^b and D. Arrouays^b

- 3 a: British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK
- 4 b: INRA, US1106 Unité Infosol, Orléans, France
- 5 *: author for correspondence, benmarch@bgs.ac.uk

6 Abstract

- 7 Even at low concentrations, the presence of arsenic and mercury in soils can lead to ecological and
- 8 health impacts. The recent European-wide LUCAS Topsoil Survey found that the arsenic
- 9 concentration of a large proportion of French soils exceeded a threshold which indicated that
- 10 further investigation was required. A much smaller proportion of soils exceeded the corresponding
- 11 threshold for mercury but the impacts of mining and industrial activities on mercury concentrations
- 12 are not well understood. We use samples from the French national soil monitoring network (RMQS:
- 13 Réseau de Mesures de la Qualité des Sols) to explore the variation of topsoil arsenic and mercury
- 14 concentrations across mainland France at a finer spatial resolution than was reported by LUCAS
- 15 Topsoil. We use geostatistical methods to map the expected concentrations of these elements in the
- 16 topsoil and the probabilities that the legislative thresholds are exceeded. We find that, with the
- 17 exception of some areas where the geogenic concentrations and soil adsorption capacities are very
- 18 low, arsenic concentrations are generally larger than the threshold which indicates that further
- 19 assessment of the area is required. The lower of two other guideline values indicating risks to
- 20 ecology or health is exceeded in fewer than 5% of RMQS samples. These exceedances occur in
- 21 localised hot-spots primarily associated with mining and mineralization. The probabilities of mercury
- concentrations exceeding the further assessment threshold value are everywhere less than 0.01 and
 none of the RMQS samples exceed either of the ecological and health risk thresholds. However,
- none of the RMQS samples exceed either of the ecological and health risk thresholds. However,
 there are some regions with elevated concentrations which can be related to volcanic material,
- 25 natural mineralizations and industrial contamination. These regions are more diffuse than the hot-
- 26 spots of arsenic reflecting the greater volatility of mercury and therefore the greater ease with
- which it can be transported and redeposited. The maps provide a baseline against which future
- 28 phases of the RMQS can be compared and highlight regions where the threat of soil contamination
- and its impacts should be more closely monitored.

30 Keywords

31 Arsenic, mercury, topsoil, geostatistics, geogenic, contamination.

32 Introduction

- 33 Arsenic and mercury are toxic elements that can have negative effects on both ecosystems and
- 34 human health. Their presence in soil can lead to the degradation of water quality, to negative
- 35 impacts on the environment and to human health impacts through the contamination of water and
- 36 food. In comparison to other trace metals and metalloids, arsenic and mercury are relatively mobile
- 37 in the environment. Arsenic is susceptible to leaching in soil and although its vertical movements are
- rather slow it is known to lead to contamination of groundwater (Meharg and Rahman, 2003).

39 Mercury is subject to volatilization at ambient temperatures and is hence prone to atmospheric

- 40 transport and deposition. Both metal(loid)s can be redistributed by erosion and accumulated in the
- 41 food chain. In a recent study, Tóth et al. (2016) studied the distribution of what they referred to as
- 42 heavy metal(loid)s in agricultural soils of the European Union. They compared the concentrations of
- 43 these elements observed in the LUCAS Topsoil Survey (Tóth et al., 2013) to thresholds (Table 1)
- 44 suggested by the Ministry of Environment of Finland (2007). Tóth et al. (2016) found a small
- 45 proportion of samples exceeded the threshold for mercury which indicated that further assessment
- 46 was required (0.5 mg/kg), and attributed these values to past gold mining activities. In contrast, they
- 47 found that a large proportion of samples across Europe exceeded the corresponding threshold for
- 48 arsenic (5 mg/kg). They therefore urged more focussed studies of the sources and distribution of
- 49 topsoil arsenic particularly in Spain, Italy and France. The analyses of Tóth et al. (2016) primarily
- 50 reported the metal(loid) concentrations at the scale of the European Union (EU) NUTS2 regions
- 51 (Eurostat, 2015). These are considered to be the basic regions for the application of regional policies.
- 52 Riemann et al. (In Press) suggested that the findings of Tóth et al. (2016) were rather alarmist and
- 53 noted that many of the threshold exceedances were as the result of the natural background
- 54 variation of geochemicals rather than contamination. The results of the GEMAS survey (Geochemical
- 55 Mapping of agricultural soils; Reimann et al., 2014) indicated that the continental-scale distribution
- of many trace elements including arsenic (Tarvainen et al., 2013) and mercury (Ottesen et al., 2013)
- 57 were clearly dominated by geology. The dominant feature in maps of both elements was the
- 58 southern boundary of the former glacial cover. Larger concentrations were evident in the area
- 59 including France to the south of this line. Riemann et al. (In Press) therefore questioned whether
- 60 thresholds derived for Finnish soils, where lower concentrations would be expected, were
- 61 appropriate for the whole of Europe. For example, the soil action level for arsenic in agricultural soil
- 62 in Belgium is 45 mg/kg and in Germany the value is 50 mg/kg in soils with temporarily reducing
- 63 conditions and 200 mg/kg otherwise (Tarvainen el al., 2013). Similarly, Ottesen et al. (2013) noted
- 64 that the mercury action levels for sensitive land use in various countries vary between 1 and 23
- 65 mg/kg.
- 66 In an inventory of trace element inputs to French agricultural soils, Belon et al. (2012) demonstrated
- 67 that arsenic inputs from agricultural activities were not negligible. The inputs of mercury from such
- 68 activities were much smaller but their distribution due to mining and industrial activities and
- atmospheric transport and deposition required investigation. Therefore, The French Agency for
- 70 Energy and Sustainable Development (Ademe), requested an assessment of the distribution of these
- 71 elements in mainland France, using the soil sample archive established by the French national soil
- 72 monitoring network (RMQS; Réseau de Mesures de la Qualité des Sols; Figure 1).
- 73 Since the RMQS is based on a systematic rather than probabilistic design, the set of observations of
- each property cannot be treated as an independent sample and it is not possible to apply classical or
- design-based statistical methods when analysing the data (Brus and De Gruijter, 1997). Instead, we
- vse model-based methods, specifically geostatistics, to model the spatial correlation between
- observations and to account for the systematic design. Standard geostatistical models (e.g. Webster
- 78 and Oliver, 2007) include various assumptions about the observed data such that it is realized from a
- 79 second order stationary multivariate Gaussian random function. In general, environmental
- 80 properties do not conform to these assumptions. For example, the expected values of many soil
- 81 trace elements are non-stationary they vary according to the geological setting or the rate of

- 82 deposition of the elements. Also, they are prone to extreme values or hot-spots that are inconsistent
- 83 with the Gaussian assumption. Therefore, we employ the trans-Gaussian linear mixed model (Diggle
- 84 and Ribeiro, 2007) to relax these assumptions and to produce reliable predictions of the spatial
- 85 variation of the concentrations of arsenic and mercury, to quantify the uncertainty of these
- 86 predictions and to determine the probability that the concentrations at each unobserved location
- 87 exceed legislative thresholds.

