

# Loyola University Chicago Loyola eCommons

**Dissertations** 

Theses and Dissertations

1983

# Classroom Orientation to the Use of the Public Library and Its Effect on Fifth and Sixth Grade Students

Leslie Edmonds Loyola University Chicago

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc\_diss



Part of the Education Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

Edmonds, Leslie, "Classroom Orientation to the Use of the Public Library and Its Effect on Fifth and Sixth Grade Students" (1983). Dissertations. 2241.

https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc\_diss/2241

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License. Copyright © 1983 Leslie Edmonds

CLASSROOM ORIENTATION TO THE USE OF
THE PUBLIC LIBRARY AND ITS EFFECT
ON FIFTH AND SIXTH GRADE STUDENTS

Ву

Leslie Edmonds

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Loyola University of Chicago in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

November

1983

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Dr. Mary Jane Gray for her help in directing the course of this dissertation. Her support has been much appreciated. The author would also like to thank the other members of the dissertation committee, Dr. Todd Hoover, Dr. Gwendolyn Trotter and Dr. Peggy Sullivan for their contributions to this study.

Special thanks go to Carolyn Rohrer, Dr. Marion
Omiatek and the principals and teachers of the participating schools in Consolidated Community School District 15,
Palatine, Illinois for their support and cooperation.
Thanks also go to the students and their parents who participated in the study. The author is most appreciative of the help given by Cheryl Nordlund and the staff of the Rolling Meadows Library. In addition, thanks goes to the members of the board of the Rolling Meadows Library for their interest and cooperation during this study.

Special appreciation is due family and friends for their willingness to listen and their unending patience and support.

The Author, Martha Leslie Edmonds, is the daughter of Peter Robert Edmonds and Elizabeth (Donnovan) Edmonds. She was born on August 25, 1949 in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Her elementary education was obtained in the public schools of Minneapolis, Minnesota. She is a 1967 graduate of New Trier Township High School, East, Winnetka, Illinois.

In September of 1967 she entered Cornell College, Mt. Vernon, Iowa and in May, 1971 she received a Bachelor of Arts degree with majors in secondary education and history. While attending Cornell College, she was active in the campus political club and a member of the Student Governing Board. In 1973 she entered the Graduate Library School of the University of Chicago. In June 1975 she was awarded the Master of Arts degree in library science.

Since September of 1973 she has been employed in a variety of libraries in the Chicago area. She first worked as librarian at the Erikson Institute for Early Education, then she was the Youth Services Librarian at the Rolling Meadows Public Library. She is currently employed at the Head Start Resource Center which is part of the Chicago Public Library. She has been active in the American Library Association and the Illinois Library Association and is the recipient of the 1982 Davis Cup, awarded by the Illinois Association for contributions to children's librarianship.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ACKNOWLEDG	GEMENTS	ii
VITA		iii
LIST OF TA	ABLES	νi
CONTENTS O	OF APPENDICES	viii
Chapter		
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Definition of Terms	3
	Purpose of the Study	5
	Limitations of the Study	7
		-
II.	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	8
	Public Library Use by Children	11
	School/Public Library Cooperation	16
	School Resource Center Activities/	
	Library Skills Instruction	18
	Observation Methodology	21
	Summary	23
III.	THE METHOD	24
	Introduction	24
,	Hypotheses	26
		20 27
	Sample	
	Procedure	28
	Design and Analytic Paradigm	39
IV.	RESULTS	43
	Observational Data	43
		47
	Frequency	60
	Tests of Control Variables	67
		70
	Summary	
	Student Questionnaire	73
	Frequency	73
	Analysis of Covariance/One-Way Test.	78
	Tests of Control Variables	87
	Summary	93
	Descriptive Data	96
	Teachers Comments	97
	Student Comments	97
	I TO DOY 11000Y 1 TO 1100 TO	

	v.		cc	ONC	]	Pat Efi	tte Eec	eri	ns s (	oi of	f (	Js∈ ne	e Tı	· cea	atn	• nei	nt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Page 101 102 105	
																									115 118	
																									119	
REFERE	NCE	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	123	
APPEND	ΣX	A	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	126	
APPENDI	X	В	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	136	
APPEND	ΣX	С	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	143	
APPENDI	X	D	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	234	
APPENDI	X	E	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	245	
APPENDI	X	F		•	•	•	•		٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	249	

## LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	Pag
1.	Articles Reviewed	10
2.	Observational Data Organization	40
3.	Observation - Frequency Distribution by Gender, Grade, School	49
4.	Observation - Frequency Distribution by Teacher	50
5.	Observation - Distance the Student Lives From the Library	51
6.	Observational Data - Use of the Public Library by Frequency and Duration	53
7.	Observed Activities For All Groups	56
8.	Observed Activities For Control Groups	57
9.	Observed Activities For Treatment Group	58
10.	Observed Activities For Gifted Group	59
11.	Observational Data - Circulation	61
12.	Observation - Analysis of Covariance, Set I - Treatment Observations Minus Baseline Observation	63
13.	Observation - Analysis of Covariance, Set II - Post-Treatment Observations Minus Baseline Observations	64
14.	Observation - T-Test, Set I Treatment Minus Baseline	66
15.	Observatoin - T-Test, Set II Post- Treatment Minus Baseline	68
16.	Questionnaire - Frequency Distribution by Gender, Grade and School	76

		Page
17.	Questionnaire - Frequency Distribution by Teacher	77
18.	Questionnaire - Distance the Student Lives from the Library	77
19.	Questionnaire - Number and Percentages of Positive Responses, All Groups	79
20.	Questionnaire - Number and Percentages of Positive Responses, Control Group	80
21.	Questionnaire - Number and Percentages of Positive Responses, Treatment Group	81
22.	Questionnaire - Number and Percentages of Positive Responses, Gifted Group	82
23.	Questionnaire - Question 1B - Positive Response	83
24.	Questionnaire - Analysis of Covariance	85
25.	Questionnaire - One-Way Test - Question 1A Have you used the Library in the past year? Student Response by Group	88
26.	Questionnaire - One-Way Test - Question 2A I usually go to the Library to study Student Response by Group	89
27.	Questionnaire - One-Way Test - Question 2B I usually go to the Library to meet friends Student Response by Group	89
28.	Questionnaire - One-Way Test - Question 3F Do you use materials at the library for homework? Student Response by Group	90
29.	Questionnaire - One-Way Test - Question 5A I do not go to the Library because I do not have enough time Student Response by Group	90
30.	Questionnaire - One-Way Test - Combined Questions Student Pesnonse by Group	Q1

### CONTENTS FOR APPENDICES

		Page
APPENDIX	A Articles Previewed	126
APPENDIX	B Project Documents	136
APPENDIX	C Analysis of Covariance - Observational Data	143
I.	Group, Set I, (Treatment-Baseline)	144
II.	Group, Set II, (Post-Treatment-Baseline)	153
III.	Group and Gender, Set I (Treatment-Baseline)	162
IV.	Group and Gender, Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)	171
٧.	Group and School, Set I (Treatment-Baseline)	180
VI.	Group and School, Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)	189
VII.	Group and Grade, Set I (Treatment-Baseline)	198
VIII.	Group and Grade, Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)	207
IX.	Teacher, Set I (Treatment-Baseline)	216
х.	Teacher, Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)	225
APPENDIX	D Observational Data - T-Test	234
I.	Set I, (Treatment-Baseline)	235
TT	Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)	240

		Page
APPENDIX	E Observational Data, One-Way Student Performance by Teacher	245
I.	Set I, (Treatment-Baseline)	246
APPENDIX	F Questionnaire - Analysis of Covariance	249
I.	Group	250
II.	Group and Gender	262
III.	Group and School	274
IV.	Group and Grade	286
v.	Teacher	298

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Society has long assumed that public library services ought to be available to children. Providing reading materials and information to youth is an essential part of public library service. However, there seems to be little agreement of what actually motivates children to use the library's resources or what activities we should provide to bring young patrons into the library.

Several factors affect children's use of the public library. As with all age groups, distance from the library is most influential. Children who live within walking distance of the library facility will use it more often than children who cannot walk to it. It is also likely that children's use of libraries is strongly related to their parents' influence and use of the library. A third aspect of children's use of the public library is the need or motivation to do so as generated by teachers' recommendations and school assignments.

As there may be a possibility of manipulating the relationship between schools and public libraries on a local level, it would be useful to clarify what activities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Bernard Berelson, <u>The Library's Public</u> (New York Columbia University Press, 1949), p. 43.

are most beneficial to the student. We can have some expectation of developing programs between institutions that would help the child develop attitudes and skills that lead to effective, independent, and life-long use of the public library.

In this project, three schools and one public library cooperated to study the public library use by fifth and sixth graders. Two factors within the schools in this study can be identified as of interest in school/public library cooperation. First, the local school district has recognized independent use of resource center as a goal and has implemented a Resource Center Skills Continuum to educate students to use their school's resources. The skills continuum includes specific activities such as how to check out a book as well as affective activities that will promote enjoyment of reading, listening and viewing materials. These skills have been taught primarily by resource center teachers.

Secondly, it was assumed that once the student had successfully mastered these skills, s/he would also make use of the local public library and other research facilities effectively. This has not been the case. Students do not seem to transfer either the specific skills nor the positive attitudes in the skills continuum to their use of the public library. The staff of the public library have not observed

any significant improvement in students' ability to use the library's resources since the implementation of the Resource Center Skills Continuum. It appears that the transfer of skills from the school setting to the public library setting must be taught more directly if transfer is to take place.

One key to successful transfer of these skills may be the integration of the skills into class activities and assignments and reinforcement by the classroom teacher of the skills and attitudes introduced in the Resource Center Skills Continuum. In order to understand how to deal with research skills and attitudes most effectively, the present investigation was designed to answer the following general questions: (1) What is the pattern of use of the public library by upper elementary students?, (2) Can the students' independent use of the public library be increased by providing specific exercises and experiences in class that promote use of the public library?

# Definition of Terms

In this study libraries are defined as public libraries established as separate governmental entities for the provision of materials and services to a community or group of citizens. Resource centers are agencies within the schools which provide materials and services for students, teachers and staff.

A librarian is a person employed in a public library who usually has a master's degree in library science. A resource center teacher is a person who is employed by a school district to maintain the resource center in a school. The resource center teacher is a certificated classroom teacher who has additional education in media and library science.

Both libraries and resource centers typically have a variety of media formats available to patrons. It will be helpful in this study to distinguish between print and non-print media. Print media include books, magazines, pamphlets and other media which must be read to be understood. Nonprint media include tape cassettes, phonodiscs, video and other materials which can be understood by viewing and/or listening. Reference media do not circulate and must be used in the library or resource center.

Library skills referred to in this study and in the literature are the general abilities one needs to use a library or resource center effectively and independently. Library skills instruction includes such activities as use of the card catalog, location of materials, study skills and library procedures. These skills may also be referred to as

research or retrieval skills. Affective characteristics include developing positive attitudes towards materials and library personnel.

### Purpose of the study

The general purpose of this study was to gather behavioral data on public library usage by fifth and sixth grade students so that the institutions serving these children may have accurate information to use in planning programs for youth. If it is shown that when the classroom teacher advocates public library usage and when students are provided with directions in the classroom on how and why to use the public library, that students use it more often, for longer periods of time, and in more ways; then the school and the public library should continue to seek ways to integrate public library usage into the school curriculum. If teacher involvement and classroom activities have no significant effect on students, then time would be better spent in exploring other methods of encouraging public library usage.

The specific purposes of this study were threefold.

One purpose was to determine if the school curriculum could be designed to increase effective use of the public library by students. The particular group involved in the study was fifth and sixth grade students. This group was chosen because the students are old enough to report their actions

accurately and because they have distinct research and recreational needs.

Another purpose of this study was to determine patterns of library use by students. While most librarians have impressions of what children do when they are in a library, there have been few attempts to note specific activities performed by children while in the library, or what use they made of the library's resources. Such information is useful in practical decision-making as well as in providing a general or broad view of library usage. questions as how much and what type of seating should be provided, or how to staff the public library after school hours can be answered by having accurate information as to how often children use the library, how long they stay, and what they do while they are there. For instance, if some children socialize as well as study and others work alone, the library might provide tables and group study areas and have separate quiet study areas to accommodate all patrons more effectively.

Coupled with the purpose of determining patterns of library use is the purpose of developing methods of research that are applicable to the study of library usage, and which will produce information for future library planning. If a resource center curriculum can be designed and

the results of that design can be measured and assessed, then a library program could be developed to provide better service for children and youth.

# Limitations of the Study

The following are considered to be limitations of the study:

- 1. Although the class units are randomly assigned to the treatment groups, the classes in the study were volunteers not randomly selected from the population.
- 2. The lessons and questionnaires in this study were administered by each of the participating teachers to his/ her own class following oral and written instructions from the researcher. The researcher, therefore, had limited control over the actual presentations given.
- 3. Parent consent forms were a requirement for student participation and the parent and student interest raised by reading the consent form may have aroused increased library use thus polluting the findings.
- 4. While most students in the study used the local public library, they may also have used other, nearby public libraries in neighboring communities. There was no measure of such use.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

There are three main areas in the literature of education and librarianship that are related to the subject of this project. They are: (1) the use of public libraries by children, (2) public library and school cooperation, and (3) library skills instruction curriculum. Also of interest is literature on observational methodology.

Unfortunately, there is a dearth of research reported on the first three topics. In an ERIC search, there were approximately 1800 documents cited on school libraries or resource centers, 2000 citations on public libraries, but only 250 documents on public libraries and schools cooperating. Only seven documents on schools and libraries cooperating on projects involving students' library usage and skills instruction were cited.

reported. While there are articles cited in Education

Index and Library Literature on the topics, most articles cited are practical with little or no experimental research reported. The need for research in these areas is often discussed.

In her presentation at the 1977 Allerton Park
Institute on children's services in public libraries, Mary
E. Kingsbury commented: "[T]he tyro about to launch out
into the uncharted sea of research in children's services
cannot expect to find many guiding lights to mark the reefs
and shoals." She also pointed out that "very few library
publications are interested in children's services, and the
few that are often seem reluctant to publish a research
report with its tables and statistics." In a 1982 article
reviewing research related to children's services in public
libraries, Marilyn Shontz stated:

Little of the research in children's and young adult services is of the historical or experimental type. Many surveys have been done, but most of the survey results are only useful in small geographic areas. Descriptive reports of special projects or programs are also plentiful, but again, applications of these to other situations are generally limited.<sup>3</sup>

In line with Shontz's findings, Table 1 indicates the types of articles, reports, or books found in the

<sup>1</sup> Mary E. Kingsbury, "Keeping Out of Trouble: Research and Children's Services of Public Libraries," in Selma K. Richardson, ed., Children's Services of Public Libraries, (Urbana, IL.: University of Illinois, 1978) p. 142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ibid., p. 142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Marilyn Louise Shontz, "Selected Research Related to Children's and Young Adult Services in Public Libraries," Top of the News, 38, (Winter 1982), pp. 125-126.

literature. The figures represent the total number of items reviewed on the general topics pertinent to the study.

Table 1
ARTICLES REVIEWED

	Public Library Use by Children	Public Library/ School Cooperation	Library Skills Instruction Curriculum	Totals
No research reported	10	14	24	48
Non-experi- mental research	35	10	.1	46
Experi- mental research	9	<b>0</b>	1	10
Totals	54	24	26	104

Citations for these articles are found in Appendix A.

Many articles reviewed were general essays with non-experimental design reported. Others were "how to" articles with non-experimental data reported. Many articles were about activities of high school or college students, or adults. In the summary of the pertinent research below, articles were chosen as representative of the type of information available or because they dealt with issues close to the present research rather than the general area of interest.

# Public Library Use by Children

Many studies of public library usage were completed by 1940 and have been replicated from time to time. The findings vary little and contain little specific information on public library usage by children.

with financing from the Carnegie Corporation, a national survey of public libraries was conducted in 1947. The survey included personal interviews and analysis of all studies since 1930 having to do with library book use and users. The results of this were published by Bernard Berelson in the book, The Library's Public. He found that "Children and young people. . .use the public library much more than older people do." He also stated that one in three children and young people of school age might be called the "real user" of the public library, and about one in ten adults. 5

Berelson also found that the drop-off of usage after a young person left school was dramatic. His conclusion was that the younger and better educated person was more likely to be a public library user. His only specific observation about children's use patterns was that the closer the individual lived to the library, the more likely he was to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Berelson, <u>The Library's Public</u>, p. 126.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Ibid., p. 125.

use it. Also, it appeared that students who used the public library were generally the more intelligent of the student group. No children were interviewed to obtain data and Berelson does, throughout the report, express concerns about the "representativeness and reliability" and "bias or incompleteness. . . inadequate sampling or defects in methods" used by studies summarized in his report. Most of Berelson's findings have been accepted and have been confirmed in more recent studies.

One such study of public library usage was done in Portage County, Ohio, by Kent State University in 1968. Surveys were made of adult patrons of the three principal libraries in the county. Again, distance from the library was a factor in library usage and the users were young and fairly well educated. There was some mention of the public library's relationship with the schools, but no specific information was given about school library services. There was no information on what students do when at the library, nor of particular library needs of the student.

<sup>6</sup>Ibid., p. 21.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid., p. LX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>James B. Skellenger, <u>Public Library Services in Portage County: an Analysis for Planning</u>, (Kent, Ohio: Center for Urban Research, Kent State University, 1970), p. 40.

A study was conducted by John Benford to find the patterns of student usage of the Free Library of Philadelphia in the early 1970's. Students in grades 2-12 were surveyed. Results of what became known as the Philadelphia Project were: (1) enjoyment of reading decreased with increasing grade levels, (2) usage of the public library increased as grade level increased, and (3) fifty percent of the twelfth grade students found the public library more satisfying than the school library. It also was found that class assignments accounted for a high percentage of student library needs. 9

Also in 1970, the New Haven Free Public Library commissioned a study of users and uses of the library. The study was done by Southern Connecticut State College in New Haven. The study included a survey of users in the children's department, and the main interest seems to have been how many people used the department at various times of day. 10 This may be explained by the fact that this study was done to aid in future planning, and little information was reported on children's use of the library. The New Haven report is typical of the studies some public libraries have undertaken as part of a planning process.

<sup>9</sup>John Q.Benford, "The Philadelphia Project," Library Journal, 96, (June 15, 1971) p. 2041-47.

<sup>10</sup>Bernard S. Schlessinger, <u>Users and Uses of the New Haven Free Public Library</u>, (New Haven, Connecticut: New New Haven Foundation, 1972), p.52.

In 1976, Adele Fasick and Claire England conducted a study of the Regina Public Library in Canada. Children aged six to twelve were interviewed. It was learned that most children used the public library to select books for personal reading and most children were satisfied with the public library. Users and non-users were similar as groups but the users did more reading and had a more positive self-image. 11

Another study dealing with student use of libraries was done by Myrette Ekechukwu in Seattle. In a question-naire given to fifth grade students, Ekechukwu found that girls used the libraries more than boys did, that the greater the distance the children lived from the library, the less the children used the library, and the children liked the book collections in the libraries but disliked the rules of the libraries. 12

Other studies of children's use of public libraries include three separate experimental studies done by William Harmer in Minneapolis, Margaret Fife in Atlanta, and a multi-city project done by Herbert Goldhor and John McCrossan. 13 All involved study of summer programs.

<sup>11</sup> Adele Fasick, and Claire England, Children Using Media (Regina, Canada: Regina Public Library, 1977).

<sup>12</sup>Shontz, "Selected Research," page 132.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Ibid., p. 129.

Results were mixed, but generally children involved in summer reading programs in the public library showed some academic gains over those who did not participate. There was no exploration of what summer activities were most effective or what level of involvement children had in the program.

In 1980, the American Library Association published
A Planning Process for Public Libraries in which

The planning process concentrates not on collecting information but on thinking about it and reaching appropriate conclusions. 14

A Planning Process for Public Libraries does include a student survey appropriate for sixth graders. Undoubtedly this will stimulate data collection at the local level. It will demand some sophistication to carry out "the plan" and it will remain to be seen if data collected will provide further information on children's use of the public library.

Over the past forty years there has been some attempt to gather information in a systematic manner by use of surveys and questionnaires. There is only limited information collected on children's use of the public library.

<sup>14</sup> Vernon E. Palmour, Marcia C. Bellasai, and Nancy V. Dewath, A Planning Process for Public Libraries (Chicago: American Library Association, 1980), p. XII.

# School/Public Library Cooperation

It would be desirable to have information on the relationships among the school, teachers, and resource center teachers, and the child's use of the public library. Unfortunately, such topics have rarely been researched and reported. Since the New York State Education Department's 1970 Report of the Commissioner of Education's Committee on Library Development recommended that school libraries, not public libraries, should serve children through grade six, many state library agencies have promoted multi-type library cooperation and specifically cooperation between school and public libraries. In 1977, Lois Fleming found that twenty-seven state libraries had reported on cooperative projects. 15

Typical of such activity is a project conducted by Shirley Aaron at Florida State University in 1976. Aaron's survey focused on combined school/public library facilities in order to develop guidelines for cooperation and, "to determine which institutional structure had the most potential for improving school and public library services." 16 In the conclusion of her report, Aaron mentioned legal and

<sup>15</sup>Lois D. Fleming, "Community Education and Public Libraries: Cooperation or Conquest?", Wilson Library Bulletin 52 (December, 1977), p. 321.

<sup>16</sup>Shirley L. Aaron, "Combined School Public Library Programs: An Abstract of a National Study", School Media Quarterly 12 (Fall 1978), p. 94.

financial concerns, materials selection and collection development, programming, personnel, and site characteristics. She did not explore how the school or public library served children or the relationship of library service to the curriculum of the school. The issues, as Aaron reported them, were administrative and political rather than directly service-related.

Esther Dyer in her doctoral dissertation at Columbia University in 1976 used the Delphi technique to investigate cooperation in library services to children. Dyer asked, through questionnaires, a panel of public library directors, coordinators of children's services in public libraries, school media supervisors, library educators, school superintendents, and others about the probability and desirability of certain events taking place in the next fifteen years. In summarizing her findings Dyer stated:

Cooperation between school and public library services to children is not expected to be a priority program in either institution. . .The abstract ideal of cooperation is reinforced, but actual implementation seems implausible. 17

Neither of these studies dealt with how to move past institutional barriers to cooperation. There are reports of particular programs but there is no examination of the

<sup>17</sup> Esther R. Dyer, Cooperation in Library Service to Children (Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press, 1978), p.94.

influence of the teacher on student behavior or the effect of the school curriculum on student use of public library.

### School Resource Center Activities/ Library Skills Instruction

Many school districts have produced curriculum guides on library skills instruction and resource center activities and use. There are also several national publications on this topic but very little is available on the theory or evaluation of skills instruction in resource centers. Most information seems to be on the practical level of how to teach particular skills, or how to manage a successful resource center program, rather than the theory or purpose of instruction or activity.

One report on school libraries which stands out as an exception to this is a project where data were gathered in several schools as part of the Knapp School Libraries Project. The project, funded by the Knapp Foundation, was initiated to provide models of improved school library service during the period of 1963 to 1968. Each school involved received funds and support to upgrade services. Evaluation of each program was an important aspect of the project. 18

<sup>18</sup>peggy Sullivan, ed., Realization: The Final Report of the Knapp School Libraries Project (Chicago: American Library Assocaition, 1968), p. 200.

Students, faculty, project staff, and visitors were asked to comment on school library services and the changes made during the Knapp project. At the Casis School in Austin, Texas, students were questioned about the school library, and were observed in their use of the school library. Former students who were then seventh graders in a junior high school were also asked how the library at Casis had helped them. It was found that children were more aware of the variety of services of the library in the higher grades, a favorable attitude was evident at all grade levels and the students in all grades showed an understanding of library procedures. 19

A total of one hundred four students were observed systematically and it was found that the time in the school library increased with age, and that there was little consistency in how long a visit each student made. Students spent their time checking out materials, socializing, working with librarians, and reading. Findings of the student questionnaire and the observed behavior were consistent with each other. 20

While the Knapp project and particularly the project at the Casis School provided the school library program much information on student use of the school library, there is

<sup>19&</sup>lt;sub>Ibid., pp. 210-11.</sub>

<sup>20&</sup>lt;sub>Ibid</sub>.

little critical information about the programs of library instruction. The data appeared to have been collected to prove the existing program beneficial rather than to develop a theory of library instruction. There is no information given on the role of the teacher in student use of the school library, nor is there any mention of how Austin's public library might serve students.

Representative of a more recent program is the one described by Walker and Montgomery in the 1977 book, <u>Teaching Media Skills</u>. The instructional model presented is not "simply a theoretical construct" <sup>21</sup> but a practical guide based on the authors' experience in the public schools of Montgomery County, Maryland. In fact, no theory appears to be presented in this book. About half the book has material on how to design and implement a program of library skills instruction, and the second half presents particular activities that support the program. Use of the public library is not mentioned. There is no indication that any experimental technique was used in the development of this program.

There is information on how to teach library skills but little research is presented on library skills instruction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>H. Thomas Walker and Paula Kay Montgomery, Teaching Media Skills (Littleton, Colorado: Libraries Unlimited, Inc., 1977), p. 9.

## Observation Methodology

There is evidence in the literature that naturalistic observation is a legitimate and useful research methodology. The value of unobtrusive methods, according to Eugene Webb, Northwestern University, is that:

Interviews and questionnaires intrude as a foreign element into the social setting they would describe, they create as well as measure attitudes, they elicit typical roles and responses, they are limited to those who are accessible and will cooperate, and the responses are produced in part by dimensions of individual differences irrelevant to the topic at hand.<sup>22</sup>

Webb points out that there are benefits in using simple observation. These benefits include: subjects are not aware of being tested, measurement does not work as an agent of change and there are no interviewer effects.<sup>23</sup>

Webb cautions that observation has weaknesses as a data-gathering technique. A major concern is whether the observer is accurate and unbiased. Care must be taken to sample time and location carefully. 24

Ann Boehm of Teachers' College, Columbia University and Richard Weinberg of the University of Minnesota state

<sup>22</sup>Eugene Webb, et. al., <u>Unobtrusive Measures:</u>
Non-reactive Research in the Social Sciences (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1966), p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>Ibid., p. 128

<sup>24</sup>Ibid., p. 138

. . . in collecting and recording observations, the trained observer uses a system that allows a sampling of the situation . . . Through a sufficient number of objective observations, he is prepared to build valid inferences from a reliable rich data base of direct observations in natural settings. 25

They continued, in their book, to deal with the problems of observer reliability, effective sampling, ethical issues, such as subject privacy in observation, and the need to employ naturalistic observation in concert with other kinds of methods.

There are literally hundreds of observational techniques reported in the literature. 26 Many educators have used natural observation as a basis for particular research and theory development. For example, Ned Flanders developed a theory of teacher behavior based substantially on systematic observation of classroom activities. His system is elaborate, he works from a hierarchy of observations with the development of matrixes and coding of events. 27 It would seem, then, that observational methodology could be adapted to the systematic investigation

<sup>25</sup>Ann E. Boehm and Richard A. Weinberg, <u>The Classroom Observer: A Guide for Developing Observation Skills</u> (New York: Teachers College Press, 1977), p.4.

<sup>26</sup> Jane A. Stallings, Learning to Look: A
Handbook on Classroom Observation and Teaching Models
(Belmont, California: Wadsworth, 1977), pp. 19-22

<sup>27</sup>Ned A. Flanders, Analyzing Teaching Behavior (Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1970), pp. 74-75.

of other social or educational activities such as research in library usage.

