# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Jones, Thomas, Natera, Alex O. W., Jennings, Jacob and Oakley, Aiden J. (2019) Influence Of Environmental Conditions On Performance And Heart Rate Responses To The 30-15 Incremental Fitness Test In Rugby Union Athletes. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 33 (2). pp. 486-491. ISSN 1064-8011

Published by: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

URL: https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000001865 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000001865>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/30996/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl



INFLUENCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS ON PERFORMANCE AND
 HEART RATE RESPONSES TO THE 30-15 INCREMENTAL FITNESS TEST IN
 RUGBY UNION ATHLETES

#### 1 ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to examine the differences in performance and heart 2 rate responses between a high heat outdoor condition (34.0°C, 64.1% humidity) and 3 a temperate indoor condition (22.0°C, 50.0% humidity) during the 30-15 intermittent 4 fitness test (30-15<sub>IFT</sub>). Eight highly trained Rugby Union players (28.1 ± 1.5 years, 5 181.4  $\pm$  8.8 cm, 88.4  $\pm$  13.3kg) completed the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> in two different temperature 6 7 conditions. Dependant variables recorded and analysed included; final running speed of the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub>, heart rate (HR) at rest (HR rest), maximum HR (Max HR), HR recovery 8 9 (HRR), average HR (HR ave) and sub-maximal HR corresponding to 25%, 50% and 75% of final test speed (HR 25%, HR 50% and HR 75%) and HR at 13 km  $h^{-1}$  (HR 13) 10 km·h<sup>-1</sup>). Greater running speeds were achieved when the test was conducted indoors 11  $(19.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \text{ vs.} 18.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}, p = 0.002, d = 1.67)$ . HR ave and HR 13 km  $\cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ 12 <sup>1</sup> were greater when the test was conducted outdoors (p < 0.05, d > 0.85). Large effect 13 sizes were observed for the greater HR at submaximal intensities (d > 0.90). The 14 results of this study highlight the influence of temperature on 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> performance 15 and cardiac responses. It is recommended that prescription of training based on 30-16 15IFT results reflects the temperature that the training will be performed in and that 17 practitioners acknowledge that a meaningful change in assessment results can be the 18 result of seasonal temperature change rather than training induced change. 19

- 20
- 21

KEY WORDS 30-15, fitness testing, rugby union, heat, heart rate, ambient conditions
 23

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

To date, a large body of research exists investigating the influence of high ambient 2 temperatures on physical performance (9,14,15). Many of the world's major sports are 3 played in challenging environmental conditions. Furthermore, a number of 'seasonal 4 sports' may actually span over summer and winter depending on the continent. Rugby 5 Union, now played the world over, will generally involve long seasons and fixtures 6 7 spanning winter and spring months and extended pre-season training phases spanning summer and autumn months (11). Consequently, across the duration of the 8 9 season, there can be large variation in ambient temperatures in which athletes train and compete. Emerging Rugby regions such as Asia can be exposed to high 10 temperatures year round. Therefore, it is vital to understand the effects of 11 environmental conditions and their impact on physical performance. Indeed, it has 12 been demonstrated that cardiovascular responses may be affected when exercising 13 in the heat, contributing to a decrease in physical performance (12,15,16). 14

15

Whilst the majority of research investigating physical performance in high heat has 16 been performed utilizing sub-maximal aerobic exercise, given what is known about 17 physiological strains incurred as intensity increases, certain inferences can be drawn 18 (9). For example, at a given sub-maximal intensity, as ambient temperature increases 19 20 there is an increase in the thermoregulatory response with blood flow being diverted towards the peripheries to allow for greater heat dissipation. Consequently, stroke 21 volume is reduced and heart rate increases to compensate. As intensity of exercise 22 increases and cardiac output reduces, aerobic metabolism and the delivery of oxygen 23 to working muscles becomes inadequate with a greater contribution from anaerobic 24

