

RVC OPEN ACCESS REPOSITORY – COPYRIGHT NOTICE

This is the peer-reviewed, manuscript version of the following article:

Gozalo-Marcilla, M., Luna, S. P. L., Crosignani, N., Puoli Filho, J. N. P., Possebon, F. S., Pelligand, L. and Taylor, P. M. 'Sedative and antinociceptive effects of different combinations of detomidine and methadone in standing horses', *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*.

© 2017. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

The full details of the published version of the article are as follows:

TITLE: Sedative and antinociceptive effects of different combinations of detomidine and methadone in standing horses

AUTHORS: Gozalo-Marcilla, M., Luna, S. P. L., Crosignani, N., Puoli Filho, J. N. P., Possebon, F. S., Pelligand, L. and Taylor, P. M.

JOURNAL: *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia*

PUBLISHER: Elsevier

PUBLICATION DATE: 17 April 2017 (online)

DOI: [10.1016/j.vaa.2017.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaa.2017.03.009)

1 RESEARCH PAPER

2 Running head (author) *M Gozalo-Marcilla et al.*

3 Running head (Short title) Detomidine-methadone combinations in horses

4 **Sedative and antinociceptive effects of different combinations of detomidine and**
5 **methadone in standing horses**

6 Miguel Gozalo-Marcilla^a, Stelio PL Luna^a, Nadia Crosignani^a, José NP Puoli Filho^b, Fábio S
7 Possebon^c, Ludovic Pelligand^d & Polly M Taylor^e

8 ^aDepartment of Veterinary Surgery and Anaesthesiology, School of Veterinary Medicine and
9 Animal Science, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, SP, Brazil

10 ^bDepartment of Animal Production, School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, São
11 Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, SP, Brazil

12 ^cDepartment of Veterinary Hygiene and Public Health, School of Veterinary Medicine and
13 Animal Science, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, SP, Brazil

14 ^dDepartments of Clinical Services and Sciences and Comparative Biomedical Sciences,
15 Royal Veterinary College, Hatfield, Herts, UK

16 ^eTaylor Monroe, Ely, Cambs, UK

17

18 **Correspondence:** Miguel Gozalo-Marcilla, Departamento de Cirurgia e Anestesiologia
19 Veterinária, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia, Universidade Estadual Paulista,
20 Rua Prof. Dr. Walter Mauricio Correa s/nº, Botucatu-SP, 18618-681, Brazil. E-mail:
21 miguelgozalomarcilla@gmail.com

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 **Objective** To evaluate intravenous (IV) detomidine with methadone in horses to identify a
26 combination which provides sedation and antinociception without adverse effects.

27 **Study design** Randomized, placebo-controlled, blinded, crossover.

28 **Animals** Eight adult healthy horses aged (mean \pm standard deviation) 7 ± 2 years and $372 \pm$
29 27 kg.

30 **Methods** Six treatments were administered IV: saline (SAL); detomidine ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$; DET);
31 methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1} ; MET) alone or combined with detomidine [2.5 (MLD), 5 (MMD) or
32 10 (MHD) $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$]. Thermal, mechanical and electrical nociceptive thresholds (NT) were
33 measured, and sedation, head height above ground (HHAG), cardiopulmonary variables and
34 intestinal motility were evaluated at 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 120, 180 minutes. Normal data
35 were analyzed by mixed-model ANOVA and non-normal by Kruskal-Wallis ($p < 0.05$).

36 **Results** Nociceptive thresholds in horses administered methadone with the higher doses of
37 detomidine (MMD, MHD) were increased above baseline to a greater degree and for longer
38 duration (MMD: 15-30 minutes, MHD: 30-60 minutes) than in horses administered low dose
39 with methadone or detomidine alone (MLD, DET: 5-15 minutes). No increases in NT were
40 recorded in SAL or MET. Compared with baseline, HHAG was lower for 30 minutes in
41 MMD and DET, and for 45 minutes in MHD. No significant sedation was observed in SAL,
42 MET or MLD. Intestinal motility was reduced for 75 minutes in MHD and for 30 minutes in
43 all other treatments.

44 **Conclusions** Methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) potentiated the antinociception produced by
45 detomidine ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$), with minimal sedative effects.

46 **Clinical relevance** Detomidine ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) with methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) produced
47 antinociception without the adverse effects of higher doses of detomidine.

48

49 **Keywords** detomidine, electrical stimulus, equine, mechanical stimulus, methadone, thermal

50 stimulus

51

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

52 Introduction

53 It is common practice to administer drug combinations for chemical restraint of standing
54 horses, avoiding the potential complications and costs of general anaesthesia. The α_2 -agonist
55 detomidine has been extensively used to produce sedation and analgesia. However, adverse
56 effects associated with detomidine administration, especially occurring after intravenous (IV)
57 bolus administration, include ataxia, bradycardia, arrhythmias, increased systemic vascular
58 resistance and reduction in cardiac output, respiratory rate (f_R), arterial oxygen tension and
59 intestinal motility (Yamashita et al. 2000; Daunt & Steffey 2002; Valverde 2010).

60 Combinations of an α_2 -agonist with an opioid provide synergistic analgesic effects
61 with predictable sedation (Marly et al. 2014; Oliveira et al. 2014; Taylor et al. 2014; Lopes et
62 al. 2016), while potentially reducing adverse effects. Opioids play an important role in
63 analgesia in other species, however, their use in horses is controversial owing to the potential
64 for excitement and intestinal hypomotility, especially when administered IV (Bennett &
65 Steffey 2002; Clutton 2010; Schauvliege 2014). Methadone, a synthetic μ -agonist opioid with
66 similar properties to morphine, also provides analgesia by antagonizing *N*-methyl-D-aspartate
67 (NMDA) receptors and has delta opioid receptor activity (Pollock et al. 2011).

68 Methadone (0.5 mg kg^{-1}) administered IV alone increased spontaneous locomotor
69 activity and impaired coordination in horses (Combie et al. 1979; Oliveira et al. 2014), and
70 the duration of thermal antinociception was short at 30 minutes (Oliveira et al. 2014). Lower
71 doses (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) administered IV have resulted in less adverse behavioral effect but
72 provided insufficient antinociception (Pippi & Lumb 1979; Oliveira et al. 2014). More recent
73 studies reported that methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) did not produce antinociception when
74 administered alone, but potentiated antinociception induced by detomidine ($10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)
75 (Oliveira et al. 2014; Lopes et al. 2016). No adverse effects were reported after
76 administration of methadone (0.15 mg kg^{-1}) IV, but antinociception was not reported (Linardi

77 et al. 2012). These studies suggest that further evaluation of lower doses of methadone in
78 combination with detomidine in horses may be worthwhile.

