## The Open University

## **Open Research Online**

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

# Pocketing the difference: Joint development of open educational resources

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

McAndrew, Patrick and Wilson, Tina (2008). Pocketing the difference: Joint development of open educational resources. In: ICALT 2008: The 8th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning, Technologies. Learning technologies in the Information society, 1-5 Jul 2008, Santander, Cantabria, Spain.

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

 $\odot$  2008 The Authors

Version: Accepted Manuscript

Link(s) to article on publisher's website: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1109/ICALT.2008.275

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data <u>policy</u> on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

### oro.open.ac.uk

#### **Pocketing the Difference: Joint Development of Open Educational Resources**

Patrick McAndrew and Tina Wilson Institute of Educational Technology, The Open University, United Kingdom

P.McAndrew@open.ac.uk

#### Abstract

Web 2.0 tools and social software are changing the way in which formal and informal learners expect to work with learning resources. In response, educational providers may open up access to existing courses by providing them as free to use Open Educational Resources (OERs). The OpenLearn initiative of The Open University established a "LearningSpace" for learners to access OERs from the university and built up methods and processes for transforming material. OpenLearn also established a "LabSpace" to allow others to make changes to released content, or to provide new examples. A parallel project, POCKET, works with partner universities to transfer the model of production from OpenLearn and provide content from those universities for open use. In this paper we outline the issues that we have identified in our production process and the intended way to transfer this process to our partner institutions and then to others.

#### 1. Introduction

The Web 2.0 environment has achieved momentum in innovating individual contributions to be shared on a large scale. Such "social software" has now started to be incorporated into the way that educational institutions expect to work with their own students. The JISC LXP Student Experiences of Technology project [1] examined undergraduate learners' behaviour with respect to their use of technology. It found, in each of the disciplines studied, that learners use public Websites and services when seeking to meet educational needs in preference to any facilities provided by their host institution. The independent strategies for learning that are exhibited raise challenges for the provision of educational content and planned activities. If these are seen as protected and controlled they cannot be incorporated easily into other environments and exclude the potentially large numbers of informal learners without connection to the institution. In a review of the impact of social software on learning [2] Open Educational Resources (OERs) are identified as a possible response:

"It is important here to note the critical role of Open Content licenses like the Creative Commons and Open Educational Resources (OERs) in enabling the emerging borderless learning networks. As we've seen, openness is not simply a 'nice to have' but essential feature that allows networks to emerge..." [2:p21]

Open Educational Resources have become established to a large extent through the operation of the OpenCourseWare movement [3], but were initially seen as providing free access to the content in isolation. More recent developments have been addressing ways in which the content can be accessed by learners, and co-developed by the community, for example the Connexions project (http://cnx.org). The OpenLearn initiative is part of this movement and seeks to provide content and also a space to enable others to alter that content and share new content. This was termed the "LabSpace" and provides access to more experimental tools such as video-conferencing and knowledge mapping.

While the learner oriented content has been successful in providing over 250 learning units and attracting approximately 1 million unique visitors in the first year of operation, take up by other educators has been slower with relatively low numbers of new or changed courses appearing in the LabSpace. The POCKET project (Project on Open Content for Knowledge Exposition and Teaching) seeks to revisit the way in which existing institutions can interact with the LabSpace and to establish a core community of institutions that are seeking to transfer content into OERs. In the following sections we review what the process is and the methods that we intend to use to help others become involved.

#### 2. OpenLearn content format

The OpenLearn process for taking content from existing Open University courses involves pedagogic, copyright and editing stages. These are outlined in the next section and have been described in more detail [4] in terms of responsibility and workflow. The end result of the reworking process is an XML file that describes the content together with the media needed within the course. The OpenLearn XML schema is a slight variant of that adopted by The Open University and allows a pedagogic view of the content expressed in terms of activity. Using XML for the content provides a "gold standard" in terms of expressing the intent of the authors while retaining great flexibility to reformat the content and open up connections to other services and so enabling interaction through other environments, including social software sites and aggregators that support such sites

The XML file can be transformed (using XSLT) to alternative representations. At the launch of OpenLearn two such transformations were available. The first was to transfer the content into the Moodle learning environment (http://moodle.org) and the other into HTML. The Moodle content was then hosted in the "LearningSpace" for use by learners inside the learning environment. The XML together with the translation into HTML were then available in the LabSpace, which is a separate Moodle environment, to allow users to download and make changes before uploading the changed version to be rendered as a new updated version of the course on the LabSpace. This model has many similarities to the open source software with the Moodle version considered as the executable that most users will require and the XML files the equivalent of the source code for developers.

A finding in the early stages of the initiative was that this was not enough; we had feedback from those who were interested in reworking course materials that they were not prepared to start working with XML files. To address these concerns OpenLearn has developed further transformations to provide additional formats available for download: Moodle, printable HTML, IMS Content Package, zipped collections of resources, IMS Common Cartridge and RSS feeds. The ability to provide these additional formats shows the flexibility of starting with structured content and also offers those who do not wish to use XML access to the content. Reuse and editing of the content has now increased with notable success in two areas, firstly transfer of content to other environments through RSS [5] and secondly through the introduction of in situ editing of Moodle courses on the LabSpace by allowing users editing permission on request. However, using these additional formats means the new content cannot be transformed and pedagogic structures are not maintained. XML therefore remains a worthwhile target for new content and it is important to explore ways in which its use can be encouraged.

