

LSHTM Research Online

Butcher, R.; Houghton, J.; Derrick, T.; Ramadhani, A.; Herrera, B.; Last, A.R.; Massae, P.A.; Burton, M.J.; Holland, M.J.; Roberts, C.H.; (2017) [Accepted Manuscript] Reduced-cost Chlamydia trachomatis-specific multiplex real-time PCR diagnostic assay evaluated for ocular swabs and use by trachoma research programmes. Journal of microbiological methods. ISSN 0167-7012 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2017.04.010

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/3894570/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2017.04.010

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/

https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk

Accepted Manuscript

Reduced-cost Chlamydia trachomatis-specific multiplex real-time PCR diagnostic assay evaluated for ocular swabs and use by trachoma research programmes



Robert Butcher, Jo Houghton, Tamsyn Derrick, Athumani Ramadhani, Beatriz Herrera, Anna R. Last, Patrick A. Massae, Matthew J. Burton, Martin J. Holland, Chrissy H. Roberts

PII:	S0167-7012(17)30097-0
DOI:	doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2017.04.010
Reference:	MIMET 5153
To appear in:	Journal of Microbiological Methods
Received date:	30 January 2017
Revised date:	21 April 2017
Accepted date:	22 April 2017

Please cite this article as: Robert Butcher, Jo Houghton, Tamsyn Derrick, Athumani Ramadhani, Beatriz Herrera, Anna R. Last, Patrick A. Massae, Matthew J. Burton, Martin J. Holland, Chrissy H. Roberts, Reduced-cost Chlamydia trachomatis-specific multiplex real-time PCR diagnostic assay evaluated for ocular swabs and use by trachoma research programmes, *Journal of Microbiological Methods* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2017.04.010

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

REVISED

```
2
     Reduced-cost Chlamydia trachomatis-specific multiplex real-time
3
     PCR diagnostic assay evaluated for ocular swabs and use by
4
     trachoma research programmes
5
6
     Robert Butcher<sup>*1</sup>, Jo Houghton<sup>1</sup>, Tamsyn Derrick<sup>1,2</sup>, Athumani Ramadhani<sup>1,2</sup>, Beatriz
7
     Herrera<sup>1</sup>, Anna R Last<sup>1</sup>, Patrick A Massae<sup>2</sup>, Matthew J Burton<sup>1</sup>, Martin J Holland<sup>1</sup> and
8
     Chrissy h. Roberts<sup>1</sup>.
9
10
     Affiliations
11
12
     <sup>1</sup>Clinical Research Department, Faculty of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School
13
     of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT
14
15
     <sup>2</sup> Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre, Moshi, Tanzania
16
17
     * Corresponding author contact details:
18
     0044 (0) 207 927 2419
19
     robert.butcher@lshtm.ac.uk
20
21
22
     Running title
     Open-platform qPCR for Chlamydia trachomatis in ocular specimens
23
24
     Keywords
25
26
     Chlamydia trachomatis; diagnosis; quantitative PCR; trachoma
27
28
29
```

30

31 Abstract

32

33 Introduction

Trachoma, caused by the intracellular bacterium *Chlamydia trachomatis* (*Ct*) is the leading infectious cause of preventable blindness. Many commercial platforms are available that provide highly sensitive and specific detection of *Ct* DNA. However, the majority of these commercial platforms are inaccessible for population-level surveys in resource-limited settings typical to trachoma control programmes. We developed two low-cost quantitative PCR (qPCR) tests for *Ct* using readily available reagents on standard real-time thermocyclers.

41

42 <u>Methods</u>

Each multiplex quantitative PCR test targets one genomic and one plasmid Ct target in 43 addition to an endogenous positive control for Homo sapiens DNA. The quantitative 44 performance of the qPCR assays in clinical samples was determined by comparison to a 45 previously evaluated droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) test. The diagnostic performance of the 46 47 gPCR assays were evaluated against a commercial assay (artus C. trachomatis Plus RG PCR, Qiagen Ltd) using molecular diagnostics quality control standards and clinical 48 samples. We examined the yield of Ct DNA prepared from five different DNA extraction kits 49 and a cold-chain free dry-sample preservation method using swabs 'spiked' with fixed 50 concentrations of human and Ct DNA. 51

52

53 <u>Results</u>

The qPCR assay was highly reproducible (*Ct* plasmid and genomic targets mean total coefficients of variance 41.5% and 48.3%, respestively). The assay detected 8/8 core specimens upon testing of a quality control panel and performed well in comparison to commercially marketed comparator test (sensitivity and specificity >90%). Optimal extraction and sample preservation methods for research applications were identified.

59

60 <u>Conclusion</u>

We describe a pipeline from collection to diagnosis providing the most efficient sample preservation and extraction with significant per test cost savings over a commercial qPCR diagnostic assay. The assay and its evaluation should allow control programs wishing to conduct independent research within the context of trachoma control, access to an affordable test with defined performance characteristics.

South Manus

68 Introduction

69

Chlamydia trachomatis (Ct) is the cause of trachoma, which is the leading cause of infection-70 related blindness worldwide (1,2). Ct is also the most commonly diagnosed bacterial 71 sexually transmitted infection (3). Diagnosis of trachoma is made by the observation of a 72 clinical sign which is the appearance of lymphoid follicles and inflammation on the tarsal 73 conjunctiva (4). This clinical sign is not highly specific (5) and in low-prevalence or post-74 treatment settings can correlate poorly with for ocular *Ct* infection (6–8). Control programs 75 use azithromycin mass drug administration (MDA) in trachoma endemic communities as part 76 of an overall strategy to control transmission, but the drop in prevalence of infection results 77 in a decrease in the positive predictive value of clinical signs of disease (8). 78