79 Our hypothesis was that the combination of low ($2.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) and medium ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)
80 doses of detomidine with methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) would result in sedation and analgesia
81 with less adverse effects when compared with the administration of each drug alone. The aim
82 of the study was to identify a combination resulting in antinociception with minimal adverse
83 effects by evaluating the sedative, antinociceptive and potential side effects of some
84 combinations of detomidine and methadone.

85

86 **Materials and methods**

87 The study was designed as a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, observer blinded
88 crossover, with a washout period of ≥ 1 week between treatments. It was approved by the
89 Institutional Ethical Committee for the Use of Animals in Research of the Faculty of
90 Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science of the State of São Paulo University (UNESP),
91 Botucatu (EC no. 08/2015).

92

93 **Animals**

94 Eight healthy adult cross-bred horses (four castrated males, four females), aged [mean \pm
95 standard deviation (SD) (range)] 7 ± 2 years (3-9 years), weighing 372 ± 27 kg (325-420 kg)
96 were studied. The animals were kept at grass and brought to covered pens with outdoor
97 access at least 24 hours before each experiment. Commercial horse feed, hay and *ad libitum*
98 water were provided. The horses were considered healthy based upon physical examination
99 and laboratory investigations performed 1-2 weeks before the study started. No sedatives or
100 analgesics were administered for at least 1 month before the beginning of the study.

101 The sample size was estimated using OpenEpi Software (www.openepi.com) based on
102 the results of a pilot study with three horses and from previous data (Oliveira et al. 2014;
103 Lopes et al. 2016). The expected mean differences between the antinociceptive variables in
104 the different treatments were assessed. A test power of 80% and a significance level of 5%
105 were employed.

106

107 Study design

108 The horses were weighed on the day of each experiment and fly repellent was applied to the
109 skin. The hair over both jugular veins was clipped for catheter placement. After skin
110 disinfection, 1 mL of 2% lidocaine (Xylestesin 2% ; Cristália Produtos Químicos
111 Farmacêuticos Ltda, SP, Brazil) was injected subcutaneously and two 14 gauge catheters
112 were placed at each site, in the direction of the blood flow. The one in the right jugular vein
113 was used for drug administration (14 gauge, 48 mm BD Angiocath; Becton Dickinson Ind.,
114 MG, Brazil) and in the left (14 gauge, 70 mm, Delta Med Srl, Italy) for blood sampling for
115 pharmacokinetic analysis (results reported elsewhere). Hair was clipped over the dorsal
116 aspects of both metacarpi for the thermal and mechanical devices, and immediately proximal
117 to the coronary band of the left thoracic limb for the electrical electrodes. To obtain a good
118 contact for the electrodes and to reduce resistance, the clipped coronary skin was washed
119 with water and degreased with chlorhexidine containing surfactants (RIOHEX 2% ; Industria
120 Farmaceutica Rioquímica Ltda, SP, Brazil). This process was repeated twice.

121 After that, the horse was brought to the experimental room (6 m², without windows),
122 previously sprayed with fly repellent, and allowed 30 minutes for familiarization. A blood
123 pressure cuff [DURA-CUF CRITIKON (12–19 and 17–25 cm); GE Healthcare, Finland] was
124 placed at the base of the tail, to measure heart rate (HR) and systolic arterial blood pressure
125 (SAP) with a noninvasive Doppler (Model 812; Parks Medical Electronics, Inc., OR, USA).

126 The prepared area at the left coronary band was twice cleaned with alcohol (Álcool 96;
127 Farmácia Santa Cruz, SP, Brazil) and dried before attaching two adhesive electrodes
128 (2223BRQ; 3M do Brasil Ltda, SP, Brazil) 8 cm apart, secured with four adhesive wrap strips
129 around the hoof. The thermal (WTT2; Topcat Metrology Ltd, UK) and mechanical (WMT1;
130 Topcat Metrology Ltd) control units (Topcat Metrology Ltd) were attached with Velcro onto
131 a commercial blanket (Topcat Metrology Ltd) on the horse's back. The units were remotely
132 controlled by infrared signals. Temperature and force were recorded in degrees Celsius (°C)
133 and newtons (N), respectively. Finally, the thermal sensor on the right clipped metacarpus
134 and mechanical pin on the left were placed and connected to the control units.

135 Six treatments were randomly assigned using Microsoft Excel: saline (Cloreto de
136 sódio 0.9%; Fresenius Kabi, SP, Brazil; treatment SAL), detomidine ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$; Eqdomin, 10
137 mg mL^{-1} ; Ourofino Saúde Animal, SP, Brazil; treatment DET), methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1} ;
138 Mytedom 10 mg mL^{-1} , Cristália Produtos Químicos Farmacêuticos Ltda, SP, Brazil;
139 treatment MET), and methadone at the same dose combined with detomidine ($2.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$;
140 treatment MLD), detomidine ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$; treatment MMD) and detomidine ($10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$;
141 treatment MHD). The final volume was adjusted to 10 mL by adding saline and administered
142 IV by hand at T0 over 10 seconds.

143 Sedation and responses to antinociceptive stimuli were evaluated in triplicate at
144 baseline (T0) before and at 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 120 and 180 minutes after drug
145 administration. Intestinal motility was scored at the same time points starting at 15 minutes.

146

147 Degree and quality of sedation

148 Sedation was evaluated using the height of the head above the ground (HHAG) by measuring
149 the level of the lower lip against a scale on the wall (Ringer et al. 2012; Marly et al. 2014).

150 Quality of sedation was scored first by evaluating the degree of ataxia and second by

151 responses to tactile and audiovisual stimulation, always in the same order. The scoring
152 system was a numerical rating scale (NRS) ranging from 0 to 3 for ataxia (0 no ataxia, 3
153 maximal ataxia) and responses to audiovisual stimuli (0 no response, 3 maximal response)
154 (Appendix A). A subjective visual analogue scale (VAS) was assigned consisting of a 10 cm
155 line where 0 cm represented no sedation or ataxia and 10 cm represented maximal sedation
156 and/or maximal ataxia. All the sedation variables were always evaluated by the main
157 investigator (MGM) unaware of the treatment.

158

159 *Cardiopulmonary variables*

160 SAP was corrected according to the height difference between the cuff and shoulder joint, the
161 latter location representing the level of the right atrium of the heart. The f_R was measured by
162 observation of chest movements over 30 seconds.

163

164 *Nociceptive threshold testing*

165 Nociceptive stimuli were applied at each time point immediately after sedation was scored
166 and cardiopulmonary variables had been recorded. Stimuli were always applied in the same
167 order; first electrical, followed by thermal and mechanical stimulation. Aversive responses
168 were recorded when the horse lifted its foot, pawed the ground, stamped, flexed the limb or
169 walked to avoid the stimulus (Luna et al. 2015). The stimulus was immediately withdrawn at
170 this end point and the value in volts (V), °C and N at threshold recorded. If cut-out was
171 reached, this value was recorded as the threshold.