Given the changes in ambient temperatures in many regions across the course of the 4 year, testing environment may be hard or impossible to control. One example of a 5 variable environment could be the Middle East. The rugby season in the Middle East 6 7 spans August to April with temperatures ranging from above 40°c down to approximately 20°c and use of both indoor and outdoor facilities for training occurs. 8 9 Some other environments that experience large disparities in temperatures are Australia, America, South Africa and Europe. Prescription of exercise based off testing 10 results in highly variable environments could result in inaccurate or undesirable 11 outcomes and these implications need to be understood and accounted for. Presently 12 there is no literature detailing the effects of high ambient temperatures on aerobic 13 intermittent test performance in the 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test (IFT) (3), a 14 commonly performed test in many team sports. Results of the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> may be used 15 to prescribe aerobic and anaerobic running loads in team sports (6,10). As such, if 16 training occurs across multiple environments such as indoor and outdoor in which 17 large temperature variations occur, training prescription needs to take this into account 18 in order to prescribe adequate overload or avoid overloading. 19

20

The aim of this study was to investigate the performance implications of ambient temperature variations between outdoor (at high heat) and indoor (at a moderate temperature) performance testing on two separate occasions in the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub>. It was hypothesized that there would be a decrease in test performance and an increase in cardiac strain when performed outdoors in high ambient temperature compared to
 indoors.

3

#### 4 METHODS

#### 5 **Experimental Approach to the Problem**

Data collection was conducted during the 4<sup>th</sup> week of pre-season. The subjects had 6 undertaken the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> previously and were familiar with the test procedures. The 7 tests were performed as part of routine sport science support during the pre-season 8 9 programme. All subjects performed the test on 2 occasions; first outdoors on a grass pitch in high heat (34.0°C, 64.1% humidity, 0.5 m·s<sup>-1</sup> wind speed) in the first training 10 session of the week (1900 h) and then again 86 h later indoors on a 4G artificial pitch 11 (Astro Turf GT+1 (TM 2012), AstroTurf Corporation)) at moderate environmental 12 conditions (22.0°C, 50.0% humidity, 0.0 m·s<sup>-1</sup> wind speed) on the last training session 13 of the week (0900 h) as dictated by the weekly training programme. The indoor testing 14 occurred 36 hours after the previous training session. Subjects performed no other 15 exercise on testing days. As data were collected as part of routine sport science 16 service diet and fluid consumption were not controlled for during testing but the 17 subjects were asked to maintain a normal diet and had previously been given 18 information on pre training nutrition. No warm up was conducted prior to testing other 19 20 than individual stretching.

21

#### 22 Subjects

Eight highly trained Rugby Union players (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, 28.1  $\pm$  1.5 years, 181.4  $\pm$  8.8 cm, 88.4  $\pm$  13.3kg) from a West Asian Premiership Rugby team participated. The subject pool consisted of one second row (28 years, 190.5 cm, 91 1 kg); 2 back row (30  $\pm$  1.4 years, 189  $\pm$  1.4 cm, 104.8  $\pm$  1.8 kg); 3 half backs (27.7  $\pm$ 2 0.6 years, 178.3  $\pm$  9.5 cm, 85.3  $\pm$  6.5 kg) and 2 outside backs (27  $\pm$  1.4 years 174  $\pm$ 3 4.2 cm, 75.5  $\pm$  3.5kg).

4

5 Data were collected as a part of the routine sport science support provided to the 6 players during the season which all players had consented to. Therefore, usual 7 appropriate ethics committee clearance was not required (21). Nevertheless, to ensure 8 confidentiality, all physical performance data were anonymized before analysis.