79

Determination of infection load data offers additional benefits to a qualitative diagnostic 80 assay because load of infection is associated with disease severity (5). Reference-free 81 methods for quantitation of nucleci acids using digital droplet PCR technology have also 82 been evaluated (9); these have been useful in demonstrating that infection load may be 83 involved in transmission (10). In populations that have been treated en masse with 84 85 azithromycin, the loads of individual infections are usually low (11). Identifying sub-86 populations in which there are infections with higher than average loads can identify communities and subgroup 'hotspots' that are reservoirs of infection in otherwise trachoma-87 free areas (12). Conversely, infections in low prevalence or post-treatment settings may not 88 be of high enough load to sustain transmission and the community or burden of infection 89 may decline; this is referred to as the Allee effect (7,13). A quantitative diagnostic test may 90 therefore assist in programmatic decisions such as when to continue, cease or target 91 azithromycin MDA (14). 92

93

Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) have become the gold standard for *Ct*-specific tests of infection, due to their superior sensitivity and throughput when compared to culture and antigen detection techniques (15). There are many accredited commercial assays for the diagnosis of sexually transmitted *Ct* infections but very few are evaluated for testing with ocular swabs. Diagnostic tests with quantitative capabilities, such as the Abbott RealTime CT/NG m2000 (16) platform is widely distributed in many low- and middle-income countries yet per-specimen testing costs remain beyond trachoma control and research programs.

101

NAATs for *Ct* are not currently required by the international guidelines for implementation or
 cessation of the "SAFE" (Surgery for the correction of in-turned eyelashes, Antibiotics to
 treat infection, promotion of Facial hygiene and Environmental improvement to reduce

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

105 transmission) strategy for trachoma control (17). Diagnosis of current Ct infection can be a valuable component of the monitoring and evaluation of trachoma control programs (18). 106 Where NAATs have been used, both commercial (19) and non-commercial (20,21) tests 107 have yielded important results that have shaped the scientific agenda for control program 108 evaluation, the research activities of government/non-government organisations and the 109 policy of funding bodies. The cost efficacy of using a commercial NAAT to guide MDA 110 cessation has been evaluated (22) and it was found that a low-cost commercial NAAT can 111 be cost effective for the control program as test costs were offset by savings from the 112 distribution of further unnecessary annual treatment rounds of MDA. In addition to the cost 113 benefit, avoiding unnecessary rounds of MDA would reduce community antibiotic exposure 114 and risk of emergence of antibiotic resistance. 115

116

There are many situations in which an open-platform test with evaluated performance 117 characteristics may enable valuable data to be gathered and we therefore designed and 118 evaluated the performance of a NAAT for detection and enumeration of ocular Ct infections. 119 The test was required to be high throughput, low cost, quantitive and comparable in 120 performance to a commerical alternative. Capacity to multiplex targets was also important to 121 122 enable concurrent testing of specimen collection and extraction. gPCR was therefore 123 selected over other technologies suitable for low-resource settings (such as end-point PCR or loop-mediated amplification (LAMP) (23)). 124

125 Methods

126

127 Study ethics

128

Samples were collected from trachoma-endemic communities in Tanzania and Guinea-129 Bissau as detailed below. Ethical approval for the collection of these samples was obtained 130 from the following ethics committees: Comitê Nacional de Ética e Saúde (Guinea Bissau), 131 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK. Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre, 132 Tanzania and the National Institute for Medical Research, Tanzania. The support of local 133 leaders in every community was ascertained before sample collection began. All participants 134 were required to provide written, informed consent prior to study enrollment and parents or 135 guardians provided consent for children. 136

137

138 Oligonucleotides

139

Primer and hydrolysis probe sequences used in this study are shown in Table 1. Primers 140 targeting highly conserved species-specific regions of plasmid open reading frame 2 141 (pORF2) and outer membrane protein complex B (*omcB*) of *Ct* were previously described by 142 143 Pickett and colleagues (24). pORF2 and omcB probe sequences were designed using Primer Express v3 (Life technologies, Paisley, UK). Oligonucleotides for use on Applied 144 Biosystems (ABI) real time thermocyclers were synthesized by Life Technologies. 145 Oligonucleotides for use on the Corbett Rotor-Gene (a.k.a Qiagen Rotor-Q) were 146 synthesized by Sigma (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). Endogenous control primers and probes specific 147 to the Homo sapiens RNase P/MRP 30-kDa subunit (RPP30) gene were previously 148 described by Luo and colleagues (25). There is no variation in primer or probe binding sites 149 in published Ct genome and plasmid sequences (NCBI Blastn search January 2017). omcB 150 and pORF2 targets are present in a single copy per chlamydial genome and plasmid, 151 respectively. Studies have estimated copy number in clinical specimens to be between 1 152 and 18 copies per genome (26). 153

154

155 *qPCR*

156

For ABI thermal cyclers, each $10-\mu$ L qPCR contained final concentrations of 1x TaqMan Universal Mastermix II, with Uracil-DNA N glycoslyase (UNG, a common method to minimize PCR cross-contamination by enzymatic degradation of previous PCR products with incorporated dUTP; Life technologies, Paisley, UK), each oligonucleotide at 0.3 μ M and 2 μ L

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

template DNA in aqueous solution. In the United Kingdom, the assay was performed on an
ABI 7900HT Fast Real Time PCR machine (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK). In Tanzania,
the assay was performed on an Applied Biosystems ViiA 7 Real Time PCR machine (Life
Technologies, Paisley, UK). Both instruments utilized a 384-well format.

165

For Rotor-Gene thermal cyclers samples were run in a 72-well rotor format on a Corbett Rotor-Gene 3000. Each 20- μ L qPCR contained 1x qPCRBIO Probe Mix No-Rox (PCR Biosystems, London, UK), each oligonucleotide at 0.3 μ M and 8 μ L template DNA in aqueous solution.

170

No-template controls and serial dilutions of known-concentration PCR product were included on each run on all systems. Thermal cycling conditions for all systems were 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 60 seconds. Samples with quantitation cycle (C_q) values <15 cycles were diluted and retested.

