

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Amazonian White-Sand Forests Show Strong Floristic Links with Surrounding Oligotrophic Habitats and the Guiana Shield

Citation for published version:

Garcia-Villacorta, R, Dexter, K & Pennington, RT 2016, 'Amazonian White-Sand Forests Show Strong Floristic Links with Surrounding Oligotrophic Habitats and the Guiana Shield' Biotropica, vol. 48, no. 1. DOI: 10.1111/btp.12302

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/btp.12302

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Biotropica

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	LRH: García-Villacorta <i>et al</i> .
2	RRT: Phytogeography of Amazonian white-sand forests
3	
4	Amazonian White-Sand Forests Show Strong Floristic Links with Surrounding
5	Oligotrophic Habitats and the Guiana Shield
6	
7	Roosevelt García-Villacorta ^{1,2,3,5} , Kyle G. Dexter ^{3,4} , and R. Toby Pennington ³
8	
9	¹ Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK
10	² Centro Peruano para la Biodiversidad & Conservación, PCBC, Iquitos, Peru
11	³ Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, 20a Inverleith Row, Edinburgh EH3 5LR, UK
12	⁴ School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, UK
13	⁵ corresponding author; Email: <u>roosevelt.garcia@gmail.com</u>
14	
15	Received; revision accepted

1 ABSTRACT

2 Amazonian white-sand forests occur on quartzitic sandy soils, are distributed as an archipelago of habitat islands across the rainforests of Amazonia and contain many endemic plant species. 3 4 Surprisingly, we found that only 23% of plant species in western Amazon white-sand forests are 5 white-sand specialists, while the remaining species (77%) also occur in other habitat types. 6 Overall, our analyses revealed (i) somewhat unexpected composition similarity of white-sand 7 forests with nearby non-white-sand forests, (ii) phytogeographical connections among distant 8 white-sand forests, and (iii) a large proportion of western Amazon white-sand specialists 9 occurring in floras of the western and central Guiana Shield region (7-43%). These results 10 suggest that dispersal from both neighbouring oligotrophic non-white-sand habitats and distant 11 white-sand forests is fundamental in shaping western Amazonian white-sand forests' species 12 composition and diversity. While endemism in Amazonian white-sand forests may be lower than 13 previously estimated, conservation of this unique and fragile environment should remain a 14 priority. Such conservation will require the maintenance of regional dispersal processes that connect these archipelagos of habitat islands and other ecologically similar oligotrophic habitats 15 16 across the Amazon and the Guiana Shield.

17

Key words: Amazon, arenosol, biogeography, campinarana, ecoregion, floristics, Guiana Shield,
habitat specialization, podzol, varillales

1 **RESUMEN**

2 Los bosques de arena blanca de la Amazonía ocurren en suelos arenosos guartzíticos, tienen 3 muchas especies endémicas y están distribuidos como un archipiélago de hábitats, dispersos a lo 4 largo de la Amazonía y la región del escudo Guyanés. Inesperadamente, encontramos una baja 5 proporción de plantas restringidas a ellas (23%) mientras que la mayoría de las especies (77%) 6 también ocurren en otros tipos de hábitat de la Amazonía y del Neotrópico. Estos bosques tienen 7 (i) similitudes florísticas con bosques en otro tipo de suelos de áreas cercanas, (ii) conexiones 8 fitogeográficas con otros bosques de arena blanca distantes, (iii) una alta proporción de su flora 9 especialista habitando áreas del centro y oeste del escudo Guyanés (7-43%). Estos resultados 10 sugieren que la dispersión, desde hábitats oligotróficos cercanos, distintos a arena blanca, así 11 como desde bosques de arena blanca distantes es fundamental en estructurar su composición y 12 diversidad. Aun cuando el nivel de endemismo en los bosques de arena blanca de la Amazonía 13 occidental puede ser más bajo de lo que previamente se estimaba, la conservación de estos 14 ecosistemas únicos y frágiles debería continuar siendo una prioridad. Enfatizamos la necesidad 15 de implementar estrategias regionales de conservación que ayuden a conservar los distintos 16 archipiélagos de arena blanca así como hábitats oligotróficos con condiciones ecológicas similares en la Amazonia y el escudo Guyanés. 17

18

19 *Palabras clave*: Amazonía, arenosol, biogeografía, campinarana, ecoregión, especialización de
20 hábitat, florística, Guiana Shield, podzol, varillales

1 QUARTZ-RICH SANDY SOILS ARE FOUND ACROSS AMAZONIA, A BIOGEOGRAPHIC UNIT

encompassing the Amazon basin and the Guiana Shield region. These soils support a complex of
vegetation types known as white-sand forests, which occupy relatively large extensions in the
Guiana Shield region and Rio Negro basin, one of the oldest geological regions in northern South
America (Hammond 2005b). Across the rest of Amazonia, white-sand forest is scattered in
island-like patches within a matrix of *terra firme*, upland rainforests on clay and sandy-clay soils,
with patches varying in size from several to hundreds of hectares (Macedo & Prance 1978,
Anderson 1981, Prance 1996).

9

10 There is a sharp physiognomic contrast when one crosses from a multi-layered cathedral-11 like terra firme forest to white-sand forest: a reduction in forest stature, an increase in the density 12 of pole-like stems, and a relatively open canopy, with a large amount of sunlight reaching into 13 the understory (Coomes & Grubb 1998, García-Villacorta et al. 2003). Likewise, white-sand 14 forests are substantially distinct floristically from the typical *terra firme* forest, with many local 15 and regional habitat specialists as well as endemic species (Anderson 1981, Prance 1996, Gentry 16 1986, Fine *et al.* 2010). Given their distinctive structure, patchy distribution and floristic 17 composition, it is not surprising that white-sand forests across the Amazon have received distinct local designations such as varillal, chamizal (in Peru, Colombia), Amazon caatinga, campina, 18 19 campinarana (Brazil), caatinga, bana (Venezuela), wallaba forest, and muri bush (Guyana, 20 French Guiana, Surinam) (Richards 1941, Revilla 1974, Cooper 1979, Anderson 1981).

1	Fundamental to the existence of these forests is the presence of nutrient-poor, sandy soils
2	Pedological and geological evidence about the origin of these soils (García-Villacorta 2015)
3	suggests that they may have at least four different origins: (i) the product of deep in situ
4	weathering of quartzitic sandstones (Kubitzki 1989, Potter 1994, Hammond 2005a); (ii)
5	deposition by eolian transport (Ab'Saber 1982, Clapperton 1993, Horbe et al. 2004); (iii) as
6	fluvial deposits of paleo-channels (Klinge 1965, Anderson 1981, Ab'Saber 1982, Hoorn 1994,
7	Räsänen & Linna 1998, Hermoza et al. 2005, Rossetti et al. 2012); and (iv) the final product of
8	on-going Ferralsol/Acrisol to Podzol transformation (Lucas et al. 1984, Dubroeucq & Volkoff
9	1998, Lucas et al. 2012, Mendonça et al. 2014).

11 Taxonomic revisions and local floristic studies in Amazonian white-sand forests have 12 emphasized the existence of plant species and genera disjunctly distributed between the Guiana Shield region and western Amazonian white-sand forests (e.g. Spruce 1908, Gentry & Ortiz 13 1993, Berry et al. 1995, Cortés & Franco 1997, Silveira 2003, Arbeláez & Duivenvoorden 2004, 14 García-Villacorta & Hammel 2004, Struwe & Albert 2004, Fine et al. 2010). To date, there has 15 16 been no attempt to study species distribution and compositional patterns of these floras at pan-17 Amazonian scales. To shed light onto the phytogeography of western Amazon white-sand 18 forests, we addressed three main questions: (1) Are western Amazonian white-sand forests comprised primarily of white-sand specialist species?; (2) What are the phytogeographic 19 20 connections of western Amazon white-sand species?; and (3) Are white-sand forests of the 21 western Amazon floristically more similar to floras on adjacent areas of non-white-sand soils or 22 to white-sand floras of the Guiana Shield region?

1 METHODS

STUDY AREA AND FLORISTIC DATASETS.—The study area encompasses the Amazon and Guiana
Shield region (Fig. 1). The border of the Amazon and Guiana regions was extracted from the
ecoregions map of the world (Olson *et al.* 2001), following closely the limits of the Guiana
Shield (Hammond 2005b) and HYBAM's Amazon basin watershed limits (Seyler *et al.* 2009).
We term this entire area "Amazonia".

7

8 White-sand forests in the western Amazon occur patchily dispersed in the southwest of 9 the Colombian Amazon, northern Peruvian Amazon, and around the area of Cruzeiro do Sul in 10 the state of Acre, Brazil. The white-sand flora in all these three areas has been studied intensively 11 in the last few years, making them amenable to a floristic assessment. To assess the floristic 12 affinities of the white-sand forests from the western Amazon we compiled a list of all vascular 13 plant species known to occur in the white-sand forests of Peru (Loreto region: loreto.wsf.PE), Colombia (Guainía region: guainía.wsf.CO, and Caquetá region: caquetá.wsf.CO), and the 14 15 western Brazil (Acre region: acre.wsf.BR). The checklist of the white-sand forests of northern 16 Peru were extracted from García-Villacorta et al. (2003), supplemented with a more regional study of its woody flora (Fine et al. 2010) as well as collections made by other botanists and 17 18 projects in the same region as recorded in the Missouri Botanical Garden's Tropicos database 19 (Tropicos-Peru 2013). The following studies were used to compile the checklists of vascular 20 white-sand floras of Colombia (guainía.wsf.CO, and caquetá.wsf.CO), and Brazil (acre.wsf.BR): 21 Cortés & Franco (1997), Arbeláez (2003), Silveira (2003), Cárdenas-López (2007), and Ferreira 22 (2009).

2	TAXONOMIC INCLUSION AND STANDARDIZATION.—To have a standardized database, all checklists
3	and flora treatments were checked for synonyms and illegitimate names using the Taxonomic
4	Name Resolution Service v3.0 (Boyle et al. 2013, TNRS 2013), which is an online tool that
5	matches a plant checklist against agreed plant taxonomies. Only native vascular plants
6	(gymnosperms, angiosperms, and ferns) were included in the database, and all cultivated,
7	naturalized and hybrid species were excluded. The Missouri Botanical Garden's Tropicos
8	database was the chosen source for taxonomic matching. In very few cases, especially for
9	recently described species that are still in the process of inclusion in taxonomic databases,
10	resolving species names was achieved by consulting The Plant List website (The Plant List
11	2013). Intraspecific names (sub-species, varieties, forms) were maintained as much as possible in
12	the database because they may represent taxonomic variation confined to white-sand habitats
13	(e.g. white-sand specialists, cryptic undescribed species), and because taxonomic revisions tend
14	to find new species when revising taxa occurring in these habitats (e.g. Cuatrecasas 1961, Struwe
15	& Albert 2004, Daly & Fine 2011). Therefore, including sub-specific taxa may be useful for a
16	better understanding of floristic patterns in relation to white-sand forests.