### Summary

This review has provided evidence that there are few precedents for use of experimental design in research on children's use of public libraries. Most research conducted has involved questionnaires, surveys, and interviews. research indicated that distance from the library, class assignments, and self-image have an effect on use of public libraries in particular instances. Public library and school library cooperation is treated in the literature, but most information available is political, administrative, or descriptive of particular programs. No information or research was presented as to the effects of teacher-public library cooperation or how changes in the schools' curriculum might affect students' use of the public library. While there was much information available on techniques of library skills instruction, there was very little theory presented, nor was there information presented on how children might be introduced to use of the public library by school personnel.

Lastly, there is evidence in the literature that observational methodology might lend itself to investigation of student activity in the public library as this method has been used successfully in educational settings.

#### CHAPTER III

#### THE METHOD

### Introduction

A quasi-experimental design was used to ascertain the effect of orientation to the public library in the class-room. Fifth and sixth grade teachers were asked to volunteer for the project. Teachers and their students were then assigned to groups. Teachers and students in the gifted program formed one group, and teachers and students in regular classes were randomly assigned to either the experimental group or the control group.

Both the gifted students and students in the experimental group received an orientation to the public library, and engaged in reinforcing activities for the two-week treatment period. These activities included a description of public library service by the youth services librarian, self-monitoring by the students and teacher led discussion in the classroom about the library. (See Appendix B for Library Update which was used as a self-monitoring device and an outline of the librarian's visit.) The control

received no treatment. Student behavior at the library was monitored to determine if the in-class activities affected student use of the public library. Students and teachers were also given a questionnaire at the end of the treatment period so that they could evaluate the library and confirm patterns of library use.

The library orientation was designed to emphasize several specific areas of library service available to students. First, students were encouraged to use the library and to plan to spend extra time there to find out about the services offered. Then students were encouraged to do homework at the library, and they were invited to come with or to meet friends at the library. The design of the orientation was influenced by input from teachers and library staff as to what would make the library attractive to fifth and sixth graders and what facts they needed to be successful in their library use.

The self-monitoring sheet (Library Update), the questionnaire and the observation data-gathering sheet were designed to measure the student behaviors that were emphasized in the library orientation by the librarian and the teachers and other activities that students could engage in while at the library. The observation data-gathering sheet was created, tested in the library for two weeks and revised by adding activities before it was used for this research.

The questionnaire similarly was created and tested at a neighboring library and revised before it was used in this research.

### Hypotheses

- 1. There is no difference in the frequency (number of visits) with which students use the public library among the experimental group, the gifted group, and the control group.
- 2. There is no difference in duration (time in minutes) of visits to the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group, and the control group.
- 3. There is no difference in use of time (activities engaged in) at the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group, and the control group.
- 4. There is no difference in type of library materials checked out from the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group, and the control group.
- 5. There is no difference in the amount (number of items) of library materials checked out from the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group, and the control group.

- 6. There is no difference in baseline, experimental, and post-experimental measures among groups as tested on each aspect listed above.
- 7. There is no difference in level of satisfaction attained in the use of the public library by students assessed by a student questionnaire among the experimental group, gifted group, and the control group.

Hypotheses one through six were tested on observational data at the public library. Hypothesis number seven was tested by responses on a student questionnaire.

### Sample

Samples of class units (one teacher and an average of 27 students per unit) were drawn from a population with the following characteristics: 100% suburban; 93% white; 3.4% hispanic; 2.2% oriental; and 1.4% black. There are three K-6 public schools in the community. The school district provides special education classes and has self-contained classes for academically gifted students (as defined by the school district). Other students are heterogeneously grouped in self-contained classrooms.

The sample was made up of fifth and sixth grade class units where the teachers volunteered to be part of the study. There were fourteen class units in the sample. The

regular, non-gifted class units were randomly assigned to the experimental group or the control group. The gifted class were assigned to the gifted group. The experimental group and the gifted group received the same treatment. The control group received no treatment.

After class units were randomly assigned to groups, individual students from each group were randomly selected to be participants in this study and monitored in their public library usage. Twenty-four students were selected from each group. All seventy-two students were monitored in library usage in the baseline, treatment, and post-treatment phases of the project. The project was carried out in the spring of 1982.

## Procedure

The purposes of this study were to measure the pattern of student use of the public library and to determine if that pattern could be modified by implementing a program designed to encourage library use. The object of the treatment program in the classroom was to promote independent use of the library by the students involved.

This study involved four sites (the three schools and the public library) and three groups (twenty-four in each) of children. An experiment with treatment and controls was

carried out with the library use of students measured by observational data gathered at the library. Another aspect of this study was the need to establish baseline or normal library usage. As the library is a fixture in the community, it was assumed that children had established patterns of library usage so the experiment must be based on measuring change from these established patterns. To do this, observations were done before the experimental treatment, while the treatment was being administered, and in a posttreatment period. At the end of the treatment phase, the teachers, students, and the library staff were questioned about the effects of the treatments and asked to verify the findings based on observed behavior. These questionnaires provided descriptive data.

Overall, a before/after randomized design<sup>1</sup> with two experimental groups and a control group was the general model for the present study. The advantages of this design are that the random assignment to groups controls independent variables, and the use of a control group provides control for maturation and history of subjects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Fred N. Kerlinger, Foundations of Behavioral Research, Second Edition, (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1973), p. 335.

The weaknesses of this design are: (1) difficulty in generalizability to other settings, (2) a potential sensitizing effect on the pretest or baseline measures, and (3) the dependence on change scores demands substantial differences among groups for analysis to be productive. In the present study the pretest took the form of an observational period in which normal library use was established for fifth and sixth graders so the sensitizing effect was minimal.

The first step of the study was to call for volunteers from the twenty-two teachers who had fifth or sixth grade classes in the public schools of a northwest Chicago suburban school district. The project was explained to teachers in a letter and they were asked to cooperate in the research project. When the volunteer pool was established, parent consent forms were sent to parents or guardians of students in the volunteered classes who reside in the target community.

A list of the fourteen teachers participating in the project was made in the order that the acceptance slips were received. The teacher of the gifted was assigned to the gifted group by definition. The other thirteen teachers were divided into control and experimental groups by using

the Rand Corporation list of random digits.<sup>2</sup> Seven teachers were assigned to the experimental group and six teachers were assigned to the control group.

After student permission slips were collected from teachers, they were labeled according to the group assignment of the student's teacher. The residency of each student was verified. If the student was not a resident of the community served by the library, library records were checked to ascertain if the student had a library card. Students who either resided in the community or were nonresidents who had library cards on May 1, 1982 were qualified to be in the study.

Qualified students were then separated into the three groups and twenty-four students were selected at random from each group by using the Rand Corporation list of random digits. The seventy-two students selected in this way were then observed when they used the public library during the six-week observation period.

The next step of the study was to establish baseline or normal public library usage by students in the study.

The sample of seventy-two fifth and sixth grade students was monitored in their usage of the public library for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Edward W. Minium, Statistical Reasoning in Psychology and Education, 2nd ed. (New York: Wiley and Sons, 1978) p. 547.

two-week period. Use was monitored for these seventy-two students. Information was gathered on frequency of library use (number of visits), duration (time in minutes) of visits, use of time while at the library and type and quantity of library materials checked out of the library. Also, during this time, the researcher met with teachers to explain the project fully and to insure teachers' understanding of their role in the project.

After baseline observations were collected, treatments were administered. The experimental and gifted groups
received the same treatment. This treatment was the same
that was offered to students not in the study. This treatment had several components. First, the classroom teacher
asked students to obtain valid library cards from the public
library, and students received an explanation sheet on procedures. Library card applications were made available to
teachers to hand out to their students.

Secondly, the researcher visited the class for approximately one half hour and introduced the services and materials available at the public library, and the procedures for library usage (see lesson plan in Appendix B). The researcher also asked that the students keep a record of their visits to and use of the public library for a period of two weeks. They were provided with a checklist (Library Update) that aided them in this record-keeping activity. A copy of the Library Update is found in Appendix B. They

were asked to record how often they used the library and what they did when they were at the library. While both the researcher and the classroom teacher urged students to keep this record, it was made clear that there were no extrinsic rewards or punishments connected with the record-keeping, so that students would have no reason to alter the truth to please the teacher or researcher.

During the two weeks following the researcher's visit, teachers were asked to reinforce public library use in two ways. First, they were instructed to ask their class, after a period of one week, how many students visited the public library during the week and to discuss the students' activities. Secondly, teachers were instructed to remind students to use their record-keeping checklist and to keep track of their library use. The teachers collected the record-keeping checklist at the end of the two-week period. Class units in the control group did not engage in any of the treatment activities during the experimental phase of the project. These teachers were asked to conduct class and give assignments as usual with no special reference to use of the library.

During the baseline, treatment and post-treatment phases of the project, staff at the public library monitored the use of the library by twenty-four students from each group in the study. For two-week periods, data were gathered during the hours of: 4:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday

ENIS TOWER

through Thursday, 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Friday, 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturday, and 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday, or, thirty-two hours per week. These are the hours that the public library is open, and students are out of school.

In order to monitor the individual students in their use, the Youth Services Department conducted a "Let Us Know Who You Are" campaign during the data-gathering periods. This consisted of having a sign-in sheet for the student's name and grade level at the Youth Services desk. All patrons of whatever age were asked to sign in so that the "library staff can get to know the users better."

In this way library staff was alerted when individual students who were to be monitored were in the library. As the Youth Services Department has, from time to time, collected data on usage, sponsored contests where patrons sign in, and asked children to report to librarians as part of programs, this did not alter patron use in any significant way. Also, as the librarian's desk used in this activity is in close proximity to the only public entrance to the room, it was unlikely that any patrons missed signing in. If students declined to sign in, library staff asked for grade and name and signed in for the student.

The researcher and two other library staff members engaged in the monitoring activity. Each staff person practiced the observational technique over several weeks,

and, to establish reliability, the researcher and library staff monitored the same student. Any discrepancies were discussed, and the process was refined until there were no discrepancies.

Monitoring also included use of the public access computer which is in the adult department. Since no one was allowed to use the computer without signing in and picking up the phone used with it, computer use by students in the study would be on record. Also, the amount of computer time would be recorded. Other parts of the adult department were not monitored as children's use of these areas had been observed to be minimal.

Use of the circulation department was not monitored. Though children use this service, the length of time spent there is out of the child's control. It depends on the number of staff and the number of patrons needing service who are at the circulation desk at any one time. Also, for the child, there is little choice as to what to do in the circulation department (i.e., wait in line, locate library card, check-out materials, etc.). It was not thought that the treatment in the classroom would affect the choice to use this department.

Frequency of use by individual students in the project was obtained by counting the number of times the students' names appeared on the sign-in sheets during the monitoring periods.

Duration of visits was obtained by noting on a sheet separate from the sign-in sheets when a student in the study arrived and when s/he left the Youth Services Department. When the staff member supervising the sign-in sheet noticed that a student in the study had arrived in the department, she unobtrusively noted on a separate sheet the name of the individual, the time, and a brief description of the person (e.g., blond, red shirt) on a data-gathering sheet. When the individual left the department, the time was noted so duration of visit could be obtained.

Activity data were gathered by using a time sampling technique. The observer checked what the student was doing for thirty seconds and noted the activity on the data collection sheet. When the student entered and left from the department, this was also noted.

Data were gathered as to what kinds of materials were checked out, and how many items were checked out. For each student in the study, on each visit to the library, it was noted how many items in each of the following categories were checked out: fiction books, non-fiction books, and non-book materials. The circulation system at the public library cannot give information on what individual patrons have checked out from the library; therefore, students were asked what materials they had chosen when they left the department. Since the library staff frequently talk with

patrons about materials, students in the study would not have found this unusual. Most students were asked about their choice of materials whether or not they were in the study.

A log of daily events external to the study was kept during all three phases of the experiment. Such information as weather conditions, community events, and school events were noted. Also, circulation statistics were noted and compared to circulation of past years so that any unusual influences during the treatment phase might be accounted for.

In order to ascertain if there were any postexperimental effects of the treatments, the same students monitored previously were monitored again. This monitoring was for the two-week period immediately after the treatment phase.

At the end of the treatment phase of data-gathering at the library, students received a questionnaire in their classroom administered by their teacher. The questionnaire asked about general patterns of usage and satisfaction with the public library. Questionnaires were signed so that the student could supply personal characteristics such as address, school and teacher. Teachers were also asked to fill out questionnaires pertaining to student reaction to the treatment and their evaluation of the benefits and limitations of the project.

These are the several instruments that were used during this project.

- 1. Student Information Sheets: The school district provided for each student in the randomly selected groups the name, address, sex, grade level and teacher.
- 2. Data-Gathering Sheets: These were used at the public library to record data on library use.
- 3. Daily Log: This was kept by the library staff to note weather and other external conditions of interest for each day that activities were monitored at the library.
- 4. Call for Volunteers: A letter explaining the project and the teachers responsibility was sent to all fifth and sixth grade teachers in the community schools.
- 5. Student Questionnaire: This asked the student to evaluate his/her experiences at the library.
- 6. Teacher Questionnaire: This asked for an evaluation of student behavior during the project. Control and treatment group teachers received similar questionnaires but the treatment group teachers had extra questions pertaining to the evaluation of the treatment lesson and activities.
- 7. Student Handouts: Students were provided with an information sheet on how to obtain a card from the public library. Students in the treatment groups were given a record checklist in order to help them keep track of their use of the library.

8. Parental Permission: A letter explaining the project and asking for permission for student involvement was sent to parents or guardians of students in classes volunteered by their teachers for the project.

### Design and Analytic Paradigm

Several kinds of data were collected. Anecdotal data were gathered and consisted of comments from teachers, students and library staff. Satisfaction in using the public library was assessed by the student questionnaire. Participants had an opportunity to evaluate the usefulness of the project and suggest modifications to lessons given in the classroom and services offered at the library.

Behavioral data were collected in five areas. These areas were: (1) frequency of public library usage (number of visits to the library), (2) duration (time in minutes) of library visits to the Youth Services Department, (3) quantity of library materials used (number of items), (4) type of library materials used (fiction, non-fiction, or non-book), and (5) use of time at the library (activities engaged in). In all cases, information was gathered from students before treatment to establish baselines or normal library usage. Data were then gathered during the treatment period in all five areas and compared to the baseline to obtain change scores.

The control variables were considered in the following combinations: 1) Group (treatment effect), 2) Teacher,
3) Gender and Group, 4) Grade and Group, and 5) School and
Group. The observational categories and the individual
questions on the questionnaire were the independent
variables.

The analytic paradigm for the observational data is as follows:

TABLE 2
OBSERVATIONAL DATA ORGANIZATION

		Set II Change Scores
	Treatment Minus (-) Baseline	Post-Treatment Minus (-) Baseline
Experimental		
Gifted		
Control		

In the analysis of the questionnaire data the answer for each group was compared for each question as well as for answers to all the questions combined.

Analysis of covariance was used to test for signifi-Distance the student lived from the library was Analysis of covariance was selected because it allows for adjustment of initial difference between groups. In a randomized experiment it serves to increase the precision of measuring the treatment effect. 3 Given that the distance the student lived from the public library is a strong factor in library usage, and since it can be measured, it can be controlled for in the analysis of covari-The strength of this design is that it can ance design. increase the power of analysis as compared with analysis of The weakness is that measurement error and other variance. non-experimental variance in the measurement can bias the treatment effect estimate. 4 Since there was a need to control for distance from the library, analysis of covariance was the statistic of choice as it allows for the control needed.

To control non-experimental variance further, analysis of covariance was used to test the effect on behavior of the variables teacher, gender, grade and school. One-way tests with LSD (Least Significant Difference) contrasts were then used on the variables that showed significance in the

<sup>3</sup>Thomas D. Cook and Donald T. Campbell, Quasi-Experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Setting (Chicago: Rand McNally, 1979), p. 153.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Ibid., p. 171.

analysis of covariance tests to ascertain which specific contrasts were significant. When the range of difference among groups was too small to be measured by the one-way tests, individual T-tests were used on all contrasts possible.

### CHAPTER IV

### RESULTS

Data were gathered in three ways. Observational Data were gathered at the public library continuously for six weeks, two weeks to establish baseline or normal library use, two weeks during the treatment period and two weeks immediately after the treatment period (post-treatment). The second type of data was collected by a questionnaire given to students in the fourteen participating classrooms after the treatment period. The third type of data that is presented is anecdotal, gathered from teachers by a questionnaire, comments of library staff, comments on the student questionnaire, and notes taken by the researcher during the study. Each type of data is presented in turn.

### Observational Data

Data were collected and organized to test the experimental hypotheses. The hypotheses related to the observational data address the following: frequency of library use, duration of library visits, activities students engaged in while at the library, and type and amount of material checked out from the library by students. In all cases

the observational data were analyzed as change scores. The first change considered was the difference between observed behavior during the treatment period and the observed behavior during the baseline period (treatment minus baseline). The second change considered was the difference between observations made during the post-treatment period and the observations made during the baseline period (post-treatment minus baseline). Change scores were compared so that the comparisons made would be of growth or increased incidence of observed behaviors during the treatment and post-treatment periods. Using change scores takes into account habits in library use of students prior to the introduction of the experimental treatment.

As it was expected that the treatment would stimulate an increase in library use, frequency of visits, duration of visits and circulation were observed as they represent three different ways of measuring library use. Since it was also expected that the treatment would change the student's use of time at the library, the activities of students were observed. In particular, it was expected that there would be an increase in time spent reading and studying, talking with others, talking with staff and looking for material. The other activities that were observed represent other things that could be done by fifth and sixth graders at the library.

Several statistical tests were used on the data. A11 tests were run on a computer, using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). First, a frequency distribution was run to check that data were entered correctly and to gather information on the sample of students on which data were collected. Secondly, an analysis of covariance was used to test significance of the data collected. dependent variable was group (control, treatment or gifted) and the covariate was distance the student lives from the library. Group and gender (male, female), group and grade level (fifth and sixth), group and school and teacher were also used, each in a separate test, as control variables in an analysis of covariance test to ascertain if gender, grade, school or teacher had significant influence on group performance.

The measure of distance the student lives from the public library was used as a covariate. Each student was asked to supply his or her address when filling out the questionnaire. the addresses were verified with a telephone book and a computer printout of addresses in the city served by the public library. Then each address was located on the official grid map of the city. After the address was located, north-south grids were counted between the address

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Norman Nie et al., SPSS: Statistical Package For the Social Sciences, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1975).

and the library. East-west grids were counted in a like manner and the east-west and north-south figures were added together and noted as the distance for each student. This method was chosen because it is a fair representation of distance, it produces numeric figures that measure equal units and are comparable and because the scale of the available map did not lend itself to using miles as a unit of measure.

When there are more than two groups compared, analysis of covariance does not reveal which of the group contrasts are significant, so one-way analysis of covariance tests with LSD contrasts were run next. The LSD (Least-Significant Difference) test was used with the one-way analysis to contrast ranges of the means of the group tested. The LSD test is similar to running tests on each possible contrast, but it is more efficient as it computes all the contrasts among groups on a variable in just one computer run. The LSD test is the most sensitive to variance (the most powerful) of any of the contrasts available for use with the SPSS program. The LSD test is a systematic procedure for comparing pairs of group means and it is exact for unequal groups.<sup>2</sup>

Where the one-way tests yielded no significant difference between ranges or a chart of the particular significant contrasts, these were the last tests run. Where the

<sup>2</sup>Normal Nie el al. SPSS: Statistical Package For the Social Sciences, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1975).

LSD test did yield significance, but the contrasts between ranges was too small to yield a chart of contrasts, t-tests were run to contrast group performance. The results of the tests of significance follow.

### Frequency:

There were seventy-two students in the observational study, twenty-four each from the control, experimental and gifted groups. In general, the sample drawn for the observation group was fairly evenly distributed on the characteristics of interest, gender, grade, school and teacher. The gifted group differed in these areas because the sample was drawn from only one classroom.

With the exception of the gifted group where there were more females, the sample was divided fairly evenly between males and females. In the total observation group there were twelve more females than males. In the control group there were two more males than females and in the experimental group there were four more females than males. The gifted group had the least even distribution with seventeen females and seven males in the group.

The total sample had more sixth graders (N=41) than fifth graders (N=31). The control group had seventeen fifth graders and seven sixth graders, the experimental group had

fourteen fifth graders and ten sixth graders and the gifted (N=24) was comprised of only sixth graders.

School distribution was slightly uneven among groups. School Three had the least students (N=11). School One had the second least (N=28) and School Two had the most students in the sample (N=33). The differences among groups are accounted for by the fact that the gifted group was drawn entirely from School Two. The control group had no students from School Two and the experimental group had only one student from School Three. Table 3 summarizes these results.

Twelve teachers taught students in the observation group. Two teachers did not have students in the observation group, as students were not randomly selected from their classes. There was one teacher, from School One, who taught students in the gifted group. Five teachers, three from School One and one each from Schools Two and Three, taught students in the experimental group. Six teachers, four from School One and two from School Three, taught students in the control group. The number of students any one teacher had in the observation group varied from one to twenty-four.

\_\_\_\_\_

TABLE 3

# OBSERVATION - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY GENDER, GRADE, SCHOOL

		TOTAL		CONTROL	EXPE	RIMENTAL		GIFTED
Gender	<u>N</u>	Per- centage	<u>N</u>	Per- centage	<u>N</u>	Per- centage	N	Per- centage
Male	30	41.7	13	54.2	10	41.7	7	29.2
Female_	42	58.3	11	45.8	14	58.3	17	70.8
Total	72		24		24		24	
Grade								
Fifth	31	43.1	17	70.8	14	58.3		
Sixth	41	56.9	7	29.2	10	41.7	24	100.0
Total	72		24		24		24	
School								
School #1	28	38.9	14	58.3	14	58.3	_	
School #2	33	45.8			9	37.5	24	100.0
School #3	11	15.3	10	41.7	1	4.2		
Total	72	n de la companya de	24		24		24	

TABLE 4

# OBSERVATION - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY TEACHER

		Number of Students in Class	Number of Students in Study	Percent of Total Number in Study	Group Desig- nation	School
Teacher						
Teacher	#1	27	24	33.3	Gifted	2
Teacher	#2	31	5	6.9	Ехр.	1
Teacher	#3	28	4	5.7	Ехр.	11
Teacher	#4	24	5	6.9	Ехр.	1
Teacher	#5	25	9	12.5	Exp.	2
Teacher	#6	23		1.4	Exp.	3
Teacher	#7	22	7	9.7	Control	1
Teacher	#8	23	· · · · . <b>7</b> · · · · ·	9.7	Control	3
Teacher	#9	23	3	4.2	Control	3
Teacher	#10	22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.4	Control	1
Teacher	#11	24	5	6.9	Control	1
Teacher	#12	26	· · · <b>1</b>	1.4	Control	11

72

100.0

OBSERVATION DISTANCE THE STUDENT LIVES FROM THE LIBRARY

TABLE 5

	Total	Control	Experimental	Gifted
Mean	17.025	17.229	16.438	17.408
Median	15.967	16.250	15.500	15.750

Figures represent number of grid marks between student's home and the library.

The frequency distributions for frequency and duration of visits showed lower library use in the baseline period, increased use in the treatment period and a drop-off in use in the post-treatment period. This was true for all groups observed. For the control group one child visited the library for twenty minutes in the baseline period, six students visited in the treatment period and stayed a combined total of two hundred and eighty-two minutes, and in the post-treatment period, four control group students visited the library for a combined total of thirty minutes. In the baseline period, two experimental group students visited the library for a combined total of eighty minutes. In the treatment period, eighteen experimental group students were at the library for a total of nine hundred and

forty-seven minutes and in the post-treatment period five students in the experimental group visited for a total of two hundred and eleven minutes. Twelve gifted students visited the library in the baseline period for a total of four hundred and seventy-six minutes. Sixteen gifted students stayed at the library for a total of four hundred and ninty-one minutes in the treatment period and three gifted students stayed at the library for forty minutes in the post-treatment period. Table 6 summarizes library use during the observation period.

TABLE 6

# OBSERVATIONAL DATA - USE OF THE PUBLIC LIBRARY BY FREQUENCY AND DURATION

			Post-
	Baseline	Treatment	Treatment
	Observation	ns Observation	ns Observations
Use For All Groups (N=72)			
Frequency (no. of visits)	15	30	12
Duration	576 min	. 1720 min	. 281 min.
Us e By			
Control Group (N=24)			
Frequncy	ş <b>1</b>	6	4
Duration	20 min	. 282 min.	30 min.
Use By			
Experimental Group (N=24)	,		
Frequency	2	18	5
Duration	80 min	. 947 min.	211 min.
Use By Gifted Group (N=24)			
Frequency	12	16	3
Duration	476 min	. 491 min.	40 min.

The frequency distribution showed that, overall, students spent the most time reading and studying, talking with others and looking for materials and the least time using the bathroom or doing nothing (daydreaming, waiting to meet someone, etc.). As shown in Table 7 there was an increase in use for all groups during the treatment period in time spent reading and studying, talking with others, looking for materials and "other" activities. There was an increase from baseline to post-treatment in time spent talking with staff and decreases in all the other activities.

The control group spent the most time during the six weeks of observation looking for materials, talking with staff and reading and studying. The control group had an increase in use during the treatment period in looking for materials, talking with staff and reading and studying. There were small increases in the post-treatment period in talking with staff, talking with others and "other" activities. Table 8 summarizes these findings.

The experimental group spent the most time during the six weeks of observation reading and studying and talking with others and the least amount of time using the bathroom or doing nothing. These students spent a moderate amount of time doing "other" activities, talking with staff and looking for materials. The experimental group had a substantial

increase in the treatment period in talking with others and reading and studying. There was a small increase in activity in the post-treatment period in attending programs, looking for materials, talking with staff and "other" activities. There were decreases in use from baseline to the post-treatment periods in reading and studying. Table 9 summarizes these findings.

The gifted group spent, overall, the most time reading and studying, looking for materials and talking with others. In the treatment periods there was an increase in talking with others, talking with staff and reading and studying. There were no increases in activities from the baseline to the post-treatment period for the gifted group. Table 10 summarizes these findings.

Students in all groups were relatively inactive in the baseline period, the most active in the treatment period and the least active in the post-treatment period. Increase in use during the treatment period was strongest for the experimental group on the activities most closely aligned with the treatment (talking with others, and reading and studying). The gifted group had increases in the treatment period in these activities and in talking with staff. The control group increased in these areas also, but the increases were very small. In the post-treatment period, the experimental group and the control group had small increases and the gifted group had no increases.