88 The linear mixed model divides the variation of the property of interest into fixed and random

- 89 effects. The fixed effects consist of a linear model relating the property to environmental covariates.
- 90 Knowledge of the processes controlling the spatial variation of the property can be included in the
- 91 model by selecting appropriate covariates. For example, we expect that the variation of arsenic and
- 92 mercury concentrations in French soils are influenced by the geological setting, inputs from
- 93 anthropogenic activities such as agriculture, industry and mining and the transport and deposition of
- 94 these elements from these sources. Therefore, the covariates we include, namely a classification of
- 95 parent material, a classification of land use, the average annual precipitation and the average annual
- 96 potential evapotranspiration reflect these processes. Having estimated our model, we use statistical
- 97 diagnostics to confirm that these covariates were indeed appropriate and significantly improved the
- 98 fit of the model.

99 Our objective is to assess how the concentrations of each element vary according to both natural

- 100 factors and anthropogenic factors. In addition, we want to provide a baseline against which future
- 101 phases of the RMQS can be compared and highlight regions where the threat of soil contamination
- 102 should be more closely monitored.

103 Statistical Theory

104 Model-based geostatistics treats $\mathbf{z} = [z(x_1), z(x_2), ..., z(x_n)]^T$, the set of observations of a spatial 105 variable made at locations x_i , as if it was realised from a random function Z(x). For example, the **z** 106 might be assumed to be realized from a linear mixed model *i.e.*:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{r},\tag{1}$$

108

109 where the $M\beta$ are the fixed effects and the r are the random or residual effects. Each column of the $n \times p$ matrix **M** contains the values of a covariate at each of the n locations and the $p \times 1$ vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ 110 contains regression coefficients. Often, all of the elements of the first column of M are set equal to 1 111 112 so that the fixed effects include a constant. If a categorical property which classifies each location 113 into one of c classes (e.g. parent material classes) is included in the fixed effects then c - 1 columns 114 are added to M. Each of these columns is a binary variable indicating the presence or absence of a 115 particular class at each location. The presence or absence of the remaining class can be deduced 116 from the presence or absence of these c - 1 classes. A continuous variable such as average annual 117 precipitation can be included in a single column of \mathbf{M} . Thus, the fixed effects are a linear model of p 118 covariates. The use of a linear mixed model is equivalent to the method referred to as kriging with 119 external drift (e.g. Webster and Oliver, 2007).

120 The $n \times 1$ vector of random effects is realized from a multivariate Gaussian random function with 121 mean zero and $n \times n$ covariance matrix **C**. The elements of **C** are determined from an authorised 122 variogram or covariance function C(h) (Webster and Oliver, 2007) which describes how the

123 expected squared difference between a pair of observations varies according to *h*, the distance

separating the locations at which the observations were made. We use the nested nugget and

125 Matérn covariance function:

126
$$C(h) = \begin{cases} c_0 + c_1 \text{ if } h = 0\\ c_1 G(h) \text{ for } h > 0' \end{cases}$$
(2)

127 where:

$$G(h) = \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\nu}h}{a}\right)^{\nu} K_{\nu}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\nu}h}{a}\right),\tag{3}$$

129 Γ is the Gamma function and K_{ν} is a modified Bessel function of the second kind of order ν . The 130 random effects model parameters are c_0 the nugget, c_1 the partial sill, a the distance parameter and

131 ν the smoothness parameter. The inclusion of the smoothness parameter ν means that the Matérn

function is flexible in terms of how G(h) tends towards 1 for small h and it generalises some other

- 133 commonly used covariance functions such as the exponential or Gaussian (Marchant and Lark,
- 134 2007).

135 Often, the assumption of Gaussian random effects is not consistent with observations of trace

136 elements in soil because the data include extreme values which correspond to geogenic or

137 anthropogenic hot-spots (e.g. Marchant et al., 2011a). Indeed, the RMQS observations of arsenic

138 and mercury (Figure 2 and Table 2) were highly skewed. Such behaviour can be accommodated in

the spatial model by applying a transformation to the observed data prior to estimating the linear

140 mixed model. We apply the Box Cox transformation:

141
$$z_{i} = \begin{cases} \ln(y_{i}) & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ \frac{y_{i}^{\lambda} - 1}{\lambda} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(4)

142 where $y_i = y(x_i)$ is the observed concentration of the contaminant at location x_i , z_i is the 143 corresponding transformed value which is assumed to be realized from the linear mixed model and 144 λ is a parameter which gives the transformation some flexibility to ensure that the transformed 145 values are consistent with a Gaussian distribution. Diggle and Ribeiro (2007) refer to such a model of 146 a transformed variable as a trans-Gaussian model.

Our spatial model has *p* fixed effects parameters, four covariance function parameters and the Box Cox transformation parameter. Likelihood methods (Lark et al., 2006) can be used to fit all of these parameters to the observed data. A likelihood function quantifies the probability that the observed data would have been realized from a particular model with a specified set of parameters. The maximum likelihood estimator uses a numerical optimization procedure to find the set of parameter values that lead to the largest value of the likelihood.

