- 1 The influence of changes in acute training load on daily sensitivity of morning-
- 2 measured fatigue variables in elite soccer players.
- 3 RT. Thorpe^{1,2}, AJ. Strudwick^{1,2}, M. Buchheit^{4,5}, G. Atkinson³, B. Drust², W. Gregson²
- ⁴ ¹Medicine and Science Department, Manchester United, Manchester, UK
- ⁵ ² Football Exchange, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John
- 6 Moores University, UK
- ⁷ ³ Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, UK
- 8 ⁴ Sport Science Department, Myorobie Association, Montvalezan, France
- 9 ⁵ Performance Department, Paris Saint Germain FC
- 10
- 11 Corresponding Author:
- 12 Robin T. Thorpe
- 13 Manchester United, Aon Training Complex, Birch Road off Isherwood Road, Carrington,
- 14 Manchester M31 4BH
- 15 E-mail: <u>robin.thorpe@manutd.co.uk</u>
- 16 Key Words-Training, Performance, Wellness, Recovery
- 17
- 18 *Word count: 3653*
- 19 Abstract word count: 250
- 20 Number of figures: 0
- 21 *Number of tables: 7*

23 The influence of changes in acute training load on daily sensitivity of morning-

24 measured fatigue variables in elite soccer players

25 Abstract

Purpose To determine the sensitivity of a range of potential fatigue measures to daily
 training load accumulated over the previous two, three and four days during a short in-season
 competitive period in elite senior soccer players (n=10).

Methods Total high-speed running distance, perceived ratings of wellness (fatigue, muscle soreness, sleep quality), counter-movement jump height (CMJ), submaximal heart rate (HRex), post-exercise heart rate recovery (HRR) and heart rate variability (HRV: Ln rMSSD) were analysed during an in-season competitive period (17 days). General linear models were used to evaluate the influence of two, three and four day total high-speed running distance accumulation on fatigue measures.

Results Fluctuations in perceived ratings of fatigue were correlated with fluctuations in total high-speed running distance accumulation covered on the previous 2-days (r=-0.31; small), 3 -days (r=-0.42; moderate) and 4-days (r=-0.28; small) (p<0.05). Changes in HRex (r=0.28; small; p= 0.02) were correlated with changes in 4-day total high-speed running distance accumulation only. Correlations between variability in muscle soreness, sleep quality, CMJ, HRR% and HRV and total high-speed running distance were negligible and not statistically significant for all accumulation training loads.

42 Conclusions Perceived ratings of fatigue and HRex were sensitive to fluctuations in acute 43 total high-speed running distance accumulation, although, sensitivity was not systematically 44 influenced by the number of previous days over which the training load was accumulated. 45 The present findings indicate that the sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables to 46 changes in training load is generally not improved when compared with training loads 47 beyond the previous days training.

49 Introduction

50

The locomotor demands of elite soccer have progressively increased in recent years. ^{1,2} Since 51 leading teams are also required to compete in a high number of matches over the course of 52 season, ³ implementation of effective recovery strategies are paramount in order to avoid the 53 debilitating effects associated with overtraining and injury.⁴ Increasing attention in the 54 literature has therefore focused upon evaluating the effectiveness of a range of monitoring 55 tools which may serve as valid indicators of fatigue status of athletes. ⁵ For the purpose of 56 this manuscript, fatigue will be defined as an inability to complete a task that was once 57 achievable within a recent time frame.⁶ 58

Recent research has examined the sensitivity of potential measures of fatigue to daily 59 fluctuations in training load in Australian Rules Football (AFL).^{7,8} In AFL players, perceived 60 ratings of wellness, ^{7,8} sub-maximal heart rate (HRex) ⁷ and an index (LnSD1) of vagal-61 related heart rate variability (HRV)⁷ were sensitive to the fluctuations in daily training load 62 during a pre-season training period. Similarly, in elite soccer players competing in the 63 English Premier League (EPL),⁹ both rating of perceived fatigue and vagal related HRV 64 measure Ln rMSSD were most sensitive to the previous days fluctuations in training load 65 experienced during the in-season competition period. Furthermore, in the same population, 66 only perceived ratings of wellness were sensitive to within-week fluctuations in match and 67 training load during typical in-season competition weeks.¹⁰ Collectively, these findings 68 demonstrate that these measures, particular perceived ratings of wellness, show promise as 69 70 acute, simple, non-invasive assessments for tracking the fatigue status of elite team sport 71 athletes.

