This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Auld SKJR, Brand J. Simulated climate change, epidemic size, and host evolution across host–parasite populations. *Glob Change Biol.* 2017;23:5045– 5053, which has been published in final form at <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13769</u>. This article may be used for noncommercial purposes in accordance With Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

1	Simulated climate change, epidemic size and host evolution across host-parasite
2	populations
3	Authors: Stuart K.J.R Auld* ¹ , June Brand ¹
4	¹ Biological & Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, United
5	Kingdom
6	*Corresponding author
7	Emails: <u>s.k.auld@stir.ac.uk</u> , june.brand@stir.ac.uk
8	Accepted for publication in <i>Global Change Biology</i> by Wiley-Blackwell.
9	Running title: Climate change and disease eco-evolution
10	Keywords: global warming, disease ecology, eco-evolutionary dynamics,
11	mesocosms, parasitism
12	Article type: Primary research
13	Abstract word count: 162; Total word count: 6530.
14	Figures: 4 in main text; 3 in supplementary material.
15	Tables: 2 in main text; 4 in supplementary material
16	References: 56.
17	Correspondence address: Room 3A149, Cottrell Building, University of Stirling,
18	Stirling, Stirlingshire, FK9 4LA, UK. Email: <u>s.k.auld@stir.ac.uk</u> . Telephone: +44
19	(0)1786 467857
20	
21	

22 Abstract

23 Climate change is causing warmer and more variable temperatures as well as physical 24 flux in natural populations, which will affect the ecology and evolution of infectious 25 disease epidemics. Using replicate semi-natural populations of a coevolving 26 freshwater invertebrate-parasite system (host: Daphnia magna, parasite: Pasteuria 27 ramosa), we quantified the effects of ambient temperature and population mixing 28 (physical flux within populations) on epidemic size and population health. Each 29 population was seeded with an identical suite of host genotypes and dose of parasite 30 transmission spores. Biologically reasonable increases in environmental temperature 31 caused larger epidemics, and population mixing reduced overall epidemic size. 32 Mixing also had a detrimental effect on host populations independent of disease. 33 Epidemics drove parasite-mediated selection, leading to a loss of host genetic 34 diversity, and mixed populations experienced greater evolution due to genetic drift 35 over the season. These findings further our understanding of how diversity loss will 36 reduce the host populations' capacity to respond to changes in selection, therefore 37 stymying adaptation to further environmental change.

38

40

41 INTRODUCTION

The earth's climate is changing, giving rise to warmer temperatures and more variable 42 43 weather (Coumou & Rahmstorf, 2012). Heat waves, droughts and floods are more 44 common and are driving shifts in the severity and distribution of infectious disease. 45 Warming can increase parasite development rate and transmission stage production 46 (Poulin, 2006), as well as overall transmission rate (Kilpatrick et al., 2008), whereas 47 increased variance in temperature can independently drive shifts in parasite growth 48 and transmission (Murdock et al., 2016). Temperature changes can also differentially 49 affect the phenology of hosts and parasites in such a way to either increase or reduce 50 transmission. For example, warming increases the likelihood and severity of 51 trematode infections in snails, but reduces the likelihood of onward trematode 52 transmission (and thus epidemic size) to the definitive amphibian host (Paull & 53 Johnson, 2014). Physical flux resulting from droughts, floods etc. could also have 54 profound effects on disease by increasing contact rates between hosts and parasites 55 and thus parasite transmission rate (May & Anderson, 1979). It is clear that the effects 56 of climate change on infectious diseases are often complex, and can shape disease 57 dynamics in sometimes unpredictable and counter-intuitive ways (Parmesan & Yohe, 58 2003; Lafferty, 2009).

59 By affecting epidemic size, climate change could have profound effects on 60 host populations. Epidemics can reduce population densities in susceptible hosts, and 61 thus drive parasite-mediated selection (Auld *et al.*, 2013) and population genetic 62 change (Duncan & Little, 2007; Thrall *et al.*, 2012). For example, larger epidemics 63 can exert stronger directional selection for increased host resistance, stripping genetic 64 variation from populations (Obbard *et al.*, 2011). Patterns of epidemic size, parasite-

65	mediated selection and host genetic diversity are thus intrinsically linked. This is
66	important, because genetic diversity determines how a host population can respond to
67	subsequent disease epidemics (Altermatt & Ebert, 2008; King & Lively, 2012), as
68	well as other selective pressures. Indeed, genetic diversity is the fuel for adaptation, so
69	low diversity populations are vulnerable to extinction when there is a change in
70	selection pressures (Lande & Shannon, 1996). By influencing epidemic size,
71	environmental variables such as ambient temperature and physical flux are pivotal in
72	shaping eco-evolutionary feedbacks and long-term health in natural populations
73	(Vander Wal <i>et al.</i> , 2014).
74	The effects of biotic and abiotic environmental conditions on individual
75	disease phenotypes have been effectively dissected using controlled laboratory
76	experiments in numerous systems (McNew, 1960; Salvaudon et al., 2009; Wolinska
77	& King, 2009; Vale, 2011). However, in order to identify the mechanisms through
78	which climate change shapes the evolution of disease more generally, we must
79	incorporate ecological complexity to determine how these individual phenotypes scale
80	up to the population level. Population-level studies are commonly observational, so
81	the benefit of having a realistic assessment of disease patterns in ecologically complex
82	conditions is often accompanied with the cost of not being able to uncover the
83	mechanisms that drive those patterns. The challenge is to incorporate realistic
84	ecological complexity whilst retaining a degree of experimental control. Semi-natural
85	experimental populations - mesocosms - provide an excellent opportunity to do this
86	(Benton et al., 2007) because they allow natural variation in season, and thus
87	photoperiod and temperature, yet are easily subject to experimental manipulation.
88	Here, we present the results of an outdoor mesocosm experiment designed to
89	test the following hypotheses: that the mean and variance in temperature as well as

90 physical flux (population mixing) affects: (1) the timing and severity of disease 91 epidemics; (2) the strength and consistency of parasite-mediated selection; and (3) the 92 genetic diversity of host populations. We established twenty replicate outdoor 93 mesocosms of the freshwater crustacean, Daphnia magna and its sterilizing bacterial 94 parasite, Pasteuria ramosa. Daphnia have a remarkable reproductive biology that 95 means they can reproduce both sexually and asexually. By propagating *Daphnia* 96 genotypes asexually, we were able to seed each mesocosm with an identical suite of 97 Daphnia genotypes as well as spores from the same starting parasite population. 98 Whilst the genetic composition of hosts and parasites was the same across 99 mesocosms, the ambient temperature and level of population mixing varied. This 100 experimental system therefore allowed us to incorporate ecological complexity whilst 101 maintaining control over the genetic composition of the key antagonists.

