Edith Cowan University Research Online

ECU Publications Post 2013

2016

Periodization Strategies in Older Adults: Impact on Physical Function and Health

Jennifer Conlon Edith Cowan University, j.conlon@ecu.edu.au

Greg Haff Edith Cowan University, g.haff@ecu.edu.au

James J. Tufano *Edith Cowan University*, james.j.tufano@gmail.com

Robert Newton Edith Cowan University, r.newton@ecu.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013

10.1249/MSS.000000000001053

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of: Conlon, J. A., Newton, R. U., Tufano, J. J., Banyard, H. G., Hopper, A. J., Ridge, A. J., & Haff, G. G. (2016). Periodization Strategies in Older Adults: Impact on Physical Function and Health. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, *48*(12), 2426. Available here. This Journal Article is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/2364

1 2 3 4 5	Pe: Ir	riodization Str mpact on Phys Jenny A.	rategies in Older Adults: ical Function & Health Conlon ^{1,2} , M.S.		
6		Robert U.	Newton ^{1,2,3} , Ph.D.		
7		James J	. Tufano ¹ , M.S.		
8	Harry G. Banyard ¹ , M.S.				
9	Amanda J. Hopper ¹ , BSc				
10	Ashley J.Ridge ¹ , BSc Hons				
11		G. Grego	ory Haff ¹ , Ph.D.		
12					
13	¹ Centre for Exercise and	l Sport Science	Research, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup,		
14	Western Australia				
15	² Exercise Medicine Res	search Institute,	Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Western		
16		P	Australia		
17	³ The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China				
18					
19	Direct Correspondence:	Jenny A Con	lon, M.S.		
20		Address:	School of Medical and Health Sciences		
21			Edith Cowan University		
22			Joondalup, Western Australia.		
23		Phone:	(+61)-4522-39334		
24		Email:	j.conlon@ecu.edu.au		
25					
26					
27					

28 ABSTRACT

29 **Purpose:** This study compared the effect of periodized versus non-periodized (NP) 30 resistance training (RT) on physical function and health outcomes in older adults. Methods: 31 Forty-one apparently healthy untrained older adults (female=21, male=20; 70.9 ± 5.1 y; 166.3 32 \pm 8.2 cm; 72.9 \pm 13.4 kg) were recruited and randomly stratified to a NP, block periodized 33 (BP), or daily undulating periodized (DUP) training group. Outcome measures were assessed at baseline and following a 22-week x 3 dwk⁻¹ RT intervention, including; anthropometrics, 34 35 body composition, blood pressure and biomarkers, maximal strength, functional capacity, 36 balance confidence and quality of life. **Results:** Thirty-three subjects satisfied all study 37 requirements and were included in analyses (female=17, male=16; 71.3 ± 5.4 y; 166.3 ± 8.5 38 cm; 72.5 ± 13.7 kg). The main finding was that all three RT models produced significant 39 improvements in several physical function and physiological health outcomes, including; 40 systolic blood pressure, blood biomarkers, body composition, maximal strength, functional 41 capacity and balance confidence, with no between-group differences. Conclusion: Periodized 42 RT, specifically BP and DUP, and NP RT are equally effective for promoting significant 43 improvements in physical function and health outcomes among apparently healthy untrained 44 older adults. Therefore, periodization strategies do not appear to be necessary during the 45 initial stages of RT in this population. Practitioners should work towards increasing RT 46 participation in the aged via feasible and efficacious interventions targeting long-term 47 adherence in minimally supervised settings. 48 49

- 50
- 51

52 Key Words: Resistance training, program, model, sarcopenia

53 INTRODUCTION

54 Sarcopenia is one of the major physiological processes associated with aging, 55 characterized by a progressive decline in skeletal muscle mass. It is estimated that total muscle mass is lost at a rate of 1-2% per year above the age of 50 years (1, 32). 56 57 Consequently, aging has a significant impact on neuromuscular function via marked 58 decreases in maximal strength, with strength losses of 2.5-5.0% per year previously reported 59 (1, 12). This strength loss is considered to be the main contributing factor to the reduced 60 functional capacity and an increased risk of falls and physical disability observed in older 61 adults (39).

62 At present, no single pharmacological or behavioral intervention has been proven as 63 successful as resistance training (RT) for slowing the progression of sarcopenia, primarily via 64 inducing skeletal muscle hypertrophy and subsequent body composition improvements (8, 65 39). Ample evidence supports substantial strength gains in older adults across both genders 66 following RT (8, 38). Furthermore, RT is considered the primary intervention for increasing 67 and maintaining functional independence among older adults, with marked improvements in 68 activities of daily living (ADL) performance observed following RT (14, 16). Therefore, RT 69 drives adaptations that have a significant impact on the quality of life (QOL) of older humans 70 and is important for reducing the economic burden on healthcare. However, recent cross-71 sectional data indicate that only 4.4% of US adults aged ≥ 65 years participate in musclestrengthening activities (21). 72

The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) recommend the use of free-weight
and machine, multiple- and single-joint exercises for one to three sets per exercise with 6080% of 1RM for 8-12 repetitions with 1-3 min of rest in between sets for 2-3 d·wk⁻¹ (30).
Progressive overload and training variety is also advocated, yet no specific guidelines are
provided. These recommendations alongside the significant body of research investigating

RT in older adults highlights a large variation in the type of RT employed. Therefore, it is
vital to determine what organizational structure of program variables is most optimal for
counteracting the negative effects of aging. The process of organizing a training program
considering all of these factors may be referred to as *periodization*.

82 Although lacking a universally accepted formal definition, periodization is a planning 83 process typically applied in sport performance, aiming to achieve peak physical performance 84 at a pre-determined time point(s), e.g. major competition, while minimizing the risk of 85 overtraining. Traditional or linear periodization, demonstrates a progressive reduction in 86 training volume while increasing training "intensity" (synonymous with "load" in a 87 weightlifting context (35)), between and within training cycles. The principles of traditional 88 periodization are commonly implemented using 4 week training blocks (mesocycles), i.e. block periodization (BP), which include highly concentrated workloads targeting a minimal 89 90 number of training outcomes (i.e. maximal strength, hypertrophy). Alternatively, undulating 91 periodization is characterized by a much more frequent manipulation of volume and intensity, 92 resulting in what has been termed daily undulating periodization (DUP). Specifically, 93 volume and intensity are manipulated on a daily basis, hence increasing training variation 94 thought to improve physiological and performance adaptations.