9

#### 10 **Procedures**

#### 11 The 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test

The 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> consisted of 30-second shuttle runs over a 40 m distance, interspersed 12 with 15-second walking recovery periods. The test began at 8 km·h<sup>-1</sup> and increased 13 0.5 km h<sup>-1</sup> for every stage thereafter. The speed of the test was controlled by a pre-14 recorded audio signal that helped the subjects adjust their running speed by entering 15 into 3-m zones in the middle and at each extremity of the field while the short beep 16 sounded. At the end of each 30-second stage the subjects were instructed to walk 17 forward to the nearest line, at either the middle or end of the running area depending 18 on where the last stage ended, within the 15-second recovery period. Subjects were 19 20 instructed to complete as many stages as possible. The test ended when a subject could no longer maintain the imposed running speed or when they were unable to 21 reach a 3-m zone around each line at the moment of the audio signal consecutively 3 22 times. The velocity attained during the last completed stage was recorded as their 23 maximal 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> running velocity (V<sub>IFT</sub>). 24

#### 1 Heart Rate Measurement

Heart rate (HR) was recorded using Polar RS800CX monitors (Polar Electro, Kempele,
Finland). Heart rate was continually recorded at 1Hz throughout both outdoor and
indoor trials.

5

HR data were split into separate variables for analysis; the average of the last 30 s of 6 7 120 s supine rest prior to the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> (HR rest), maximum HR achieved during the test (Max HR), the average of the last 30 s of 120 s supine rest following test cessation 8 9 (HRR), average of the last 15 s of each stage corresponding to 25%, 50% and 75% of individual test cessation speed (HR 25%, HR 50% and HR 75%) and average HR over 10 the duration of the test (HR ave). Additionally the average of the last 15 s of the 13 11 km·h<sup>-1</sup> stage (HR 13 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) was included, this was selected as it represents the mid-12 point between the start of the test and what is considered high intensity running in 13 Rugby Union (18 km h<sup>-1</sup>) (19). 14

15

#### 16 Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Prior to analysis, dependant 17 variables were verified as meeting required assumptions of parametric statistics. Time 18 course HR data were analysed using mixed model repeated measures ANOVA tests 19 20 (SPSS, version 20, Chicago, IL). ANOVA analysed differences between 2 conditions (outdoors and indoors) and 6 time points of: HR rest, HR 25%, HR 50%, HR 75%, HR 21 max and HRR. Time course data including final running speed, HR ave and HR 13 22 km·h<sup>-1</sup> were analysed using a student's T-test. The alpha level of 0.05 was set prior to 23 data analysis. Assumptions of sphericity were assessed using Mauchly's test of 24 sphericity, if the assumption of sphericity was violated Greenhouse Gessier correction 25

was employed. If significant effects between conditions or over time were observed *post-hoc* differences were analysed with the use of Bonferroni correction. Statistical
power of the study was calculated post-hoc using G\*Power statistical software (v3.1.3,
Düsseldorf, Germany) using the effect size, group mean, SD and sample size of the
primary outcome measures, in this case being final running speed and none time
course HR variables. Power was calculated as between 0.8 and 1 indicating sufficient
statistical power (7).

8

9 In addition, probabilistic magnitude-based inferences about the true value of outcomes were employed (1). Dependent variables were analyzed to determine the effect of the 10 designated condition as the difference in change following each condition. To calculate 11 the possibility of benefit, the smallest worthwhile effect for each dependent variable 12 was the smallest standardized change in the mean -0.2 times the between-subject 13 SD for baseline values of all participants. This method allows practical inferences to 14 be drawn using the approach identified by Batterham and Hopkins (1). Furthermore, 15 standardized effect size (Cohen's d) analyses were used to interpret the magnitude of 16 any differences. 17

18

#### 19 **RESULTS**

Participants reached significantly greater running speeds when the test was conducted indoors (p = 0.002, d = 1.67; Figure 1, Panel A). Inferential statistical analyses indicated this difference was very likely practically relevant (Table 1).

HR ave and HR 13 km·h<sup>-1</sup> were significantly greater when the test was conducted outdoors (p = 0.004, d = 0.87 and p = 0.038, d = 1.15 respectively; Figure 1, Panels B and C), with both differences being likely practically relevant (Table 1).