The likelihood function can also be used to compare the suitability of different models. For example, we might wish to determine whether the inclusion of an additional covariate in the fixed effects leads to a worthwhile improvement in the fit of the model. The maximised likelihood from the extended model will be at least as large as the maximised likelihood from the original model. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1973):

$$AIC = 2k - 2L,$$

- weighs the quality of fit or maximised log-likelihood *L* against the complexity or number of
- 160 parameters in the model k. The model with the smallest AIC is assumed to be the best compromise 161 between quality of fit and model complexity.
- 162 For a linear mixed model, there is known to be a small bias in the maximum likelihood estimate of
- 163 covariance parameters because the fixed effects parameters are treated as known rather than
- 164 uncertain values. Patterson and Thompson (1971) minimized this bias by using a residual maximum
- 165 likelihood (REML) estimator. The residual likelihood is not suitable for calculating the AIC.

166 Once the parameters have been estimated, the spatial model can be used to predict the expectation 167 \hat{Z}_t and variance \tilde{Z}_t of the random function $Z(x_t)$ at any target location x_t where the fixed effect 168 covariate information is available using the Best Linear Unbiased Predictor (BLUP). In the 169 geostatistics literature the BLUP is often referred to as the kriging predictor (Webster and Oliver, 170 2007). The spatial model can be validated by omitting a set of observations from the data set and 171 then using the remaining observations to predict the mean and variance of the random function at 172 the locations of the omitted observations. Then diagnostics such as the standardised squared

173 prediction error (SSPE):

174
$$\theta_t = \frac{\left\{z_t - \hat{Z}_t\right\}^2}{\tilde{Z}_t},\tag{5}$$

175

176 can then be calculated at each omitted site. If the prediction errors are Gaussian then the θ will be 177 realised from a standardised chi-squared distribution which has a mean of 1 and a median of 0.455 178 (Lark et al., 2006).

179 The Box Cox transformation must be inverted to determine the corresponding properties of the 180 random function of the observed concentration, $Y(x_t)$. If the inverse of Eqn. (4) is applied to a set of 181 predicted values of the expectation of $Z(x_t)$ then the median of $Y(x_t)$ results. The median of $Y(x_t)$ 182 is not equal to the mean because the distribution of the random function is not symmetric. The 183 predicted mean of $Y(x_t)$ can be approximated by using the predicted mean and variance of $Z(x_t)$ 184 to simulate a large number, e.g. 1000, realisations of $z(x_t)$. Then the inverse transformation is applied to each simulated value to yield a simulated sample of $Y(x_t)$ and the mean of the random 185 function at this site can be predicted from the mean of this sample. The probability that $Y(x_t)$ 186 187 exceeds a specified threshold at the target location can be approximated by the proportion of the 188 1000 back transformed simulated values which are larger than these threshold.

Further details regarding the estimation of and prediction from trans-Gaussian models are given byDiggle and Ribeiro (2007). These authors also provide R software to implement these methodologies

191 (Ribeiro and Diggle, 2001).

192 Methods

193 The French National Soil Monitoring Network (RMQS)

194 The baseline survey of the RMQS (Arrouays et al., 2002) was completed in 2009. It consisted of

measurements of 40 properties of soil samples collected from the 2 200 nodes of a 16-km square

- 196 grid which covered the 550 000 km² French metropolitan territory (Figure 1). When factors such as
- 197 urban areas, rivers or roads prevented sampling on a particular node, a nearby (within 1 km)
- 198 cultivated or undisturbed location was sampled instead. When no such location was available, the

- node was omitted. Such omitted nodes are evident in Figure 1; particularly in urban areas wherethere is little bare soil.
- 201 At each sampled node, 25 individual soil cores were extracted according to an unaligned sampling
- 202 design within an area of 20×20m. Each core consisted of soil from depths of 0-30 cm. These depths
- 203 correspond to the layer of soil which in France is affected by ploughing. The individual cores were
- bulked to form a composite sample of around 7 kg. Approximately 0.4 kg of each sample was used to
- 205 determine the 40 soil properties included in the initial protocol of the survey. The remainder of each
- sample was stored in a purpose-built archive facility at the INRA research station in Orléans, France.
- 207 Measurement of arsenic and mercury concentrations
- 208 The arsenic and mercury concentrations were measured for each sample where sufficient soil
- 209 remained. The samples were prepared according to ISO 11464 (ISO, 2006). Each sample was dried at
- a temperature not exceeding 40°c, and a homogenized portion was then crushed in a 2mm sieve. A
- 211 portion of this prepared soil sample was milled using a planetary ball mill machine. The subsample
- was then sieved to 250 $\mu m.$ Arsenic and Mercury were determined on this milled subsample.
- 213 Arsenic was determined after mineralization with a mixture of hydrofluoric acid and perchloric acid,
- according to ISO 14869-1 (ISO, 2001). The mineralized solution was then analysed by mass
- spectrometry coupled to argon induced plasma, using hydrogen/helium collision cell technology to
- 216 overcome interferences at mass 75. Dosages are performed on a Thermoscientific X series 2 ICPMS.
- 217 Mercury was analysed directly on the solid subsample, without preliminary mineralization, by a dry
- 218 combustion method under oxygen flow (AMA 254 Mercury Analyser). After the drying and
- 219 decomposition steps, the volatilized mercury was trapped and concentrated by a gold-based
- amalgam. The latter was then briefly heated to a high temperature to release the mercury for
- 221 measurement by atomic absorption spectrometry. The test samples were between 20 and 200 mg
- depending on the mercury content of milled soil subsample.
- The quantification limits were 0.0025 mg/kg for mercury and 0.1 mg/kg for Arsenic. The INRA soil
 analysis laboratory is accredited by COFRAC (the French accreditation committee) according to ISO
 17025 (ISO, 2005).
- 226 Statistical Analyses
- 227 Trans-Gaussian linear mixed models were estimated for the spatial variation of arsenic and mercury 228 across mainland France. We hypothesised that the local geology, land use and the rate of deposition 229 were likely to be primary drivers of the variation in the concentrations of both elements. Therefore, 230 we considered including a parent material classification, a land use or land cover classification and 231 average annual precipitation as covariates in the fixed effects. Mean annual potential 232 evapotranspiration was also considered since mercury is known to be particularly sensitive to 233 volatilisation and strong evapotranspiration could reflect large mercury fluxes between the soil and 234 atmosphere. The parent material and land cover classifications were categorical variables with nine 235 and six classes respectively. Therefore, the inclusion of these covariates required a further 14 236 regression coefficients beyond the constant mean parameter which was also included. For each 237 element, we used the maximum likelihood estimator to calibrate the 16 linear mixed models
- 238 corresponding to the possible combinations of inclusion/exclusion of each of these four groups of

- covariates. The AIC was used to select the most parsimonious model. The most appropriate modelfor each element was then refitted using the REML estimator.
- 241 Leave-one-out cross-validation was performed for each fitted model and the resultant mean and
- 242 median SSPEs were compared to their theoretical values. The REML estimated models and the BLUP
- 243 were used to predict the concentrations of arsenic and mercury at each node of a 2-km square grid
- 244 which covered mainland French. The probability that the concentration of these elements exceeded
- the thresholds proposed by the Ministry of Environment of Finland (2007) were also established. The
- three classes of threshold for each element are shown in Table 1. The 'threshold value' indicates the
- 247 need for further assessment of the area. The 'guideline values' indicate concentrations which
- 248 present ecological or health risks. The higher guideline value applies at industrial and transport sites
- 249 whereas the lower guideline value applies elsewhere.