95 Despite a limited body of evidence, studies have demonstrated statistically superior 96 improvements in maximal strength (18, 23, 24, 26, 36) following periodized versus non-97 periodized (NP) RT in young adults. Moreover, a meta-analysis of periodized and NP 98 strength and power orientated RT programs concluded that periodization was a more 99 effective training strategy across both genders, all age groups and various training 100 backgrounds (31). Yet, when controlling for other variables, only a small effect size (ES) 101 (0.25) was evident for periodized RT. Finally, a recent systematic review (37) concluded that 102 although it is premature to endorse periodized training as superior to a NP program,

periodization is a feasible means of prescribing exercise for sedentary adults. The authors
highlighted the potential of periodization as significant due to the importance of establishing
effective and sustainable training interventions for reducing disease burden and improving
QOL.

107 Investigation into the application of periodization strategies specifically among older 108 adults is lacking, with few studies assessing the impact of periodized RT on maximal strength 109 (10, 17, 29), functional capacity, body composition, and inflammatory biomarkers (29) across 110 12 (17), 16 (29) and 18 (10) weeks. Yet, despite the distinct variation in the training 111 structures implemented, similar changes in outcome measures among the various models 112 were reported. However, it is proposed that longer-term training periods (>18 weeks) may 113 augment program differentiation and increase the likelihood of observing any potential superiority of periodized RT. To-date, only one study has study has evaluated the long-term 114 115 effects of periodized RT in older adults (16). Specifically, 25 weeks of NP and DUP RT 116 induced similarly significant improvements in body composition, strength, and reductions in 117 HR and perceived exertion during ADL. However, a greater ES was noted for the reduction 118 in perceived exertion during ADL performance following DUP (0.6) versus NP RT (0.1). 119 Therefore, research should continue to assess the impact of periodized RT on key 120 neuromuscular, physiological and health-related outcomes in the aging population, thus 121 providing a greater understanding of periodization strategies in counteracting the detrimental 122 effects of sarcopenia. 123 Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the effect of periodized

124 (specifically BP and DUP) versus NP RT on physical function and health outcomes in older125 adults over a 22-week intervention. It was hypothesized that periodized RT would produce

126 greater improvements in outcome measures than NP RT.

127

128 **METHODS**

129 Subjects

Forty one-older healthy older adults were recruited for the present study (female=21, male=20; 70.9 ± 5.1 y; 166.3 ± 8.2 cm; 72.9 ± 13.4 kg). Sample size estimation was based upon DEXA outcome measures during previous RT interventions of similar duration among older adults (16, 22), which displayed the most conservative ES among measures used in our study. An ES of 0.28 with a power of 80% at an alpha level of 0.05 produced a total sample size of thirty-six, based on a repeated-measures, within-between ANOVA model (G*Power 3.1 software).

137 All subjects provided medical clearance from their personal physician and completed a 138 health history questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included lactose intolerance, a BMI of ≥ 30 139 kg^{m²}, any prescribed medication that could confound data, i.e. testosterone, corticosteroids, 140 any pre-existing musculoskeletal, cardiovascular or neurological condition, or any other 141 condition considered to cause risk to the subjects through RT or reduce their ability to adapt. 142 Additionally, subjects were untrained, i.e. had not participated in structured exercise training 143 designed to improve physical fitness over the previous 12 months. Finally, subjects were 144 instructed to continue with every day normal activities and discouraged from engaging in any 145 unaccustomed activity. The University Human Research Ethics Committee approved the 146 study and subjects were fully informed of the nature and possible risks of all procedures 147 before providing written informed consent.

148 **Experimental Design**

The present study employed a 3 (groups) x 3 (time-points) between-/within-subjects design, with a total duration of 31 weeks, comprising 2 familiarization sessions, a 4-week control period, a 22-week RT period, and the completion of all testing procedures. Subjects completed test protocols in weeks 2, 7 and week 31, using identical protocols. Weeks 3-6 153 were a control period to ensure reliability of baseline measures, during which time no RT was 154 performed, and subjects simply maintained their normal recreational physical activities. 155 Thereafter, subjects commenced a 22-week by 3 d wk⁻¹ RT intervention, excluding weeks 22, 25 and 28 where subjects trained 1 d wk⁻¹. These weeks were transition weeks and were 156 157 modified ad hoc due to observing signs of overtraining in some subjects, therefore the aim 158 was to promote recovery and reduce the potential for injury or illness. Furthermore, no RT 159 was performed during week 19 for the completion of testing procedures at the mid-training 160 time-point (data not included in the present study), and continued as normal in week 20. 161 Therefore, the total number of prescribed training sessions over the training intervention was 162 60. Furthermore, subjects were randomly stratified into the three experimental RT groups 163 (NP, BP and DUP) based on gender, age, body mass index (BMI), and strength (peak 164 isometric torque of the right knee extensors). A visual depiction of the experimental design is 165 provided in Figure 1.

166

Insert Figure 1

167 **Testing Procedures**

168 Subjects were fully familiarized and instructed in the proper execution of all testing 169 protocols across two familiarization sessions to reduce the influence of any acute learning 170 effects. Testing procedures were conducted using the same equipment at one location, by the 171 same researcher across the study who was blinded to the subject's training group assignment, 172 and with participants being tested at a similar time of day to reduce the effect of any diurnal 173 variations. At each testing time-point, subjects were required to visit the testing location on 174 three days separated by approximately 48 h in order to complete all testing procedures. 175 Anthropometric Measures

Body mass was measured by a calibrated electronic scale (HW200, A&D Mercury Pty,
Ltd, Thebarton, SA) to the nearest 100 g and height was determined with a wall-mounted

stadiometer (Model 220, SECA, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest millimeter. Waist-to-hip
ratio (WHR) was calculated by measuring waist and hip circumferences using an
anthropometric flexible steel tape measure (Lufkin W606PM). Waist circumference was
measured at the approximate midpoint between the lower margin of the last palpable rib and
the top of the iliac crest, and hip circumference was measured at the widest portion of the
buttocks. All anthropometric measurements were completed with subjects wearing light
clothing and no shoes.

185Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA):Total body fat percentage (BF%), lean186body mass, fat mass, bone mineral content (BMC) and bone mineral density (BMD) were187derived using DEXA (Discovery A, Hologic, Inc., Waltham, MA). Subject's legs were188secured using non-elastic straps to prevent movement during the measurement. Quality189assurance tests were run daily in accordance with standard operating procedures

190 Physiological Measures

191 Blood Samples: Resting venous blood samples were collected from a superficial arm 192 vein on the radial aspect of the arm using a needle and vacutainer following a 12 h overnight 193 fast. Subjects were instructed to accurately log their dietary intake the day before the first 194 blood sample was collected, which then served as a written record in order to replicate during 195 the day before future blood samples for standardization. One 5 mL S.S.T vacutainer was 196 collected and centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000g and stored at -80°C. At the end of the study, 197 blood samples were analyzed for blood lipids (total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol, 198 and triglycerides) and high-sensitivity c-reactive protein (CRP).

Blood Pressure: Resting blood pressure was measured by a digital blood pressure
 monitor (Intelli Sense, Omron Healthcare, Australia) following a 5 min period of sitting
 quietly succeeding blood sample collection.

202

203 Physical Function

204 Maximal Neuromuscular Strength: An isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex System 3 205 Pro, Ronkonkoma, NY) was used to measure peak isometric torque (Nm) of the right knee 206 extensors. Subjects were seated with the thigh and trunk secured to the device for all test 207 protocols. The hip and knee angles were 110° and 120°, respectively (180° refers to full 208 extension). Subjects performed one 3 s submaximal contraction at 50% of perceived maximal 209 intensity. Following 1 min of rest, subjects performed a maximal voluntary isometric 210 contraction (MVIC) for 3 s, with 1 min rest between three separate repetitions. If any 211 countermovement was evident or if peak torque differed by >5% among attempts, a further 212 repetition was performed. The force signal was recorded on a computer and analyzed using 213 LabChart software (PowerLab System, ADInstruments, NSW, Australia), with the highest 214 measure included in statistical analyses.

Maximal muscle strength was measured for chest press and leg press exercises using the one repetition maximum (1RM) method. Subjects performed two submaximal sets of eight repetitions at 50% of the predicted 1RM, with 1 min rest between sets. Multiple 1RM contractions were then performed with the load increased progressively, aiming to establish 1RM within 3-5 efforts and with 3 min rest between attempts. The 1RM was recorded as the maximum weight that participants were able to move through a full range of motion without change in body position other than that dictated by the specific exercise motion.

Repeated chair rise: Subjects were seated in a hard-backed chair 43 cm from the floor,
with arms folded across their chest. The instruction to rise as fast as possible to a full
standing position and then return to a full sitting position five times was provided. The time
to complete the test was recorded to the nearest tenth of a second using a hand-held
stopwatch.

227 <u>Stair climbing:</u> Subjects climbed one flight of stairs (11 stairs per flight, 16 cm rise per

228	stair) as rapidly as they could safely manage without the use of the handrails and making
229	contact with all of the steps. The time to complete this task was recorded to the nearest
230	hundredth of a second using custom-built portable timing mats connected to a hand-held,
231	electronic timer device (Industrial Equipment & Control, Melbourne, Australia).
232	Both the repeated chair rise and stair climbing protocols were performed in triplicate,
233	with 1 min recovery allowed between attempts, and the mean time of all trials included in
234	statistical analyses. The coefficient of variation for the repeated chair rise and stair climbing
235	protocols was previously reported as 5.6% and 4.9%, respectively, among a similar
236	population (14).
237	Quality of Life and Balance Assessment
238	Subject's functional health and well-being, i.e. health-related QOL, was obtained via
239	the SF-36v2 Health Survey (SF-36v2) (QualityMetric, USA) (40). Additionally, the
240	Activities-Specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale was completed to assess balance
241	confidence during everyday activities in and outside of the home (28).
242	Physical Activity and Dietary Intake Standardization
243	Subjects were encouraged to maintain their habitual physical activity pattern and
244	dietary intake throughout the study. Physical activity was assessed via the CHAMPS Physical
245	Activity Questionnaire for Older Adults (University of California, USA) (15). Dietary intake
246	was assessed using a 3 day weighed food diary, recorded by subjects during the week prior to
247	testing weeks, and assessed for any significant changes in energy intake and macronutrient
248	profile using FoodWorks 7 software (Xyris, QLD) and the AUSNUT 2007 database of
249	Australian foods. Specifically, dietary intake was recorded on the same days throughout the
250	study, however this was across three non-training days during weeks 1 and 6, and two
251	"normal" days and one training day during week 30.

252 Resistance Training

All exercises were executed on RT machines (Cybex, MA, USA) with zero use of free weights. The resistance and repetitions performed in the work-sets for each exercise were recorded in a training log and served as a written record for subjects at the start of training sessions. Subjects were fully familiarized with all machines prior to commencing the training intervention. Furthermore, training sessions were performed at a regular time of day, with a minimum of 48 h between sessions, and were supervised by exercise science bachelor degree qualified instructors to ensure proper exercise technique and reduce the risk of injury.

260 All training sessions commenced with a 5 min standardized warm-up consisting of 261 light stationary cycling, rowing or brisk walking on an ergometer or treadmill (Technogym, 262 London, UK). Resistance exercise selection remained the same across the study and was 263 identical between all training groups, targeting concentric and eccentric muscle actions of 264 major muscle groups and with lower-body and upper-body exercises alternated. Specifically, 265 exercises included; seated leg press, lat pull-down, seated leg-curl, chest press, leg extension 266 and seated row. A warm-up set of each exercise was completed at approximately 50% of the 267 resistance of the first work-set. In order to provide recovery, a rest interval of 1 min was 268 provided between the warm-up set and the first work-set, and a 1.5-2 min recovery period 269 was employed between consecutive work-sets. Subjects were instructed to perform the 270 concentric portion of exercises with maximal velocity to promote optimal neuromuscular 271 adaptation and functional performance (7), and control the eccentric portion using a 2 s 272 cadence as monitored by trainers.