4

No time x condition interaction was observed for HR responses over the time course 5 of the observations, including; HR rest, HR 25%, HR 50%, HR 75%, HR max and HRR 6  $(F_{(5,70)} = 0.559, p = 0.632)$ . A significant time effect was observed with both conditions 7 eliciting changes in HR across the aforementioned time course ( $F_{(5, 70)} = 663.451$ , p < 663.4518 9 0.001; Figure 2, Table 2). Despite the absence of a time x condition interaction large effect sizes were observed for the greater HR observed outdoors at HR 25% and HR 10 50% (d = 0.92 and d = 0.93 respectively; Figure 2). Inferential analysis also indicated 11 the greater HR observed outdoors at these time points was likely practically relevant 12 (Table 1). A large effect size was also observed for the difference in HRR between 13 conditions (d = 1.42; Figure 1, Panel C). The greater HRR outdoors was also very 14 likely practically relevant (Table 1). 15

16

#### 17 DISCUSSION

The primary finding of this study is that performance of the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> was worse when 18 performed outdoors at a high ambient temperature. The typical error of measurement 19 20 for the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> is 0.36 km h<sup>-1</sup> (17); this value represents less than one complete stage (0.5 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) of the assessment. The present study observed test performance to be 21 greater by ~2 stages (0.81  $\pm$  0.46 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) when performed indoors at moderate 22 environmental conditions. As such the greater performance at moderate 23 environmental conditions should be considered a true increase in performance. This 24 is re enforced by inferential statistical analysis indicating that the decrease in 25

performance when the test was performed outdoors in high heat was "very likely"
 practically relevant.

3

This current study demonstrated cardiac strain to be greater when the 30-15IFT was 4 performed outdoors in high heat, observing a 3.1-7.4 % increase in HR at submaximal 5 intensities and 5.5 % increase in HR during recovery. The cardiac strain associated 6 7 with exercise in the heat is a product of thermoregulatory processes combined with the working muscles demand for oxygen (9). Consequently there is a diversion of 8 9 blood to the body's periphery in order to dissipate heat and because of this central blood flow is reduced. In the present study, a significant elevation in heart rate at 10 submaximal intensities was found in the outdoor condition. This may be indicative of 11 increases in heart rate compensating for reductions in stroke volume (14). This finding 12 has important practical relevance in the prescription of training to enhance 13 cardiorespiratory fitness and the interpretation of test results, in different ambient 14 temperatures. 15

16

An increase in HR at submaximal intensities and a decrease in HRR is evidence of 17 the greater cardiac strain imposed by performing the test in high heat. However, during 18 intense exercise cardiac output may start to decline as heart rate reaches near 19 20 maximal values and can no longer compensate for a potential reduction in stroke volume (13). However, it is important to note that there are conflicting findings with 21 regards to the effect of exercise intensity on changes in stroke volume (20). Stroke 22 volume was not measured in the present study but significant differences in heart rate 23 response between the indoor and outdoor conditions become less apparent at 75% 24 HR and at Max HR. It is at this stage that oxygen delivery and the subsequent aerobic 25

contribution to energy supply is likely to become compromised in high temperature
conditions. Hence, there becomes a greater reliance on anaerobic energy contribution
in the heat and it is this reliance which may cause the earlier onset of fatigue and the
concomitant reduction in performance found in the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> (8).

5

In the current study there was a greater reliance on anaerobic processes, which is
also likely to have contributed to the increases in HRR that was observed outdoors
(5). HRR is controlled by the autonomic nervous system and post-exercise
parasympathetic reactivation. The slower HRR found outdoors is likely reflective of a
higher oxygen debt brought about by the more anaerobically demanding work (18).