250 Covariate Information

251 The covariates considered for the fixed effects models are plotted in Figure 3 with a resolution of 1

- km. The soil parent material information was extracted from the 1:1 000 000 scale soil database of
- Europe (King et al., 1995). The classes in this database were merged into the nine classes shown in
- Figure 3a. The land cover map was derived from the level three codes of the 2006 Corine land cover
- 255 map (European Environment Agency, 2010). These codes were amalgamated into six classes as
- 256 follows: 211-213 and 241-244 cropland; 231 grassland; 311-313 forest; 221-223 vineyard and
- orchard; 111-142 urban and 321-523 other. These broader classes reflected the variation in the
- natural content of geochemical trace elements (Atteia et al., 1994; Atteia et al., 1995; Baize, 1997;
- Baize, 2007; Baize, 2009). The average annual precipitation was extracted from the WorldClim
 dataset (http://www.worldclim.org/) and the average annual potential evapotranspiration was
- 261 extracted from the SAFRAN database (Quintana-Segui et al., 2008;
- 262 http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/spip.php?article424).
- 263

264 Results

- 265 For arsenic, the best fitting model according to the AIC included parent material, land cover class,
- 266 mean annual precipitation and mean annual evapotranspiration in the fixed effects (Table 3). The
- 267 best fitting model for mercury included parent material, land cover class and mean annual
- 268 precipitation in the fixed effects (Table 4). The inclusion of the parent material, land cover and
- 269 precipitation covariates is consistent with our belief that there are both natural and anthropogenic
- 270 sources of these elements and that they are subject to transport and deposition. We expected that
- the mean annual evapotranspiration was more like to be a driver of mercury variation than arsenic
- variation since mercury is more sensitive to volatilisation. The exclusion of the evapotranspiration
- term from the fixed effects of the mercury model possibly reflects that other confounding factors
- are concealing the effect of mercury volatilisation.
- 275 For both elements, the mean standardised squared prediction errors upon cross-validation were
- close to the theoretical value of 1.00. The median of the standardised squared prediction errors for
- the best fitting arsenic model was 0.36 and the corresponding value for mercury was 0.31. These
- values are reasonably close to the theoretical value of 0.45 and it appears that the models
- adequately fit the data.

280 The variograms (Figure 4) for both elements have a substantial nugget component of around 50% of 281 the residual variance. The variograms differ in the effective range of spatial correlation. The effective 282 range is defined as the lag distance at which the spatially correlated component of the variogram is 283 equal to 95% of its sill variance. The arsenic variogram has an effective range of 238 km whereas the mercury one has an effective range of 466 km. This leads to the map of estimated arsenic 284 285 concentrations having more localised hot-spots whereas regions of high mercury concentrations are more diffuse (Figures 5 and 6). The probabilities of the concentrations exceeding the Ministry of 286 287 Environment of Finland thresholds are shown in Figure 7. In common with the results of the LUCAS 288 Topsoil Survey (Tóth, 2016), the concentrations of arsenic were considerably more likely to exceed 289 the threshold value than those of mercury. For much of France the probability of arsenic exceeding 5 290 mg/kg is greater than 0.9. The probability is slightly lower in the north of France and there are 291 regions in the south west and central France where the probability is close to zero. Generally, the 292 probability that the arsenic guideline values are exceeded (Figures 7c and 7d) are small except for 293 relatively isolated hot-spots. The probability of the mercury concentration exceeding its threshold 294 value is small across France only reaching 0.01 in hot-spots around Paris, along the border with Spain 295 and in the north east of the country. The probabilities of exceeding the mercury guideline values

296 (not shown) are negligible throughout France.

297 Discussion

The geographical regions and physical features of France referred to in this Discussion are shown inFigure 8.

300 Comparison with other surveys

301 The RMQS, LUCAS Topsoil and GEMAS surveys differ in terms of their site sampling protocol and the 302 analytical methods used to determine arsenic and mercury concentrations. The LUCAS Topsoil 303 survey is restricted to agricultural soils and the GEMAS survey is restricted to agricultural and grazing 304 land (which are considered separately). The LUCAS Topsoil samples contain the top 20 cm of soil and 305 are extracted from five points on a 4m × 4m cross. The GEMAS samples are down to 20 cm for 306 agricultural land and 10 cm for grazing land and are the combination of five cores separated by 307 about 10 m. The LUCAS Topsoil survey has an average sampling density of 1 site/ 200 km², each land 308 use class in the GEMAS survey is sampled at a rate of 1 site/ 2500 km² whereas the 16 km grid of the 309 RMQS corresponds to a rate of approximately 1 site/250 km². The analytical methods for LUCAS 310 Topsoil and GEMAS were based on aqua regia extraction rather than the hydrofluoric acid extraction 311 used by RMQS. Aqua Regia is known not to extract the total amount of metalloids especially those 312 included in very resistant minerals. Therefore, we might have expected slightly higher 313 concentrations in the RMQS survey. However, the shallower sampling depth of the LUCAS Topsoil 314 and GEMAS surveys could be expected to lead to larger concentrations of mercury in regions where 315 it has been deposited in the soil surface. The larger spatial support of the RMQS samples might lead 316 to fewer extreme observations since very localised hot-spots will be more diluted.