102

103 MATERIALS AND METHODS

104 Host and parasite organisms

105 The host, Daphnia magna (Straus, 1820), is a freshwater crustacean that inhabits 106 shallow freshwater ponds that are naturally susceptible to temperature fluctuations. 107 The parasite, Pasteuria ramosa (Metchnikoff, 1888), is a spore-forming bacterium 108 that sterilizes its hosts. Daphnia magna (hereafter: Daphnia) and Pasteuria ramosa 109 (hereafter: *Pasteuria*) are a naturally coevolving host-parasite system (Decaestecker et 110 al., 2007). Daphnia commonly encounter Pasteuria transmission spores when filter 111 feeding; once inside the host, spores cross the gut epithelium (Duneau et al., 2011; Auld et al., 2012) and proliferate (Auld et al., 2014a), stealing resources that would 112 otherwise be used for host reproduction (Cressler et al., 2014). Millions of Pasteuria 113 114 transmission spores are then released into the environment upon host death (Ebert et

al., 1996). *Pasteuria* infection is easily diagnosed by eye: infected *Daphnia* have
obvious red-brown bacterial growth in their hemolymph, lack developed ovaries or
offspring in their brood chamber and sometimes exhibit gigantism (Ebert *et al.*, 1996;
Cressler *et al.*, 2014).

119 Daphnia magna are cyclically parthenogenetic: they reproduce asexually in 120 the main, but produce males and undergo sexual reproduction when environmental 121 conditions become unfavorable (Hobaek & Larsson, 1990). Host sex results in the 122 production of one or two eggs that are encased in an environmentally resistant envelope called an ephippium. Once ephippia are released by the host, they fall to the 123 124 sediment and the eggs they contain hatch in later years. We collected three sediment 125 samples from Kaimes Farm, Leitholm, Scottish Borders, UK (2°20'43"W, 126 55°42'15"N) (Auld et al., 2014b) in June 2014. From these sediment samples, we 127 isolated and hatched 21 sexually produced *Daphnia* resting eggs and propagated them 128 clonally by maintaining them under favorable conditions.

129

130 Experimental setup

131 We exposed ~20 Daphnia from each of the 21 Daphnia clonal lines to the original 132 sediment samples and isolated those hosts that became infected with Pasteuria (total = 224 infected *Daphnia*, with a minimum of one infection per genotype). Each 133 134 infected Daphnia was individually homogenized and the density of Pasteuria 135 transmission spores was determined using a Neubauer (Improved) hemocytometer. We then propagated these spores by exposing 5 x 10^5 *Pasteuria* spores from each 136 137 infected Daphnia to a further 80 healthy Daphnia of the same genotype (the 138 remaining spores were pooled and stored at -20°C). After 35 days, these Daphnia

were homogenized, pooled and the density of spores was determined. We then
performed a second round of propagation. After three rounds of infection (isolation
followed by two rounds of propagation), all spore samples were pooled and the total
number was determined.

143 Meanwhile, we genotyped each of the 21 Daphnia clonal lines using 15 144 microsatellite loci (see DNA extraction and microsatellite genotyping), and selected 145 the 12 most dissimilar multilocus genotypes for the mesocosm experiment. Replicate 146 lines of each Daphnia of the 12 genotypes were maintained in a state of clonal reproduction for three generations to reduce variation due to maternal effects. There 147 148 were five replicates per genotype; each replicate consisted of five *Daphnia* kept in 149 200mL of artificial medium ((Klüttgen et al., 1994) modified using 5% of the 150 recommended SeO₂ concentration (Ebert et al., 1998)). Replicate jars were fed 5.0 151 ABS of *Chlorella vulgaris* algal cells per day (ABS is the optical absorbance of 152 650nm white light by the Chlorella culture). Daphnia medium was changed three 153 times per week and three days prior to the start of the mesocosm experiment. On the 154 day that the mesocosm experiment commenced, 1-3 day old offspring were pooled 155 according to host genotype. Ten offspring per *Daphnia* genotype were randomly 156 allocated to each of the 20 mesocosms (giving a total of 120 Daphnia per mesocosm).

157

158 Mesocosm experiment

Each mesocosm consisted of a 0.65m tall 1000 Liter PVC tank. Mesocosms were dug into the ground during July and August and were lined with ~10cm of topsoil; they were dug in to differing depths (0-0.64m) in order to promote variation in water temperature. The mesocosms were allowed to naturally fill with rainwater over an

163 eight month period prior to the experiment. During the experiment, half of the 164 mesocosms experienced a weekly population mixing (physical flux) treatment, where 165 mixed mesocosms were stirred once across the middle and once around the circumference with a $0.35m^2$ paddle submerged halfway into the mesocosm (the 166 exception to this was on the first day of the experiment, when all mesocosms 167 168 experienced the mixing treatment to ensure hosts and parasites were distributed 169 throughout the mesocosms). Deeper mesocosms had lower mean temperatures over 170 the season (Spearman's Rank correlation: $r_s = -0.98$, p < 0.0001). Mixing and 171 temperature treatments were haphazardly distributed across the mesocosms, and mean 172 temperature was not different between mixing treatments (mean temperature: t =173 0.04, DF = 17.87, p = 0.97).