Exercise resistance was prescribed using repetition maximum (RM) sets to ensure that the resistance stimulus was progressive to accommodate strength adaptations, requiring adjustment of the exercise resistance to ensure momentary muscular concentric failure (i.e. inability to complete a repetition in a full range of motion due to fatigue) at the prescribed 277 RM target. At no point did subjects continue performing repetitions above the required RM 278 target, yet the resistance was increased as necessary in 1.25, 2.5 or 5kg increments, 279 depending on the absolute resistance. However, if a subject failed to complete the required 280 number of repetitions, the number performed was recorded and the resistance was reduced 281 accordingly for any remaining sets. Instructors initially led this careful adjustment of exercise 282 resistance based on visual cues of exertion and by asking subjects how difficult they 283 perceived work-sets. Once subjects were competent in ensuring muscular failure at the 284 required RM target, instructors simply prescribed the resistance of the first work-set for each 285 exercise based on the training log records and then observed to ensure this was modified 286 accordingly.

287 The RM targets prescribed for each group across the intervention is outlined in Table 288 1. The training focus for each RM target was; 15RM = strength-endurance, 10RM = 289 hypertrophy, and 5RM = maximal strength (2). The training intervention is displayed in 290 blocks of training (mesocycles) to clearly outline the BP program. Traditionally each training 291 block includes several complete weeks (microcycles), however training blocks in the current 292 study comprised 11 total training sessions due to scheduling constraints, specifically three 293 complete microcycles plus two sessions within the following week. Overall, BP and DUP 294 groups completed the same number of training sessions at each RM target. Moreover, as 295 differences in the overall training volume between RT programs have been proposed to 296 influence performance (11), total repetitions were equalized between training groups in order 297 to reduce potential confounding factors, thereby allowing the sole examination of the effect 298 of program structure on outcome measures. Therefore, the only difference between DUP and 299 BP was the time and sequence of the load application. Furthermore, to check for any 300 differences in workload between training groups across training blocks and the total training 301 period, volume load (VL) (number of sets x number of repetitions x weight lifted (kg)) was

302 calculated.

303

Insert Table 1

304 Protein Supplementation

On completion of each training session each subject ingested a standard liquid whey
protein supplement mixed with 200 ml of water according to current recommendations (4).
Each 30 g serving contained 498 kJ, 24.1 g protein, 1.7 g total fat, 1.1 g saturated fat, 1.4 g
total carbohydrate of which 1.4 g was sugars, and 42.6 mg sodium.

309 Statistical Analyses

310 Data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software (SPSS Inc., Version 22, NY,

311 USA). Normality of distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk statistic and where data 312 was not normally distributed (p<0.05), log transformation procedures were applied with data

313 re-checked for normality before applying parametric tests.

To validate the random stratification of subjects, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to check for between-group differences in baseline demographics and peak isometric torque. This analysis was also conducted on VL and repetitions performed across each training block and the total training period.

318 To check for any changes in outcome measures across the control period (pre-control 319 to baseline), a group x time (3 x 2) repeated measures ANOVA was used to assess main 320 effects for time and group x time interactions. A separate 3 x 2 repeated measures ANOVA 321 was performed on outcome measures across the training period (baseline to post-322 intervention). Furthermore, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to analyze 323 between-group differences in the absolute change of outcome measures (i.e. post-intervention 324 - baseline) including baseline data as the covariate. To examine any gender effects, a 325 separate ANCOVA was performed on absolute change data including gender as the 326 independent variable and baseline data as the covariate. When required, Tukey's test was

327 used for post-hoc analyses.

Data are presented as mean \pm SD, with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and Cohen's *d* within-group ES calculated for the main outcome measures using the pooled SD, with an ES of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 representing small, moderate, and large differences, respectively. Finally, post-hoc power analyses were calculated for outcome measures using the final sample size, at an alpha level of 0.05 and based on a repeated-measures, within-between ANOVA model (G*Power 3.1 software). Statistical significance was set at *p*<0.05 for all analyses.

334 **RESULTS**

335 Unfortunately, one subject experienced an unforeseen accident and did not commence 336 RT, and one subject dropped out in week 1 feeling unable to complete the training 337 requirements. Additionally, there were six further dropouts over the course of the 338 intervention due to injury or illness (NP=2; BP=1; DUP=3), with three injury cases relating 339 directly to the study (NP = 1; BP = 1; DUP = 1). Specifically, two subjects experienced a 340 minor muscle tear during 1RM procedures and one subject suffered an overuse injury. No 341 other adverse events occurred during RT or testing procedures. Therefore, a total of thirty-342 three subjects completed the study (female=17, male=16; 71.3 ± 5.4 y; 166.3 ± 8.5 cm; 72.5343 \pm 13.7 kg), with only these data included in analyses based on a per-protocol approach. 344 Subjects' demographics at baseline and post-training are presented in Table 2, with no 345 between- or within-group differences noted (p>0.05). Total fat mass was the only measure to 346 demonstrate a gender effect (p=0.025), therefore data are presented for the entire training 347 group for all other outcome measures to optimize statistical power. 348 Insert Table 2

