

Original citation:

Senn, Mark. (2017) To superspace and beyond. Acta Crystallographica Section A Foundations and Advances, 73 (1). pp. 1-3.

Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/88450

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

© International Union of Crystallography. http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S2053273316019902 A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

To Superspace and Beyond

MARK S. SENN *a,b**

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, United Kingdom, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill, Coventry, CV4 7AL United Kingdom. E-mail: m.senn@warwick.ac.uk

Much progress has been made in understanding the structure-property relationship over the past few decades. This is partly due to our improved ability to measure the subtle structural distortions which are either linked to the development of a specific physical property or that lead to its suppression. A very significant contribution in this field has also been the development of the language required to formally describe such symmetry-breaking events that characterise the order parameters that drive these phase transitions. However, until now, the tools available have only allowed for the exploration of the symmetry implications of order parameters corresponding to commensurate modulations. In this issue, Stokes and Campbell (REF) present an algorithm and practical implementation for computing the allowed subgroups resulting from the action of an order parameter consisting of up to three arbitrary independent incommensurate modulations. This work provides key tools for understanding the structure-property relationships of the many technologically important materials which display incommensurate modulations in their atomic and/or magnetic structure. While Landau theory has been widely used since the 60s to describe second-order phase transitions (Cowley, 1980), often the starting point has been to use an order parameter that is phenomenological. That is to say, the order parameter is related to an observation of the physical property, such as the magnitude of the measured electrical or magnetic polarization. However, this need not be the case, and indeed formally the order parameter of the phase transition (leading to a child structure) must transform as an irreducible representation (Campbell *et al.*, 2006) of the highsymmetry (parent) structure. Or put another way, it must be an allowed excitation of the parent structure, which in the harmonic approximation will in general transform as a single irreducible representation of the parent space group. With the use of invariant analysis (Hatch & Stokes, 2003), this allows a full expansion of the free energy to be performed about the parent structure in terms of the the allowed excitation(s) beyond just the harmonic (second) order, provided that the secondary "symmetry-allowed" couplings of the primary order parameter can be elucidated.

As crystallographers, experimentally what we determine is the positions of the atoms above and below a phase transition. If we are to pursue the analysis described above, then we must identify the set of atomic displacements that lead to a continuous phase transition from parent to child structure. One may then decompose these symmetrybreaking distortions into transforming as irreducible representations of the parent space group. This process may simply be thought of as a change of basis to one which is orthogonal in the symmetry space of the parent structure rather than being orthogonal in the fractional coordinate space of the child structure. A substantial bottle neck to all of this analysis is hence not just our ability to measure subtle structural distortions, but our ability to classify these subtle orderings in terms of irreducible representations. Although the first complete tabulation of (time even) irreducible representations (for special points in k-space) was made in the 70s (Bradley & Cracknell, 1972), the analysis of structural distortions in terms of these remained the preserve of experts in the field of group theory. The development of the online tool *ISODISTORT* by Campbell, Stokes, Tanner and Hatch in 2006 (Campbell *et al.*, 2006) finally bridged this gap, making this analysis trivial even for structures undergoing the most complicated phase transitions driven by order parameters that consist of multiple superposed irreducible representations.

The success of this analysis is evident in the literature. A large number of complex phase transitions have been interpreted thanks to these tools, giving physical insight into process such as charge ordering, ferroelectricity, magnetic exchange anisotropy and negative thermal expansion (Senn *et al.*, 2012; Kocsis *et al.*, 2014; Khalyavin *et al.*, 2015*a*; Orlandi *et al.*, 2016; Khalyavin *et al.*, 2015*b*; Senn *et al.*, 2015). Other works have devised approaches based on this analysis to effectively search and determine space groups of materials that have undergone complex phase transitions (Kerman *et al.*, 2012; Lewis *et al.*, 2016). Rietveld and single-crystal refinement programs such as *Topas* and *FullProf* can now refine models directly in this symmetry-adapted basis, and more recently this approach has even been applied to directly fitting pair distribution function data (Senn *et al.*, 2016).

Crucially, it is only the assignment of the full (isotropy) subgroup symmetry which allows identification of all of the secondary order parameters. This is vital not only for correctly constructing the Landau-style free energy expansion as mentioned above, but also for identifying and predicting coupling mechanisms within the solid state that can give rises to unexpected physical properties. The recent resurgence of interest in improper ferroelectrics, where the polarisation forms only a secondary order parameter of two antiferrodistortive primary order parameters, is a good example of this, and undoubtedly this has been driven to a great part by the ease with which such couplings can now be ascertained (see Refs. (Benedek *et al.*, 2015; Young *et al.*, 2015) for some recent review articles).

However, there remains a final frontier. Many crystal structures display incommensurate phase transitions that are related to unusual lattice dynamics and charge modulations where phonons go soft at general points in reciprocal space, rather than at commensurate wave vectors. Here in "A general algorithm for generating isotropy subgroups in Superspace" by Stokes and Campbell, not only is the first algorithm presented for enumerating all of the possible subgroups associated with the action of an order parameter with an incommensurate propagation vectors, but the machinery is also provided so that it may be undertaken by a non-expert in the field. Undoubtedly this work will inspire renewed efforts to tackle problems associated with incommensurate crystallography. Where solutions exist, they may be decomposed in terms of irreducible representations of the parent space groups, and new physical insights gained into secondary couplings. Where structural models have yet to be found, possible subgroups can be enumerated thanks to these new online tools (Stokes *et al.*, 2016).