11

Results of the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> are often used to prescribe high intensity interval training. The 12 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> is effectively an incremental running test utilising 180° changes of direction. 13 The VIFT attained at the end of the test is approximately 120 % of maximal aerobic 14 speed and it is this result that is used in the prescription of high intensity interval 15 training (3,4). In the current study a need to establish running intensities that account 16 for fluctuations in ambient temperature have been highlighted. There was a 12°C 17 difference in the outdoor vs. indoor conditions, representing differences in ambient 18 conditions that may be witnessed across a season. As such the over or 19 20 underestimation of training intensities in different ambient temperatures, the interpretation of the results of the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> and therefore the training completed leading 21 up to the assessment may be misleading. Considering that a 0.21 km h<sup>-1</sup> increase has 22 been identified as the smallest worthwhile change for the 30-15 [FT (Scott et al., 2015), 23 the performance change found in the present study (0.81  $\pm$  0.46 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) could easily 24

be misinterpreted as a training effect rather than an effect of temperature or seasonal
 change.

3

The prescription of cardiorespiratory training based off of either high heat or moderate 4 heat conditions is very likely to either overestimate or underestimate training intensities 5 respectively. This is an important finding as prescription of cardiorespiratory training 6 7 that is underestimated may not apply enough stress to provoke adaptations to the metabolic, neuromuscular or musculoskeletal systems (4). Furthermore, when 8 9 intermittent fitness testing is conducted in different seasonal weather temperatures, such as late summer and early winter, performance change may actually be a result 10 of reduced cardiac strain rather than exercise induced adaptations per se. 11

12

Although this study was conducted as routine sport science delivery, and therefore potentially not as well controlled as other investigations, it is important to acknowledge that there may have been diurnal effects on the assessment results. Future studies should look to control the time of day that each test is conducted in order to avoid diurnal effects. Future work should also look to further elucidate if there may be a threshold at which ambient temperature influences intermittent physical performance.

#### 20 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Sport science practitioners and coaches should account for changes in temperature when prescribing cardiorespiratory training between seasons and between outdoor and indoor conditions. Prescribing outdoor running intensities based on indoor results could lead to an overestimation of intensity, failure to complete the proposed training and maladaptation of targeted physiological systems.

A high intensity training session, described by Buchheit and Laursen (2013) (4), may 2 encompass 3 series of 12 repetitions of 20 seconds of running at 14 km·h<sup>-1</sup>; passive 3 recovery between repetitions could be 20 seconds and recovery between series could 4 be 4 minutes in duration. Using the indoor performance results of one participant in 5 the present study the prescribed intensity would represent 70% of his indoor 30-15IFT 6 7 result (approximately 90% of velocity at VO<sub>2max</sub>). The cardiac response at this particular intensity in the indoor vs. the outdoor condition was 163 beats per minute 8 9 vs. 176 beats per minute respectively. This response represents two different training zones with the indoor response equating to a classification of 'training zone 3', 10 described as heavy aerobic work, and the outdoor response equating to a 11 classification of 'training zone 5', described as maximal aerobic work (2). These 12 training zones represent a very different perception of effort and concomitant blood 13 lactate response (2). The training protocol suggested may be highly appropriate 14 indoors but may be too strenuous to be completed outdoors (in high heat), unless a 15 lower running intensity is used or longer recovery periods are prescribed. 16

17

An overestimation of training intensity may come at a significant metabolic, neuromuscular and musculoskeletal cost. The cost of intensity overestimation may mean a) higher levels of fatigue, with a greater anaerobic demand, and therefore the need for longer recovery times within and between training sessions; b) maladaptation in the desired physiological system, for example, blunted development of the aerobic system in favour of enhancing glycolytic metabolism and c) higher musculoskeletal loads and an inherent risk of soft tissue injuries (4). In order to match indoor and outdoor intensities in the present example, 70% of the outdoor 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> result should be used (running speed of 13.5 km·h<sup>-1</sup>). This intensity would result in a reduction of running distance by 3 metres per repetition (from 77.8 metres indoors vs. 75.0 metres outdoors) and a reduction in total distance of 100 metres for the session (2800 metres indoors vs. 2700 metres outdoors). Despite the difference in mechanical loading, the physiological cost of exercise, in terms of cardiac response, is likely to be similar.