349 **Resistance Training**

An adherence rate of \geq 85% to RT was achieved by all subjects with no betweengroup differences (*p*=0.513) (NP = 95.6%; BP = 96.9%; DUP = 96.8%). Between-group 352 differences in mean VL and repetitions performed across training blocks are presented in 353 Figure 2. However, the group mean total VL was not statistically different between-groups 354 (p=0.620) (NP = 514,104 ± 149,938 kg; BP = 495,559 ± 128,169 kg; DUP = 554,068 ± 355 151.897 kg), which was also true for group mean total repetitions performed (p=0.193) (NP = 356 $13,287 \pm 579$; BP = $13,675 \pm 354$; DUP = $13,609 \pm 619$), respectively. 357 Insert Figure 2 358 **Outcome Measures** 359 Control Period 360 There was a significant main effect for time for total cholesterol (p=0.047), 361 triglycerides (p=0.020) and repeated chair rise performance (p<0.001) across the control 362 period, with no significant interactions or between-group differences noted (p>0.05). Total 363 cholesterol significantly increased from 5.71 \pm 0.64 to 5.98 \pm 0.64 mmol/L (ES=0.42), 5.83 \pm 364 0.88 to 6.05 \pm 1.00 mmol/L (ES=0.23) and 5.04 \pm 0.97 to 5.20 \pm 1.42 mmol/L (ES=0.13), for 365 NP, BP and DUP groups, respectively. Similarly, triglycerides significantly increased from 366 1.07 ± 0.24 to 1.30 ± 0.45 mmol/L (ES=0.64) for NP, 0.92 ± 0.28 to 0.97 ± 0.26 mmol/L for 367 BP (ES=0.19), and 1.10 ± 0.51 to 1.15 ± 0.44 mmol/L (ES=0.10) for DUP. Finally, there was 368 a significant reduction in the mean time for completing the repeated chair rise test, 369 specifically 10.32 ± 1.37 to 9.70 ± 1.02 s (ES=0.51), 10.78 ± 1.89 to 10.12 ± 1.52 s 370 (ES=0.38) and 9.87 \pm 1.36 to 9.47 \pm 0.99 s (ES=0.34), for NP, BP and DUP groups, 371 respectively. 372 Body Composition, Anthropometric & Physiological Measures 373 Group mean ± SD, 95% CI and ES data for body composition, anthropometric 374 (excluding height, BM and BMI) and physiological measures are presented in Tables 3 and 4, 375 respectively. A significant main effect for time was evident for systolic blood pressure 376 (*p*=0.034), total BF% (*p*<0.001), lean mass (*p*<0.001), fat mass (*p*<0.001) and HDL

377	cholesterol ($p=0.039$). However, no significant interactions or between-group differences
378	were evident (p >0.05). As noted, a significant gender effect was found for total fat mass
379	(<i>p</i> =0.025) with a significantly greater reduction evident in males (-3.48 \pm 1.94 kg, ES=0.30)
380	versus females (-1.86 \pm 2.13 kg, ES=0.12), baseline to post-training.
381	Insert Tables 3 and 4
382	Physical Function
383	Group mean ± SD, 95% CI and ES data for all physical function measures are
384	presented in Table 4. A significant main effect for time (p <0.001) was noted for peak
385	isometric torque, chest press and leg press 1RM, stair climbing and repeated chair rise
386	performance. Furthermore, a significant interaction was found for chest press ($p=0.034$) and
387	leg press (p=0.009) 1RM, but not peak isometric torque, stair climbing or repeated chair rise
388	assessments (p >0.05). However, no between-group differences were detected for any
389	physical function measures (p >0.05) based on ANCOVA.
390	Quality of Life and Balance Assessment
391	No main time effect or significant interactions for health-related QOL were noted,
392	specifically physical and mental summary scores from the SF-36v2 (p >0.05) (Table 3). Also,
393	a significant main time effect ($p=0.018$) on balance confidence was evident, however no
394	significant interaction or between-group differences were noted ($p>0.05$).
395	Physical Activity and Dietary Intake Standardization
396	There was no significant interaction or main time effect for the frequency of total and
397	moderate-intensity physical activity performed (p >0.05). In addition, dietary intake did not
398	change significantly in the pooled data of the whole cohort for energy intake across the
399	overall study period (7981.1 \pm 1552.1 to 7847.8 \pm 1992.8 kJ, 1.7%, ES=0.07). Furthermore,
400	the % of energy derived from carbohydrate was statistically unchanged (p>0.05) (38.9 \pm 7.2
401	to 40.3 ± 8.7 %, ES=0.17). However, the % of energy derived from protein significantly

402 increased (p=0.007) (19.5 ± 4.3 to 21.2 ± 4.9 %, ES=0.37) and the % of energy derived from 403 fat significantly decreased (p=0.029) (33.8 ± 6.4 to 31.1 ± 6.3 %, ES=0.43) for the entire 404 cohort over the course of the study.

405 **DISCUSSION**

This study investigated the effect of 22 weeks of BP, DUP and NP RT on a
comprehensive range of physical function and health outcomes in apparently healthy
untrained older adults. Contrary to our original hypothesis that periodized RT would enhance
training adaptations, all three training models were equally effective for promoting
significant improvements in various physical function and physiological health outcomes
through RT in this population.

412 In order to compare the impact of different RT models, it is essential to equalize the 413 overall training volume at completion of training. If not, whether differences are due to the 414 periodization structure, or simply greater accumulation of total training volume, is unknown. 415 In contrast, it has been proposed that if the overall training volume and intensity is equal, 416 similar rates of adaptation will occur despite the periodization model (3), supported by the 417 present findings. In detail, NP, BP and DUP RT, regardless of differences in program 418 structures (Figure 2), demonstrated an equally significant beneficial impact on several 419 important physical function and health-related outcomes. Therefore, despite failing to detect 420 an optimal training model, our data further support the considerable public health 421 implications of RT for older adults. Overall, the present RT interventions were successful at 422 improving systolic blood pressure (mean change for all groups, -3.2%), total BF% (-11.9%), 423 fat mass (-11.1%), lean body mass (6.7%), HDL cholesterol (5.9%), peak isometric torque 424 (15.1%), chest press (30.3%) and leg press (47.1%) 1RM, repeated chair rise (9.9%) and stair 425 climbing (20.7%) performance, and balance confidence (2.3%) (Tables 3 and 4). This range 426 of positive adaptation is considerable and collectively lowers the risk of chronic disease,

while preserving independence and increasing QOL. Considering maximal strength
improvements alone, based on annual strength reductions between 2.5-5% with advancing
age (1, 12), the present 15.1% increase in peak isometric torque indicates counteracting ~3-6
years of age-related strength loss following only 22 weeks of RT. This rises to ~7-15 years
when based on the average 38.7% improvement across chest press and leg press 1RM
measures.

433 As noted, previous investigation of periodized RT in older adults is lacking, with few 434 studies examining limited outcome measures in untrained subjects. Yet in agreement with the 435 present findings, similar strength and body composition improvements have been previously 436 reported between NP and DUP structures following 25 weeks of RT (16), and NP and BP RT 437 across an 18-week training period (10). What's more, 12 weeks of traditional and undulating 438 periodized RT produced comparable increases in lower-body strength and power in elderly 439 men (17). Finally, 16 weeks of traditional and undulating periodized RT were found to be 440 equally effective for leg press 1RM and functional capacity improvements among untrained 441 elderly females (29). Therefore based on the current available evidence, it appears that RT 442 periodization is not critical for optimizing physical function and physiological adaptations in 443 untrained older adults.