172

173 *Electrical threshold testing*

174 The resistance between electrodes was measured using a digital multimeter (ET 1100 ;
175 Minipa do Brasil Ltda, SP, Brazil) to confirm it was below 3 kΩ. The electrodes were

176 connected to an electrical stimulator (Grass S-48; Astro-Med, Inc., RI, USA) adjusted to
177 deliver pulsatile current square waves of 10 ms at 10 Hz. The voltage started at 1 V, then was
178 increased by 1 V every 5 seconds. Stimulation was stopped immediately when an avoidance
179 response was observed or the voltage reached 20 V.

180

181 *Thermal threshold testing*

182 A thermal probe with a heating element encased in an 8 mm long brass tube (internal
183 diameter 2.4 mm and external diameter 3.2 mm) (probe 3; Dixon et al. 2016) was placed on
184 the clipped area of the right metacarpus and attached with an elasticated band secured by
185 Velcro. The probe includes a heater and a temperature sensor and is connected to the thermal
186 control unit. At least 5 minutes were allowed for equilibration with body temperature. After
187 recording skin temperature, the ramped stimulus was applied, heating at $0.8\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C second}^{-1}$ until
188 a positive response was observed or the cut-out at $60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ was reached (Luna et al. 2015;
189 Lopes et al. 2016). After each stimulus, the probe was moved 1-2 cm proximally on the limb
190 to avoid focal tissue damage.

191

192 *Mechanical threshold testing*

193 A pneumatic actuator containing a 1 mm round-ended pin was secured with a brushing boot
194 on the left clipped metacarpal area, held against the leg with an elasticated band secured with
195 Velcro and connected to the control unit with non-distensible tubing (Taylor et al. 2016). The
196 automatic control system increased the force of the pin pressing on the skin surface at 0.8 N
197 second^{-1} . The stimulus was stopped when an aversive response was observed or the cut-out
198 value of 20 N reached.

199

200 *Abdominal auscultation*

201 Intestinal sounds were assessed by auscultation over one minute in each of the four
202 abdominal quadrants: right dorsal, right ventral, left dorsal and left ventral. The total motility
203 score was the sum of the scores from each quadrant (Boscan et al. 2006) (Appendix B).

204

205 Statistical analysis

206 For each variable, normality was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test and graphical analysis.
207 Effects of time and treatment were analyzed with a mixed-model analysis of variance
208 (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test for HHAG, cardiopulmonary variables, thermal stimulus
209 and intestinal motility (mean \pm SD). Data from electrical and mechanical stimuli were not
210 normally distributed. Thus, logarithmic transformation was performed, and these data are
211 presented as geometric mean (back-transformed bounds of the 95% confidence interval).
212 Different covariance structures were tested. Data for ataxia, responses to tactile and
213 audiovisual stimuli and VAS, non-normally distributed, were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis
214 with Dunn's tests and are presented as median (range). Significance level was set at 0.05.

215

216 Results

217 The HHAG was lower than baseline (sedation was greater) after DET (66 ± 26 versus $98 \pm$
218 10 cm) and MMD (77 ± 19 versus 99 ± 3 cm) for 30 minutes and after MHD (68 ± 26 versus
219 99 ± 9 cm) for 45 minutes (Fig. 1). Comparing treatments, HHAG with DET was lower than
220 SAL, MET and MLD for 30 minutes. The HHAG with MMD was lower than SAL and MLD
221 for 15 minutes and MET for 30 minutes, respectively. The HHAG with MHD was lower than
222 SAL, MET, MLD for 45 minutes and between 15 and 45 compared with MMD. There were
223 no differences between DET and MHD.

224 Visual analogue scores were significantly higher than baseline for 30 minutes only in
225 treatments DET, MMD and MHD (Table 1). Comparing treatments, MHD scores were not

226 different from MMD or DET at any time point, but were higher than MLD for 45 minutes,
227 and higher than SAL and MET for 60 minutes (Table 1). Scores in MMD were not different
228 from DET or MLD at any time point, but were higher than SAL and MET for 30 minutes.

229 The ataxia scores were higher than baseline [0 (0 – 0)] with DET [1 (0 – 1)], MMD [1
230 (0 – 2)] and MHD [1 (0 – 3)] up to 15 minutes ($p < 0.05$). Comparing treatments, ataxia was
231 more pronounced with MHD [1 (0 – 3)] than with SAL [0 (0 – 0)] and MET [0 (0 – 0)] for 30
232 minutes ($p < 0.05$). Ataxia scores with MHD [2 (1 – 3)] were also higher than MLD [1 (0 –
233 3)] at 5 minutes ($p < 0.05$).

234 Scores for responses to tactile stimuli were lower than baseline [3 (2 – 3)] with MMD
235 [1.5 (0 – 2)] for 5 minutes and up to 30 minutes in MHD [1 (0 – 2)] ($p < 0.05$). Comparing
236 treatments, scores were lower with MHD [2 (0 – 3)] than SAL [3 (3 – 3)] and MET [3 (3 –
237 3)] up to 45 minutes, lower than MLD at 15 [[0 (0 – 1)] *versus* [3 (1 – 3)]] and at 30 minutes
238 [[1 (0 – 2)] *versus* [3 (1 – 3)]] and lower than DET at 15 [[0 (0 – 1)] *versus* [2.5 (1 – 3)]] and
239 45 minutes [[2 (0 – 3)] *versus* [3 (3 – 3)]] ($p < 0.05$). Scores were also lower with MMD [1.5
240 (0 – 2)] than SAL [3 (3 – 3)] and MET [3 (2 – 3)] at 5 minutes ($p < 0.05$).

241 Scores of responses to audiovisual stimuli were lower than baseline [1 (1 – 2)] for 15
242 minutes in MHD [0 (0 – 1)] ($p < 0.05$). Comparing treatments, scores were lower with MHD
243 [0 (0 – 1)] than SAL [1 (1 – 2)] and MET [1 (1 – 2)] for up to 15 minutes and lower than
244 MLD at 5 minutes [0 (0 – 0)] *versus* [1 (1 – 2)] ($p < 0.05$).

245 HR were not significantly changed from baseline within all treatments (Table 2).
246 Statistically significant changes in SAP and f_R were recorded in some treatments (Table 2).

247 Electrical thresholds in treatments MHD, MMD, MLD and DET were significantly
248 higher than baseline for 30, 15, 5 and 15 minutes, respectively (Table 3). Comparing
249 treatments, MHD thresholds were higher than MMD at 15 and 30 minutes only, but were
250 higher than DET, MLD and SAL for 30 minutes and MET for 45 minutes. After MMD,

251 thresholds were higher than MET and SAL only for 15 minutes. Thresholds at, and after 60
252 minutes were not different from baseline and between treatments.