TABLE 7
OBSERVED ACTIVITIES FOR ALL GROUPS

	Baseline Observations	Treatment Observations	Post- Treatment Observations	Total Obser- vations
Activity				
A-Talking With Others	26	116	17	159
B-Talking With Staff	6	50	11	67
C-Reading/Studying	32	159	4	195
D-Using Card Catalog	7	5	3	15
E-Looking For Materials	25	70	11	106
F-Attending Library Programs	8	0	7	15
G-Using The Bathroom	2	1	0	3
H-Doing Nothing	0	3	0	3
I-Other		40	5	52
TOTAL	113	444	58	615

TABLE 8
OBSERVED ACTIVITIES FOR CONTROL GROUP

,				
	Baseline Observations	Treatment Observations	Post- Treatment Observations	Total Obser- vations
Activity				
A-Talking With Others	0	6	2	8
B-Talking With Staff	1	16	6	23
C-Reading/Studying	0	13	Ó	13
D-Using Card Catalog	1	0	0	1
E-Looking For Materials	<b>3</b>	29	2	34
F-Attending Library Programs	0	0	0	0
G-Using The Bathroom	0	0	0	0
H-Doing Nothing	0	0	0	0
I-Other	<u> </u>	<del></del>	1	8
TOTAL	5	71	11	87

OBSERVED ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT GROUP

TABLE 9

				_
	Baseline Observations	Treatment Observations	Post- Treatment Observations	Total Obser- vations
Activity				
A-Talking With Others	9	89	9	107
B-Talking With Staff	1	18	4	23
C-Reading/Studying	12	116	2	. 130
D-Using Card Catalog	0	1	2	3
E-Looking For Materials	<b>2</b>	15	6	23
F-Attending Library	ĵ O	0	7	7
Programs	U	U	,	7
G-Using The Bathroom	1	1	0	2
H-Doing Nothing	0	2	0	2
I-Other	1	20	<u> </u>	24
TOTAL	26	262	33	321

OBSERVED ACTIVITIES FOR GIFTED GROUP

TABLE 10

	Baseline Observations	Treatment Observations	Post- Treatment Observations	Total Obser- vations
Activity				
A-Talking With Others	17	21	6	44
B-Talking With Staff	4	16	1	21
C-Reading/Studying	20	30	2	52
D-Using Card Catalog	6	4	1	11
E-Looking For Materials	<b>20</b>	26	3	49
F-Attending Library Programs	8	0	0	8
G-Using The Bathroom	1	0	0	1
H-Doing Nothing	0	1	0	1
I-Other	6	13	<u>1</u>	_20
TOTAL	82	111	14	207

Circulation to all groups during the observational period was low. As all observers (library staff) were accurate in their count of books taken out, it is assumed that this is an accurate reflection of circulation for fifth and sixth graders.

Fiction was most often checked out by all the groups and the nonprint material was checked out the least often. The gifted group checked out the most items and the control group checked out the least. Table 11 summarizes the frequency distribution of circulation.

### Analysis of Covariance/T-Tests:

After determining, by use of the frequency distribution, that there were differences in observed behavior at the library among the groups, analysis of covariance was used to ascertain whether these differences were statistically significant. The analysis of covariance resulted in several significant comparisons. To determine how the groups contrasted, t-tests were run. The t-tests provided tests of student performance by treatment group (hypotheses One through Five). t-tests were used because the ranges of contrasts were too small to be measured by one-way tests with LSD contrasts. Two sets of change scores were compared on each variable. The first change measured was the difference between observations in the baseline and treatment

# TABLE 11 OBSERVATIONAL DATA

## CIRCULATION

	Baseline Observations	Treatment Observations	Post- Treatment Observations	Total
All Groups				
Fiction	18	24	8	50
Nonfiction	10	11	4	25
Nonprint	0	4	0	4
Total	28	39	12	79
Control Group				
Fiction	2	4	1	7
Nonfiction	0	0	2	2
Nonprint	0	0	0	0
Total	2	4	3	9
Experimental Group				
Fiction	2	3	4	9
Nonfiction	0	8	0	8
Nonprint	0	1	0	1
Total	2	12	4	18
Gifted Group				
Fiction	14	17	3	34
Nonfiction	10	3	2	. 15
Nonprint	0	3	0	3
Total	24	23	5	52

periods and the second change considered was the difference between the baseline and post-treatment periods.

In the treatment period the three groups of students varied significantly in duration of library visit, observed time talking with others, reading and studying and in the combined activities. In the post-treatment comparisons the three groups varied significantly in frequency and duration of visits, time spent looking for materials, in doing "other" activities and in the combined activities. There was no significant interaction effect in any test (alpha 0.05). The combined activities were arrived at by adding incidents of activity A through I together. Combined circulation was arrived at by adding the total number of items circulated. A summary of results of the analysis of covariance is found in Table 12 and Table 13. Individual analysis of covariance tables are found in Appendix C.

One-way tests were not run for gender as there were only two values possible and thus the contrasts were already drawn. One-way tests were run for treatment groups, but the variances among the groups was small enough so that the LSD contrast could not be drawn. T-tests were then run for all the contrasts possible for three groups on the variables that were significant in the analysis of covariance.

#### TABLE 12

#### OBSERVATION - ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE

#### SET I - TREATMENT OBSERVATIONS MINUS BASELINE OBSERVATIONS

## Comparison of Experimental, Control and Gifted Groups

#### Observation

Frequency of visits
Duration

\* No Significant Difference Significance Difference

A-Talking With Others
B-Talking With Staff
C-Reading/Studying
D-Using Card Catalog
E-Looking For Materials
F-Attending Library Programs
G-Using The Bathroom
H-Doing Nothing
I-Other

Significance Difference
No Significant Difference
Significance Difference
No Significant Difference

Fiction Non-fiction Non-print No Significant Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference

Combined Activities
Combined Circulation

Significance No Significant Difference

<sup>\*</sup> Analysis of Covariance was done using change scores.

#### TABLE 13

#### OBSERVATION - ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE

#### SET II - POST-TREATMENT OBSERVATIONS MINUS BASELINE OBSERVATIONS

## Comparison of Control, Experimental and Gifted Groups

#### Observations:

Frequency of visits
Duration of visits

\* Significance Difference Significance Difference

A-Talking With Others
B-Talking With Staff
C-Reading/Studying
D-Using Card Catalog
E-Looking For Materials
F-Attending Library Programs
G-Using The Bathroom
H-Doing Nothing
I-Other

No Significant Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference Significance Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference

Fiction Non-fiction Non-print No Significant Difference No Significant Difference No Significant Difference

Combined Activities
Combined Circulation

Significance No Significant Difference

<sup>\*</sup> Analysis of covariance was done using change scores.

#### T-Tests:

(hypotheses One through Five) t-tests were used, as the ranges of contrasts were too small to be measured by the one-way tests with LSD contrasts. Several contrasts were done on the independent variables that were found significant in the analysis of covariance. The group contrasts run on each variable were I) control versus experimental, II) control versus gifted, and III) experimental versus gifted. All contrasts in the t-tests were done using change scores. The first change considered was the treatment period score minus the baseline score. The second change considered was the post-treatment period score minus the baseline score.

An analysis of the first set of change scores showed that in the treatment period the experimental group increased time at the library, increased in observed talking with others, reading and studying and in doing "other" things and increased in the combined activities. This increase for the experimental group was significantly greater than the increases for the gifted and control groups. The experimental group had significantly higher use than the gifted group in duration, talking with others and reading and studying. The experimental group had significantly higher use than the control group in talking with others, reading and studying, "other" activities and in the combined activities. The

TABLE 14

### OBSERVATION - T-TEST SET I - TREATMENT MINUS BASELINE

	Contrast I (C vs. E)	Contrast II (C vs. G)	Contrast III (G vs. E)
Duration (in minutes)			
X:C=10.9167			
E=36.1250	N	N	S
G=0.6250			
Activity A - Talking With Others			
X:C=0.2500			
E=3.3333	S	N	S
G=0.1667			
	<u> </u>		
Activity C - Reading/			
Studying			
			*
X:C=0.5417			
E=4.3333	S	N	S
G=0.4167			
Activity I - Other			
X:C=0.2917			
E=0.7917	S	N	N
G=0.1250			
Combined Activities			······································
X:C=1.0417			
E=9.8333	S	N	N
G=2.9167			

X=Mean of change score, lower mean denotes less increase in activity C=Control Group, E=Experimental Group, G=Gifted Group N=Not Significant, S=Significant at the 0.05 level

control and gifted groups had no significant contrasts for the first set of t-Tests. Results are summarized in Table 14. Individual t-Test results are found in Appendix D.

An analysis of the second set of change scores shows that during the post-treatment period the experimental group had higher change scores than the control and gifted groups for the variables of frequency, duration, looking at materials, "other" and combined activities. The gifted group had the lowest change scores for these variables. The gifted group contrasted significantly with the experimental group in frequency, duration, looking for materials and "other" activities. The gifted group contrasted significantly with the control group in duration, looking for materials and the combined activities. The control group and the experimental groups had no significant contrasts in the second set of t-tests. A summary of these results is found in Table 15. Individual t-tests results are found in Appendix D.

#### Tests of Control Variables:

Analysis of Covariance and one-way tests with LSD contrasts were run on the variables teacher, group and gender, group and grade and group and school to measure the effects of these variables on group performance. Two sets of change scores were compared. The first set was the difference between the baseline and the treatment periods and the second set was the difference between the baseline and post-treatment periods.

TABLE 15

### SET II - POST-TREATMENT MINUS BASELINE

	Contrast I (C vs. E)	Contrast II (C vs. G)	Contrast III (G vs. E)
Frequency (# of visits)			
X:C=0.1250			
E=0.1250	N	N	S
G=-0.3750	<del></del>		<del>-</del>
			<del></del>
Duration (in minutes)			
X:C=0.4167			
E=5.4583	N	S	S
G=-18.1667			
	Ş		
Activity E - Looking	*		
For Materials			
X:C=0.0417			
E=0.1667	N	S	S
G=-0.7083			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Activity I - Other			
X:C=0.0417			
E=0.0833	N	N	S
G=-0.3750			
Combined Activities			
X:C=0.2500			
E=0.2917	N	S	s
G=-3.0000			

X=Mean of change score, lower mean denotes less increase in activity
C=Control Group, E=Experimental Group, G=Gifted Group
N=Not Significant, S=Significant at the 0.05 level

In the first comparison, neither school attended nor grade had any statistical effect on student performance.

Gender was only significant on the variable "other". Girls were observed doing more "other" activities than boys. Student performance varied on the same variables for teacher as it did for experimental group. These variables were duration of visit, talking with others, reading and studying and the combined activities.

Significant contrasts appear between two experimental group classrooms and at least three other classes for the variables duration, talking with others, reading and studying and the combined activities. A third experimental group classroom contrasted with other classes in reading and studying. In each case the experimental group classes had significantly higher use during the treatment period and contrasted with the gifted class, another experimental group class and the control group class. Though all one-way tests confirmed significance with an alpha of 0.05, the contrasts involving the three teachers with only one student in the observation group were not considered meaningful. Individual tests are found in Appendix D.

In the second set of comparisons that deal with the change from the baseline to the post-treatment period, teacher, grade, and school had no significant effect on student performance. The only significant change for gender was that girls engaged in more "other" activities than boys.

#### Summary:

Analysis of the observational data yields much information on sample characteristics and the results of the tests of significance. They are summarized as follows:

1. Sample:

The control and experimental groups are similar in the characteristics of interest. The gifted sample differs as it was drawn from a small population so it is one teacher, one school and one grade. The control, experimental and gifted groups follow the same relative trends in use of the public library. There was the least use in the baseline period, increased use in the treatment period and a drop-off in use in the post-treatment period. Circulation was low for all groups in all three time periods.

- 2. Analysis of Grade, School, Gender, Teacher and Distance
  - A. Grade and school attended had no significant effect on observed behavior at the library.
  - B. Girls engaged in more "other" behavior at the library than boys during both the treatment and the post-treatment periods. Otherwise, gender had no significant effect on behavior.
  - C. The covariate, distance, was not significant in any test done.

D. Teachers did significantly influence student performance in the library. Two experimental group teachers out of the twelve teachers had significantly stronger influence on student behavior. The variables for which this was true are the following: duration, talking with others, reading/studying and combined activities. A third teacher had influence on the reading and studying time of her students. Three teachers had more effect on student behavior than the other teachers in the study.

These tests were done in order to control the variations in student performance extraneous to the treatment given them. The statistical tests show that grade, school, gender and distance had little or no effect on student performance as observed in this study. Individual teachers have an effect on students separate from the treatment.

- 3. Analysis of Treatment Effects
  - A. The treatment had significant effect on the following variables: duration, talking with others,
    reading/studying and combined activities during the
    treatment period and duration, frequency, looking
    for materials, other and combined activities in the
    post-treatment period. Other variables showed no
    significant difference between groups.

- B. The experimental treatment had a positive effect in that when baseline activity was considered (change scores) the experimental group was more social (talked with others), spent more time reading and studying, did more "other" activities and were, overall, more active (combined activities) than the control group during treatment period. The experimental group was different from the gifted group in that the change scores were higher for the experimental group than for the gifted group for the variables of duration, talking with others and reading and studying.
- C. In the post-treatment period, the gifted group had low change scores, less activity in the post-treatment period than in the baseline, so that it contrasted with the experimental group and the control group on the variables of frequency, duration, "other" and combined activities and with the experimental group only on the variable, looking for materials. All use was low in the post-treatment period, but the experimental group lost the least amount of ground in this period.

#### Student Questionnaire

The questionnaires were given to all students in the fourteen participating classrooms. A copy of the question-naire is found in Appendix B. The purpose of the question-naire was to survey student opinion and satisfaction with the public library (hypothesis seven). The questionnaire was also designed to compare what students said they did at the library with what they were observed doing at the public library.

Similar tests of significance were used on the questionnaire as on the observational data. A frequency program was run to detect errors in entering data and to provide definition to the sample. Analysis of covariance was used with group, teacher, group and gender, group and grade and group and school as control variables on separate runs and with distance the student lives from the public library as the covariate. One-way analysis of variance with LSD contrasts was run on variables where there was significance in the analysis of covariance, in order to determine which group contrasts were significant when there were more than two groups tested. The results of these test follow and are reported in Tables 16 to 30.

#### Frequency:

There were two hundred ninety one students out of three hundred forty-four who filled out questionnaires

and lived in the community or had library cards. There were one hundred twelve student questionnaires out of one hundred forty from the control group, one hundred fifty-two questionnaires out of one hundred seventy-seven from the treatment group and twenty-seven questionnaires out of twenty-seven from the gifted group. Information on the gender, grade, school teacher and home address was available for each student who filled out a questionnaire.

A pattern of frequencies distribution similar to that of the frequencies distribution for the observation data was developed for the questionnaire. There is a fairly even distribution of males and females, fifth and sixth graders and numbers of teachers per group for the control and experimental groups but the gifted group differed in that it was made up of seventy-four per cent females, all sixth graders and had only one teacher for the group. The distribution for school was skewed in that the control group had no students from School Two and the gifted group had only students from School Two.

Again, as with the observation sample, the distance from home to the library was very even among groups. The variation of the mean distance was less than one unit of measure among the groups. A summary of the frequency distributions is found in Tables 16, 17 and 18.

positive about attending library programs, going to the library to see friends or using cassettes from the library. Most students said that they did not use records or newspapers from the library. Two hundred thirty-seven students said that they had used the library in the past year and two hundred fifty-four students said that they found what they wanted at the library. Over ninety per cent of the students said that they used books at the library and for most students the library was open convenient hours and was in a convenient location.

The pattern of answers for the control, experimental and gifted groups followed closely the response pattern for all the groups together described above. An exception was that the gifted group made the fewest positive comments about the library, and the experimental group made the most.

For question 1B (Who the student goes to the library with) responses indicated that most students came to the library with friends or family. Very few came with their school class and some came alone. The control and experimental groups followed this pattern closely. The students in the gifted group differed in that they came to the library alone, more often than the other students.

QUESTIONNAIRE - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION
BY GENDER, GRADE AND SCHOOL

TABLE 16

		Total	9	Control	Ex	perimenta	11	Gifted
Gender								
Male	147	50.5%	62	55.4%	78	51.3%	7	25.9%
Female	144	49.5%	50	44.6%	74	48.7%	20	74.1%
Total	291		112		152		27	
Grade								
Fifth	164	56.4%	63	56.3%	101	66.4%		
Sixth	127	43.6%	49	43.7%	51	33.6%	27	100%
Total	291		112		152		27	
School								
School #1	110	37.8%	44	39.3%	66	43.4%		
School #2	81	27.8%			54	35.5%	27	100%
School #3	100	34.4%	68	60.7%	_32	21.1%		
Total	291		112		152		27	

TABLE 17

## QUESTIONNAIRE - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY TEACHER

	N	Number of Students Study		School
Teacher #1	27	27 9.3	3% Gifted	2
Teacher #2	31	28 9.6	5% Experiment	tal 2
Teacher #3	28	26 8.9	Experiment	tal 2
Teacher #4	24	23 7.9	98 Experiment	tal 1
Teacher #5	25	22 7.6	Experiment	tal 1
Teacher #6	23	21 7.2	2% Experiment	tal 1
Teacher #7	22	22 7.6	S% Control	1
Teacher #8	23	22 7.6	S% Control	1
Teacher #9	23	19 6.5	S% Control	3
Teacher #1	0 23	15 5.2	% Control	3
Teacher #1	1 23	18 6.2	% Control	3
Teacher #1	2 22	17 5.8	8% Experiment	tal 3
Teacher #1	3 24	15 5.2	e Experiment	al 3
Teacher #1	4 26	16 5.5	% Control	3

TABLE 18

## QUESTIONNAIRE DISTANCE THE STUDENT LIVES FROM THE LIBRARY

	Total	Control	Experimental	Gifted
Mean	18.163	18.906	17.740	17.463
Median	17.094	18.083	16.250	16.000

Figures represent number of grid marks between home and library

In tabulating the responses, the number of positive answers were counted so they could be compared. When students were asked for comments on the library in Question Six, no comment at all was considered neutral and not counted, complaints were counted as negative and favorable statements were counted as positive. For Question Six, then, the number of responses recorded (120) was considerably less than the total number of people (291) filling out questionnaires. The control group had only forty-one responses to Question Six and the experimental group had sixty-one responses. The gifted group had eighteen responses to this question. A summary of responses to questions on the student questionnaire is found in Tables 19 to 23.

#### Analysis of Covariance/One-Way Tests:

Analysis of covariance was used to determine if students answered the questionnaire differently by group.

One-Way tests of significance were then run with LSD contrasts on variables that showed significance in the analysis of covariance to determine the nature of the contrasts.

Group answers were compared on each question and, also, in order to compare overall performance on the questionnaire, the scores for all the questions were added together to form the combined question score. The positive answers were

TABLE 19

# QUESTIONNAIRE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGES OF POSITIVE RESPONSES (ALL GROUPS) (N-291)

	No. of Positive Responses	% of Positive Responses
Q1A-Library Use	237	81.4
Q2A-Study	139	47.8
Q2B-See Friends	30	10.3
Q2C-Library Programs	25	8.6
Q2D-Take Materials Home	153	52.6
Q3A-Books	265	91.1
Q3B-Records	76	26.1
Q3C-Cassettes	45	15.5
Q3D-Newspaper	99	34.0
Q3E-Quiet Place	184	63.2
Q3F-Do Homework	198	68.0
Q3G-Librarian's Help	127	43.6
Q3H-Programs	94	32.3
Q4-Satisfaction	254	87.3
Q5A-Not Enough Time*	224	77.0
Q5B-Do Homework	160	55.0
Q5C-Library Not Open*	239	82.1
Q5D-Librarians Helpful	251	86.3
Q5E-Library Hard To Get To*	251	86.3
Q6-Comments N=120 (total comme	nts) 67	55.8

<sup>\*</sup>Questions are stated in the negative, so a "false" answer is positive and was tabulated as such.

#### TABLE 20

# QUESTIONNAIRE NUMBER OF POSITIVE RESPONSES CONTROL GROUP (N=112)

	No. of Positive Responses	-8
Q1A-Library Use	80	71.4
Q2A-Study	47	42.0
Q2B-See Friends	4	3.6
Q2C-Library Programs	9	8.0
Q2D-Take Materials Home	47	42.0
Q3A-Books	95	84.8
Q3B-Records	30	26.8
Q3C-Cassettes	14	15.2
Q3D-Newspaper	37	33.0
Q3E-Quiet Place	65	58.0
Q3F-Do Homework	67	59.8
Q3G-Librarian's Help	42	37.5
Q3H-Programs	33	29.5
Q4-Satisfaction	91	81.3
Q5A-Not Enough Time*	75	67.0
Q5B-Do Homework	57	50.9
Q5C-Library Not Open*	92	82.1
Q5D-Librarians Helpful	94	83.9
Q5E-Library Hard To Get To*	94	83.9
Q6-Comments N=41 (total comm	ents) 21	51.2

<sup>\*</sup>Questions are stated in the negative, so a "false" answer is positive and was tabulated as such.

#### TABLE 21

# QUESTIONNAIRE NUMBER OF POSITIVE RESPONSES TREATMENT GROUP (N=152)

	No. of	
	Positive Responses	<del></del>
Q1A-Library Use	130	85.5
Q2A-Study	68	44.7
Q2B-See Friends	19	12.5
Q2C-Library Programs	13	8.6
Q2D-Take Materials Home	88	57.9
Q3A-Books	143	94.1
Q3B-Records	42	27.6
Q3C-Cassettes	26	17.1
Q3D-Newspaper	46	30.3
Q3E-Quiet Place	96	63.2
Q3F-Do Homework	105	69.1
Q3G-Librarian's Help	70	46.1
Q3H-Programs	42	37.5
Q4-Satisfaction	137	90.1
Q5A-Not Enough Time*	125	82.2
Q5B-Do Homework	82	53.9
Q5C-Library Not Open*	124	81.6
Q5D-Librarians Helpful	131	86.2
Q5E-Library Hard To Get To*	133	87.5
Q6-Comments N=61 (total comm	ents) 38	62.2

<sup>\*</sup>Questions are stated in the negative, so a "false" answer is positive and was tabulated as such.

TABLE 22

# QUESTIONNAIRE NUMBER OF POSITIVE RESPONSES GIFTED GROUP (N=27)

	No. of Positive Responses	-8
Q1A-Library Use	27	100.0
Q2A-Study	24	88.9
Q2B-See Friends	7	25.9
Q2C-Library Programs	3	11.1
Q2D-Take Materials Home	18	66.7
Q3A-Books	27	100.0
Q3B-Records	4	14.8
Q3C-Cassettes	2	7.4
Q3D-Newspaper	16	59.3
Q3E-Quiet Place	23	85.2
Q3F-Do Homework	26	96.3
Q3G-Librarian's Help	15	55.6
Q3H-Programs	12	44.4
Q4-Satisfaction	26	96.3
Q5A-Not Enough Time*	24	88.9
Q5B-Do Homework	21	77.8
Q5C-Library Not Open*	23	85.2
Q5D-Librarians Helpful	26	96.3
Q5E-Library Hard To Get To*	24	88.9
Q6-Comments N=18 (total comm	ents) 8	44.5

<sup>\*</sup>Questions are stated in the negative, so a "false" answer is positive and was tabulated as such.

TABLE 23

QUESTIONNAIRE:
QUESTION 1B - POSITIVE RESPONSES

Q1B. I usually come to the library ...

	All	Groups	Co	ntrol	Expe	rimental	G	ifted
Alone	39	13.4%	17	15.2%	15	10.0%	7	25.9%
With Family	94	32.3%	36	32.1%	51	33.6%	7	25.9%
With Friends	120	41.2%	<b>37</b>	33.0%	71	47.7%	12	44.4%
With School			ý					
Class	5	1.7%	3	2.7%	2	1.2%	0	
No Answer	27	9.3%	17	15.2%	10	6.5%	0	
More Than One Answer	6	2.1%	2	1.8%	3	1.0%	_1	3.7%
Total N	291		112		152		27	

coded as "1" and the negative answers were coded as "2", so that when the more the positive answers given by the students, the lower the mean scores.

Group was significant on the first question (Have you used the library during the past year?) and the combined question. Group was also significant for question 2-A (Do you use the Library for study?), 2-B (Do you meet friends at the library?), 3-F (Do you do homework at the library?) and 5-A (I dnon't have time to go to the library.). A summary of these results is found in Table 24 and individual tables are found in Appendix F.

In the six variables where treatment was a significant influence, there were at least two groups of the three contrasted. The control group contrasted with the experimental groups on all but one variable. Students in the control group responded significantly differently from both experimental groups on Question 1A (Have you used the library in the past year?), 2B (Do you meet friends at the library?), 5A (I do not go to the library because I do not have enough time.) and the combined question. The gifted group and the experimental group contrasted on question 2A (Do you use the library for study?), 2B (Do you meet friends at the library?) and 3F (Do you use library materials for homework?). A summary of these results is found in Tables 24 to 29.

## TABLE 24 QUESTIONNAIRE: ANALYSIS\_OF COVARIANCE

	Effect of the Treatment Among Groups
Q1A-Library Use	Significant Difference
Q1B-Who with	No Significant Difference
Q2A-Study	Significant Difference
Q2B-See Friends	Significant Difference
Q2C-Library Programs	No Significant Difference
Q2D-Take Materials Home	No Significant Difference
Q3A-Books	No Significant Difference
Q3B-Records	No Significant Difference
Q3C-Cassettes	No Significant Difference
Q3D-Newspaper	No Significant Difference
Q3E-Quiet Place	No Significant Difference
Q3F-Do Homework	Significant Difference
Q3G-Librarian's Help	No Significant Difference
Q3H-Programs	No Significant Difference
Q4-Satisfaction	No Significant Difference
Q5A-Not Enough Time	Sigificant Difference
Q5B-Do Homework	No Significant Difference
Q5C-Library Not Open	No Significant Difference
Q5D-Librarians Help	No Significant Difference
Q5E-Library Hard To Get To	No Significant Difference
Q6-Comments	No Significant Difference
Q-Combined	Significant Difference

In question 1A (Have you used the library in the past year?), Table 24, the control group answered positively less often than the experimental group and the gifted group.

There was no significant difference between the experimental group and the gifted group in answering this question. In question 2A (I usually go to the library to study), Table 25, the gifted group had significantly more positive answers than either the control or the experimental group. The experimental group was the least positive in answering this question. The experimental group did not contrast with the control group for question 2A.

To the statement, "I usually go to the library to meet friends", question 2B, Table 26, the control group students responded less positively than the students in the experimental group and the gifted group. The gifted group was significantly more positive than the experimental group about meeting friends at the library. In question 3F (Do you use the materials at the library for homework?), Table 27, the gifted group was significantly more positive than either the experimental group or the control group. The experimental group and the control group did not contrast significantly for this question.

In question 5A (I do not go to the library because I do not have enough time), Table 28, the control group said this statement was true more often than the experimental or

gifted group. The gifted group and the experimental group did not contrast significantly. For the combined question score (Table 29) the control group was the least positive overall and contrasted significantly with the experimental and the gifted groups. The gifted group was the most positive but did not contrast significantly with the treatment group.

For the six questions where there were significant contrasts by group, the control group was the least positive on five of the questions. The experimental group was the least positive in the other question. The gifted group was the most positive in answering each of the six questions.

#### Tests of Control Variables:

Analysis of covariance and one-way tests with LSD contrasts were run on the variables teacher, group and gender, group and grade and school to measure the effects of these variables on student answers to the questions on the questionnaire. There were seven variables (questions) where student answers were influenced by the classroom teacher. The LSD contrasts run on these variables compared the answers of students by class and, in all cases, there were several significant contrasts. The treatment did not seem to affect teacher influence as gifted, experimental and control group

#### TABLE 25

## QUESTIONNAIRE: ONE-WAY TEST QUESTION 1A - HAVE YOU USED THE LIBRARY IN THE PASY YEAR? STUDENT RESPONSE BY GROUP

	Gifted	Experimental	Control
Gifted X= 1.0000			
Experimental X= 1.1391			
Control X= 1.2793	S	S	

X is the group mean, S denotes significance at an alpha level of 0.05. The higher the mean, the fewer positive responses.

### TABLE 26 QUESTIONNAIRE: ON

## QUESTIONNAIRE: ONE-WAY TEST QUESTION 2A - I USUALLY GO TO THE LIBRARY TO STUDY STUDENT RESPONSE BY GROUP

	Gifted	Control	Experimental
Gifted X= 1.1111			
Control X= 1.5104	S		
Experimental X= 1.5342	S		

# TABLE 27 QUESTIONNAIRE: ONE-WAY TEST QUESTION 2B - I USUALLY GO TO THE LIBRARY TO MEET FRIENDS STUDENT RESPONSE GROUP

	Gifted	Experimental	Control
Gifted X= 1.7407			
Experimental X= 1.8699	s		and the state of t
Control X= 1.9573	S	s	

X is the group mean, S denotes significance at an alpha level of 0.05. The higher the mean, the fewer positive responses.

