

Higgins, Gerard and Li, Weibin and Pokorny, Fabian and Zhang, Chi and Kress, Florian and Maier, Christiane and Haag, Johannes and Bodart, Quentin and Lesanovsky, Igor and Hennrich, Markus (2017) Single strontium Rydberg ion confined in a Paul trap. Physical Review X . ISSN 2160-3308 (In Press)

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/42568/1/XZ10161.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Single strontium Rydberg ion confined in a Paul trap

Gerard Higgins, $^{1,\,2}$ Weibin Li, $^{3,\,4}$ Fabian Pokorny, 1 Chi Zhang, 1 Florian Kress, 2 Christine

Maier,² Johannes Haag,² Quentin Bodart,¹ Igor Lesanovsky,^{3,4} and Markus Hennrich¹

¹Department of Physics, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

²Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

³School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

⁴Centre for the Mathematics and Theoretical Physics of Quantum Non-equilibrium Systems,

University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

Trapped Rydberg ions are a promising new system for quantum information processing. They have the potential to join the precise quantum operations of trapped ions and the strong, long-range interactions between Rydberg atoms. Combining the two systems is not at all straightforward. Rydberg atoms are severely affected by electric fields which may cause Stark shifts and field ionization, while electric fields are used to trap ions. Thus, a thorough understanding of the physical properties of Rydberg ions due to the trapping electric fields is essential for future applications. Here we report the observation of two fundamental trap effects. First, we investigate the interaction of the Rydberg electron with the trapping electric quadrupole fields which leads to Floquet sidebands in the excitation spectra. Second, we report on the modified trapping potential in the Rydberg state compared to the ground state which results from the strong polarizability of the Rydberg ion. By controlling both effects we observe resonance lines close to their natural linewidth demonstrating an unprecedented level of control of this novel quantum platform.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Ee, 37.10.Ty, 32.70.-n, 32.60.+i

Trapped ions are one of the most mature implementations of a quantum computer. The trapped ion approach has set several benchmarks with qubit lifetimes up to minutes [1], entanglement operations with error probabilities smaller than 10^{-3} [2, 3], and with up to 14 entangled qubits [4]. Trapped ions also assume a leading role in the implementation of quantum algorithms [5–8], quantum error correction [9–11], and quantum simulations [12–15].

The standard method to realize quantum information processing with trapped ions uses the common motion for entanglement operations between the ion qubits [16]. A current limitation of trapped ion quantum computation is the limited storage capacity as it becomes more difficult to perform entanglement operations in large ion crystals due to the increasingly complex motional mode structure. Possible schemes to reach larger quantum systems include segmented ion traps [17], ion-photon networks [18], and, trapped Rydberg ions [19, 20].

Trapped Rydberg ions are a novel quantum system. Here, the outermost electron of an ion is excited into Rydberg states far away from the atomic core. Pairs of Rydberg ions are envisaged to couple strongly via electric dipole-dipole interaction. Quantum gates using Rydberg interactions may be realized independently of the ionic vibrational modes [21], thus Rydberg ions may be used in larger ion crystals for entanglement operations [19, 22]. A similar entanglement method has been demonstrated with neutral atoms [23–25]. In this sense trapped Rydberg ions promise to join the advantages of both technologies: they combine the strong dipolar interaction between Rydberg atoms with the precise quantum control and long storage times of trapped ions.

Combining these systems is by no means trivial. The

trapping electric fields will need to stay active while exciting ions to Rydberg states, else the strong Coulomb repulsion will quickly push the ions apart. Rydberg atoms, however, are extremely sensitive to electric fields which cause Stark shifts and may even ionize the Rydberg electron. In neutral Rydberg atom experiments any electric fields are carefully compensated and so it seems at best far-fetched to excite an ion trapped in strong electric fields to a Rydberg state. Remarkably, trapped Rydberg ions have been realized [26] using single-photon excitation of ⁴⁰Ca⁺ ions with vacuum ultraviolet laser light at 122nm. Also, selective manipulation of the ground state was combined with optical pumping via the Rydberg state [27].

Here we report on a two-photon Rydberg excitation of 88 Sr⁺ ions. The wavelengths used for Rydberg excitation (243 nm and 309 nm) are significantly easier to handle than the vacuum ultraviolet light used before [26]. In particular, the laser photons contain significantly lower energy, thus no surface charging of the trap electrodes is observed. Also, in a two-photon excitation the lasers can be sent from opposite sides which puts the ions in an effective Lamb-Dicke regime and thus avoids Doppler broadening of the resonances. These advantages allow us to achieve an unparalleled level of control and to investigate the fundamental physical effects of the trap on Rydberg ions.

Modifications of the Rydberg properties due to the strong electric fields of the Paul trap have been predicted [19, 20]. One of the expected effects is that the Rydberg electron will interact with the trapping electric quadrupole fields. While Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ - and $P_{1/2}$ -states do not possess quadrupole moments due to their symmetry, and thus do not interact with the quadrupole fields,



FIG. 1. Experimental setup. (a) An ion is trapped in a linear Paul trap and manipulated by laser beams for Doppler cooling and fluorescence detection (422 nm), repumping (1092 nm and 1033 nm), electron shelving (674 nm), and Rydberg excitation (243 nm and 309 nm). A magnetic field with $B = (0.3564 \pm 0.0008) \text{ mT}$ defines the quantization axis and is oriented parallel to the trap axis and the Rydberg excitation lasers. (b) Energy level scheme of ⁸⁸Sr⁺ and detection sequence of successful Rydberg excitation. Before Rydberg excitation the Doppler cooled ion is initialized in the metastable $4D_{3/2}$ state via optical pumping. (i) The Rydberg excitation lasers couple the initial $4D_{3/2}$ state to a Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ - or $D_{3/2}$ -state, which then quickly decays in multiple steps to the $5S_{1/2}$ ground state with 95% probability in $\langle 20 \, \mu s$. (ii) Rydberg-excited population which subsequently decayed to the ground state is transferred to the metastable $4D_{5/2}$ state, allowing fluorescence detection to distinguish between successful Rydberg excitations (population in $4D_{5/2} \rightarrow$ no fluorescence) and cases with no Rydberg excitations (population in $4D_{3/2} \rightarrow$ fluorescence) in the final step (iii). This sequence is typically repeated 100 times for each data point.

higher angular momentum states will be affected. We experimentally investigate this fundamental effect, explore the different behavior of Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ - and $D_{3/2}$ -states, and compare the experimental results to theoretical simulations.