The experiment began on the 2^{nd} April 2015 (Julian day 98), when 120 174 Daphnia (10 Daphnia x 12 genotypes) and 1 x 10⁸ Pasteuria spores were added to 175 each of the 20 mesocosms. Between the 2nd April and the 17th November 2015, we 176 177 measured the temperature (°C, using an Aquaread AP-5000 probe; Aquaread, 178 Broadstairs, Kent, UK) and depth of each mesocosm (m) on a weekly basis. After allowing a two week period for the *Daphnia* to establish (*i.e.*, from 16th April 2015), 179 180 we measured the density of various Daphnia life stages in each mesocosm each week 181 (juveniles, healthy adults, *Pasteuria*-infected adults). We did this by passing a 0.048 m^2 pond net across the diameter of the mesocosm (1.51 m) and counting the resulting 182 Daphnia. If there were fewer than 100 Daphnia from the net sweep, we took a second 183 184 sweep of the mesocosm. All Daphnia were returned to their respective mesocosms 185 following population counts. Twenty-thirty Daphnia were sampled from each 186 mesocosm for genotyping on two occasions during the season: once before peak epidemic (24th May 2015; Julian day 144) and once after peak epidemic (17th 187

November 2015; Julian Day 321). It is important to note that due to low population
densities, we were only able to sample 16 of the 20 mesocosms (10 unmixed, 6
mixed) for population genetic analysis.

191

192 DNA extraction and microsatellite genotyping

193 Microsatellite genotyping was used to both identify the twelve unique multilocus 194 Daphnia genotypes to follow their frequencies over the season during the experiment. 195 We extracted genomic DNA from individual Daphnia using NucleoSpin Tissue XS (Macherey Nagel) following the manufacturers protocols. Daphnia were genotyped at 196 197 15 microsatellite markers assembled in two multiplexes for PCR reactions ((Jansen et al., 2011); see Table S1 for a list of marker loci). For each reaction, forward primers 198 199 were fluorescently labelled with different dyes, thus allowing us to identify four 200 distinct loci. Multiplex PCR reactions were 10 µL in volume and consisted of 1µL 201 DNA extract, 5µL of, 2x Type-it Multiplex PCR Mastermix (Qiagen), 3µL Nuclease 202 Free H₂O and 1µL of 10x primer mix solution (2 µM of each primer). PCR Reactions 203 were performed using the following protocol: Taq activation step at 95°C for 15 mins, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 secs, 57°C for 90 secs, 72°C for 90secs, 204 205 72 °C for 90 secs and a final extension at 60°C for 30 mins. PCR products were 206 analyzed using an ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer with the GeneScan-500 LIZ size standard (Applied Biosystems). Allele sizes were scored, using Geneous v9.0.5 207 208 (Biomatters) and validated manually.

209

210 Analysis

211 Data were analyzed using R 3.0.2. Data and code will be archived on Dryad upon 212 acceptance of the manuscript. We analyzed how parasite prevalence varied over time 213 using a Generalized Additive Model (GAM) with a binomial error distribution. GAMs 214 fit non-parametric smoothing functions to covariates in a model (in this case, Julian 215 Day), and allow comparisons between trajectories of the response variable with 216 respect to other factors without the need to fit particular functions to the data. We 217 fitted four GAMs to the parasite prevalence data: all models included the volume of 218 water sampled as a covariate and Julian Day as a non-parametric smoother; physical 219 flux treatment and mean mesocosm temperature were either fitted as fixed effects or 220 as modifiers to the Julian Day smoother function in the other three models (see Table 221 1). We then compared the fits of the models using AIC in order to determine if the 222 relationship between parasite prevalence and Julian Day varied according to mixing 223 treatment, mean mesocosm temperature or both (Table 1). Since parasite prevalence 224 depends on both the numbers of healthy and infected hosts, we fitted separate sets of 225 GAMs with negative binomial errors to counts of infected and healthy adults in order 226 to determine if mixing treatment or mean mesocosm temperature differentially 227 affected hosts from different infection classes over time (see Table S2, S3). We also 228 tested the relationship between epidemic size and severity. We did this by fitting a 229 Generalized Linear Mixed Effects Model (GLMM) with binomial errors to data for 230 the proportion of juveniles in the host population (a key measure of population of 231 health given that the parasite sterilizes its host), with parasite prevalence and volume 232 of water samples as fixed effects and host population and sample date fitted as 233 random effects.

Second, we calculated the overall epidemic size for each mesocosm. This wasdone by integrating the area under the time series of empirically determined

prevalence for each mesocosm. We then tested how mean and variance in
temperatures, and mixing treatment, affected overall epidemic size. This was done by
fitting a linear model (LM) to the epidemic size data with mixing treatment, mean
temperature, variance in temperature and all two-way interactions as fixed effects.

240 Third, we analyzed how host genotype frequencies changed over the course of 241 the season. We analyzed mixed and unmixed mesocosms separately, using two LMs. 242 For each LM, we fitted multilocus genotype identity and sampling time (start, pre-243 epidemic or post-epidemic) as fixed factors. We then performed post hoc tests to 244 examine how genotype frequencies changed between the start and pre-epidemic 245 sampling and between the pre-epidemic and post-epidemic sampling. In order to 246 assess the level of genetic drift, we determined the level of among-population 247 differentiation within mixing treatments and over time. We did this by calculating F_{ST} 248 values for genotype data collected from mixed and unmixed mesocosms both pre- and 249 post-epidemic. F_{ST} is a reliable measure of drift here, because we can be confident 250 that standing host population consists of only asexually produced progeny (sexually 251 produced eggs drop to the sediment and hatch in future years, and we found no 252 recombinant genotypes in individuals collected throughout the experiment). Finally, 253 we examined how host genotypic evenness (a measure of genetic diversity (Smith & 254 Wilson, 1996)) covaried with mesocosm epidemic size and mixing treatment. We 255 analyzed evenness data using a LM, with epidemic size, mixing treatment, sample time (pre- or post-epidemic) and all two-way interactions fitted as fixed factors. 256