Physiological adaptation to training is the culmination of repeated daily applications of 72 73 training load. ¹¹ The level of fatigue experienced by an athlete at any one point in time is therefore unlikely to purely reflect the load incurred from the previous day's activity, ⁹ but 74 rather the load accumulated from a number of training days. Indeed high-intensity exercise 75 76 and eccentric type activity leads to increases in muscle soreness that may be present for up to 72-hours following the exercise stress. ^{12,13} In line with such observations, Buchheit (2014) 77 recently suggested that HRV indices, used as an indicator of the athletes training status, may 78 be more sensitive to changes in training loads when averaged across 7-days compared to a 79 single daily measurement. ¹⁴ Similarly, reductions and increases in heart rate recovery (HRR) 80 have been seen in response to weekly increases in training load and performance in 81 physically active subjects and elite cyclists respectively.^{15,16} 82

83 Recent observations in elite senior soccer players have demonstrated that potential fatigue measures, particularly perceived ratings of wellness, were sensitive to within-week 84 fluctuations in match and training load during typical competition weeks. ⁹ Changes in these 85 86 measures across the training week may, therefore, to some extent reflect the periodised 87 training load incurred over a number of the preceding days and not solely the previous days training. It is possible therefore, that the relationship between such potential markers of 88 89 fatigue and training load may vary as a function of the number of accumulated training days. The response to a single training session may not have the same physiological effect or 90 91 magnitude compared to multiple training sessions performed over a short period of time. 92 Therefore, our aim was to determine whether the sensitivity of a range of potential fatigue measures would vary when compared to the training load accumulated over the previous two, 93 94 three or four days during a short in-season competitive phase in elite soccer players. These 95 data would enable comparison with previous observations in the same population which

96 examined the sensitivity of the same measures to the previous day's fluctuations in training 97 load.⁸

98 Methods

99 Subjects

Data were collected from 10 senior outfield soccer players (19.1±0.6 years; 1.84±0.7m;
75.4±7.6 kg) competing in the EPL over a 17-day period (February) during the in-season competition phase.

103

104 Design

105

Players took part in normal team training throughout the 17-day period as prescribed by the 106 coaching staff. This included two competitive reserve team home matches (day 1 and 10), 107 108 three rest days (day 6, 11 and 16) and twelve training sessions. All players were fully familiarised with the fatigue assessments in the weeks prior to completion of the main 109 experimental trials. Fatigue measures were assessed each morning prior to the players 110 commencing normal training. Perceived ratings of wellness measurements were assessed 111 every day during the 17-day period. Physiological measurements were assessed every day 112 with the exception of match and rest days. Each day players arrived at the training ground 113 laboratory having refrained from caffeine intake at least 12-hours prior to each assessment 114 point. All assessments were conducted at the same time of the day in order to avoid the 115 circadian variation in body temperature.¹⁷ Players were not allowed to consume fluid at any 116 time during the fatigue assessments. The study was approved by the Liverpool John Moores 117 118 University Ethics Committee. All players provided written informed consent. Prior to 119 inclusion into the study, players were examined by the club physician and were deemed to be free from illness and injury. 120

121

122 Methodology

123

Training Load Assessment Individual player daily training and match load was monitored 124 throughout the 17-day assessment period. Each player was also monitored during each 125 126 training session and match using a portable global positioning system (GPS) technology (GPSports SPI Pro X 5 Hz, Canberra, Australia). This type of system has previously been 127 shown to provide valid and reliable estimates of instantaneous velocity during acceleration, 128 deceleration, and constant velocity movements during linear, multidirectional and soccer-129 specific activities ^{18,19}. All devices were activated 15-min before the data collection to allow 130 acquisition of satellite signals.²⁰ The minimum acceptable number of available satellite 131 signals was 8 (range 8-11).²¹ Players wore the same GPS device for each session in order to 132 avoid inter-unit error ²¹. Based on GPS data, locomotive speed above the threshold of 14.8 133 km/h was classified as high-speed running. Total high-speed running distance was employed 134 135 in the present study as an index of training and match load due to its frequent inclusion in attempts to quantify the load incurred by elite players during training and match-play.²² 136 However, high speed running will underestimate the true load incurred by the athlete since it 137 does not account for the stress associated with the frequent accelerations and decelerations 138 which occur during soccer.²³ It should be noted, however, that initial analysis in the present 139

study highlighted a large correlation (r=0.57) between total high-speed running distance and
 session ratings of perceived exertion (sRPE) which has previously been used as a global
 indicator of internal load in soccer players. ²⁴

143

144 Perceived Ratings of Wellness

A psychometric questionnaire was used daily prior to any training or exercise to assess general indicators of player wellness. ^{9,10} The questionnaire comprised three questions relating to perceived sleep quality (coefficient of variation 13%), muscle soreness (coefficient of variation 9%) and fatigue (coefficient of variation 12%). ⁹ Each question scored on a seven-point Likert scale [scores of 1-7 with 1 and 7 representing very, very poor (negative state of wellness) and very, very good (positive state of wellness) respectively].

151 Countermovement Jump Countermovement jump ⁹(coefficient of variation 4%)⁹ (CMJ) 152 performance was evaluated using a jump mat (Fusion Sport, Queensland, Australia). 153 Participants performed five CMJ efforts in total, two practice and three assessment jumps 154 ensuring the hands were affixed to the hips throughout the jump. The highest jump was used 155 as the criterion measure of performance.