The taxonomy at the family level for angiosperms follows the Angiosperm Phylogeny
Group III system (The Angiosperm Phylogeny Group 2009). Prior to the analysis, plant families
or genera with strictly aquatic habit, or not well represented in forested habitats, were excluded
from the database, including Elatinaceae, Nymphaeaceae, Pontederiaceae, Alismataceae,
Salviniaceae, Onagraceae, Poaceae, Ceratophyllaceae, Cyperaceae, Hydrocharitaceae,

Hydroleaceae, Mayacaceae, Potamogetonaceae, Typhaceae, Lentibularaceae, Cabombaceae,
 Pista, Montrichardia, and *Lemna* (Araceae).

3

4 DISTRIBUTIONAL PATTERNS IN WESTERN AMAZON WHITE-SAND FORESTS.-To study the 5 distributional patterns of species from these four floristic checklists, we searched for specimens 6 of each taxon in the Missouri Botanical Garden herbarium online database Tropicos 7 (http://www.tropicos.org) and determined the ecoregions in which they occur following Olson et 8 al. (2001). We did not count a species as being present in an ecoregion if it was only represented 9 by one specimen or if the identification was dubious, based on visual verification of the 10 specimens at MO. In addition, we classified each species in the white-sand dataset into one of 11 three categories: white-sand specialist, poor-soil specialist, or habitat generalist. For this study, 12 white-sand specialists are defined as species occurring exclusively on white-sand soils; poor-soil specialists are species that can be found in both white-sand soils as well as other oligotrophic 13 14 habitats (e.g. igapó forests, sandy-clay soils); and generalist species are those occurring on white-15 sand soils, other nutrient-poor soils and any other habitats of the Neotropical region (e.g. clay-16 rich upland forests, flooded forests, swamp forests, montane forests, savanna, dry forests). The 17 assignment of species to each category was based on field knowledge of species habitat preferences supplemented by review of herbarium label descriptions citing the habitat where 18 19 specimens were collected (e.g. white-sand forest, varillal, campina forest, campinarana, suelo 20 arenoso, Amazon caatinga, suelo de arenisca). Species with dubious taxonomic identification 21 were not included in the analysis.

22

1 Distributional analyses were conducted for the three groups of species separately as well 2 as together as one group to explore which species are shared amongst ecoregions occurring within the limits of Amazonia (Fig. 1). White-sand forests from Colombia (guainía.wsf.CO, and 3 4 caquetá.wsf.CO) occur at the margin of the western Guiana Shield (Fig. 1), and previous studies 5 have shown that they have strong phytogeographic connections (i.e. they share a large number of 6 species) with the Guiana Shield region (Cortés & Franco 1997, Cortés et al. 1998, Arbeláez 7 2003). Thus, in order to further evaluate the floristic relationship of western Amazon white-sand 8 forests outside of the Guiana Shield, we conducted distributional analyses both including and 9 excluding the Colombian white-sand datasets.

10

11 FLORISTIC RELATIONSHIPS OF WESTERN AMAZON WHITE-SAND FORESTS.-To carry out an 12 analysis of the floristic relationships of western Amazon white-sand forests, province-level plant 13 checklists were compiled for each of the countries with territories in Amazonia as defined here: 14 Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela, Guyana, French Guiana, and Surinam (Fig. 15 1; Appendix 1). Political unit definitions vary depending on the country (e.g. state in Brazil, department in Peru and Colombia), and we use the name "provinces" throughout this article to 16 17 refer to all such political units. Because of their relatively small extent, the four provinces located in the Ecuadorian Amazon were treated in the analysis as one unit. In total, data were 18 19 collated for 26 provinces. For developing this database the following floristic treatments were 20 used: checklist of Peru (Brako & Zarucchi 1993, Tropicos-Peru 2013), checklist of Ecuador 21 (Jorgensen & León-Yánez 1999, Tropicos-Ecuador 2013), checklist of Bolivia (Tropicos-Bolivia 22 2013) checklist of Brazil (Forzza et al. 2010a, b), checklist of the Colombian Amazon (SINCHI 23 2013), and checklist of the Guiana Shield region (Funk et al. 2007). These checklists and floras

were used to create a presence-absence matrix of species with which we conducted analyses of floristic composition. White-sand specialists found in provinces in which the four white-sand checklists were embedded (CO.GN, CO.CQ, PE.LO, and BR.AC) were excluded from the province-level lists. Including white-sand species in these lists would not have been appropriate with the floristic dissimilarity metric that we used to conduct clustering analyses (see below), because we would have obtained a floristic dissimilarity of zero between the white-sand list and that of the floristic province in which it was embedded.

8

9 CLUSTER ANALYSIS.—In order to evaluate the floristic distance between study units we created a
10 dissimilarity matrix by employing the one-complement of the Simpson similarity index
11 (Simpson 1943), which measures the proportion of the more species-poor site that is not nested
12 within the more species-rich site (Tuomisto 2010):

13

14
$$Simpson_{dist} = 1 - \frac{a}{\min(b,c) + a}$$

15

Where *a* is the number of species present in both sites; *b* is the number of species restricted to one site; and *c* is the number of species restricted to the other site (Koleff *et al.* 2003). We then performed a hierarchical clustering of the floristic checklists based on this dissimilarity matrix using the function *hclust* in the R statistical environment (R Core Team 2015).

2	There are multitudes of clustering algorithms for different kinds of data and applications.
3	Yet, evaluations of the benefits of different algorithms are seldom carried out in ecology or
4	biogeography. Assessment of dendrograms is possible by correlating the original dissimilarity
5	matrix with a cophenetic matrix obtained from a dendrogram (Sokal & Rohlf 1962). The
6	algorithm with the highest Pearson cophenetic correlation value will be the one that best
7	represents the original dissimilarity matrix in the topology of the resulting dendrogram (Sokal &
8	Sneath 1963). In order to choose which of four clustering algorithms (Ward, Average linkage
9	(UPGMA), Single linkage, and Complete linkage) gave the best representation of floristic
10	relationships, we calculated the correlation of distances between sites in the resulting hierarchical
11	clusters with the dissimilarity matrix obtained with the Simpson distance index. The analysis of
12	cophenetic correlation among the four algorithms ranged from 0.28 to 0.63 (Appendix 2), with
13	the best performing being Average Linkage. We checked and ensured that ties in dissimilarity
14	values among clusters were not affecting our results using algorithms in the recluster package
15	(Dapporto <i>et al.</i> 2013).

We assessed the statistical support for clusters using multi-scale bootstrapping,
implemented with the *pvclust* function in the *pvclust* package (Suzuki & Shimodaira 2006). We
used 1000 bootstrap replicates with 10 different sampling levels and focused on approximately
unbiased (AU) p-values as a measure of statistical support (Suzuki & Shimodaira 2006). The *pvclust* algorithm also facilitates the estimation of the standard error of each cluster, which
provides a measure to diagnose outliers not strongly supported by the data.

ORDINATION.—As a complement to the floristic patterns revealed by the clustering analysis we
performed Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS), which extracts a reduced number of
axes from the multidimensional space where the positions of the sites are defined (Minchin 1987,
Borcard *et al.* 2011).

6

7 We used the R package vegan (Oksanen et al. 2015) to implement NMDS in the manner 8 recommended by Minchin (1987), using the function *metaMDS* with different random start 9 configurations and a final scaling of the results, with the function *postMDS*, along the first 10 dimension for a better interpretation. To avoid reaching an unstable solution where only a local 11 optimum of stress is found, we ran the analysis from different random spatial configurations up 12 to 500 times. Convergence to the same stress value from these random spatial configurations 13 indicated that a global optimum had been reached. The dissimilarity matrix for the NMDS 14 analysis was constructed using the Simpson distance index.

15

16 **RESULTS**

PATTERNS IN HABITAT SPECIALIZATION.—Overall, a total of 1,180 vascular plant species
comprising 133 families, and 491 genera were found to occur in the four western Amazon whitesand forest sites. The species distributional dataset resulted in 69,986 unique plant records
representing these species' occurrences across the Neotropics. At the species level, 43% of the
total vascular flora occurring on white-sand forests was found to be habitat generalist (i.e.

occurring in white-sands forests as well as diverse other habitat types), 34% poor-soil specialist
(i.e. occurring in white-sand forests and other oligotrophic habitats), and 23% white-sand
specialist (i.e. restricted to white-sand forests). Appendix 3 summarizes the richness of families,
genera, and species found in the three designated habitat preference categories. Of the total plant
records, 74% (51,790 records) corresponded to "habitat generalists," 21% to "poor-soil
specialists" (14,723 records), and 5% to "white-sand specialists" (3,473 records).

7

DISTRIBUTION PATTERNS ACROSS ECOREGIONS.—A large proportion of the species of white-sand 8 9 forests of the western Amazon occurred within ecoregions of the Guiana Shield when this region 10 was analysed as a unit. When excluding the Colombian white-sand checklists from the analysis, 11 we found that 65% of white-sand specialist species (56 out of 85 species) in Loreto, Peru and/or 12 Acre, Brazil were distributed in the Guiana Shield while 35% are endemic to these white-sand 13 areas (29 species) (Appendix 5). When considering white-sand specialists of the full dataset (i.e. 14 including Colombian white-sand checklists), 88.8% of the total (248 white-sand specialist 15 species out of 279 species, Appendix 4) occurred also within the limits of the Guiana Shield 16 region, with the remaining white-sand specialists being endemic to the western Amazon. When 17 analysing what were the proportions of white-sand specialist from Peru (loreto.wsf.PE), and western Brazil (acre.wsf.BR) found in the Guiana Shield region, the three ecoregions with the 18 19 highest percentage of western Amazon white-sand species were the Caquetá Moist Forests 20 (30%), Guavanan Highlands Moist Forests (33%), and Negro-Branco Moist Forests (43%) 21 (Appendix 5). These proportions changed slightly when analysed using the full white-sand 22 dataset: Caquetá Moist Forests (69%), Guayanan Highlands Moist Forests (51%), and Negro-23 Branco Moist Forests (49%). Similar patterns were found when looking at the Guiana Shield

ecoregions with the lowest proportion of white-sand specialists using both datasets: Rio Negro
 campinarana (7-8%), and Guyanan Savanna (9-17%). These ecoregions specific locations, and
 their shared proportions of white-sand specialists using both datasets, are shown in Figure 2.