257

258 **RESULTS**

259 Temperature and population mixing determine epidemic size

260 Pasteuria -- infected hosts were observed from mid-May until mid-November 261 (between Julian days 106 and 321). The timing and magnitude of *Pasteuria* epidemics 262 varied across populations, as did various other environmental variables. Populations 263 typically experienced a small peak in parasite prevalence in early June (~ day 160) and a much larger peak late July-early August (~day 210-250; Fig. 1). Both 264 265 prevalence peaks were higher in unmixed than in mixed populations and the second 266 peak was earlier and larger in warmer populations than in cooler ones (Fig. 1; Table 267 1). The shape of the relationship between parasite prevalence and time depended on 268 both mixing treatment and mean temperature of the population (Fig. 1; Table 1). 269 Further analysis revealed that warmer populations had higher numbers of infected 270 hosts, but not healthy hosts, and that unmixed populations had higher numbers of both 271 healthy and infected hosts than mixed populations (Table S2, S3, Fig. S1, S2). The 272 proportion of the host population that consisted of juveniles was negatively associated 273 with parasite prevalence (Fig. S3; GLMM: z = 5.47, P < 0.0001), demonstrating the 274 impact of this sterilizing parasite on host populations. Overall epidemic size 275 (measured as parasite prevalence integrated over time) was larger in populations where mean temperature was high (Fig. 2A; LM: $F_{1,16} = 8.70$, P = 0.009), variance in 276 277 temperature was low (Fig. 2B; LM: $F_{1,16} = 4.52$, P = 0.049) and in populations that were unmixed (Fig. 2C; LM: $F_{1,16} = 8.81$, P = 0.009). 278



Figure 1. Parasite prevalence over time across 20 replicate mesocosm populations according to (a) mean population temperature and (b) population mixing treatment. The lines represent proportion of hosts infected as predicted by a generalized additive model (GAM; see Table 1) at ambient temperatures of 12°C and 15°C or for each mixing treatment (temperature was fitted as a covariate, but model predictions for two temperatures are shown for clarity). The shaded areas denote 95% confidence intervals (CIs).



Figure 2. Relationship between epidemic size and (a) mean population temperature, (b) variance in population temperature, and (c) population mixing treatment. Lines show epidemic sizes as predicted by a linear model, shaded areas denote 95% CIs.

Table 1. A Generalized Additive Models fitted to parasite prevalence data. In all four models, sweep volume is fitted as a fixed effect and Julian Day as a non-parametric smoother; mean mesocosm temperature and mixing treatment are fitted as either fixed effects or as modifiers of the Julian Day smoother function. The model that best explains variation in parasite prevalence (here, the model with the lowest AIC value, model 4) is highlighted in bold. **B** Summary analysis for model 4. eDF is the estimated degrees of freedom.

A Model selection				
Model	Parametric	Smoother	Deviance explained %	AIC
1	Sweep Vol; Mean Temp; Mixing	Julian Day	40.3	4754
2	Sweep Vol; Mean Temp	Julian Day by Mixing	40.4	4748
3	Sweep Vol; Mixing	Julian Day by Mean Temp	42.1	4647
4	Sweep Vol	Julian Day by Mixing; Julian Day by Mean Temp	45.6	4428

A Model selection

B Model 4 results

Response	Parametric/Smoother	Term	DF (eDF)	χ2	Р
Parasite prevalence	Parametric	Sweep Vol	1	18.82	<0.0001
	Smoother	Julian Day by Mean Temp	9.77	477	<0.0001
	Smoother	Julian Day, Mixed	8.55	210.6	<0.0001
	Smoother	Julian Day, Unmixed	8.08	224.6	<0.0001

279

280 Epidemic size and population mixing shape host evolution

281 The relative frequencies of host genotypes changed over the course of the season, and

the nature of this change clearly depended on both epidemic size and mixing

treatment (Fig. 3). In unmixed mesocosms, genotype frequencies depended on an

- interaction between the identity of the genotype and the time of sampling (*i.e.*,
- whether the hosts were sampled at the start of the experiment, before the epidemic or
- after the epidemic. Fig. 4, LM: $F_{22,324} = 2.36$, P = 0.0007). Post hoc analysis revealed

287 that in unmixed mesocosms, genotype frequencies did not significantly change between the start of the experiment and when the pre-epidemic samples were taken 288 289 (Tukey test: difference = -0.10, P = 0.17), but did change between the pre-epidemic 290 and post-epidemic sampling (Tukey test: difference = -0.18, P = 0.008). In mixed 291 mesocosms, genotype frequencies also depended on an interaction between the 292 identity of the genotype and the time of sampling (Fig. 3, LM: $F_{22,180} = 1.72$, P =0.030). However, *post hoc* tests showed a significant change in genotype frequencies 293 294 between the start of the experiment and pre-epidemic sampling (Tukey test: difference = -0.21, P = 0.032), but no difference between the pre-epidemic and post-epidemic 295 296 sampling (Tukey test: difference = -0.17, P = 0.108). Population genetic 297 differentiation (a measure of genetic drift) was relatively low in unmixed mesocosms 298 both before peak epidemic ($F_{ST} = 0.09$) and after peak epidemic ($F_{ST} = 0.10$) when 299 compared to wild populations of a much larger size (Vanoverbeke et al., 2007). In 300 mixed mesocosms, population genetic differentiation was higher before peak 301 epidemic ($F_{ST} = 0.12$) and increased towards the end of the season once the epidemic 302 was over ($F_{ST} = 0.20$).



Figure 3. The relative frequencies of each genotype over time in (a) unmixed and (b) mixed populations. There are three sampling points: Start is the beginning of the experiment, when all genotypes were at the same frequency; Pre-epi was on May 24th 2015, before epidemics had peaked; and Post-epi was on November 17th 2015, after epidemics had peaked.