Heart rate indices Players completed an indoor submaximal 5-min cycling (Keiser, 156 California, USA) /5-min recovery test as part of the warm up prior to commencing every 157 training session.⁹ All players were assessed together at a fixed exercise intensity of 130 watts 158 (85 rpm). The present intensity was selected to minimize anaerobic energy contribution ²⁵ and 159 to permit a rapid return of heart rate to baseline for short-term HRV measurements. On 160 161 completion of exercise the players remained seated in silence for 5-min. HRV expressed as the square root of the mean of the sum of squares of differences between adjacent normal R-162 R intervals (rMSSD, coefficient of variation 28%)⁹ and the natural logarithm of the rMSSD 163 (Ln rMSSD, coefficient of variation 10%)⁹ were calculated as previously described ²⁵ using 164 Polar software (Polar Precision Performance SW 5.20, Polar Electro, Kemple, Finland). Heart 165 rate recovery (HRR) expressed as the absolute (HRR, coefficient of variation 14%)⁹ and 166 relative (%HRR, coefficient of variation 10%)⁹ change in HR between the final 30-sec 167 (average) of the 5-min cycling test and 60 sec after cessation of exercise were calculated as 168 previously described. 9,16,25 169

170

171 Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed with general linear models, which allowed for the fact that data were 172 collected within-subjects over time. ²⁶ Recently, step-wise regression approaches have been 173 criticised for reliable variable selection in a model. ^{27,28} Our added problem was the predicted 174 high multicolinearity between the various independent variables in our study. Therefore, we 175 used a combination of expert knowledge regarding which variables hold superior 176 practical/clinical importance ²⁸ and a multicolinearity correlation coefficient of >0.5 for initial 177 variable selection. Total high-speed running distance was selected in order to provide an 178 indication of training and match load (independent variable) in the present study. We then 179 quantified the relationships between the various predictors and outcomes using model I 180 (unadjusted model) and model II (fully adjusted model from which partial correlation 181 coefficients and associated 95% confidence intervals for each predictor could be derived). To 182 calculate acute training load accumulation, the rolling mean 2, 3 and 4-day total high-speed 183 184 running distances were then related to the subsequent day's morning-measured fatigue

- variables. The following criteria were adopted to interpret the magnitude of the correlation (r) between test measures: <0.1 trivial, 0.1 to 0.3 small, 0.3 to 0.5 moderate, 0.5 to 0.7 large, 0.7 to 0.9 very large, and 0.9 to 1.0 almost perfect. ²⁹ The level of statistical significance was set
- at p<0.05 for all tests.

190 **Results**

191

Partial correlations, least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship 192 193 between total high-speed running distance (over 2-4 days) and morning-measured fatigue variables are shown in Tables 1-7. Absolute variability in training load and fatigue measures 194 over the 17 day period can be viewed in a recent publication ⁹ All players competed in both 195 matches during the 17-day period with a median of 79 min playing time per player (range = 196 197 32-93 min). Variability in ratings of perceived fatigue were correlated to variability in total high-speed running distance covered on the previous 2, 3 and 4 days (p<0.05; Table 1). 198 Small-to-moderate correlations were observed for 2 (r = -0.31), 3 (r = -0.42) and 4 (r = -0.28) 199 day cumulative total high-speed running distance. Correlations between variability in 200 perceived sleep quality and muscle soreness and total high-speed running distance across all 201 days were trivial to small and not statistically significant (Table 2 and 3). 202

203 Insert Tables 1-3 here

Correlations between variability in CMJ and total high-speed running distance across all days
 were trivial to small and not statistically significant (Table 4).

206 Insert Table 4 here

207 Correlations between variability in HRex and total high-speed running distance across all 208 days were trivial to small and only statistically significant with 4-day cumulative total high-209 speed running distance (r=0.28; p=0.02; Table 5).

210 Insert Table 5 here

Correlations between variability in HRR (%) and Ln rMSSD and total high-speed running distance for all days were trivial and not statistically significant (Table 6 and 7).

213 Insert Tables 6 and 7 here

214 Discussion

215

The aim of the current study was to determine whether the sensitivity of a range of morningmeasured fatigue variables to changes in training load was influenced by the number of previous days over which the training load was accumulated in elite soccer players. When compared with previous data published on the current population, the present findings indicate that the sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue measures to changes in training load sequences are also beyond the previous days training.⁹