176

177 Calibration curve

178

Calibration standards were prepared using DNA extracted from cultured Human Epithelial 179 type-2 (HEp-2) cells, which had been infected with Ct strain A2497. The Novogen KOD PCR 180 kit (Novogen, Sydney, Australia) was used to amplify the pORF2, omcB and RPP30 targets 181 using the primers described in table 1. PCR products were purified and extracted using the 182 Promega gel clean-up kit and Wizard SV PCR spin columns (Promega, Madison, WI. USA) 183 according to manufacturer's guidelines. PCR products were then diluted 1:10⁷ in 1 mM Tris-184 CI 0.1 mM EDTA (0.1× TE) buffer on a background of 2 ng/µL herring sperm DNA (Sigma 185 Aldrich, St Louis, LA. USA). These standards were ten-fold serially diluted through ten steps 186 to create a calibration curve. A droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) assay (26,9) was used to 187 estimate the number of chlamydial and human targets in each standard. The limit of 188 detection was defined as the lowest analyte concentration at which all ten repeat 189 measurements of a specific dilution returned a positive result. 190

191

192 Analysis of clinical samples

193

To assess the performance of the qPCR test in clinical specimens, we compared the results of testing by *artus* C. trachomatis Plus RG PCR, qPCR and ddPCR. This analysis used 99 randomly selected samples from a collection of clinical ocular-swab derived DNA specimens

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

that originated from a 2014 study of children aged 1-9 years selected from trachomaendemic communities on the Bijagos Islands, Guinea-Bissau. Sample collection protocols and *Ct* ddPCR results were described previously (27). In Tanzania we also tested 523 samples with qPCR referenced against ddPCR. These clinical samples were from a single cross-sectional time point of a 4-year longitudinal study of a cohort of children aged 5–10 years of age. In each study, samples were collected prior to community treatment with azithromycin.

204

The *artus* C. trachomatis Plus RG PCR Kit (96) CE (4559265) was used to test the samples from the Guinea-Bissau cohort. Testing was performed as per manufacturer's instructions using the kit internal control to monitor possible PCR inhibition by adding directly to the reaction mixture.

209

210 Quality control molecular diagnostics panel performance

211

Using the assays described above, we used an external quality control molecular 212 diagnostics panel of samples, (Quality Control in Molecular Diagnostics (QCMD) programme 213 214 (www.gcmd.org) (28)). The panel consisted of positive and negative samples which participants were expected to detect (termed 'core' samples) and low-load samples termed 215 'educational' that contained <1 genome equivalent per microlitre of sample. In 'educational' 216 specimens, the load is so low that only extremely sensitive tests (i.e. those using target 217 enrichment or transcription-assisted amplification) would be expected to routinely identify 218 these as positive. The lyophilized samples were rehydrated according to QCMD protocol, in 219 4 mL of sterile molecular biology-grade water, of which DNA was extracted from 1 mL and 220 eluted into 100 µL. Following DNA extraction, the assays were performed as described. 221

222

Testing costs of extracted DNA from clinical swabs by artus C. trachomatis Plus RG PCR,
 qPCR and ddPCR

225

The laboratory cost of processing the samples by each assay was calculated for both personnel time and consumables. Costs of consumables were taken from current UK list prices at the time of publication and were expressed in US Dollars (US\$). Personnel costs were based on a salary for a junior labortory technician at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre in Moshi, Tanzania. Per-sample costs were calculated based on the time taken to complete one run on each analysis platform and then expressed as a per sample cost including overheads. Equipment procurement costs were not included in the analysis, as

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

- thermocycler availability and use will vary between country and laboratory. However, prices
 for the thermocyclers used in this manuscript are stated in the footnote for Table 6.
- 235

236 *Preparation of 'spiked' swabs for use in storage assessment experiments*

237

A suspension of cultured HEp-2 cells and serovar A Ct EBs in phosphate-buffered saline 238 (PBS) was inoculated onto swabs. HEp-2 cells were spiked into PBS at approximately 239 400,000 per 1 mL of PBS. Elementary bodies (EBs) were spiked into the same suspension 240 at a dilution of 2 µL of EBs per 1 mL of PBS to achieve a high concentration of Ct targets per 241 PCR reaction. The suspension was homogenized and 50 µL was aliguoted onto polyester-242 coated swabs (Puritan Medical Products, Guilford, USA). Swabs were allocated to storage in 243 one of three conditions: dry storage in polystyrene tubes at room temperature (uncontrolled, 244 typically 22-25°C), dry storage in paper envelopes at room temperature inside a domestic 245 vacuum-sealed container with silica desiccant, or dry storage in polystyrene tubes at -20°C. 246 Four swabs were prepared and processed per time point per storage condition. Swabs were 247 removed from storage at 7, 30, 90 and 180 days and DNA was extracted using the swab 248 249 protocol of the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions. Swabs were tested using ABI qPCR. 250

251

252 Comparison of DNA extraction kits and recovery of Chlamydia trachomatis nucleic acids

253

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were extracted from the blood of a healthy 254 volunteer. PBMCs were suspended in PBS and aliquots spiked with high, medium and low 255 loads of Ct A/2497 elementary bodies (EBs). One aliquot did not have any EBs added to act 256 as negative control. A 50-µL aliquot of suspension was pipetted directly onto swabs. A total 257 of 25 swabs were prepared at each concentration level and refrigerated overnight. Five 258 swabs were selected at random from each concentration group. Each swab was rehydrated 259 in 400 µL of PBS. They were then vortexed at full speed for 2 minutes and the swab was 260 removed and discarded, expressing any excess liquid on the side of the tube. DNA was then 261 prepared following the manufacturer's recommendations for each respective kit. The elution 262 volume was standardised to 100 µL. Five extraction kits were compared: MTB Isolation 263 (Elisabeth Pharmacon), Blood and Serum DNA Isolation Kit (BioChain), Cador Pathogen 264 (QIAGEN), QIAamp Mini DNA Extraction (QIAGEN) and Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit 265 (MoBio), which includes a mechanical lysis step (specimen lysed in PowerBead tube at 6 266 m/s for 40 seconds). Four 1 µL aliquots of eluate were tested per swab, resulting in 20 test 267 wells per condition. C_q values from high-, medium- and low-load sample eluates were 268

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

collated into a single dataset for each extraction kit. QIAmp DNA mini kit was used as our
standard reference as it is used widely in many studies, and our group has used this kit
extensively in trachoma studies (9,29,30).

