



Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications
and other research outputs

Reflections on Methods for applying Activity Theory to CSCW research and practice – The AODM Approach

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

Mwanza, Daisy (2003). Reflections on Methods for applying Activity Theory to CSCW research and practice – The AODM Approach. In: 8th European Conference of Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, 14 Sep 2003, Helsinki, Finland.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© [\[not recorded\]](#)

Version: [\[not recorded\]](#)

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:
<http://uku.fi/tike/actad/ecscw2003-at/mwanza.pdf>

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

Reflections on Methods for applying Activity Theory to CSCW research and practice – The AODM Approach

Daisy Mwanza

Institute of Educational Technology &
The Open University
Walton Hall
Milton Keynes
MK7 6AA
United Kingdom

Center for Activity Theory &
Developmental Work Research
University of Helsinki
Finland

Abstract

CSCW research and practice incorporates the design and analysis of computer-based tools as resources for supporting work-based activities. Within this remit, the design and analysis of these tools need to address mediational aspects of these resources in relation to the context of operation and motives of those engaged in work activity. In this regard, several researchers and practitioners have highlighted the suitability of activity theory in conceptualising the dynamics of tool and user interactions in context (Nardi, 1996; Kuutti, 1996; Bodker, 1991). However, variations in methodological perspectives on putting activity theory ideas into practice continue to trigger interesting debate regarding the feasibility of applying activity theory to the design and analysis of computer systems and tools for supporting work activities (Mwanza, 2001a, 2001b and 2001c). Towards this end, various methodological propositions have been put forward (Mwanza, 2002; Korpela et al., 2000; Kaptelinin et al., 1999). In the meanwhile, inadequate information about the usability and replicability of these methods makes it difficult to validate the feasibility of applying activity theory to the design of CSCW systems.

We propose to explore practical challenges in relation to methods for applying activity theory to CSCW research and practice by addressing the following methodological issues:

1) *How to decide on which concepts of activity theory to focus on?*

The framework of activity theory incorporates several fundamental concepts (Kaptelinin, 1996 and 1997; Cole 1999) on which design and analysis of a mediating tool could be based. Discussions will examine possible ways of applying activity theory concepts in relation to this consideration.

2) *Mapping theory onto design*

Discussions under this category will articulate methodological solutions to challenges of finding a suitable compromise between adhering to underlying theoretical concepts and demonstrating their technical transferability into design activity. For example, how to account for activity theory's emphasis on historically studying user practices in context whilst accommodating the

systems design traditional need to predict future behaviour when analysing user-tool interactions.

3) *Validating the methodology used to map theory onto practice*

This item will consider issues relating to how to show evidence or traceable mapping between theory and practice as part of an activity theory informed method. These discussions will also consider how to validate accuracy of the method in relation to underlying theoretical concepts.

4) *What part of design to focus on*

Systems design incorporates several processes e.g. requirements specifications, prototyping, evaluation, implementation, etc. Discussions under this category will consider what part of the design process can be appropriately supported by an activity theory informed method. In addition, issues relating to how to determine the level of analysis when investigating user domain will also be addressed.

5) *What form to present output or analytical findings*

These discussions will explore challenges of interpreting and communicating acquired insights to systems developers.

6) *Evaluating usability of an activity theory informed method*

Is the proposed method replicable? Can CSCW researchers and practitioners use it without difficulty? What criteria should be used to determine usability?

References

- Bodker, S. 1991. "Human Activity and Human-Computer Interaction". In Bodker, S., *Through the Interface: A Human Activity Approach to User Interface Design*, Ch. 2. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers. pp. 18-56.
- Cole, M. (1999, pp.87-106) "Cultural psychology: Some general principles and a concrete example". In Engeström, Y., Miettinen, R., and Punamäki, R-L., (1999) *Perspectives on Activity Theory*. UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kaptelinin, V., (1996, pp.103-116). "Activity Theory: Implications for Human-Computer Interaction." In Nardi, B.A., (1996) *Context and Consciousness: Activity Theory and Human-Computer Interaction*, MIT, Massachusetts, USA.
- Kaptelinin, V., and Nardi, B.A (1997) "Activity Theory: Basic Concepts and Applications." *Tutorial for CHI'97*, Atlanta, March 1997
- Kaptelinin, V., Nardi, B.A and Macaulay, C. (1999). "The activity checklist: a tool for representing the 'space' of context." *Interactions*, Vol.6, pp 27-39.
- Korpela, M., Sorian, H.A., and Olufokunbi, K.C, (2000). "Activity Analysis as a method for information systems development: General introduction and Experiments from Nigeria and Finland." In Bertelsen, O. W. & Bødker, S. (Eds.) (2000). *Scandinavian journal of Information Systems*, 2000, Vol:12 (191), pp 191-

210. Special issue on Activity Theory - Information Technology in Human Activity.

Kuutti, K., (1996) "Activity Theory as a Potential Framework for Human-Computer Interaction Research." In Nardi, B.A., (1996) (Ed) *Context and Consciousness: Activity Theory and Human-Computer Interaction*, MIT, Massachusetts, USA.

Mwanza, D., (2002a) "Conceptualising work activity for CAL systems design." In the *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* (Eds. R. Lewis & R. Joiner), Vol. 18 (1), pp.84-92, March, 2002, Blackwell Science Ltd, UK.

Mwanza, D., (2001b) "Where Theory meets Practice: A Case for an Activity Theory based Methodology to guide Computer System Design." In Michitaka Hirose (Ed), *Proceedings of INTERACT'2001: Eighth IFIP TC 13 International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, Tokyo, Japan, July 9-13, 2001. IOS Press Oxford, UK.

Mwanza, D., (2001c), "Challenges of designing for collaborative learning in an organisation." *Proceedings of CAL 2001*. International Conference on Computers And Learning 2001. University of Warwick, Coventry, UK. 2-4 April 2001.

Mwanza, D., (2002) "Towards an Activity-Oriented Design Method for HCI Research and Practice." *PhD Thesis*. Available at The British Library <http://blpc.bl.uk/> and at The Open University Library <http://oulib1.open.ac.uk/> , Milton Keynes, UK.

Nardi, B.A., (1996) "*Context and Consciousness: Activity Theory and Human-Computer Interaction*." MIT, Massachusetts, USA.