253 Thermal thresholds in treatments MHD, MMD, MLD and DET were significantly
254 higher than baseline for 45, 30, 15 and 15 minutes, respectively (Table 3). Comparing
255 treatments, MHD thresholds were higher than MMD only at 30 minutes, higher than MLD
256 and DET from 15-45 minutes and higher than SAL and MET at all time points up to 45
257 minutes. Thermal thresholds in MMD were higher than DET only at 30 minutes, and higher
258 than SAL and MET for 30 minutes. Thresholds in MLD and DET were higher than MET for
259 5 minutes and SAL for 15 minutes. Thresholds at, and after 60 minutes were not different
260 from baseline and between treatments.

261 Mechanical thresholds in MHD, MMD, MLD and DET were significantly higher than
262 baseline for 60, 30, 15 and 5 minutes, respectively (Table 3). Comparing treatments, MHD
263 thresholds were higher than MLD only at 30 minutes, higher than DET at 15-60 minutes,
264 higher than MET for 45 minutes, and higher than SAL for up to 60 minutes. Mechanical
265 thresholds in MMD were higher than DET only at 15 minutes, and higher than MET and
266 SAL for 15 minutes. Thresholds at, and after 75 minutes were not different from baseline and
267 between treatments.

268 Intestinal motility was below baseline in MET, DET, MLD and MMD for 30 minutes
269 and up to 75 minutes in MHD (Fig. 2). Comparing treatments, MET, DET, MMD and MLD
270 were lower than SAL for 30 minutes, and MHD was lower for 60 minutes. MHD was lower
271 than MET and MMD for 30 minutes and lower than MLD and DET for 45 minutes.

272 Methadone alone (MET) resulted in mild behavioral effects in the first 5-15 minutes:
273 two horses were restless, two flicked their lower lips, one made minor attempts to move and
274 one was sedated. No adverse effects were observed in the remaining two horses. No abnormal

275 behavioral effects were observed after any other treatments except in MLD where one horse
276 flicked the lower lips and one briefly became very alert to the environment.

277 One horse became deeply sedated in response to both drugs, either alone or in
278 combination. In this horse only, some superficial skin lesions became evident a few hours
279 after application of thermal stimuli in the third treatment, when thresholds close to the cut-out
280 temperature were reached. The lesions started as edematous wheals mimicking the footprint
281 of the probe. The outer surface of the skin was lost after two to three days, followed
282 subsequently by corneal flaking which resolved in 5 days. The lesions were initially slightly
283 painful to touch and were treated with wet cold towels, dried and followed by an ointment
284 containing methyl salicylate, Peru balsam (exudation of *Myroxilon peruiferum*) and zinc
285 oxide (Balsamex; Chemitec Agro-Veterinária Ltda, SP, Brazil). These injured local sites were
286 not used again for further thermal stimulation for the remaining treatments.

287

288 **Discussion**

289 Detomidine ($10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) with methadone produced the most intense and prolonged
290 antinociception. When the detomidine dose was reduced to $5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$, antinociception was of
291 similar intensity but of shorter duration, the horses were less sedated and intestinal motility
292 better preserved. This observation confirms that methadone potentiates detomidine-induced
293 antinociception, not only at the high detomidine dose of $10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ (Oliveira et al. 2014;
294 Lopes et al. 2016), but also at $5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$, while sedative effects are minimized. Moreover, the
295 lowest dose of detomidine ($2.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) combined with methadone produced antinociception
296 similar to that after detomidine alone ($5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$), yet without producing measurable sedation.
297 No conclusion as to potentiation can be drawn regarding this combination as treatment with
298 detomidine alone at $2.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ was not studied.

299 Nociceptive electrical, thermal and mechanical stimulation on the thoracic limbs is
300 easy to apply and interpret, is reliable, sensitive and specific, and has been validated in horses
301 (Luna et al. 2015). Electrical stimuli activate not only the nociceptive A δ and C fibres, but
302 also large diameter A β fibres not directly involved in antinociception (Le Bars et al. 2001).
303 Thermal stimulation with a slow heating rate of 0.8 °C second⁻¹ predominantly activates C
304 fibres (Yeomans & Proudfit 1996; Lopes et al. 2016) and mechanical stimuli activate both
305 nociceptive A δ and C fibres (Le Bars et al. 2001). Since opioids and α_2 -agonists have
306 different mechanisms of action, the use of a variety of nociceptive stimuli improves
307 sensitivity and specificity and therefore produces more accurate data (Luna et al. 2015; Lopes
308 et al. 2016). All three nociceptive testing modalities were sufficiently sensitive to detect
309 different degrees of antinociception between treatments, and, in general, followed a similar
310 trend.

311 The equipment employed for application of nociceptive stimuli was the same as
312 previously reported (Luna et al. 2015; Lopes et al. 2016). Additional features were included
313 for the electrical stimulus: before application, a strict protocol of clipping, washing,
314 degreasing and cleaning was followed. Since current intensity is equal to voltage divided by
315 resistance (Ohm's law), increases in skin resistance reduce intensity. Studies where resistance
316 was not measured showed heterogeneous, less accurate data (Lopes et al. 2016). Thus, proper
317 control of resistance is mandatory, not only by preparing the area and maintaining the same
318 distance between electrodes (7-8 cm on the coronary band), but also by keeping resistance
319 values below 3 k Ω (Hopster et al. 2014; Risberg et al. 2014).

320 The drug doses used in this study were chosen to identify a combination that would
321 produce adequate antinociception with minimal adverse effects. Detomidine (10-20 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)
322 and methadone (0.1-0.2 mg kg^{-1}) are commonly used clinical doses. In the present study,
323 methadone alone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) administered IV resulted in only transitory, minor behavioral

324 effects and no antinociception. Similar results were reported by Oliveira et al. (2014), where
325 mild ataxia and head shaking were observed for 30 minutes, without consistent
326 antinociception. However, the addition of methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1}) to detomidine ($5 \text{ } \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$)
327 as described here, and to $10 \text{ } \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ as reported by Oliveira et al. (2014) and Lopes et al.
328 (2016), prolonged the detomidine-induced antinociception and abated the methadone-induced
329 behavioral effects. Combination with detomidine ($2.5 \text{ } \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) also appeared to reduce the
330 adverse effects of methadone, resulting in minimal adverse reactions in only two of the
331 horses.

332 Antinociception was observed in all treatments that included detomidine. In general,
333 thresholds followed a similar pattern in the two treatments DET and MLD and in MMD and
334 MHD, with longer durations of antinociception when methadone was combined with 5 or 10
335 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine. The results indicate that the combination of methadone (0.2 mg kg^{-1})
336 with detomidine ($5 \text{ } \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) could provide useful antinociceptive effects for some clinical
337 procedures lasting around 30 minutes.