A further novel property of Rydberg-excited ions is that their trapping potential is modified compared to their ground state which can e.g. induce structural phase transitions in an ion crystal [28]. This effect is caused by the strong polarizability of the Rydberg state which becomes polarized in the trapping electric fields. Depending on the sign of the polarizability the induced dipole either weakens or increases the trapping electric field experienced by the ion and thus modifies the confining potential. We observe a first signature of this effect investigating a single Rydberg resonance. In particular, we see the modified trapping potential as a line broadening for a Doppler cooled ion with a thermal population distribution, as compared to a sideband cooled ion where most of the population resides in the motional ground state.

I. EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM

A single ${}^{88}\text{Sr}^+$ ion is confined in a linear Paul trap and excited to Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ - and $D_{3/2}$ -states by a twophoton process as shown in Fig. 1. 243 nm laser light drives the first step from the metastable $4D_{3/2}$ state to $6P_{1/2}$, while tunable laser light at 304-309 nm excites the second step to reach Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ - and $D_{3/2}$ -states.

During Rydberg excitation the ion is confined in the electric fields of a linear Paul trap. Note that the Rydberg ions do not get doubly ionized by the trapping electric fields, as ions are generally held at the null of the electric quadrupole fields, at least given stray electric fields leading to micromotion of the ion are properly compensated. For further details on the experimental setup and the micromotion compensation see Appendices A and B.

II. IONIC RYDBERG STATES IN A LINEAR PAUL TRAP

In the following we provide the theoretical background concerning the Rydberg excitation of a strontium ion held in a linear Paul trap. This will allow us to undertake a comparison between experimentally obtained and theoretically calculated excitation spectra. Specifically, it will enable us to identify and quantify the influence of the trapping fields on the Rydberg-ion level structure.

The electric potential of the Paul trap reads

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \alpha \cos \Omega t \left(x^2 - y^2 \right) - \beta \left(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2 \right)$$
 (1)

where α and β are electric field gradients and Ω is the frequency of the radio-frequency (RF) electric field. In



FIG. 2. Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction. Quadrupolar energy shifts and radio-frequency (RF) coupling due to the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction H_{eQ} for a Rydberg $D_{3/2}$ -state.

contrast to low-lying states, the weakly-bound Rydberg electron can exhibit a sizable coupling to the trap electric fields. To illustrate this, we write the coupling Hamiltonian $H_{eQ}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as [20],

$$H_{eQ}(\mathbf{r},t) = er^{2} \left[-2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}}\beta Y_{2}^{0}(\theta,\phi) -\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}}\alpha\cos\Omega t Y_{2}^{2}(\theta,\phi) + \text{H.c.} \right], \quad (2)$$

where θ and ϕ are polar and azimuthal angles with respect to the trap axis z, and $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$ are spherical harmonics.

For a Rydberg state $|nLJm_J\rangle$, with n, L, J the principal, angular, and total angular quantum numbers, and m_J the projection of J on the quantization axis (along the trap z-axis), the quadrupole coupling is non-zero when J > 1/2, while it vanishes when J = 1/2 due to selection rules. This shows that there is no first order effect for Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ -states (L = 0 and J = 1/2). However, the coupling becomes significant in Rydberg D_J -states (J = 3/2 or J = 5/2), as illustrated in Fig. 2. Specifically, for J = 3/2 and magnetic field B||z the static part of the trap potential causes an energy shift to state $|nD\frac{3}{2}m_J\rangle$,

$$E_{\rm s} = \frac{2}{5} (-1)^{|m_J| - 1/2} \beta Q_{3/2}.$$
 (3)

where $Q_J = -e\langle nDJ | r^2 | nDJ \rangle$ denotes the corresponding quadrupole transition moment. For $n \gg 1$, its approximate value is $Q_J \approx \frac{ea_0^2 n^2}{2(2Z_e+1)} [5n^2 + 1 - 3L(L+1)]$, with core charge $Z_e = 2$ and Bohr radius a_0 . From Eq. (3) it follows that the energy shifts for $|m_J| = 1/2$ and $|m_J| = 3/2$ have the same strength but opposite signs, as depicted in Fig. 2.

The RF dependent part of Hamiltonian (2), on the other hand, couples different Zeeman states with $\Delta m_J = \pm 2$, see Fig. 2. As the RF frequency is much smaller than the fine structure splitting ($\Omega/2\pi \sim \text{MHz}$), we restrict the coupling to within the same Zeeman manifold (for states with identical quantum numbers n, L and J). The RF

dependent part of Eq. (2) then assumes the form

$$H_{\rm RF} = \hbar C \cos \Omega t \sum_{m_J=1/2}^{3/2} \left[|nLJ(m_J - 2)\rangle \langle nLJm_J| + \text{H.c.} \right]$$

where the constant $C = -2Q_J \alpha / 5\sqrt{3}\hbar$ is the effective Rabi frequency of the RF field.

In the current experiment, typical trap parameters are $\alpha \approx 3 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{Vm^{-2}}$, $\beta \approx 6 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{Vm^{-2}}$ and $\Omega \approx 2\pi 18 \,\mathrm{MHz}$. This yields a static frequency shift $|E_{\rm s}|/\hbar \approx 2\pi 43 \,\mathrm{kHz}$ and effective Rabi frequency $C \approx 2\pi 12 \,\mathrm{MHz}$ in the Rydberg state $|24\mathrm{D}\frac{3}{2}m_J\rangle$. Note that the latter is comparable with the RF frequency, $C \sim \Omega$. An emerging feature is that Floquet sidebands will be populated [29], as the rotating wave approximation is not applicable in the quadrupole coupling Hamiltonian H_{RF} .

All subsequent calculations of the Rydberg spectra (shown in Figs. 3 and 4) are performed with coupling Hamiltonian of the form (2). We take into account the experimental trap geometry and laser parameters (see Appendix D for the laser-ion interaction). The laser excitation dynamics is described through a quantum master equation.

III. RYDBERG ELECTRON-QUADRUPOLE FIELD INTERACTION

According to the previous considerations Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ -states are expected to not interact with the trapping electric quadrupole fields, thus we expect an excitation spectrum with a simple structure. The experimental results for $25S_{1/2}$ are shown in Fig. 3. Peaks in the $4D_{5/2}$ population result from the excitation of the ion to $25S_{1/2}$ Rydberg state at excitation energy (88081.84 ± 0.04) cm⁻¹ with respect to the ground state of Sr^+ consistent with values reported in [31]. Depending on the laser polarizations used, one, two or four peaks are observed. The observed resonance lines can be easily explained by the Zeeman splitting in the applied magnetic field of $B = (0.3564 \pm 0.0008) \,\mathrm{mT}$ where each of the four equally populated initial Zeeman levels couples to exactly one Rydberg level, see Fig. 3b. The simulation results corroborate this explanation. Relative amplitudes agree with the difference in Rabi frequencies due to the respective Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Thus, the spectroscopy of the Rydberg S-state can be fully explained by the Zeeman effect in the applied magnetic field. No effect of the trapping electric quadrupole fields on the S-state Rydberg electron is observed.