272

273 Data analysis

274

Data were reported in accordance with Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments (MIQE) guidelines (31) (see supplementary information). Clinical specimens and PCR product dilutions were classified as positive for *Ct* if the test detected amplification of the plasmid target in any well within 40 cycles for the ABI assay, or 35 cycles for the Rotor-Gene assay. The load of infection was determined by extrapolation from an eight-step, ten-fold dilution of PCR product standards of known concentration; these were tested in triplicate on each plate.

282

SDS 2.4 software (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) was used for data analysis. Baseline 283 fluorescence intensity values were determined by analysis of mean fluorescence between 284 cycles 3 and 15 on both platforms. The C_q boundary line was set at 0.2 for all three 285 fluorescence channels (FAM/VIC/NED) on the ABI instrument, and at 0.1 on the Rotor-Gene 286 instrument. C_q data were exported from SDS 2.4 and further analysed using R version 3.2.2 287 (32). Linear regression was used to determine whether the C_{α} decreased significantly with 288 time under different storage conditions. The gradients of linear models were examined to 289 determine whether a significant downward trend was identified. To determine if there were 290 significant differences between Ct DNA recovery from extraction kits, homogeneity of 291 variance within the total dataset was assessed using Fligners test. One-way Analysis of 292 Variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) post-hoc test was used 293 to determine which of the observed differences were significant. 294

296 Results

297

298 Assay performance

299

The assay characteristics derived from repeat testing of a standard curve are presented in Table 2. The experimentally determined dynamic range of the assay was between 1 and 1 x 10^6 copies of *omcB* and/or pORF2 per reaction. The coefficients of determination for all three targets in both assays was greater than 0.99. Amplification of all targets was highly (>95%) efficient. *omcB*, pORF2 and *RPP30* were reproducibly detected at concentrations of 0.9–8.3 copies per test, but not below. No-template controls tested negative on every run.

306

The mean coefficient of variance around all data points across the whole dynamic range was 307 48.3% for the omcB target, and 41.5% for the pORF2 target, approximately equivalent to 0.6 308 and 0.5 PCR cycles, respectively. For the Rotor-Gene assay, the coefficient of variance was 309 35.3% for omcB and 20.3% for pORF2, equivalent to approximately 0.4 and 0.3 cycles, 310 respectively. The largest contributor to assay variance on both platforms was between-run 311 312 variation. The coefficient of variance generally increased at lower concentrations, possibly 313 reflecting the increased chance of smapling handling error where analytes are rare. Interestingly, when Cq values were compared between ABI 7900HT and ABI Viia7 314 machines, the assay parameters were mostly similar with the exception that absolute omcB 315 C_a values were consistently between 0.5 and 1.5 cycles higher when tested in Tanzania than 316 when tested in the UK. 317

318

319 Quality control panel performance

320

All three assays (artus, ABI and Rotor-Gene) performed well when used to test external quality control molecular diagnostics samples, correctly diagnosing 8/8 (100%) of 'core' samples. Two low-load 'educational' samples, which were below the measured limit of reproducible detection for the assay were not classified as positive. The results are shown in Table 3.

326

327 Clinical specimens

328

According to the validated commercial kit (*artus*), 28/99 Guinea Bissau specimens were positive for *Ct*. The mean C_q of those positive specimens was 30.3. On the ABI platform 26/99 of the Guinea Bissau samples were positive. Of the same sample set, 23/99 samples tested positive by Rotor-Gene. Of *the artus*-positive results, only one was negative by all

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

333 other methods. The load estimates from samples where both targets were detected is shown in Figure 1. omcB was not detected in two of the ABI positive specimens, which had a 334 plasmid load of 2 and 3 copies/µL, respectively. The median artus C_a of artus+ qPCR- (false 335 negative) specimens was 34.9 and 32.4 cycles for the ABI and Rotor-Gene assays, 336 respectively (figure 1). The median load of artus- qPCR+ specimens was 29 and 3 copies/µL 337 for the ABI and Rotor-Gene assays, respectively. For omcB, the median load of the ddPCR+ 338 gPCR- samples was 1.2 and 0.7 copies/µL for the ABI and Rotor-Gene platforms, 339 respectively (figure 2). There were no ddPCR- qPCR+ samples. 340

341

In Tanzania we tested a further 523 clinical samples by ABI qPCR that had also been tested
by ddPCR at LSHTM. The overall prevalence of infection by ddPCR was 12.4% (65/523). By
qPCR there were 78/523 positive samples leading to a sensitivity and specificity of 100%
(95% CI 94.5 – 100) and 97.2% (95% CI 95.2 – 98.5). The data are shown in Table 4.

346

347 Comparative efficiency of sample extraction and yield

348

DNA prepared using the PowerSoil DNA kit yielded the most variable estimates of Ct burden 349 350 overall (Figure 3). Qiagen Cador and Biochain kits recovered the highest amounts of Ct DNA 351 measured by the quantity of omcB (p = 0.001 and p = 0.0004, respectively) and pORF2 (p = 0.0004 and p = 0.002, respectively) load compared to QIAmp DNA mini extraction. Using 352 one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was considered appropriate as there was no 353 significant heterogeneity in the variance between comparator groups (Fligner's test (omcB p 354 = 0.31 and pORF2 p = 0.66)). There were significant differences within the model for both 355 targets (*omcB* p = 0.00005 and pORF2 p = 0.00003). Pair-wise analyses using Tukey's 356 Honest Significant Difference (HSD) post-hoc test, found that both Qiagen Cador and 357 Biochain kits had significantly higher yield when compared to MTB, QIAmp DNA mini and 358 PowerSoil DNA kits. The results of the pair-wise comparisons were consistent for both omcB 359 360 and pORF2 targets.