The use of simple perceived ratings of wellness is an efficient and practical approach to 223 determining the fatigue status of elite team sport athletes.^{8–10,30} Previous observations on elite 224 soccer players showed a moderate-to-strong significant correlation between the players 225 perceived rating of fatigue and the previous days total high-speed running distance (r=-0.51; 226 p<0.001). ⁹ Furthermore, the slope of the regression model indicated that every ~400m 227 increase in total high-speed running distance led to a one unit decrease (For example a player 228 229 may change from very poor level of fatigue to very, very poor level of fatigue following an additional ~400m total high-speed running distance) in fatigue with 37 % of the variance in 230 training load explained by all the statistically significant predictors. ⁹ In contrast, the current 231 findings demonstrate that the sensitivity of morning-measured perceived fatigue, to changes 232 in training load is reduced from significantly moderate to significantly small (r=-0.42 to -233 (0.28) when compared with the training load observed beyond the previous days training ⁹ 234 235 Indeed, the variance in training load explained by all the statistically significant predictors decreased to 15% when training load was accumulated over a number (2-4) of days, 236 highlighting the importance of immediately preceding load in elite soccer players. This 237 apparent importance of the previous days training load on morning-measured fatigue may to 238 239 some extent be explained by the nature of training cycles undertaken by elite soccer players. 240 During the in-season competition period, players rotate around weekly cycles comprising one to two matches (very high load) interspersed with training sessions (moderate to high load) 241 and recovery sessions. ^{22,31,32} This cycle of daily loading peaks and-troughs within a short 242 time frame may, therefore, only lead to changes in fatigue status that are largely 243 244 representative of the previous days training. The influence of accumulated training load on morning measured perceived fatigue may be more relevant to endurance based sports where 245 load is distributed and sustained over extended training blocks. 246

247 Small significant correlations have been reported between daily perceived ratings of sleep quality (r=0.2) and muscle soreness (r=0.3) and the previous days training load during pre-248 season training in elite AFL players.⁷ In contrast, in EPL players the relationship between 249 daily training load and perceived ratings of sleep quality and muscle soreness were trivial and 250 non-significant. ⁹ Furthermore, in the current study, we demonstrate that the magnitude of 251 these relationships are not influenced by the number of days over which training load was 252 accumulated. Muscle soreness has been found to be significantly elevated between 24 and 72-253 hours following a soccer match ^{12,13,33}. Moreover, sleep quality has been seen to decrease 254 around periods of competition.³⁴ In the present study, only two match days were included in 255 the sample of 17-days, consequently, the limited match exposure and training intensity may 256 257 not have been sufficient to influence muscle soreness and sleep quality. In a previous study from the same population of players, match demands accounted for ~40% of total weekly 258 load, moreover, perceived ratings of wellness were found to be lowest on the day post-match, 259

¹⁰ further showing the debilitating effects of a match on fatigue status. Indeed, the average daily training load in the current study (RPE-TL 361) is considerably lower than that reported during an AFL pre-season training camp (RPE-TL 746) where daily readings of muscle soreness and sleep quality were associated with changes in load. ⁷ Future work involving a greater frequency of matches is therefore warranted in order to fully examine the influence of changes in loading on morning-measured perceived ratings of muscle soreness and sleep.

Previously, in elite soccer players, a small, positive daily correlation was observed (r=0.23) 266 between CMJ height and total high-speed running distance suggesting improved performance 267 with increased total high-speed running distance.⁹ It has been reported that the assessment of 268 neuromuscular function via the use of jump protocols may be impaired up to 72-hours post-269 match. ^{35,36} However, in the present study, a non-significant trivial to-small relationship was 270 found between changes in CMJ height and total high-speed running distance accumulation 271 over 2-4-days. Collectively, the findings from the current study and those from earlier 272 investigations, ^{9,37} demonstrate that CMJ height is generally insensitive to acute changes in 273 workload in elite soccer players. CMJ height alone may be too crude of a measure in order to 274 275 detect changes in training load, however, alternative CMJ derived neuromuscular parameters may hold sensitivity to alterations in load irrespective of the limited change in CMJ height. 276 For example, neuromuscular parameters (eccentric, concentric, and total duration, time to 277 peak force/power, flight time:contraction time ratio) derived from CMJ have been found 278 suitable for detection of neuromuscular fatigue. ³⁸ Reductions in 18 different neuromuscular 279 variables were found following a high-intensity fatiguing protocol in college-level team sport 280 athletes. ³⁸ Furthermore, reductions in the flight time contraction time ratio have been found 281 across a season in AFL players indicating sensitivity to increases in load over time.³⁹ Future 282 research is required to investigate whether alternative measures derived from CMJ are 283 284 sensitive to changes in training load in elite soccer players.