The effect of the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction becomes evident in the excitation spectra of the $24D_{3/2}$ Rydberg state (experimental excitation energy $(88101.36 \pm 0.04) \,\mathrm{cm^{-1}})$, see Fig. 4. We observe a multitude of resonances with Floquet sidebands caused by the RF coupling between Zeeman sublevels within the $24D_{3/2}$ manifold. The simulation matches the experimental data when the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction



FIG. 3. Zeeman splitting in Rydberg $S_{1/2}$ -states. (a) Two-photon excitation spectra to state $25S_{1/2}$. The frequency of the second Rydberg excitation laser at 309 nm is scanned while the frequency of the first Rydberg excitation laser at 243 nm is kept $2\pi 160$ MHz blue-detuned from the intermediate state resonance. The two-photon detuning is defined as the sum of the two UV-photon frequencies minus the frequency difference of the $25S_{1/2}$ and $4D_{3/2}$ states in the absence of a magnetic field $\omega_{243} + \omega_{309} - \frac{1}{\hbar}(E_{25S_{1/2}} - E_{4D_{3/2}})$. Successful Rydberg excitation is signaled by a high probability for shelving the ion to state $4D_{5/2}$. The excitation spectrum shows four resonance peaks for both lasers in an equal superposition of σ^+ and σ^- polarization (denoted as (\pm/\pm)), two peaks when the second step 309 nm laser is changed to $\sigma^ (\pm/-)$, and a single peak when both lasers are σ^+ (+/+). Black dots are the measured data points with error bars due to quantum projection noise, blue lines are the simulated Rydberg excitation spectra (Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{243} = 2\pi 0.47$ MHz, $\Omega_{309} = 2\pi 49$ MHz, dephasing of the Rydberg state $\delta\omega_{25S} = 2\pi 2.2$ MHz). Off-resonant scattering from the intermediate state and spontaneous decay from the initial $4D_{3/2}$ state each contribute to the background signal. (b) Allowed transitions for Rydberg excitation to $25S_{1/2}$. The Rydberg-excitation beams are aligned with the direction of the applied magnetic field at the position of the ion, thus electric dipole transitions which preserve the magnetic quantum number (π -transitions) are not excited. With this constraint, only four non-degenerate transitions between the $4D_{3/2}$ and $25S_{1/2}$ Zeeman sublevels remain. As the frequency of the 309nm laser is scanned, each of the four transitions comes into resonance at a different frequency.

[Eq. (2)] is included. Also, matching the positions of the AC-Stark shifted resonances in the simulation and the experimental data allows the Rabi frequency of the 309 nm laser to be determined to within 10%. Smaller deviations between the experimental data and the theoretical model may be explained by experimental imperfections and ion motion, such as imperfect polarization, motional sideband transitions, thermal broadening, and micromotion effects, which are not included in the simulation.

The observed resonance lines can be explained in simple terms as depicted in Fig. 4b. Due to the RF coupling of the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction, sidebands at $\pm \Omega$ relative to neighboring Zeeman levels ($\Delta m_J = \pm 2$) become visible. For high Rabi frequencies the lines are offset by AC Stark shifts. The resonance frequencies can be identified by a diagonalization of the coupling Hamiltonian within the $24D_{3/2}$ manifold, see Appendix E. For instance for σ^-/σ^+ polarizations for the first/second Rydberg excitation steps and the Rabi frequencies as in the matching simulation, we obtain four eigenfrequencies at 2π {5.8, 9.2, 14.1, 29.0} MHz detuning with corresponding eigenstates

$$\begin{split} |A\rangle &= +0.72 \ |\frac{1}{2}, 0\rangle - 0.69 \ |\frac{3}{2}, +1\rangle \\ |B\rangle &= -0.21 \ |\frac{3}{2}, 0\rangle + 0.98 \ |\frac{1}{2}, +1\rangle \\ |C\rangle &= +0.69 \ |\frac{1}{2}, 0\rangle + 0.72 \ |\frac{3}{2}, +1\rangle \\ |D\rangle &= +0.97 \ |\frac{3}{2}, 0\rangle + 0.22 \ |\frac{1}{2}, +1\rangle \end{split}$$

where we use $|m_J, n\rangle = |24D_2^3 m_J, n\rangle$, with *n* being the number of quadrupole excitations of the Floquet sidebands. The corresponding states $|A\rangle$ to $|D\rangle$ are marked



FIG. 4. Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction for Rydberg $D_{3/2}$ -states. (a) Excitation spectra and simulation results for $24D_{3/2}$. The frequency of the second Rydberg excitation laser at 309 nm is scanned while the frequency of the first Rydberg excitation laser at 243 nm is kept $2\pi 160$ MHz blue-detuned from the intermediate state resonance. The two-photon detuning is defined as the sum of the two UV-photon frequencies minus the frequency difference of the $24D_{3/2}$ and $4D_{3/2}$ states in the absence of a magnetic field, trap effects and AC Stark effects $\omega_{243} + \omega_{309} - \frac{1}{\hbar}(E_{255_{1/2}} - E_{4D_{3/2}})$. The trapping parameters are $\{\omega_{axial}, \omega_{radial 1}, \omega_{radial 2}\} = 2\pi \{(254 \pm 3), (600 \pm 10), (760 \pm 10)\}$ kHz, radio-frequency drive $\Omega = 2\pi 18.153$ MHz. The experimental data (error bars due to quantum projection noise) largely match the simulation results (dark blue line) when the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction is taken into account (simulation parameters Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{243} = 2\pi 0.09$ MHz, $\Omega_{309} = 2\pi 135$ MHz, dephasing of the Rydberg state $\delta \omega_{24D} = 2\pi 4.1$ MHz). (b) Energy level scheme explaining the RF sidebands in the excitation spectra. Sidebands appear at \pm the RF trapping frequency Ω with respect to a neighboring Zeeman state $\Delta m_J = \pm 2$. The resonances are additionally shifted due to the AC Stark effect by the Rydberg excitation laser at 309 nm.

in the top right panel of Fig. 4. In these states, the "absorbing" states $|m_J, -1\rangle$ have negligible contributions, since the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction is further detuned, see Fig.4b.