338 Excessively deep sedation and severe ataxia may be considered adverse effects under
339 clinical conditions. In the study presented here, a reduction in the detomidine dose from 10 in
340 MHD to $5 \text{ } \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ in MMD resulted in a similar degree of antinociception, but less sedation
341 (HHAG). Sedation in DET ($5 \text{ } \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) was comparable to MHD, but of shorter duration. The
342 lowest detomidine dose combined with methadone (MLD) produced similar antinociception
343 to detomidine alone (DET), without apparent sedation. The HHAG variable has been used to
344 measure the degree of sedation induced by administration of α_2 -agonists. Previous authors
345 have defined 'sufficient' sedation as when the horse's head position is equal to or lower than
346 50% of the awake position (Ringer et al. 2012; Marly et al. 2014). Using this definition,
347 adequate sedation was achieved only at 15 minutes after detomidine alone and for 30 minutes
348 in MHD, whereas sufficient sedation was not achieved with the other treatments. However,

349 the term 'sufficient' should be used carefully when an opioid is added to low doses of α_2 -
350 agonists, as opioid-linked behavioral effects may overcome the sedative effects of the α_2 -
351 agonist, depending on the relative dose ratio of the drugs.

352 Contrary to HHAG, VAS was similar in DET, MHD and MMD for the first 30
353 minutes. Moreover, the VAS detected more differences in sedation quality than the NRS used
354 to score ataxia and responses to tactile and audiovisual stimuli. As two of these three tactile
355 stimuli were performed on the limbs, it might be expected that methadone would increase
356 locomotor activity (Oliveira et al. 2014) and, potentially increase the bias of these responses.
357 However, the significant ataxia seen in treatments with detomidine at doses equal to or higher
358 than $5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ may have reduced the responses to the tactile stimuli..

359 Throughout the experiments, the values for HR, SAP and f_R remained within
360 clinically acceptable ranges. As reported by Wagner et al. (1991) with a detomidine dose of
361 $10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$, initial increases in arterial pressure, followed by a decrease, was observed only
362 with the combinations including the highest dose of detomidine. Reducing this dose limited
363 the biphasic effect on arterial pressure. f_R decreased below baseline for 60 minutes only in
364 MHD, but this was not statistically different from the other treatments. It is possible that
365 detomidine and its combination with methadone may cause respiratory depression, especially
366 at the highest dose, but arterial blood gases were not measured in this study.

367 Invasive arterial pressure measurements would have produced more accurate
368 measurements. However, a noninvasive method was used because evaluation of the
369 cardiopulmonary effects of the treatments was not the main aim of the study, and arterial
370 catheterization may have stimulated the horses and affected subsequent sedation and
371 antinociception.

372 Duration and intensity of intestinal hypomotility was detomidine dose-dependent. The
373 reduction in intestinal motility and its possible consequences are well reported after both α_2 -

374 agonists (Daunt & Steffey 2002; Valverde 2010) and opioids (Bennett & Steffey 2002;
375 Boscan et al. 2006; Clutton 2010; Schauvliege 2014).

376 One horse developed small lesions at the site of thermal stimulation. Development of
377 skin lesions was unexpected as the cut-out was set at 60 °C, based on previous similar
378 studies, where only occasional superficial, local lesions were seen, usually at a thoracic site
379 and not on the limbs (Luna et al. 2015). A 60 °C cut-out may not be suitable for all conditions
380 as some individuals may be more sensitive to thermal stimulation. A lower cut-out such as 55
381 °C might be appropriate for different environments, sites and breeds to avoid tissue damage.
382 This horse became deeply sedated after all treatments, which may have influenced the results.
383 However, its exclusion would not be justified as this horse could represent a real effect seen
384 in a certain proportion of the population. It is also possible that allodynia developed after the
385 thermal injury in this horse, changing responses in subsequent experiments. Nonetheless,
386 separate statistical analysis performed without this horse's data did not significantly modify
387 the nociceptive results.

388

389 **Conclusions**

390 Methadone (0.2 mg kg⁻¹) potentiated the antinociception produced by detomidine at 5 µg kg⁻¹
391 ¹. However, side effects such as deep sedation, ataxia and prolonged intestinal hypomotility
392 were diminished when methadone was combined with 5 µg kg⁻¹ detomidine when compared
393 with the combination with 10 µg kg⁻¹ detomidine. Further studies are justified to evaluate the
394 value of this combination under clinical conditions.

395

396 **Acknowledgements**

397 This work was supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa de São Paulo (FAPESP),
398 Scholarships grant numbers 2010/08967-0 and 2014/00474-5.

399 The authors thank the pharmaceutical company Ourofino for donating the injectable
400 form of detomidine. The authors also thank Altamiro Rosam for the special care and
401 dedication with the horses used in this study and to Evaldo Mamedes Cândido de Queiroz for
402 his unvaluable assistance, both at the São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, SP,
403 Brazil.

404

405 **Authors' contributions**

406 MGM: study design, acquisition, management and interpretation of data, writing and critical
407 review of the manuscript. SPL: study design, interpretation of data, review of the
408 manuscript. NC: study design, data acquisition, review of the manuscript. JNPF: data
409 acquisition. FSP: statistical analysis and interpretation of data. LP: study design, management
410 and interpretation of data, review of the manuscript. PMT: study design, interpretation of
411 data, review of the manuscript.

412

413 **Conflict of interest**

414 PM Taylor is director of Topcat Metrology Ltd.

415

416

417 **References**

- 418 Bennett RC, Steffey EP (2002) Use of opioids for pain and anesthetic management in horses.
419 Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract 18, 47-60.
- 420 Boscan P, Van Hoogmoed LM, Farver TB, Snyder JR (2006) Evaluation of the effects of the
421 opioid agonist morphine on gastrointestinal tract function in horses. Am J Vet Res 67,
422 992-997.
- 423 Bryant CE, England GC, Clarke KW (1991) Comparison of the sedative effects of
424 medetomidine and xylazine in horses. Vet Rec 129, 421-423.
- 425 Clutton RE (2010) Opioid analgesia in horses. Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract 26, 493-514.
- 426 Combie J, Dougherty J, Nugent E et al. (1979) The pharmacology of narcotic analgesics in
427 the horse. IV. Dose- and time-response relationships for behavioural responses to
428 morphine, meperidine, pentazocine, anileridine, methadone, and hydromorphone. J
429 Equine Med Surg 3, 377-385.
- 430 Daunt DA, Steffey EP (2002) Alpha-2 adrenergic agonists as analgesics in horses. Vet Clin
431 North Am Equine Pract 18, 39-46.
- 432 Dixon MJ, Taylor PM, Slingsby LC, Murrell JC (2016) Refinement of a thermal threshold
433 probe to prevent burns. Lab Anim 50, 54-62.
- 434 Hopster K, Müller C, Hopster-Iversen C et al. (2014) Effects of dexmedetomidine and
435 xylazine on cardiovascular function during total intravenous anaesthesia with midazolam
436 and ketamine and recovery quality and duration in horses. Vet Anaesth Analg 41, 25-35.
- 437 Le Bars D, Gozariu M, Cadden SW (2001) Animal models of nociception. Pharmacol Rev
438 53, 597-652.
- 439 Linardi RL, Stokes AM, Keowen ML et al. (2012) Bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of
440 oral and injectable formulations of methadone after intravenous, oral, and intragastric
441 administration in horses. Am J Vet Res 73, 290-295.