8

9 It is important that practitioners prescribe training according to temperature changes.
10 Practitioners should be aware that performance changes of almost two stages in the
11 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> assessment may be due to seasonal temperature change rather than training
12 induced change.

## 1 **REFERENCES**

- Batterham, AM and Hopkins, WG. Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 1: 50–7, 2006.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114737
- Bourdon, P. Blood Lactate Thresholds: Concepts and Applications. In:
   Physiological Test for Elite Athletes. Tanner, Rebecca K, Gore, CJ, ed. .
   Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 2013. pp. 77–103
- Buchheit, M. The 30-15 intermittent fitness test: accuracy for individualizing
   interval training of young intermittent sport players. *J Strength Cond Res* 22:
   365–74, 2008.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18550949
- Buchheit, M and Laursen, PB. High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming puzzle: Part I: cardiopulmonary emphasis. *Sports Med* 43: 313– 38, 2013.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23539308
- Buchheit, M, Laursen, PB, and Ahmaidi, S. Parasympathetic reactivation after
   repeated sprint exercise. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 293: H133-41,
   2007.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17337589
- Buchheit, M and Rabbani, A. The 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test versus the
   Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test Level 1: relationship and sensitivity to
   training. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 9: 522–4, 2014.Available from:
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23475226
- 21 7. Cohen, J. Statistical Power Analysis. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 1: 98–101, 1992.
- 8. González-Alonso, J and Calbet, JAL. Reductions in systemic and skeletal
   muscle blood flow and oxygen delivery limit maximal aerobic capacity in
   humans. *Circulation* 107: 824–30, 2003.Available from:
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12591751
- González-Alonso, J, Crandall, CG, and Johnson, JM. The cardiovascular
   challenge of exercising in the heat. *J Physiol* 586: 45–53, 2008.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2375553
- Haydar, B, Haddad, H Al, Ahmaidi, S, and Buchheit, M. Assessing inter-effort
   recovery and change of direction ability with the 30-15 intermittent fitness test.
   *J Sports Sci Med* 10: 346–54, 2011.Available from:
   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24149882
- Jones, TW, Smith, A, Macnaughton, LS, and French, DN. Strength and
   conditioning and concurrent training practices in elite Rugby Union. *J Strength Cond Res* doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000001445, 2016.
- Maughan, RJ, Shirreffs, SM, Ozgünen, KT, Kurdak, SS, Ersöz, G, Binnet, MS,
   et al. Living, training and playing in the heat: challenges to the football player
   and strategies for coping with environmental extremes. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 20 Suppl 3: 117–24, 2010.Available from:
- 40 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21029198