In recent years heart rate (HR) indices (HRV, HRR and HRex) have been used as a popular 285 method to measure variations in the autonomic nervous system (ANS) in an attempt to 286 understand athlete adaptation/fatigue status.¹⁴ The use of vagal related time domain indices 287 such as Ln rMSSD have been found to have greater reliability and are ideal for assessments 288 over short periods when compared to spectral indices of HRV. ^{40,41} A small significant 289 correlation (r=-0.2; p=0.04) was found between the daily fluctuations in Ln rMSSD and total 290 high-speed running distance in elite soccer players from an earlier study.⁹ In this study, the 291 slope of the regression model indicated that every ~300m increase in total high-speed running 292 293 distance led to a decrease of one unit in HRV i.e. more sympathetic dominance the greater the training load. ^{7,9,42} In the current study, non-significant, trivial correlations were observed 294 295 between fluctuations in 2, 3 and 4-day total high-speed running distance and changes in morning-measured HRV, implying no additional effect on HRV beyond the previous days of 296 training load. The limited relationships may reflect the low loads incurred by players 297 observed in the current study. Buchheit et al, (2013) found significant daily correlations 298 (r=0.40) with a comparable vagal related parameter HRV (Ln SD1) during a pre-season camp 299 in AFL players. ⁷ A possible reason for the small-to-moderate correlation found may be due 300 to the enhanced training load performed by AFL players. ⁷ Another potential reason for the 301 lack of sensitivity observed for HRV in the present study may be due to the inherent variation 302 303 of this measure. Indeed, based on data derived from endurance sports it is suggested that the use of one single data point could be misleading for practitioners due to the high day-to-day 304 variation in these indices. ⁴³ When data were averaged over a week or using 7-day rolling 305 averages, sensitivity to training load and performance has been improved compared to a 306 307 single assessment point. A similar observation in young Handball players has also been

reported when single monthly assessments were found to have less than 20% sensitivity to training status. ⁴⁴ Future work is required to observe whether more frequent measures of HRV improve sensitivity to training load. Furthermore, future research is needed to establish how HRV responds to more extended and sustained periods of training and match load in elite soccer players.

In the present study, small significant increases in HRex were associated with increases in 4-313 days accumulated total high-speed running distance. Contrastingly, Buchheit et al. (2013) 314 found a large negative correlation between daily training load and HRex suggesting a 315 reduction in heart rate following increases in training load. ⁷ However, this data was collected 316 during a short pre-season AFL training camp in the heat where environmental and/or training 317 induced changes in plasma volume are more likely responsible than alterations stemmed 318 solely from the previous days training load. ⁷ Reductions in heart rate have also been 319 observed in athletes involved in extremely high training loads. ⁴⁵ Indeed, HRex during 320 intensified training intensities showed significant reductions in overreached triathletes. Le 321 Meur and colleagues (2013) suggested the cause of this reduction in heart rate to be a hyper-322 323 activation of the parasympathetic nervous system via central, cardiac and/or periphery mechanisms. ^{46,47} In contrast to Le Meur and colleagues (2013) the results of the current 324 study suggest, although, speculative, an acute stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system 325 326 thus increasing HRex following a short continued period of training. Indeed, both in recreational marathon runners and world class rowers, a significant increase in sympathetic 327 dominance following a training block in the lead up to competition has been observed. ^{48,49} 328

Sensitivity between HRR% and 2-4 day THIR accumulation was trivial and non-significant 329 in the present study. Previous data also failed to find a relationship between daily HRR% and 330 total high-speed running distance over a 17-day competitive period. ⁹ In contrast, previous 331 studies have observed responses between both acute and chronic training load and HRR. 332 Borresen and Lambert (2007) found that HRR decreased with an increase in training load and 333 subsequently a tendency for a faster HRR with a decrease in training load. The authors 334 speculate, however, that the reduced HRR with an increase in training load may be explained 335 by the severe increase in training load (TRIMP increased by 55%), potentially inducing 336 overreaching, and hence a parasympathetic predominance as previously discussed ⁴⁵. The use 337 of HRex and HRR in healthy athletes to predict changes in performance or fatigue should be 338 treated with caution and interpreted together with other measures of fatigue, such as 339 perceived ratings of wellness. ^{14,50} As a consequence, if HR-derived assessments of 340 341 fatigue/adaptation are to be effective in team sports, a higher volume of assessments may be required as previously discussed. However, undertaking such measures may prove difficult 342 with the large volume of athletes engaged in team sports.¹⁴ 343

- 344
- 345
- 346
- 347
- 348
- 349

350 Practical Applications

351

Perceived ratings of fatigue show particular promise as simple, non-invasive assessments of fatigue status in elite soccer players during an in-season competitive phase. The present findings also indicate that the sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables to changes in training load is generally not improved when compared with training loads beyond the previous days training, therefore, it is likely to be most effective when taken on a daily basis. Future research is needed to determine the acute and longitudinal usefulness of HRex, HRR and vagal related HRV as a monitoring tool in team sports.

359

360 Conclusion

361 The sensitivity of morning-measured fatigue variables to changes in training load is not

362 improved when compared with training loads beyond the previous days training. Perceived

ratings of fatigue shows the most promise as a simple, non-invasive assessment of fatigue

364 status in elite soccer players in detection of acute load fluctuations during an in-season

365 competitive phase compared to the other markers of fatigue measured.