361

362 Sample preservation and storage

363

364 *Ct* and human DNA was readily detectable in all samples at all time points, with no 365 diagnostic failures by 6 months storage at room temperature (Figure 4). All three treatments 366 showed a significant increase in C_q required to detect *Ct* over 6 months according to linear 367 regression models, indicating a decrease in target abundance (Table 5). Based on these 368 models the estimated rate of reduction in detectable load was 0.01-0.02% of the 7-day

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

specimen C_q per day. After 6 months, the mean C_q for detection of plasmid had increased by 18% for the frozen swabs, and by 21% and 14%, respectively, for the desktop and vacuum contained room temperature swabs. The C_q for omcB target detection had increased by 9% for the frozen swabs, and by 17% and 14%, respectively, for the desktop and vacuum contained room temperature swabs.

374

Testing costs of extracted DNA from clinical swabs by artus C. trachomatis Plus RG PCR, gPCR and ddPCR

377

Overall costs and component parts can be found in Table 6. The commercial test artus was 378 the most expensive at \$25.04 per sample, and the least expensive was the Rotor-Gene at 379 \$9.51 per sample. Calculated costs include trained laboratory technician time for a 380 Tanzanian Junior Laboratory Technician and therefore all testing runs can be prepared 381 within a reasonably short period of time. A single ABI qPCR plate of up to 88 samples run 382 with four technical replicates (plus standards) takes an experienced operator approximately 383 1.5 hours to prepare and 1.75 hours to run. The operator time is increased for ddPCR to 2 384 hours preparation time for up to 94 single reaction samples and 2.5 hours run time. Time 385 386 savings can be found in the use of the Rotor-Gene which takes approximately 1 hour to 387 prepare and 1 hour to run 63 single reaction samples. The latter is comparable to the time required to test 70 samples by artus. 388

389

391 Discussion

392

393 We evaluated a qPCR assay that detects Ct plasmid and genomic targets, whilst assessing specimen sufficiency with the presence of human DNA. The assay has a linear analyte 394 response for all three targets that is reproducible across a wide dynamic range $(1 - 10^6)$ 395 copies/test) of both plasmid and chromosomal targets. The limits of reproducible detection 396 for both Ct targets are below 10 targets per test, which is comparable to other non-397 commercial PCR tests (24,33). The absolute sensitivity of the omcB and pORF2 tests is 398 similar, however, due to the relative abundance of the plasmid target in clinical specimens, 399 the plasmid test detects a lower absolute number of chlamydial equivalents and therefore 400 has a superior diagnostic performance. 401

402

The total assay variance within-centre was consistently <1 PCR cycle. There was significant 403 variation within-run (omcB mean: 24.7%, pORF2 mean: 19.5%), suggesting that, where 404 precise quantitation is required, specimens should ideally be run in multiple wells. Where a 405 qualitative diagnostic result is sufficient, running assays in a single well would only result in 406 diagnostic failure due to assay variability at very low loads. The between-laboratory variance 407 408 is higher, which could be attributed to instrument differences between the 7900HT and the Viia 7 (laser excitation versus halogen light source), however the assay was highly linear on 409 both platforms and the total variance on either target was <1.5 cycles. 410

411

External quality control exercises that included masked testing were used to evaluate these qPCR assays. During this exercise we successfully identified *Ct* infection in a specimen that carried a well-characterised plasmid deletion (34) (table 4). An endogenous control target confirms that the specimen comes from a human and has been stored and processed in a way that has not compromised the DNA quality therefore differentiating between infection negative tests and assays that have failed through PCR inhibition or absence of a testable DNA analyte.

419

Diagnostic performance compared to a commercially marketed *Ct* diagnostic kit (*artus C. trachomatis Plus RG*) was good, offering sensitivity and specificity >90%. The median load of the false negative specimens was much lower than the load of the dual positive specimens, with the exception of one specimen detected by *artus* with a C_q of 23 cycles that was not detected by ddPCR or either qPCR assay. Agreement between assays was not perfect for any of the tested pairings between ddPCR, qPCR and *artus*.

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

427 Comparative *omcB* load analysis between the qPCR assay and ddPCR assay showed high 428 concordance, with discordant results occurring at or below the limit of detection of the qPCR 429 assay. At such low concentrations, sampling volume limitation impacts on the reproducibility 430 of a positive result. Targets are sporadically detected in samples where the analyte 431 concentration is below the limit of detection and the likelihood of a positive and negative 432 result is limited by dilution/concentration and fits a poisson distribution (35).

433

Assuming the level of technical replication described in this paper and UK list prices from 434 2017, the qPCR assay costs roughly \$11.51 per sample, inclusive of DNA extraction (\$3.75). 435 Whereas testing using the commercial kit artus, costs more than twice as much at \$25.05 436 per sample. Reducing the number of technical replicates, reducing the volume of the assay 437 to 5 µL, or omitting primer-probe sets for nondiagnostic targets (omcB or RPP30) could 438 reduce the overall cost of the assay further, whilst the use of a larger DNA aliquot could 439 offset potential loss of sensitivity. Use of the Rotor-Gene and ddPCR platform allows a larger 440 sample volume to be assaved in a single reaction and is competively costed against artus at 441 respectively \$9.51 and \$15.64 per sample. Proprietary fluorophores may also be 442 interchanged for non-proprietary equivalents to reduce cost or enable the assay to run on 443 real-time thermocyclers from other manufacturers. 444

445

Along with other NAAT methods, gPCR is a useful research tool. In this study we utilize 446 qPCR for three key purposes: (1) to determine the loss of material during extraction under 447 differing conditions, (2) to determine the rate of degradation of DNA under different long-448 term storage conditions and (3) to analyse the concentration of diagnostic discrepant results. 449 The BioChain extraction kit performed best in this study. For sample storage room 450 temperature preservation rather than frozen, regardless of of dessication method, did not 451 increase the rate of loss of detectable Ct DNA suggesting that control programs without 452 453 access to a freezer may be able to store swabs at room temperature without loss of diagnostic perfomance. This has previously been described for Ct stored for long periods in 454 transport media, and in short-term dry storage at room temperature (36,37). 455

456

Together, these findings describe an optimal pipeline of sample handling and processing in a budget-conscious research setting. By demonstrating variability at each step of the pipeline, this study illustrates the flexible nature of qPCR that allows parameters to be modified according to user requirement (38). The qPCR method described may offer an effective and affordable solution for quantitative estimates of *Ct* loads in trachoma studies.