The results of all three surveys indicate that for the majority of France there is a substantial

- probability that the Ministry of Environment of Finland (2007) threshold value for arsenic is
- exceeded. At the scale of the NUTS2 regions of the EU, between 30 and 90 % of the LUCAS Topsoil
- 320 samples from each region exceed this threshold. Our analyses (Figure 7a) indicate that for RMQS
- 321 samples the probabilities of exceedance are comparable and greater than 0.9 for a substantial

- portion of the country. There are also clearly discernible areas in Figure 7a where the probability is
- 323 close to zero. These areas are not evident in the LUCAS Topsoil survey reporting at the NUTS2 scale.
- The results of Tóth et al. (2016) indicate that the proportion of LUCAS topsoil samples exceeding
- either the lower or upper guideline values for arsenic is less than 10 % in all of the NUTS2 regions.
- The RMQS results (Figures 7c and 7d) are consistent with these findings although more localised
- areas are evident where the probability of exceedance is up to 0.5.
- 328 The map of soil arsenic concentrations in Figure 6a is broadly similar to those derived by Tarvainen
- et al. (2013). Tarvainen et al. (2013) list 53 arsenic anomalies across Europe, eight of which occur in
 France. The French anomalies are primarily attributed to geology and mineralisation although
- 331 mining activities within the Massif Central and the use of pesticides to the south west of this region
- are also cited. All of these anomalies are evident in Figure 6a apart from those associated with
- 333 pesticides which we discuss in the next section.
- 334 The mean and median observed concentrations of topsoil arsenic in France of approximately 12 and 335 18 mg/kg respectively (Table 2) are slightly smaller than the corresponding values of 15 and 20 and 336 mg/kg recorded in England and Wales (Rawlins et al., 2012) but larger than the median figure of 8 337 mg/kg reported by Reimann et al. (In Press) for the south of Europe. Although the results of our 338 analysis do indicate that, according to the Finnish threshold, further monitoring of topsoil arsenic 339 concentrations is required, it should be noted that that the largest RMQS measurements are 340 substantially less than those recorded at severely impacted sites such as former industrial areas in 341 the UK (Marchant et al. 2011b) and agricultural land neighboring industrial areas in China (Liao et al., 342 2005) or in Bangladesh paddy soils where arsenic in groundwater used for irrigation contains large 343 amounts of arsenic (Meharg and Rahman, 2003).
- 344 The results of the LUCAS Topsoil and RMQS surveys agree that the probability that the soil mercury concentration exceeds its threshold value is less than 0.1 across France. Tóth et al. (2016) do state 345 346 that "some soil samples with Hg above the higher guideline value (5 mg/kg) were still found on agricultural land of France, Germany, Italy and Spain". Such concentrations are substantially larger 347 348 than 1.37 mg/kg, the maximum value recorded in the RMQS. Such large values were not readily 349 apparent in the pictorial representations of the LUCAS Topsoil data in Tóth et al. (2016) where (in 350 agreement with Figure 6b) the mercury concentrations appear to be mostly in the range of 0.02-0.3 351 mg/kg. Therefore, these extreme values can be interpreted as localised outliers, perhaps caused by 352 localised soil contamination within the smaller spatial support of the LUCAS Topsoil samples. Ottesen et al. (2013), reported that only 15 of the 4000 GEMAS samples returned mercury 353 354 concentrations greater than 1 mg/kg. They identified three mercury anomalies in France, namely 355 contamination from Paris, possible contamination from WW1 battlefields in Verdun and 356 mineralisation or contamination in the Vosges. The Paris and Vosges anomalies are evident in Figure 357 6b. The Verdun anomaly appears to be more localised although one elevated concentration in the 358 region is evident in Figure 1 (right). The RMQS median mercury concentration of 0.04 mg/kg is 359 similar to the corresponding GEMAS values for French agricultural and grassland displayed in Figure 360 4 of Ottesen et al. (2013). The Ottesen et al. (2013) maps of mercury concentrations across France 361 are broadly similar to Figure 6b although our results do appear to have a finer spatial resolution 362 reflecting the larger sampling density.
- 363 The spatial variation of topsoil arsenic concentrations across France

- 364 In Figures 5a and 6a we see that particularly small concentrations of topsoil arsenic are predicted in 365 sandy acidic soils such as in Landes, Sologne and North of the Vosges. These soils are developed on deposits mainly composed of quartz, and the low concentrations result from very low geogenic 366 367 arsenic contents and very low adsorption capacities of the soils. There are also rather low 368 concentrations in the Paris Basin and more generally on the north-western part of France which is 369 characterized by the presence of quaternary eolian deposits (Arrouays et al., 2011). On a continental 370 scale, Tóth et al. (2016) found that areas of quaternary origin in the north of Europe have 371 substantially lower topsoil arsenic concentrations than most other regions. Moreover, most of these 372 soils developed on these deposits are luvisols characterized by a strong impoverishment of the 373 topsoil in clay and iron oxides. Shallow soils developed on chalk (Charentes, Champagne) also exhibit 374 rather low arsenic concentrations which might be attributable to the effects of high soil pH on 375 arsenic adsorption (Ghosh et al, 2006).
- 376 There are some localized arsenic hotspots (Vosges, Limousin, Cévennes, and borders of the Massif 377 Central). Previously, Bossya et al. (2012) commented on arsenic contamination within the Massif 378 Central. Some of these hotspots are correlated with well-known mining areas (e.g. the Limousin and 379 the Cévennes) and they are all places of intense geochemical mineralization. Since the majority of 380 the topsoil arsenic is contained in such hotspots there is a danger that the 16 km grid of the RMQS is 381 too coarse to fully capture the distribution of arsenic across France and some features might be 382 missed. For example, we observe quite large arsenic concentrations attributable to metallurgy and 383 coal mining in the north east (Lorraine) but we do not see the equivalent pattern in the north of 384 France, which has the same industrial history. Also, arsenic contamination might be expected in the 385 wine growing regions due to historic use of pesticides containing lead and arsenic. This pollution is 386 not evident in the predicted maps and might have been diluted by deep ploughing in the vineyards.