POCKET, led by the University of Derby, has brought together people from four different Universities in the United Kingdom who are prepared to commit effort in producing newly transformed courses from across the Universities. The POCKET project is designed to leverage what has already been invested in OpenLearn and extend open content activity to other universities. It plans to adopt and adapt the systems developed in OpenLearn and create substantial additional amounts of quality assured open content learning resources at higher education level. POCKET aims to then extend its methods and findings to other institutions.

The project offers OpenLearn a fresh chance to examine the issues and build on the experience of its first year of operation to provide greater external support for the production of XML.

#### 3. Methods for open content

An initial task within POCKET is to review internal processes that have enabled OpenLearn to successfully produce its own content and create a "Development Kit" to help others do the same. The OpenLearn project set itself ambitious targets to publish 13,500 study hours in the form of distance learning OERs in between April 2006 and April 2008. In order to meet these targets, methods and processes were devised, revised and updated. Those working within the project built up experience over a very short time that needs to be made available.

The first stage of the review is to bring together candidate material, which includes examples of all of the tools, processes and procedures involved. The Development Kit contains:

• Guides for usage of the various community building tools (FlashMeeting, Compendium, Cohere, FlashVlog, Learning Journal, Forums).

- Learning design materials to support the use of structured approaches to designing online materials [6].
- Guidelines on how to transform distance-learning material into OERs
- Forms which indicate stages in the process as material move through the production process

• Workflow charts and guides which indicate when processes and procedures take place [5]

• Papers which explain policy decisions (e.g. [7]) and discuss the process in more detail.

• Guidance on how to edit using XML.

The Development Kit therefore offers the chance to record tacit knowledge and disseminate and evaluate it in use.

### 4. Considerations in transforming learning materials into OERs

The first stage of transformation is to determine whether the material to be transformed is deemed suitable for transfer into an OER. A number of models of transformation have been proposed by OpenLearn (Lane [7, 8]) with the majority of the OERs in OpenLearn transformed under what Lane terms the 'Integrity model'. Connolly et al. [4] discuss the process of transformation under this model and identify six stages of review before conversion of the content, and a further two check stages after, which serves as a reminder that the transformation process involves much more than the use of XML. These more peopleoriented factors have been recognized in the POCKET project by establishing staff-development as an identified aim alongside the production aspects.

#### 6. Conclusion

Allison Littlejohn [8] writing in 2003 introduced a book on the reuse of educational material by identifying seven distinct issues in the reuse of online educational resources. The seventh issue that she listed was *Is global sharing of resources a possibility?* Littlejohn stated that

"The vision of a learning object economy implies the existence of distributed, digital repositories serving communities of users across multiple institutions, educational sectors and nations." [8:p5].

The existence of globally oriented open content repositories such as OpenLearn, MIT OCW, and others mean that such repositories are now available and furthermore the wide scale adoption of licences such as Creative Commons (http://creativecommons.org/) have reduced the impact of copyright which was seen as a potential barrier. However, many other issues remain and the experience in OpenLearn is that moving from local solutions to one that can be adopted by the wider sector is not straightforward for reasons as much to do with changes in attitude and recognition of the potential as it is with technology. This brings us back to the first of Littlejohn's seven issues; *How can digital resources be used to support learning?* where she identifies that:

"Teachers would also require access to electronic tools, hardware and software, that would allow these 'activity structures' to be implemented across a range of different educational environments." [8:p4].

The POCKET project attempts to draw on our existing experience to build and share the collection of tools and guidance to make the vision of OpenLearn as a catalyst for other providers to make educational content freely available either as institutions or individuals. The diminishing divide between formal and informal learning and between personal and institutional environments implies that there is now even greater incentive to take part in the open provision of learning materials than in 2001 when MIT established their OCW portal as "a world wide web of knowledge that raises the quality of learning" [3].

#### 7. Acknowledgements

OpenLearn is funded by The Open University and The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation (<u>http://www.hewlett.org</u>). POCKET, led by the University of Derby, is funded by JISC (<u>http://www.jisc.ac.uk</u>) as part of their Repositories and Preservation Programme.

#### 8. References

[1] G. Conole, M. de Laat, T. Dillon, and J. Darby, "An indepth case study of students' experiences of e-Learning – how is learning changing?", *The 23rd Annual Conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education "Who's Learning? Whose Technology?"*, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia, 2006, pp. 153-161.

[2] S. Leslie, and B. Landon, *Social Software for Learning: What is it, why use it?* The Observatory of Borderless Higher Education, London, 2008.

[3] C.M. Vest, "Why MIT Decided to Give Away All its Course Materials via the Internet." *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 2004.

[4] T. Connolly, G.M.d.S Ferreira, T. Wilson, "Repurposing for open education: evolving roles, responsibilities and processes", *4th International Conference in Open and Distance Learning. Forms of Democracy in Education: Open Access and Distance Education*, 23–25 November 2007, Athens, Greece, 2007.

[5] T. Hirst, "Feeding from open courseware: exploring the potential of open educational content delivery using RSS feeds", *OpenLearn: Researching open content in education, Proceedings of the OpenLearn2007 Conference*, The Open University, Milton Keynes, 2007, pp. 15-16. http://kn.open.ac.uk/workspace.cfm?wpid=7979

[6] G. Conole, and M. Weller, "Using learning design as a framework for supporting the design and reuse of OER", *OpenLearn: Researching open content in education, Proceedings of the OpenLearn2007 Conference*, The Open University, Milton Keynes, 2007, pp. 8-14.

[7] A. Lane, "From Pillar to Post: exploring the issues involved in re-purposing distance learning materials for use as Open Educational Resources", OpenLearn Working Papers, Milton Keynes, 2006.

[8] A.H. Littlejohn, Reusing Online Resources: A Sustainable Approach to eLearning, Routledge, London, 2003.