444 The general adaptation syndrome is central to periodization theory, which states that if 445 a system experiences a stressful bout of exercise, it will respond with a temporary decrease in 446 performance followed by supercompensation. However, if the applied stress remains at the 447 same magnitude (i.e. intensity, volume and frequency), the system will accommodate to this 448 stress and adaptations will plateau. Consequently, training programs are often organized to 449 routinely provide a novel stimulus, thereby promoting continued adaptations. Considering 450 this, it is important to acknowledge the inclusion of untrained subjects in the present and 451 previous studies examining periodization in older adults. Based upon the emerging evidence

452 that regular performance of RT can attenuate the hypertrophic response (33), increasing 453 muscle mass may become more difficult over time, subsequently hindering performance 454 improvements. Thus, more advanced RT protocols such as structured periodization of 455 increasingly heavier loads or greater time under tension (TUT) may be necessary to elicit 456 meaningful adaptations to RT in trained individuals. Also, based upon the idea that initial 457 strength adaptations are predominantly due to enhanced neural activation and coordination, 458 more advanced RT may be required for continued adaptation once these basic motor skills 459 are acquired (19). However, recent evidence highlighting significant improvements in 460 muscular hypertrophy following only 9 weeks (18 sessions) of RT in older adults (20) 461 challenges this notion. Nevertheless, the present 22-week training period was possibly too 462 brief to observe any advantage of periodized RT, and consequently NP, BP and DUP RT 463 provided a similar novel training stimulus across the untrained cohort. Therefore, whether 464 periodized RT strategies enhance training adaptations in older adults with at least one year of 465 consistent RT experience warrants examination.

466 However, despite no statistical between-group differences noted in outcome measures 467 following RT, there are some distinctions worth noting based on ES data. First, the largest ES 468 for improvements in isometric and dynamic (1RM) strength were apparent in BP (Table 4). 469 Yet, as strength improvements following RT are the result of motor learning as well as 470 physiologic changes in muscle, and as BP performed an intensified block of 5RM 471 immediately prior to post-intervention testing, subjects were ultimately practicing the specific 472 motor schema associated with lifting heavier loads and greater force production. Therefore, 473 larger strength improvements resulting from BP are not surprising and highlight the 474 neuromuscular specificity of training. Also, while such 'peaking' may be critical in sport 475 performance, i.e. prior to major competition, this is less relevant in a health and wellness 476 setting. Nevertheless, considering that strength has been shown to be more important than

quantity in estimating mortality risk (25), future studies should include more routine strength
assessments across RT interventions in order to confirm this.

479 Similarly, the ES for improvements in balance confidence was also greatest in BP (0.66), followed by NP (0.38) and DUP (0.07), suggesting a possible association with 480 481 maximal strength. Yet this pattern was not observed for the significant increase in functional 482 capacity measures, with the greatest magnitude of effect noted in NP>DUP>BP. Such 483 disparity between the impact of RT models on strength, balance and functional abilities 484 proposes that factors other than maximal strength likely influence functional capacity among 485 older adults. For instance, power is postulated as a greater indicator of functional status than 486 strength, and a positive association between RT-induced power adaptations and ADL 487 performance has been highlighted among the elderly (5, 6). However, due to the exclusion of 488 power measures in the present study, further research is required to confirm the impact of 489 periodized and NP RT models on neuromuscular abilities along the entire force-velocity 490 curve in the aging population.

491 Further, the reduction in triglycerides differed among groups, with an ES of 0.57, 0.22492 and 0.00 for DUP, NP and BP groups, respectively, thus suggesting that daily manipulation 493 of the training stimulus may be most preferable for improvements in blood lipids. Finally, 494 there was a moderate, borderline large ES for the reduction in systolic blood pressure (0.77) 495 following NP RT, with a non-meaningful effect noted in BP and DUP (Table 3). 496 Consequently, NP, BP and DUP models may all hold promise in improving different aspects 497 of health and physical function, and further investigation may lead to the recommendation of 498 an appropriate RT model based upon the specific outcome(s) desired. As noted, whether such

between-group differences would increase in magnitude among experienced lifters remainsunknown.

501 It has been proposed that implementing brief, simple, feasible and efficacious RT

502 interventions with emphasis on long-term adherence should be prioritized in a public health 503 setting, with subtle differences in strength gains resulting from complex RT protocols less 504 critical (27). The application of basic periodization strategies may therefore be advantageous 505 via better management of training monotony, which likely enhances the enjoyment of and 506 tolerance to RT, ultimately aiding long-term adherence. On the other hand, loads equivalent 507 to 90% and 30% of 1RM lifted to momentary muscular concentric failure were reported to 508 produce similar acute increments in protein synthesis (9). Therefore, based upon the size 509 principle, the degree of motor unit activation achieved during RT may consequently be 510 considered more important than the external load. What's more, a recent meta-analysis 511 concluded that RT using low loads ≤60% 1RM promotes substantial increases in strength and 512 hypertrophy among untrained individuals (34). Therefore, RT involving lifting low loads to muscular failure may offer a simplistic and feasible training model for the aging population, 513 514 particularly when aiming to optimize adherence under minimal supervision (27). 515 However, as persistently training to muscular failure is suggested to increase the 516 potential for overtraining and psychological burnout (13), and likely caused the signs of 517 overtraining observed in the present study, the safety and sustainability of this approachable

518 is questionable. Also, although loads $\leq 60\%$ 1RM were found to induce considerable training

adaptations, there was a trend for the superiority of higher loads ($\geq 65\%$ 1RM) on both

520 strength and hypertrophy, with relatively short training durations (6-13 weeks) in the small

521 number of studies included acknowledged as limitations (34). Also, whether loads $\leq 60\%$

522 1RM promote continued adaptation once a training base is established is unknown.

Nevertheless, the minimal effective dose of heavier loads necessary for optimizing training
adaptations in older adults requires examination. For instance, 'heavier' loads ~65% 1RM
may be sufficient, rather than 5RM loads (~87% 1RM) as prescribed in the current study.