387 The spatial variation of topsoil mercury concentrations

388 There appear to be various natural and anthropogenic sources of mercury. The predicted maps 389 (Figures 5b and 6b) contain evidence of various geogenic effects linked to volcanic materials (centre 390 of the Massif Central) and some natural mineralizations in mountainous regions (Pyrénées, Jura, 391 Vosges, northern Alps and Massif Central). This effect may be amplified by the high levels of carbon 392 in these areas because mercury is strongly bound to organic matter (Ottesen et al., 2013; Wang et 393 al., 2015). Indeed, in Figure 9 we see that the lower bound on the mercury concentration within 394 RMQS samples does appear to increase with the concentration of soil organic carbon. These carbon 395 effects could also be leading to relatively high mercury concentrations in Brittany and Normandy for 396 instance.

397 There is a clear mercury contamination around Paris, that could be due to industrial smelting and 398 use of metals, waste burning, coal combustion for heating, and organic waste disposal on soil. One 399 hot spot in the north west of Paris almost exactly corresponds to the location of the biggest waste 400 water treatment plant in Europe and the second largest in the world. We might suspect that at this 401 site there has been a long history of organic waste spreading on the surrounding soils and that 402 historically the mercury contents in these wastes were not as well controlled as they are now 403 (Journal Officiel, 1980). There is also a smooth gradient of mercury towards the north and north-east 404 which is consistent with mercury transport by prevailing winds followed by re-deposition. This 405 transported mercury may directly come from historical industrial emissions or from volatilization

- 406 from soils surrounding old industries or having received contaminated urban sludge. Also, in the
- 407 extreme north of France, there is contamination that is attributable to the metallurgic industry.
- 408 Previous studies (e.g. Saby et al., 2011) have shown this region to be contaminated by cadmium,
- 409 lead and zinc and the residuals of coal burning. Finally, some hotspots of mercury in the Massif
- 410 Central region correspond to historical mining for gold.

411 Conclusions

- 412 National-scale soil monitoring networks are required to determined where soil functionality is 413 threatened and remediation or changes in land management practices might be required. It is not 414 always possible to anticipate the soil indicators that will be of interest to land managers and policy 415 makers. There are many threats to soil functionality such as erosion, decline in organic matter, 416 decline in biodiversity, contamination, sealing, landslides, salinization and compaction and the 417 priorities of stakeholders might change over time. Therefore, it is vital that soil samples are archived 418 so that different properties can be measured in the future. The RMQS archived a portion of soil from 419 each of the 2200 sites. This permitted us to measure the concentration of arsenic and mercury in 420 these samples, to determine the average concentrations of each element across France and to map
- 421 their spatial variation. Using linear mixed models and expert interpretation, we were able to identify
- 422 different origins of these metal(loid)s. Such relationships could not have been so easily discerned
- had the modelling been restricted to a larger scale such as the EU NUTS2 regions. Arsenic came
- principally from geogenic sources linked both to broad categories of soil parent material and to
 more located mineralization sources or mining activities. Mercury exhibited gradients linked to
- 426 human sources of diffuse contamination in addition to the effects of natural mineralization and
- 427 mining. Future phases of the RMQS will be compared to these baselines to determine whether and
- 428 where the concentrations of these toxic elements are increasing in French topsoils.

429 Acknowledgements

- 430 RMQS soil sampling and physico-chemical analyses were supported by a French Scientific Group of
- 431 Interest on soils: the "GIS Sol", involving the French Ministry for Ecology and Sustainable
- 432 Development (MEDD), the French Ministry of Agriculture (MAAP), the French Institute for
- 433 Environment, the Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME), the French Institute for
- 434 Research and Development (IRD), the National Geographic Institute (IGN) and the National Institute
- for Agronomic Research (INRA). We thank all the soil surveyors and technical assistants involved in sampling the sites. Soil analyses were performed by the central laboratory of INRA for soil (LAS, Inra
- 437 Arras, France). Ben Marchant's contribution was funded by the British Geological Survey (Natural
- 438 Environment Research Council) and published with the permission of the Executive Director of the
- 439 British Geological Survey (Natural Environment Research Council).

440 **References**:

- 441 Akaike, H., 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In:
- 442 Second International Symposium on Information Theory (eds B.N. Petrov and F. Csaki), pp 267-281,
- 443 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

- 444 Arrouays, D., Jolivet, C., Boulonne, L., Bodineau, G., Saby, N., Grolleau, E., 2002. A new initiative in
- 445 France: a multi-institutional soil quality monitoring network. Comptes Rendus de l'Academie
 446 d'Agriculture de France, 88, 93–105.
- 447 Arrouays, D., Saby, N.P.A., Thioulouse, J., Jolivet, C., Boulonne, L., Ratié, C., 2011. Large trends in
- French topsoil characteristics are revealed by spatially constrained multivariate analysis. Geoderma,
 161, 107—114.
- 450 Atteia, O., Dubois, J., Webster, R., 1994. Geostatistical analysis of soil contamination in the Swiss
 451 Jura. Environ. Environmental Pollution, 86, 315–327.
- 452 Atteia, O., Thelin, P., Pfeifer, H.R., Dubois, J.P., Hunziker, J.C., 1995. A search for the origin of 453 cadmium in the soil of the Swiss-Jura. Geoderma, 68, 149–172.
- Baize, D., 1997. Teneurs totales en éléments traces métalliques dans les sols (France). Références et
 stratégies d'interprétation. INRA Editions, Paris, 410 pp.
- 456 Baize, D., 2007. Teneurs en huit éléments en traces (Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Se, Zn) dans les sols
- 457 agricoles en France. Résultats d'une collecte de données à l'échelon national. Contrats n° 0375C0035
 458 et 0575C0055, ADEME, Angers.
- Baize, D., 2009. Cadmium in soils and cereal grains after sewage-sludge application on French soils. A
 review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 29(1): 175–184.
- 461 Belon, E., Boisson, M., Deportes, I.Z., Eglin, T.K., Feix, I., Bispo, A.O., Galsomies, L., Leblond, S.,
- 462 Gueiller, C.R., 2012. An inventory of trace element inputs to French agricultural soils. Science of the
- 463 Total Environment, 439, 87—95.
- Bossya, A., Grosbois, C., Hendershot, W., Beauchemin, S., Crouzete, C., Bril, H., 2012. Contributions
 of natural arsenic sources to surface waters on a high grade arsenic-geochemical anomaly (French
 Massif Central). Science of the Total Environment, 432, 257—268.
- Brus, D.J., De Gruijter, J.J., 1997. Random sampling or geostatistical modelling? Choosing between
 design-based and model-based sampling strategies for soil (with Discussion). Geoderma, 80, 1–44.
- 469 Diggle, P.J., Ribeiro, P.J., 2007. Model-based Geostatistics. Springer, New York.
- 470 European Environment Agency, 2010. Corine land cover 2006 raster data.
- 471 <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/corine-land-cover-2006-raster</u> (last accessed
- 472 November 2016).
- 473 Eurostat, 2015. NUTS nomenclature of territorial units for statistics. Eurostat;
- 474 http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/ (last accessed November 2016).
- Ghosh, A., Sáez, A.E., Ela, W.P., 2006. Effect of pH, competitive anions and NOM on the leaching of
 arsenic from solid residuals. Science of the Total Environment, 363, 46–59.
- 477 ISO, 2001. Reference ISO 14869-1: Soil quality Dissolution for the determination of total element
- 478 content Part 1: Dissolution with hydrofluoric and perchloric acids. International Organisation for
- 479 Standardization.

