

Schmitz, A., Ponitz, B., Brücker, C., Schmitz, H., Herweg, J. & Bleckmann, H. (2015). Morphological properties of the last primaries, the tail feathers, and the alulae of Accipiter nisus, Columba livia, Falco peregrinus, and Falco tinnunculus. Journal of Morphology, 276(1), pp. 33-46. doi: 10.1002/jmor.20317



City Research Online

Original citation: Schmitz, A., Ponitz, B., Brücker, C., Schmitz, H., Herweg, J. & Bleckmann, H. (2015). Morphological properties of the last primaries, the tail feathers, and the alulae of Accipiter nisus, Columba livia, Falco peregrinus, and Falco tinnunculus. Journal of Morphology, 276(1), pp. 33-46. doi: 10.1002/jmor.20317

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/16745/

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at <u>publications@city.ac.uk</u>.

1	
2	
3	Morphological properties of the last primaries, the tail feathers and the
4	Alulae of Falco peregrinus, Columba livia, Falco tinnunculus and
5	Accipiter nisus
6	
7	Anke Schmitz ¹ *, Benjamin Ponitz ² , Christoph Brücker ² , Helmut Schmitz ¹ ,
8	Jan Herweg ¹ , Horst Bleckmann ¹
9	¹ Institute of Zoology, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-University Bonn, Germany
10	² Institute of Mechanics and Fluid Dynamics, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Freiberg,
11	Germany
12	
13	The feathers of Falco peregrinus
14	
15	*corresponding author:
16	PD Dr. Anke Schmitz
17	Institute of Zoology
18	Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-University Bonn
19	Poppelsdorfer Schloss
20	
21	53115 Bonn
22	ankeschmitz@uni-bonn.de
23	Phone: +49 228 735467
24	
25	

26 Abstract

27 During the stoop of peregrine falcons (Falco peregrinus) they can reach velocities of up to 28 320 km h⁻¹. While pulling out of the stoop from such high velocities the wing and tail 29 feathers are likely to be exposed for a certain time-span to large mechanical forces. We 30 investigated the mechanical properties (E-modulus, bending stiffness, barb separation 31 forces) of the tenth primary of the wings, of the Alulae and the middle tail feathers of F. 32 *peregrinus*. For comparison, we also investigated the corresponding feathers in pigeons 33 (Columba livia), kestrels (Falco tinnunculus) and sparrowhawks (Accipiter nisus). In all 34 four species the E-moduli of the feathers ranged from 5.9 to 8.4 GPa. The feather shafts of 35 F. peregrinus had the largest cross-sections and the highest specific bending stiffness. 36 When normalized with respect to body mass, the specific bending stiffness of primary 10 was highest in *F. tinnunculus* (16 Nmm g⁻¹), while that of the the Alula was highest in *A*. 37 nisus. In comparison, , the specific bending stiffness, measured at the base of the tail 38 39 feathers, was much higher in *F. peregrinus* than in the other three species (3.3-12.6 Nmm g⁻¹). 40

41

Key words: *Falco peregrinus*, feather morphology, nanoindentation, specific bending
stiffness

44 Introduction

67

45 During flight manoeuvres birds experience large aerodynamic forces (Corning and 46 Biewener, 1998; Tucker et al 1998; Usherwood et al., 2005). This applies specifically to 47 the wings, but also to the tail feathers (Berg and Rayner, 1995; Corning and Biewener, 48 1998; Usherwood et al., 2005; Carruthers et al., 2007). The mechanical loads the wing 49 and tail feathers are exposed to not only depend on the flying speed and the particular 50 flight manoeuvre of a bird, but also on its body weight (e.g. Lentink et al. 2007). 51 A nose-diving peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus) can reach in its stoop velocities of up 52 to 320 km/h (Tucker and Parrott, 1970; Orton, 1975; Tucker, 1990; Savage, 1992; 53 Clark, 1995; Tucker 1998; Franklin, 1999). At such velocities the wings are folded 54 around the body, which is described by falconers as the drop-shape (corresponding to 55 the classic falcon diamond shape) (Franklin, 1999). Moreover, the tail feathers form a 56 narrow paddle-like end in the aft part of the body(personal observation and Franklin, 57 1999). If a peregrine pulls out of a dive it starts to spread-out its wings and tail feathers 58 (National Geographic Channel 2007, you tube video 59 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j3mTPEuFcWk). Flight velocity is then further reduced by increasing the angle of attack which results in increased drag (Franklin, 60 61 1999; Ponitz et al., 2014). 62 The wing and tail feathers of birds are designed to provide aerodynamic lift under 63 conditions of minimum weight and maximum structural stability (Hertel, 1966; Crenshaw, 1980; Gibson and Ashby, 1988). Wing feathers can be divided into remiges 64 65 (or wing flight feathers) and coverts. Furthermore, flight feathers can be subdivided into 66 primaries (inserting at the hand), secondaries (inserting at the forearm), and rectrices (tail feathers) (Busching, 1997). Feathers are in general composed of a central shaft and