# TABLE 28 QUESTIONNAIRE: ONE-WAY TEST QUESTION 3F - DO YOU USE MATERIALS AT THE LIBRARY FOR HOMEWORK? STUDENT RESPONSES BY GROUP

	···			
	Gifted	Experime	ntal	Control
Gifted X= 1.0370				
Experimental X= 1.2708	s			
Control X= 1.2872	S		*	
K is the group me level of 0.05. T responses.				
QUESTION 5A - I D	ESTIONNAIDO NOT GO THAVE	ABLE 29 RE: ONE-WAY THE CO THE LIBRARY ENOUGH TIME SPONSES BY GROUNDS	BECAUS	E I DO
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Control	Experimental	Gi	fted
Control X= 1.6574				
Experimental X= 1.8099	S			
Gifted X= 1.8889	S			
				<del> </del>

X is the group mean, S denotes significance at an alpha level of 0.05. The lower the mean, the fewer positive responses.

# TABLE 30 QUESTIONNAIRE: ONE-WAY TEST COMBINED QUESTIONS STUDENT RESPONSES BY GROUP

	Gifted	Experimental	Control
Gifted			,
X= 35.3333			
Experimental X= 43.0529			وه و در
Control			
X = 52.0893	S	S	

X is the group mean, S denotes significance at an alpha level of 0.05. The higher the mean, the fewer positive responses.

classes were included in significant contrasts for each of the significant variables.

The questions differing by teacher were 1A (Have you used the library in the past year?), 2A (Do you use the library to study?), 3D (Do you use the newspaper at the library?), 3F (Do you use library material for homework?), 5B (I go to the library to do my homework.), 6 (Comments) and the combined question score.

Teachers did influence student responses on the questionnaire. For each of the variables for which there were contrasts, there were several teachers involved in the contrasts. Teachers did not hold the same relative positions

in these contrasts and treatment received had no consistent effect on teacher influence.

Tests for gender showed that girls answered more positively than boys for questions 1A (Have you used the library in the past year?), 2D (Do you take library materials home?), 5D (Librarians are helpful.) and for the three questions about study and homework (2A, 3F and 5B). Boys stated that they used cassettes more often than girls stated this. Girls made more comments than boys.

Grade had the least effect of any of the control variables on student answers to questions asked on the questionnaire. Sixth graders said that they used the library to study (2A), attended library programs (2C) and used books (3A) more often than fifth graders said they did these things. Sixth graders made more comments than fifth graders.

School influence was significant for the responses to five questions and the combined question score. These questions were 1A (Have you used the library in the past year?), 3F (Do you use materials at the library for homework?), 5B (I go to the library to do homework), 5D (Librarians are helpful), 6 (Comments) and the combined question. Students from School Three were less positive significantly more often than students from the other two schools in every significant contrast.

#### Summary:

Analysis of the questionnaire data leads to the following conclusions.

#### 1. Sample:

As in the observational data, the control and experimental groups are similar in the charactereistics of interest. The gifted group differs from the other groups because it was drawn from one classroom and thus has only one teacher, one grade and one school represented. Again, the average distance from home to library was similar for all the groups.

All groups were positive about use of the public library according to the questionnaire (Hypothesis Seven). The groups varied somewhat in which specific services and materials were used.

- 2. Analysis of Grade, School, Gender, Teacher and Distance:
  - A. Gender. Girls reported, on the questionnaire, library use more often than boys. They also reported that they used the library for study or homework (Q2A, Q3F, Q5B) more often than the boys did. Girls reported taking materials home more often than did the boys and they said they went to the library for help more often than boys. On the questionnaire boys reported using cassettes more often than girls.

There were no other significant differences between boys and girls in how they responded to the questionnaire.

- B. Grade. On the questionnaire sixth graders said they attended library programs and used books more often than fifth graders. Sixth graders also reported that they used the library to study more often than fifth graders reported this. On other questions there was no significant difference in the answers of fifth and sixth graders.
- C. Teachers. Teachers did significantly influence students' answers on questions about the public library. Significant contrasts were found on the following questions: Q1A-Library Use, Q2A-Study, Q3D-Newspaper, Q3F-Homework, Q5A-Not Enough Time, Q5B-Homework, Q6-Comments and Q-Combined.

In all these contrasts except for Q5A-Not Enough
Time each teacher showed significant differences
with one or more of the other teachers in the study.
No strong pattern of differences developed among
these contrasts for particular teachers. Teacher #3
(gifted) had the most positive responses in four
contrasts and Teacher #5 (experimental) had the most
negative responses in four contrasts.

Other teachers did not hold the same relative position from contrast to contrast. In Q1A- Library Use the six teachers who had the most negative responses all taught in the same school (School Three). No other patterns appear in the contrasts and group (control, experimental or gifted) participation did not seem to affect the teacher contrasts.

D. <u>School</u>. School did significantly influence student answers to questions about the public library. Significant contrasts were found on the following questions: Q1A-Library Use, Q3F-Homework, Q5D-Librarian Helpful, Q6-Comments, and Q-Combined.

School Three had the lowest number of positive responses on every significant contrast and in all these contrasts School Three differed significantly from both School One and School Two. School Three also has a slightly more transient student body than the other two schools.

#### 3. Analysis of Treatment Effects:

The treatment affected student answers on the questionnaires. The following questions showed significant differences among groups: Q1A-Library Use, Q2A-Study, Q2B-See Friends, Q3F-Homework, Q5A-No Time, and Q-Combined.

The gifted group had the most positive responses in all of these significant contrasts and there were at least two significant contrasts for each of these variables. The gifted group reported using the library for study, meeting friends and doing homework at the library more often than the other two groups. The control group reported using the library less often than the other groups and the control group reported seeing friends less often than the other groups. The control group stated that they did not have enough time to go to the library more often than the other groups stated this.

#### Descriptive Data

Both teachers and students filled out questionnaires on the last day of the treatment period. All fourteen teachers returned completed questionnaires. Students were asked for additional comments on their questionnaire and many took the opportunity to add them. Library staff also noted comments made by students at the library. Information on weather, community events and circulation were recorded in a daily log during the observation period and library staff commented on the project. A summary follows.

#### Teachers Comments:

All fourteen teachers were positive about their involvement in the project. All teachers had used a public library in the past year, and all said they suggested to their students that they should use the public library. Ten out of the fourteen teachers felt that students knew how to use the library to meet their needs. All eight teachers in the experimental and gifted groups wanted to be involved in a similar program (treatment) in the following school year, and many commented that they would like to have the program expanded to more of the school year.

When teachers were asked what problems their students might have in using the public library, time, transportation and motivation ranked high. When asked for solutions to these problems, only one teacher mentioned more active encouragement by the teacher. The other teachers suggested more contact with the librarian and more class visits to the library as well as more parent involvement as solutions. Though every teacher could cite benefits of public library use by students, only one saw teacher attitudes and encouragement as a factor in that use.

#### Students Comments:

Student comments included generally positive statements ("It's a nice library" or "Librarians are nice") as well as specific buying suggestions ("You need more books on bones and the human body"). The other common comment from the experimental and gifted groups was that the library does not have enough multiple copies of popular titles. This was the only type of comment that was different from group to group.

The students in all three groups who came to the library during the observation period were very interested in "being in an experiment." Though no student understood what data were being collected, they knew from the letter sent to the parents explaining the project that they were part of an experiment. Students in the experimental and gifted groups asked for "the lady who came to visit" to get suggestions of good books to read or to say hello. Other comments by students at the library were specific requests for information, specific requests for particular books or positive comments about the help received.

#### Other Descriptive Data:

Weather was probably not an important factor in this project. The baseline period had the best weather with nine nice days. The post-treatment period had four nice days with the rest of the weather being overcast and chilly. The treatment period had only three nice days with the rest being overcast and rainy.

either the baseline or post-treatment periods. During the treatment period there was community carnival for three days and Mother's Day fell within this period. The effects of these events on library use seemed minimal, so it is unlikely that outside events were a factor in student behavior. The only external factor that probably did have an effect on student use was that the post-treatment period came very close to the end of the school year and teachers gave very few research assignments during this time.

Because the public library changed circulation systems two weeks before the collection of the observational data, there is no way to make a direct comparison of circulation changes during the observation period. The circulation during the observation period did seem to be similar to circulation for the same time period in years past. The Youth Services Department continued to experience modest growth (8%) for the months of the observational period. The only circulation pattern that was unusual was that the books used in the librarian's visit were in extremely high demand. Several of the books were on reserve and one book, A View From the Cherry Tree by Willo Roberts (Atheneum, 1975), had more reserves on it than any children's book ever had in the library. The library owned twelve copies of the book and had forty reserves on it. Several teachers asked for copies

of the various books shared by the librarian and resource center teachers in all three schools reported increased demand for the books.

Library staff reported increased awareness of who was using the Youth Services Department and all staff members commented on the increased use by fifth and sixth graders during the treatment period. Staff indicated that they were comfortable with the method of gathering data and confident that the data gathered provided accurate representation of library use by fifth and sixth graders.

#### CHAPTER V

#### CONCLUSIONS

The object of this study was to ascertain how upper elementary students use a local public library and whether this use can be affected by specific activities done in the school classroom. It was also planned that a method of data collection would be developed that would facilitate replication of the present study as well as aid other librarians in finding out more about use of the public library by elementary school students. Data related to these concerns were collected and analyzed and information can be reported for each of these areas of concern as well as information concerning school-public library cooperation.

During the early stages of development, the emphasis changed somewhat. Originally it was thought that library skills needed to be reinforced in the public library setting, but it became clear from comments of both teachers and the students themselves, that library skills had been adequately presented and the mechanics of library use mastered. The need seemed to be for motivation to use the library and information on specific library procedures and holdings.

The study was designed to address these needs rather than skills reinforcement.

### Patterns of Use:

The fifth and sixth graders in this study initially did not make enough use of the public library to have a discernible pattern of use. While all groups increased their use during the treatment period, the control group continued to use the public library the least throughout the observation. But, when use was stimulated by classroom activities, the students in the experimental groups changed their pattern of use. They came to the library to study, to meet with friends and to use the library's media. Students seemed to perceive the library's more traditional services (book and reference services) as useful.

It should be mentioned that most students in the treatment groups expressed enthusiasm for use of the library's computer. Most students were anxious to use the public access computer, but the library's equipment was unavailable for use for the entire observational period so no measure of use of this service was possible. Other than this, no significant interest was expressed for other library services such as library programs or nonbook media.

Two other trends emerged to complete the pattern of public library use by upper elementary students. They did

not take materials home very often. They seemed to much prefer to use materials at the library. The other aspect of use in this community that was not expected was that distance the student lived from the library did not affect actual use. It had some effect on the perception of what the public library had to offer, but few students said they had trouble getting to the library when they were asked directly about this on the questionnaire.

The pattern then, for these students, is one of nonuse for those not encouraged to use the library in the
classroom (control group). For students who did use the
library the pattern was to use books at the library and
also, most typically, they would come with or meet friends
to socialize and to do homework. Distance lived from the
library was not an important factor in library use. Also,
students did not take materials home, though most of the
library's collection is available for circulation. Students
as a group did not seem to come to the library for programs
or to use nonbook materials. The pattern that emerged is
book oriented and is socially motivated and directed by
needs for information for homework.

Two patterns of library use emerge, one was of no use at all and one was of the use of book materials and the library as a social center. It would seem that library promotion directly to fifth and sixth graders is essential

to getting these students to use the library. Without the support of teachers and activities in the classroom, students did not use the library. After the promotion of library services, use in the experimental group increased. If librarians wish to attract older elementary students, it would seem that promotion in school should be an integral part of library service. Librarians cannot expect students to use services they do not know or understand.

It is also the librarian's decision as to what to promote. In this study, books and reference services were introduced and the pattern of use reflected this bias. In this study, records, cassette tapes or periodicals could have been promoted with the expectation that their use would have increased. In addition, lack of non-print media use in this study suggests that these are areas for collection and evaluation and development.

As it was seen that fifth and sixth graders like to socialize, it is important for librarians to plan for this and capitalize on peer relationships when promoting the library. Stressing that the library is a friendly place and providing for both group and individual study would likely attract students who expect to meet friends at the library. Rules for acceptable socializing need to be clear, but if the library is seen as a place where many students go, it may attract individual students because it has the

acceptance of the group. It would seem that a realistic attitude toward student behavior, materials selection to meet fifth and sixth graders' needs specifically, and continued promotion are keys to lasting increases in the use of the library.

# Effects of the Treatment

In addition to conclusions relating directly to the experimental hypotheses, there are several other conclusions to be made based on the data. Results of analysis of the hypothese will be presented first, then the interpretation of the results will be presented.

## Hypotheses:

There are two tests for hypotheses one to five as stated in Hypothesis Six. Test One considered the change between performance in the baseline and the treatment periods and Test Two treated the change in performance between the baseline and post-treatment periods. Each hypothesis will be considered in turn on both tests.

1. There was no difference in the frequency (number of visits) with which students use the public library among treatment group A, treatment group B and the control group.

In Test One the data analysis showed that Hypothesis One should not be rejected because there was no significant difference in the number of visits to the public library among groups. However, in Test Two, Hypothesis One can be rejected as the gifted group used the public library significantly less in the post-treatment period than either the control or the experimental group.

2. There is no difference in duration (time in minutes) of visits to the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group and the control group.

Again, the two tests were run and in both tests

Hypothesis Two was rejected. In Test One the experimental

differed significantly from the gifted group and in Test Two

gifted group differed significantly from experimental group

and the control group.

3. There is no difference in usage of time (activities engaged in) at the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group and the control group.

If one considers the measure of activities as the combined activities, Hypothesis Three can be rejected on both Test One and Test Two. In Test One the control group engaged in significantly fewer activities than the experimental group. The gifted group spent the least amount of the time in the library so, in Test Two, the gifted group was significantly less active than experimental group or the control group.

If one considers the measure of activities as the individual activities observed, the results of Test One and Two are mixed. Three out of nine activities showed significance among groups in Test One, and two out of nine activities showed significance among groups in Test Two. Because there were some significant differences in activities, Hypothesis Three would also be rejected with this measure.

Both Hypotheses Four and Five deal with circulation of library materials.

4. There is no difference in type of library materials checked out from the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group and the control group.

5. There is no difference in the amount (number of items) of library materials checked out from the public library by students among the experimental group, the gifted group and the control group.

There were no significant differences in circulation among groups so neither hypothesis Four or Five was rejected.

Hypothesis Six dealt with the two tests run on the observational data. Hypothesis Seven concerns data collected by the student questionnaire.

- 6. There is no difference in baseline, experimental and post-experimental measures among groups as tested on each aspect above.
- 7. There is no difference in the level of satisfaction attained in the use of the public library by students assessed by a student questionnaire among the experimental group, the gifted group and the control group.

Hypothesis Seven cannot be rejected on the basis of question four on the questionnaire as there was no significant difference in the opinions among groups. All groups

were positive about the use of the library and stated that they were successful in finding what was wanted when they went to the library. In addition to the specific findings relating directly to the hypotheses, there were some general findings of interest.

The treatment did have significant impact on student behavior. Though frequency of visit was not significantly different from group to group, the total amount of time spent at the public library and the number of activities engaged in at the public library did differ. Students in the experimental group spent more time at the library and behaved differently while there than the students in the control group.

While the treatment had impact on student behavior during the treatment period, it had little effect during the post-treatment period. One reason for this may have been the fact that the post-treatment period fell very close to the end of the school year and teachers gave fewer research assignments during this time. Also, there may have been a feeling by students that they did not need to study or use the library because school demands were lessened. Future study would be needed to ascertain if the time of the school year has significant effect on student behavior.

The gifted group was less affected by the treatment than the experimental group. The gifted group was, without the treatment, already using the library so that there was less change in their behavior from baseline use to treatment use. Also, the treatment seemed to have no lasting effect on the gifted group as student use of the library dropped off more for this group than the others during the post-treatment period. One might conclude that the gifted students have a background or history of public library use and are already "sold on libraries" so extra promotion of library service caused less change for this group than the others. It may also be that the gifted students were more motivated to use the public library by school assignments than the other groups so that when the school assignments stopped in the post-treatment period, the gifted students stopped using the library.

It is difficult to tell why the gifted students'
pattern of use was different from the pattern of non-gifted
students, just as it is difficult to state that their
behavior was typical or representative of all gifted
students. It may be that materials and services that are of
interest to the non-gifted students are not interesting or
enticing to gifted students. If this is the case, then
higher level activities and promotion of adult materials
would be more effective with gifted groups.

Another conclusion to be drawn from the data is that the treatment had more effect on the experimental group students' behavior than on their expressed satisfaction with the library. The stimulation of the classroom teacher to

use the public library, the introduction of library service and the self-monitoring stimulated the experimental group to act differently from the other groups but not necessarily to think differently about the library.

The treatment was aimed at increasing use of the The experimental group spent more time at the library (duration) than the other groups, so in this sense the treatment was effective. It was also expected that the treatment would encourage students to talk with staff, talk with others and spend more time reading and studying. During the treatment the students in the experimental and gifted groups met the librarian and were encouraged to come to the library with friends or to plan to meet friends at the library. The library was described as a place where these students and their friends were welcome and a place that they could use to socialize. During the treatment period both the librarians and the teachers encouraged students to use the library for study and recreational The treatment was effective in promoting these activities in that the experimental group did spend more time socializing and talking with others and reading and studying and they were generally more active than the other groups as they did more "other" things and had a higher combined score.

There were several activities where there were no significant differences among groups either on the questionnaire or in the observation. These activities, however, were not ones emphasized in the treatment. The focus of the treatment was important in that the effects of the treatment were greatest in the areas that were promoted and the least important in the areas not emphasized by the treatment.

All groups saw the public library as a supplier of books more often than of other forms of materials. Groups took about the same amount of time to use the card catalog and find materials and to interact with library staff. All groups thought the library was accessible, except that the control group thought that they did not have the time to use the library. In all these areas, it is not so much that the treatment had no effect, but that all students had positive attitudes, accurate perceptions of the collection and skill in library use before the study began.

Several of the hypotheses could not be rejected. One of the areas in which the treatment did not affect students was circulation. There was no significant difference in the type of materials checked out. All over circulation was low for all students observed. No one group was different from the others.

One reason students may not take materials home is that they do the work at the library and have no need to

take material out. Another reason students may not take materials home is that they do not wish to run the risk of losing materials or having overdue fines. Though lost and overdue materials are fairly infrequent, many students expressed concern about these matters to the librarian during her visit to the classroom.

The other reason students may not have checked out materials is that the public library collection may not contain what fifth and sixth graders want. Many students did comment that the library needed more copies of books on particular topics and more copies of popular titles. The pattern of use indicates that library needs are based on class assignments or books that are popular among groups of students so that the public library may need to examine its practice of purchasing few multiple copies of titles in the collection.

The study showed that some teachers had an affect on student use of the library separate from the treatment. Several teachers exerted influence on their students' answers to the questionnaire and three teachers influenced the students' observed behavior. The most likely explanation for teacher influence on the questionnaire is that the teacher administered the questionnaire and had the opportunity to discuss the answers with the students. Students may have obtained the idea of the "right" answers from such discussions.

The teachers who influenced student behavior had all promoted library use prior to the beginning of the project. The cumulative effect of this prior promotion of the library by these teachers plus the treatment made the students use the library more often. However, other teachers in the study had promoted the use of the library earlier in the school year also, so it is unlikely that the prior behavior of the teachers accounted for the differences in student performance by teacher. The three teachers who had significantly positive effects on the students' behavior were very enthusiastic about the project and may have embellished the treatment or been more aggressive in carrying out the activities suggested for use during the treatment period. On the other hand, the treatment had an effect on student use of the library as measured by several variables regardless of the teacher or the teacher's enthusiasm or zeal in administering the treatment.

The teachers in this study undoubtedly were the key in influencing student behavior. Some teachers had an effect on student behavior over and above the treatment, but as the treatment was primarily teacher directed, all teachers played an essential role in motivating children to use the library. If librarians wish to promote library use by students, they would do well to work with teachers. Librarians need to make clear to teachers what the library has to offer students and in what ways the teacher can help

students become more effective library users. As teachers have the ability to influence their students, it is important that librarians work with teachers when implementing library service to students.

## Methodology

The original concern of this study was to find out what motivates children to use the public library and what impact classroom activities might have on student use of the public library. The student questionnaire was used to measure student perception of the public library services and the observational technique was used to measure the students' actual use of the public library. It was expected that the results of the analysis of these measures would be similar, that student perception and student's use of the public library would be similar.

This was not the case. The observational data and the questionnaire differed on several points. More than eighty percent (81.4%) of the students said that they used the library in the past year, yet actual library use during the observation period particularly the base time period by these students was low. Only about 10% of the students said that they went to the public library to see friends, but socializing with friends was the second most often observed behavior. About 52% of the students said on the

questionnaire that they used the public library to get materials to take home, but circulation for these students during the observational period was low.

It was also expected that the control variables of teachers, school, distance, gender and grade would influence students in similar ways on the questionnaire and in the observation. This was true for grade only. Though students answered differently by grade on four questions, grade did not seem to be an important factor on the questionnaire or in the observation.

Girls answered eleven out of twenty-two questions differently from boys but they used the library in a similar way to boys (excpt "other"). School did not influence observed behavior of students at the library; however, on six of the twenty-two questions the school attended did affect answers.

Teachers influenced student behavior in the observation and on the questionnaire but the magnitude of the variations among teachers was quite different. In the observation three teachers varied on four variables. On the questionnaire, several teachers varied on eight of the twenty-two questions. Since teachers administered the questionnaire, this may explain their increased influence on the questionnaire.

The differences in these results of the analysis of the questionnaire and the observation data leads to the conclusion that fifth and sixth grade students perceive public library services in one way and use them in another. Assuming that the instruments used to measure perception and use are accurate, students were more positive in answering questions about the public library than they are in actual use of the public library. Also, teachers and the school setting had more influence on students when they were at school, than when students were out of school.

Though there are some explanations for why these particular students perceived public library service in one way and used them in another, it is not clear what causes attitudes to be positive and use to be low. The implication is that it is easy for students to state positive values but the commitment to act on them takes more effort. We should, therefore, look at data on library use collected from questionnaires with a good deal of caution if we want to predict use patterns or patron behavior from that data. Since questionnaires are fairly commonly used as a measurement tool in libraries, it is important to note, that, in this study, the questionnaire data did not match behavior. Questionnaire data needs to be examined carefully and used with caution when they are to be used as the basis of library planning or evaluation.

As many libraries are using questionnaires to gather information for planning and budgeting, it is important that the data gathered is an accurate reflection of user

attitudes and habits. This study demonstrates that there is some reason to doubt the accuracy of data gathered by questionnaire from children. Either questionnaires need to be developed that are a more reliable measure of actual behavior and attitudes or other methods of data gathering need to be used to determine how children actually use the library or what changes the library can make to serve children more effectively. Further study is needed to delineate a general rule about the relationship of questionnaire data and actual library use.

## School/Public Library Cooperation

Normal public library use by non-gifted fifth and sixth graders was virtually non-existent during the baseline observation period. Only three visits were made by the forty-eight non-gifted students during a two-week period. Judging by the increase in use by the experimental group, activities in the school classroom can affect use of the public library.

During the school year, regular students don't seem to use the public library frequently under normal conditions. Teachers do seem to be able to provide motivation to use the public library, without giving direct assignments to do so. In this way teachers influence the independent use of the public library by their students. It would seem, too, that gifted students may be influenced to use the

the library by the teacher as well as by personal habits. The treatment had little effect, not because the teacher was ineffective, but because encouragement to use the public library is already a part of the curriculum for gifted students.

This being the case, it is a benefit to students to have the institutions, the school and the public library, cooperate to provide instruction and motivation for independent use of the public library. In other communities the form of the cooperation will be dependent on local conditions but the involvement of the public librarian, the teacher and the participation of the student in self monitoring did have a positive effect in this study. Further study in other settings is needed to understand the generalizability of the treatment.

# Further Study

There are two kinds of studies that could be done to gain further understanding of elementary school student use of the public library. First, the present study needs to be replicated in other communities, with different school and library personnel. If the findings of this study are general rather than specific to the particular setting, other studies using the same or similar methods should produce the same or similar results.

The other kind of study needed is one that would follow student use of the public library for a longer period of time, perhaps over a full year or longer. Though the practical problems of a longitudinal study are difficult to overcome, data should be gathered over time and the treatment period extended to see the long-lasting effect of a program of cooperation between school and library.

Many other changes of design suggest themselves as productive. The age of the students could be changed to see if patterns of use change among elementary aged children. Other factors such as use of the library by parents and siblings or economic status of the family, could be considered. Though the questionnaire was loosely based on the student questionnaire suggested in A Planning Process For Public Libraries it seemed to elicit only positive response so questions might be added that deal directly with problems students have with the public library. One could also test to see whether student IQ or school performance affects use of the public library.

<sup>1</sup> Vernon E. Palmour, Marcia C. Bellassi and Nancy V. Dewath, A Planning Process For Public Libraries, (Chicago: American Library Association, 1980), pp. 198-202.

Lastly, aspects of the treatment could be changed to see the effect of promoting various activities and of using various methods of promotion. For instance, if classes visit the library, do students come to the library more or less often on their own? If teachers assign use of the public library directly, is there long lasting effect on independent library use? Such questions are important and further study is needed to find the answers.

In this study, data were collected to see how fifth and sixth grade children use the public library and whether the classroom teacher and the librarian could stimulate library use and affect student behavior. Though nothing approaching absolute control by teachers was established, students were affected by promotion of public library use in the classroom. These findings suggest that this is an area of study that should be pursued in other settings and that the instruments should be further developed.

These fifth and sixth grade students seemed to perceive the library in a positive way but use it rarely. They viewed the traditional book and reference orientation of the library as the most useful services offered. They also seemed to use the library as a place to meet friends and socialize while they did homework. They did not take material out often, but used it at the library instead. This pattern suggests that the library has to be more active in

promoting all its services and to seek every opportunity to stimulate actual library use by students if it is to do its job of providing materials and information to youth effectively.

Fifth and sixth grade students need to understand library services, see them as an important supplement to school work and to have actual experience in independent use of a library to become library users. Teachers and librarians can work together with young people to increase library use and to improve information access to students.

REFERENCES

- Aaron, Shirley L. "Combined School Public Library Programs: an Abstract of a National Study," School Media Quarterly 12 (Fall 1978): 31-32+.
- Berelson, Bernard. The Library's Public. New York: Columbia University Press, 1949.
- Benford, John Q. "The Philadelphia Project," Library Journal 96 (June 15, 1971): 2041-47.
- Boehm, Ann E. and Weinberg, Richard A. The Classroom
  Observer: A Guide for Developing Observation Skills.
  New York: Teachers College Press, 1977.
- Cook, Thomas D. and Campbell, Donald T. Quasi-Experimentation Design and Analysis Issues. Chicago. Rand McNally, 1979.
- Dyer, Esther R. Cooperation in Library Service to Children. Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press, 1978.
- Fasick, Adele and England, Claire. Children Using Media. Regina, Canada: Regina Public Library, 1977.
- Flanders, Ned A. Analyzing Teaching Behavior. Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1970.
- Fleming, Lois D. "Community Education and Public Libraries: Cooperation or Conquest?" Wilson Library Bulletin 52 (December 1977): 319-23.
- Kerlinger, Fred N. Foundations of Behavioral Research Second Edition. New York: Holt Rinehart and Winston, 1973.
- Kingsbury, Mary E. "Keeping Out of Trouble: Research and Children's Services of Public Libraries," in Children's Services of Public Libraries edited by Selma Richardson. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois, 1978.
- Minium, Edward W. Statistical Reasoning in Phychology and Education Second Edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1978.
- Nie, Norman H.; Hull, C. H.; Jenkins, J. G.; Steinbrenner, K.; and Brent, D. H. SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Second Edition. New York:

  McGraw-Hill, 1975.