- 442 Lopes C, Luna SP, Rosa AC et al. (2016) Antinociceptive effects of methadone combined
443 with detomidine or acepromazine in horses. *Equine Vet J* 48, 613-618.
- 444 Luna SPL, Lopes C, Rosa AC et al. (2015) Validation of mechanical, electrical and thermal
445 nociceptive stimulation methods in horses. *Equine Vet J* 47, 609-614.
- 446 Marly C, Bettschart-Wolfensberger R, Nussbaumer P et al. (2014) Evaluation of a romifidine
447 constant rate infusion protocol with or without butorphanol for dentistry and
448 ophthalmologic procedures in standing horses. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 41, 491-497.
- 449 Oliveira FA, Pignaton WA, Teixeira-Neto FJ et al. (2014) Antinociceptive and behavioural
450 effects of methadone alone or in combination with detomidine in conscious horses. *J*
451 *Equine Vet Sci* 34, 380-386.
- 452 Pippi NL, Lumb WV (1979) Objective tests of analgesic drugs in ponies. *Am J Vet Res* 40,
453 1082-1086.
- 454 Pollock AB, Tegeler ML, Morgan V, Baumrucker SJ (2011) Morphine to methadone
455 conversion: an interpretation of published data. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 28, 135-140.
- 456 Ringer SK, Portier KG, Fourel I et al. (2012) Development of a xylazine constant rate
457 infusion with or without butorphanol for standing sedation of horses. *Vet Anaesth Analg*
458 39, 1-11.
- 459 Ringer SK, Portier K, Torgerson PR et al. (2013) The effects of a loading dose followed by
460 constant rate infusion of xylazine compared with romifidine on sedation, ataxia and
461 response to stimuli in horses. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 40, 157-165.
- 462 Risberg A, Spadavecchia C, Ranheim B et al. (2014) Antinociceptive effects of three
463 escalating dexmedetomidine and lignocaine constant rate infusions in conscious horses.
464 *Vet J* 202, 489-497.
- 465 Schauvliege S (2014) Opioids for field procedures in equine practice. *Vet Rec* 175, 621-622.
- 466 Taylor P, Coumbe K, Henson F et al. (2014) Evaluation of sedation for standing clinical

467 procedures in horses using detomidine combined with buprenorphine. *Vet Anaesth Analg*
468 41, 14-24.

469 Taylor PM, Crosignani N, Lopes C et al. (2016) Mechanical nociceptive thresholds using
470 four probe configurations in horses. *Vet Anaesth Analg* 43, 99-108.

471 Valverde A (2010) Alpha-2 agonists as pain therapy in horses. *Vet Clin North Am Equine*
472 *Pract* 26, 515-532.

473 Wagner AE, Muir WW 3rd, Hinchcliff KW (1991) Cardiovascular effects of xylazine and
474 detomidine in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 52, 651-657.

475 Yamashita K, Tsubakishita S, Futaok S et al. (2000) Cardiovascular effects of medetomidine,
476 detomidine and xylazine in horses. *J Vet Med Sci* 62, 1025-1032.

477 Yeomans DC, Proudfit HK (1996) Nociceptive responses to high and low rates of noxious
478 cutaneous heating are mediated by different nociceptors in the rat: electrophysiological
479 evidence. *Pain* 68, 141-150.

480

481

482 **Appendix A** Numerical rating scale (NRS) to assess ataxia and responses to tactile and audiovisual stimulation. Adapted from Bryant et al.
 483 (1991) and Ringer et al. (2013).

	Degree of ataxia	Tactile stimuli	Audiovisual stimuli
	Evaluated visually and by pushing the horse to detect any swaying	Touching an ear with a pen, then pressing the coronary band of thoracic and pelvic limbs with the pen	Acoustic stimulus was hand clapping behind the horse and visual stimulus was shaking a towel in front of the horse
NRS			
0	No ataxia	No response, even with strong pressing	No response, no signs of noise recognition or visual arousal
1	Stable but swaying slightly	Mild response, slightly diminished response to normal or strong pressing	Mild response, minimal movement of ears and elevates head slightly
2	Unstable, swaying markedly	Intermediate response, animal elevates the limb after normal pressing	Intermediate response, subdued reactions and movements, turning slowly

- 3** Severe ataxia, risk of falling down Fast response, movement of the limb after touching, with mild pressing Fast response, animal abruptly turns or moves away
-

484

485

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

486 **Appendix B** Scoring of intestinal sounds (Boscan et al. 2006).

Score	Criteria	487
0	No intestinal sounds auscultated	
1	Low-pitched crepitant sounds, frequency $\leq 1 \text{ minute}^{-1}$	
2	Low-pitched crepitant sounds, frequency $> 1 \text{ minute}^{-1}$	
3	Long, loud, gurgling sounds, frequency 1 minute^{-1}	
4	Long, loud, gurgling sounds, frequency 2 to 4 minute^{-1}	
5	Long, loud, gurgling sounds, frequency $> 4 \text{ minute}^{-1}$	

488

489

490

491 **Table 1** Sedation quality evaluated by visual analogue scale (VAS) [median (range)]. 0 indicates no sedation and no ataxia and 10 indicates maximum
 492 sedation and ataxia, in eight standing horses after six treatments administered intravenously: 10 mL saline (SAL), 5 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine (DET), 0.2 mg
 493 kg^{-1} methadone alone (MET), or combined with 10 (MHD), 5 (MMD) or 2.5 (MLD) $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine before (time 0) and after (times 5-180
 494 minutes) drug administration.