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

463 Acknowledgements

464

The authors would like to thank the Tanzanian and Guinea Bissau communities and individuals who contributed specimens used in this study.

467

This study was supported by Wellcome Trust (GR079246MA). ChR is funded by the Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund (105609/Z/14/Z). RB was funded by the Wellcome Trust (098521/B/12/Z). The funders played no role in the design and implementation of the study, and had no influence over the decision to publish any of the data. None of the authors declare any conflicts of interest.

473

474 Contributions

475

476 Conceived the study: JH, RB, AR, TD, ChR, MJH

- 477 Collected specimens: ARL, PAM, MJB
- 478 Performed experiments: JH, RB, AR, BH, TD
- 479 Analysed data: JH, RB, AR, TD, ChR, MJH
- 480 Wrote manuscript: JH, RB, ChR, MJH
- 481 Reviewed and approved manuscript: JH, RB, ARL, AR, BH, TD, PAM, MJB, ChR, MJH
- 482
- 483

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

484 **References**

- 485
- 4861.Taylor HR. Trachoma: a blinding scourge from the Bronze Age to the twenty-first487century. 1st ed. East Melbourne: Centre for Eye Research, Australia; 2008.
- Bourne RA, Stevens GA, White RA, Smith JL, Flaxman SR, Price H, et al. Causes of
 vision loss worldwide, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal.
 2013;1(6):e339-49.
- World Health Organization. Global incidence and prevalence of selected curable
 sexually transmitted infections 2008. 2012.
- 493 4. Solomon AW, Peeling RW, Foster A, Mabey DCW. Diagnosis and assessment of
 494 trachoma. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004;17(4):982–1011.
- 495 5. Burton MJ, Holland MJ, Faal N, Aryee EAN, Alexander NDE, Bah M, et al. Which
 496 members of a community need antibiotics to control trachoma? Conjunctival
 497 Chlamydia trachomatis infection load in Gambian villages. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.
 498 2003 Oct;44(10):4215–22.
- Baral K, Osaki S, Shreshta B, Panta CR, Boulter A, Pang F, et al. Reliability of clinical
 diagnosis in identifying infectious trachoma in a low-prevalence area of Nepal. Bull
 World Health Organ. 1999 Jan;77(6):461–6.
- 502 7. Burton MJ, Holland MJ, Makalo P, Aryee EAN, Sillah A, Cohuet S, et al. Profound and
 503 sustained reduction in Chlamydia trachomatis in The Gambia: a five-year longitudinal
 504 study of trachoma endemic communities. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4(10):10.
- 5058.Ramadhani AM, Derrick T, Macleod D, Holland MJ, Burton MJ. The Relationship506between Active Trachoma and Ocular Chlamydia trachomatis Infection before and507after Mass Antibiotic Treatment. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(10):e0005080.
- Roberts CH, Last A, Molina-Gonzalez S, Cassama E, Butcher R, Nabicassa M, et al.
 Development and Evaluation of a Next-Generation Digital PCR Diagnostic Assay for
 Ocular Chlamydia trachomatis Infections. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(7):2195–203.
- Last AR, Burr SE, Alexander N, Harding-Esch EM, Roberts C h., Nabicassa M, et al.
 Spatial clustering of high load ocular Chlamydia trachomatis infection in trachoma: A
 cross-sectional population-based study. Pathog Dis. 2017;[manuscript submitted].
- Solomon AW, Holland MJ, Alexander NDE, Massae PA, Aguirre A, Natividad-Sancho
 A, et al. Mass treatment with single-dose azithromycin for trachoma. N Engl J Med.
 2004 Nov 4;351(19):1962–71.

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

517 518 519	12.	Alexander NDE, Solomon AW, Holland MJ, Bailey RL, West SK, Shao JF, et al. An index of community ocular Chlamydia trachomatis load for control of trachoma. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2005 Mar;99(3):175–7.
520 521 522	13.	Solomon AW, Harding-Esch E, Alexander NDE, Aguirre A, Holland MJ, Bailey RL, et al. Two doses of azithromycin to eliminate trachoma in a Tanzanian community. N Engl J Med. 2008 Apr 24;358(17):1870–1.
523 524 525 526	14.	Yohannan J, Munoz B, Mkocha H, Gaydos CA, Bailey R, Lietman TA, et al. Can we stop mass drug administration prior to 3 annual rounds in communities with low prevalence of trachoma?: PRET Ziada trial results. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2013 Apr;131(4):431–6.
527 528 529	15.	Papp JR, Schachter J, Gaydos CA, Van Der Pol B. Recommendations for the Laboratory-Based Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae - 2014. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Recomm reports. 2014 Mar 14;63(RR-02):1–19.
530 531 532	16.	Cheng A, Qian Q, Kirby JE. Evaluation of the Abbott RealTime CT/NG assay in comparison to the Roche Cobas Amplicor CT/NG assay. J Clin Microbiol. 2011 Apr;49(4):1294–300.
533 534	17.	World Health Organization. Report of the 3rd Global Scientific Meeting on Trachoma. 19-20 July. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MA; 2010.
535 536	18.	Solomon AW, Peeling RW, Foster A, Mabey DCW. Diagnosis and assessment of trachoma. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004 Oct;17(4):982–1011.
537 538 539	19.	Harding-Esch EM, Sillah A, Edwards T, Burr SE, Hart JD, Joof H, et al. Mass treatment with azithromycin for trachoma: when is one round enough? Results from the PRET Trial in the Gambia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013 Jan;7(6):e2115.
540 541 542 543	20.	Butcher RMR, Sokana O, Jack K, Macleod CK, Marks ME, Kalae E, et al. Low Prevalence of Conjunctival Infection with Chlamydia trachomatis in a Treatment-Naïve Trachoma-Endemic Region of the Solomon Islands. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(9):e0004863.
544 545 546	21.	Macleod CK, Butcher R, Mudaliar UU, Natutusau K, Pavluck AL, Willis R, et al. Low prevalence of ocular Chlamydia trachomatis infection and active trachoma in the Western Division of Fiji. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(7):e0004798.
547 548 549	22.	Harding-Esch E, Jofre-Bonet M, Dhanjal JK, Burr S, Edwards T, Holland M, et al. Costs of Testing for Ocular Chlamydia trachomatis Infection Compared to Mass Drug Administration for Trachoma in The Gambia: Application of Results from the PRET