- Palmour, Vernon E., Bellassai, Marcia and DeWath, Nancy V.

  A Planning Process for Public Libraries. Chicago:

  American Library Association, 1980.
- Schlessinger, Bernard S. Users and Uses of the New Haven Free Public Library. New Haven, Connecticut: New Haven Foundation, 1972.
- Shontz, Marilyn Louise. "Selected Research Related to Children's and Young Adult Services in Public Libraries." Top of the News 38 (Winter 1982): 125-142.
- Skellenger, James B. Public Library Services in Portage County, an Analysis for Planning. Kent, Ohio:
  Center for Urban Research, Kent State University,
  1970.
- Stallings, Jane A. Learning to Look: A Handbook on Classroom Observation and Teaching Models. Belmont, California: Wadsworth, 1977.
- Sullivan, Peggy, ed. Realization: The Final Report of the Knapp School Libraries Project. Chicago: American Library Association, 1968.
- Walker, H. Thomas and Montgomery, Paula Kay. <u>Teaching</u>
  <u>Media Skills</u>. Littleton, Colorado: Libraries
  <u>Unlimited</u>, Inc., 1977.
- Webb, Eugene, Campbell, Donald T., Schwartz, Richard D. and Sechrest, Lee. <u>Unobtrusive Measures:</u>
  Nonreactive Research in the Social Sciences.
  Chicago: Rand McNally, 1966.

APPENDIX A

#### APPENDIX A

### ARTICLES PREVIEWED

- Aaron, Shirley L. "Combined School Public Library Programs: an Abstract of a National Study," School Media Quarterly 12 (Fall 1978): 31-32+
- Aaron, Shirley L. A Study of the Combined School Public Library, Phase I, II, III, Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 174232, ED 1744231, ED 150986, 1977-78.
- Abernethy, Janet. "The Value of Research to the Practising Children's Librarian," In Review 15 (June 1981): 5-10.
- Altman, Ellen O. "The Resource Capacity of the Public Secondary School Libraries to Support Interlibrary Loan: A Systems Approach to Title Diversity and Collection Overlap," Ph.D. dissertation, Rutgers University, 1971.
- Barass, Reitzel, and Associates, Inc. A Study of Exemplary Public Library Reading and Reading Related Programs for Children, Youth and Adults, Volume I and II.

  Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 066197, 1972.
- Benford, John Q. "The Philadelphia Project," Library Journal 96 (June 15, 1971): 2041-47
- Benne, Mae. The Central Children's Library in Metropolitan Libraries. Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 179 203, 1978.
- Benne, Mae. "Educational and Recreational Services for Children," Library Quarterly 48 (October 1978): 499-510.
- Berry, John N. "School/Library," Library Journal 104 (May 1, 1979): 989.
- Bogan, Mary E. A Survey of Audio Visual Resources in Selected California Public Libraries and Library Systems. Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 134 184.

- Braverman, Miriam Ruth. "Public Library and the Young Adult: The Development of the Services and Its Philosophy in the New York Public Library, Cleveland Public Library, and Enoch Pratt Free Library," D.L.S. dissertation, Columbia University, 1974.
- Burke, J. Gordon and Shields, Gerald R., eds. Children's Library Service: School or Public, Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow, 1974.
- Calabrese, Alice, "An Image/Status Study," Illinois Libraries 58 (December 1976): 792-94.
- Chedsey, K.A. "All About the Library," Instructor 87
  November 1977): 124-5.
- Chelton, Mary K. "Educational and Recreational Services of the Public Library for Young Adults," Library Quarterly 48 (October 1978): 488-98.
- Cole, J.B. "Library Skills Instruction and Retention," M.A. thesis, University of Chicago, 1977.
- Cole, J.B. "Community Library Services: Working Papers on Goals and Guidelines," School Library Journal 20 September 1973): 21+.
- Condit, M.O. "If Only the Teachers Had Stayed with the Class," Educational Digest 41 (October 1975): 46.
- Cox, Carole A. "Film Preference Patterns of Fourth and Fifth Grade Children," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1975.
- Cummings, Nancy. "Effects of Pre-School programming Upon Circulation of Children's Books in the Public Library," M.A. thesis, San Jose State University, 1976.
- Daniels, Leona M. "Library Learning Laboratory III,"
  School Library Journal 17 (Dec. 1970): 28-29.
- Davies, Ruth. The School Library Media Center: A Force for Educational Excellence. 2nd edition, New York: R.R. Bowker, 1973.
- Dickenson, Dennis. "Library Literacy: Who?When?Where?" Library Journal 106 (April 15, 1981): 853-5.
- Downen, Thomas W. "YA Services: 1993," Top of the News 35 (Summer 1979): 347-53.

- Dyer, Esther R. Cooperation in Library Service to Children. Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow, 1978.
- East, Barbara. Early Childhood Creative Library, Interim Report. Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 124 206.
- Ekechukwu, Myriette. "Characteristics of Users and Non-Users of Elementary School Library Services and Public Library Services for Children," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Washington, 1972.
- Erdman, Naomi. "Evaluating a Summer Library Program, Elementary English 43 (April 1966): 400-401.
- Fasick, Adele M. and England, Claire. Children Using
  Media: Reading and Viewing Preferences Among the
  Users and Non-Users of the Regina Public Library.
  Toronto: University of Toronto Center for Research in
  Librarianship, 1978.
- Fasick, Adele M. "A Comparative Linguistic Analysis of Books and Television for Children," Ph.D. dissertation, Case Western Reserve University, 1970.
- Fasick, Adele M. "Research and Measurement in Library Services to Children," Top of the News 35 (Summer 1979), 354-62.
- Fasick, Adele M. What Should Libraries Do for Children?
  Parent, Librarians, and Teachers View Materials and
  Services in the South Central Regional System
  (Ontario). Hamilton, Ontario: South Central
  Regional Library Board 1978.
- Fast, Betty. "Why Susie Can't Use the Library," Wilson Library Bulletin 51 (May 1977): 732-3.
- Fife, Margaret E. "Summer Reading Pays Dividends,"
  Southeastern Librarian 14 (Spring 1964): 48-50.
- Fleming, Lois. "Community Education and Public Libraries: Cooperation or Conquest?," Wilson Library Bulletin 52 (December 1977): 319-23.
- Fleming, Lois. "Public and School Libraries: Partners in the "Big" Picture," School Library Quarterly 7 (Fall 1978): 25-30.

- Friedman, Adele I. "A Survey of the Reactions of Nassau County (New york) Librarians to the Proposition Made by the Commissioner's Committee on Library Development Concerning Children's Library Services," M.A. thesis, Palmer Graduate Library School, 1971.
- Gilespie, John and Lembo, Diana. Introducing Books: A
  Guide For the Middle Grades, N.Y.: R. R. Bowker, 1970.
- Glauich, Sister M. K. "Boring and the Lively," <u>Learning</u>
  <u>Today</u> 12 (Summer-Fall 1979): 85-7.
- Goldhor, Herbert and McCrossan, John. "An Exploratory Study of the Effect of a Public Library Summer Reading Club on Reading Skills," Library Quarterly 36 (January 1966): 14-24.
- Gross, Elizabeth Henry and Namovicz, Gene. Children's Service in Public Libraries: Organization and Administration. Chicago: ALA, 1963.
- Grundt, Leonard. "An Investigation to Determine the Most Efficient Patterns for Providing Adequate Public Library Service to All Residents of a Typical Large City," Ph.D. dissertation, Rutgers University, 1965.
- Harmer, William R. "The Effect of a Library Training Program on Summer Loss or Gain in Reading Abilities," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Minnesota, 1959.
- Harty, Fredric R. "Library Instruction in the Secondary School," Journal of Secondary Education 41 (May 1966): 201-205.
- Hektoen, Faith. "Researching Children's Services in Public Libraries: Symposium,": School Library Journal 26 (April 1980): 21-7.
- Hogeson, Dennis. "The Public Library-Ally of the Reading Teacher," Library Journal 85 (January 15, 1960): 328-29.
- Jones, Milbrey. "Socio-Economic Factors and Library Service to Students," Ph.D. dissertation, Rutgers University, 1964.
- Karlin, Robert. "Library-Book Borrowing vs. Library-Book Reading," The Reading Teacher 16 (November 1962): 77-81.
- Kimmel, Margaret Mary. "Professionalism and the Orientation of Public Librarians to Lower Class Clients," School Library Journal 26 (December 1979): 35-38.

- Kingsbury, Mary. "Educating Young Adult Librarians: Priorities of Practitioners and Educators," Ph.D. dissertation, Drexel University, 1978.
- Kingsbury, Mary. "Goals for Children's Services in Public Libraries," School Library Journal 24 (January 1978) 19-21.
- Kingsbury, Mary E. "Keeping Out of Trouble: Research and Children's Services of Public Libraries," in Children's Services of Public Libraries edited by Selma Richardson. Urbana, IL: University of Illinois, 1978.
- Kouns, Betty. "Thirteen Steps to Library Orientation,"
  School Library Journal 23 (March 1977): 125.
- LaBounty, Maxine. "Public Library Children's Service: Two Studies," <u>Library Trends</u> 12 (July 1963): 29-37.
- Ladenson, Alex. "Is the Library an Educational Institution?," Wilson Library Bulletin 51 (March 1977): p. 576+.
- Lesser, Daniel. "A Study to Determine the Nature and Status of Children's Film Programs in the Public Libraries of the Northeastern United States," Ed.D. dissertation, Syracuse University, 1963.
- Limper, Hilda, Hirt, Michael and Tilman, Elaine. "Library Service to Exceptional Children," Top of the News 26 (January 1970): 193-204.
- Long, Harriet. Public Library Service to Children:
  Foundation and Development. Metuchen, N.J.:
  Scarecrow Press, 1969.
- Lowrey, Ann and Holden, Barbara. "Report of the Study of Newport's Libraries, Summer 1973," Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 089711.
- Lubans, John. Educating the Library User. New York: Bowker, 1974.
- Lucas, Mary Rinehart. The Organization and Administration of Library Service to Children. Chicago: ALA, 1941.
- Mancall, Jacqueline and Drott, M. Carl. "Tomorrow's Scholars, Patterns of Facilities Use," School Library Journal 26 (March 1980): 19-103.

- Martin, Lowell. Library Response to Urban Change: A Study of the Chicago Public Library. Chicago: ALA, 1969.
- Martin, Lowell. Students and the Pratt Library: Challenge and Opportunity. Baltimore: Enoch Pratt Free Library, 1963.
- Moll, Joy K. "Children's Access to Information in Print: An Analysis of the Vocabulary (Reading) Levels of Subject Headings and Their Application to Children's Books," Ph.D. dissertation, Rutgers University, 1970.
- Monson, Dianne L. and Peltola, Bette J. Research in Children's Literature: An Annotated Bibliography.

  Newark, Del.: International Reading Association, 1976.
- O'Brien, Patrick. "An Administrator Speaks of Services to Youth," Top of the News 37 (Spring 1951): 243-246.
- Offerman, Sister M.C. "Let's Make Better Use of Our Libraries," Clearing House 52 (October 1978): 61-4.
- Palmour, Vernon E., Bellasai, Marcia and DeWath, Nancy V.

  A Planning Process for Public Libraries. Chicago:

  American Library Association, 1980.
- Peil, Margaret. "Library Use by Low Income Chicago Families," Library Quarterly 33 (October 1963): 329-33.
- Penn, P. "Children Learn Library Use; Marathon County Public Library Has a K-8 Plan," Wisconsin Library Bulletin 75 (March 1979); 55-6.
- Petty, Margery and Reid, Lucille. "Student Use of the Public Library," <u>Illinois Libraries</u> 45 (April 1963): 211-16.
- Pfau, Donald. "Effects of Planned Recreational Reading Programs," Reading Teacher 21 (October 1967): 34-39.
- Price, Jeanne and Willis, Bernice. Evaluation Report for Institute for Public Libraries in Service to Young Children, Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 088 479, 1972.
- "Proceedings of the Seminar on User Education Activities, the State of the Art in Texas. Bethesda, Md.: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, ED 138247, 1976.

- Resomogyi, A. "Make Your Point: Library Skills Now or Never," School Library Journal 22 (November 1975): 37.
- Richardson, Selma K. An Analytical Survey of Illinois
  Public Library Services to Children. Springfield,
  IL.: Illinois State Library, 1978.
- Roberts, Robert. "Student Use of the Public Library:
  Another Look; A Survey of High School Students' Use
  of the Hilltop Branch, Columbus, Ohio, Public
  Library," Southeastern Librarian 19 (Fall 1969):
  126-32.
- Rogers, Norma. "The Children's Librarian as Viewed by Children," in Selma Richardson, ed., Children's Services of Public Libraries. Urbana, IL:
  University of Illinois, 1978.
- Roman, Susan. Foundations of Quality. Chicago, Illinois Library Association, 1981.
- Schlessinger, Bernard S. Users and Uses of the New Haven Free Public Library. New Haven, Connecticut: New Haven Foundation, 1972.
- Shontz, Marilyn Louise. "Selected Research Related to Children's and Young Adult Services in Public Libraries," Top of the News 38 (Winter 1982): 125-142.
- Shoup, Jan and Tadin, Susan. "Bridging the Gap," School Library Journal 26 (March 1980): 99-103.
- Sigler, Ronald F. "The Film Censorship Controversy at Los Angeles County Public Library 1971: A Case Study," Ph.D. dissertation, Florida State University, 1977.
- Skellenger, James B. Public Library Services in Portage County, an Analysis for Planning. Kent, Ohio: Center for Urban Research, Kent State University, 1970.
- Smardo, Frances A. "Analytical Study of the Recommendations of Early Childhood Authorities with Regard to the Role of the Public Library in Serving Children from Infancy to Six Years of Age," Ph.D. dissertation, North Texas State University, 1978.
- Smith, D. "Tale (tail) of Cooperation," School Library Journal 27 (November 1980): 50.
- Smith, Helen. "What Every Seventh Grader Should Know About Libraries," School and Community 58 (February 1972): 7.

- Squire, James R., Applebee, Roger and Lucas, Robert A.
  "Student Reading in the High School Library," School
  Libraries 16 (Summer 1967): 11-19.
- Stallman, Esther. "Public Library Service to Public School Children: Its Administration in Large American Cities," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Chicago, 1942.
- Stokes, J. "Libraries are Hip, Schools are Square," <u>Times</u>
  <u>Education Supplement</u> 32 (June 30, 1978): 16-17.
- Sullivan, Janet. "Instructional Development in Media Programs," School Library Journal 25 (April 1979): 34.
- Sullivan, Peggy. "Deja Vu From the Bridge," School Library Journal 25 (April 1979): 20-23.
- Sullivan, Peggy, ed. Realization: The Final Report of the Knapp School Libraries Project. Chicago: American Library Association, 1968.
- Swich, K. "Librarian and Instructional Programs," Reading Improvement 12 (September 1975): 32-3.
- Tower, Jean. "A Study of Changes in Children's Library Services for Selected Pittsburgh Suburbs Related to Changes in Their Population for 1960 through 1970," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, 1972.
- Walker, H. Thomas and Montgomery, Paula Kay. <u>Teaching Media Skills</u>. Littleton, Colorado: Libraries Unlimited, <u>Inc.</u>, 1977.
- Watts, Doris and Simpson, Elaine. "Students in the Public Library," Wilson Library Bulletin 37 (November 1962): 244-56.
- White, Ruth. The school Housed Public Library: A Survey. Chicago: American Library Association, 1963.
- Wood, Johanna. "Role of Media Specialists in the Curriculum Process," School Library Journal 23 (September 1976):20.
- Woolard, Wilma. "Study of the School Public Library Concept," <u>Illinois Libraries</u> 60 (March 1978): 281-9.
- Woolls, Esther Blanche. "Cooperative Library Services to Children in Public Libraries and Public School Systems in Selected Communities in Indiana," Ph.D. dissertation, Indiana University, 1973.

Ziegler, Elsie Mae. "A Study of the Effects of Creative Dramatics on the Progress in the Use of the Library, Reading Interests, Reading Achievement, Self-Concept, Creativity and Empathy of Fourth and Fifth Grade Children," Ed.D. dissertation, Temple University, 1970.

APPENDIX B

#### APPENDIX B

# PROJECT DOCUMENTS

parent Consent Form:	4
	Orientation to the Use of the rary and Its Effect on Fifth and e Students
I, the parent/guardia	n of,
a minor years of ag	e, consent to his/her participa-
tion in a program of resea	rch being conducted by Leslie
Edmonds, entitled "Classro	om Orientation to the Use of the
Public Library and Its Eff	ect on Fifth and Sixth Grade
Students."	
I understand that no	risk is involved and that I may
withdraw my child from par	ticipation at any time without
prejudice.	
Sign	nature of Parent
Date	

Observation Form:

Student				Da	te_			
Time of Time Arrival Depar	of ture		Duration of Visit			· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
N.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Time:								
Activities					•			
1. Talking with others: parent, peer, sibling other	J ,					,		
2. Talking with library staff								
3. Reading/studying							e :	
4. Card catalog								
5. Looking for materials								
6. Playing games							. ,	
7. Computer								
8. Library programs								
9. Bathroom								· · · · · ·
10. Nothing			· · ·					
11. Other								· · ·
Circulation								
Fiction (Print) Non-fiction (Print) Non-print							,	
Did the student find	what	he/sl	ne w	ante	d?			
Did the student ask	questi	ons?	Wha	at?			•	
SCHOOL	T	EACHI	ER					

# Self-monitoring Library Update

Keep this in your desk at school or some place where you won't forget it. After you visit the public library, fill out a Library Update report. Fill out one report each time you go to the public library. If you need another Library Update report, ask your teacher for one.

#### Library Update

When? Day: Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday Time: Morning Afternoon Evening What things did you do? Check off what you did. What? 1. Use library materials to help with school work 2. Use library materials for fun 3. Attend a library program 4. Use the computer 5. Talk with friends 6. Check library materials out to take home 7. Ask librarian for help 8. Other:

Who? Who did you go with?

Did you see anyone you knew?

Who?

Librarian's Visit:

#### Lesson Outline

1. Introduce self and explain visit. Ask students the following:

What kinds of books do you like to read?

Where do you get books to read?

How many people have been to the public library in the past year? month?

Where is the library?

Hand out library pamphlet. Answer questions about library cards, etc.

- 2. Go over purpose of Library Update and introduce library services by explaining items on the Update.
- 3. Present the following books and invite students to come to the public library to check out these or others.

A VIEW FROM THE CHERRY TREE, Willo Roberts Atheneum 1975

WESTING GAME, Ellen Raskin Dutton, 1978

TUCK EVERLASTING, Natalie Babbitt Farrar, 1975

LIZARD MUSIC, Manus Pinkwater Dodd, 1976

SECRETS OF THE SHOPPING MALL, Richard Peck Delacorte, 1979

4. Leave Updates with teachers and let students look at books and copy down titles of books of interest.

NAI	ME		141
ADI	DRESS		į i
	HOOL		
TEA	ACHER	,	
ST	UDENT QUESTIONNAIRE:		
1.	Have you used the Rolling Meadows Public Librar the past year?  Yes No	ry dur	ing
	If you have been to the library, choose one of following:	the	
	I usually come alone		• (
	I usually come with my family		
	I usually come with friends		
	I usually come with my school class		
2.	I usually go to the public library	ì	
	to study		
	to meet friends		
,	to attend programs or with my school class		
	to get materials to use at home for fun		
3.	What do you use when you go to the public libra Please circle your answer to each one.	ary?	
	a. Books	Yes	No
	b. Records	Yes	No
	c. Cassettes	Yes	No
	d. Newspapers or Magazines	Yes	No
	e. A quiet place to study	Yes	No
	f. Materials to use for homework	Yes	No
	g. Librarians to help answer questions	Yes	No
	h. Library programs	Yes	No

4.	Do you usually find what you want at the public library?
	Yes No
5.	Which of the following statements about the public library are true for you? Please check true or false for each item.
	a. I do not go to the public library, because I do not have enough time.
	TrueFalse
	b. I go to the library to do my homework.  True False
er.	c. The library is not open the hours I want to use it.  True False
	d. The librarians are helpful. TrueFalse
	e. The library is difficult to get to. I have no transportation to get there.
	True False
6.	Are there any more things you would like to say about the Rolling Meadows Library?

APPENDIX C

# ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE OBSERVATIONAL DATA Group - Set I (Treatment-Baseline)

#### Frequency

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.828	1	1.818	2.105	0.151
Main Effects: Group	3.429	2	1.714	1.974	0.147
Explained	5.257	3	1.752	2.018	0.120
Residual	59.062	68	0.869		
Total	64.319	71	0.906		

## Duration

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	34 35 • 524	1	3435.524	1.679	0.199
Main Effects: Group	15267•090	2	7633.543	3.730	0.029
Explained	18702.625	3	6234.207	3.046	0.035
Residual	139167.375	68	2046.579		
Total	157870.000	71	2223.521		

Activity A - Talking With Others

source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Vallacion.	oquares	Treedom	bquare	[	OL I
Covariate: Distance	16.561	1	16.561	1.377	0.245
Main Effects: Group	151.355	2	75.678	6.294	0.003
Explained	167.917	3	55.972	4.655	0.005
Residual	817•578	68	12.023		
Total	985.495	71	13.880		

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.284524	1	1.284524	0.308	0.581
Main Effects: Group	1.036	2	0.518	0.124	0.883
Explained	2.320	3	0.773	0.185	0.906
Residual	283.677	68	4.172		
Total	285.997	71	4.028		

Activity C - Reading/Studying

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	18.066	1	18.066	0.756	0.388
Main Effects: Group	231.539	2	115.770	4.843	0.011
Explained	249.605	3	83.202	3.481	0.020
Residual	1625.375	68	23.903		
Total	1874.980	71	26.408		

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.031	1	0.031	0.133	0.717
Main Effects: Group	0.203	2	0.102	0.440	0.646
Explained	0.234	3	0.078	0.338	0.798
Residual	15.710	68	0.231		
Total	15.9447	71	0.225		

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	3.280	1	3.280	0.387	0.536
Main Effects: Group	8.595	2	4.297	0.506	0.605
Explained	11.875	3	3.958	0.466	0.707
Residual	576.996	68	8.485		
Total	588.870	71	8.294		

Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.186	1	1.186	1.339	0.251
Main Effects: Group	1.682	2	0.841	0.949	0.392
Explained	2.868	3	0.956	1.079	1.364
Residual	60.242	68	0.886		
Total	63.117	71	0.889		

# Activity G - Using The Bathroom

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.116	1	0.116	2.778	0.100
Main Effects: Group	0.024	2	0.012	0.289	0.750
Explained	0.140	3	0.047	1.119	0.348
Residual	2.84696	68	0.042		
Total	2.986	71	0.042	en e seu e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.181	1	1.181	2.670	0.107
Main Effects: Group	0.074	2	0.037	0.543	0.584
Explained	0.255	3	0.085	1.252	0.298
Residual	4.620	68	0.068		
Total	4.875	71	0.069		

## Activity I - Other

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.001	1	0.001	0.000	0.983
Main Effects: Group	5.788	2	2.894	1.865	0.163
Explained	5.789	3	1.930	1.243	0.301
Residual	105.350	68	1.552		
Total	111.319	71	1.568	* * * * . *	

## Combined Activities

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	87.409		87.409	0.665	0.418
Main Effects: Group	999.839	2	499.920	3.802	0.027
Explained	1087.250	3	362.417	2.756	0.049
Residual	8941.980	68	131.500	, , ,	
Total	10029.230	71	141.257		

## circulation - Fiction

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square		Sig. of F
Vallucione	bquaras	TICCOOM	bquare	1	O1. 1
Covariate: Distance	1.227	1	1.227	0.572	0.452
Main Effects: Group	2.764	2	1.382	0.644	0.528
Explained	3.992	3	1.331	0.620	0.605
Residual	145.994	68	2.147		
Total	149.986	71	2.112		

# Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of Freedom	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F I	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.013	1	0.0139	0.010	0.921
Main Effects: Group	4.733	2	2.366	1.824	0.169
Explained	4.745	3	1.582	1.219	0.310
Residual	88.240	68	1.298		
Total	92.986	71	1.310		

# Circulation - Nonbook

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · <b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.137	1	0.137	0.986	0.324
Main Effects: Group	0.189	2	0.094	0.678	0.511
Explained	0.326	3	0.1090	0.781	0.509
Residual	9.452	68	0.139		
Total	9.77886	71	0.138		

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.533	1	2.533	0.534	0.468
Main Effects: Group	2.777	2	1. 389	0.293	0.747
Explained	5.310	3	1.770	0.373	0.773
Residual	322.685	68	4.745		
Total	327.995	71	4.620		

# OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Group - Set II

(Post-Treatment-Baseline)

# Frequency

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.275	1	1.275	3.650	0.060
Main Effects: Group	3.851	2	1.925	5.513	0.006
Explained	5.125	3	1.708	4.892	0.004
Residual	23.749	68	0.349		,
Total	28.875	71	0.407		

#### Duration

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	2211.913	1	2211.913	2.835	0.097
Main Effects: Group	7104.8280	2	3552.414	4.553	0.014
Explained	9316.7925	3	3105.581	3.980	0.011
Residual	53057.4535	68	780.257		
Total	62374.195	71	878.510		

## Activity A - Talking With Others

Source of Variation:	Sum of	Deg. of Freedom	Mean	F	Sig. of F
variation:	Squares	rreedom	Square	r .	01 £
Covariate: Distance	7.111	1	7.111	3.749	0.057
Main Effects: Group	3.799	2	1.899	1.001	0.373
Explained	10.910	3	3.637	1.917	0.135
Residual	128.965	68	1.897		
Total	139.875	71	1.970		

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.699	1	0.699	1.461	0.231
1. 399	2	0.700	1.461	0.239
2.099	3	0.700	1.461	0.233
32.554	68	0.479		
34.653	71	0.488		
	0.699 1.399 2.099	Squares Freedom  0.699 1  1.399 2  2.099 3  32.554 68	Squares Freedom Square  0.699	Squares Freedom Square F  0.699

# Activity C - Reading/Studying

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.412	1	0.412	0.085	0.771
Main Effects: Group	6.759	2	3. 380	0.701	0.500
Explained	7.171	3	2.390	0.496	0.687
Residual	327.935	68	4.823		
Total	335.106	71	4.720	-	

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.062	1	0.062	0.331	0.567
Main Effects: Group	1.006	2	0.503	2.690	0.075
Explained	1.067	<b>3</b>	0.356	1.904	0.137
Residual	12.710	68	0.187		
Total	13.778	71	0.194		

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	3.519	1	3.519	2.540	0.116
Main Effects: Group	9.539	2	4.770	3.442	0.038
Explained	13.058	3	4.353	3.141	0.031
Residual	94.219	68	1. 386	e word of a second of a second	
Total	107.277	71	1.511		

# Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	3.978	. 1	3.978	2.583	0.113
Main Effects: Group	4.282	2	2.141	1.390	0.256
Explained	8.261	3	2.754	1.788	0.158
Residual	104.725	68	1.540		
Total	112.986	71	1.591		
·	<u> </u>				