IV. MODIFIED TRAPPING POTENTIAL IN THE RYDBERG STATE

The strong polarizability of the Rydberg state is expected to modify the effective trapping potential of the ion. The change in the radial trapping potential of the Rydberg state compared to lower-lying states is [28]

$$V_{\text{add}} = -\left(\alpha^2 + 2\beta^2\right) \mathcal{P}_{n,L} \rho^2 \approx -\alpha^2 \mathcal{P}_{n,L} \rho^2, \quad (4)$$

where ρ is the radial center-of-mass coordinate of the ion, and $\mathcal{P}_{n,L}$ is the polarizability of the Rydberg state with quantum numbers n, and L. The polarizability scales as $\sim n^7$, thus the influence of V_{add} should increase for higher Rydberg states.

We now investigate the effect of the modified trapping potential by analyzing the Rydberg excitation spectrum of state $42S_{1/2}$ (experimental excitation energy $(88680.74 \pm 0.04) \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$. The controlled preparation of the ion in a single Zeeman sublevel combined with driving only a single transition in the Rydberg excitation leads to the observation of a single Rvdberg resonance as depicted in Fig. 5a. The details on state preparation and detection of Rydberg excitation in this context are given in Appendix C. After Doppler cooling we observe a linewidth of $2\pi (1.4 \pm 0.1)$ MHz, which is significantly broader than the expected natural linewidth calculated from quantum defect theory of $2\pi 39$ kHz. However, with radial sideband cooling the observed linewidth is reduced to $2\pi 300 \text{ kHz}$. This sideband cooled linewidth can be fully explained by natural and laser linewidths $(\approx 2\pi 200 \,\mathrm{kHz}).$

Commonly, one would suspect the broadening to be caused by the Doppler effect, however, we do not observe a reduced linewidth after axial sideband cooling (along the direction the excitation lasers propagate). Instead,



FIG. 5. Modified Rydberg trapping potential. (a) Observation of a single Rydberg resonance starting from the state $4D_{5/2} m_J = -\frac{5}{2}$ to the Rydberg state $42S_{1/2} m_J = -\frac{1}{2}$. The frequency of the second Rydberg excitation laser at 307 nm is scanned while the frequency of the first Rydberg excitation laser at 243 nm is kept $2\pi 20$ MHz red-detuned from the intermediate state resonance. The two-photon detuning is defined as the sum of the two UV-photon frequencies minus the frequency difference of the $42S_{1/2} m_J = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $4D_{5/2} m_J = -\frac{5}{2}$ states $\omega_{243} + \omega_{307} - \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(E(42S_{1/2} m_J = -\frac{1}{2}) - E(4D_{5/2} m_J = -\frac{5}{2}) \right)$. With sideband cooling we observe a single resonance with linewidth $2\pi (300 \pm 50)$ kHz mainly limited by the laser linewidths. With only Doppler cooling the resonance is shifted lower in energy, has smaller amplitude and asymmetric shape with $\approx 2\pi (1.4 \pm 0.1)$ MHz linewidth. The model curves represent a single resonance with $2\pi (300 \pm 50)$ kHz linewidth for the sideband cooled case, and a thermally broadened line with $\Delta \omega = -2\pi 20$ kHz (red) [$\Delta \omega = -2\pi 42$ kHz (blue)] for the Doppler cooled case. No fit parameters were used in the model curve of the Doppler cooled ion resonance. The trapping parameters are $\{\omega_{axial}, \omega_{radial 1}, \omega_{radial 2}\} = 2\pi \{(872 \pm 5), (1660 \pm 30), (1720 \pm 30)\}$ kHz, radio-frequency drive $\Omega = 2\pi 18.153$ MHz. (b) Energy scheme of the motional state during Rydberg excitation. Due to the different trapping potential in the Rydberg state compared to the ground state the laser excitation is shifted out of resonance for higher motional quantum numbers.

we observe a reduced linewidth after radial sideband cooling (perpendicular to the laser propagation direction). This effect can be explained by the modified trapping potential in the radial directions. The polarizability of $42S_{1/2}$ was calculated to be $\mathcal{P}_{42S} = 2.9 \times 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{C^2 m^2 J^{-1}}$. This was achieved by diagonalizing the electronic model potential [30], which yields radial wavefunctions and eigenenergies consistent with the Rydberg series previously measured for Sr^+ in free space [31]. As a result the radial trapping frequencies in the Rydberg state, ω_1 , should be smaller than the trapping frequencies in a lowlying state, ω_0 , by $\Delta \omega = \omega_1 - \omega_0 \approx -2\pi 20 \,\text{kHz}$ when the electric field gradient $\alpha = 8.3 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{Vm^{-2}}$. Consequently, the motional energy levels are closer in the Rydberg state than in low-lying states, and the vibrational wavefunctions are modified, see Fig. 5b. When the Rydberg excitation lasers drive transitions between the low-lying state and the Rydberg state, the transition frequency is shifted depending on the initial motional state. In particular, the resonance frequency for phonon number conserving transitions is shifted by $n\Delta\omega$, when the ion has n radial phonons, see Fig. 5b. Since the wavefunction in Rydberg and low-lying state are not perfectly orthogonal, the phonon number is not necessarily conserved during Rydberg excitation. Nevertheless, as the trapping potentials in our case are still very similar, so are the vibrational components of the wavefunctions. Thus, the Franck-Condon factors for the Rydberg excitation are approximately given by Kronecker-delta functions $\delta_{n,m}$ and population should be mainly transferred to quantum states with the same motional quantum number. In principle, with sufficiently high resolution one should be able to identify individual lines separated by $\Delta \omega$ with relative amplitudes due to the thermal population. In our experiment we cannot resolve the splitting for $42S_{1/2}$ since our lasers are broader than the shifts. Nevertheless, we observe a red-shifted, asymmetrically broadened line due to thermal population of the two radial modes of motion, see Fig. 5a.

We model the asymmetric lineshape in Fig. 5a taking into account the measured thermal population of the two radial modes after Doppler cooling of $\langle n_x \rangle = 14.7 \pm 0.9$ and $\langle n_y \rangle = 9.5 \pm 0.8$. The measured data in Fig. 5a seems to deviate from this model for the theoretical value of $\Delta \omega = -2\pi 20 \text{ kHz}$. If we use $\Delta \omega$ as a free fitting parameter, we reach good agreement for $\Delta \omega = -2\pi 42 \text{ kHz}$.