305	The relationship between genotypic evenness (a measure of host diversity) and
306	epidemic size depended on whether samples were collected before or after the
307	epidemic (Fig. 4, Table 2), where large epidemics were associated with low genotypic
308	evenness in samples collected after the epidemic had peaked (but not in samples
309	collected before peak epidemic). Genotypic evenness also depended on an interaction
310	between mixing treatment and sample time (Fig 4, Table 2): unmixed mesocosms had
311	higher genotypic evenness, especially in pre-epidemic samples.



Figure 4. Relationship between host genotypic evenness and (a) epidemic size for preepidemic and post-epidemic samples, and (b) time of population sampling for mixed and unmixed populations. Lines show epidemic sizes as predicted by a linear model, shaded areas denote 95% CIs.

312

Table 2. Linear model testing effects of epidemic size, population mixing and

sampling time (start, pre-epidemic, post-epidemic) on host genotypic evenness (*E*_{var}, a

315	measure of host	diversity)

Genotypic Evenness				
$(E_{\rm var})$	DF	SS	F	Р
Epidemic size (Epi)	1	0.036	16.77	0.0004
Mixing treatment (Mix)	1	0.113	52.62	<0.0001
Sampling time (Samp)	1	0.113	52.44	<0.0001
Epi x Mix	1	0.001	0.37	0.55
Epi x Samp	1	0.011	5.16	0.032
Mixed x Samp	1	0.009	4.32	0.048
Error	25	0.054		

316

317 **DISCUSSION**

- 318 Much of our understanding of how climate change affects disease either comes from
- 319 controlled laboratory experiments, where the environmental effects can be effectively
- 320 dissected but ecological realism is lacking, or from observational studies of
- 321 populations, where ecological complexity can mask the drivers of variation in disease.

322 Semi-natural populations provide an excellent opportunity to manipulate 323 environmental conditions while embracing ecological realism (Benton et al., 2007), 324 but see also (Paull & Johnson, 2014). We found that twenty Daphnia populations -325 each consisting of an identical suite of twelve genotypes - suffered very different 326 epidemics of the sterilizing parasite *Pasteuria ramosa* depending on the temperature 327 and mixing treatments they experienced. However, whilst epidemics differed among 328 mesocosm populations, they were similar to natural epidemics in wild populations in 329 that they occurred in the summer and ended in the winter. Both the timing and 330 magnitude of epidemics and the strength of parasite-mediated selection was 331 dependent on mean temperature, temperature variability and population mixing. 332 Furthermore, the mode and tempo of host evolution, and thus the genetic diversity of 333 host populations, was shaped by both epidemic size and mixing treatment. 334 In numerous host-parasite systems, warmer temperatures are associated with 335 increased parasite transmission, within-host growth rates, or both (LaPointe et al., 336 2010; Alonso et al., 2011; Baker-Austin et al., 2013; Burge et al., 2013; Elderd & 337 Reilly, 2014), though see also (Raffel et al., 2013). Laboratory experiments using the Daphnia-Pasteuria system demonstrated increased likelihood of infection, higher 338 339 parasite burdens and increased host mortality rates when hosts were incubated at 20°C 340 than at 15°C (Vale et al., 2008; Vale & Little, 2009). However, those studies also 341 demonstrated that warming led to increased fecundity in uninfected Daphnia (as is 342 common in numerous organisms: Huey & Berrigan, 2001; Hochachka & Somero, 343 2016). This raises the question of whether the costs of infection are mitigated by the 344 benefits of increased fitness in uninfected hosts in natural populations. We found that 345 even small increases in ambient temperature (3°C) were associated with increased 346 overall epidemic size. We also found that over the course of the season, warmer

347 mesocosms had greater numbers of juveniles and infected adults, but similar numbers 348 of healthy adults. High prevalence of this sterilizing parasite was, however, associated 349 with a low proportion of juveniles in the host population. Our data therefore suggest 350 that any warming-induced increase in reproduction in healthy hosts served to fuel the 351 epidemic more than growth of the healthy host population.

352 It is not just mean temperature that is important for disease dynamics; 353 temperature variability also plays a major role. Daily temperature variation was found 354 to be negatively associated with the likelihood of the Dengue virus successfully infecting its Anopheles gambiae (mosquito) hosts (Lambrechts et al., 2011), and the 355 356 Holospora undulata bacterium infecting its Paramecium caudatum hosts (Duncan et 357 al., 2011). Whereas, rapid temperature fluctuations increased the likelihood that the 358 fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis successfully infected its Osteopilus 359 septentrionalis (frog) hosts (Raffel et al., 2013) and also fostered greater B. 360 dendrobatidis growth rate on Notophthalmus viridescens (newt) hosts (Raffel et al., 361 2015). Although we did not measure daily temperature fluctuation, we did find that 362 increased weekly temperature variability was associated with smaller Pasteuria epidemics. It is unclear exactly how temperature variability limits epidemics in this 363 364 host-parasite system. However, parasite ability to attach to hosts is very temperature 365 sensitive in the related bacterium, *Pasteuria penetrans*, a parasite of nematodes: a 366 7.5°C deviation from thermal optimum leads to a 15% reduction in P. penetrans 367 attachment to the nematode cuticle (Freitas et al., 1997), suggesting parasite 368 attachment should be the focus of future study on how temperature variability affects 369 infection in the Daphnia magna-Pasteuria ramosa system.