Activity G - Using The Bathroom

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.092		0.092	3.417	0.069
0.030	<b>2</b>	0.015	0.558	0.575
0.122	3	0.041	1.511	0.219
1.823	68	0.024		
1.944	71	0.027		
	0.092 0.030 0.122	Squares       Freedom         0.092       1         0.030       2         0.122       3         1.823       68	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.092         1         0.092           0.030         2         0.015           0.122         3         0.041           1.823         68         0.024	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.092         1         0.092         3.417           0.030         2         0.015         0.558           0.122         3         0.041         1.511           1.823         68         0.024

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0 .	en de percenta de la composición de la	aęć, ana i nym		
Main Effects: Group	0	and the second of the second of			
Explained	0	y 3 0 0 • 1 0 0			
Residual	0				
Total	0				

# Activity I - Other

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
variation:	Squares T	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.093	1	0.093	0.242	0.624
Main Effects: Group	3.129	2	1.565	4.049	0.022
Explained	3.223	3	1.074	2.780	0.048
Residual	26.277	68	0.386		
Total	29.500	71	0.415		

## Combined Activities

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	68.240	1	68.240	2.921	0.092
Main Effects: Group	163.947	2	81.973	3.509	0.035
Explained	232.187	3	77.396	3.313	0.025
Residual	1588.458	68	23.360		
Total	1820.645	71	25.643		

## Circulation - Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	<b>Mea</b> n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.088	1	2.088	1.948	0.167
Main Effects: Group	3.628	2	1.814	1.692	0.192
Explained	5.716	3	1.905	1.778	0.160
Residual	72.894	68	1.072		
Total	78.6116	71	1.107		

## Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.118	1	0.118	0.136	0.713
Main Effects: Group	2.363	2	1.182	1.361	0.263
Explained	2.481	3	0.827	0.953	0.420
Residual	59.018	68	0.868		
Total	61.500	71	0.866		

# circulation - Nonbook

source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b></b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Group	0		* • 6 • • • 2 • • 2 •		
Explained	0				
Residual	0		e 10 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Total	0				

#### Combined Circulation

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.213	1	1.213	0.656	0.421
Main Effects: Group	11.437	2	5.719	3.091	0.052
Explained	12.650	3	4.217	2.279	0.087
Residual	125.794	68	1.850	and the second of the second	
Total	138.444	71	1.950		

OBSERVATIONAL DATA
Group and Gender
Set I
(Treatment-Baseline)

## Frequency

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.828	1	1.818	2.078	0.154
Main Effects: Group Gender	4.397 3.429 0.968	3 2 1	1.466 1.714 0.968	1.666 1.949 1.100	0.183 0.151 0.298
Two-Way Interaction	0.912	2	0.456	0.519	0.598
Explained	7.138	6	1.190	1.352	0.247
Residual	57.181	65	0.880		
Total	64.319	71	0.906		
		Agent a series as a series			

#### Duration

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	3435.524	1	3435.524	1.677	0.200
Main Effects:	20387.934	3	6795.977	3. 318	0.025
Group	15267.090	2	7633.543	3.727	0.029
Gender	5120.844	1	5120.844	2.500	0.119
Two-Way Interaction	901.844	2	450.922	0.220	0.803
Explained	24725.313	6	4120.883	2.012	0.077
	A C C E E E E E E E E E E E E E				,
Residual	133144.688	65	2048.380		
Total	157870.000	71	2223.521		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	16.561	1	16.561	1.330	0.253
Main Effects:	155.501	3	51.834	4.163	0.009
Group	151.355	2	75.678	6.779	0.004
Gender	4.146	1	4.146	0.333	0.566
Two-Way Interaction	4.041	2	2.021	0.162	0.851
Explained	176.104	6	29.351	2.357	0.040
Residual	809.391	65	12.452		
Total	985.495	71	13.880		

## Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mea n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.284	. 1	1.284	0.309	0.580
Main Effects: Group Gender	35.548 1.036 12.513	3 2 1	4.516 0.518 12.513	1.088 0.125 3.014	0.361 0.883 0.087
Two-Way Interaction	1. 351	2	0.676	0.163	0.850
Explained	16 • 184	6	2.697	0.650	0.690
Residual	269.813	65	4.151		
Total	285•997	71	4.028		N. S. Carlos and Jackson

Activity C - Reading/Studying

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F 1	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	18.066		18.066	0.741	0.392
Main Effects:	260.277	3	86.759	3.561	0.019
Group	231.539	2	115.770	4.751	0.012
Gender	28.738	1	28.738	1.179	0.281
Two-Way Interaction	12.896	2	6.448	0.265	0.768
Explained	291.240	6	48.540	1.992	0.079
Residual	1583.741	65	24.365		
Total	1874.980	71	26.408		

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.031	<b>1</b>	0.031	0.128	0.722
Main Effects:	0.212	3	0.071	0.295	0.829
Group	0.203	2	0.102	0.424	0.656
Gender	0.009		0.009	0.036	0.850
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.118	2	0.059	0.245	0.783
Explained	0.360	6	0.060	0.250	0.957
Residual	15.584	65	0.240		
Total	15.944	71	0.225	·	

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	3.280		3.280	0.397	0.531
Main Effects:	35.292	3	11.764	1.425	0.243
Group	8.595	2	4.297	0.521	0.597
Gender	26.697		26.697	3.235	0.077
Two-Way Interaction	13.789	2	6.899	0.836	0.438
Explained	52.369	6	8.728	1.057	0.397
Residual	536.501	65	8.254		
Total	588.870	71	8.294		

# Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.186	1	1.186	1.316	0.256
Main Effects: Group Gender	2.149 1.682 0.467	3 2 1	0.716 0.841 0.467	0.794 0.933 0.518	0.501 0.399 0.474
Two-Way Interaction	1.166	2	0.583	0.647	0.527
Explained	4.501	6	0.750	0.832	0.550
Residual	58.609	65	0.902		
Total	63.111	71	0.889		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.116	1	0.116	2.694	0.106
Main Effects: Group Gender	0.036 0.024 0.011	3 2 1	0.012 0.012 0.011	0.275 0.280 0.266	0.843 0.757 0.608
Two-Way Interaction	0.029	2	0.015	0.336	0.716
Explained	0•181	6	0.030	0.699	0.652
Residual	2.805	65	0.043		
Total	2.986	71	0.042		

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.181	1	0.181	2.627	0.110
0.128	3	0.043	0.617	0.606
	1 7 3		1	0.589
0.054	1	0.054	0.784	0.379
0.077	2	0.039	0.561	0.573
0.387	6	0.064	0.933	0.477
4.488	65			
4.875	71			
	0.181 0.128 0.074 0.054 0.077	O.181 1  O.128 3 O.074 2 O.054 1  O.077 2  O.387 6  4.488 65	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.181         1         0.181           0.128         3         0.043           0.074         2         0.037           0.054         1         0.054           0.077         2         0.039           0.387         6         0.064           4.488         65	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.181       1       0.181       2.627         0.128       3       0.043       0.617         0.074       2       0.037       0.534         0.054       1       0.054       0.784         0.077       2       0.039       0.561         0.387       6       0.064       0.933         4.488       65

source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.001	1	0.001	094	0.983
Main Effects:	12.607	3	4.202	2.767	0.049
Group Gender	5.788 6.819	1	2.894 6.819	1.906 4.490	0.157 0.038
Two-Way Interaction	0.008	2	0.004	0.003	0.997
Explained	12.615	6	2.103	1. 385	0.234
Residual	98.704	65	1.519		
Total	111.319	71	1.568		

#### Combined Activities

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	87.409		87.409	0.661	0.419
Main Effects:	1332.801	3	444.267	3. 358	0.024
Group Gender	999.839 332.961	2 1	499.920 332.961	3.778 2.517	0.028 0.118
Two-Way Interaction	8.982	2	4.491	0.034	0.967
Explained	1429.191	6	238.199	1.800	0.113
Residual	8600.039	65	132.308	and the second second second second	
Total	10029.230	71	141.257		

source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.227	1	1.227	0.564	0.456
Main Effects:	5.721	3	1.907	0.876	0.458
Group Gender	2.764 2.957	2 1	1.382 2.957	0.635 1.358	0.533 0.248
Two-Way Interaction	1.489	2	0.744	0.342	0.712
Explained	8.437	6	1.406	•6465	0.693
Residual	141.549	65	2.178		
Total	149.986	71	2.112		
				L	

#### Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.013	1	0.013	0.009	0.923
Main Effects: Group Gender	4.755 4.733 0.023	3 2 1	1.585 2.366 0.023	1.170 1.747 0.017	0.328 0.182 0.898
Two-Way Interaction	0.182	2	0.091	0.067	0.935
Explained	14.950	6	0.825	0.609	0.722
Residual	88.036	65	1.354		
Total	92.986	71	1.310		
<del></del>					<del></del>

source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.137		0.137	0.968	0.329
Main Effects: Group Gender	0.315 0.189 0.126	3 2 1	0.105 0.094 0.126	0.742 0.666 0.893	0.531 0.517 0.348
Two-Way Interaction	0.122	2	0.061	0.431	0.652
Explained	0.574	6	0.096	0.676	0.670
Residual	9.204	65	0.142		
Total	9.778	71	0.138		

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.533	1 .	2.533	0.518	0.474
Main Effects: Group Gender	6.483 2.777 3.705	3 2 1	2.161 1.389 3.705	0.442 0.284 0.758	0.724 0.754 0.387
Two-Way Interaction	1.376	2	6.88	0.141	0.869
Explained	10.391	6	1.732	0.354	0.905
Residual	317.603	65	4.886	<b>.</b>	
Total	327.995	71	4.620		

# OBSERVATIONAL DATA Group and Gender Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)

Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
1.275	1	1.275	3.691	0.059
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.471	3	1.490	4.315	0.008
3. 182	2	1.591	4.606	0.013
0.620		0.620	0.796	0.185
0.677	2	0.339	0.980	0.381
6.423	6	1.071	3.099	0.010
22.452	65	0.345		
28.875	71	0.407		
	4.471 3.182 0.620 0.677 6.423	4.471 3 3.182 2 0.620 1  0.677 2  6.423 6  22.452 65	4.471     3     1.490       3.182     2     1.591       0.620     1     0.620       0.677     2     0.339       6.423     6     1.071       22.452     65     0.345	4.471     3     1.490     4.315       3.182     2     1.591     4.606       0.620     1     0.620     0.796       0.677     2     0.339     0.980       6.423     6     1.071     3.099       22.452     65     0.345

#### Duration

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
2211.913		2211.913	2.832	0.097
7442.848	3	2480.949	3, 177	0.030
	2		4.124	0.021
338.017		338.017	0.433	0.513
1957.715		978.857	1.253	0.292
11612.477	6	1935.413	2.478	0.032
50761.719	65	780.949		
62374.195	71	878.510		
	2211.913  7442.848 6441.324 338.017  1957.715  11612.477  50761.719	Squares       Freedom         2211.913       1         7442.848       3         6441.324       2         338.017       1         1957.715       2         11612.477       6         50761.719       65	Squares         Freedom         Square           2211.913         1         2211.913           7442.848         3         2480.949           6441.324         2         3220.662           338.017         1         338.017           1957.715         2         978.857           11612.477         6         1935.413           50761.719         65         780.949	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         2211.913       1       2211.913       2.832         7442.848       3       2480.949       3.177         6441.324       2       3220.662       4.124         338.017       1       338.017       0.433         1957.715       2       978.857       1.253         11612.477       6       1935.413       2.478         50761.719       65       780.949

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	7.111	1	7.111	3.768	0.057
Main Effects: Group Gender	6.970 2.425 3.171	3 2 1	2.323 1.213 3.171	1.231 0.643 1.681	0.306 0.529 0.199
Two-Way Interaction	3. 139	2	1.569	0.832	0.440
Explained	17.220	6	2.870	1.521	0.185
Residual	122.655	65	1.887		
Total	139.875	71	1.970		

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.699	1	0.699	1.489	0.227
Main Effects: Group Gender	1.709 1.092 0.309	3 2 1	0.570 0.546 0.309	1.213 1.162 0.659	0.312 0.319 0.420
Two-Way Interaction	1.716	2	0.858	1.827	0.169
Explained	4.124	6	0.687	1.463	0.205
Residual	30.529	65	0.470		
Total	34.653	71	0.488		

Activity C - Reading/Studying

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.412	1	0.412	0.083	0.774
Main Effects: Group Gender	11.314 4.409 4.555	3 2 1	3.771 2.204 4.555	0.764 0.447 0.9231	0.518 0.642 0.340
Two-Way Interaction	2.585	2	1.298	0.263	0.770
Explained	14.321	6	2.387	0.484	0.818
Residual	320.785	65	4.935		
Total	335.106	71	4.720		

## Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · <b>F</b> · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.062	1	0.062	0.335	0.565
		No. 100 P. C. A. B. St. St. S. S.			
Main Effects:	1.100	3	0.367	1.982	0.125
Group	0.919	2	0.460	2.483	0.091
Gender	0.095	1	0.095	0.512	0.477
Two-Way Interaction	0.587	2	0.294	1.587	0.212
Explained	1.750	6	0.292	1.576	0.168
Residual	12.028	65	0.185		
Total	13.778	71	0.194		

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	3.519	1 1	3.519	2.471	0.121
Main Effects:	9.690	3	3.230	2.269	0.089
Group	8.933	2	4.466	3.137	0.050
Gender	0.151	1	0.151	0.1601	0.746
Two-Way Interaction	1.515	2	0.757	0.532	0.590
Explained	14.724	6	2.454	1.723	0.130
					·
Residual	92.553	65	1.424		
Total	107.277	71	1.511		

## Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	3.978	1	3.978	2.561	0.114
Main Effects:	4.286	3	1.429	0.919	0.437
Group	4.194	2	2.097	1.350	0.266
Gender	0.003		0.003	0.002	0.964
Two-Way Interaction	3.732	2	1.866	1.201	0.307
Explained	11.996	6	1.999	1.287	0.276
Residual	100.989	65	1.554		
Total	112.986	71	1.591		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<b>.</b>	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F I	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.092	1	0.092	3• 385	0.070
Main Effects:	0.073 0.019	3 2	0.024 0.010	0.893 0.356	0.449 0.702
Gender	0.043	1	0.043	1.574	0.214
Two-Way Interaction	0.021	2	0.011	0.393	0.676
Explained	0.185	6	0.031	1.142	0.348
Residual	1.759	65	0.027		
Total	1.944	7.1	0.027		

## Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Group Gender	0 0 0				
Two-Way Interaction	0				
Explained	0				
Residual	0				
Total	0				

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.093	. 1	0.093	0.242	0.624
3.179 3.171 0.050	3 2 1	1.060 1.586 0.050	2.634 3.941 0.124	0.057 0.024 0.725
0.073	2	0.036	0.091	0.914
3. 346	6	0.558	1. 386	0.234
26.154	65	0.402		7.7.
29.500	71	0.415		
	0.093 3.179 3.171 0.050  0.073 3.346	Squares       Freedom         0.093       1         3.179       3         3.171       2         0.050       1         0.073       2         3.346       6         26.154       65	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.093         1         0.093           3.179         3         1.060           3.171         2         1.586           0.050         1         0.050           0.073         2         0.036           3.346         6         0.558           26.154         65         0.402	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.093       1       0.093       0.242         3.179       3       1.060       2.634         3.171       2       1.586       3.941         0.050       1       0.050       0.124         0.073       2       0.036       0.091         3.346       6       0.558       1.386         26.154       65       0.402

#### Combined Activities

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	68.240		68.240	2.896	0.094
Main Effects:	191.052	3	63.684	2.703	0.053
Group	135.357	2	67.679	2.872	0.064
Gender	27.105	1	27.105	1.150	0.287
Two-Way Interaction	29.689	2	14.844	0.630	0.536
Explained	288.980	6	48.163	2.044	0.072
Residual	1531.665	65	23.564		
Total	1820.645	71	25.643		
1			to the second second second	<u>1</u>	

source of	Sum of	Deg. of	<b>Mea</b> n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.088	1	2.088	1.945	0.168
Main Effects: Group	4.852 2.927	3 2	1.617 1.463	1.506 1.363	0.221
Gender	1.224		1.224	1.140	0.290
Two-Way Interaction	1.882	2	0.941	0.876	0.421
Explained	8.822	6	1.470	1.369	0.240
Residual	69.789	65	1.074		
Total	78.611	71	1.107		
		ţ			

#### Circulation - Non Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.118	1	0.118	0.135	0.714
Main Effects: Group Gender	3.370 1.715 1.007	3 2 1	1.123 0.857 1.007	1.286 0.982 1.153	0.287 0.380 0.287
Two-Way Interaction	1.234	2	0.617	0.706	0.497
Explained	4.722	6	0.787	0.901	0.500
Residual	56.778	65	0.874		
Total	61.500	71	0.866		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Group Gener	0 0 0		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Two-Way Interaction	0				
Explained	0	•••	#		
Residual	0				
Total	0				

## Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.213		1.213	0.684	0.411
Distance	1. 2	<u> </u>	10433	0.004	0.411
Main Effects:	15.888	3	5.296	2.988	0.037
Group	8.737	2	4.368	2.465	0.093
Gender	4.451		4.451	2.512	0.118
Two-Way Interaction	6.148	2	3.074	1.734	0.185
Explained	23.249	6	3.875	2.186	0.055
Residual	115.195	65	1.772		
Total	138.444	71	1.950		

OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Group and School

Set I

(Treatment-Baseline)

## Frequency

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
1.828	1	1.828	2.023	0.160
3.627 3.429 0.198	4 2 2	0.907 1.714 0.099	1.004 1.897 0.110	0.412 0.158 0.896
0.129	1	0.129	0.142	0.707
5.584	6	0.931	1.030	0.414
58.735	65	0.904	ar e e e	
64.319	71	0.906		
	3.627 3.429 0.198 0.129 5.584	1.828 1  3.627 4 3.429 2 0.198 2  0.129 1  5.584 6  58.735 65	Squares       Freedom       Square         1.828       1       1.828         3.627       4       0.907         3.429       2       1.714         0.198       2       0.099         0.129       1       0.129         5.584       6       0.931         58.735       65       0.904	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.828       1       1.828       2.023         3.627       4       0.907       1.004         3.429       2       1.714       1.897         0.198       2       0.099       0.110         0.129       1       0.129       0.142         5.584       6       0.931       1.030         58.735       65       0.904

#### Duration

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	3435.524	1	3435.524	1.658	0.202
Main Effects: Group School	19294.074 15267.090 4026.984	4 2 2	4823.516 7633.543 2013.492	2.327 3.683 0.972	0.065 0.031 0.384
Two-Way Interaction	430.633	1	430.633	0.208	0.650
Explained	23160.250	6	3860.042	1.863	0.101
Residual	134709.750	65	2072.458		
Total	157870.000	71	2223.521		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:	46 564		46 564	4 252	
Distance	16.561		16.561	1.363	0.247
Main Effects:	169.832	4	42.458	3.495	0.012
Group	151.355	2	75.678	6.229	0.003
School	18.477	2	9.238	0.760	0.472
Two-Way Interaction	9.446	1	9.446	0.778	0.381
Explained	195.840	6	32.640	2.687	0.022
Residual	789.655	65	12.149		
Total	985.495	71	13.880		

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	1.284	1	1.284	0.303	0.584
Main Effects:	9.235	4	2.309	0.545	0.703
Group	1.036	2	0.518	0.122	0.885
School	8.200	2	4.100	0.969	0.385
Two-Way Interaction	0.333	1	0.333	0.079	0.780
Explained	10.853	6	1.809	0.427	0.858
Residual	275.145	65	4.233		
Total	285.997	71	4.028		

Activity C - Reading/Studying

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	18.066	1	18.066	0.770	0.384
Main Effects:	311.501	4	77.875	3. 317	0.016
Group School	231.539 79.962	2 2	115.770 39.981	4.931 1.703	0.010 0.190
Two-Way Interaction	19.414	1	19.414	0.827	0.367
Explained	348.982	6	58.164	2.477	0.032
Residual	1525.999	65	23.477		
Total	1874.980	71	26.408		

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.	
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F	
Covariate: Distance	0.031	1	0.031	0.128	0.722	
Main Effects:	0.278	4	0.069	0.289	0.884	
Group School	0.203 0.074	2 2	0.102 0.037	0.423 0.155	0.657 0.857	
Two-Way Interaction	0.008	1	0.008	0.034	0.855	
Explained	0.316	6	0.053	0.219	0.969	
Residual	15.628	65	0.240			
Total	15.944	71	0.225			

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
3.280	1	3. 280	0.389	0.535
36.526 8.595	4 2	9.131	1.082	0.373 0.603
27.931	2	13.966	1.655	0.199
0.528	1	0.528	0.063	0.803
40.334	6	6.722	0.797	5.76
548.537	65	8.439		
588.870	71	8.294		
	3.280 36.526 8.595 27.931 0.528 40.334	3.280 1  36.526 4 8.595 2 27.931 2  0.528 1  40.334 6  548.537 65	Squares     Freedom     Square       3.280     1     3.280       36.526     4     9.131       8.595     2     4.297       27.931     2     13.966       0.528     1     0.528       40.334     6     6.722       548.537     65     8.439	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         3.280       1       3.280       0.389         36.526       4       9.131       1.082         8.595       2       4.297       0.509         27.931       2       13.966       1.655         0.528       1       0.528       0.063         40.334       6       6.722       0.797         548.537       65       8.439

# Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.186	1	1.186	1.285	0.261
Main Effects: Group School	1.864 1.682 0.182	4 2 2	0.466 0.841 0.037	0.505 0.911 0.155	0.732 0.407 0.857
Two-Way Interaction	0.041	1	0.041	0.045	0.834
Explained	3.091	6	0.515	0.558	0.762
Residual	60.020	65	0.923		
Total	63.111	71	0.889		

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.116	1 1	0.116	2.830	0.097
0.200 0.024 0.176	4 2 2	0.050 0.012 0.088	1.216 0.294 2.139	0.312 0.746 0.126
0	1	0	0.001	0.978
0.316	6	0.053	1.283	0.278
2.670	65	0.041		
2.986	71	0.042		
	0.116 0.200 0.024 0.176 0 0.316	0.116 1  0.200 4  0.024 2  0.176 2  0 1  0.316 6  2.670 65	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.116         1         0.116           0.200         4         0.050           0.024         2         0.012           0.176         2         0.088             0         1         0           0.316         6         0.053           2.670         65         0.041	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.116       1       0.116       2.830         0.200       4       0.050       1.216         0.024       2       0.012       0.294         0.176       2       0.088       2.139         0       1       0       0.001         0.316       6       0.053       1.283         2.670       65       0.041

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.181	1	0.181	2.611	0.111
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
Main Effects:	0.174	4	0.436	0.626	0.646
Group	0.074	2	0.037	0.531	0.591
School	0.100	2	0.050	0.721	0.490
Two-Way Interaction	0.003	1	0.003	0.045	0.832
Explained	0.358	6	0.060	0.860	0.529
Residual	4.517	65	0.069		
Total	4.875	7.1	0.069		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	<b>Mea</b> n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.001	1	0.001	0	0.983
Main Effects:	13.592	4	3. 398	2.275	0.071
Group	5.788	2	2.894	1.938	0.152
School	7.804	2	3.902	2.617	0.081
Two-Way Interaction	0.646	1	0.646	0.432	0.513
Explained	14.238	6	2.373	1.589	0.165
Residual	97.081	65	1.494		
Total	111.319	71	1.568		

#### Combined Activities

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	87.409	1	87.409	0.662	0.419
Main Effects:	1312.315	4	328.079	2.485	0.052
Group	999.839	2	499.920	3.787	0.028
School	312.476	2	156.238	1.183	0.313
Two-Way Interaction	48.004	1	48.004	0.364	0.549
Explained	1447.730	6	241.288	1.828	0.107
Residual	8581.500	65	132.023		
Total	10029.230	71	141.257		

#### Circulation - Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.227	1	1.227	0.550	0.461
Main Effects:	3.735	4	0.934	0.419	0.795
Group	2.764	2	1.382	0.620	0.541
School	0.971	2	0.486	0.218	0.805
Two-Way Interaction	0.026	1	0.026	0.012	0.914
Explained	4.989	6	0.831	0.373	0.894
Residual	144.997	65	2.231		
Total	149.986	71	2.112		
And the second of the second o	the state of the state of the state of the state of			1	· .

#### Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.013	1	0.013	0.101	0.921
DISCARCE	0.013			0.101	0.321
Main Effects:	9.143	4	2.286	1.773	0.145
Group	4.733	2	2.366	1.835	0.168
School	4.413	<b> </b>	2.207	1.711	0.189
Two-Way Interaction	0.001	1	0.001	0	0.982
Explained	9.159	6	1.527	1.184	0.326
Residual	83.826	65	1.290		
Total	92.986	71	1.310		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.137	1	0.137	0.950	0.333
Main Effects:	0.251	4	0.063	0.435	0.783
Group School	0.189 0.062	2 2	0.094 0.031	0.654 0.215	0.523 0.807
Two-Way Interaction	0.019		0.019	0.133	0.716
Explained	0.407	6	0.068	0.470	0.828
Residual	9.371	65	0.144		
Total	9.778	71	0.138		

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.533	1	2.533	0.522	0.472
Main Effects: Group	10.127 2.777	4 2	2.532 1.389	0.522 0.286	0.720 0.752
School	7.349		3.675	0.758	0.473
Two-Way Interaction	0.106	1	0.106	0.022	0.883
Explained	12.765	6	2.127	0.439	0.850
Residual	315.230	65	4.850		
Total	327.985	71	4.620		

# OBSERVATIONAL DATA Group and School Set II (Post-Treatment-Baseline)

#### Frequency

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F T	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.275	1	1.275	3.525	0.065
Main Effects:	4.065	4	1.016	2.810	0.032
Group School	0.914 0.214	2 2	0.457 0.107	1.264 0.296	0.289 0.744
Two-Way Interaction	0.026	1	0.026	0.072	0.789
Explained	5.366	6	0.894	2.473	0.032
Residual	23.509	65	0.362		
Total	28.875	71	0.407		

#### Duration

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	2211.913	1	2211.913	2.817	0.098
Main Effects:	9107.844	4	2276.961	2.900	0.028
Group	2148.972	2	1074.486	1.368	0.262
School	2003.016		101.508	1.276	0.286
Two-Way Interaction	17.285	1	17.285	0.022	0.883
Explained	11337.043	6	1889.507	2.406	0.037
Residual	51037.152	65	785.187		
Total	62374.195	71	878.510		

source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<del>.</del> .	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	7.111		7.111	3.830	0.055
Main Effects:	12.060 1.951	4 2	3.015 0.976	1.624 0.525	0.179 0.594
School	8.261	2	4.131	2.225	0.116
Two-Way Interaction	0.014	1	0.014	0.008	0.930
Explained	19.185	6	3. 198	1.722	0.130
Residual	120.689	65	1.857		
Total	139.875	71	1.970		

## Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.699	1	0.699	1.415	0.239
Main Effects:	1.750	4	0.438	0.886	0.478
Group	0.591	2	0.296	0.598	0.553
School	0.351	2	0.176	0.355	0.702
Two-Way Interaction	0.084	1	0.084	0.170	0.682
Explained	2.534	6	0.422	0.855	0.533
Residual	32.119	65	0.494		
Total	34.653	71	0.488		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.412		0.412	0.085	0.772
Main Effects:	18.705	4	4.676	0.962	0.434
Group	2.398	2	1.199	0.247	0.782
School	11.946	2	5.973	1.229	0.299
Two-Way Interaction	0.002	<b>1</b>	0.002	0	0.985
Explained	19.119	6	3. 187	0.655	0.686
Residua1	315.987	65	4.861		
Total	335.106	71	4.720		

## Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mea n		Sig.	
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F	
Covariate:						
Distance	0.062	1 1	0.062	0.320	0.573	
225 041100				,		
Main Effects:	1.137	4	0.284	1.472	0.221	
Group	0.589	2	0.295	1.526	0.225	
School	0.132	2	0.066	0.341	0.713	
Two-Way						
Interaction	0.023	1	0.023	0.119	0.731	
Explained	1.222	6	0.204	1.054	0.399	
Exhratued			<b>U + 2 U - 3</b>	1.054	0.399	
Residual	12.556	65	0.193	}		
Total	13.778	71	0.194			

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	3.519	. 1	3.519	2.450	0.122
Main Effects:	10.419	4	2.605	1.814	0.137
Group School	<b>4.362</b> <b>0.880</b>	2 2	2.181 0.440	1.519 0.306	0.227 0.737
Two-Way Interaction	0	1	0	0	0.993
Explained	13.938	6	2.323	1.618	0.156
Residual	93. 339	65	1.436		
Total	107.277	71	1.511		

#### Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	3.978		3.978	2.502	0.119
Main Effects:	5.633	4	1.408	0.886	0.478
Group	2.720	2	1.360	0.855	0.430
School	1.350	2	0.675	0.425	0.656
Two-Way Interaction	0.012	1	0.012	0.008	0.930
Explained	9.623	6	1.604	1.009	0.428
Residual	103.362	65	1.590		
Total	112.986	71	1.591		

Squares	Freedom	and the second s		
	<del></del>	Square	F	of F
0.092	1	0.092	3.416	0.069
0.107 0.033 0.077	4 2 2	0.027 0.017 0.038	0.994 0.622 1.431	0.417 0.540 0.247
0.003	1	0.003	0.122	0.728
0.202	6	0.034	1.253	0.292
1.743	65	0.027		
1.944	71	0.027		
	0.107 0.033 0.077 0.003 0.202	0.107 4 0.033 2 0.077 2 0.003 1 0.202 6	0.107       4       0.027         0.033       2       0.017         0.077       2       0.038         0.003       1       0.003         0.202       6       0.034         1.743       65       0.027	0.107     4     0.027     0.994       0.033     2     0.017     0.622       0.077     2     0.038     1.431       0.003     1     0.003     0.122       0.202     6     0.034     1.253       1.743     65     0.027

## Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Group School	0 0 0				
Two-Way Interaction	0				
Explained	0				
Residual	0				
Total	O				

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.093	1	0.093	0.234	0.630
3.389 0.945	4 2	0.847 0.472	2.119 1.181	0.088 0.313
0.260	2	0.130	0.325	0.724
0.024	1	0.024	0.061	0.806
3.507	6	0.584	1.462	0.205
25.993	65	0.400		
29.500	71	0.415		
	0.093 3.389 0.945 0.260 0.024 3.507	Squares       Freedom         0.093       1         3.389       4         0.945       2         0.260       2         2       2         0.024       1         3.507       6         25.993       65	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.093         1         0.093           3.389         4         0.847           0.945         2         0.472           0.260         2         0.130           0.024         1         0.024           3.507         6         0.584           25.993         65         0.400	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.093       1       0.093       0.234         3.389       4       0.847       2.119         0.945       2       0.472       1.181         0.260       2       0.130       0.325         0.024       1       0.024       0.061         3.507       6       0.584       1.462         25.993       65       0.400

#### Combined Activities

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.	
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F	
Covariate:						
Distance	68.240		68.240	2.938	0.091	
Main Effects:	242.472	4	60.618	2.609	0.043	
Group	22.852	2	11.426	0.492	0.614	
School	78.525	2	39.263	1.690	0.192	
Two-Way Interaction	0	1	0	0	0.996	
Explained	310.712	6	51.785	2.229	0.051	
Residual	1509.933	65	23.230			
Total	1820.645	71	25.643			

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
2.088	1	2.088	1.876	0.176
4.146 2.338 0.518	4 2 2	1.036 1.169 0.259	0.931 1.050 0.232	0.452 0.356 0.793
0.021	1	0.021	0.019	0.891
6.255	6	1.043	0.937	0.475
72.356	65	1.113		
78.611	71	1.107		
	2.088  4.146 2.338 0.518  0.021  6.255	Squares       Freedom         2.088       1         4.146       4         2.338       2         0.518       2         0.021       1         6.255       6         72.356       65	Squares         Freedom         Square           2.088         1         2.088           4.146         4         1.036           2.338         2         1.169           0.518         2         0.259           0.021         1         0.021           6.255         6         1.043           72.356         65         1.113	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         2.088       1       2.088       1.876         4.146       4       1.036       0.931         2.338       2       1.169       1.050         0.518       2       0.259       0.232         0.021       1       0.021       0.019         6.255       6       1.043       0.937         72.356       65       1.113

#### Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.	
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F	
Covariate:						
Distance	0.118		0.118	0.131	0.719	
Main Effects:	2.615	4	0.654	0.723	0.579	
Group	0.898	2	0.449	0.497	0.611	
School	0.252	2	0.126	0.139	0.870	
Two-Way Interaction	0.001	.1	0.001	0.001	0.973	
Explained	2.734	6	0.456	0.504	0.803	
Residual	58.765	65	0.904			
Total	61.500	71	0.866			

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				••
Main Effects: Group School	0 0 0				
Two-Way Interaction	0				
Explained	0				
Residual	0				
Total	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	1.213	1	1.213	0.627	0.431
Main Effects:	11.499	4	2.875	1.487	0.217
Group	5.308	2	2.654	1.372	0.261
School	0.062	2	0.031	0.016	0.984
Two-Way Interaction	0.031	1	0.031	0.016	0.899
Explained	12.743	6	2.124	1.098	0.373
Residual	125.701	65	1.934	1.934	
Total	138.4444	71	1.950	1.950	

OBSERVATIONAL DATA
Group and Grade
Set I
(Treatment-Baseline)

## Frequency

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.828	<b>1</b>	1.828	2.052	0.157
Main Effects: Group Grade	3.608 3.429 0.179	3 2 1	1.203 1.714 0.179	1.350 1.925 0.201	0.266 0.154 0.656
Two-Way Interaction	0.096	1	0.096	0.108	0.744
Explained	5.532	5	1.106	1.242	0.300
Residual	58.787	66	0.891		
Total	64.319	71	0.906		

#### Duration

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:	2435 504		2425 524	1.626	0.005
Distance	3435.524		3435.524	1.636	0.205
Main Effects:	15439.184	3	5146.395	2.450	0.071
Group	15267.090	2	7633.543	3.635	0.071
Grade	172.094	1	172.094	0.082	0.776
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Two-Way Interaction	375.586	1	375.586	0.179	0.674
Explained	19250.313	5	3850.062	1.833	0.118
Residual	138619.688	66	2100.298		
Total	157870.000	71	2223.521		

Activity A - Talking With Others

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	16.561	1	16.561	1.337	0.252
Main Effects: Group Grade	151.403 151.355 0.048	3 2 1	50.468 75.678 0.048	4.074 6.110 0.004	0.010 0.004 0.951
Two-Way Interaction	0.008	1	0.008	0.001	0.980
Explained	167.972	5	33.594	2.712	0.027
Residual	817.523	66	12.387		
Total	985.495	71	13.880		

## Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mea n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	1.284	1	1.284	0.303	0.584
Main Effects:	1.481	3	0.494	0.117	0.950
Group	1.036	2	0.518	0.122	0.885
Grade	0.446	1	0.446	0.105	0.747
Two-Way Interaction	3.576	1	3. 576	0.844	0.362
Explained	6.342	5	1.268	0.299	0.912
Residual	279.655	66	4.237		
Total	285.997	71	4.028		

Activity C - Reading/Studying

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	18•066	1	18.066	0.738	0.393
Main Effects: Group Grade	234.138 231.539 2.599	3 2 1	78.046 115.710 2.599	3.190 4.732 0.106	0.029 0.012 0.746
Two-Way Interaction	8.021	1	8.021	0.328	0.569
Explained	260.225	5	52.045	2.127	0.073
Residual	1614.756	66	24.466		
Total	1874.980	71	26.408		

## Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.031	1	0.031	0.130	0.720
0.263 0.203 0.059	3 2 1	0.088 0.102 0.059	0.370 0.429 0.251	0.775 0.653 0.618
0.009	1	0.009	0.040	0.842
0.303	5	0.061	0.256	0.935
15.641	66	0.237		
15.944	71	0.225		
	0.031 0.263 0.203 0.059 0.009	0.031 1  0.263 3 0.203 2 0.059 1  0.009 1  0.303 5	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.031         1         0.031           0.263         3         0.088           0.203         2         0.102           0.059         1         0.059           0.009         1         0.009           15.641         66         0.237	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.031       1       0.031       0.130         0.263       3       0.088       0.370         0.203       2       0.102       0.429         0.059       1       0.059       0.251         0.009       1       0.009       0.040         15.641       66       0.237

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	rreedom	Square	<u> </u>	of F
3.280	1	3.280	0.385	0.537
9.296	3	3.099	0.365	0.779
	2		1 1	0.606
0.702		<b>0.</b> /02	0.082	0.775
14.747	1	14.747	1.733	0.193
27.323	5	5.465	0.642	0.668
561.548	66	8.508		
588.870	71	8.294		
	3.280  9.296 8.595 0.702  14.747  27.323	3.280 1  9.296 3 8.595 2 0.702 1  14.747 1  27.323 5  561.548 66	Squares         Freedom         Square           3.280         1         3.280           9.296         3         3.099           8.595         2         4.297           0.702         1         0.702           14.747         1         14.747           27.323         5         5.465           561.548         66         8.508	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         3.280       1       3.280       0.385         9.296       3       3.099       0.365         8.595       2       4.297       0.505         0.702       1       0.702       0.082         14.747       1       14.747       1.733         27.323       5       5.465       0.642         561.548       66       8.508

## Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.186	1	1.186	1.301	0.258
Main Effects: Group Grade	1.698 1.682 0.017	3 2 1	0.566 0.841 0.017	0.621 0.922 0.018	0.604 0.403 0.893
Two-Way Interaction	0.033	1	0.033	0.036	0.850
Explained	2.918	5	0.584	0.640	0.670
Residual	60.193	66	0.912		
Total	63.111	71	0.889		
				<u> </u>	

Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b> .	Sig. of F
0.116	1	0.116	2.701	0.105
0.026	3	0.009	0.201	0.896
0.024	2	0.012	0.285	0.756
0.002		0.002	0.040	0.842
0.003	1	0.003	0.079	0.779
0.146	5	0.029	0.676	0.643
2.841	66	0.043		
2.986	71	0.042		
	0.116 0.026 0.024 0.002 0.003	O.116 1  O.026 3  O.024 2  O.002 1  O.146 5  2.841 66	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.116         1         0.116           0.026         3         0.009           0.024         2         0.012           0.002         1         0.002           0.003         1         0.003           0.146         5         0.029           2.841         66         0.043	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.116       1       0.116       2.701         0.026       3       0.009       0.201         0.024       2       0.012       0.285         0.002       1       0.002       0.040         0.003       1       0.003       0.079         0.146       5       0.029       0.676         2.841       66       0.043

## Activity H - Doing Nothing

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.181	1	0.181	2.728	0.103
0.241	3	0.080	1.209	0.314
0.074	2	0.037	0.555	0.577
0.167	1	0.167	2.517	0.117
0.064	1	0.064	0.959	0. 331
0.486	5	0.097	1.463	0.214
4.389	66	0.066		
4.875	71	0.069	·	
	0.181 0.241 0.074 0.167 0.064 4.389	O.181 1  O.241 3 O.074 2 O.167 1  O.486 5  4.389 66	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.181         1         0.181           0.241         3         0.080           0.074         2         0.037           0.167         1         0.167           0.064         1         0.064           4.389         66         0.066	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.181       1       0.181       2.728         0.241       3       0.080       1.209         0.074       2       0.037       0.555         0.167       1       0.167       2.517         0.064       1       0.064       0.959         0.486       5       0.097       1.463         4.389       66       0.066

0.001	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.001				
		0.001	0	0.983
8.140 5.788 2.352	3 2 1	2.713 2.894 2.352	1.736 1.851 1.505	0.168 0.165 0.224
0.009	1	0.009	0.006	0.939
8.150	5	1.630	1.043	0.400
103.169	66	1.563		
111.319	71	1.568		
	5.788 2.352 0.009	5.788 2 2.352 1 0.009 1 8.150 5	5.788       2       2.894         2.352       1       2.352         0.009       1       0.009         8.150       5       1.630         103.169       66       1.563	5.788     2     2.894     1.851       2.352     1     2.352     1.505       0.009     1     0.009     0.006       8.150     5     1.630     1.043       103.169     66     1.563

#### Combined Activities

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	87.409	1	87.409	0.650	0.423
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Main Effects: Group Grade	1000.515 999.839 0.676	3 2 1	333.505 499.920 0.676	2.482 3.720 0.005	0.069 0.029 0.944
Two-Way Interaction	72.065	1	72.065	0.536	0.467
Explained	1159.992	5	231.998	1.726	0.141
Residual	8869.238	66	134.382		
Total	10029.230	71	141.257		<del></del>

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.227	1	1.227	0.557	0.458
2.774 2.764 0.010	3 2 1	0.925 1.382 0.010	0.420 0.627 0.004	0.739 0.537 0.947
0.571	1	0.571	0.259	0.612
4.572	5	0.914	0.415	0.837
145.414	66	2.203		
149.986	71	2.112		
	1.227 2.774 2.764 0.010 0.571 4.572	1.227 1  2.774 3 2.764 2 0.010 1  0.571 1  4.572 5	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.227         1         1.227           2.774         3         0.925           2.764         2         1.382           0.010         1         0.010           0.571         1         0.571           4.572         5         0.914           145.414         66         2.203	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.227       1       1.227       0.557         2.774       3       0.925       0.420         2.764       2       1.382       0.627         0.010       1       0.010       0.004         0.571       1       0.571       0.259         4.572       5       0.914       0.415         145.414       66       2.203

#### Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square		Sig. of F
				F	
Covariate: Distance	0.013	1	0.013	0.010	0.920
Main Effects: Group Grade	6.720 4.733 1.970	3 2 1	2.234 2.366 1.970	1.745 1.849 1.539	0.166 0.166 0.219
Two-Way Interaction	1.790	1	1.790	1.399	0.241
Explained	8.506	5	1.701	1.329	0.263
Residual	84.480	66	1.280	•	
Total	92.986	71	1.310		

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.137	1	0.137	0.960	0.331
0.218 0.189 0.030	3 2 1	0.073 0.094 0.030	0.510 0.661 0.209	0.677 0.520 0.649
0.003	1	0.003	0.020	0.889
0.358	5	0.072	0.502	0.774
9.420	66	0.143		
9.778	71	0.138		
	0.137 0.218 0.189 0.030 0.003	0.137 1  0.218 3 0.189 2 0.030 1  0.003 1  0.358 5  9.420 66	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.137         1         0.137           0.218         3         0.073           0.189         2         0.094           0.030         1         0.030           0.003         1         0.003           0.358         5         0.072           9.420         66         0.143	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.137       1       0.137       0.960         0.218       3       0.073       0.510         0.189       2       0.094       0.661         0.030       1       0.030       0.209         0.003       1       0.003       0.020         0.358       5       0.072       0.502         9.420       66       0.143

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.533	1	2.533	0.528	0.470
Main Effects: Group Grade	4.545 2.777 1.768	3 2 1	1.515 1.389 1.768	0.316 0.289 0.368	0.814 0.750 0.546
Two-Way Interaction	4.164	1	4.164	0.868	0.355
Explained	11.242	5	2.248	0.468	0.798
Residual	316.753	66	4.799		
Total	327.995	71	4.620		

### OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Group and Grade

Set II

(Post-Treatment-Baseline)

# Frequency

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.275	1	1.275	3.560	0.064
3.859 2.561 0.009	3 2 1	1.286 1.281 0.009	3.592 3.576 0.024	0.018 0.034 0.877
0.104	1	0.104	0.291	0.591
5.238	5	1.048	2.925	0.019
23.636	66	0.358		
28.875	71	0.407		
	1.275 3.859 2.561 0.009 0.104 5.238	1.275 1  3.859 3 2.561 2 0.009 1  0.104 1  5.238 5  23.636 66	Squares       Freedom       Square         1.275       1       1.275         3.859       3       1.286         2.561       2       1.281         0.009       1       0.009         0.104       1       0.104         5.238       5       1.048         23.636       66       0.358	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.275       1       1.275       3.560         3.859       3       1.286       3.592         2.561       2       1.281       3.576         0.009       1       0.009       0.024         0.104       1       0.104       0.291         5.238       5       1.048       2.925         23.636       66       0.358

#### Duration

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mea n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:	<u> </u>				:
Distance	2211.913		2211.913	2.757	0.102
Main Effects:	7104.922	3	2368.307	2.952	0.039
Group	4700.598	2	2350.299	2.930	0.060
Grade	0.093	1	0.093	0	0.991
Two-Way Interaction	107.023	1	107.023	0.133	0.716
Explained	9423.859	5	1884.772	2.349	0.050
Residual	52950.336	66	802.278	ve en e	
Total	62374.195	71	878.510		

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
7.111	1	7.111	3.681	0.059
4.931 4.782 1.132	3 2 1	1.644 2.391 1.132	1.644 2.391 1.132	0.471 0.297 0.447
0.336	1	0.336	0.174	0.678
12.378	5	2.476	1.281	0.282
127.497	66	1.932		
139.875	71	1.970		
	7.111 4.931 4.782 1.132 0.336	7.111 1  4.931 3 4.782 2 1.132 1  0.336 1  12.378 5	Squares         Freedom         Square           7.111         1         7.111           4.931         3         1.644           4.782         2         2.391           1.132         1         1.132           0.336         1         0.336           12.378         5         2.476           127.497         66         1.932	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         7.111       1       7.111       3.681         4.931       3       1.644       1.644         4.782       2       2.391       2.391         1.132       1       1.132       1.132         0.336       1       0.336       0.174         12.378       5       2.476       1.281         127.497       66       1.932

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.699	1	0.699	1.433	0.236
Main Effects: Group Grade	1.413 0.730 0.014	3 2 1	0.471 0.365 0.014	0.965 0.747 0.028	0.415 0.478 0.867
Two-Way Interaction	0.320		0.320	0.656	0.421
Explained	2.433	5	0.487	0.997	0.427
Residual	32.220	66	0.488		
Total	34.653	71	0.488		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.412	1	0.412	0.085	0.772
Main Effects:	10.636	3	3.545	0.728	0.539
Group	10.632	2	5.316	1.091	0.342
Grade	3.877		3.877	0.796	0.376
Two-Way Interaction	2.583	1	2.583	0.530	0.469
Explained	13.631	5	2.726	0.560	0.730
Residual	321.475	66	4.871		
Total	335.106	71	4.720		**************************************

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.062		0.062	0.325	0.570
1.026 0.636 0.020	3 2 1	0.342 0.318 0.020	1.797 1.673 0.105	0.156 0.196 0.747
0.134	<b>.</b>	0.134	0.705	0.404
1.222	5	0.244	1.284	0.281
12.556	66	0.190		
13,778	71	0.194		
	0.062 1.026 0.636 0.020 0.134 1.222	0.062 1  1.026 3 0.636 2 0.020 1  0.134 1  1.222 5  12.556 66	0.062     1     0.062       1.026     3     0.342       0.636     2     0.318       0.020     1     0.020       0.134     1     0.134       1.222     5     0.244       12.556     66     0.190	0.062     1     0.062     0.325       1.026     3     0.342     1.797       0.636     2     0.318     1.673       0.020     1     0.020     0.105       0.134     1     0.134     0.705       1.222     5     0.244     1.284       12.556     66     0.190

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	· * * * * <b>F</b> * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	T	of F
Covariate:	3.519	1	<b>3.</b> 519	2.472	0.121
Distance	3.319		3.313	2.472	0.121
Main Effects:	9.705	3	3.235	2.272	0.088
Group	7.543	2	3.772	2.649	0.078
Grade	0.166	1	0.166	0.116	0.734
Two-Way Interaction	0.096	1	0.096	0.067	0.796
Explained	13.320	5	2.664	1.871	0.111
Residual	93.958	66	1.424		
Total	107.277	71	1.511		

# Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
3.978	1	3.978	2.550	0.115
				· · ·
4.720	3	1.573	1.008	0.395
2.307	2	1.154	0.739	0.481
0.437	1	0.437	0.280	0.598
		······································		
1. 30 1	1	1.301	0.834	0.365
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
9.999	5	2.000	1.282	0.282
				·
102.987	66	1.560		, *
112.986	71	1.591		
	3.978 4.720 2.307 0.437 1.301 9.999	3.978 1  4.720 3 2.307 2 0.437 1  1.301 1  9.999 5	3.978 1 3.978  4.720 3 1.573 2.307 2 1.154 0.437 1 0.437  1.301 1 1.301  9.999 5 2.000  102.987 66 1.560	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         3.978       1       3.978       2.550         4.720       3       1.573       1.008         2.307       2       1.154       0.739         0.437       1       0.437       0.280         1.301       1       1.301       0.834         9.999       5       2.000       1.282         102.987       66       1.560

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · <b>· · · · · · · · · · · · ·</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.092	1	0.092	3.374	0.071
Main Effects:	0.057	3	0.019	0.705	0.553
Group	0.053	2	0.026	0.973	0.383
Grade	0.027	1	0.027	1.011	0.318
Two-Way Interaction	0.004	1	0.004	0.145	0.705
Explained	0.153	5	0.031	1.127	0.355
Residual	1.792	66	0.027		
Total	1.944	71	0.027		

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Group Grade	0 0 0	. A production of the			
Two-Way Interaction	0				*****
Explained	0				
Residual	0				an ta t
Total	0		Notes that the second of the		

source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.093	1	0.093	0.235	0.629
Main Effects:	3.136 1.854	3 2	1.045 0.927	2.631 2.332	0.057 0.105
Group Grade	0.007	1	0.007	0.018	0.103
Two-Way Interaction	0.045	1	0.045	0.112	0.739
Explained	3.274	5	0.655	1.648	0.160
Residua1	26.225	66	0.397		
Total	29.500	71	0.415		

#### Combined Activities

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
68.240	1	68.240	2.850	0.096
170.712	3	56.904	2.377	0.078
6.766	1	6.766	0.283	0.065 0.597
1.462	1	1.462	0.061	0.806
240.414	5	48.083	2.008	0.089
1580.231	66	23.943		
1820.645	71	25.643		,
	5quares  68.240  170.712 136.317 6.766  1.462  240.414	Squares Freedom  68.240 1  170.712 3 136.317 2 6.766 1  1.462 1  240.414 5  1580.231 66	Squares         Freedom         Square           68.240         1         68.240           170.712         3         56.904           136.317         2         68.158           6.766         1         6.766           1.462         1         1.462           240.414         5         48.083           1580.231         66         23.943	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         68.240       1       68.240       2.850         170.712       3       56.904       2.377         136.317       2       68.158       2.847         6.766       1       6.766       0.283         1.462       1       1.462       0.061         240.414       5       48.083       2.008         1580.231       66       23.943

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.088	1	2.088	1.915	0.171
Main Effects: Group Grade	4.479 4.329 0.851	3 2 1	1.493 2.164 0.851	1.369 1.985 0.780	0.260 0.146 0.380
Two-Way Interaction	0.071	1	0.071	0.065	0.800
Explained	6.638	5	1. 328	1.217	0.311
Residual	71.973	66	1.090		
Total	78.611	71	1.107		

#### Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.118	1	0.118	0.132	0.717
Main Effects: Group Grade	2.414 1.122 0.051	3 2 1	0.805 0.561 0.051	0.902 0.629 0.057	0.445 0.537 0.811
Two-Way Interaction	0.071	1	0.071	0.079	0.779
Explained	2.603	5	0.521	0.583	0.713
Residual	58.896	66	0.892		
Total	61.500	71	0.866		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Group Grade	0 0 0				
Two-Way Interaction	0				
Explained	0		a * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Residual	0				
Total	0				

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	1.213	1	1.213	0.640	0.426
Main Effects:	11.921	3	3.974	2.098	0.109
Group	9.596	2	4.798	2.533	0.087
Grade	0.484	1	0.484	0.256	0.615
Two-Way Interaction	0.283	1	0.283	0.150	0.700
Explained	13.418	5	2.684	1.417	0.230
Residual	125.026	66	1.894		
Total	138.444	71	1.950		,

#### OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Teacher

Set I

(Treatment-Baseline)

#### Frequency

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.828	1	1.828	2.116	0.151
Main Effects: Teacher	11.528	11	1.048	1.213	0.299
Explained	13.356	12	1.113	1.288	0.250
Residual	50.963	59	0.864		
Total	64.319	71	0.906		

#### Duration

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	3435.524	1	3435.524	1.793	0.186
Main Effects: Teacher	41398.461	11	3763.496	1.964	0.049
Explained	44834.005	12	3736.167	1.950	0.046
Residual	113036.005	59	1915.864		
Total	157870.000	71	2223.521		i e

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>. </b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	16.561	1	16.561	1.704	0.197
Main Effects: Teacher	395 • 366	11	35.942	3.697	0
Explained	411.927	12	34.327	3.531	0.001
Residual	573.568	59	9.721		
Total	985.495	71	13.880		

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.284	1	1.284	0.300	0.586
Main Effects: Teacher	31.827	11	2.893	0.675	0.756
Explained	33.111	12	2.759	0.644	0.796
Residual	252.886	59	4.286	e e e e e e e e e	
Total	285.997	71	4.028		

# Activity C - Reading/Studying

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
18.066	1	18.066	0.821	0.369
558.244	11	50.749	2.306	0.020
576.311	12	48.026	2.182	0.024
1298.670	59	22.011		
1874.980	71	26.408		
	576.311 1298.670	Squares Freedom  18.066 1  558.244 11  576.311 12  1298.670 59	Squares     Freedom     Square       18.066     1     18.066       558.244     11     50.749       576.311     12     48.026       1298.670     59     22.011	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           18.066         1         18.066         0.821           558.244         11         50.749         2.306           576.311         12         48.026         2.182           1298.670         59         22.011

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	_	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.031	1	0.031	0.117	0.733
Main Effects: Teacher	0.463	11	0.042	0.161	0.999
Explained	0.493	12	0.041	0.157	0.999
Residual	15.451	59	0.262		
Total	15.944	71	0.225		

#### Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of Variation:	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	3.280		3.280	0.365	0.548
Main Effects: Teacher	54.814	11	4.983	0.554	0.858
Explained	58.094	12	4.841	0.538	0.881
Residual	530.777	59	8.996		
Total	588.870	71	8.294	·	

# Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.186	1	1.186	1.770	0.284
Main Effects: Teacher	2.089	11	0.190	0.187	0.998
Explained	3.275	12	0.273	0.269	0.992
Residual	59.835	59	1.014		
Total	63.111	71	0.889		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	··· <b>··································</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.116	1	0.116	2.783	0.101
Main Effects: Teacher	0.405	11	0.037	0.881	0.563
Explained	0.521	12	0.043	1.039	0.426
Residual	2.465	59	0.042		
Total	2.986	71	0.042		

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · <b>F</b> · · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.181	1	0.181	2.682	0.107
Main Effects: Teacher	0.703	11	0.064	0.945	0.505
Explained	0.884	12	0.074	1.090	0.385
Residual	3.990	59	0.068		
Total	4.875	71	0.69		