68 an inner and outer vane (Sick, 1937; Rutschke, 1966, 1976). The shaft can be further 69 subdivided into a basal calamus and a rachis. Vanes are composed of parallel oriented 70 barbs that are interconnected via bow and hook radiates (barbules) (Hooke, 1665; Sick, 71 1937). This sophisticated design guarantees that vanes are light, flexible and can resist 72 certain mechanical loads that act on the feathers during flight (Ennos et al., 1995). The 73 calamus anchors the feather in the skin where it is attached to muscles and tendons or -74 in case of the flight feathers - directly to the bones. The rachis consists of a ring-like 75 cortex and a central medulla that contains foam-like cells that support the feather 76 further against buckling (Bonser, 2001). It has already been shown that the presence of 77 the foam-like material within the rachis enhances the bending stiffness of a feather by 78 7-16% compared to the situation without the inner foam (Purslow and Vincent, 1978; 79 Bonser, 1996). Further stabilization originates from the overlap of feathers of the wings. 80 In primary 10 and the outermost alula, the inner vanes are partly covered by 81 neighbouring feathers. Tail feathers typically overlap during flight and are only spread during a pull out or prior to landing. 82 83

84 We determined the mechanical properties of the flight feathers (primary 10), the Alulae 85 and the tail feathers of *Falco pergerinus*. To do so we measured the Young's modulus E 86 (sometimes also named the elastic modulus) of the rachises of the above feathers and 87 calculated their bending stiffness by multiplying the Young's modulus with the second 88 moment of area (see also Bachmann et al., 2012). For comparison, we also investigated 89 the feathers of domestic rock pigeons (Columba livia), kestrels (Falco tinnunculus) and sparrowhawks (Accipiter nisus). All four species are excellent flyers (Mebs and 90 91 Schmidt 2005) and - with the exception of the pigeon - are close relatives to F.

92	peregrinus (Jetz et al., 2012). We also determined the forces necessary to bend the
93	rachis of the feathers as well as the forces necessary to separate the barbs. Moreover we
94	counted the number of barbs as well as the angle between barbs and rachis.
95	
96	Material and Methods
97	Experimental Animals
98	Feathers of adult males and females of four species were investigated: F. peregrinus
99	(N=4), F. tinnunculus (N=4), A. nisus (N=4) and C. livia (N=4). All animals were
100	obtained as frozen carcasses. Shrink-wrapped animals were kept at -20°C until further
101	usage. All animals were thawed, weighed and photographed (Nikon Coolpix 5000).
102	Thereafter the body dimensions (mass, wing span, body and tail length) were taken.
103	Finally, the tenth (outermost primaries), the outermost Alulae and the middle tail
104	feathers were removed and investigated. As the number of tail feathers differs between
105	birds, their homology is uncertain. In the peregrine falcon the first and fifth primary
106	were investigated, too.
107	
108	Morphology of the vanes
109	Feathers were bilaterally removed from four specimen of each species. Each vane was
110	photographed (Nikon Coolpix 5000) together with a ruler (resolution 1 mm). The
111	number of barbs per cm rachis length was counted on every photo for the entire rachis.
112	The angles between the rachis and the barbs were determined for both the outer and
113	inner vanes.
114	

115 Force gauge measurements

116 Feathers from one body side were investigated in four specimens of each species. All 117 measurements were repeated four times (Fig. 1A). For force measurements feathers 118 were fixed with the lower side up on a plastic plate that contained a 5x5 mm hole 119 (Bachmann et al., 2007). Prior to each measurement the barbs and barbules were 120 manually smoothed. A rod (diameter 1.5 mm), attached to a force gauge device (Sauter 121 FK10, resolution 0.001 N), was pushed against that part of the feather that was situated 122 right above the hole until the barbs separated (Fig. 1B). This way the forces (N) 123 necessary to separate the barbs were determined. Although the forces acting on a feather 124 during flight are probably rarely perpendicular to the surface of a feather our method 125 already provided some valuable information on the feather stability by comparing the 126 measured values between different species. Force measurements were performed on the 127 inner and outer vanes (c.f. Fig. 1A). The outer vanes of the tenth primaries were, 128 however, so narrow that force measurements could only be taken near the rachis. 129

130 Specific bending stiffness

131 In one specimen of each species the specific bending stiffness of primary 10 and one tail 132 feather was determined with a balance (Mettler Toledo XA 105). To do so the vanes of 133 each rachis were removed with scissors. Afterwards, each rachis was attached to a metal 134 holder that was mounted on a micromanipulator. To take measurements, the rachis of 135 each feather was placed on the upper edge of a vertically oriented razor blade. The firm 136 attachment of the rachis to the micromanipulator prevented its slipping off the razor 137 blade. The horizontal distance (d_1) between the blade and the metal holder was 30 mm. 138 During each measurement the holder was moved downwards in 0.1 mm steps from 0.1

to 1 mm (d₂). The force values obtained were used to calculate the specific bending
stiffness M (two point bending method, DIN 53121) according to:

141

142
$$M = F \times d_1^3 \times d_2^{-1} \times 3^{-1} \times w^{-1}$$
(1)

143

with F = power (N) (gram g was converted into force N, with N = 102g), d_1 = distance (mm) between measuring point and fixation point, d_2 = downward movement of the holder (mm), w = width of the rachis (mm).

147 Each measurement series was repeated three times and mean values were calculated

from the 30 values obtained. The width of the rachis was obtained from the respectivecross-section (see below).

150 If the shaft of a feather was too short (this was the case in all Alulae and primaries 10 of 151 *A. nisus*) measurements could not be taken since we were not able to firmly attach the 152 shaft to the metal holder. In all other feathers the first measuring point was at 25% (or 153 37.5%) of rachis length. Further measuring points were at 50, 62.5, 75, 87.5 and 99% of 154 rachis length.