526 Yet, above all, due to such drastically low participation rates reported among the

527 elderly (21), educating this population on the vast benefits of RT and engaging them in any 528 type of regular training is significant. Accessibility and affordability of RT is also critical, 529 where these factors should be the primary focus prior to examining the finer aspects of 530 program design. Also, despite ACSM providing clear and concise recommendations for RT 531 in older adults (30), it seems the public health message of 'move more, sit less' is most 532 commonly endorsed. Obviously performing any regularly physical activity (walking, 533 swimming, cycling) is beneficial compared to a sedentary lifestyle, but perhaps an increased 534 effort to specifically promote RT is required, particularly when a large portion of the aged 535 population are likely completely unaccustomed to lifting weights.

536 As the control period was used to ensure reliability of baseline measures, it is 537 important to acknowledge the statistical change in measures during this 4-week period of no 538 RT. Despite familiarization sessions, the significant improvement in repeated chair rise 539 performance was likely due to practice of the protocol. Yet, the magnitude of effect across 540 the control period (NP=0.51, BP=0.38, DUP=0.34) was minute in contrast to that observed 541 post-RT (NP=2.56, BP=1.21, DUP=1.91). Therefore, the improvement in function following 542 RT was considered to be a direct result of the intervention. Additionally, the ES for the 543 increase in total cholesterol was moderate for NP (0.42), and small for BP (0.23) and DUP 544 (0.13) following the control period, with this pattern also evident for the increase in 545 triglycerides (ES; NP=0.64, BP=0.19 and DUP=0.10). Although subject's dietary intake was 546 statistically unchanged during this period based on the 3 day weighed food dietary analyses, 547 many subjects commented that during the control period they were enjoying their "final few 548 weeks of freedom" before embarking on 22 weeks of RT. Therefore, it is questioned whether 549 additional foods and drinks were consumed but unreported in the dietary analysis, which may 550 have influenced such blood biomarker results. However, as body composition indices 551 remained unchanged during this time, this remains speculative and highlights the limitation

552 of self-reported dietary intake.

Finally, as noted, thirty-three subjects fulfilled all study requirements and were included in the final analyses, however this did not satisfy the a priori sample size estimate of thirty-six subjects. Therefore, the present sample size is a potential limitation and it could be argued that between-group statistical differences were possibly undetected due to type II error. It is recommend that future long-term training studies recruit an adequate cohort to ensure sufficient statistical power, considering the present dropout rate of 19.5%.

559 In summary, NP, BP and DUP RT models are equally effective for promoting 560 significant improvements in various physical function and physiological health outcomes in 561 apparently healthy untrained older adults. Consequently, periodization strategies do not 562 appear to be necessary during the initial stages of RT in aging individuals. The present data support the considerable public health implications of RT, ultimately lowering the risk of 563 564 chronic disease, while preserving independence and increasing QOL. The impact of 565 periodization strategies on neuromuscular abilities along the entire force-velocity curve, in 566 previously trained older adults, and on long-term enjoyment, tolerance, and adherence 567 remains unknown. Practitioners should work towards increasing RT participation among 568 older adults via feasible and efficacious interventions targeting long-term adherence in 569 minimally supervised settings.

570 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

JAC is supported by a scholarship from the Collaborative Research Network in
Exercise Medicine at Edith Cowan University. The authors wish to thank the many
volunteers who participated in this research project. The results of the present study do
not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

575

576

577 **REFERENCE LIST**

578	1.	Aniansson A, Hedberg M, Henning GB, Grimby G. Muscle morphology, enzymatic
579		activity, and muscle strength in elderly men: A follow - up study. Muscle & Nerve.
580		1986;9(7):585-91.
581	2.	Baechle TR, Earle RW. Essentials of Strength Training and Conditioning. Human
582		kinetics; 2008, 394-405 p.
583	3.	Baker D, Wilson G, Carlyon R. Periodization: the effect on strength of
584		manipulating volume and intensity. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning
585		Research. 1994;8(4):235.
586	4.	Bauer J, Biolo G, Cederholm T et al. Evidence-based recommendations for
587		optimal dietary protein intake in older people: a position paper from the PROT-
588		AGE study group. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association.
589		2013;14(8):542-59.
590	5.	Bean JF, Kiely DK, Herman S et al. The relationship between leg power and
591		physical performance in mobility - limited older people. Journal of the American
592		Geriatrics Society. 2002;50(3):461-7.
593	6.	Bean JF, Leveille SG, Kiely DK, Bandinelli S, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L. A comparison
594		of leg power and leg strength within the InCHIANTI study: which influences
595		mobility more? The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and
596		Medical Sciences. 2003;58(8):M728-M33.
597	7.	Bottaro M, Machado SN, Nogueira W, Scales R, Veloso J. Effect of high versus low-
598		velocity resistance training on muscular fitness and functional performance in
599		older men. European journal of applied physiology. 2007;99(3):257-64.

- 600 8. Breen L, Phillips SM. Skeletal muscle protein metabolism in the elderly:
- 601 Interventions to counteract the 'anabolic resistance' of ageing. *Nutrition & metabolism*. 2011;8(1):68-.
- 603 9. Burd NA, West DW, Staples AW et al. Low-Load High Volume Resistance Exercise
- 604 Stimulates Muscle Protein Synthesis More Than High-Load Low Volume
- 605 Resistance Exercise In Young Men. *PloS one*. 2010;5(8):e12033.
- DeBeliso M, Harris C, Spitzer-Gibson T, Adams KJ. A comparison of periodised
 and fixed repetition training protocol on strength in older adults. *Journal of*
- 608 *Science and Medicine in Sport.* 2005;8(2):190-9.
- 609 11. Fleck SJ. Periodized Strength Training: A Critical Review. *Journal of Strength and*610 *Conditioning Research*. 1999;13(1):82-9.
- 611 12. Frontera WR, Hughes VA, Fielding RA, Fiatarone MA, Evans WJ, Roubenoff R.
- 612 Aging of skeletal muscle: a 12-yr longitudinal study. *Journal of Applied*
- 613 *Physiology*. 2000;88(4):1321-6.
- 614 13. Fry AC, Kraemer WJ. Resistance exercise overtraining and overreaching. *Sports*615 *Medicine*. 1997;23(2):106-29.
- 616 14. Galvão DA, Taaffe DR. Resistance Exercise Dosage in Older Adults: Single -
- 617 Versus Multiset Effects on Physical Performance and Body Composition. *Journal*618 *of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2005;53(12):2090-7.
- 619 15. Giles K, Marshall AL. The repeatability and accuracy of CHAMPS as a measure of
- 620 physical activity in a community sample of older Australian adults. *Journal of*
- 621 *Physical Activity and Health.* 2009;6(2):221-9.
- 622 16. Hunter GR, Wetzstein CJ, McLafferty JCL, Zuckerman PA, Landers KA, Bamman
- 623 MM. High-resistance versus variable-resistance training in older adults. *Medicine*
- 624 *and science in sports and exercise*. 2001;33(10):1759-64.