4

5	FLORISTIC SIMILARITY ANALYSIS.—A total of 26 floristic datasets at the level of provinces were
6	compiled and compared with four western Amazon white-sand forests. There were a total of
7	26,887 vascular plant species in the floristic dataset from 2865 genera and 268 APG III families.
8	Appendix 1 gives a summary of the area and number of vascular plant species found at each
9	province. The number of species in the white-sand forest checklists ranged from 363
10	(acre.wsf.BR) to 955 species (guainía.wsf.CO). The number of species in the province checklists
11	ranged from 607 (Vichada, Colombia) to 8,355 (Amazonas, Brazil).

12

The hierarchical cluster analysis indicates that the four western Amazon white-sand
floras cluster first with the non-white-sand flora in which they are geographically embedded,
rather than with each other (Appendix 6). The four white-sand forests are then found in a large
cluster, with surrounding and neighbouring provinces (Fig. 3), rather than falling with provinces
of the core Guiana Shield area (VE.DA, GF, SU, GY, VE.BO, BR.RR).

18

Relatively high levels of unbiased bootstrap support values (AU) were found by the
 pvclust randomization procedure for all clusters containing white-sand forests sites (Fig. 3). In
 particular, the cluster grouping the four western Amazonian white-sand floras along with

1	neighbouring non-white-sand floras had an AU pv-value of 84 (Appendix 6). It is clear from the
2	pvclust analysis, however, that guainía.wsf.CO is nested within two floras more representative of
3	the western lowlands of the Guiana Shield area (CO.GN, and VE.AM (AU p-value = 76)) than to
4	the other three western Amazonian white-sand sites. Overall, cluster topology support values
5	estimated by <i>pvclust</i> (AU p-values) ranged from 59 to 100% (Appendix 6).
6	
7	The standard error of the majority AU bootstrap values in <i>pvclust</i> was close to 0 for the
8	majority of clusters (Appendix 7), which gives confidence that the existence of these
9	phytogeographic clusters is supported by data. Only cluster 6, which does not include white-sand
10	forests, had a relative high standard error in the bootstrap procedure (Appendix 7).
11	
12	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic
12 13	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and
12 13 14	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and white-sand forests portrayed in the ordination space (Fig. 3). The Shepard plot indicates that
12 13 14 15	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and white-sand forests portrayed in the ordination space (Fig. 3). The Shepard plot indicates that there is a good fit of the ordination distance among sites against the original dissimilarity
12 13 14 15 16	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and white-sand forests portrayed in the ordination space (Fig. 3). The Shepard plot indicates that there is a good fit of the ordination distance among sites against the original dissimilarity distance (Appendix 8). Adding additional axes to the analysis did not result in any substantial
12 13 14 15 16 17	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and white-sand forests portrayed in the ordination space (Fig. 3). The Shepard plot indicates that there is a good fit of the ordination distance among sites against the original dissimilarity distance (Appendix 8). Adding additional axes to the analysis did not result in any substantial reductions in the stress value. White-sand forests of Acre (acre.wsf.BR), Loreto (loreto.wsf.PE),
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and white-sand forests portrayed in the ordination space (Fig. 3). The Shepard plot indicates that there is a good fit of the ordination distance among sites against the original dissimilarity distance (Appendix 8). Adding additional axes to the analysis did not result in any substantial reductions in the stress value. White-sand forests of Acre (acre.wsf.BR), Loreto (loreto.wsf.PE), and Caquetá (caquetá.wsf.CO) are closer to each other floristically than they are to the white-
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ORDINATION.—An NMDS ordination with two axes recovered similar phytogeographic patterns to cluster analysis results as interpreted by the relative distances between floras and white-sand forests portrayed in the ordination space (Fig. 3). The Shepard plot indicates that there is a good fit of the ordination distance among sites against the original dissimilarity distance (Appendix 8). Adding additional axes to the analysis did not result in any substantial reductions in the stress value. White-sand forests of Acre (acre.wsf.BR), Loreto (loreto.wsf.PE), and Caquetá (caquetá.wsf.CO) are closer to each other floristically than they are to the white- sand forests of Guainía (guainía.wsf.CO).

1 **DISCUSSION**

2

3 PATTERNS OF HABITAT SPECIALIZATION.—Viewed from the air and explored on the ground, 4 Amazonian white-sand forests are no doubt distinctive in physiognomy and structure compared 5 to neighbouring upland forests on clay or sandy-clay soils (Anderson 1981, Prance 1996, 6 Coomes & Grubb 1996, Bongers et al. 1985, Duivenvoorden & Lips 1995, García-Villacorta et 7 al. 2003, Fine et al. 2010, Silveira 2003, Vicentini 2004, Ferreira 2009). In terms of species 8 composition, however, our results show that only about a guarter (23%) of the total 1183 9 vascular plant species inhabiting western Amazonian white-sand forests are specialized to these 10 forests while the vast majority (77%) also occur in non-white-sand habitats (Table 2). This 11 finding shows that a large majority of the species diversity found in white-sand forest can be 12 attributed to plants from other habitats. Previous work on white-sand floristics and 13 phytogeography has often examined taxonomic sub-sets of floras or taken a restricted (sub-14 regional) geographic approach, which despite showing the influence of plants also found in other 15 habitats, may have overestimated the proportion of true white-sand soil specialists. For instance, 16 a floristic study of the white-sand forests of the Brazilian Amazon found that the majority of the 17 species (54.5%) occurring in the vegetation type were restricted to it, whereas 23.6% also occurred in non-white-sand terra firme forests, 20% in igapó forests, and 2.6% in varzea forests 18 19 (Anderson 1978, cited in Anderson (1981)). Similarly, an analysis of tree plots in white-sand and 20 neighbouring forests in Peru found that 52% of tree species in white sand forests were 21 specialists, while the rest were facultative specialists (9%), or habitat generalists (39%) (Fine et 22 al. 2010).

2	In contrast, we have used a relatively strict criterion to determine white-sand specialists,
3	<i>i.e.</i> that they are found only in white-sand forests, and we therefore may have underestimated
4	specialization in white-sand forests compared to a classification based on species relative
5	abundance. For example, a previous regional study from Madre de Dios, Peru found that only
6	15-26% of all studied species were restricted to a single forest type or habitat (Pitman et al.
7	1999), while a subsequent study in the same region that used relative abundance information
8	found that 76.5% of dominant tree species were habitat specialists, i.e. significantly more
9	abundant in one habitat type (Phillips et al. 2003). In accordance with this pattern, a previous
10	study of white-sand forests in Loreto, Peru, found that floristic differentiation between white-
11	sand and terra firme forests was greater when one considered relative abundances of species
12	rather than just presence/absence information (Fine et al. 2010). Thus, in our study, while some
13	species may not have been classified as white-sand specialists using our strict presence/absence
14	criterion, they may be much more abundant in white-sand forest than other forest types, thus
15	potentially qualifying as specialists using abundance-based criteria. In other words, while there
16	are many species present in white-sand forests that are also found in other forest types, the forest
17	does tend to be dominated by white-sand specialists (Fine et al. 2010) or by poor-soil specialists
18	as defined here, i.e. species with preference for waterlogged or oligotrophic soils (Freitas 1996,
19	García-Villacorta et al. 2003, Vicentini 2004). Similarly, a recent study of swamp forests in the
20	Ecuadorian Amazon found that just 8.6% of tree species in swamp forest are specialists on
21	swamp forest, but that these specialists represent 43.6% of stems in the swamp forest (Pitman et
22	<i>al.</i> 2014).

1 Another potential caveat of our results is that the number of white-sand specialist species 2 may increase in the future via the discovery of cryptic species. For example, based upon detailed molecular and morphological studies, populations confined to white-sand habitats and previously 3 4 assigned to Protium subserratum (Burseraceae) were found to be distinct from populations of 5 that occurred on non-white sand soils, and it was suggested that they should be recognised at 6 species level (Daly & Fine 2011, Fine et al. 2013). Similarly, a study on reproductive biology, 7 phenotypic differences, and ecological preferences within the *Pagamea coriacea* complex 8 (Rubiaceae) identified two sympatric species exploiting different gradient combinations of light 9 and drainage within white-sand forests (Esteves & Vicentini 2013). Elucidating the number of cryptic undescribed species, and potentially incipient species undergoing ecological adaptation 10 11 into white-sands forests, will remain uncertain without more taxonomic, field, and molecular-12 based studies.

13

14 In any case, our result that just 23% of species in western Amazon white-sand forest are 15 restricted to that habitat type is much lower than previous estimates. We suggest that the 16 ecological conditions of other oligotrophic habitats are similar enough to white sand forests such 17 that they function like meta-ecosystems (Loreau et al. 2003, Gravel et al. 2010), harbouring species that are able to disperse into white sand habitats, and survive there, albeit at low 18 19 densities. Likewise, the dispersal of propagules via mass-effect from surrounding habitats 20 (Shmida & Wilson 1985, Holt 1993) may be an additional factor influencing the similarity 21 values obtained when using presence/absence data rather than abundance data (cf. Vormisto, 22 Svenning, et al. 2004).