The theory neglects coupling between the ionic motion in the three orthogonal directions. This may account for the difference between the theoretical prediction and the experimental results.

V. CONCLUSION

We have investigated several elementary trap effects of Rydberg ions, which will be essential for future applications in quantum technologies. In particular, we have observed the effect of the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction on Rydberg states with different symmetries. While Rydberg S-states are unaffected by the Rydberg electron-quadrupole field interaction, Rydberg D-states interact with the trapping quadrupole fields. In the future the quadrupole moment of Rydberg D-states might lead to new types of interactions like quadrupolecharge, quadrupole-dipole or quadrupole-quadrupole interactions that could be used for directional coupling in quantum information tasks. Nevertheless, Rydberg Sstates remain the less intricate system and might thus be easier to control.

Moreover, we have been able to deterministically control the initial state for Rydberg excitation which has allowed us to investigate a single Rydberg resonance. The modified trapping potential in the Rydberg state has become visible as an increase in the Rydberg resonance linewidth of a Doppler cooled ion compared to a sideband cooled ion. As predicted, the large polarizability of the Rydberg states in the electric fields of the Paul trap leads to different trapping potentials in lowlying and Rydberg-excited states, and to broadening of the resonances for a Doppler cooled ion. The resultant weaker or stronger localization of a Rydberg ion might be used in the future in quantum information processing to cut longer ion chains into smaller sub-units for localized quantum operations [32] or for implementing exotic interactions through vibrational mode shaping [33]. A sideband cooled ion instead is largely unaffected by the modified trapping potential due to its precise localization. Thus, sideband cooling might be required for coherent Rydberg excitation and to avoid unwanted entanglement between the electronic state and the ion motion during Rydberg excitation. Alternatively microwave-dressed Rydberg states with zero polarizability could be used for realizing Rydberg quantum gates independently of the motional mode structure [21]. Such states would experience the same trapping potential as low-lying states, thus sideband cooling would not be required. Such Rydberg gates would make trapped Rydberg ions a novel system for quantum information processing.

Appendix A: Rydberg excitation lasers

The 243 nm laser light for the first Rvdberg-excitation step is produced in a commercial system, in which 970 nm infrared laser light from a diode-laser pumped taperedamplifier system is frequency-quadrupled to 243 nm. Similar systems are used for the two-photon excitation of hydrogen [34]. Tunable 304-309 nm laser light for the second Rydberg-excitation step is produced in two stages. In the first stage two infrared photons, from a 1551 nm diode-laser fiber-amplifier system and a tunable 998-1030 nm diode-laser pumped tapered-amplifier system, are combined by sum-frequency generation in a periodically-poled lithium niobate crystal [35, 36]. The resultant 608-618 nm laser light is frequency-doubled to produce tunable 304-309 nm laser light, which covers the wavelength range for excitation of Rydberg states from principal quantum number n=24 up to the second ionization threshold. The first Rydberg-excitation laser is frequency stabilized to $\approx 2\pi 100 \,\mathrm{kHz}$ linewidth (in-loop estimate) by locking the 970 nm fundamental to a reference cavity. The second Rydberg-excitation laser is frequency stabilized also to $\approx 2\pi 100 \text{ kHz}$ linewidth (in-loop estimate) by referencing 608-618 nm laser light to a cavity and applying feedback to the 998-1030 nm fundamental laser.

The lasers are sent from opposite sides along the trap axis. The counter-propagating beams significantly reduce thermal effects in the two-photon Rydberg excitation. The effective Lamb-Dicke parameter for the twophoton transition is $\eta = 0.044$ at an axial trapping frequency of $\omega_z = 2\pi 872$ kHz. Thus, after Doppler cooling the ion resides within the Lamb-Dicke regime and Doppler broadening can be neglected.

The Rydberg-excitation lasers are sent through hydrogen-loaded, single-mode photonic crystal fibers. Such fibers offer laser mode cleanup and stable beam pointing while resisting ultraviolet solarization [37]. The lasers are focused by two commercial achromat lenses which image the 10 μ m diameter fiber core with unity magnification onto the ion. The laser beams are sent through holes in the end-cap electrodes and up to 120 nW (16.8 mW) of 243 nm (309 nm) laser light is focused to $(5.1 \pm 0.8) \,\mu$ m ((6.8 $\pm 1.7) \,\mu$ m horizontally, $(4.9 \pm 1.0) \,\mu$ m vertically) beam waist at the position of the ion.

Appendix B: Ion trap

The ion is confined in a macroscopic linear Paul trap with titanium electrodes in a sapphire mount. The trap consists of four blade electrodes for radial confinement and two end-cap electrodes with optical access holes for axial confinement. Since Rydberg atoms may be extremely sensitive to electric fields (polarizability $\mathcal{P}_n \sim n^7$) with *n* the principal quantum number of the Rydberg state, the ions are confined very close to the electric quadrupole null to minimize any detrimental effects of the fields to the stability of Rydberg ions. Using the "cross-correlation" and the "resolved sideband" techniques [38, 39] micromotion is minimized and the residual electric field at the position of the ion is estimated to be (3^{+12}_{-3}) Vm⁻¹. The trap electrodes are electroplated in gold (work function $\approx 5.3 \text{ eV}$) to avoid the emission of photoelectrons if ultraviolet laser light hits the electrode surfaces (243 nm photons carry 5.1 eV energy). Photoelectron emission causes time-varying stray electric fields and thus worsens the residual electric field at the ion position. Due to the gold-coating, the micromotion compensation parameters do not change over weeks of working with the ultraviolet Rydberg-excitation lasers.

Appendix C: State preparation and detection of a single Rydberg resonance

A single isolated Rydberg resonance is accessible in the Rydberg excitation from the initial state $4D_{5/2}$ to the Rydberg state $42S_{1/2}$. This excitation scheme is interesting from a quantum information perspective, since $4D_{5/2}$ may be used together with the $5S_{1/2}$ ground state to store an optical qubit. The Rydberg excitation could be used for entanglement operations between two such optical qubits.

For state preparation, a Doppler (or sideband) cooled ion is initialized in the $4D_{5/2} m_J = -\frac{5}{2}$ Zeeman sublevel. First any population in $4D_{5/2}$ is returned to the ground state using the 1033 nm "repump" laser and the ion is Doppler cooled. The 674 nm "qubit" laser, which drives the $5S_{1/2} \leftrightarrow 4D_{5/2}$ transition, has a narrow linewidth $(< 2\pi 600 \,\mathrm{Hz})$ which allows transitions between specific Zeeman sublevels to be individually addressed. By alternately driving the $5S_{1/2}$ m_J = $+\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 4D_{5/2}$ m_J = $-\frac{3}{2}$ transition and removing population from $4D_{5/2}$ using the 1033 nm "repump" laser, population may be optically pumped to the $5S_{1/2}$ m_J = $-\frac{1}{2}$ Zeeman sublevel. Next population is transferred from the initial $5S_{1/2}$ Zeeman sublevel to a specific $4D_{5/2}$ Zeeman sublevel using the narrow 674 nm "qubit" laser. The fluorescence detection lasers are then turned on to check whether the population transfer was successful.