155

156 Cross-sections

157 The rachis of each feather was cut at the positions 0% (most proximal position) and

158 99% (most distal position) and at the intermediate positions 12.5, 25, 37.5, 50, 62.5, 75

and 87.5% (c.f. Fig. 1C). Pieces of the rachis were embedded in Epon 812 so that the

160 surface of the requested section faced the cutting edge of the block. Blocks were

161 polymerized for two days at 60°C and trimmed after hardening (Reichert TM60) using a

162 diamond tip. Finally, blocks were cut with a histo-diamond knife (Diatome) to get

163	samples with a smooth surface. Photos were taken with a digital camera (Nikon Coolpix
164	5000) from each section through a binocular (Leica, MZ 16). To account for individual
165	differences, feathers from four animals of each species were investigated.
166	
167	Determination of the Young's modulus(E)
168	Nanoindentation can be used to determine the Young' moduls (elastic modulus) of
169	biological materials (Oliver and Pharr, 1992; Swartz and Middleton, 2008). The
170	Youngs's modulus of any material is defined as the slope of its stress-strain curve in the
171	linear-elastic deformation range. The Young's modulus is a measure of the stiffness of
172	an elastic isotropic material and is a quantity used to characterize materials (Askeland
173	and Phulé, 2006).
174	
175	For the determination of E-values of bird feather parts, blocks were glued with Stabilit
176	® onto a metal disc (AFM specimen disc, 15 mm, TED PELLA, Inc.) and placed under
177	a nanoindenter (Hysitron Triboscope, D3100). A Berkovich tip was used to indent the
178	samples with a load of 2500 μ N. This resulted in a contact depth of about 500 nm.
179	Hardness and E-moduli of the samples were calculated from the unloading portions of
180	the load-displacement curves following a procedure given by Oliver and Pharr (1992).
181	The measured value is the modulus reduced by the deformation of the diamond indenter
182	tip itself (Oliver and Pharr, 1992; Fischer-Cripps, 2004). This effect is corrected for
183	within the nanoindenter software using the material properties of the diamond tip

184 (Oliver and Pharr, 1992; Fischer-Cripps, 2004). Therefore, all measured results are

185 referred to the E-values. The contact area function was determined and the calibrations

186 were performed using a standard reference sample of PMMA (plexiglas, *Hysitron*)

```
(Oliver and Pharr, 1992). For each feather, 24 indents were taken into the cortex; the
indentation points are illustrated in Fig. 1C. We did not obtain values for the medullae
since they were too soft for nanoindentation.
```

190

191 Second moment of area

The second moment of area I (mm⁴), calculated according to Purslow and Vincent
(1978), was determined for the transverse, lateral and dorso-ventral bending direction

194 (Fig. 1E). In brief, we subdivided each cross section into 10 parallel segments of

195 identical width (Fig. 1E) and determined the area of the cortex of each segment by point

196 counting (Howard and Reed, 1998). Point counting was repeated three times per area

and the mean values (A_i) for the areas A_1 - A_{10} were calculated. Two parallel lines were

198 drawn at the upper and lower end of each section according to the intended bending

199 direction (Fig. 1E). Between these two lines 10 segments with identical width were

200 drawn and the midpoint of each segment was marked. The length from one of the

201 external lines to the midpoint of the segments X_1 - X_{10} was measured. In a next step X*A

202 was calculated by taking $\sum X_i^*A_i$ from the ten segments. X was calculated by dividing

203 the product of X*A by \sum (A₁-A₁₀). Finally for each area, Y_i was calculated according to

204 $Y_i=X_i-X$. I (second moment of area) is the sum of all squared Y_i times A_i ($I=\sum Y_i^{2*}A_i$)

and thus has the unit mm^4 .

206 We used primary 10 of *C. livia* to establish the method. We compared the measured

207 values with the values calculated from the software package Auto-Cad (Auto-Desk). For

208 each cross section, however, Auto-Cad calculates only the maximum irrespective of the

209 predefined load direction as defined in our experiments. However, at least one of our

210 calculated values (tranverse, lateral, or dorso-ventral) always was similar to the

211	maximum calculated by Auto-Cad, therefore we continued to evaluate all I-values by
212	point counting. This way, we obtained results for a rachis that bended homogeneously
213	in lateral, dorso-ventral or tranverse direction.
214	
215	Specific bending stiffness
216	The bending stiffness is defined as Young's modulus E (Nmm ⁻² , 1 GPa = 1000 N mm ⁻²)
217	times the second moment of area I (mm ⁴) (Bonser and Purslow, 1995). The specific
218	bending stiffness M (Nmm) is the bending stiffness divided by the width w (mm) of the
219	sample (taken from photos):
220	
221	$M (Nmm) = E (Nmm^{-2}) \times I (mm^{4}) \times w^{-1} (mm^{-1}) $ (2)
222	
223	Statistics
224	Mean values and standard deviations were calculated. Differences between species were
225	compared using SPSS (IBM, version 22). T-test and one-way analysis of variance
226	(ANOVA) with Bonferroni test as post-hoc evaluation were used to compare mean
227	values of different species. We show only differences between F. peregrinus and one of
228	the other species in the figures. All values obtained were normally distributed. The
229	significance level was p< 0.01
230	MIXED-MODEL???? Anfrage in der Statistik Bonn läuft
231	Results
232	Body mass and feather morphology
233	The body mass of the birds used for our study and the length of their feathers are given
234	in Table 1. Note that feather lengths did not correlate with body mass (Table 1).