2	DISTRIBUTIONAL PATTERNS AND PHYTOGEOGRAPHICAL CONNECTIONS.—Of the total western
3	Amazonian white-sand specialist species, 88% of them occurred in floras within the Guiana
4	Shield region, whereas 12% are endemic to the western Amazon (i.e. restricted to the four white-
5	sand areas studied here). This pattern of phytogeographic connection was still high when only
6	non-Guiana Shield white-sand forests (loreto.wsf.PE, and acre.wsf.BR) were considered (65% of
7	the white-sand specialists were shared with floras within the Guiana Shield region). Among all
8	ecoregions, Caquetá Moist Forests, Guayanan Highlands Moist Forests, and Negro-Branco Moist
9	Forests shared the highest proportions of western Amazon white-sand specialists (Appendix 5).
10	These ecoregions are located at the central and western part of the Guiana Shield region (Fig. 2b)
11	which supports results from studies of Colombian white-sand forests showing strong
12	phytogeographic links with the Guiana Shield flora (Cortés et al. 1998, Giraldo-Cañas 2001,
13	Arbeláez & Duivenvoorden 2004). On the other hand, the ecoregions with the highest percentage
14	of shared western Amazon white-sand specialists, when using only species from loreto.wsf.PE
15	(Peru), and acre.wsf.BT (Brazil), are located in the western Amazon (Iquitos varzea, Napo moist
16	forests, and Southwest Amazon moist forests) (Fig. 2a; Appendix 5). It remains unexplained to
17	what degree the white-sand forests contained in these ecoregions is influencing the
18	compositional similarity of the white-sand forests we studied here.

DISPERSAL AND FLORISTIC RELATIONSHIPS.—All white-sand forests clustered with the flora of the
 province where they belong geographically (Fig. 3). Given the overall large number of non white-sand specialist species in western Amazon white-sand floras, this result suggests that the

floristic patterns are driven by the overwhelming number of non-white-sand specialist species;
hinting at an important influence of regional dispersal processes (Ricklefs 1987, Cornell &
Lawton 1992, Holt 1993, Latham & Ricklefs 1993, Cottenie 2005, Ricklefs 2008). Collectively,
our results support the hypothesis of a flora constructed via both long-distance dispersal of
white-sand specialists from distant white-sand habitats and more local dispersal of poor-soil
specialists and generalist species from ecologically similar oligotrophic habitats occurring in the
same areas in which white-sand forests are embedded.

8

9 Certain combinations of edaphic factors that are present in white-sand habitats promote 10 colonization by species from physiognomically distinct habitat types, such as nutrient-poor *terra* 11 firme clayey or sandy-clay soils and waterlogged habitats (Freitas 1996, García-Villacorta et al. 12 2003, Vicentini 2004). In this regard, peat-accumulating palm swamps (Tuomisto et al. 1994, 13 Lähteenoja et al. 2009), and old terraces of terra firme forests on hilly areas (García-Villacorta et 14 al. 2010) may represent habitats with similar high stress and/or low resource availability (i.e. 15 poor drainage conditions or nutrient-poor soils, respectively) that may be used by poor-soil plant 16 specialists as dispersal corridors to reach isolated white-sand forests (García-Villacorta et al. 17 2010). Ancient hilly terraces on poor oligotrophic clay soils are found to be a common feature at certain drainage divides of the western Amazon (e.g. Stallard 2011). These hilly terraces can 18 19 have high erosion rates (Stallard 1988), which accelerates the leaching of soil nutrients 20 (Laurance et al. 2010, Vormisto, Tuomisto, et al. 2004), and they can be extensive and 21 interconnected in some areas (García-Villacorta et al. 2010). Similarly, some non-specialist 22 white-sand species have been found in swamp habitats of the Amazon floodplain (Tuomisto et 23 al. 1994, Lähteenoja et al. 2009, Lähteenoja & Page 2011, García-Villacorta et al. 2011, Dávila

et al. 2013, Draper *et al.* 2014), which suggest that edaphic conditions in these habitats may
 facilitate dispersal of white-sand species.

3

THE EFFECT OF GEOGRAPHICAL DISTANCE BETWEEN FLORAS—Geographical proximity may help 4 5 to explain some part of the observed phytogeographical affinities of white-sand floras. The 6 Amazonas provinces in Brazil and Venezuela (BR.AM, and VE.AM) as well as Colombian 7 provinces are geographically adjacent and were found to have close links to western Amazonian 8 white-sand forests. Geographical distance may also help to explain why acre.wsf.BR (Brazil) and 9 loreto.wsf.PE (Peru) are more similar to each other and to caquetá.wsf.CO (Colombia) than to 10 guainía.wsf.CO (Colombia), the latter being closer in geographic distance to the Guiana Shield 11 region (Fig. 2). A correlation analysis of the geographic distance and floristic matrices (Mantel 12 test) in the dataset found a significant association (Appendix 9), implying that spatially adjacent 13 sites are floristically more similar, a pattern often driven by dispersal limitation (Nekola & White 14 1999). In this context, several studies of plant dispersal syndromes in Amazonian white-sand 15 forests have suggested that long-distance dispersal or stepping-stone dispersal may be an 16 important ecological process in the maintenance of Amazonian white-sand forests (Macedo & 17 Prance 1978, Prance & Schubart 1978).

18

SYNTHESIS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CONSERVATION.—Floristically, we found that western
Amazon white-sand forests include a large number of plant species that are not restricted to
white-sand habitats. Regardless, at the local and regional level white-sand forests possess a
significant number of endemics that add to both regional and beta diversity in the Amazon and

1 should remain a conservation priority. It is possible that this finding may be influenced by 2 cryptic, undescribed species –i.e., some morphologically indistinguishable populations found on 3 white sand may actually represent different species. The high proportion of species not 4 specialized to white-sand habitats may result from both immigration of species from other 5 nutrient-poor/water-logged habitats and mass effect dispersal of species from neighbouring 6 habitats with richer soils. Cluster analysis and NMDS ordination concurred that the white-sand 7 forests of the western Amazon are floristically most similar to the non-white sand floras of the 8 geographic regions to which they belong. More broadly, the composition of white-sand forests of 9 the western Amazon is more similar to floras of the western and central Guiana Shield region than to other floras in Amazonia, which implies that long-distance dispersal processes may be 10 11 important in shaping its species composition. There was significant distance decay in similarity 12 of overall floristic composition, which implies that dispersal processes are playing an important 13 role in driving current floristic assemblage patterns. Dispersal processes may have more 14 importance than local species interactions in structuring Amazonian white-sand plant 15 communities, perhaps via stepping-stone dispersal processes across ecologically similar habitat types like Amazon peatlands, and hilly terraces on oligotrophic soils. The long term conservation 16 of Amazonian white-sand forests will require the maintenance of regional dispersal processes, 17 18 necessitating corridors connecting these archipelagos of habitat islands across the Amazon and 19 the Guiana Shield.

20

21

22

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 2 The Missouri Botanical Garden's A. H. Gentry Fellowship provided financial support to RG for
- 3 visiting its herbarium to study Amazonian white-sand specimen collections. RG thanks Dr. Kalle
- 4 Ruokolainen for encouragement and advice in an early stage of this study. The Sibbald Trust
- 5 Fund, of the Royal Botanic Garden of Edinburgh, provided generous support to RG during the
- 6 preparation of this manuscript. A Principal's Career Scholarship supported the lead author as part
- 7 of his doctoral studies in the University of Edinburgh, Scotland, UK.
- 8

9 LITERATURE CITED

- AB'SABER, A. N. 1982. The Palaeoclimate and Palaeoecology of Brazilian Amazonia. *In* G. T.
 Prance (Ed.) Biological Diversification in the Tropics. pp. 41–59, Columbia University
 Press.
- 13 ANDERSON, A. B. 1981. White-sand vegetation of Brazilian Amazonia. Biotropica 199–210.
- ARBELÁEZ, M. V. 2003. Diversity and dynamics of the vegetation on the sandstone plateaus of
 the Colombian Amazonia. PhD Dissertation. University of Amsterdam. Available at:
 http://dare.uva.nl/document/68172 [Accessed May 18, 2015].
- ARBELÁEZ, M. V., and J. F. DUIVENVOORDEN. 2004. Patterns of plant species composition on
 Amazonian sandstone outcrops in Colombia. J. Veg. Sci. 15: 181–188.
- BERRY, P. E., O. HUBER, and B. K. HOLST. 1995. Floristic analysis and phytogeography. Flora
 Venezuelan Guayana 1: 161–191.
- BONGERS, F., D. ENGELEN, and H. KLINGE. 1985. Phytomass structure of natural plant
 communities on spodosols in southern Venezuela: the Bana woodland. Vegetatio 63:
 13–34.
- BORCARD, D., F. GILLET, and P. LEGENDRE. 2011. Numerical Ecology with R. Springer Science &
 Business Media.
- BOYLE, B., N. HOPKINS, Z. LU, J. A. R. GARAY, D. MOZZHERIN, T. REES, N. MATASCI, M. L. NARRO,
 W. H. PIEL, S. J. MCKAY, S. LOWRY, C. FREELAND, R. K. PEET, and B. J. ENQUIST. 2013.
 The taxonomic name resolution service: an online tool for automated standardization of
 plant names. BMC Bioinformatics 14: 16.

- BRAKO, L., and J. L. ZARUCCHI. 1993. Catalogue of the Flowering Plants And Gymnosperms of Peru / Catalogo De Las Angiospermas Y Gimnospermas Del Peru. Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Mo.
- 4 CÁRDENAS-LÓPEZ, D. ed. 2007. Flora del escudo Guayanés en Inírida (Guainía, Colombia).
 5 SINCHI, Bogotá, Colombia.
- 6 CLAPPERTON, C. M. 1993. Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology of South America. Elsevier.
- COOMES, D. A., and P. J. GRUBB. 1996. Amazonian caatinga and related communities at La
 Esmeralda, Venezuela: forest structure, physiognomy and floristics, and control by soil
 factors. Vegetatio 122: 167–191.
- 10 COOMES, D. A., and P. J. GRUBB. 1998. Responses of juvenile trees to above- and belowground 11 competition in nutrient-starved amazonian rain forest. Ecology 79: 768–782.
- COOPER, A. 1979. Muri and white sand savannah in Guyana, Surinam and French Guiana.
 Ecosyst. World.
- CORNELL, H. V., and J. H. LAWTON. 1992. Species Interactions, Local and Regional Processes,
 and Limits to the Richness of Ecological Communities: A Theoretical Perspective. J.
 Anim. Ecol. 61: 1–12.
- CORTÉS, R., and P. FRANCO. 1997. Análisis panbiogeográfico de la flora de Chiribiquete,
 Colombia. Caldasia 19: 465–478.
- 19 CORTÉS, R., P. FRANCO, and J. O. RANGEL-C. 1998. La flora vascular de la Sierra de 20 Chiribiquete, Colombia. Caldasia 20: 103–141.
- 21 COTTENIE, K. 2005. Integrating environmental and spatial processes in ecological community 22 dynamics. Ecol. Lett. 8: 1175–1182.
- 23 CUATRECASAS, J. 1961. A taxonomic revision of the Humiriaceae. Smithsonian Institution.
- DALY, D. C., and P. V. A. FINE. 2011. A New Amazonian Section of Protium (Burseraceae)
 including Both Edaphic Specialist and Generalist Taxa. Studies in Neotropical
 Burseraceae XVI. Syst. Bot. 36: 939–949.
- DAPPORTO, L., M. RAMAZZOTTI, S. FATTORINI, G. TALAVERA, R. VILA, and R. L. H. DENNIS. 2013.
 recluster: an unbiased clustering procedure for beta-diversity turnover. Ecography 36:
 1070–1075.
- DÁVILA, N., I. HUAMANTUPA, M. RIOS, W. TRUJILLO, and C. VRIESENDORP. 2013. Vegetation and
 Flora. In N. C. A. Pitman, E. Ruelas-Inzunza, C. Vriesendorp, D. F. Stotz, T. Wachter, A.
 del Campo, D. Alvira, B. Rodríguez-Grández, R. S. Chase, A. R. Sáenz, and P. Soria
 (Eds.) Perú: Eye-Campuya-Algodón. Rapid Biological Inventories Report 25. pp. 231–
 243, The Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.
- DRAPER, F. C., K. H. ROUCOUX, I. T. LAWSON, E. T. A. MITCHARD, E. N. H. CORONADO, O.
 LÄHTEENOJA, L. T. MONTENEGRO, E. V. SANDOVAL, R. ZARÁTE, and T. R. BAKER. 2014.