The detection of successful Rydberg excitation from initial state $4D_{5/2}$ is simpler than for $4D_{3/2}$, as the initial state $4D_{5/2}$ can be directly distinguished from the final state $5S_{1/2}$ by fluorescence detection without any need for additional shelving pulses. The Rydberg excitation and detection sequence is as follows. (i) Both Rydberg lasers are turned on, coupling $4D_{5/2} m_J = -\frac{5}{2}$ via the intermediate state $6P_{3/2} m_J = -\frac{3}{2}$ to $42S_{1/2} m_J = -\frac{1}{2}$. According to quantum defect theory calculations 95% of the population in $42S_{1/2}$ quickly decays to the $5S_{1/2}$ ground state. (ii) Finally fluorescence detection is used to distinguish between successful Rydberg excitations (population in $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow$ fluorescence) and cases with no Rydberg excitation (population in $4D_{5/2} \rightarrow$ no fluorescence).

Appendix D: Laser-ion interaction Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian used to simulate the laser-induced two-photon transition to Rydberg S- and D-states including the magnetic field-induced Zeeman effect is [19–21],

$$H = H_{\rm e} + H_{\rm eQ}(\mathbf{r}, t) + H_{\rm B} + H_{\rm L} \tag{D1}$$

$$H_{\rm e} = \sum_{\mathbf{L}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{L}} |\mathbf{L}\rangle \langle \mathbf{L}|, \qquad (D2)$$

$$H_{\rm B} = -\frac{e}{2m_{\rm e}c}|B_z|(L_z + 2S_z),$$
 (D3)

$$H_{\rm L} = \sum_{j} -e\mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{j} E_{j} \cos(\mathbf{k}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{R} - \omega_{j} t), \qquad (\mathrm{D4})$$

where $H_{\rm e}$, $H_{\rm eQ}(\mathbf{r},t) = -e\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)$, $H_{\rm B}$ and $H_{\rm L}$ stand for the Hamiltonian for the valence electron, Rydberg electron-quadrupole field coupling, Zeeman effect and laser-electron interaction. In the Hamiltonian, \mathbf{r} and $m_{\rm e}$ are the position and mass of the electron, \mathbf{R} the center-ofmass position of the ion, and \mathbf{L} the multi-index quantum number $\mathbf{L} = \{n, L, J, m_J\}$. $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{L}}$ is the energy in the electronic state $|\mathbf{L}\rangle$. e and c are the elementary charge and speed of light in vacuum. B_z is a static magnetic field parallel to the z-axis. S_z and L_z are the z-components of the spin and angular momentum operators. E_j and $\hat{\epsilon}_j$ are the electric field and polarization of the j-th laser, whose wave vector and frequency are \mathbf{k}_j and ω_j .

In the experiment, the first laser couples low-lying states $|4D_2^3m_J\rangle$ (denoted by $|g_{m_J}\rangle$) and $|6P_2^1m_J\rangle$ (denoted by $|e_{m_J}\rangle$) and the second laser couples the state $|6P_2^1m_J\rangle$ and Rydberg state $|R_{m_J}\rangle$. We neglect the sideband transitions, as the two lasers are counterpropagating and the effective Lamb-Dicke parameter $\eta < 0.1$. Using the relevant electronic states as bases, the laser-ion interaction can be expressed as

$$H_{\rm L} = \sum_{\mathbf{M}} \left[\hbar \Omega_{1,\mathbf{M}} \cos \omega_1 t | e_{m_J} \rangle \langle g_{m'_J} | \right. \\ \left. + \left. \hbar \Omega_{2,\mathbf{M}} \cos \omega_2 t | R_{m_J} \rangle \langle e_{m'_J} | + \mathrm{H.c} \right], \quad (\mathrm{D5})$$

where $\mathbf{M} = \{m_J, m'_J\}$ is a two-index number, and Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{1,\mathbf{M}} = -eE_1 \langle e_{m_J} | \mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_1 | g_{m'_J} \rangle / \hbar$ and $\Omega_{2,\mathbf{M}} = -eE_2 \langle R_{m_J} | \mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_2 | e_{m'_J} \rangle / \hbar$ depend on respective electronic states and laser polarization.

It shall be pointed out that both micromotion and the trap field mediated Rydberg electron-center of mass coupling are relatively weak [19, 20, 28]. Both effects are not experimentally resolved for low lying Rydberg states n < 30, as polarizability and temperature of the ion are small, and micromotion is carefully compensated. Therefore we do not consider these effects in the numerical simulation. However the Rydberg electron-center of mass coupling is observed for the higher lying Rydberg state $42S_{1/2}$ as discussed in section IV.

Appendix E: Spectra of the Rydberg $24D_{3/2}$ state

Here we provide a simple theory to explain the spectra shown in Fig. 4a. First, we note that electronic states will be completely specified once laser polarizations are given. This allows us to omit the labeling of the quantum number m_J in the electronic low-lying states. The two Rydberg states that are coupled by the quadrupole fields will be labeled by $|R_1\rangle$ and $|R_2\rangle$ for convenience. Upon applying rotating-wave approximations to the laser induced transitions, the Hamiltonian to describe the Rydberg excitation dynamics [see Eq. (D1)] becomes

$$H_{\rm D} = \hbar \Delta_e |e\rangle \langle e| + \sum_j \hbar \Delta_j |R_j\rangle \langle R_j| + H_{\rm RF} \quad (E1)$$
$$+ \frac{\hbar}{2} [\Omega_{\rm l} |e\rangle \langle g| + \Omega_{\rm u} |R_1\rangle \langle e| + {\rm H.c}],$$

where we have assumed that the polarization is chosen such that state $|e\rangle$ couples to Rydberg state $|R_1\rangle$. $\Delta_e = (E_e - E_g)/\hbar - \omega_1$ and $\Delta_j = (E_j - E_g)/\hbar - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (j = 1, 2) give detuning of the electronic transition with respect to the laser frequencies, where the energy $E_s = \varepsilon_s + E_s^{(B)}$ (s = g, e, 1, 2) takes into account of both the electronic energy ε_s and Zeeman shift $E_s^{(B)}$.