370

In addition to shifts in temperature, changing weather has given rise to

371 increased physical flux in the form of storms and floods. Such flux is known to cause 372 increased mixing in populations and nutrient upwelling (Walker, 1991), with the 373 potential to increase host contact rate with parasite transmission stages and thus 374 epidemic size (May & Anderson, 1979). Based on this, one might expect mixing to lead to larger epidemics, though we found no evidence for this. Contrary to 375 376 expectations, we found that mixed mesocosms suffered smaller epidemics. It is, 377 however, important to note that population size was universally lower in mixed than 378 in unmixed mesocosms, perhaps because sediment upwelling reduced the efficiency 379 at which Daphnia filtered food from the water, thus leading to a lower carrying capacity. So if there were any increases in parasite infection rates due to higher host-380 381 parasite contact rate, they were outweighed by negative effects on host reproductive 382 rate.

Given that each mesocosm was seeded with identical suites of host genotypes 383 384 that reproduced asexually throughout the season, we were able to test whether any emergent patterns of selection were shaped by environmental variation and quantify 385 386 the genetic drift in host populations. Directional selection would favor the same 387 subset of host genotypes across populations, whereas if genetic drift was the principal driver of host evolution, we would observe relatively high among-population genetic 388 389 differentiation (Vanoverbeke et al., 2007; Vanoverbeke & De Meester, 2010). In 390 unmixed mesocosms, we found that the frequencies of each genotype changed over 391 the course of the season, and the nature of this change depended on the identity of the 392 genotype. Importantly, there was no significant change in genotype frequencies 393 between the start of the experiment and the sample taken before the peak epidemic, 394 but there was a significant change in genotype frequencies between the pre-epidemic 395 and post-epidemic sampling. Among unmixed mesocosms, population genetic

differentiation was low (given the small size of the populations: Vanoverbeke *et al.*,
2007) and changed minimally over the course of the season. A strong signal of
parasite-mediated selection was therefore discernible over and above drift, supporting
disease epidemics as the principal driver of host evolution in unmixed mesocosms.

400 Mixed mesocosms showed a different pattern. Whilst the direction of change 401 in genotype frequencies also depended on the identity of the genotype in mixed 402 mesocosms, the significant changes occurred before the peak epidemic. Furthermore, 403 the two host genotypes that increased most in frequency (5B and K3A) were 404 comparatively susceptible to the parasite but had the highest reproductive rates (S. 405 Auld unpublished data). These results are consistent with our epidemiological data, 406 and suggest that mixing exerts strong selection for high fecundity in the host 407 population and that parasite epidemics play a less important role on host evolution 408 than in unmixed mesocosms. On the other hand, population differentiation increased 409 over the course of the season in mixed mesocosms, suggesting that mixing led to a bottleneck that left the host population particularly vulnerable to genetic drift. 410

411 We sought to test if parasite-mediated selection maintained host genetic 412 diversity (Wolinska & Spaak, 2009) or depleted it by driving selective sweeps 413 (Obbard *et al.*, 2011). Host genotypic evenness – a key measure of population genetic 414 diversity - was negatively associated with epidemic size, particularly in samples 415 collected after peak epidemic. This provides compelling evidence that parasite 416 epidemics apply strong directional selection on host populations. Mixed mesocosms 417 also had lower host genotypic evenness than unmixed populations; once again, this 418 effect was stronger for samples collected after the peak epidemic, and points towards 419 the mixing treatment stripping out host genetic diversity over time. How could this

420 affect the health of populations in the long-term? Selection for increased host 421 resistance could lead to smaller or less severe epidemics in future years. If so, one 422 would expect mesocosms that suffered the largest epidemics in this season to suffer 423 smaller epidemics in the following year. However, this relies on the assumption that 424 host genes that confer resistance to current parasites also confer resistance to future 425 parasites (this is sometimes, though not always the case in this system: (Little & 426 Ebert, 2001; Auld et al. 2016). In any case, host populations with low genetic 427 diversity are commonly prone to the spread of severe epidemics because disease 428 transmission is more likely to be successful when hosts are genetically similar (Anderson et al., 1986; King & Lively, 2012). Moreover, a decline in genetic 429 430 diversity reduces a population's capacity to respond to further selection more 431 generally, because diversity is the currency with which a population pays for 432 adaptation (Lande & Shannon, 1996). Therefore, the low diversity populations in 433 mixed mesocosms are still much more vulnerable to extinction, despite suffering 434 smaller parasite epidemics.

435

436 STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

437 SKJRA designed the study, SKJRA and JB collected the data, SKJRA analyzed the
438 data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and both authors approved the final
439 version of the manuscript.

440 DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT

441 All data and code will be archived with Dryad upon acceptance of the manuscript.

442 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 443 This project was funded by a NERC Independent Research Fellowship to SKJRA
- 444 (NE/L011549/1). We thank three anonymous reviewers for constructive comments.

445 **REFERENCES**

- 446 Alonso D, Bouma MJ, Pascual M (2011) Epidemic malaria and warmer temperatures
- in recent decades in an East African highland. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*
- 448 *B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 1661–1669.
- Altermatt F, Ebert D (2008) Genetic diversity of *Daphnia magna* populations

450 enhances resistance to parasites. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 918–928.

- 451 Anderson RM, May RM, Joysey K et al. (1986) The invasion, persistence and spread
- 452 of infectious diseases within animal and plant communities. *Philosophical*
- 453 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **314**, 533–570.
- 454 Auld SKJR, Graham AL, Wilson PJ, Little TJ (2012) Elevated haemocyte number is
- 455 associated with infection and low fitness potential in wild *Daphnia magna*.
- 456 *Functional Ecology*, **26**, 434–440.
- 457 Auld SKJR, Hall SR, Ochs JH, Sebastian M, Duffy MA (2014a) Predators and
- 458 patterns of within-host growth can mediate both among-host competition and
- 459 evolution of transmission potential of parasites*. American Naturalist, 184, S77-
- 460 S90.
- 461 Auld SKJR, Penczykowski RM, Housley Ochs J, Grippi DC, Hall SR, Duffy MA
- 462 (2013) Variation in costs of parasite resistance among natural host populations.
- 463 *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **26**, 2479–2486.
- 464 Auld SKJR, Tinkler, SK, Tinsley, MC (2016) Sex as a strategy against rapidly
- 465 evolving parasites. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **283**, 20162226.
- 466 Auld SKJR, Wilson PJ, Little TJ (2014b) Rapid change in parasite infection traits
- 467 over the course of an epidemic in a wild host–parasite population. *Oikos*, **123**,

468 232–238.