- 1 The distribution and amount of carbon in the largest peatland complex in Amazonia. 2 Environ. Res. Lett. 9: 124017.
- DUBROEUCQ, D., and B. VOLKOFF. 1998. From Oxisols to Spodosols and Histosols: evolution of
 the soil mantles in the Rio Negro basin (Amazonia). CATENA 32: 245–280.
- 5 DUIVENVOORDEN, J. F., and J. M. LIPS. 1995. A land-ecological study of soils, vegetation, and 6 plant diversity in Colombian Amazonia.
- DUTILLEUL, P., J. D. STOCKWELL, D. FRIGON, and P. LEGENDRE. 2000. The Mantel Test versus
 Pearson's Correlation Analysis: Assessment of the Differences for Biological and
 Environmental Studies. J. Agric. Biol. Environ. Stat. 5: 131–150.
- ESTEVES, S. DE M., and A. VICENTINI. 2013. Cryptic species in Pagamea coriacea sensu lato
 (Rubiaceae): evidence from morphology, ecology and reproductive behavior in a
 sympatric context. Acta Amaz. 43: 415–428.
- FERREIRA, C. A. C. 2009. Análise comparativa do ecossistema campina na Amazônia brasileira.
 Tese de Doutorado. UFAM, Manaus.
- FINE, P. V. A., F. ZAPATA, D. C. DALY, I. MESONES, T. M. MISIEWICZ, H. F. COOPER, and C. E. A.
 BARBOSA. 2013. The importance of environmental heterogeneity and spatial distance in generating phylogeographic structure in edaphic specialist and generalist tree species of Protium (Burseraceae) across the Amazon Basin. J. Biogeogr. 40: 646–661.
- FINE, P. V., R. GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, N. C. PITMAN, I. MESONES, and S. W. KEMBEL. 2010. A
 floristic study of the white-sand forests of Peru. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 283–305.
- FLORA DO BRASIL. 2013. Brazilian Flora Checklist. Available at: http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br
 [Accessed January 1, 2013].
- FORZZA, R. C. ET AL. 2010a. Catálogo de plantas e fungos do Brasil Vol. 1. SciELO JBRJ, Rio
 de Janeiro.
- FORZZA, R. C. ET AL. 2010b. Catálogo de plantas e fungos do Brasil Vol. 2. SciELO JBRJ, Rio
 de Janeiro.
- FREITAS, L. A. 1996. Caracterización florística y estructural de cuatro comunidades boscosas de terraza baja en la zona de Jenaro Herrera, Amazonía Peruana. IIAP, Iquitos, Peru.
- FUNK, V. A., T. HOLLOWELL, P. BERRY, C. KELLOFF, S. N. ALEXANDER, and OTHERS. 2007.
 Checklist of the plants of the Guiana Shield (Venezuela: Amazonas, Bolivar, Delta Amacuro; Guyana, Surinam, French Guiana). Department of Botany, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D. C.
- GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, R. 2015. Integrating molecular biogeography and community ecology to
 understand the evolution of habitat specialization in Amazonian forests. PhD
 Dissertation. University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK.
- GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, R., M. AHUITE R., and M. OLÓRTEGUI Z. 2003. Clasificación de bosques
 sobre arena blanca de la Zona Reservada Allpahuayo-Mishana. Folia Amaz. 14: 11–28.

- GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, R., N. DÁVILA, R. FOSTER, I. HUAMANTUPA, and C. VRIESENDORP. 2010.
 Flora y Vegetación/Flora and Vegetation. *In* M. P. Gilmore, C. Vriesendorp, W. S.
 Alverson, A. del Campo, R. von May, C. W. López, and S. O. Ríos (Eds.) Perú: Maijuna.
 Rapid Biological Inventories Report 22. pp. 176–182, The Field Museum of Natural
 History, Chicago.
- GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, R., and B. E. HAMMEL. 2004. A noteworthy new species of Tovomita
 (Clusiaceae) from Amazonian white sand forests of Peru and Colombia. Brittonia 56:
 132–135.
- GARCÍA-VILLACORTA, R., I. HUAMANTUPA, Z. CORDERO, N. PITMAN, and C. VRIESENDORP. 2011.
 Flora y Vegetación/Flora and Vegetation. *In* N. C. A. Pitman, C. Vriesendorp, D. K.
 Moskovitz, R. von May, D. Alvira, T. Wachter, D. F. Stotz, and A. del Campo (Eds.)
 Perú: Yaguas-Cotuhé. Rapid Biological Inventories Report 23. pp. 86–97, The Field
 Museum of Natural History, Chicago.
- GENTRY, A. H. 1986. Endemism in tropical versus temperate plant communities. *In* M. E. Soulé
 (Ed.) Conservation Biology: the science of scarcity and diversity. pp. 153–181, Sinauer
 Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- GENTRY, A. H., and R. ORTIZ. 1993. Patrones de composición florística en la Amazonía peruana. *In* R. Kalliola, M. Puhakka, and W. Danjoy (Eds.) R. Kalliola, M. Puhakka, and W. Danjoy. Amazonía peruana: vegetación húmeda en el llano subandino. Proyecto Amazonia, Universidad de Turku (PAUT) y Oficina Nacional de Evaluación de Recursos Naturales (ONERN), Jyväskylä. pp. 155–166, PAUT-ONERN.
- GIRALDO-CAÑAS, D. 2001. Relaciones fitogeográficas de las sierras y afloramientos rocosos de
 la Guayana colombiana: un estudio preliminar. Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 74: 353–364.
- GRAVEL, D., N. MOUQUET, M. LOREAU, and F. GUICHARD. 2010. Patch Dynamics, Persistence,
 and Species Coexistence in Metaecosystems. 176: 289–302.
- HAMMOND, D. S. 2005a. Biophysical features of the Guiana Shield. *In* D. S. Hammond (Ed.)
 Tropical forests of the Guiana Shield. pp. 15–194, CABI Publishing.
- HAMMOND, D. S. 2005b. Tropical forests of the Guiana shield: ancient forests in a modern world.
 CABI.
- HERMOZA, W., S. BRUSSET, P. BABY, W. GIL, M. RODDAZ, N. GUERRERO, and R. BOLAÑOS. 2005.
 The Huallaga foreland basin evolution: Thrust propagation in a deltaic environment, northern Peruvian Andes. J. South Am. Earth Sci. 19: 21–34.
- HOLT, R. D. 1993. Ecology at the mesoscale: the influence of regional processes on local communities. *In* R. E. Ricklefs and D. Schluter (Eds.) Species diversity in ecological communities. pp. 77–88, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- HOORN, C. 1994. Fluvial palaeoenvironments in the intracratonic Amazonas Basin (Early
 Miocene-early Middle Miocene, Colombia). Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.
 109: 1–54.

- HORBE, A. M. C., M. A. HORBE, and K. SUGUIO. 2004. Tropical Spodosols in northeastern Amazonas State, Brazil. Geoderma 119: 55–68.
- JORGENSEN, P. M., and S. LEÓN-YÁNEZ eds. 1999. Catalogue of the vascular plants of Ecuador.
 Botanical Garden Press, Saint Louis, MO.
- 5 KLINGE, H. 1965. Podzol Soils in the Amazon Basin. J. Soil Sci. 16: 95–103.
- KOLEFF, P., K. J. GASTON, and J. J. LENNON. 2003. Measuring beta diversity for presence–
 absence data. J. Anim. Ecol. 72: 367–382.
- KUBITZKI, K. 1989. Amazon lowland and Guayana highland- historical and ecological aspects of
 the development of their floras. Amaz. Kiel 11: 1–12.
- LÄHTEENOJA, O., and S. PAGE. 2011. High diversity of tropical peatland ecosystem types in the
 Pastaza-Marañón basin, Peruvian Amazonia. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 116:
 G02025.
- LÄHTEENOJA, O., K. RUOKOLAINEN, L. SCHULMAN, and M. OINONEN. 2009. Amazonian peatlands:
 an ignored C sink and potential source. Glob. Change Biol. 15: 2311–2320.
- LATHAM, R. E., and R. E. RICKLEFS. 1993. Global Patterns of Tree Species Richness in Moist
 Forests: Energy-Diversity Theory Does Not Account for Variation in Species Richness.
 Oikos 67: 325–333.
- LAURANCE, S. G. W., W. F. LAURANCE, A. ANDRADE, P. M. FEARNSIDE, K. E. HARMS, A. VICENTINI,
 and R. C. C. LUIZÃO. 2010. Influence of soils and topography on Amazonian tree
 diversity: a landscape-scale study. J. Veg. Sci. 21: 96–106.
- 21 LEGENDRE, P., and L. F. LEGENDRE. 2012. Numerical ecology 3rd edition. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- LOREAU, M., N. MOUQUET, and R. D. HOLT. 2003. Meta-ecosystems: a theoretical framework for a spatial ecosystem ecology. Ecol. Lett. 6: 673–679.
- LUCAS, Y., A. CHAUVEL, R. BOULET, G. RANZANI, and F. SCATOLINI. 1984. Transição latossolos podzóis sobre a Formação Barreiras na região de Manaus, Amazônia. Rev. Bras. Ciênc.
 Solo 8: 325–335.
- LUCAS, Y., C. R. MONTES, S. MOUNIER, M. LOUSTAU CAZALET, D. ISHIDA, R. ACHARD, C. GARNIER,
 B. COULOMB, and A. J. MELFI. 2012. Biogeochemistry of an Amazonian podzol-ferralsol
 soil system with white kaolin. Biogeosciences 9: 3705–3720.
- MACEDO, M., and G. T. PRANCE. 1978. Notes on the vegetation of Amazonia II. The dispersal of
 plants in Amazonian white sand campinas: the campinas as functional islands. Brittonia
 30: 203–215.
- MANTEL, N. 1967. The Detection of Disease Clustering and a Generalized Regression
 Approach. Cancer Res. 27: 209–220.
- MENDONÇA, B. A. F. DE, F. N. B. SIMAS, C. E. G. R. SCHAEFER, E. I. FERNANDES FILHO, J. F. DO
 VALE JÚNIOR, and J. G. F. DE MENDONÇA. 2014. Podzolized soils and paleoenvironmental