235

236 Vane morphology

237	Primary 1 and 5 of F. pereginus contained 17-22 barbs per cm. Significant differences
238	between primary 1 and 5 were not found (t-test, p=0.1 and 0.03, respectively). In
239	primary 10 the number of barbs per cm vane length was, however, lower in the outer
240	vane than in the inner vane (12 to 22 barbs cm ⁻¹ , t-test, p<0.01). The feathers of C. livia
241	had the highest number of barbs per cm rachis length (Fig. 2). In all species, inner and
242	outer vane barb numbers were comparable for the tail feathers and the alulae (t-test,
243	p=0.1 and 0.03) but differed in primaries 10 (t-test, p<0.01). Also, the number of barbs
244	of the vanes of primaries 10, the tail feathers and the alulae differed across species
245	(ANOVA, p<0.01) (Fig. 2). Differences between <i>F. pereginus</i> and individuals of the
246	other species occurred in all vanes with the exception of the inner vane of the tail
247	feather (post-hoc Bonferroni, p<0.01) (Fig. 2). In all feathers and species, respectively,
248	the number of barbs decreased from the base of the rachis towards the tip and increased
249	again slightly for the most proximal 20% of the rachis. In all species the angles between
250	barbs and rachis decreased from base (30° up to 88°) towards tip ($15-30^\circ$). Angles were
251	larger for the inner vanes and differed least between species in the tail feathers.
252	

253 Vane structural integrity

254 For the four species investigated the forces required to separate the barbs of primaries

255 10, the tail feathers and the Alulae are plotted in Fig. 3. For most measuring points these

256 forces were significantly larger in *F. peregrinus* than in the other species. An exception

257 is the Alula: in these feathers the separation forces were highest in *A. nisus* at the

258 measuring points 25% and 50% of the outer vane.

25	n
23	9

260 Nanoindentation

- 261 Young's modulus E was determined for nine cross-sections per feather (Figs. 1D and 4-
- 262 6). The E-values of primaries 1, 5 and 10 of *F. peregrinus* were comparable. If
- averaged across all sections, the E-values of primary 10 (6.85-7.2 GPa) of the four
- species investigated were not significantly different (t-test, p=0.06 and 0.07) (Fig. 7).
- 265 Evaluation of the mean E-values of the single sections of primaries 10, the tail feathers
- and the Alulae revealed differences for about half of the spots (inserted tables in Fig.7).
- 267 Values ranged within 6.5 8.7 GPa. In all feather types values were highest for *C. livia*.
- 268 In all species the E-values of the primaries 10, the Alulae and the tail feathers slightly
- decreased from base to tip (regression analysis). Declination was 0.112-0.26 in
- primaries 10, 0.079-0.21 in Alulae and 0.035-0.08 in the tail feathers (Fig. 7).
- 271

272 Specific bending stiffness

273 We calculated and measured (see material and methods) the specific bending stiffness

of the rachises of primaries 10 and the tail feathers. Measured values were always

slightly higher than the calculated values (Fig. 8). Furthermore, in all feathers the

276 specific bending stiffness decreased from base to tip (Fig. 8).

277 In primaries 1, 5 and 10 of *F. peregrinus* the calculated specific bending stiffness was

similar for the transverse bending direction in all sections. If the bending direction was

- 279 lateral, however, the specific bending stiffness of primary 10 exceeded that of primary 1
- and 5. If the bending direction was dorso-ventral, the specific bending stiffness of

primary 5 was higher than the specific bending stiffness of primary 1 and 10 (Fig. 9).

282 This was most pronounced for the sections 1 to 5.

284	By comparing the specific bending stiffness of primaries 10, the tail feathers and the
285	Alulae (Fig. 10) it became apparent that F. peregrinus had the most stiff feathers.
286	However, plotting the specific bending stiffness with respect to average body mass (see
287	also Worcester 1996) revealed, that the specific bending stiffness of the peregrine
288	feathers, with the exception of the tail feathers (Fig. 11), no longer surpassed the
289	specific bending stiffness of the feathers of the other species.
290	Fig. 12 gives the second moment of area divided by the width of a sample and by the
291	body mass for the three feather types and the nine sections, respectively. Moreover, Fig.
292	12 also provides the statistics for these values (ANOVA, post-hoc Bonferroni). The
293	rachises of primary 10 of F. peregrinus had higher or lower values than the rachisis of
294	primary 10 of all other species. Tail feather values were higher in the peregrine at most
295	spots. In the Alula, however, feathers of F. tinnunculus and A. nisus most often had
296	higher values than the peregrines.
297	Calculating the specific bending stiffness per body mass (Fig. 13) revealed that the
298	values obtained from section one of the tail feathers of F. peregrinus (bending direction
299	dorso-ventral) were much higher (mean 16.12 Nmm g ⁻¹) than the values obtained from
300	all other sections. Primary 10 of F. tinnunculus, if bend in a transverse or dorso-ventral
301	direction, and the Alula of A. nisus had a higher specific bending stiffness than the
302	respective feathers of all other species (Fig. 13). These feathers were especially stable in
303	the first 3 to 5 sections.
304	

305 Cross-sections

283

306	The cross-sections of the investigated feathers (Figs. 4-6) revealed allmost
307	inhomogeneous profiles. This was most conspicuous in primary 10 of F. peregrinus and
308	C. livia (Fig. 4). Sections 2 to 5 showed pronounced protruding processes. The alulae of
309	F. peregrinus and A. nisus were also inhomogeneous and this was particularly evident
310	in the middle of the feather shafts (Fig. 6). In contrast, the cross sections of the tail
311	feathers were more homogeneous in all species but the basic section in F. peregrinus
312	still differed from the other species in its oval structure (Fig. 5).
313	
314	Discussion