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

550		Study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015 Apr;9(4):e0003670.
551 552 553	23.	Choopara I, Arunrut N, Kiatpathomchai W, Dean D, Somboonna N. Rapid and visual <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> detection using loop-mediated isothermal amplification and hydroxynaphthol blue. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2017;64(1):51–6.
554 555 556 557	24.	Pickett MA, Everson JS, Pead PJ, Clarke IN. The plasmids of Chlamydia trachomatis and Chlamydophila pneumoniae (N16): accurate determination of copy number and the paradoxical effect of plasmid-curing agents. Microbiology. 2005 Mar 1;151(Pt 3):893–903.
558 559 560	25.	Luo W, Yang H, Rathbun K, Pau C-P, Ou C-Y. Detection of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 DNA in Dried Blood Spots by a Duplex Real-Time PCR Assay. J Clin Microbiol. 2005 Apr 6;43(4):1851–7.
561 562 563	26.	Last AR, Roberts CH, Cassama E, Nabicassa M, Molina-Gonzalez S, Burr SE, et al. Plasmid copy number and disease severity in naturally occurring ocular Chlamydia trachomatis infection. J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Nov 6;52(1):324.
564 565 566 567	27.	Derrick T, Last AR, Burr SE, Roberts CH, Nabicassa M, Cassama E, et al. Inverse relationship between microRNA-155 and -184 expression with increasing conjunctival inflammation during ocular Chlamydia trachomatis infection. BMC Infect Dis. BMC Infectious Diseases; 2016;16(1):60.
568 569 570	28.	Staines HJ, Garcia-Fernandez L, Pogothata R, Wallace PS, MacKay WG, Van Loon a. M. Monitoring performance of nucleic acid-based diagnostic measurement system users by EQA. Accredit Qual Assur. 2009;14(5):243–52.
571 572 573	29.	Solomon AW, Holland MJ, Burton MJ, West SK, Alexander NDE, Aguirre A, et al. Strategies for control of trachoma: observational study with quantitative PCR. Lancet. 2003;362(9379):198–204.
574 575 576	30.	Burton MJ, Hu VH, Massae P, Burr SE, Chevallier C, Afwamba IA, et al. What is causing active trachoma? The role of nonchlamydial bacterial pathogens in a low prevalence setting. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52(8):6012–7.
577 578 579	31.	Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, et al. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clin Chem. 2009 Apr 1;55(4):611–22.
580 581	32.	R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2014. Available from: http://www.r-project.org
582	33.	West S, Moncada J, Munoz B, Mkocha H, Storey P, Hardick J, et al. Is There

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

583 584		Evidence for Resistance of Ocular Chlamydia trachomatis to Azithromycin after Mass Treatment for Trachoma Control? J Infect Dis. 2014 Jan 19;
585 586 587	34.	Ripa T, Nilsson PA. A Chlamydia trachomatis strain with a 377-bp deletion in the cryptic plasmid causing false-negative nucleic acid amplification tests. Sex Transm Dis. 2007 May;34(5):255–6.
588 589 590 591	35.	Schachter J, Chow JM, Howard H, Bolan G, Moncada J. Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis by nucleic acid amplification testing: our evaluation suggests that CDC- recommended approaches for confirmatory testing are ill-advised. J Clin Microbiol. 2006 Jul;44(7):2512–7.
592 593 594 595	36.	Gaydos CA, Farshy C, Barnes M, Quinn N, Agreda P, Rivers CA, et al. Can mailed swab samples be dry-shipped for the detection of Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, and Trichomonas vaginalis by nucleic acid amplification tests ? Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. Elsevier Inc.; 2012;73(1):16–20.
596 597 598	37.	van Dommelen L, Wolffs PFG, van Tiel FH, Dukers N, Herngreen SB, Bruggeman CA, et al. Influence of temperature, medium, and storage duration on Chlamydia trachomatis DNA detection by PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Mar;51(3):990–2.
599 600 601 602 603	38.	Peeling RW, Oyelese AO, Brunham RC, Achola JO, Ronald AR. The role of the laboratory in a Chlamydia control programme in a developing country. East Afr Med J. 1992 Sep;69(9):508–14.

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

604 Table 1. Olignucleotides used in this study.

605

Target		Oligo	Sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon size (bp)
		Primer (F) [†]	GAC ACC AAA GCG AAA GAC AAC AC	
		Primer (R) [†]	ACT CAT GAA CCG GAG CAA CCT	106
C.	trachomatis		[FAM]-CCA CAG CAA AGA GAC TCC CGT AGA CCG-	100
omcB		ABI – Probes	[QSY]	
		Rotor-Gene -	[FAM]-CCA CAG CAA AGA GAC TCC CGT AGA CCG-	
		Probe§	[BHQ]	
	trachomatis	Primer (F) [†]	CAG CTT GTA GTC CTG CTT GAG AGA	
0		Primer $(R)^{\dagger}$	CAA GAG TAC ATC GGT CAA CGA AGA	109
C. pORF2		ABI Probe§	[NED]-CGG GCG ATT TGC CTT-[MGBNFQ]	
portiz		Rotor-Gene -		
		Probe§		
		Primer (F) [†]	AGA TTT GGA CCT GCG AGC G	
H. sapiens RPP30		Primer (R) [†]	GAG CGG CTG TCT CCA CAA GT	65
		ABI - Probe [§]	[VIC]-TTC TGA CCT GAA GGC TCT GCG CG-[QSY]	
		Rotor-Gene – Probe [§]	[Cy5]-TTC TGA CCT GAA GGC TCT GCG CG-[BHQ2]	

Bp: base pairs; F: forward; omcB: outer membrane complex B; pORF2: plasmid open reading frame 2; R: reverse; RPP30: RNase P/MRP 30-kDa subunit;

[†] Primers purified by desalting;

§ Probes purified by high-performance liquid chromatography.