- implications of white-sand vegetation (Campinarana) in the Viruá National Park, Brazil.
 Geoderma Reg. 2–3: 9–20.
- MINCHIN, P. R. 1987. An evaluation of the relative robustness of techniques for ecological
 ordination. *In* I. C. Prentice and E. van der Maarel (Eds.) Theory and models in
 vegetation science. Advances in vegetation science. pp. 89–107, Springer Netherlands.
- NEKOLA, J. C., and P. S. WHITE. 1999. The Distance Decay of Similarity in Biogeography and
 Ecology. J. Biogeogr. 26: 867–878.
- OKSANEN, J., F. G. BLANCHET, R. KINDT, P. LEGENDRE, P. R. MINCHIN, R. B. O'HARA, G. L.
 SIMPSON, P. SOLYMOS, M. H. H. STEVENS, and H. WAGNER. 2015. vegan: Community
 Ecology Package Available at: http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html
 [Accessed May 20, 2015].
- OLSON, D. M., E. DINERSTEIN, E. D. WIKRAMANAYAKE, N. D. BURGESS, G. V. N. POWELL, E. C.
 UNDERWOOD, J. A. D'AMICO, I. ITOUA, H. E. STRAND, J. C. MORRISON, C. J. LOUCKS, T. F.
 ALLNUTT, T. H. RICKETTS, Y. KURA, J. F. LAMOREUX, W. W. WETTENGEL, P. HEDAO, and K.
 R. KASSEM. 2001. Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth A
 new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving
 biodiversity. BioScience 51: 933–938.
- PHILLIPS, O. L., P. N. VARGAS, A. L. MONTEAGUDO, A. P. CRUZ, M.-E. CHUSPEZANS, W. G.
 SÁNCHEZ, M. YLI-HALLA, and S. ROSE. 2003. Habitat Association among Amazonian
 Tree Species: A Landscape-Scale Approach. J. Ecol. 91: 757–775.
- PITMAN, N. C. A., J. E. G. ANDINO, M. AULESTIA, C. E. CERÓN, D. A. NEILL, W. PALACIOS, G.
 RIVAS-TORRES, M. R. SILMAN, and J. W. TERBORGH. 2014. Distribution and abundance of
 tree species in swamp forests of Amazonian Ecuador. Ecography 37: 902–915.
- POTTER, P. E. 1994. Modern sands of South America: composition, provenance and global
 significance. Geol. Rundsch. 83: 212–232.
- 26 PRANCE, G. T. 1996. Islands in Amazonia. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 351: 823–833.
- PRANCE, G. T., and H. O. SCHUBART. 1978. Notes on the vegetation of Amazonia I. A preliminary
 note on the origin of the open white sand campinas of the lower Rio Negro. Brittonia 30:
 60–63.
- RÄSÄNEN, M., and A. LINNA. 1998. Geologia y geoformas del area de Iquitos, Peru. *In* R. Kalliola
 and Paredes (Eds.) Geoecología y desarrollo integrado de la zona de Iquitos, Perú. pp.
 60–136.
- R CORE TEAM. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria Available at: http://www.R-project.org/.
- REVILLA, J. 1974. Descripción de los tipos de vegetación en Mishana, Río Nanay. Loreto, Perú.
 PAHO. Project AMRO-0719 Report. Pan Am. Health Organ. Wash. DC.
- 37 RICHARDS, P. W. 1941. Lowland tropical podzols and their vegetation. Nature 148: 129–131.

- RICKLEFS, R. E. 1987. Community Diversity: Relative Roles of Local and Regional Processes.
 Science 235: 167–171.
- 3 RICKLEFS, R. E. 2008. Disintegration of the Ecological Community. Am. Nat. 172: 741–750.
- ROSSETTI, D. F., T. C. BERTANI, H. ZANI, E. H. CREMON, and E. H. HAYAKAWA. 2012. Late
 Quaternary sedimentary dynamics in Western Amazonia: Implications for the origin of
 open vegetation/forest contrasts. Geomorphology 177–178: 74–92.
- ROSSI, J. P. 1996. Statistical tool for soil biology: 11. Autocorrelogram and Mantel test. Eur. J.
 Soil Biol. 32: 195–203.
- 9 SEYLER, F., F. MULLER, G. COCHONNEAU, L. GUIMARÃES, and J. L. GUYOT. 2009. Watershed
 10 delineation for the Amazon sub-basin system using GTOPO30 DEM and a drainage
 11 network extracted from JERS SAR images. Hydrol. Process. 23: 3173–3185.
- SHMIDA, A., and M. V. WILSON. 1985. Biological Determinants of Species Diversity. J. Biogeogr.
 12: 1–20.
- SILVEIRA, M. 2003. Vegetação e flora das campinaranas do Sudoeste Amazônico. Universidade
 Federal do Acre, Departamento de Ciências da natureza.
- 16 SIMPSON, G. G. 1943. Mammals and the nature of continents. Am. J. Sci. 241: 1–31.
- SINCHI. 2013. Herbario virtual. Herbario Amazónico Colombiano (COAH). Available at:
 http://www.sinchi.org.co/coleccionesbiologicas/index.php?option=com_herbariov_oc&Ite
 mid=29 [Accessed January 1, 2013].
- SOKAL, R. R., and F. J. ROHLF. 1962. The Comparison of Dendrograms by Objective Methods.
 Taxon 11: 33–40.
- 22 SOKAL, R. R., and P. H. A. SNEATH. 1963. Principles of Numerical Taxonomy. W. H. Freeman.
- 23 SPRUCE, R. 1908. Notes of a Botanist on the Amazon and Andes. Macmillan, London.
- STALLARD, R. F. 1988. Weathering and Erosion in the Humid Tropics. *In* A. Lerman and M.
 Meybeck (Eds.) Physical and Chemical Weathering in Geochemical Cycles. NATO ASI
 Series. pp. 225–246, Springer Netherlands.
- STALLARD, R. F. 2011. Landscape processes: geology, hidrology, and soils. *In* N. C. A. Pitman,
 C. Vriesendorp, D. K. Moskovitz, R. von May, D. Alvira, T. Wachter, D. F. Stotz, and A.
 del Campo (Eds.) Perú: Yaguas-Cotuhé. Rapid Biological Inventories Report 23. pp.
 199–210, The Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago.
- STRUWE, L., and V. A. ALBERT. 2004. A Monograph of Neotropical Potalia Aublet (Gentianaceae:
 Potalieae). Syst. Bot. 29: 670–701.
- SUZUKI, R., and H. SHIMODAIRA. 2006. Pvclust: an R package for assessing the uncertainty in
 hierarchical clustering. Bioinformatics 22: 1540–1542.

- THE ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENY GROUP. 2009. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group
 classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. Bot. J. Linn. Soc.
 161: 105–121.
- THE PLANT LIST. 2013. Version 1.1. Published on the Internet. http://www.theplantlist.org/
 (accessed 1st March). Available at: http://www.theplantlist.org/ [Accessed March 18, 2013].
- 7 TNRS. 2013. The Taxonomic Name Resolution Service.
- 8 TROPICOS-BOLIVIA. 2013. Catalogue of the vascular plant of Bolivia. Available at: 9 http://www.tropicos.org/Project/BO [Accessed January 1, 2013].
- 10 TROPICOS-ECUADOR. 2013. Catalogue of the vascular plant of Ecuador. Available at: 11 http://www.tropicos.org/Project/CE [Accessed January 1, 2013].
- 12 TROPICOS-PERU. 2013. Catalogue of the vascular plant of Peru. Available at: 13 http://www.tropicos.org/Project/PE [Accessed January 1, 2013].
- TUOMISTO, H. 2010. A diversity of beta diversities: straightening up a concept gone awry. Part 2.
 Quantifying beta diversity and related phenomena. Ecography 33: 23–45.
- TUOMISTO, H., A. LINNA, and R. KALLIOLA. 1994. Use of digitally processed satellite images in studies of tropical rain forest vegetation. Int. J. Remote Sens. 15: 1595–1610.
- VICENTINI, A. 2004. A vegetação ao longo de um gradiente edáfico no Parque Nacional do Jaú. *In* H. Borges, S. Iwanaga, C. C. Duriganand, and M. R. Pinheiro (Eds.) Janelas para a
 biodiversidade no Parque Nacional do Jaú: uma estratégia par ao estudo da
 biodiversidade na Amazônia. pp. 117–143, Fundação Vitória Amazônica/WWF/IBAMA,
 Manaus.
- VORMISTO, J., J.-C. SVENNING, P. HALL, and H. BALSLEV. 2004. Diversity and Dominance in Palm
 (Arecaceae) Communities in Terra firme Forests in the Western Amazon Basin. J. Ecol.
 92: 577–588.
- VORMISTO, J., H. TUOMISTO, and J. OKSANEN. 2004. Palm distribution patterns in Amazonian
 rainforests: What is the role of topographic variation? J. Veg. Sci. 15: 485–494.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. The Amazon and the Guiana Shield region (dashed area) with political division acronyms used in the floristic analysis overlaid on an elevation map (darker areas indicate higher elevations). Approximate locations of studied white-sand forests: 1 = acre.wsf.BR (Acre region, Brazil), 2 = loreto.wsf.PE (Loreto region, Peru), 3 = caquetá.wsf.CO (Caquetá region, Colombia), 4 = guainía.wsf.CO (Guainía region, Colombia). Province acronyms in Appendix 1.