- ISO, 2005. Reference ISO 17025:2005: General requirements for the competence of testing andcalibration laboratories. International Organisation for Standardization.
- ISO, 2006. Reference ISO 11464:2006(E): Soil quality Pretreatment of samples for physico-chemical
 analysis. International Organisation for Standardization.

Journal Officiel, 1980. Décret n° 80-478 du 16 juin 1980 modifié portant application des articles
L.214-1 et L.214-2 du Code de la Consommation en ce qui concerne les matières fertilisantes et les

- 486 supports de culture (Journal officiel du 29 juin 1980; France), in French.
- Lark, R.M., Cullis, B.R., Welham, S.J., 2006. On spatial prediction of soil properties in the presence of
 a spatial trend: the empirical best linear unbiased predictor (E-BLUP) with REML. European Journal
 of Soil Science, 57, 787–799.
- Liao, X-Y., Chen, T-B, Xie, H., Liu, Y-R., 2005. Soil As contamination and its risk assessment in areas
 near the industrial districts of Chenzhou City, Southern China. Environment International, 31, 791–
 798.
- 493 Marchant, B.P., Lark, R.M., 2007. The Matérn variogram model: Implications for uncertainty
 494 propagation and sampling in geostatistical surveys. Geoderma, 140, 337–345.
- Marchant, B.P., Saby, N.P.A., Jolivet, C.C., Arrouays, D., Lark, R.M., 2011a. Spatial prediction of soil
 properties with copulas. Geoderma, 162, 327–334.
- Marchant, B.P., Tye, A.M., Rawlins, B.G., 2011b. The assessment of point-source and diffuse soil
 metal pollution using robust geostatistical methods: A case study in Swansea (Wales, UK). European
 Journal of Soil Science, 62, 346—358.
- 500 Meharg, A.A., Rahman Md.M., 2003. Arsenic Contamination of Bangladesh Paddy Field Soils:
- Implications for Rice Contribution to Arsenic Consumption. Environmental Science and Technology,
 37, 229–234.
- 503 Ministry of the Environment, Finland, 2007. Government decree on the assessment of soil 504 contamination and remediation needs (214/2007, March 1, 2007).
- Ottesen, R.T., Birke, M., Finne, T.E., Gosar, M., Locutura, J., Reimann, C., Tarvainen, T., The GEMAS
 Ptoject Team, 2013. Mercury in European agricultural and grazing land soils. Applied Geochemistry,
 33, 1–12.
- Patterson, H.D., Thompson, R., 1971. Recovery of inter-block information when block sizes are
 unequal. Biometrika, 58, 545—554.
- 510 Quintana-Seguí, P., Le Moigne, P., Durand, Y., Martin, E., Habets, F., Baillon, M., Canellas, C.,
- 511 Franchisteguy, L., Morel, S., 2008. Analysis of Near-Surface Atmospheric Variables: Validation of the
- 512 SAFRAN Analysis over France. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 47, 92–107.
- 513 Rawlins, B. G., McGrath, S. P., Scheib, A. J., Breward, N., Cave, M., Lister, T. R., Ingham, M., Gowing,
- 514 C., Carter, S., 2012. The Advanced Soil Geochemical Atlas of England and Wales. (Keyworth,

- 515 Nottingham: British Geological Survey.) *http://www.bgs.ac.uk/gbase/advSoilAtlasEW.html (last accessed December 2016).*
- 517 Reimann, C., Négrel, P., Ladenberger, A., Birke, M., Filzmoser, P., O'Connor, P., Demetriades, A., In

518 Press. Comment on "Heavy metals in agricultural soil of the European Union with implications for

food safety" by Tóth, G., Hermann, T., Da Silva, M.R. and Montanarella, L. Environment International

520 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.07.019 (last accessed, December 2016).

- 521 Reimann, C., Birke, M., Demetriades, A., Filzmoser, P., O'Connor, P. (Eds.) 2014. Chemistry of
- 522 Europe's Agricultural Soils Part A: Methodology and Interpretation of the GEMAS Data
- 523 SetGeologisches Jahrbuch (Reihe B 102). Schweizerbarth, Stuttgart 528 pp.
- Ribeiro Jr, P.J. and Diggle, P.J., 2001. GeoR: A package for geostatistical analysis. R-News, vol. 1, No 2,
 ISSN 1609-3631.
- Saby, N.P.A., Marchant, B.P., Lark, R.M., Jolivet, C.C, Arrouays, D., 2011. Robust geostatistical
 prediction of trace elements across France. Geoderma, 162, 303–311.
- Tarvainen, T., Albanese, S., Birke, M., Poňavič, Reimann, C., The GEMAS Project Team, 2013. Arsenic
 in agricultural and grazing land soils of Europe. Applied Geochemistry, 28, 2–10.
- Tóth, G., Hermann, T., Da Silva, M.R., Montanarella, L., 2016. Heavy metals in agricultural soils of the
 European Union with implications for food safety. Environment International, 88, 299–309.
- Tóth, G., Jones, A., Montanarella, L., 2013. LUCAS Topsoil Survey methodology, data and results.
 EUR 26102 EN. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, p. 141.
- Wang, X., Liu, X., Han, Z., Jian, Z., Xu, S., Zhang, Q., Haijie, C., Bo, W., Xia, X., 2015. Concentration and
- distribution of mercury in drainage catchment sediment and alluvial soil of China. In: A.
- 536 Demetriades, M. Birke, S. Albanese, I. Schoeters, B. De Vivo (Guest Editors), Continental, Regional
- and Local scale Geochemical Mapping. Special Issue, Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 154, 32–
 48.
- Webster, R. and Oliver, M.A., 2007. Geostatistics for Environmental Scientists, 2nd edition, John
 Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- 541
- 542



- 544
- 545 Figures



- 547 **Figure 1:** (Left) Observed values of the natural logarithm of the arsenic concentration (log mg/kg)
- and (right) observed values of the natural logarithm of the mercury concentration (log mg/kg)
- 549 superimposed on the prediction grid with spacing 2 km (grey).