315

316 According to the present study the mechanical properties of the primaries 10, the tail 317 feathers and the Alulae of the four species investigated were strikingly similar if related 318 to the individual body mass. Some differences, however, do exist. For instance, at their 319 base the tail feathers of *F. peregrinus* were significantly more stiff than the tail feathers 320 of the other three species investigated. Peregrines probably use their tail feathers to 321 reduce the flight velocity at the end of a dive (National Geographic Channel 2007, High 322 velocity falcon, you tube). The specific bending stiffness of primaries was highest in F. 323 tinnunculus. This falcon uses the primaries for hovering, i.e. while hovering the 324 primaries are probably exposed to higher mechanical loads than in steady flight 325 conditions. In A.nisus the Alulae were more stable than in the other species. This hints 326 Accipiter might use primarily their Alulae in fast flight manoeuvres, which however 327 needs further studies to be proven. . 328

520

329 Methodological considerations

330 The specific bending stiffness obtained with the balance was always higher than the 331 calculated bending stiffness. This was most likely due to the fact that we neglected the 332 medulla in our calculations, even though the medulla is known to increase the bending 333 stiffness of vanes by 7-16% (Purslow and Vincent, 1978; Bonser, 1996). Moreover the 334 equation used herein was was derived for samples made from paperboard. Therefore the 335 results for the balance could be higher than expected when using the rachises. 336 The measured Young's modulus based on nanoindentation experiments for the different 337 species was higher than most of the E-values documented in literature so far (see 338 below). This could be due to the anisotropy of the feather keratin since it is more 339 aligned in the middle of the rachis and less aligned at the calamus and at the tip of the 340 rachis (Cameron et al., 2003). These differences in alignment may result in variations in 341 the stiffness along the rachis. 342 Morphology of the vanes 343 In terms of barb numbers the vanes of the feathers of *F. peregrinus* did not differ from 344 the other species investigated. Therefore, the higher integrity of the peregrine feathers 345 (with the exception of the Alulae) is most likely due to the anchoring of hooks and bows 346 of the vanes. In general, at the most distal part of the feathers differences in their 347 mechanical properties decreased. Moreover, the two vanes of each feather had different 348 mechanical properties; most likely, this is an adaptation to specific aerodynamic loads. 349 The vanes of the outer primaries of *C.livia* are exposed to high aerodynamic loads 350 during a down stroke in flapping flight and can resist larger out-of-plane forces than the

inner primaries and the secondaries (Ennos et al. 1995). In addition the middle and

352 distal part of each feather resist out-of-plane forces better than the proximal part, which

353 will be covered during flight by neighbouring feathers (Ennos et al. 1995). This agrees

354 with the mechanical properties of primary number 10 and the Alulae of our study (Fig. 355 3). Moreover, the overlap of the feathers while the wings are moved plays a role in force 356 distribution, at least in the proximal portions of most feathers. Overlapping will 357 especially influence the forces acting on the vanes. 358 The structural integrity of the outer vane of primary 10 of F. peregrinus was 359 comparable to that of C. livia. In F. peregrinus the inner vanes had a higher mechanical 360 robustness than the inner vanes of *F.tinnunculus* and *A.nisus*. This may be an adaptation 361 to the higher mass of *F. peregrinus* and/or to the higher aerodynamic forces peregrines 362 most likely experience during fast flight manoeuvres. In F. peregrinus, the Alulae are 363 not particularly exposed to the high velocities at the end of a dive (Ponitz et al., 2014). 364 This could explain why the Alulae of *F. peregrinus* are not more robust than the Alulae 365 of the other species. However, the vanes of the tail feathers of F. peregrinus were the 366 most robust among the four species. Differences in robustness between *F. peregrinus* 367 and the other species were especially pronounced close to the rachis. In this region 368 stability may be of special importance. Detailed aerodynamic measurements of the 369 forces hitting the feathers have to show which parts of the vanes are important for the 370 flight behaviour of the four species. 371

372

373 Young's -modulus E

374 The E-values of the cortices of the feathers of various bird species range between 1.8 -

375 10 GPa (Hertel, 1966; Rutschke, 1976; Purslow and Vincent, 1978; Crenshaw, 1980;

376 Fraser and Macrae, 1980; MacLeod, 1980; Bonser and Purslow, 1995, Bonser, 2001;

Cameron et al., 2003; Pannkuk et al., 2010; Bachmann et al., 2012; Vincent, 2012). The

378 E-values obtained in the present study are within this range. Since the flexural stiffness 379 of the rachis depends on its cross-sectional geometry to a much greater degree than the 380 E-value (Bonser, 1996), the shape of the cross-section is likely to have a larger 381 influence on feather stability than the material properties of the keratin (Purslow and 382 Vincent, 1978; Corning and Biewener, 1998; Bonser and Purslow, 1995; Bachmann et 383 al., 2012). For the four species investigated it was shown that E-values differ between 384 species and between different areas of the feathers. As values lay between 5.8 and 8.4 385 GPa the Young's modulus itself has an influence on the specific bending stiffness. E.g. 386 since the E-value of C. livia was higher than the E-values of the other three species. 387 For all feathers the measured E-values of the feather rachis was higher than the values 388 documented in literature so far. A possible for this discrepancy could be the different 389 levels in water content of the samples. Our samples were air-dried, but in alive birds the 390 water content of the feathers is higher and thus the actual E-values may be lower. This 391 was shown in ostrich contour feathers in which the E-value decreased with increasing 392 water content (0% water content 3.66 GPa to 100% 1.47 GPa; Taylor et al., 2004). Fully 393 developed feathers are, however, no longer hydrated by blood vessels (Busching, 1997). 394 Therefore the use of air-dried feathers seems justified.