17.	Jimenez A, Paz JDE. Short-term effect of two resistance training periodization
	models (linear vs undulating) on strength and power of the lower-body in a
	group of elderly men. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research.
	17.

- 628 2011;25:S20A.
- Kraemer WJ, Hakkinen K, Triplett-McBride NT et al. Physiological changes with
 periodized resistance training in women tennis players. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2003;35(1):157-68.
- Kraemer WJ, Ratamess NA. Fundamentals of resistance training: progression and
 exercise prescription. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*.
- 634 2004;36(4):674-88.
- 635 20. Lixandrão ME, Damas F, Chacon-Mikahil MPT et al. Time Course of Resistance
- 636 Training–Induced Muscle Hypertrophy in the Elderly. *The Journal of Strength &*637 *Conditioning Research*. 2016;30(1):159-63.
- 638 21. Loustalot F, Carlson SA, Kruger J, Buchner DM, Fulton JE. Muscle-strengthening
- 639 activities and participation among adults in the United States. *Research quarterly*640 *for exercise and sport*. 2013;84(1):30-8.
- 641 22. Maddalozzo GF, Snow CM. High intensity resistance training: effects on bone in
 642 older men and women. *Calcified tissue international*. 2000;66(6):399-404.
- 643 23. Monterio AG, Aoki MS, Evangelista AL et al. Nonlinear Periodization Maximises
- 644 Strength Gains in Split Resistance Training Routines. *Journal of Strength and*645 *Conditioning Research*. 2009;0(0):1-6.
- 646 24. Moraes E, Fleck SJ, Dias MR, Simão R. Effects on strength, power, and flexibility in
 647 adolescents of nonperiodized vs. daily nonlinear periodized weight training. *The*
- *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. 2013;27(12):3310-21.

649	25.	Newman AB, Kupelian V, Visser M et al. Strength, but not muscle mass, is
650		associated with mortality in the health, aging and body composition study
651		cohort. The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical
652		Sciences. 2006;61(1):72-7.
653	26.	O'Bryant HS, Byrd R, Stone MH. Cycle Ergometer Performance and Maximum Leg
654		and Hip Strength Adaptations to Two Different Methods of Weight-Training. The
655		Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 1988;2(2):27-30.
656	27.	Phillips SM, Winett RA. Uncomplicated resistance training and health-related
657		outcomes: evidence for a public health mandate. <i>Current sports medicine reports</i> .
658		2010;9(4):208.
659	28.	Powell LE, Myers AM. The activities-specific balance confidence (ABC) scale. The
660		Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences.
661		1995;50(1):M28-M34.
662	29.	Prestes J, da Cunha Nascimento D, Tibana RA et al. Understanding the individual
663		responsiveness to resistance training periodization. <i>Age</i> . 2015;37(3):1-13.
664	30.	Ratamess NA, Alvar BA, Evetoch TE et al. Progression models in resistance
665		training for healthy adults. Medicine and science in sports and exercise.
666		2009;41(3):687-708.
667	31.	Rhea MR, Alderman BL. A meta-analysis of periodized versus nonperiodized
668		strength and power training programs. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport.
669		2004;75(4):413-22.
670	32.	Roubenoff R. Sarcopenia and its implications for the elderly. European Journal of
671		Clinical Nutrition. 2000;54:S40.
672	33.	Schoenfeld BJ. Is there a minimum intensity threshold for resistance training-

673 induced hypertrophic adaptations? *Sports Medicine*. 2013;43(12):1279-88.

- 674 34. Schoenfeld BJ, Wilson JM, Lowery RP, Krieger JW. Muscular adaptations in low-
- 675 versus high-load resistance training: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Sport*676 *Science*. 2014;(ahead-of-print):1-10.
- 677 35. Steele J. Intensity; in-ten-si-ty; noun. 1. Often used ambiguously within resistance
- 678 training. 2. Is it time to drop the term altogether? *British journal of sports*
- 679 *medicine*. 2013:bjsports-2012-092127.
- 680 36. Stone MH, Potteiger JA, Pierce KC et al. Comparison of the Effects of Three
- 681Different Weight-Training Programs on the One Repetition Maximum Squat. The
- *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research.* 2000;14(3):332-7.
- 683 37. Strohacker K, Fazzino D, Breslin WL, Xu X. The use of periodization in exercise
- 684 prescriptions for inactive adults: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*685 *Reports*. 2015;2:385-96.
- 686 38. Taaffe DR. Sarcopenia: exercise as a treatment strategy. *Australian Family*687 *Physician*. 2006;35(3):130-4.
- 688 39. Taaffe DR, Galvao DA. High- and Low-Volume Resistance Training Similarly
- 689 Enhances Functional Performance in Older Adults. *Medicine & Science in Sports &*
- *Exercise*. 2004;36(Supplement):S142.
- 691 40. Ware JE. SF-36 Health Survey Update. *The Use of Psychological Testing for*
- 692 *Treatment Planning and Outcomes Assessment*. 2004;3:693-718.
- 693
- 694
- 695
- 696
- 697
- 698

699 FIGURES

- **Figure 1.** A visual depiction of the experimental design including familiarization, all testing
- 701 procedures and a 22-week resistance training (RT) intervention.
- Figure 2. Group mean A) total volume load (VL) and B) repetitions performed, across
- 703 training blocks. * Signifies statistically different from both other groups, and [#] indicates
- 704 statistically different from NP (p < 0.05).