608 Table 2: Assay characteristics derived from repeat-tested standard curve.

Assay	Target	CoV	Gradient	CoD	Efficiency	LoD	C _q range at LoD
ABI 7900HT	pORF2	41.5	-3.4	0.990	96.7	8.3	34.9–37.0
	omcB	48.3	-3.3	0.998	100.1	4.5	37.4–39.7
Rotor-Gene	pORF2	20.3	-3.3	0.999	100.2	0.9	30.0–31.1
3000 -	omcB	35.3	-3.3	0.999	100.2	1.4	31.5–32.6

C_q: quantitation cycle; CoD: coefficient of determination; CoV: coefficient of variance; LoD: limit of detection in copies/reaction; *omcB*: outer membrane protein complex B; pORF2: plasmid open reading frame 2

609

610

Stranger

611

South Manus

612 Table 3. External Quality Assessment panel performance of qPCR assays.

Sample	Sample	Sample type	QCMD	artus	ABI-qPCR	Rotor-Gene
ID			concentration	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative
			(copies/mL)	result	result	result
CTA13-01	<i>Ct</i> ⁺ urine	Core	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
			(250)			
CTA13-02	<i>Ct</i> ⁺ urine	Educational	Positive	NOT	Negative	Positive
			63	DETERMINED		
CTA13-03	nv <i>Ct</i> ⁺ urine	Core	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
			10,000			
CTA13-04	<i>Ct</i> ⁺ urine	Core	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
			1000			
CTA13-05	Cf urine	Core	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
			0			
CTA13-06	nv <i>Ct</i> ⁺ urine	Core	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
			10,000	5		
CTA13-07	<i>Ct</i> ⁺ swab	Educational	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive
			13)		
CTA13-08	Ct swab	Core	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
			0			
CTA13-09	<i>Ct</i> ⁺ swab	Core	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
			250			
CTA13-10	<i>Ct</i> [⁺] swab	Core	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive
			63			
		Core performance		8/8	8/8	8/8
		Educational		2/2	0/2	2/2
						, _ _

performance

C

V

V<b

Ct: Chlamydia trachomatis

Table 4. Diagnostic comparison of noncommercial qPCR assays to commercially marketed

615 comparator.

616

	artus C. trachomatis Plus RG PCR						
	qPCR	qPCR	ddPCR				
	(ABI 7900HT)	(Rotor-Gene 3000)	(Bio-Rad QX100)				
Sensitivity	90 (73.5 – 97.9)	90.6 (75 – 98)	90.6 (75 – 98)				
Specificity	97.3 (96.0 – 99.7)	94.6 (86.7 – 98.5)	94.6 (86.7 – 98.5)				
PPV	93.1 (77.4 – 98.6)	87.9 (73.5 – 95)	87.9 (73.5 – 95)				
NPV	96 (89.2 - 98.6)	95.9 (88.8 – 98.6)	95.9 (88.8 – 98.6)				
Cohens Kappa	0.82	0.78	0.83				

NPV: Negative predictive value; PPV: positive predictive value

- 618
- 619

	S
	\mathbf{Q}
A.	
S	

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

620

621

622

South Manus Contraction of the second

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

623	Table 5: Coefficients from linear	regression models	examining the relation	onship between cy	cle threshold and time

624 in days

Treatment	Ct pORF2		Ct omcB	
	Gradient	p-value	Gradient	p-value
Dry, frozen	0.019	< 0.0001	0.011	< 0.0001
Dry, desktop, room temperature	0.018	< 0.0001	0.018	< 0.0001
Dry, vacuum box, room temperature	0.015	< 0.0001	0.016	< 0.0001
			-	
		\mathbf{O}		
	6			
	\sim			
	X			
\mathbf{Q}				
(

630

South Manus

631 **Table 6**: qPCR assay costs

Costing Category	Assay Platform Cost (US\$)			
	artus	ABI	ddPCR	Rotor-Gene
DNA Extraction (kit & consumables)	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70
PCR Reaction mix (inclusive of oligos)	17.18	1.70	2.84	1.10
Lab Consumables	0.41	0.12	1.84	0.35
Personnel monthly salary Multiplied by 1.2 for overheads and divided by 160 for hourly rate (based on a trained laboratory technician from Moshi, Tanzania)	3.37	5.06	6.74	3.37
Total	\$25.04	\$11.51	\$15.64	\$9.51
NOTE: Purchase equipment costs for an ABI 7900HT,	Rotor-Gene	Q 5plex (equ	ivalent to the	3000 which is n 42 and \$115.15
	working plat		2,440 , ψ 00, Γ	
	M	5		

Running title: Chlamydia trachomatis qPCR

Figure 1. Comparison of plasmid load estimate from qPCR compared to *artus* cycle
threshold. (A). ABI 7900HT. (B). Rotor-Gene 3000. The main plots show concordant results
(ABI n = 24, Rotor-Gene n = 20), side panels show discordant results.

ND: Not detected.

643

Figure 2. Agreement between load estimates from ddPCR and qPCR. (A) ABI 7900HT. (B)
Rotor-Gene 3000. Main panels show concordant results, side bars show discrepant results.
ND: Not detected.

647

648

Figure 3. Recovery of **(A)** *omcB* and **(B)** pORF2 from *Ct*-spiked swabs by five different extraction kits. Boxes represent median, inter-quartile range and range of all swabs for each treatment. Circles represent swabs spiked with high-load elementary bodies, triangles represent medium-load spiking, and crosses represent low-load spiking. There is variation in the number of targets recovered by different extraction kits. Biochain and Qiagen cador kits appear to yield more *Ct* DNA than comparators.

655

Figure 4: Change in recovered load of (A) *Ct* plasmid and (B) genomic targets following long-term storage frozen
and at room temperature. Points represent mean of four swabs per time point per condition. Dashed lines
represent linear regression model between load and time.

659