- 469 Baker-Austin C, Trinanes JA, Taylor NGH, Hartnell R, Siitonen A, Martinez-Urtaza J
- 470 (2013) Emerging *Vibrio* risk at high latitudes in response to ocean warming.
- 471 *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 73–77.
- 472 Beisner BE (2001) Herbivory in variable environments: an experimental test of the
- 473 effects of vertical mixing and *Daphnia* on phytoplankton community structure.
- 474 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **58**, 1371–1379.
- 475 Benton TG, Solan M, Travis JMJ, Sait SM (2007) Microcosm experiments can inform
- 476 global ecological problems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **22**, 516–521.
- 477 Burge CA, Kim CJS, Lyles JM, Harvell CD (2013) Oceans and human health: The
- 478 ecology of marine opportunists. *Microbial Ecology*, **65**, 869–879.
- 479 Coumou D, Rahmstorf S (2012) A decade of weather extremes. *Nature Climate*480 *Change*, 2, 491–496.
- 481 Cressler CE, Nelson WA, Day T, McCauley E (2014) Starvation reveals the cause of
- 482 infection-induced castration and gigantism. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
- 483 *Biological Sciences*, **281**, 20141087.
- 484 Decaestecker E, Gaba S, Raeymaekers JAM, Stoks R, Van Kerckhoven L, Ebert D,
- 485 De Meester L (2007) Host-parasite "Red Queen" dynamics archived in pond
 486 sediment. *Nature*, **450**, 870–873.
- 487 Duncan AB, Little TJ (2007) Parasite-driven genetic change in a natural population of
 488 *Daphnia. Evolution*, **61**, 796–803.
- 489 Duncan AB, Fellous S, Kaltz O (2011) Temporal variation in temperature determines
- 490 disease spread and maintenance in *Paramecium* microcosm populations.
- 491 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, rspb20110287.
- 492 Duneau D, Luijckx P, Ben Ami F, Laforsch C, Ebert D (2011) Resolving the infection

493	process reveals striking differences in the contribution of environment, genetics
494	and phylogeny to host-parasite interactions. BMC Biology, 9, 11.
495	Ebert D, Rainey P, Embley TM, Scholz D (1996) Development, life cycle,
496	ultrastructure and phylogenetic position of Pasteuria ramosa Metchnikoff 1888:
497	Rediscovery of an obligate endoparasite of Daphnia magna Straus. Philosophical
498	Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 351, 1689–1701.
499	Ebert D, Zschokke-Rohringer CD, Carius HJ (1998) Within-and between-population
500	variation for resistance of Daphnia magna to the bacterial endoparasite Pasteuria
501	ramosa. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 265, 2127–
502	2134.
503	Elderd BD, Reilly JR (2014) Warmer temperatures increase disease transmission and
504	outbreak intensity in a host-pathogen system. Journal of Animal Ecology, 83,
505	838–849.
506	Freitas LG, Mitchell DJ, Dickson DW (1997) Temperature Effects on the Attachment
507	of Pasteuria penetrans Endospores to Meloidogyne arenaria Race 1. Journal of
508	Nematology, 29 , 547-555.
509	Hobaek A, Larsson P (1990) Sex determination in Daphnia magna. Ecology, 71,
510	2255-2268.
511	Hochachka PW, Somero GN (2016) Hochachka, P.W. and Somero, G.N.:
512	Biochemical Adaptation (eBook, Paperback and Hardcover).
513	Huey RB, Berrigan D (2001) Temperature, demography, and ectotherm fitness.
514	American Naturalist, 158 , 204–210.
515	Jansen B, Geldof S, De Meester L (2011) Isolation and characterization of
516	microsatellite markers in the waterflea Daphnia magna. Molecular Ecology
517	Resources.

- 518 Kilpatrick AM, Meola MA, Moudy RM, Kramer LD (2008) Temperature, viral
- 519 genetics, and the transmission of West Nile virus by *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes.

520 *PLOS Pathogens*, **4**, e1000092.

- 521 King KC, Lively CM (2012) Does genetic diversity limit disease spread in natural
 522 host populations? *Heredity*, **109**, 199–203.
- 523 Klüttgen B, Dülmer U, Engels M, Ratte HT (1994) ADaM, an artificial freshwater for
- the culture of zooplankton. *Water research*, **28**, 743–746.
- Lafferty KD (2009) Calling for an ecological approach to studying climate change
 and infectious diseases. *Ecology*, **90**, 932–933.
- 527 Lambrechts L, Paaijmans KP, Fansiri T, Carrington LB, Kramer LD, Thomas MB,
- 528 Scott TW (2011) Impact of daily temperature fluctuations on dengue virus
- 529 transmission by Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
- **108**, 7460–7465.
- Lande R, Shannon S (1996) The role of genetic variation in adaptation and population
 persistence in a changing environment. *Evolution*, **50**, 434-437.
- 533 LaPointe DA, Goff ML, Atkinson CT (2010) Thermal constraints to the sporogonic
- development and altitudinal distribution of avian malaria *Plasmodium relictum* in
- Hawai'i. *Journal of Parasitology*, **96**, 318–324.
- 536 Levine SN, Zehrer RF, Burns CW (2005) Impact of resuspended sediment on
- zooplankton feeding in Lake Waihola, New Zealand. *Freshwater Biology*, 50,
 1515, 1526
- 538 1515-1536.
- 539 Little TJ, Ebert D (2001) Temporal patterns of genetic variation for resistance and
- 540 infectivity in a *Daphnia*-microparasite system. *Evolution*, **55**, 1146–1152.
- 541 May RM, Anderson RM (1979) Population biology of infectious diseases: Part II.
- 542 *Nature*, **280**, 455–461.