- Barnes C, Archer DT, Hogg B, Bush M, Bradley PS. The evolution of physical and technical performance parameters in the English Premier League. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2014;35(13):1095-100. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1375695.
- Bradley PS, Archer DT, Hogg B, et al. Tier-specific evolution of match performance characteristics in the English Premier League: it's getting tougher at the top. *J. Sports Sci.* 2015:1-8. doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1082614.
- Carling C, Gregson W, McCall A, Moreira A, Wong DP, Bradley PS. Match Running
 Performance During Fixture Congestion in Elite Soccer: Research Issues and Future
 Directions. *Sport. Med.* 2015. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0313-z.
- 376 4. Nimmo MA, Ekblom B. Fatigue and illness in athletes. *J. Sports Sci.* 2007;25 Suppl 1:S93-102. doi:10.1080/02640410701607379.
- Meeusen R, Duclos M, Foster C, et al. Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: Joint consensus statement of the european college of sport science and the American College of Sports Medicine. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*2013;45(1):186-205. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e318279a10a.
- Halson SL. Monitoring Training Load to Understand Fatigue in Athletes. *Sports Med.* 2014;44 Suppl 2:S139-47. doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0253-z.
- Buchheit M, Racinais S, Bilsborough JC, et al. Monitoring fitness, fatigue and running
 performance during a pre-season training camp in elite football players. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2013;16(6):550-555. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2012.12.003.
- Gastin PB, Meyer D, Robinson D. Perceptions of wellness to monitor adaptive
 responses to training and competition in elite Australian football. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2013;27(9):2518-26. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31827fd600.
- 390 9. Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Monitoring
 391 Fatigue During the In-Season Competitive Phase in Elite Soccer Players. *Int. J. Sports*392 *Physiol. Perform.* 2015. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2015-0004.
- Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. The
 Tracking of Morning Fatigue Status Across In-Season Training Weeks in Elite Soccer
 Players. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 2016. doi:10.1123/jjspp.2015-0490.
- 11. Pyne DB, Mujika I, Reilly T. Peaking for optimal performance: Research limitations and future directions. *J. Sports Sci.* 2009;27(3):195-202.
 doi:10.1080/02640410802509136.
- Ispirlidis I, Fatouros IG, Jamurtas AZ, et al. Time-course of changes in inflammatory and performance responses following a soccer game. *Clin. J. Sport Med.*2008;18(5):423-31. doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e3181818e0b.
- 402 13. Fatouros IG, Chatzinikolaou A, Douroudos II, et al. Time-course of changes in
 403 oxidative stress and antioxidant status responses following a soccer game. *J. Strength*404 *Cond. Res.* 2010;24(12):3278-86. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b60444.
- 405 14. Buchheit M. Monitoring training status with HR measures: Do all roads lead to Rome?
 406 *Front. Physiol.* 2014;5 FEB. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00073.

- 407 15. Borresen J, Lambert MI. Changes in heart rate recovery in response to acute changes
 408 in training load. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2007;101(4):503-11. doi:10.1007/s00421-007409 0516-6.
- Lamberts RP, Swart J, Capostagno B, Noakes TD, Lambert MI. Heart rate recovery as
 a guide to monitor fatigue and predict changes in performance parameters. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sport.* 2010;20(3):449-457. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00977.x.
- 413 17. Reilly T, Brooks GA. Exercise and the circadian variation in body temperature
 414 measures. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 1986;7(6):358-62. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1025792.
- Koutts AJ, Duffield R. Validity and reliability of GPS devices for measuring
 movement demands of team sports. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2010;13(1):133-5.
 doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2008.09.015.
- 418 19. Varley MC, Fairweather IH, Aughey RJ. Validity and reliability of GPS for measuring 419 instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion. *J. Sports* 420 Sci. 2012;30(2):121-7. doi:10.1080/02640414.2011.627941.
- Waldron M, Worsfold P, Twist C, Lamb K. Concurrent validity and test-retest
 reliability of a global positioning system (GPS) and timing gates to assess sprint
 performance variables. *J. Sports Sci.* 2011;29(15):1613-9.
 doi:10.1080/02640414.2011.608703.
- Jennings D, Cormack S, Coutts AJ, Boyd LJ, Aughey RJ. Variability of GPS units for measuring distance in team sport movements. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.*2010;5(4):565-9. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266740.
 Accessed November 27, 2014.
- 429 22. Malone JJ, Di Michele R, Morgans R, Burgess D, Morton JP, Drust B. Seasonal
 430 Training Load Quantification in Elite English Premier League Soccer Players. *Int. J.*431 Sports Physiol. Perform. 2014. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2014-0352.
- 432 23. Gaudino P, Iaia FM, Alberti G, Strudwick AJ, Atkinson G, Gregson W. Monitoring
 433 training in elite soccer players: systematic bias between running speed and metabolic
 434 power data. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2013;34(11):963-8. doi:10.1055/s-0033-1337943.
- 435 24. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Coutts AJ, Sassi A, Marcora SM. Use of RPE-based
 436 training load in soccer. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(6):1042-7. Available at:
 437 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15179175. Accessed December 21, 2014.
- 438 25. Buchheit M, Millet GP, Parisy A, Pourchez S, Laursen PB, Ahmaidi S. Supramaximal
 439 training and postexercise parasympathetic reactivation in adolescents. *Med. Sci. Sports*440 *Exerc.* 2008;40:362-371. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31815aa2ee.
- 441 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two
 442 methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986;1(8476):307-10. Available at:
 443 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2868172. Accessed July 9, 2014.
- Whittingham MJ, Stephens PA, Bradbury RB, Freckleton RP. Why do we still use
 stepwise modelling in ecology and behaviour? *J. Anim. Ecol.* 2006;75(5):1182-9.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01141.x.
- 447 28. Flom P and Cassell D. Stopping stepwise: Why stepwise and similar selection methods