395

396 Second moment of area and specific bending stiffness

³⁹⁷ The second moment of area of primary 10 of a 300 g *C. livia* is 0.00008-1.6 mm⁴

398 (Purslow and Vincent 1978). This is comparable to our values for *C. livia* (0.00004-2.33

 mm^4 , 480 g). Bachmann et al. (2012) found 0.017-1.7 mm⁴ for primary 5 in the same

400 species.

401	Heavier birds must generate larger forces than smaller birds to keep airborne. This in
402	turn implies that the resistance of the rachis to bending should be greater in heavier
403	birds (Purslow and Vincent, 1978). Therefore, we also calculated the mass-specific
404	bending stiffness (Figs. 11, 13). The tail feathers of <i>F. peregrinus</i> had a higher mass-
405	specific bending stiffness than the tail feathers of the other three species, especially at
406	the base and in the dorso-ventral bending direction (natural bending direction in flight!).
407	We suggest that this helps peregrine falcons to cope with the large aerodynamic forces
408	that develop while pulling out of a dive.
409	
410	Cross-sections
411	The feathers of <i>F. peregrinus</i> had larger cross-sections and more protrusions than the
412	feathers of the other three species. This was especially striking at the base of the
413	rachises. The size and shape of the cross-sections most likely determine the specific
414	bending stiffness of a feather (Bachmann et al. 2012; Purslow and Vincent 1978).
415	Differences in flexural stiffness originate most likely from differences in the shape of
416	the cross-sections rather than differences in the material properties (e.g. varying the
417	cross-link density of the keratin) (Purslow and Vincent, 1978). These finding are in line
418	with our results (Figs. 4-6, 13), as in Fig.12 and 13 the curves run in very similar
419	manners.
420 421	Conclusions
422 423	The tested feathers show differences that can be correlated to the life style of a bird. The
424	specific bending stiffness is highest in the feathers that birds use particular for their
425	special flight behaviours, at least when one simply observes the flight of the birds:

426 Alulae in *A. nisus*, primary number 10 in hovering of *F. tinnunculus* and the tail feathers

427	during pull-out from a stoop in F. peregrinus. Aerodynamic measurements have to
428	demonstrate the forces that the feathers have to stand and have to verify these
429	statements.
430	NOCH ETWAS SCHREIBEN, DASS DIE FEDERN WAHRSCHEINLICH NICHT
431	DIE HAUPTLAST BEIM STURZFLUG ODER DEM ABBREMSEN TRAGEN UND
432	DASS ALS NÄCHSTES DIE KNOCHEN UND MUSKELN UNTERSUCHT
433	WERDEN.
434	
435	
436	Acknowledgements
437	We thank W. Bednarek for providing one F. peregrinus (CitesNr.DE-COE080818171),
438	two F. tinnunculus (DE-COE121306201) and two A. nisus (DE-COE121206202). A
439	second F. peregrinus was provided by Daniel Müller (CitesNr.DE-HF98050800001).
440	We thank Dr. D. Fischer who provided two more F. peregrinus, two F. tinnunculus and
441	two A. nisus. These birds originate from the Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen,
442	Klinikum Veterninärmedizin (Prof. Dr. med.vet. M. Lierz). Permission for our study
443	was provided by the Regierungspräsidium Gießen (Dezernat 53.2). We are indebted to
444	Vera Schlüssel and Joachim Mogdans for critically reading and commenting on the
445	MS. This work has been funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within
446	the joint project BL 242/19-1 and BR 1494/21-1
447	
448	
449	

450 **References**

451	Askeland DR, Phulé, PP. 2006. The science and engineering of materials (5th ed.).
452	Cengage Learning, Andover. 888 pages.
453	
454	Bachmann T, Klän S, Baumgartner W, Klaas M, Schröder W, Wagner H. 2007.
455	Morphometric characterisation of wing feathers of the barn owl (Tyto alba) and the
456	pigeon (Columba livia). Front. Zool. 4: 23-38
457	
458	Bachmann T, Emmerlich J, Baumgartner W, Schneider JM, Wagner H. 2012. Flexural
459	stiffness of feather shafts: geometry rules over the material properties. J. Exp. Biol. 215:
460	405-415.
461	
462	Berg A, Rayner JMV. 1995. The moment of inertia of bird wings and the inertial power
463	requirement for flapping flight. J. Exp. Biol. 198: 1655-1664.
464	
465	Bonser RHC. 1996. The mechanical properties of feather keratin. J. Zool., Lond. 239:
466	477-484.
467	

- 468 Bonser RHC. 2001. The mechanical performance of medullary foam from feathers. J.
 469 Material. Sci. Lett 20: 941-942.
- 470
- 471 Bonser RHC, Purslow P. 1995. The Young's modulus of feather keratin. J. Exp. Biol.
 472 198: 1029-1033.
- 473
- 474 Busching W-D. 1997. Einführung in die Gefieder- und Rupfungskunde. Wiesbaden:

475 Aula Verlag. 408	۶p).
----------------------	----	----

476

477 Cameron G, Wess T, Bonser RHC. 2003. Young's modulus varies with	477	oung's modulus varies with diff	terential
--	-----	---------------------------------	-----------