As $|\Delta_e|$ is typically larger than other quantities in the Hamiltonian, we can adiabatically eliminate state $|e\rangle$, which yields

$$\begin{split} H_{\rm D} &\approx -\frac{\hbar\Omega_1^2}{4\Delta_e} |g\rangle \langle g| + \hbar \left(\Delta_1 - \frac{\Omega_{\rm u}^2}{4\Delta_e} \right) |R_1\rangle \langle R_1| \\ &+ \hbar\Delta_2 |R_2\rangle \langle R_2| + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[\Omega' |R_1\rangle \langle g| + \text{H.c.} \right] \\ &+ H_{\rm RF}, \end{split} \tag{E2}$$

where $\Omega' = -\Omega_{\rm l}\Omega_{\rm u}/2\Delta_e$ is the two-photon Rabi frequency. Using the experimental parameters, we find that $\Omega_{\rm u}^2/4\Delta_e \sim 2\pi 10 \,\mathrm{MHz}$ and $\Omega' \sim 2\pi 10 \,\mathrm{KHz}$ while $|\Omega_{\rm l}^2/4\Delta_e|$ is in the sub-kHz range, which can be neglected.

We proceed by expanding the Rydberg states in terms of Floquet states $|R_j, k\rangle$ $(k = 0, \pm 1, ...)$, where k denotes quadrupolar excitations of the RF field. To explain the main peaks in Fig. 4, we only need to take into account

transitions $|R_1, 0\rangle \rightarrow |R_2, \pm 1\rangle$, i.e. by absorbing or emitting one quadrupolar RF excitation. Eq. (E2) becomes

$$H_{\rm D} \approx \hbar \Delta_1 \sum_j |R_j\rangle \langle R_j| + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[\Omega'|R_1\rangle \langle g| + \text{H.c.}\right] + H_{\rm F},$$
(E3)

where

$$H_{\rm F} = -\frac{\hbar\Omega_{\rm u}^2}{4\Delta_e} |R_1\rangle\langle R_1| + (E_2^{(B)} - E_1^{(B)})|R_2\rangle\langle R_2| + \sum_k k\hbar\Omega |R_2, k\Omega\rangle\langle R_2, k\Omega| + \frac{\hbar C}{2} [|R_2, \Omega\rangle\langle R_1| + |R_2, -\Omega\rangle\langle R_1| + \text{H.c.}]. \quad (E4)$$

Hamiltonian $H_{\rm F}$ is the key result. The eigenenergy of $H_{\rm F}$ determines the peaks shown in Fig. 4a. One example for the σ^{-}/σ^{+} transition is given in Section III.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Michael Niedermayer for electroplating the trap electrodes, Hsiang-Yu Lo and Jonathan Home for advice on the second harmonic generation of 618 nm light, Yves Colombe for guidance with the fabrication of photonic crystal fibers for UV light, and Ana Predojević for feedback on the manuscript. The experiment started at the University of Innsbruck and moved in 2015 to Stockholm University. Some of the experimental results were first observed in Innsbruck and have been repeated and confirmed at Stockholm University. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme / ERC Grant Agreement No. 279508 (QuaSIRIO). IL acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement No. 335266 (ESCQUMA) and the H2020-FETPROACT-2014 Grant No.640378 (RYSO). WL acknowledges access to the University of Nottingham HPC Facility.

- D. J. Wineland, C. Monroe, W. M. Itano, D. Leibfried, B. E. King, and D. M. Meekhof, "Experimental issues in coherent quantum-state manipulation of trapped atomic ions," J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Tech. 103, 259 (1998), quant-ph/9710025.
- [2] C. J. Ballance, T. P. Harty, N. M. Linke, M. A. Sepiol, and D. M. Lucas, "High-fidelity quantum logic gates using trapped-ion hyperfine qubits," Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 060504 (2016).
- [3] J. P. Gaebler, T. R. Tan, Y. Lin, Y. Wan, R. Bowler, A. C. Keith, S. Glancy, K. Coakley, E. Knill, D. Leibfried, and D. J. Wineland, "High-fidelity universal gate set for

 ${}^{9}\text{Be}^{+}$ ion qubits," Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 060505 (2016).

- [4] Thomas Monz, Philipp Schindler, Julio T. Barreiro, Michael Chwalla, Daniel Nigg, William A. Coish, Maximilian Harlander, Wolfgang Hänsel, Markus Hennrich, and Rainer Blatt, "14-qubit entanglement: Creation and coherence," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 130506 (2011).
- [5] J. Chiaverini, J. Britton, D. Leibfried, E. Krill, M. D. Barrett, R. B. Blakestad, W. P. Itano, J. D. Jost, C. Langer, R. Ozeri, T. Schaetz, and D. J. Wineland, "Implementation of the semiclassical quantum Fourier transform in a scalable system," Science **308**, 997–1000 (2005).