- 543 McNew GL (1960) *McNew: The nature, origin, and evolution of parasitism.* Plant
 544 pathology: an advanced treatise.
- 545 Murdock CC, Sternberg ED, Thomas MB (2016) Malaria transmission potential could
- 546 be reduced with current and future climate change. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 27771.
- 547 Obbard DJ, Jiggins FM, Bradshaw NJ, Little TJ (2011) Recent and recurrent selective
- 548 sweeps of the antiviral RNAi gene *Argonaute-2* in three species of *Drosophila*.
- 549 *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **28**, 1043–1056.
- 550 Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change

impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, **421**, 37–42.

- 552 Paull SH, Johnson PTJ (2014) Experimental warming drives a seasonal shift in the
- timing of host- parasite dynamics with consequences for disease risk. *Ecology*

554 *Letters*, **17**, 445–453.

555 Poulin R (2006) Global warming and temperature-mediated increases in cercarial

emergence in trematode parasites. *Parasitology*, **132**, 143–151.

- 557 Raffel TR, Halstead NT, McMahon TA, Davis AK, Rohr JR (2015) Temperature
- variability and moisture synergistically interact to exacerbate an epizootic disease.

559 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **282**, 20142039.

- 560 Raffel TR, Romansic JM, Halstead NT, McMahon TA, Venesky MD, Rohr JR (2013)
- 561 Disease and thermal acclimation in a more variable and unpredictable climate.
- 562 *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 146–151.
- 563 Salvaudon L, Héraudet V, Shykoff JA (2009) Parasite-host fitness trade-offs change
- with parasite identity: genotype-specific interactions in a plant-pathogen system.
- 565 *Evolution*, **59**, 2518-2524.
- 566 Smith B, Wilson JB (1996) A Consumer's Guide to Evenness Indices. *Oikos*, **76**, 70-
- 567 82.

- 568 Thrall PH, Laine A-L, Ravensdale M, Nemri A, Dodds PN, Barrett LG, Burdon JJ
- 569 (2012) Rapid genetic change underpins antagonistic coevolution in a natural
- 570 host- pathogen metapopulation. *Ecology Letters*, **15**, 425–435.
- 571 Vale PF, Little TJ (2009) Measuring parasite fitness under genetic and thermal
 572 variation. *Heredity*, **103**, 102–109.
- 573 Vale PF, Stjernman M, Little TJ (2008) Temperature- dependent costs of parasitism
- and maintenance of polymorphism under genotype- by- environment

575 interactions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **21**, 1418–1427.

- 576 Vale PF, Wilson AJ, Best A, Boots M, Little TJ (2011) Epidemiological, evolutionary
- and co-evolutionary implications of context-dependent parasitism. *American*
- 578 *naturalist*, **177**, 510.
- 579 Vander Wal E, Garant D, Calmé S et al. (2014) Applying evolutionary concepts to

580 wildlife disease ecology and management. *Evolutionary Applications*,

- 581 10.1111/eva.12168.
- 582 Vanoverbeke J, De Meester L (2010) Clonal erosion and genetic drift in cyclical
- 583 parthenogens the interplay between neutral and selective processes. *Journal of*
- 584 *Evolutionary Biology*, **23**, 997–1012.
- 585 Vanoverbeke J, De Gelas K, De Meester L (2007) Habitat size and the genetic
- 586 structure of a cyclical parthenogen, *Daphnia magna*. *Heredity*, **98**, 419–426.
- 587 Walker BH (1991) Ecological consequences of atmospheric and climate change.
- 588 *Climatic Change*, **18**, 301–316.
- 589 Wolinska J, King KC (2009) Environment can alter selection in host-parasite
- 590 interactions. *Trends in parasitology*, **25**, 236–244.
- 591 Wolinska J, Spaak P (2009) The cost of being common: evidence from natural
- 592 *Daphnia* populations. *Evolution*, **63**, 1893–1901.

593

594	Figure 1. Parasite prevalence over time across 20 replicate mesocosm populations
595	according to (a) mean population temperature and (b) population mixing treatment.
596	The lines represent proportion of hosts infected as predicted by a generalized additive
597	model (GAM; see Table 1) at ambient temperatures of 12°C and 15°C or for each
598	mixing treatment (temperature was fitted as a covariate, but model predictions for two
599	temperatures are shown for clarity). The shaded areas denote 95% confidence
600	intervals (CIs).

601

Figure 2. Relationship between epidemic size and (a) mean population temperature,
(b) variance in population temperature, and (c) population mixing treatment. Lines
show epidemic sizes as predicted by a linear model, shaded areas denote 95% CIs.

605

Figure 3. The relative frequencies of each genotype over time in (a) unmixed and (b)
mixed populations. There are three sampling points: Start is the beginning of the
experiment, when all genotypes were at the same frequency; Pre-epi was on May 24th
2015, before epidemics had peaked; and Post-epi was on November 17th 2015, after
epidemics had peaked.

611

Figure 4. Relationship between host genotypic evenness and (a) epidemic size for preepidemic and post-epidemic samples, and (b) time of population sampling for mixed and unmixed populations. Lines show epidemic sizes as predicted by a linear model,

615 shaded areas denote 95% CIs.

616

Table 1. A Generalized Additive Models fitted to parasite prevalence data. In all four
models, sweep volume is fitted as a fixed effect and Julian Day as a non-parametric
smoother; mean mesocosm temperature and mixing treatment are fitted as either fixed
effects or as modifiers of the Julian Day smoother function. The model that best
explains variation in parasite prevalence (here, the model with the lowest AIC value,
model 4) is highlighted in bold. **B** Summary analysis for model 4. eDF is the

623 estimated degrees of freedom.

624

Table 2. Linear model testing effects of epidemic size, population mixing and sampling time (start, pre-epidemic, post-epidemic) on host genotypic evenness (E_{var} , a measure of host diversity).

628

629