- 478 orientation of keratin in feathers. J. Struct. Biol. 143: 118-123.
- 479
- 480 Carruthers AC, Thomas ALR, and Taylor GK. 2007. Automatic aeroelastic devices in
- 481 the wings of a steppe eagle Aquila nipalensis. J. Exp. Biol. 210: 4136-4149.
- 482
- 483 Clark WS. 1995. How fast is the fastest bird? Wild Bird 9: 42-43.
- 484
- 485 Corning WR, Biewener AA. 1998. In vivo strains in pigeon flight feather shafts:
- 486 implications for structural design. J. Exp. Biol. 201: 3057-3065
- 487
- 488 Crenshaw DG. 1980. Design and materials of feather shafts: very light, rigid structures.
- 489 Symp. Soc. exp. Biol. 43: 485-486.
- 490
- 491 Ennos AR, Hickson JRE, Roberts A. 1995. Functional morphology of the vanes of the
- 492 flight feathers of the pigeon *Columba livia*. J. Exp. Biol. 198: 1219-1228.
- 493
- 494 Fischer-Cripps AC. 2004. Nanoindentation. Second edition. Heidelberg: Springer. 263495 pages.
- 496
- 497 Franklin K. 1999. Vertical flight. NAFA_Journal 1999: 68-72.
- 498

499	Fraser RD, Macrae TP. 1980. Molecular structure and mechanical properties of keratins.
500	Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 32: 211-246.
501	
502	Gibson LJ, Ashby MF. 1988. Cellular Solids: Structures and Properties. New York:
503	Pergamon. 510 p.
504	
505	Hertel H. 1966. Structure, Form, Movement. New York: Reinhold Publishing Group.
506	243 p.
507	
508	Hooke R. 1665. Micrographia: or some Physiological Descriptions of Minute Bodies
509	made by Magnifying Glasses with observations and Inquiries thereupon. London: Royal
510	Society. 325 p.
511	
512	Howard CV, Reed MG. 1998. Unbiased stereology. Three-dimensional measurement in
513	microscopy. Bios Scientific Publishers, Oxford. 246 p.
514	
515	Jetz W, Thomas GH, Joy JB, Hartmann K, Mooers AO 2012. The global diversity of
516	birds in space and time. Nature 491: 444-448.
517	
518	Lentink D, Müller UK, Stamhuis EJ, de Kat R, van Gestel W, Veldhuis LLM,
519	Henningsson P, Hedenström A, Videler JJ, van Leeuwen JL. 2007. How swifts control
520	their glide performance with morphing wings. Nature 446: 1082-1085.
521	
522	MacLeod G. 1980. Mechanical properties of contour feathers. J. Exp. Biol. 87: 65-71.

524	Mebs, T, Schmidt D. 2005. Die Greifvögel Europas, Nordafrikas und Vorderasiens.
525	Stuttgart, Kosmos. 495 p.
526	
527	Oliver WC, Pharr GM. 1992. An improved technique for determining hardness and
528	elastic modulus using load and displacement sensing indentation experiments. J. Mater.
529	Res. 7(6): 1564-1583.
530	
531	Orton DA. 1975. The speed of a peregrine's dive. The Field, September, 588-590.
532	
533	Pannkuk EL, Siefferman LM, Butts JA. 2010. Colour phases of the easterns screech
534	owl: a comparison of biomechanical variables of body contour feathers. Funct. Ecol. 24:
535	347-353.
536	
537	Ponitz B, Schmitz A, Fischer D, Bleckmann H, Brücker C. 2014. Diving-flight
538	aerodynamics of a peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus) PLOS one 9: 1-13.
539	
540	Purslow PP, Vincent, JFV. 1978. Mechanical properties of primary feathers from the
541	pigeon. J. Exp. Biol. 72: 251-372.
542	
543	Rutschke E. 1966. Untersuchungen über die Feinstruktur des Schaftes der Vogelfeder.
544	Zool. Jb. Syst. 93: 223-288.
545	

546	Rutschke E. 1976. Gross structure in bird feathers. Proc. Int. Ornithol. Congr. 16: 414-
547	425

548

549 Savage C. 1992. Peregrine Falcons. San Francisco: Sierra Club. 160 p.

- 550
- 551 Sick HV. 1937. Morphologisch-funktionelle Untersuchungen über die Feinstruktur der
 552 Vogelfeder. J. Orn. 85: 206-372.
- 553
- 554 Swartz SM, Middleton KM 2008. Biomechanics of the bat limb skeleton: Scaling,

555 material properties and mechanics. Cells Tissues Organs 187(1): 59-84.

- 556
- 557 Taylor AM, Bonser RHC, Farrent JW. 2004. The influence of hydration on the tensile
- and compressive properties of avian keratinous tissues. J. Mat. Science 39: 939-942.
- 559
- 560 Tucker VA. 1990. Body drag, feather drag and interference drag of the mounting strut

561 in a peregrine falcon, *Falco peregrinus*. J. Exp. Biol. 149: 449-468.

- 562
- Tucker VA. 1998. Gliding flight: speed and acceleration of ideal falcons during divingand pullout. J. Exp. Biol. 201: 403-414.
- 565
- 566 Tucker VA, Parrott GC. 1970. Aerodynamics of gliding flight in a falcon and other
- 567 birds. J. Exp. Biol. 52: 345-367.
- 568

569	Tucker VA, Cade TJ Tucker AE. 1998. Diving speeds and angles of a gyrfalcon (Falco
570	rusticolus). J. Exp. Biol. 201: 2061-2070.
571	
572	Usherwood J, Hedrick T, McGowan C, Biewener A. 2005. Dynamic pressure maps for
573	wings and tails of pigeons in slow, flapping flight, and their energetic implications. J.
574	Exp. Biol. 208: 355-369.
575	
576	Vincent J. 2012. Structural biomaterials (Third edition.). Princeton: Princeton
577	University Press. 228 pages.
578	
579	Worcester SE. 1996. The scaling of the size and stiffness of primary flight feathers. J.
580	Zool. Lond 239: 609-624.
581	
582	
583	
584	
585	
586	
587	
588	
589	
590	
591	
592	