Time (minutes)	Treatment					
	SAL	MET	DET	MLD	MMD	MHD
0	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)
5	0 (0 - 0) ^a	0 (0 - 1.3) ^a	5.3 (2.1 - 6.4) ^{*abc}	0 (0 - 8.7) ^{ab}	6.3 (4.9 - 8.8) ^{*bc}	7.8 (6.4 - 9.9) ^{*c}
15	0 (0 - 0) ^a	0 (0 - 0.9) ^{ab}	6.2 (2.9 - 7.5) ^{*bcd}	0 (0 - 8.9) ^{abc}	6.2 (4.0 - 8.1) ^{*cd}	9.1 (6.1 - 9.6) ^{*d}
30	0 (0 - 0) ^a	0 (0 - 0) ^a	4.6 (0 - 6.4) ^{*abc}	0 (0 - 7.1) ^{ab}	3.3 (1.4 - 7.9) ^{*bc}	6.7 (3.9 - 8.6) ^{*c}
45	0 (0 - 0) ^a	0 (0 - 0) ^a	1.0 (0 - 4.5) ^{ab}	0 (0 - 4.5) ^a	0.5 (0 - 6.2) ^{ab}	3.4 (1.3 - 7.8) ^b
60	0 (0 - 0) ^a	0 (0 - 0) ^a	0 (0 - 1.4) ^{ab}	0 (0 - 1.7) ^{ab}	0 (0 - 2.2) ^{ab}	1.4 (0 - 5.2) ^b
75	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 3)
90	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 2.1)
120	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)	0 (0 - 0)

180 0 (0 - 0) 0 (0 - 0) 0 (0 - 0) 0 (0 - 0) 0 (0 - 0) 0 (0 - 0)

495

496 *Significantly different from baseline (time 0) ($p < 0.05$). ^{abc}Different superscript letters indicate significant differences among treatments at that time
497 point ($p < 0.05$).

498

499

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

500 **Table 2** Heart rate (HR), systolic arterial blood pressure (SAP) and respiratory rate (f_R) (mean \pm standard deviation) in eight standing horses
 501 administered intravenous saline (SAL), 5 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine (DET), 0.2 mg kg^{-1} methadone alone (MET), or combined with 10 (MHD), 5 (MMD) or
 502 2.5 (MLD) $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine.

Variable	Time (minutes)	Treatments					
		SAL	MET	DET	MLD	MMD	MHD
HR (beats minute^{-1})	0	37 \pm 11 ^a	32 \pm 4 ^b	34 \pm 6 ^{bc}	36 \pm 6 ^a	33 \pm 5 ^{ac}	35 \pm 5 ^b
	5	37 \pm 14 ^a	33 \pm 5 ^b	30 \pm 5 ^{bc}	36 \pm 10 ^a	33 \pm 8 ^{ac}	29 \pm 5 ^b
	15	37 \pm 12 ^a	35 \pm 3 ^b	34 \pm 10 ^{bc}	36 \pm 9 ^a	35 \pm 8 ^{ac}	31 \pm 5 ^b
	30	38 \pm 13 ^a	31 \pm 4 ^b	34 \pm 8 ^{bc}	38 \pm 11 ^a	35 \pm 7 ^{ac}	30 \pm 5 ^b
	45	35 \pm 12 ^a	31 \pm 3 ^b	35 \pm 7 ^{bc}	38 \pm 12 ^a	35 \pm 7 ^{ac}	31 \pm 4 ^b
	60	37 \pm 12 ^a	33 \pm 4 ^b	33 \pm 6 ^{bc}	34 \pm 6 ^a	35 \pm 9 ^{ac}	32 \pm 4 ^b
	75	37 \pm 13 ^a	33 \pm 3 ^b	34 \pm 7 ^{bc}	37 \pm 11 ^a	37 \pm 9 ^{ac}	32 \pm 5 ^b
	90	38 \pm 13 ^a	32 \pm 4 ^b	32 \pm 6 ^{bc}	36 \pm 6 ^a	40 \pm 8 ^{ac}	33 \pm 4 ^b
	120	37 \pm 12 ^a	31 \pm 3 ^b	36 \pm 8 ^{bc}	37 \pm 10 ^a	35 \pm 7 ^{ac}	33 \pm 5 ^b

	180	37 ± 12^a	32 ± 3^b	33 ± 6^{bc}	38 ± 12^a	37 ± 8^{ac}	33 ± 4^b
SAP (mmHg)	0	139 ± 15	161 ± 11	143 ± 12	122 ± 9	125 ± 6	127 ± 6
	5	133 ± 9^a	143 ± 13^a	149 ± 16^a	148 ± 11^a	148 ± 8^a	$193 \pm 13^{*b}$
	15	153 ± 22^{ab}	156 ± 22^a	$110 \pm 6^{*c}$	137 ± 12^{abc}	127 ± 11^{bc}	138 ± 7^{ab}
	30	154 ± 11^{ab}	165 ± 5^a	149 ± 7^{ab}	131 ± 10^b	141 ± 15^{ab}	134 ± 13^b
	45	132 ± 12	$130 \pm 4^*$	141 ± 6	143 ± 8	144 ± 7	138 ± 4
	60	$168 \pm 10^{*a}$	136 ± 29^{bc}	151 ± 41^{ab}	137 ± 5^{bc}	114 ± 5^c	143 ± 17^{ab}
	75	134 ± 6^a	$131 \pm 4^{*b}$	143 ± 25^{ab}	126 ± 21^a	143 ± 11^{ab}	$168 \pm 9^{*b}$
	90	141 ± 23	145 ± 13	126 ± 6	137 ± 12	140 ± 12	132 ± 7
	120	141 ± 13	$127 \pm 11^*$	133 ± 25	127 ± 8	124 ± 9	138 ± 21
	180	127 ± 6^{ab}	126 ± 4^{ab}	116 ± 9^a	149 ± 7^b	139 ± 7^{ab}	123 ± 8^{ab}
f_R							
(breaths minute⁻¹)	0	16 ± 4	16 ± 4	16 ± 4	15 ± 3	15 ± 2	17 ± 5
	5	16 ± 4^a	16 ± 6^{ab}	10 ± 2^{ab}	12 ± 6^{ab}	10 ± 3^{ab}	$8 \pm 2^{*b}$
	15	16 ± 3^a	17 ± 7^b	10 ± 2^{ab}	13 ± 6^{ab}	9 ± 2^{ab}	$8 \pm 1^{*b}$
	30	16 ± 4^{ab}	19 ± 6^a	10 ± 2^{bc}	13 ± 5^{abc}	9 ± 2^{bc}	$8 \pm 1^{*c}$

45	17 ± 4^{ab}	18 ± 6^a	11 ± 2^{bc}	14 ± 6^{abc}	11 ± 3^{bc}	$10 \pm 2^{*c}$
60	17 ± 4^{ab}	19 ± 7^a	11 ± 2^b	14 ± 6^{ab}	12 ± 2^b	$10 \pm 2^{*b}$
75	17 ± 5	18 ± 7	12 ± 2	15 ± 4	12 ± 3	11 ± 1
90	17 ± 4	18 ± 6	13 ± 2	15 ± 5	14 ± 2	12 ± 2
120	18 ± 4	18 ± 5	14 ± 3	15 ± 3	14 ± 2	12 ± 2
180	18 ± 4	18 ± 5	14 ± 2	15 ± 3	15 ± 2	13 ± 2

503 *Significantly different from baseline (time 0) within a treatment ($p < 0.05$).