- [6] K. A. Brickman, P. C. Haljan, P. J. Lee, M. Acton, L. Deslauriers, and C. Monroe, "Implementation of Grover's quantum search algorithm in a scalable system," Phys. Rev. A 72, 050306 (2005).
- [7] S. Debnath, N. M. Linke, C. Figgatt, K. A. Landsman, K. Wright, and C. Monroe, "Demonstration of a small programmable quantum computer with atomic qubits," Nature 536, 63–66 (2016).
- [8] Thomas Monz, Daniel Nigg, Esteban A. Martinez, Matthias F. Brandl, Philipp Schindler, Richard Rines, Shannon X. Wang, Isaac L. Chuang, and Rainer Blatt, "Realization of a scalable Shor algorithm," Science 351, 1068–1070 (2016).
- [9] J. Chiaverini, D. Leibfried, T. Schaetz, M. D. Barrett, R. B. Blakestad, J. Britton, W. M. Itano, J. D. Jost, E. Knill, C. Langer, R. Ozeri, and D. J. Wineland, "Realization of quantum error correction." Nature 432, 602– 605 (2004).
- [10] Philipp Schindler, Julio T. Barreiro, Thomas Monz, Volckmar Nebendahl, Daniel Nigg, Michael Chwalla, Markus Hennrich, and Rainer Blatt, "Experimental repetitive quantum error correction," Science **332**, 1059–1061 (2011).
- [11] D. Nigg, M. Müller, E. A. Martinez, P. Schindler, M. Hennrich, T. Monz, M. A. Martin-Delgado, and R. Blatt, "Quantum computations on a topologically encoded qubit," Science **345**, 302–305 (2014).
- [12] A. Friedenauer, H. Schmitz, J. T. Glueckert, D. Porras, and T. Schaetz, "Simulating a quantum magnet with trapped ions," Nat Phys 4, 757–761 (2008).
- [13] Julio T. Barreiro, Markus Müller, Philipp Schindler, Daniel Nigg, Thomas Monz, Michael Chwalla, Markus Hennrich, Christian F. Roos, Peter Zoller, and Rainer Blatt, "An open-system quantum simulator with trapped ions," Nature 470, 486–491 (2011).
- [14] B. P. Lanyon, C. Hempel, D. Nigg, M. Müller, R. Gerritsma, F. Zähringer, P. Schindler, J. T. Barreiro, M. Rambach, G. Kirchmair, M. Hennrich, P. Zoller, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, "Universal digital quantum simulation with trapped ions," Science **334**, 57–61 (2011).
- [15] R. Islam, C. Senko, W. C. Campbell, S. Korenblit, J. Smith, A. Lee, E. E. Edwards, C.-C. J. Wang, J. K. Freericks, and C. Monroe, "Emergence and frustration of magnetism with variable-range interactions in a quantum simulator," Science **340**, 583–587 (2013).
- [16] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "Quantum computations with cold trapped ions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4091 (1995).
- [17] D. Kielpinski, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland, "Architecture for a large-scale ion-trap quantum computer," Nature 417, 709–711 (2002).
- [18] C. Monroe, R. Raussendorf, A. Ruthven, K. R. Brown, P. Maunz, L.-M. Duan, and J. Kim, "Large-scale modular quantum-computer architecture with atomic memory and photonic interconnects," Phys. Rev. A 89, 022317 (2014).
- [19] Markus Müller, Linmei Liang, Igor Lesanovsky, and Peter Zoller, "Trapped Rydberg ions: from spin chains to fast quantum gates," New J. Phys. 10, 093009 (2008).
- [20] F Schmidt-Kaler, T Feldker, D Kolbe, J Walz, M Müller, P Zoller, W Li, and I Lesanovsky, "Rydberg excitation of trapped cold ions: a detailed case study," New J. Phys. 13, 075014 (2011).
- [21] Weibin Li and Igor Lesanovsky, "Entangling quantum

gate in trapped ions via Rydberg blockade," Appl. Phys. B **114**, 37–44 (2014).

- [22] D. Jaksch, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, S. L. Rolston, R. Côté, and M. D. Lukin, "Fast quantum gates for neutral atoms," Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2208–2211 (2000).
- [23] T. Wilk, A. Gaëtan, C. Evellin, J. Wolters, Y. Miroshnychenko, P. Grangier, and A. Browaeys, "Entanglement of two individual neutral atoms using Rydberg blockade," Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 010502 (2010).
- [24] L. Isenhower, E. Urban, X. L. Zhang, A. T. Gill, T. Henage, T. A. Johnson, T. G. Walker, and M. Saffman, "Demonstration of a neutral atom controlled-NOT quantum gate," Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 010503 (2010).
- [25] Henning Labuhn, Daniel Barredo, Sylvain Ravets, Sylvain de Léséleuc, Tommaso Macrì, Thierry Lahaye, and Antoine Browaeys, "Tunable two-dimensional arrays of single Rydberg atoms for realizing quantum ising models," Nature 534, 667–670 (2016).
- [26] T. Feldker, P. Bachor, M. Stappel, D. Kolbe, R. Gerritsma, J. Walz, and F. Schmidt-Kaler, "Rydberg excitation of a single trapped ion," Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 173001 (2015).
- [27] P. Bachor, T. Feldker, J. Walz, and F. Schmidt-Kaler, "Addressing single trapped ions for Rydberg quantum logic," Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 49, 154004 (2016).
- [28] Weibin Li and Igor Lesanovsky, "Electronically excited cold ion crystals," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 023003 (2012).
- [29] H Friedrich, *Theoretical Atomic Physics*, 3rd ed. (Springer).
- [30] Mireille Aymar, Chris H. Greene, and Eliane Luc-Koenig, "Multichannel Rydberg spectroscopy of complex atoms," Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 1015–1123 (1996).
- [31] V. Lange, M. A. Khan, U. Eichmann, and W. Sandner, "Rydberg states of the strontium ion," Z. Phys. D 18, 319–324 (1991), 10.1007/BF01426593.
- [32] Weibin Li, Alexander W. Glaetzle, Rejish Nath, and Igor Lesanovsky, "Parallel execution of quantum gates in a long linear ion chain via Rydberg mode shaping," Phys. Rev. A 87, 052304 (2013).
- [33] R Nath, M Dalmonte, A W Glaetzle, P Zoller, F Schmidt-Kaler, and R Gerritsma, "Hexagonal plaquette spin-spin interactions and quantum magnetism in a two-dimensional ion crystal," New Journal of Physics 17, 065018 (2015).
- [34] N. Kolachevsky, J. Alnis, S. D. Bergeson, and T. W. Hänsch, "Compact solid-state laser source for 1s-2s spectroscopy in atomic hydrogen," Phys. Rev. A 73, 021801 (2006).
- [35] A. Wilson, C. Ospelkaus, A. VanDevender, J. Mlynek, K. Brown, D. Leibfried, and D. Wineland, "A 750-mw, continuous-wave, solid-state laser source at 313 nm for cooling and manipulating trapped ⁹Be⁺ ions," Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics **105**, 741–748 (2011).
- [36] Hsiang-Yu Lo, Joseba Alonso, Daniel Kienzler, Benjamin C. Keitch, Ludwig E. de Clercq, Vlad Negnevitsky, and Jonathan P. Home, "All-solid-state continuous-wave laser systems for ionization, cooling and quantum state manipulation of beryllium ions," Applied Physics B 114, 17–25 (2014).
- [37] Yves Colombe, Daniel H. Slichter, Andrew C. Wilson, Dietrich Leibfried, and David J. Wineland, "Single-mode optical fiber for high-power, low-loss UV transmission,"

Optics Express **22**, 19783 (2014).

[38] D. J. Berkeland, J. D. Miller, J. C. Bergquist, W. M. Itano, and D. J. Wineland, "Minimization of ion micromotion in a Paul trap," Journal of Applied Physics 83, 5025-5033 (1998).

[39] J. Keller, H. L. Partner, T. Burgermeister, and T. E. Mehlstäubler, "Precise determination of micromotion for trapped-ion optical clocks," J. Appl. Phys. **118**, 104501 (2015).