- Mortensen, SP, Dawson, EA, Yoshiga, CC, Dalsgaard, MK, Damsgaard, R,
   Secher, NH, et al. Limitations to systemic and locomotor limb muscle oxygen
   delivery and uptake during maximal exercise in humans. *J Physiol* 566: 273–
   285, 2005.Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1113/jphysiol.2005.086025
- 14. Nybo, L and Nielsen, B. Hyperthermia and central fatigue during prolonged
  exercise in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 91: 1055–60, 2001.Available from:
  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11509498
- Nybo, L, Rasmussen, P, and Sawka, MN. Performance in the heatphysiological factors of importance for hyperthermia-induced fatigue. *Compr Physiol* 4: 657–89, 2014.Available from:
  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24715563
- Racinais, S, Alonso, JM, Coutts, AJ, Flouris, AD, Girard, O, González-Alonso,
   J, et al. Consensus recommendations on training and competing in the heat.
   *Br J Sports Med* 49: 1164–73, 2015.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26069301
- Scott, TJ, Delaney, JA, Duthie, GM, Sanctuary, CE, Ballard, DA, Hickmans,
   JA, et al. Reliability and Usefulness of the 30-15 Intermittent Fitness Test in
   Rugby League. J Strength Cond Res 29: 1985–1990, 2015.Available from:
   http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP:landingpage&an=0
   0124278-201507000-00027
- Townsend, JR, Stout, JR, Morton, AB, Jajtner, AR, Gonzalez, AM, Wells, AJ,
   et al. Excess Post-Exercise Oxygen Consumption (Epoc) Following Multiple
   Effort Sprint and Moderate Aerobic Exercise. *Kinesiology* 45: 16–21, 2013.
- Varley, MC, Fairweather, IH, and Aughey, RJ. Validity and reliability of GPS for measuring instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion. *J Sports Sci* 30: 121–127, 2012.Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02640414.2011.627941
- 28 20. Vieira, SS, Lemes, B, de T C de Carvalho, P, N de Lima, R, S Bocalini, D, A S
  29 Junior, J, et al. Does Stroke Volume Increase During an Incremental Exercise?
  30 A Systematic Review. *Open Cardiovasc Med J* 10: 57–63, 2016.Available
  31 from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27347221
- Winter, EM and Maughan, RJ. Requirements for ethics approvals. *J Sports Sci* 27: 985–985, 2009.Available from:
- 34 http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02640410903178344

# 1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

2 The results of the present study do not constitute any endorsement from the NSCA.

## 1 Figure Legends

2

Figure 1. Heart rate (BPM) responses at 25, 50, 75 and 100% of test cessation during the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> (22.0°C and 50.0% RH) and outdoors (34.0°C and 64.1% RH). <sup>†</sup>Effect size of d > 0.90.

6

7 **Figure 2.** Final running speed (Panel A), HR ave (Panel B), HR 13 km⋅h<sup>-1</sup> (Panel C)

and HRR (Panel D) during the  $30-15_{IFT}$  indoors (22.0°C and 50.0% RH) and outdoors

9 (34.0°C and 64.1% RH). Dashed lines are individual data and solid line represents the

10 mean. \*Significant difference (p < 0.05), †effect size of d > 0.90.

Table 1. Summary of inferential analysis of dependant variables in response to
 performing the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> indoors (22.0°C and 50.0% RH) and outdoors (34.0°C and
 64.1% RH).

4

Variables	Mean effect±90% Cl	Qualitative inference	
Change between indoors and outdoors			
Final running speed	1.30±0.51	Decrease very likely	
HR rest	0.64±0.80	Increase possible	
HR 25%	0.92±0.63	Increase likely	
HR 50%	0.93±0.63	Increase likely	
HR 75%	0.69±0.75	Increase possible	
HR max	0.16±0.91	Trivial	
HRR	1.40±0.76	Increase very likely	
HR ave	0.87±0.39	Increase likely	
HR 13 km⋅h <sup>-1</sup>	1.10±0.87	Increase likely	

5 **Note:** Mean effect refers to the indoor condition minus the outdoor

6 condition. For the  $\pm$ 90% CI, add and subtract this number to the mean

7 effect to obtain the 90% confidence intervals for the true difference.

- **Table 2.** Heart rate (BPM) responses prior to, during and after the 30-15<sub>IFT</sub> indoors
- 2 (22.0°C and 50.0% RH) and outdoors (34.0°C and 64.1% RH).

Time point	Indoors	Outdoors
HR rest	75.8 ± 8.8	80.3 ± 11.0
HR 25%	140.3 ± 9.5	147.4 ± 12.2 <sup>†</sup>
HR 50%	163.3 ± 10.1	$169.6 \pm 9.3^{\dagger}$
HR 75%	181.0 ± 6.7	184.4 ± 7.0
HR max	192.8 ± 4.8	193.4 ± 5.9
HRR	116.8 ± 5.9	122.6 ± 5.7 <sup>†</sup>

4 <sup>†</sup>Effect size of d > 0.90.