504 ^{abc}Different superscript letters indicate significant differences among treatments at that time point ($p < 0.05$).

505

506

507 **Table 3** Electrical (upper safety limit 20 V), thermal (upper safety limit 60 °C) and mechanical (upper safety limit 20 N) thresholds in eight
 508 standing horses treated intravenously with 10 mL saline (SAL), 5 µg kg⁻¹ detomidine (DET), 0.2 mg kg⁻¹ methadone (MET), or methadone
 509 combined with 10 (MHD), 5 (MMD) or 2.5 (MLD) µg kg⁻¹ detomidine. Thermal threshold data are mean ± standard deviation; electrical and
 510 mechanical threshold data are geometric means (back-transformed bounds of the 95% confidence interval).

511

Stimulus	Time (minutes)	Treatment					
		SAL	MET	DET	MLD	MMD	MHD
Electrical (V)	0	1.7 (1.5, 2.1)	1.6 (1.3, 1.8)	1.4 (1.2, 1.7)	1.7 (1.4, 2.0)	1.7 (1.4, 2.0)	1.8 (1.5, 2.2)
	5	1.7 (1.4, 2.1) ^a	1.7 (1.3, 2.2) ^a	2.9 (2.0, 4.1) ^{*ab}	3.0 (1.5, 6.1) ^{*ab}	4.7 (3.4, 6.6) ^{*bc}	6.1 (3.4, 10.9) ^{*c}
	15	1.9 (1.6, 2.3) ^a	1.9 (1.5, 2.4) ^a	3.1 (2.2, 4.4) ^{*ab}	2.8 (1.8, 4.5) ^{ab}	4.0 (2.7, 5.9) ^{*b}	8.4 (6.0, 11.7) ^{*c}
	30	1.9 (1.6, 2.3) ^a	1.7 (1.4, 2.0) ^a	1.8 (1.5, 2.2) ^a	2.4 (1.6, 3.6) ^a	2.9 (1.9, 4.5) ^a	6.2 (3.6, 10.8) ^{*b}
	45	1.7 (1.3, 2.2) ^{ab}	1.7 (1.4, 2.0) ^a	1.8 (1.4, 2.3) ^{ab}	2.0 (1.4, 2.9) ^{ab}	2.3 (1.6, 3.2) ^{ab}	3.0 (2.7, 3.4) ^b
Thermal (°C)	0	44 ± 4	44 ± 3	44 ± 3	44 ± 3	44 ± 4	44 ± 2
	5	44 ± 5 ^a	44 ± 3 ^a	54 ± 5 ^{*b}	53 ± 6 ^{*b}	59 ± 2 ^{*b}	60 ± 0 ^{*b}
	15	43 ± 3 ^a	47 ± 6 ^{ab}	51 ± 5 ^{*bc}	52 ± 7 ^{*bc}	56 ± 5 ^{*cd}	60 ± 0 ^{*d}

	30	44 ± 4 ^a	44 ± 5 ^a	45 ± 2 ^a	49 ± 5 ^{ab}	52 ± 6 ^{*b}	60 ± 1 ^{*c}
	45	42 ± 4 ^a	44 ± 4 ^a	45 ± 3 ^a	45 ± 3 ^a	49 ± 5 ^{ab}	55 ± 5 ^{*b}
Mechanical							
(N)	0	1.1 (0.6, 2.2)	0.9 (0.6, 1.3)	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	0.8 (0.6, 1.2)	1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	0.9 (0.5, 1.5)
	5	1.8 (0.9, 3.5) ^a	1.5 (0.5, 4.0) ^a	4.4 (2.1, 9.5) ^{*ab}	4.7 (1.8, 12.0) ^{*ab}	17.4 (12.9, 20.0 [†]) ^{*b}	20.0 (20.0, 20.0) ^{*b}
	15	1.3 (0.7, 2.6) ^a	1.6 (0.6, 4.0) ^a	2.5 (0.9, 6.7) ^a	4.8 (2.0, 11.4) ^{*ab}	15.1 (9.9, 20.0 [†]) ^{*b}	20.0 (20.0, 20.0) ^{*b}
	30	1.5 (0.8, 2.9) ^a	1.7 (0.7, 4.0) ^a	2.4 (1.4, 4.0) ^a	3.1 (1.2, 7.9) ^a	6.5 (2.0, 20.0 [†]) ^{*ab}	19.2 (17.4, 20.0 [†]) ^{*b}
	45	1.5 (0.8, 2.7) ^a	1.5 (0.6, 3.4) ^a	1.4 (0.9, 2.2) ^a	3.2 (1.2, 8.1) ^{ab}	3.8 (1.6, 8.7) ^{ab}	13.1 (8.7, 19.7) ^{*b}
	60	1.3 (0.8, 2.2) ^a	1.7 (0.9, 3.2) ^{ab}	1.2 (0.7, 2.1) ^a	1.5 (0.8, 2.8) ^{ab}	1.9 (0.8, 4.5) ^{ab}	6.4 (3.2, 12.6) ^{*b}

512 *Significantly increased above baseline (time 0) ($p < 0.05$). Different lower case letters at each time point for each variable indicate significant
 513 differences among treatments ($p < 0.05$).

514 †Indicates that the upper limit of the confidence interval was higher than 20, although mechanical thresholds were censored at 20N.

515

516

517 **Figure legends**

518

519 **Figure 1** Height of head above the ground (HHAG) in eight standing horses administered
520 intravenously saline (SAL), 5 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine (DET), 0.2 mg kg^{-1} methadone alone
521 (MET), or combined with 10 (MHD), 5 (MMD) or 2.5 (MLD) $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine. Data are
522 mean \pm standard deviation.

523 *Significantly lower from time 0 and lower than MET ($p < 0.05$).

524 †Significantly lower from time 0 and lower than SAL, MET and MLD ($p < 0.05$).

525 ‡Significantly lower from time 0 and lower than SAL, MET, MLD and MMD ($p < 0.05$).

526

527

528 **Figure 2** Intestinal motility scores (mean \pm standard deviation) in eight standing horses
529 administered intravenously saline (SAL), 5 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ detomidine (DET), 0.2 mg kg^{-1}
530 methadone alone (MET), or combined with 10 (MHD), 5 (MMD) or 2.5 (MLD) $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$
531 detomidine.

532 *Significantly lower from time 0 ($p < 0.05$). †Significantly higher than all other treatments (p
533 < 0.05). ‡Significantly higher than MHD ($p < 0.05$). §Significantly lower than MET, DET,
534 MLD, MMD ($p < 0.05$). ¶Significantly lower than DET and MLD ($p < 0.05$).

535

536

537

538

539