FIGURE 2. Proportion of western Amazon white-sand specialists shared among different ecoregions within Amazonia constructed using (a) only loreto.wsf.PE and acre.wsf.BR, and (b) full white-sand dataset. non-GS WA ws = non-Guiana Shield western Amazon white-sand specialists. WS specialists = full list of white-sand specialists. Sites 1 and 2 = non-Guiana Shield western Amazon white-sand areas; 3 and 4 = Guiana Shield western Amazon white-sand sites. GS limit = Guiana Shield limit. Note change in the proportion and ecoregions of shared whitesand specialists according to the dataset used. Site names as in Fig. 1.

FIGURE 3. Relationships of western Amazon white-sand forests with provinces/states in the Amazon and Guiana regions as represented by non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS) ordination. Lines connecting the sites represent cluster analysis result. WSF = western Amazon white-sand forests. Province acronyms in Appendix 1.

FIGURES

Figure 1



Figure. 2.





Figure 3



MDS1

Appendix 1. Number of vascular plant species and source used to build the database ordered by ascending number of species. Studied western Amazon white-sand forests in bold.

Site code	Province	Area (km ²)	Country	No. spp.	Source
	Acre	-	Brazil		(Silveira 2003,
acre.wsf.BR				363	Ferreira 2009)
	Vichada	38,734.93	Colombia		(SINCHI
CO.VD				607	2013)
caquetá.wsf.CO	Caquetá	-	Colombia	657	(Cortés <i>et al.</i> 1998, Arbeláez & Duivenvoorden 2004)
	Loreto	-	Peru		(García-
					Villacorta et
					al. 2003, Fine
					et al. 2010,
					Tropicos-Peru
loreto.wsf.PE				731	2013)
guainía.wsf.CO	Guainía	-	Colombia	955	(Cárdenas-

López 2007)

	Ucayali	105,078.38	Peru		(Brako &
					Zarucchi 1993,
					Tropicos-Peru
PE.UC				1148	2013)
	Guainía	68,819.14	Colombia		(SINCHI
CO.GN				1289	2013)
	Vaupés	53,242.63	Colombia		(SINCHI
CO.VP				1738	2013)
	Delta	38,230.4	Venezuela		(Funk <i>et al</i> .
VE.DA	Amacuro			1765	2007)
	Guaviare	55,570.16	Colombia		(SINCHI
CO.GV				1769	2013)
	Madre de	84,420.81	Peru		(Brako &
	Dios				Zarucchi 1993,
					Tropicos-Peru
PE.MD				2088	2013)
	Amapá	141,105.57	Brazil		(Forzza <i>et al</i> .
BR.AP				2523	2010a, b, Flora

do Brasil

2013)

	Roraima	224,384.08	Brazil		(Forzza <i>et al</i> .
					2010a, b, Flora
					do Brasil
BR.RR				2688	2013)
					_
	Rondonia	236,376.67	Brazil		(Forzza <i>et al</i> .
					2010a, b, Flora
					do Brasil
BR.RO				2882	2013)
	Caquetá	90,029.75	Colombia		(SINCHI
CO.CQ				3021	2013)
	Mato	599,681.47	Brazil		(Forzza <i>et al</i> .
	Grosso				2010a, b, Flora
					do Brasil
BR.MT				3247	2013)
	Amazonas	107,462.42	Colombia		(SINCHI
CO.AM				3431	2013)
BR.AC	Acre	152,729.51	Brazil	4214	(Forzza et al.

2010a, b, Flora

do Brasil

2013)

	Surinam	146,011.49	Surinam		(Funk <i>et al</i> .
SR				4886	2007)
	D 1	00.014.00			
	French	83,014.98	French		(Funk <i>et al</i> .
GF	Guiana		Guiana	5166	2007)
	Loreto	375,550.2	Perú		(Brako &
					Zarucchi 1993,
					Tropicos-Peru
PE.LO				5271	2013)
	Amazon	681,909.86	Bolivia		(Tropicos-
BO.AM	region			5378	Bolivia 2013)
	Pará	892,481.47	Brazil		(Forzza <i>et al</i> .
					2010a, b, Flora
					do Brasil
BR.PA				6098	2013)
	Guyana	209,549.47	Guyana		(Funk et al.
GY				6938	2007)

	Bolivar	193,997.81	Venezuela		(Funk <i>et al</i> .
VE.BO				6941	2007)
	Amazonas	179,579.86	Venezuela		(Funk et al.
VE.AM				7,146	2007)
	Ecuadorian	105653.6	Ecuador		(Jorgensen &
	Amazon				León-Yánez
					1999,
					Tropicos-
ECU				7,642	Ecuador 2013)
	Amazonas	1'570,659.01	Brazil		(Forzza et al.
					2010a, b, Flora
					do Brasil
BR.AM				8,355	2013)

Appendix 2.Cophenetic correlation results of the five assessed clustering algorithms. The highest cophenetic correlation (Average linkage, r = 0.629) is the one that best represent the dissimilarity matrix in its resulting dendrogram followed by Complete clustering (r = 0.579).



Appendix 3. Summary statistics and ecological preferences of 1,180 vascular plant species found in four western Amazonian white-sand forests.

		No. genera		
		with		
	No. families with	species in		
Ecological	species in these	these	No. species	
preference	categories	categories	(%)	Records
habitat generalist	103	295	509 (43)	51,790
poor-soil specialist	89	208	406 (34)	14,723
white-sand				
specialist	65	160	277 (23)	3473
Total	133	491	1180 (100)	69,986

Appendix 4. Species in the western Amazon white-sand specialist dataset with records found within the Guiana Shield region.

Family/Species	No. records
Acanthaceae	1
Aphelandra lamprantha	1
Annonaceae	47
Anaxagorea brachycarpa	14
Anaxagorea manausensis	1
Annona paludosa	5
Bocageopsis canescens	8
Cymbopetalum alkekengi	0
Duguetia arenicola	2
Guatteriopsis sessiliflora	0
Oxandra asbeckii	4
Oxandra leucodermis	3
Pseudoxandra revoluta	0
Tetrameranthus duckei	10
Tetrameranthus pachycarpus	0
Trigynaea lanceipetala	0
Apocynaceae	54
Mandevilla annulariifolia	6
Mandevilla caurensis	4
Mandevilla nerioides	8
Molongum lucidum	4
Odontadenia killipii	6
Odontadenia kochii	7
Parahancornia peruviana	2
Parahancornia surrogata	17
Aquifoliaceae	30
Ilex divaricata	28
Ilex spruceana	2
Araceae	5
Philodendron auyantepuiense	5
Araliaceae	11
Schefflera japurensis	11
Arecaceae	11
Euterpe catinga	5
Mauritia carana	6

Asteraceae	26
Calea abelioides	16
Chromolaena tyleri	2
Gongylolepis martiana	7
Stenopadus colombianus	1
Begoniaceae	1
Begonia lutea	1
Bignoniaceae	54
Anemopaegma oligoneuron	9
Digomphia densicoma	34
Distictella obovata	11
Bonnetiaceae	64
Bonnetia paniculata	11
Bonnetia sessilis	53
Bromeliaceae	67
Ananas parguazensis	23
Brocchinia hechtioides	23
Brocchinia paniculata	8
Pitcairnia patentiflora	1
Vriesea socialis	12
Burmanniaceae	6
Burmannia dasyantha	5
Burmannia vaupesana	1
Burseraceae	44
Protium calanense	5
Protium carolense	16
Protium heptaphyllum subsp. ulei	18
Protium leptostachyum	5
Calophyllaceae	34
Caraipa longipedicellata	8
Caraipa savannarum	19
Caraipa tereticaulis	6
Caraipa utilis	0
Haploclathra cordata	1
Haploclathra paniculata var. paniculata	0
Chrysobalanaceae	92
Couepia amaraliae	6
Couepia bracteosa	11
Couepia canomensis	7
Couepia williamsii	0
Exellodendron coriaceum	9
Hirtella punctillata	12
Hirtella revillae	0
Licania gracilipes	7

Licania hebantha	4
Licania intrapetiolaris	28
Licania stewardii	5
Parinari montana	3
Clusiaceae	77
Clusia cerroana	23
Clusia chiribiquetensis	15
Clusia fockeana	9
Clusia huberi	11
Clusia opaca	8
Clusia renggerioides	11
Tovomita calophyllophylla	0
Combretaceae	7
Terminalia yapacana	7
Connaraceae	1
Connarus guggenheimii	1
Droseraceae	5
Drosera esmeraldae	5
Ebenaceae	6
Diospvros mvrmecocarpa	3
Diospyros tessmannii	0
Lissocarpa kating	3
Emmotaceae	0
Emmotum floribundum	0
Ericaceae	14
Vaccinium eurvanthum	14
Eriocaulaceae	127
Paepalanthus fasciculatus	34
Paepalanthus formosus	4
Rondonanthus capillaceus	27
Syngonanthus allenii	1
Syngonanthus reflexus	29
Syngonanthus simplex	7
Syngonanthus tenuis var. bulbifer	7
Syngonanthus trichophyllus	11
Syngonanthus umbellatus	7
Erythroxylaceae	13
Erythroxylum kapplerianum	13
Euphorbiaceae	117
Conceveiba terminalis	23
Croton araracuarae	3
Croton chiribiquetensis	1
Croton mollis	10
	8

Croton spiraeifolius	3
Hevea guianensis var. lutea	4
Hevea nitida	9
Hevea nitida var. toxicodendroides	1
Mabea subsessilis	18
Micrandra elata	3
Micrandra spruceana	18
Senefelderopsis chiribiquetensis	16
Euphroniaceae	19
Euphronia hirtelloides	19
Fabaceae	145
Abarema barbouriana var. arenaria	6
Abarema microcalyx	9
Aldina heterophylla	3
Calliandra vaupesiana	7
Dicymbe uaiparuensis	2
Dimorphandra macrostachya subsp. glabrifolia	2
Dimorphandra vernicosa	17
Heterostemon mimosoides	21
Inga gereauana	0
Inga neblinensis	8
Jacqueshuberia loretensis	0
Macrolobium arenarium	1
Macrolobium discolor var. egranulosum	3
Macrolobium limbatum var. limbatum	3
Macrolobium limbatum var. propinquum	0
Macrolobium molle	8
Macrolobium suaveolens	7
Senna kuhlmannii	0
Swartzia benthamiana var. benthamiana	13
Tachigali ptychophysca	4
Taralea oppositifolia	31
Gentianaceae	30
Curtia conferta	3
Irlbachia nemorosa	12
Tachia guianensis	15
Tachia loretensis	0
Humiriaceae	43
Humiria balsamifera	13
Humiria balsamifera var. balsamifera	9
Humiria balsamifera var. floribunda	1
Humiria crassifolia	11
Humiriastrum piraparanense	9
Vantanea spichigeri	0