Figure 2: (left) Histogram of observed arsenic concentrations (mg/kg); (right) histogram of observed mercury concentrations (mg/kg).



Figure 3: The spatial covariates included in the linear mixed model. (a) Parent material classes:
 "undifferentiated alluvial deposits or glacial deposits" (alluv); "calcareous rocks" (calc); "Clayey

561 materials" (clay); "sandy materials" (sand); "loamy materials" (loam); "detrital formations" (det);

562 "crystalline rocks and migmatites" (crys); "volcanic rocks" (vol) and "other rocks" (oth). (b) Land

563 cover classes derived from the 2006 Corine land cover map: "cropland" (crop); "grassland" (grass);

⁵⁶⁴ "forest" (forest); "vineyards and orchards" (V & O); "urban" (urb); "other" (oth). (c) Average annual

565 precipitation (cm/year). (d) average annual potential evapotranspiration (cm/year).



Figure 4: (a) estimated variogram of random effects from the linear mixed model of transformed

arsenic concentrations; (b) estimated variogram of random effects from the linear mixed model oftransformed mercury concentrations.



Figure 5: (a) predicted map of expected arsenic concentrations; (b) predicted map of expected

576 mercury concentrations.



Figure 6: (a) categorical predicted map of expected arsenic concentrations (mg/kg); (b) categorical

581 predicted map of expected mercury concentrations (mg/kg).



Figure 7: Predicted probabilities of exceedance of the Ministry of Environment of Finland (2007)
threshold and guidance values for metal/metalloids in soil. (a) arsenic concentration exceeding the
threshold value of 2 mg/kg; (b) mercury exceeding the threshold value of 0.5 mg/kg; (c) arsenic
exceeding the lower guideline value of 50 mg/kg; (d) arsenic exceeding the higher guideline value of
100 mg/kg.



Figure 8: Relevant physical and geographical features in France.



Figure 9: Relationship between soil organic carbon and mercury concentrations (mg/kg) for RMQS
 samples with mercury concentration less than 0.3 mg/kg.

600 Tables

Element	Threshold	reshold Lower guideline	
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Arsenic	5	50	100
Mercury	0.5	2	5

- **Table 1:** Ministry of Environment of Finland (2007) threshold and guideline concentrations for
- arsenic and mercury in soils.

Element	n	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Skewness
		mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
Arsenic	2017	17.93	12.20	0.39	412.00	6.42
Mercury	2017	0.052	0.041	0.005	1.370	10.55

Table 2: Number of observations, *n*, and summary statistics for arsenic and mercury concentrationsin the RMQS survey.

Covariates	-L	No. of	AIC	Mean (SSPE)	Median
		parameters			(SSPE)
С	0	6	12	1.00	0.32
c, pm	-29.9	14	-31.8	1.01	0.31
c, lu	-16.9	11	-11.7	1.00	0.30
c, pr	0.0	7	14.0	1.00	0.32
c, evt	-1.7	7	10.5	1.00	0.32
c, pm, lu	-44.1	19	-50.2	1.01	0.30
c, pm, pr	-30.0	15	-30.0	1.01	0.31
c, pm, evt	-31.1	15	-32.2	1.01	0.31
c, lu, pr	-17.6	12	-11.26	1.00	0.30
c, lu, evt	-17.6	12	-11.26	1.00	0.31
c, pr, evt	-1.9	8	12.2	1.00	0.32
c, pm, lu, pr	-45.3	20	-50.6	1.01	0.31
c, pm, lu, evt	-44.7	20	-49.3	1.01	0.30
c, pm, pr, evt	-31.6	16	-31.1	1.01	0.31
c, lu, pr, evt	-19.2	13	-12.4	1.00	0.30
c, pm, lu, pr, evt	-46.7	21	-51.3	1.01	0.31

613 **Table 3:** Statistics for estimated linear mixed models of arsenic. Covariates are constant (lu), parent

614 material (pm), land use (lu), precipitation (pr), potential evapotranspiration (evt). Best fitting model

615 is shown in bold. A constant has been added to the log-likelihood values such that the constant

616 model has zero log-likelihood.

6	1	8

Covariates	-L	No. of	AIC	Mean (SSPE)	Median
		parameters			(SSPE)
С	0	6	12.0	1.00	0.36
c, pm	-10.7	14	6.7	1.01	0.38
c, lu	-38.1	11	-54.1	1.00	0.36
c, pr	-11.6	7	-9.1	1.00	0.37
c, evt	-4.0	7	6.0	1.00	0.36
c, pm, lu	-50.4	19	62.7	1.01	0.37
c, pm, pr	-20.9	15	-11.8	1.01	0.38
c, pm, evt	-13.6	15	2.8	1.01	0.38
c, lu, pr	-51.0	12	-78.0	1.01	0.36
c, lu, evt	-41.9	12	-59.7	1.01	0.36
c, pr, evt	-12.0	8	-8.1	1.00	0.37
c, pm, lu, pr	-62.1	20	-84.3	1.01	0.36
c, pm, lu, evt	-53.2	20	-66.5	1.01	0.36
c, pm, pr, evt	-21.3	16	-10.5	0.97	0.34
c, lu, pr, evt	-51.3	13	-76.6	1.01	0.35
c, pm, lu, pr, evt	-62.4	21	-82.8	1.01	0.36

620

621 **Table 4:** Statistics for estimated linear mixed models of mercury. Covariates are constant (c), parent

622 material (pm), land use (lu), precipitation (pr), potential evapotranspiration (evt). Best fitting model

623 is shown in bold. A constant has been added to the log-likelihood values such that the constant

624 model has zero log-likelihood.