are bad, and what you should use. In: NESUG Stats and Data Analysis 2007. Available 448 at: http://www.lexjansen.com/pnwsug/2008/DavidCassell-StoppingStepwise.pdf. 449 Hopkins WG. Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. Sports Med. 450 29. 2000;30(1):1-15. 451 30. Hooper SL, Mackinnon LT, Howard A, Gordon RD, Bachmann AW. Markers for 452 monitoring overtraining and recovery. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 1995;27(1):106-12. 453 Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7898325. Accessed November 27, 454 2014. 455 31. Anderson L, Orme P, Di Michele R, et al. Quantification of training load during one-, 456 two- and three-game week schedules in professional soccer players from the English 457 Premier League: implications for carbohydrate periodisation. J. Sports Sci. 458 2016;34(13):1250-9. doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1106574. 459 32. Anderson L, Orme P, Di Michele R, et al. Quantification of Seasonal Long Physical 460 Load in Soccer Players With Different Starting Status From the English Premier 461 League: Implications for Maintaining Squad Physical Fitness. Int. J. Sports Physiol. 462 Perform. 2016. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2015-0672. 463 33. Magalhães J, Rebelo A, Oliveira E, Silva JR, Marques F, Ascensão A. Impact of 464 Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test versus soccer match on physiological, 465 biochemical and neuromuscular parameters. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 2010;108(1):39-48. 466 doi:10.1007/s00421-009-1161-z. 467 34. Lastella M, Roach GD, Halson SL, Martin DT, West NP, Sargent C. Sleep/wake 468 behaviour of endurance cyclists before and during competition. J. Sports Sci. 469 470 2015;33(3):293-9. doi:10.1080/02640414.2014.942690. 35. Silva JR, Rebelo A, Marques F, et al. Biochemical impact of soccer: an analysis of 471 472 hormonal, muscle damage, and redox markers during the season. Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab. 2014;39(4):432-8. doi:10.1139/apnm-2013-0180. 473 474 36. Cormack SJ, Newton RU, McGuigan MR. Neuromuscular and endocrine responses of elite players to an Australian rules football match. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 475 2008;3(3):359-74. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19211947. 476 Accessed November 27, 2014. 477 37. Malone JJ, Murtagh C, Morgans R, Burgess D, Morton JP, Drust B. Countermovement 478 479 Jump Performance is Not Affected during an In-Season Training Microcycle in Elite Youth Soccer Players. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2014. 480 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000000701. 481 38. 482 Gathercole R, Sporer B, Stellingwerff T, Sleivert G. Alternative countermovementjump analysis to quantify acute neuromuscular fatigue. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 483 2015;10(1):84-92. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2013-0413. 484 39. Cormack SJ, Newton RU, McGuigan MR, Cormie P. Neuromuscular and endocrine 485 486 responses of elite players during an Australian rules football season. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 2008;3(4):439-53. Available at: 487 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19223670. Accessed November 27, 2014. 488