Hymenophyllaceae	17
Trichomanes arbuscula	8
Trichomanes sprucei	1
Trichomanes superbum	2
Trichomanes vandenboschii	1
Trichomanes vittaria	5
Icacinaceae	28
Emmotum acuminatum	6
Emmotum floribundum	18
Pleurisanthes flava	4
Lauraceae	13
Aniba heterotepala	0
Endlicheria arunciflora	5
Endlicheria chrysovelutina	0
Endlicheria citriodora	1
Mezilaurus opaca	0
Mezilaurus triunca	0
Ocotea alata	0
Ocotea atrata	2
Ocotea esmeraldana	4
Ocotea immersa	1
Sextonia pubescens	0
Lindsaeaceae	27
Lindsaea meifolia	6
Lindsaea pendula	11
Lindsaea rigidiuscula	10
Loganiaceae	20
Bonyunia minor	20
Potalia coronata	0
Lythraceae	15
Cuphea annulata	13
Cuphea kubeorum	2
Malpighiaceae	29
Blepharandra heteropetala	5
Byrsonima amoena	8
Diacidia galphimioides	16
Malvaceae	18
Pachira amazonica	5
Pachira brevipes	5
Pachira coriacea	5
Pachira fuscolepidota	3
Melastomataceae	97
Acanthella sprucei	23
Clidemia epibaterium	12

Clidemia heteroneura	12
Clidemia pycnaster	3
Comolia microphylla	15
Ernestia tenella	4
Graffenrieda fantastica	3
Macairea theresiae	4
Miconia crassinervia	4
Pachyloma coriaceum	5
Siphanthera fasciculata	1
Tococa rotundifolia	11
Metaxyaceae	0
Metaxya lanosa	0
Myrtaceae	40
Myrcia clusiifolia	20
Myrcia revolutifolia	20
Nyctaginaceae	14
Neea obovata	14
Ochnaceae	62
Ouratea roraimae	12
Ouratea spruceana	21
Sauvagesia erioclada	1
Sauvagesia fruticosa	10
Sauvagesia guianensis subsp. araracuarensis	1
Wallacea insignis	17
Olacaceae	8
Chaunochiton angustifolium	7
Curupira tefeensis	1
Orchidaceae	41
Duckeella pauciflora	2
Encyclia leucantha	5
Epistephium hernandii	9
Epistephium lucidum	4
Epistephium parviflorum	16
Octomeria erosilabia	2
Octomeria taracuana	1
Stenocoryne longicornis	1
Trichosalpinx orbicularis	1
Orobanchaceae	4
Buchnera rubriflora	4
Pentaphylacaceae	27
Ternstroemia campinicola	3
Ternstroemia klugiana	0
Ternstroemia punctata	5
Ternstroemia pungens	19

Phyllanthaceae	4
Phyllanthus myrsinites	4
Piperaceae	2
Piper fonteboanum	1
Piper froesii	1
Piper mituense	0
Polypodiaceae	16
Cochlidium tepuiense	16
Primulaceae	7
Cybianthus reticulatus	7
Proteaceae	1
Euplassa saxicola	1
Rapateaceae	42
Duckea junciformis	7
Duckea squarrosa	9
Monotrema arthrophyllum	5
Monotrema xyridoides	15
Rapatea elongata	4
Schoenocephalium martianum	2
Rhabdodendraceae	17
Rhabdodendron amazonicum	17
Rubiaceae	537
Ferdinandusa guainiae	6
Ferdinandusa hirsuta	3
Ferdinandusa sprucei	5
Ixora intensa	9
Ladenbergia lambertiana	57
Pagamea acrensis	16
Pagamea aracaensis	5
Pagamea coriacea	49
Pagamea guianensis	54
Pagamea macrophylla	4
Pagamea montana	21
Pagamea plicata	17
Pagamea thyrsiflora	40
Palicourea nitidella	64
Platycarpum orinocense	l
Platycarpum orinocense var. orinocense	5
Remijia macrocnemia	9
Kemijia roraimae	13
Ketiniphyllum concolor	22
Ketiniphyllum scabrum	40
Kelinipnyllum schomourgkil	/2
кенпірпунит truncatum	18

Rudgea krukovii	1
Sipaneopsis huberi	4
Stachyococcus adinanthus	2
Rutaceae	17
Adiscanthus fusciflorus	5
Decagonocarpus cornutus	5
Decagonocarpus oppositifolius	6
Hortia vandelliana	1
Leptothyrsa sprucei	0
Ravenia biramosa var. peruviana	0
Salicaceae	62
Euceraea nitida	30
Laetia coriacea	20
Laetia cupulata	12
Sapindaceae	1
Paullinia splendida fo. chrysocarpa	1
Sapotaceae	67
Chrysophyllum bombycinum	0
Chrysophyllum sanguinolentum subsp. spurium	7
Elaeoluma schomburgkiana	8
Pradosia schomburgkiana	39
Pradosia schomburgkiana subsp. schomburgkiana	13
Schlegeliaceae	0
Schlegelia cauliflora	0
Selaginellaceae	6
Selaginella coarctata	6
Siparunaceae	3
Siparuna micrantha	3
Thymelaeaceae	17
Tepuianthus colombianus	2
Tepuianthus savannensis	15
Vochysiaceae	13
Ruizterania retusa	13
Xyridaceae	80
Abolboda acicularis var. granularis	2
Abolboda americana	9
Abolboda grandis	8
Abolboda grandis var. grandis	8
Abolboda grandis var. grandis Abolboda macrostachya var. macrostachya	8 8
Abolboda grandis var. grandis Abolboda macrostachya var. macrostachya Abolboda pulchella	8 8 6
Abolboda grandis var. grandis Abolboda macrostachya var. macrostachya Abolboda pulchella Xyris araracuare	8 8 6 2
Abolboda grandis var. grandis Abolboda macrostachya var. macrostachya Abolboda pulchella Xyris araracuare Xyris esmeraldae	8 8 6 2 17
Abolboda grandis var. grandis Abolboda macrostachya var. macrostachya Abolboda pulchella Xyris araracuare Xyris esmeraldae Xyris lomatophylla	8 6 2 17 5

Xyris subglabrata	4
Xyris wurdackii subsp. caquetensis	1
Total No. Records	2503
Total No. Species	279

Appendix 5. Ecoregions with at least 20% of white-sand specialists using the (a) whole whitesand specialist dataset compared to using only the (b) non-Guiana Shield white-sand specialist dataset. Note changes in the proportions by ecoregions according to the dataset used.

- a) Full white-sand dataset: caquetá.wsf.CO (Colombia), guainía.wsf.CO (Colombia), acre.wsf.BR (Brazil), lore.wsf.PE (Peru)
- b) Non-Guiana Shield whitesand dataset: acre.wsf.BR (Brazil), loreto.wsf.PE (Peru)

	No.	%		No.	%
Ecoregion	species	species	Ecoregion	species	species
Caquetá moist					
forests	191	69%	Iquitos varzea	86	97%
Guayanan					
Highlands moist			Napo moist		
forests	142	51%	forests	56	63%
			Southwest		
Negro-Branco			Amazon moist		
moist forests	137	49%	forests	43	48%
			Negro-Branco		
Iquitos varzea	95	34%	moist forests	38	43%

Guayanan

Highlands

Tepuis	93	34%	moist forests	29	33%
Japurá-Solimoes-					
Negro moist			Caquetá moist		
forests	64	23%	forests	27	30%
			Japurá-		
			Solimoes-		
Guianan moist			Negro moist		
forests	61	22%	forests	25	28%
			Uatuma-		
			Trombetas		
Napo moist forests	59	21%	moist forests	25	28%
Uatuma-			Solimoes-		
Trombetas moist			Japurá moist		
forests	56	20%	forest	22	25%
Solimoes-Japurá					
moist forest	55	20%	Tepuis	21	24%

Appendix 6. Cluster analysis of Amazon and Guiana Shield sites with bootstrap support values using Average linkage as clustering algorithm and 1000 bootstrap runs. AU bootstrap p-values at each node (left), standard bootstrap values (right), and cluster number (below). Western Amazon white-sand forests are marked with asterisks: acre.wsf.BR (Brazil), caquetá.wsf.CO (Colombia), guainía.wsf.CO (Colombia), and loreto.wsf.PE (Peru).



Appendix 7. Standard error of AU bootstrap p-values of each identified cluster by pvclust. Cluster 6 showed relatively high standard error (appendix 5).



53

Appendix 8. Shepard plot of NMDS ordination plot of Amazon-Guianan floras including four western Amazonian white-sand forests. Stress = 0.26. Iterations = 500. Dimensions = 2.



54

Appendix 9. Floristic similarity as a function of geographic distance between pairs of floras in the Andes-Guiana Shield region. Statistical significance from Mantel test. To test whether geographical distance between the studied floras had an influence on the floristic patterns recovered by the ordination and cluster analysis, we performed a Mantel test (Mantel 1967, Rossi 1996, Dutilleul *et al.* 2000, Legendre & Legendre 2012). To create the distance matrix we extracted the geographical coordinates of each province by using its polygon centroid in a Geographical Information System (GIS) that were then used to calculate geographical (Euclidean) distances between floras. We ran 999 permutations on the floristic dissimilarity matrix at a significance p-value of 0.05. We found a significant positive Mantel correlation between the floristic distance and geographical distance matrices (Mantel's r = 0.4866, p = 0.0001). Based on this result we reject the null hypothesis that these two matrices are not related and hence the geographical separation of sites may explain a portion of the observed floristic distance distance of sites may explain a portion of the observed floristic distances also found a marginally significant result (r = 0.5818, p = 0.083).

