Accepted Manuscript

Reply to letter by Angelo Zamban De Mattos

James Maurice, Massimo Pinzani, Emmanouil Tsochatzis, Rachel H. Westbrook

PII: DOI: Reference:	S0168-8278(17)30129-0 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.02.030 JHEPAT 6446
To appear in:	Journal of Hepatology
Received Date: Revised Date: Accepted Date:	13 February 201720 February 201724 February 2017



Please cite this article as: Maurice, J., Pinzani, M., Tsochatzis, E., Westbrook, R.H., Reply to letter by Angelo Zamban De Mattos, *Journal of Hepatology* (2017), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.02.030

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Reply to letter by Angelo Zamban De Mattos

James Maurice^{1,} Massimo Pinzani^{1,2}; Emmanouil Tsochatzis^{1,2}; Rachel H. Westbrook¹

¹ Department of Hepatology, Royal Free Hospital NHS Trust ² Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, University College London

Corresponding Author: Dr Rachel Westbrook Department of Hepatology, 8 South Office, Royal Free Hospital, Pond St, London NW3 2QG Tel: 020 7794 0500 ext 38097 Fax: 020 7472 6226 <u>Rachel.westbrook@nhs.net</u>

The authors have no conflicts of interest or financial support to declare in relation to the submitted reply.

MA

All authors contributed equally to writing and reviewing the submitted reply.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

We would like to thank Angelo Zamban De Mattos et al for their interest and letter regarding our recently published manuscript.

We agree that using a fibroscan reading of >10 Kpa as an inclusion criteria may result in some patients without cirrhosis (and who had undergone an endoscopy for an alternative indication) being included and thus resulting in a prevalence of varices (23% in our study) lower than one would expect from screening a cohort with proven cirrhosis. An increasing number of patients are presumed to have cirrhosis based on non-invasive fibrosis assessment without a confirmatory liver biopsy. This can result in an incremental increase in the number of screening endoscopies and surveillance ultrasounds for HCCs. It is therefore of crucial importance to rationalize such decisions, as the positive predictive value of any non-invasive test for the diagnosis of cirrhosis is not more than 50%. (1) The purpose therefore of the study was not to assess the prevalence of varices in a cohort of cirrhotics but to ask if a LSM <20Kpa and platelets >150x10³ cells/L safely excluded patients who could avoid endoscopy. Moreover current cut-off values for F4 disease vary between aetiology and can be as low as 10kPa. (2) The issue addressed by BAVENO VI is that many clinicians do not differentiate between stages of cirrhosis and risk of decompensation, and our data highlights the recommendations for identifying low risk patients performs robustly.

Regarding the definition of high risk varices, we re-reviewed the endoscopy data, and 2 cases had red signs: one on large varices assigned as 'clinically significant' in the paper, and the other was in a 'short, small varix.' Given the equivocal description and tiny size of the latter it was described as low risk. This highlights an inherent limitation in assessing portal hypertension by the subjective assessment of varices, but also reflects real-world clinical decision making. If this varix was reassigned, there is no significant change to the performance of BAVENO VI: sensitivity 88%, specificity 34%, PPV 7%, NPV 98%, LR+ 1.33, LR- 0.37.

We fully agree that any screening test needs to be accessible, cheap and acceptable to patients especially in low- resource countries. There has been recent research validating the use of simple biochemical parameters for predicting significant fibrosis in viral hepatitis B in sub- Saharan Africa. (3) However, as the authors rightly identify, there are currently no

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

suitably simple, cheap and sensitive markers for identifying clinically significant port hypertension. This is an important area of future research. Further consideration must also be given to the cost saving by potential avoidance of endoscopy in a cohort of patients and this will require a cost-effectiveness analysis.

1. Crossan C, Tsochatzis EA, Longworth L, Gurusamy K, Papastergiou V, Thalassinos E, et al. Cost-effectiveness of noninvasive liver fibrosis tests for treatment decisions in patients with chronic hepatitis B in the UK: systematic review and economic evaluation. Journal of viral hepatitis. 2016;23(2):139-49.

2. EASL-ALEH Clinical Practice Guidelines: Non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. Journal of hepatology. 2015;63(1):237-64.

3. Lemoine M, Shimakawa Y, Nayagam S, Khalil M, Suso P, Lloyd J, et al. The gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase to platelet ratio (GPR) predicts significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic HBV infection in West Africa. Gut. 2016;65(8):1369-76.