489 490	40.	Al Haddad H, Laursen PB, Chollet D, Ahmaidi S, Buchheit M. Reliability of resting and postexercise heart rate measures. <i>Int. J. Sports Med.</i> 2011;32(8):598-605.
491 492 493 494 495	41.	Esco MR, Flatt AA. Ultra-short-term heart rate variability indexes at rest and post- exercise in athletes: evaluating the agreement with accepted recommendations. <i>J.</i> <i>Sports Sci. Med.</i> 2014;13(3):535-41. Available at: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4126289&tool=pmcentrez &rendertype=abstract. Accessed December 22, 2014.
496 497 498	42.	Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Heart rate variability in elite triathletes, is variation in variability the key to effective training A case comparison. <i>Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.</i> 2012;112(11):3729-3741. doi:10.1007/s00421-012-2354-4.
499 500 501	43.	Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Stanley J, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Training adaptation and heart rate variability in elite endurance athletes: Opening the door to effective monitoring. <i>Sport. Med.</i> 2013;43(9):773-781. doi:10.1007/s40279-013-0071-8.
502 503 504	44.	Buchheit M. Sensitivity of Monthly Heart Rate and Psychometric Measures for Monitoring Physical Performance in Highly Trained Young Handball Players. <i>Int. J.</i> <i>Sports Med.</i> 2014. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1385882.
505 506 507	45.	Le Meur Y, Louis J, Aubry A, et al. Maximal exercise limitation in functionally overreached triathletes: role of cardiac adrenergic stimulation. <i>J. Appl. Physiol.</i> 2014;117(3):214-22. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00191.2014.
508 509 510	46.	Le Meur Y, Pichon A, Schaal K, et al. Evidence of Parasympathetic Hyperactivity in Functionally Overreached Athletes. <i>Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.</i> 2013. doi:10.1097/MSS.0b013e3182980125.
511 512 513	47.	Le Meur Y, Hausswirth C, Natta F, Couturier A, Bignet F, Vidal PP. A multidisciplinary approach to overreaching detection in endurance trained athletes. <i>J. Appl. Physiol.</i> 2013;114(3):411-20. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.01254.2012.
514 515 516 517	48.	Manzi V, Castagna C, Padua E, et al. Dose-response relationship of autonomic nervous system responses to individualized training impulse in marathon runners. <i>Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.</i> 2009;296(6):H1733-40. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00054.2009.
518 519 520 521	49.	Iellamo F, Pigozzi F, Spataro A, Lucini D, Pagani M. T-wave and heart rate variability changes to assess training in world-class athletes. <i>Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.</i> 2004;36(8):1342-6. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15292742. Accessed September 29, 2015.
522 523 524	50.	Buchheit M, Simpson MB, Al Haddad H, Bourdon PC, Mendez-Villanueva a. Monitoring changes in physical performance with heart rate measures in young soccer players. <i>Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.</i> 2012;112(2):711-723. doi:10.1007/s00421-011-2014-0.
525		

526 Table 1: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for

527 the relationship between morning-measured perceived fatigue and total high-speed running

528 distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days.

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	-0.31 (-0.51 to -0.78)	Small	149.167	p=0.01
3-day	-0.42 (-0.61 to -0.18)	Moderate	166.509	p<0.001
4-day	-0.28 (-0.52 to -0.01)	Small	108.53	p=0.03

529

530 Table 2: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for

the relationship between morning-measured perceived sleep quality and total high-speed

running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	-0.03 (-0.27 to 0.21)	Trivial	-10.633	p=0.83
3-day	-0.1 (-0.35 to 0.16)	Trivial	-9.869	p=0.81
4-day	0.04 (-0.27 to 0.28)	Trivial	15.774	p=0.75

⁵³³

534	Table 3: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for
535	the relationship between morning-measured perceived muscle soreness and total high-speed
536	running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	-0.19 (-0.41 to 0.05)	Trivial/Small	-58.443	p=0.12
3-day	-0.16 (-0.40 to 0.10)	Trivial	-36.258	p=0.23
4-day	-0.13 (-0.4 to 0.15)	Trivial	-28.05	p=0.37

537

Table 4: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for

the relationship between morning-measured countermovement jump performance and total

541 high-speed running distance) over the previous 2, 3 and 4 days

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	0.13 (-0.11 to 0.36)	Trivial	24.944	p= 0.29
3-day	0.21 (-0.05 to 0.42)	Small	31.478	p=0.11
4-day	0.23 (-0.05 to 0.48)	Small	34.02	p=0.10

542

543

Table 5: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for

the relationship between morning-measured sub-maximal heart rate and total high-speedrunning distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4 days

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	0.18 (-0.06 to 0.40)	Trivial	5.17	p=0.10
3-day	0.21 (-0.05 to 0.44)	Small	4.863	p=0.07

547

4-day

Table 6: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for
 the relationship between morning-measured Ln rMSSD (HRV) and total high-speed running

Small

5.948

p=0.02

550 distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days.

0.28 (0.05 to 0.52)

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	<-0.01 (-0.25 to 0.29)	Trivial	-1.31	p= 0.99
3-day	<0.01 (-0.27 to 0.25)	Trivial	9.426	p=0.91
4-day	-0.15 (-0.41 to 0.13)	Trivial	-95.337	p=0.279

551

Table 7: Partial correlations (95% CI), least squares regression slope (B) and significance for the relationship between morning-measured heart rate recovery (HRR%) and total high-speed running distance over the previous 2, 3 and 4-days

	Correlation Coefficient (95% CI)	Magnitude	В	P-value
2-day	<0.1 (-0.14 to 0.33)	Trivial	0.178	p=0.97
3-day	<0.1 (-0.16 to 0.35)	Trivial	1.138	p=0.76
4-day	-0.03 (-0.23 to 0.32)	Trivial	-1.584	p=0.68