593	Table 1
594	
595	Figures
596	
597	Fig. 1 A. Tail feather six of <i>F. pergerinus</i> . White dots: Points of force gauge
598	measurements. Scale bar: 1 cm. B. Device for the force gauge measurement. The Sauter
599	FK10 is situated above a feather, one of the holes in the subjacent plate is marked with
600	an arrow. For measurements the FK 10 is put downwards until it hits the feather and the
601	power is read off when the vane gets unsealed. C. Primary 10 of F. peregrinus.
602	Numbers $1 - 9$ indicate the level of the sections used for nano-indentation. Scale bar: 5
603	cm. D. Cross-section four of primary 10 of <i>F. peregrinus</i> . White spots: Points for
604	nanoindentation. Bar: 1 mm. E. Schematic drawings of the cross-section shown in C.
605	Directions for which the second moment of area was calculated are indicated by black
606	arrows. Thin lines separate the 10 areas in which point counting was carried out. Scale
607	bar: 1 mm.
608	
609	Fig. 2 Number of barbs per cm rachis of primary 10, a tail feather and the Alula in the
610	four species investigated (4 specimen per species). Bars represent mean values \pm one
611	S.D. Stars indicate significant differences between F. peregrinus and one of the other
612	species (ANOVA, post-hoc Bonferroni). Differences were only found in the outer vane
613	of primary 10 and of the alula (p<0.01). At the beginning of the rachis (first 1-2 cm),
614	barbs always were soft and had no or only small barbules. Therefore these barbs were
615	not included in the results.
616	

617 Fig. 3 Forces needed for barb separation. The measuring tip of the force gauge was 618 oriented parallel to the rachis. For primary number 10 no outer values for the position 619 50% could be obtained since the area was too small in this feather. S.D. of each set of 620 measurement is given as bars in the columns. Significant differences between 621 F.peregrinus and the other species are marked with a * (ANOVA, post-hoc Bonferroni, 622 p<0.01). 623 624 Fig. 4 Cross-sections at the nine rachis levels of Primary 10 indicated in Fig.1C. 625 Primary 10 of each species is shown between the sections for size and shape comparison. In this figure and in figure 5 cross-sections are oriented such that pictures 626 627 represent a feather from the right body side. Four protruding processes are marked 628 exemplarily by arrows. 629 630 Fig. 5 Cross-sections through the rachis of the middle tail feather of the four species. 631 Levels of cross-sections as in Fig.4 and 1C. Tail feathers of the single species are given 632 between the sections. 633 634 Fig.6 Cross-sections through the rachis of the outermost Alula of the four species. 635 Cross-sections are oriented such that pictures represent a feather from the left body side. 636 The Alulae are given between the sections. 637 638 Fig.7 The E-Moduli of primary 1, 5, and 10 of *F. peregrinus* (A) and of primary 10, the

639 middle tail feather and the Alula of all four species investigated (B). S.D. is given by

(

640	bars. In B significant differences between F. peregrinus and one of the other species is
641	indicated as a X in the inserted tables (ANOVA, post-hoc Bonferroni, p<0.01)
642	
643	Fig. 8 Specific bending stiffness obtained with a balance (dashed lines) or calculated
644	with equation 2 (continuous lines). Data are shown for the tail feathers of the four
645	species investigated. Results are plotted for the lateral and dorso-ventral orientation of
646	the rachis. E was determined with the nanoindenter, I was derived from the sections. For
647	the balance measurements the samples were oriented such that their outer vanes (lateral
648	orientation) or the ventral part of the rachis (dorso-ventral orientation) were aligned to
649	the razor blade. In all cases the values obtained with the balance were slightly higher
650	than the calculated values.
651	
652	Fig. 9 Specific bending stiffness (mean \pm S.D.) of primary 1, 5 and 10 of <i>F. peregrinus</i> ,
653	calculated for a transverse, lateral and dorso-ventral bending direction (c.f. Fig.1E).
654	
655	Fig. 10 Specific bending stiffness (mean \pm S.D.) of primary number 10, tail and Alula
656	for the four species investigated. Bars indicate the S.D.
657	
658	Fig. 11 Specific bending stiffness as a function of body mass. For each feather the
659	average specific bending stiffness, mean of all sections, was calculated. From left to
660	right: Values for F.tinnunculus (191.8 g) and A.nisus (202.3 g), C.livia (402.5 g) and
661	F.peregrinus (680.8 g).
662	

Fig.12 Second moment of area divided by the width of a sample and by the body mass.

- 664 Curves for the three feather types and the three bending directions are given. Bars
- indicate the S.D. Inserted as tables are the statistic results for differences between F.
- 666 *peregrinus* and one of the other species. Significant differences (X) are given for each
- 667 section (ANOVA, post-hoc Bonferroni, p<0.01).
- 668
- 669 Fig. 13 Specific bending stiffness per body mass of primary 10, the tail feathers, and the
- 670 Alulae. For each section values are given for the transverse, lateral and dorso-ventral
- 671 bending direction (c.f. Fig.1E). Inserted as tables are the statistic results for differences
- 672 between *F. peregrinus* and one of the other species. Significant differences (X) are
- 673 given for each section (ANOVA, post-hoc Bonferroni, p<0.01).
- 674
- 675