The importance of using the full space group symmetry to describe incommensurate magnetic structures has been highlighted recently (Perez-Mato *et al.*, 2012). Here magnetic superspace groups not only provide a complete description of the ordering with a minimum number of refinable parameters, but they also serve to reveal any secondary magneto-structural couplings such as are vital for understanding type-II multiferroic mechanisms. But may be the most significant challenge to be undertaken in this field is in modelling the incommensurate charge density wave (CDW) phases found in many layered cuprates, that is now generally accepted as being associated with a suppression of the superconducting state (Wu *et al.*, 2011; Ghiringhelli *et al.*, 2012). Ultimately a full symmetry analysis of these systems in their CDW state should reveal the spin-lattice coupling interactions that are responsible for mediating superconductivity. Equipped with these new tools for exploring the consequences of

symmetry-lowering phase transitions, we can now tackle such problems and bravely

go "to superspace and beyond".

References

- Benedek, N. A., Rondinelli, J. M., Djani, H., Ghosez, P. & Lightfoot, P. (2015). Dalton Trans. 44(23), 10543–10558.
- Bradley, C. J. C. J. & Cracknell, A. P. (1972). The mathematical theory of symmetry in solids : representation theory for point groups and space groups. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Campbell, B. J., Stokes, H. T., Tanner, D. E. & Hatch, D. M. (2006). J. Appl. Crystallogr. **39**(4), 607–614.
- Cowley, R. A. (1980). Adv. Phys. **29**(1), 1–110.
- Croft, T. P., Lester, C., Senn, M. S., Bombardi, A. & Hayden, S. M. (2014). Phys. Rev. B, 89(22), 224513.
- Ghiringhelli, G., Tacon, M. L., Minola, M., Mazzoli, C., Brookes, N. B., Luca, G. M. D., Frano, A., Hawthorn, D. G., He, F., Loew, T., Sala, M. M., Peets, D. C., Salluzzo, M., Schierle, E., Sutarto, R., Sawatzky, G. A., Weschke, E., Keimer, B. & Braicovich, L. (2012). Science, **337**(August), 821–825.
- Hatch, D. M. & Stokes, H. T. (2003). J. Appl. Crystallogr. 36(3 Part 2), 951-952.
- Kerman, S., Campbell, B. J., Satyavarapu, K. K., Stokes, H. T., Perselli, F. & Evans, J. S. O. (2012). Acta Crystallogr. A. 68(Pt 2), 222–34.
- Khalyavin, D. D., Salak, A. N., Lopes, A. B., Olekhnovich, N. M., Pushkarev, A. V., Radyush, Y. V., Fertman, E. L., Desnenko, V. A., Fedorchenko, A. V., Manuel, P., Feher, A., Vieira, J. M. & Ferreira, M. G. S. (2015a). Phys. Rev. B, **92**(22), 224428.
- Khalyavin, D. D., Salak, A. N., Manuel, P., Olekhnovich, N. M., Pushkarev, A. V., Radysh, Y. V., Fedorchenko, A. V., Fertman, E. L., Desnenko, V. A. & Ferreira, M. G. S. (2015b). Z. Kristallogr. 230(12), 767–774.
- Kocsis, B., Perez-Mato, J. M., Tasci, E. S., de la Flor, G. & Aroyo, M. I. (2014). J. Appl. Crystallogr. 47(4), 1165–1179.
- Lewis, J. W., Payne, J. L., Evans, I. R., Stokes, H. T., Campbell, B. J. & Evans, J. S. O. (2016). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138(25), 8031–8042.
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). J. Appl. Crystallogr. 44, 1272–1276.
- Orlandi, F., Righi, L., Mezzadri, F., Manuel, P., Khalyavin, D. D., Delmonte, D., Pernechele, C., Cabassi, R., Bolzoni, F., Solzi, M. & Calestani, G. (2016). *Inorg. Chem.* 55(9), 4381–4390.
- Perez-Mato, J. M., Ribeiro, J. L., Petricek, V. & Aroyo, M. I. (2012). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 24, 163201.
- Senn, M. S., Bombardi, A., Murray, C. A., Vecchini, C., Scherillo, A., Luo, X. & Cheong, S. W. (2015). Phys. Rev. Lett. 114(3), 035701.
- Senn, M. S., Keen, D. A., Lucas, T. C. A., Hriljac, J. A. & Goodwin, A. L. (2016). Phys. Rev. Lett. 116(20), 207602.
- Senn, M. S., Wright, J. P. & Attfield, J. P. (2012). Nature, 481, 173–176.
- Stokes, H. T., van Orden, S. & Campbell, B. J. (2016). J. Appl. Crystallogr. 49(5), 1849–1853.
- Wu, T., Mayaffre, H., Krämer, S., Horvatić, M., Berthier, C., Hardy, W. N., Liang, R., Bonn, D. a. & Julien, M.-H. (2011). Nature, 477(7363), 191–194.
- Young, J., Stroppa, A., Picozzi, S. & Rondinelli, J. M. (2015). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 27(28), 283202.



Fig. 1. An exaggerated illustration of a possible incommensurate modulation of CuO_4 planes (Cu in blue, O in red) such as found in the layered cuprate superconductors $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ with parent I4/mmm symmetry. Although weak superstructure peaks have been measured that are associated with a charge density wave with a propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = [0.23, 0, \frac{1}{2}]$ (Croft *et al.*, 2014), the limited number of weak observed reflections, the large number of possible isotropy subgroups, and the number of degrees of freedom within each of these models, means that the symmetry of the CDW phase in this and other related systems is still in question. The figure is drawn using *ISODISTORT* (Campbell *et al.*, 2006) and *VESTA* (Momma & Izumi, 2011).