# Activity I - Other

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.001	1	0.001	0	0.983
Main Effects: Teacher	22.353	11	2.032	1.348	0.222
Explained	22.354	12	1.863	1.235	0.282
Residual	88.965	59	1.508		
Total	111.319	71	1.568		

#### Combined Activities

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
Covariate: Distance	87.409	1	87.409	0.733	0.395
Main Effects: Teacher	2906.646	11	264.240	2.216	0.025
Explained	2994.055	12	249.505	2.092	0.031
Residual	7035.176	59	119.240		
Total	10029.230	71	141.257		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.227	1	1.227	0.505	0.480
Main Effects: Teacher	5.281	11	0.480	0.197	0.997
Explained	6.508	12	0.542	0.223	0.997
Residual	143.477	59	2.432		
Total	149.986	71	2.112		

# Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.013	1	0.013	0.010	0.922
Main Effects: Teacher	14.820	11	1.347	1.017	0.443
Explained	14.833	12	1.236	0.933	0.521
Residual	78.153	59	1.325		
Total	92.986	71	1.310		

# Circulation - Nonprint

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.137	1	0.137	0.868	0.355
Main Effects: Teacher	0.332	11	0.030	0.191	0.998
Explained	0.469	12	0.039	0.248	0.994
Residual	9.309	59	0.158		
Total	9.778	71	0.138		

#### Combined Circulation

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	2.533	1	2.533	0.492	0.486
Main Effects: Teacher	21.810	11	1.983	0.385	0.957
Explained	24.343	12	2.029	0.394	0.960
Residual	303.652	59	5.147		
Total	327.995	71	4.620		

# OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Teacher

Set II

(Post-Treatment-Baseline)

# Frequency

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.275	1	1.275	3.340	0.073
Main Effects: Teacher	5.084	11	0.462	1.211	0.300
Explained	6.359	12	0.530	1.389	0.197
Residual	22.516	59	0.382		
Total	28.875	71	0.407		

#### Duration

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	2211.913	1	2211.913	2.659	0.108
Main Effects: Teacher	11078.488	11	1007.135	1.211	0.300
Explained	13290.402	12	1107.533	1.331	0.226
Residual	49083.793	59	831.928		
Total	62374.195	71	878.510		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F T	of F
Covariate: Distance	7.111	1	<b>7.111</b>	3.863	0.054
Main Effects: Teacher	24.160	11	2.196	1.193	0.312
Explained	31.271	12	2.606	1.416	0.185
Residual	108.604	59	1.841		
Total	139.875	71	1.970	·	

# Activity B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.699	1	0.699	1.435	0.236
Main Effects: Teacher	5.204	11	0.473	0.971	0.482
Explained	5.904	12	0.493	1.010	0.452
Residual	28.749	59	0.487		
Total	34.653	71	0.488		

Activity C - Reading/Studying

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.412	1	0.412	0.082	0.775
39.416	11	3.583	0.716	0.719
39.828	12	3.319	0.663	0.779
295.278	59	5.005		
335.106	71	4.720		
	39.416 39.828 295.278	39.416 11 39.828 12 295.278 59	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.412         1         0.412           39.416         11         3.583           39.828         12         3.319           295.278         59         5.005	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.412         1         0.412         0.082           39.416         11         3.583         0.716           39.828         12         3.319         0.663           295.278         59         5.005

# Activity D - Using Card Catalog

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>.</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.062		0.062	0.296	0.588
Main Effects: Teacher	1.380	11	0.125	0.600	0.821
Explained	1.442	12	0.120	0.575	0.854
Residual	12.336	59	0.209		
Total	13.778	71	0.194		

Activity E - Looking For Materials

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Variation:	Byuares	Freedom	byuare	<u> </u>	OL F
Covariate:					
Distance	3.519	1	3.519	2.240	0.140
Main Effects:					
Teacher	11.079	11	1.007	0.641	0.786
Explained	14.598	12	1.216	0.774	0.674
Residual	92.680	59	1.571	A CONTRACTOR	
Total	107.277	71	1.511	·	

# Activity F - Attending Library Programs

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	3.978	<b>1</b>	3.978	2.328	0.132
Main Effects: Teacher	8.172	11	0.743	0.435	0.934
Explained	12.150	12	1.013	0.592	0.840
Residual	100.835	59	1.709		
Total	112.986	71	1.591		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.092	1	0.092	3.420	0.069
Main Effects: Teacher	0.272	11	0.025	0.925	0.523
Explained	0.364	12	0.030	1.133	0.352
Residual	1.580	59	0.027		
Total	1.944	71	0.027		

# Activity H - Doing Nothing

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · <b>· · ·</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Teacher	0				
Explained	0				
Residual	0				
Total	0				

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b></b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.093	1	0.093	0.217	0.643
Main Effects: Teacher	4.051	11	0.368	0.857	0.586
Explained	4.145	12	0.345	0.804	0.645
Residual	25.355	59	0.430		
Total	29.500	71	0.415		

#### Combined Activities

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	68.240	<b>.</b>	68.240	2.852	0.097
Main Effects: Teacher	340.791	11	30.981	1.295	0.250
Explained	409.031	12	34.086	1.425	0.181
Residual	1411.614	59	23.926		
Total	1820.645	71	25.643		

#### Circulation - Fiction

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
2.088	1	2.088	1.730	0.193
5.326	11	0.484	0.401	0.950
7.414	12	0.618	0.512	0.899
71.197	59	1.207		
78.611	71	1.107		
	5.326 7.414 71.197	2.088 1 5.326 11 7.414 12 71.197 59	Squares         Freedom         Square           2.088         1         2.088           5.326         11         0.484           7.414         12         0.618           71.197         59         1.207	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           2.088         1         2.088         1.730           5.326         11         0.484         0.401           7.414         12         0.618         0.512           71.197         59         1.207

# Circulation - Non-Fiction

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.118	1	0.118	0.119	0.731
Main Effects: Teacher	3.059	11	0.278	0.281	0.987
Explained	3.177	12	0.265	0.268	0.992
Residual	58.323	59	0.989		
Total	61.500	71	0.866		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0				
Main Effects: Teacher	0				·
Explained	0				
Residual	0				
Total	0				

#### Combined Circulation

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.213	1	1.213	0.576	0.451
Main Effects: Teacher	12.947	11	1.177	0.559	0.854
Explained	14.160	12	1.180	0.560	0.865
Residual	124.284	59	2.107		
Total	138.444	71	1.950		

# APPENDIX D

# OBSERVATIONAL DATA T-Test

Duration - Set I

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			Separate Variance Estimate		
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	Ť	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.
Control vs. Experimental	1.63	0.247	-1.91	46	0.063	-1.91	43.49	0.063
Control vs Gifted	7.24	0.607	0.84	46	0.403	0.84	45.47	0.403
Experimental vs. Gifted	1.31	0.517	2.57	46	0.013	2.57	45.17	0.014

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Cont rol	10.9167	39.895	8.144	24
Experimental	36.1250	50.979	10.406	24
Gifted	0.6250	44.466	9.077	24

Activity A - Talking with Others - Set I

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			<u>Separate</u> Variance Estimate		
<b>Q</b>	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob
Control vs. Experimental	42.87	0.00	-2.69	46	0.010	-2.69	24.07	0.013
Control vs Gifted	6.38	0.00	0.18	46	0.860	0.18	30.03	0.860
Experimental vs. Gifted	6.72	0.00	2.61	46	0.012	2.61	29.70	0.014

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Cont rol	0.2500	0.847	0.173	24
Experimental	3. 3333	5.546	1.32	24
Gifted	0.1667	2.140	0.437	24

Activity C - Reading/Studying

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			Separate Variance Estimate		
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.
Control vs. Experimental	11.55	0.00	-2.54	46	0.014	-2.54	26•95	0.017
Control vs Gifted	2.77	0.018	2.35	<b>4</b> 6	0.023	2.35	37.68	0.024
Experimental vs. Gifted	4.16	0.001	0.13	46	0.897	0.13	33.45	0.897

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Cont rol	0.5417	2.064	0.421	24
Experimental	4.3333	7.013	1.432	24
Gifted	0.4167	4.211	0.860	24

#### Combined Activities - Set I

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			Separate Variance Estimate		
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	Ť	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.
Control vs. Experimental	1.61	0.259	-1.94	46	0.059	-1.94	43.61	0.59
Control vs Gifted	1.38	0.444	0.65	46	0.522	0.65	44.85	0.522
Experimental vs. Gifted	2.23	0.061	2.60	46	0.012	2.60	40.19	0.013

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Control	2.9167	10.830	2.211	24
Experimental	9.8333	13.751	2.807	24
Gifted	1.0417	9.215	1.881	24

Frequency - Set II

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			<u>Separate</u> Variance Estimate		
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.
Control vs. Experimental	1.86	0.142	0.00	46	1.000	0.00	42.16	1.000
Control vs Gifted	2.51	0.032	2.91	46	0.005	2,91	28.80	0.006
Experimental vs. Gifted	1.35	0.480	2.61	46	0.012	2.61	45.01	0.012

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Control	0.125	0.448	0.092	24
Experimental	0.125	0.612	0.125	24
Gifted	-0.3750	0.711	0.145	24

Frequency - Set II

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			<u>Separate</u> Variance Estimate		
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.
Control vs. Experimental	35.59	0.00	-0.88	46	0.385	-0.88	24.29	0.389
Control vs Gifted	73.78	0.00	2.26	46	0.028	2.26	23.62	0.033
Experimental vs. Gifted	2.07	0.087	2.38	<b>46</b>	0.022	2.38	41.00	0.022

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Control	0.4167	4.652	0.950	24
Experimental	5.4583	27.754	5.665	24
Gifted	-18.1667	39.962	8.157	24

Activity E - Looking for Materials - Set II

			<u>Pooled</u> Variance Estimate			<u>Separate</u> Variance Estimate		
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.
Control vs. Experimental	2•69	0.021	-1.14	46	0.258	-1.14	38.03	0.260
Control vs Gifted	15.92	0.00	1.71	46	0.094	1.71	25.88	0.099
Experimental vs. Gifted	5.92	0.00	2.14	46	0.038	2.14	30.55	0.040

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Control	-0.0417	0.464	0.095	24
Experimental	0.1667	0.761	0.155	24
Gifted	-0.7083	1.853	0.378	24

Activity I - Other - Set II

			Vai	Pooled	imate	Separate Variance Estimate			
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	
Control vs. Experimental	6.09	0.00	-0.38	46	0.709	-0.38	30 • 36	0.710	
Control vs Gifted	20.48	0.00	2.16	46	0.036	2.16	25.24	0.041	
Experimental vs. Gifted	3.36	0.005	2.13	<b>4</b> 6	0.038	2.13	35.26	0.040	

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Control	0.0417	0.204	0.042	24
Experimental	0.0833	0.504	0.103	24
Gifted	-0.3750	0.924	0.189	24

Combined Activities - Set II

			Vai	Pooled riance Est	imate	<u>Separate</u> Variance Estimate			
	F	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	T	Deg. of	2-Tail	
Group:	Value	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	Value	Freedom	Prob.	
Control vs. Experimental	11.65	0.00	-0.04	<b>4</b> 6	0.972	-0.04	26•92	0.972	
Control vs Gifted	14.61	0.00	2.48	46	0.017	2.48	26.13	0.020	
Experimental vs. Gifted	1.25	0.592	1.94	46	0.059	1.94	45.42	0.059	

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	N of Cases
Control	0.2500	1.622	0.331	24
Experimental	0.2917	5.536	1.130	24
Gifted	-3.000	6.200	1.265	24

#### APPENDIX E

Observational Data
One-Way Test
Student Performance by Teacher
Set I,
(Treatment - Baseline)

#### Duration

Teacher # Group	3 E	10 C	12 C	6 E	7 C	1 G	11 C	<b>9</b> C	4 E	8 C	2 E	5 E
Teacher #3 X= -18.7500	 					A This case of		7			·····	
Teacher #10 X= 0.0	 					· •						
Teacher #12 X= 0.0												
Teacher #6 X= 0.0									·			
Teacher #7 X= 0.0	 	٠. ٠								<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>		
Teacher #1 X= .6250	 											
Teacher #11 X= 2.0000	 			,								
Teacher #9 X= 8.3333								gir dag g sanisa salay		······································	···	
Teacher #4 X= 17.8000							1-12-12	. · ., ·		+ <del></del>		,
Teacher #8 X= 28.7243												
Teacher #2 X= 56.6000	 3		S			S	******	-	######################################			
Teacher #5 X= 63.3333	 S	s	S			S	-	s			······································	

S denotes contrasts that are significant at the 0.05 level. X denotes mean change scores, the lower the mean, the less increase in activity. C=Control, E=Experimental and G=Gifted. Teachers are listed in rank order with the lowest mean first.

## Activity A - Talking with Others

Teacher # 3 10 11 12 6 ·2 CECGCCE Group С Teacher #3 E X = -2.0000Teacher #10 C x = 0.0Teacher #11 C X = 0.0Teacher #12 C X = 0.0Teacher #6 E X = 0.0Teacher #7 C X = 0.0Teacher #1 G X = .1667Teacher #9 C X = .2857Teacher #8 C X = .5714Teacher #4 E X = 1.0000Teacher #5 E S S S S S S X = 5.2857Teacher #2 E S S S S S S S X = 5.6000

S denotes contrasts that are significant at the 0.05 level. X denotes mean change scores, the lower the mean, the less increase in activity. C=Control, E=Experimental and G=Gifted. Teachers are listed in rank order with the lowers mean first.

# Activity C - Reading and Studying

Teacher # Group	3 E	1 10 G C	11 12 C C	6 7 E C	9 8 C C	4 2 E E	5 E
Teacher #3 X= -3.0000							
Teacher #1 X=3636							
Teacher #10 X= 0.0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Teacher #11 X= 0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Teacher #12 X= 0.0							
Teacher #16 X= 0.0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Teacher #7 X= 0.0			. , ,				
Teacher #9 X= .4286		· · · · · ·					
Teacher #8 X= 1.4286							
Teacher #4 X= 4.0000	S					<b></b>	· ,
Teacher #2 X= 6.2000	<b>S</b>	S	S		S		
Teacher #5 X= 7.2222	s	s s	S		s s		

S denotes contrasts that are significant at the 0.05 level. Z denotes mean change scores, the lower the mean, the less increase in activity. C=Control, E=Experimental and G=Gifted. Teachers are listed in rank order with the lowers mean first.

APPENDIX F

# QUESTIONNAIRE: ANALYSIS OF COVARIANCE

I. Group

Q1A - Library Use

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	3.554		3.554	26.848	0.000
Main Effects: Group	1.855	2	0.928	7.007	0.001
Explained	5.410	3	1.803	13.620	0.000
Residual	37.069	280	0.132		
Total	42.478	283	0.150		

<sup>7</sup> cases missing (2.4%)

Q1B - Come To The Library With...

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.310	1	0.310	0.543	0.462
Main Effects: Group	1.751	2	0.876	1.536	0.217
Explained	2.061	3	0.687	1.205	0.308
Residual	141.922	249	0.570		
Total	143.982	252	0.571		

Q2A - Study

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0	1	0	0	0.993
Main Effects: Group	4.174	2	2.087	8.813	0.000
Explained	4.174	3	1.391	5.875	0.001
Residual	59.684	252	0.237		
Total	63.858	255	0.250		

Q2B - Meet Friends

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	O		<b>0</b>	0.003	0.955
Main Effects: Group	0.994	2	0.497	5.603	0.004
Explained	0.995	3	0.332	3.736	0.012
Residual	22.364	252	0.089	s	
Total	23.358	255	0.092		

35 cases missing (12%)

#### Q2C - Attend Programs

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · <b>F</b> ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0	1	0	0.001	0.970
Main Effects: Group	0.006	2	0.003	0.033	0.967
Explained	0.006	3	0.002	0.023	0.995
Residual	22.552	252	0.089	. ,	
Total	22.558	255	0.088		

35 cases missing (12%)

Q2D - Materials For Home

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.014	1	0.014	0.059	0.809
1.043	2	0.522	2.118	0.122
1.058	3	0.353	1.432	0.234
62.062	252	0.246		
63.120	255	0.248		
	0.014 1.043 1.058	0.014 1  1.043 2  1.058 3  62.062 252	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.014         1         0.014           1.043         2         0.522           1.058         3         0.353           62.062         252         0.246	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.014       1       0.014       0.059         1.043       2       0.522       2.118         1.058       3       0.353       1.432         62.062       252       0.246

35 cases missing (12%)

Q3A - Books

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<b>7</b> 0	Sig. of F
Dquares	I redom	Dquare		OT E
0.145	1	0.145	3.454	0.064
0.061	2	0.030	0.726	0.485
0.206	3	0.069	1.635	0.182
10.310	246	0.042		
10.516	249	0.042		
	0.145 0.061 0.206	0.145   1   0.061   2   0.206   3   10.310   246	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.145         1         0.145           0.061         2         0.030           0.206         3         0.069           10.310         246         0.042	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.145         1         0.145         3.454           0.061         2         0.030         0.726           0.206         3         0.069         1.635           10.310         246         0.042

Q3B - Records

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· * * * * <b>F</b> * * * * *	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	1.171	1	1.171	6.067	0.014
Main Effects: Group	0.411	2	0.205	1.065	0.346
Explained	1.581	3	0.527	2.732	0.044
Residual	47.461	246			
Total	49.043	249			

Q3C - Cassettes

Squares 0.192	Freedom 1	Square 0.192	F 1.371	of F
0.192	1	0.192	1.371	0.242
		and the second second second second		0.243
0.236	2	0.118	0.842	0.432
0.429	3	0.143	1.018	0.385
34.514	246	0.140		
34.943	249	0.140		
	0.429 34.514	0.429 3 34.514 246	0.429 3 0.143 34.514 246 0.140	0.429 3 0.143 1.018 34.514 246 0.140

Q3D - Newspapers

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.936	1	0.936	4.050	0.045
Main Effects: Group	1.129	2	0.565	2.444	0.089
Explained	2.065	3	0.688	2.980	0.032
Residual	56.833	246	0.231		
Total	58.899	249	0.237		

Q3E - Quiet Place To Study

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<u>_</u>	Sig.
Squares T	Freedom	Square	T F	of F
1.136	1	1.136	5.263	0.023
0.881	2	0.441	2.042	0.132
2.017	3	0.672	3.116	0.027
53.085	246	0.216		
55.103	249	0.221		
	0.881 2.017	1.136	1.136	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           1.136         1         1.136         5.263           0.881         2         0.441         2.042           2.017         3         0.672         3.116           53.085         246         0.216

Q3F - Homework

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.002	1	0.002	0.009	0.926
Main Effects: Group	1.408	2	0.704	3.739	0.025
Explained	1.410	3	0.470	2•495	0.061
Residual	44.639	237	0.118		
Total	46.049	240	0.192		

Q3G - Librarian Help

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.413	1	1.413	5.728	0.017
0.187	2	0.093	0.379	0.685
1.600	3	0.533	2.162	0.093
58.473	237	0.247		
60.073	240	0.250		
	1.413 0.187 1.600	1.413	Squares     Freedom     Square       1.413     1     1.413       0.187     2     0.093       1.600     3     0.533       58.473     237     0.247	Squares     Freedom     Square     F       1.413     1     1.413     5.728       0.187     2     0.093     0.379       1.600     3     0.533     2.162       58.473     237     0.247

<sup>29</sup> cases missing (10%)

Q5E - Difficult To Get To

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square		Sig. of F
Vallacitation:	Squares	Freedom	bquare		OI F
Covariate: Distance	1.534		1.534	6.842	0.009
Main Effects: Group	0.353	2	0.177	0.788	0.456
Explained	1.887	3	0.629	2.806	0.040
Residual	53.132	237	0.224		
Total	55.019	240	0.229		

Q4 - Find What You Want

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	en e	Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	<u>r</u>	of F
0.049	1 '	0.049	0.702	0.403
0.105	2	0.053	0.755	0.471
0.154	3	0.051	0.738	0.531
16.501	237	0.070		
16.656	240	0.069		
	0.049 0.105 0.154	Squares       Freedom         0.049       1         0.105       2         0.154       3         16.501       237	Squares     Freedom     Square       0.049     1     0.049       0.105     2     0.053       0.154     3     0.051       16.501     237     0.070	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.049       1       0.049       0.702         0.105       2       0.053       0.755         0.154       3       0.051       0.738         16.501       237       0.070

Q5A - No Time

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	rreedom	Square	T F	of F
0.053	1	0.053	0.312	0.577
1.317	2	0.659	3.905	0.021
1.370	3	0.457	2.707	0.046
39.974	237	0.169		
41.343	240	0.172		
	0.053 1.317 1.370	1.317 2 1.370 3 39.974 237	Squares Freedom Square  0.053	Squares Freedom Square F  0.053

Q5B - Homework

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.203	1	0.203	0.841	0.360
Main Effects: Group	1.236	2	0.618	2.557	0.079
Explained	1.440	3	0.480	1.985	0.117
Residual	62.376	258	0.242	0.242	·
Total	63.815	261	0.245	0.245	

Q5C - Not Open When Needed

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	F	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.142	1	0.142	0.970	0.326
Main Effects: Group	0.044	2	0.022	0.152	0.859
Explained	0.186	3	0.062	0.425	0.736
Residual	37.735	258	0.146		
Total	37.923	261	0.145		

29 cases missing (10%)

Q5D - Librarians Are Helpful

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · <b>F</b> · · · ·	Sig. of F
		120000	Dquazo		<u> </u>
Covariate: Distance	0.184	1	0.184	2.605	0.108
Main Effects: Group	0.051	2	0.025	0.360	0.698
Explained	6.235	3	0.078	1.109	0.346
Residual	18.238	258	0.071		
Total	18.473	261	0.071		

29 cases missing (10%)

Q5E - Difficult To Get To

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · <b>F</b> · · · · ·	Sig. of F
T G T T G T T G T T T T T T T T T T T T	Dyuares	I rection	DYUALE	T T	OI F
Covariate: Distance	0.802		0.802	6.850	0.009
Main Effects: Group	0.056	2	0.028	0.238	0.788
Explained	0.857	3	0.286	2.442	0.065
Residual	30 • 195	258	0.117		
Total	31.053	261	0.119		

29 cases missing (10%)

Q6 - Comments

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.690	1	0.690	2.864	0.092
Main Effects: Group	0.944	2	0.472	1.959	0.143
Explained	1.634	3	0.545	2.260	0.082
Residual	62.181	258	0.241		
Total	63.815	261	0.245		

29 cases missing (10%)

WQ - Combined Questions

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	8459.355	1	8549.395	8.562	0.004
Main Effects: Group	7406.668	2	3703.334	3.709	0.026
Explained	15956.063	3	5318.688	5.326	0.001
Residual	281588.536	282	998.541		
Total	297544.625	285	1044.016		

29 cases missing (10%)

QUESTIONNAIRE:

Group and Gender

Q1A - Talking With Others

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square		of F
Covariate:					
Distance	1.020	1	1.020	12.534	0.000
Main Effects:	0.950	3	0.317	3.893	0.010
Group	0.354	2	0.177	2.174	0.116
Gender	0.463	1	0.463	5.689	0.018
Two-Way Interaction	0.403	2	0.202	2.479	0.086
Explained	2.373	6	0.396	4.862	0.000
Residual	20.176	248	0.081		
Total	22.549	254	0.089		

Q1B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.310	1 1	0.310	0.542	0.462
Main Effects:	2.893	3	0.964	1.688	0.170
Group	1.997	2	0.999	1.748	0.176
Gender	1.142	1	1.142	1.999	0.159
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.250	2	0.125	0.219	0.804
Explained	3.452	6	0.575	1.007	0.421
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Residual	140.530	246	0.571		e e e e
mat all	142 002	25.2	0 571		
Total	143.982	252	0.571		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Source of Variation:	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<b>F</b>	Sig. of F
variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	<u> </u>	OI F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.004	1	0.004	0.018	0.894
Main Effects:	5.352	3	1.784	7.659	0.000
Group	3.350	2	1.675	7.191	0.001
Gender	1.203	1	1.203	5.163	0.024
Two-Way Interaction	0.505	2	0.252	1.084	0.340
		1			·
Explained	5.861	6	0.977	4.194	0.000
Residual	57.768	248	0.233		
Total	63.630	254	0.251		

Q2B - Meet Friends

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.000	1	0.000	0.004	0.947
Main Effects:	0.989	3	0.330	3.823	0.011
Group	0.983	2	0.491	5.696	0.004
Gender	0.007	1 1	0.007	0.079	0.779
Two-Way Interaction	0.168	2	0.084	0.976	0.378
Explained	1.158	6	0.193	2.237	0.040
Residual	21.390	248	0.086		
Total	22.548	254	0.089		

Q2C - Attend Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.000	1	0.000	0.003	0.959
Main Effects: Group Gender	0.009 0.007 0.003	3 2 1	0.003 0.003 0.003	0.032 0.037 0.035	0.992 0.963 0.851
Two-Way Interaction	0.162	2	0.081	0.899	0.408
Explained	0.171	6	0.029	0.9316	0.928
Residual	22.377	248	0.090		
Total	22.548	254	0.089		

Q2D - Materials For Home

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	<b>Mea</b> n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.019	1 1	0.019	0.077	0.782
Main Effects:	1.732	3	0.577	2.359	0.072
Group	0.618	2	0.309	1.264	0.284
Gender	0.987	1	0.987	4.032	0.046
Two-Way Interaction	0.011	2	0.006	0.023	0.977
Explained	1.762	6	0.294	1.200	0.307
Residual	58.237	238	0.245		
Total	59.999	244	0.246		

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	, <b></b>	Sig.
Covariate: Distance	0.072	1 1	0.072	2.289	0.132
				e veneral entres	
Main Effects:	0.080	3	0.027	0.847	0.469
Group	0.020	2	0.010	0.318	0.728
Gender	0.049	1	0.049	1.051	0.215
Two-Way Interaction	0.047	2	0.023	0.737	0.479
Explained	0.200	6	0.033	1.051	0.393
Residual	7.539	238	0.032		
Total	7.739	244	0.032		

Q3B - Records

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.269	1	1.269	6.599	0.011
0.679	3	0.226	1.177	0.319
0.253	2	0.126	0.657	0.519
0.321	<b>1</b>	0.321	1.668	0.198
0.027	2	0.014	0.071	0.931
				· · · · · · · ·
1.876	6	0.329	1.712	0.119
45.778	238	0.192		
47.754	244	0.196		
	0.679 0.253 0.321 0.027	1.269 1  0.679 3 0.253 2 0.321 1  0.027 2  1.876 6  45.778 238	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.269         1         1.269           0.679         3         0.226           0.253         2         0.126           0.321         1         0.321           0.027         2         0.014           1.876         6         0.329           45.778         238         0.192	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.269       1       1.269       6.599         0.679       3       0.226       1.177         0.253       2       0.126       0.657         0.321       1       0.321       1.668         0.027       2       0.014       0.071         1.876       6       0.329       1.712         45.778       238       0.192

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:				1	
Distance	0.234	1 1	0.234	1.688	0.195
	7			1.000	
Main Effects:	0.776	3	0.259	1.866	0.136
Group	0.126	2	0.063	0.456	0.634
Gender	0.546	1 1	0.546	3.936	0.048
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.139	2	0.070	0.502	0.606
Explained	1.149	6	0.192	1.382	0.223
BAPIATHEC				302	0.223
Residual	32.989	238	0.139		
Total	34.138	244	0.140		
46	/45 00)		· • · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Q3D - Newspaper

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:				; ]	
Distance	0.905	1	0.905	3.897	0.050
Main Effects:	1.131	3	0.377	1.622	0.185
Group	1.031	2	0.515	2.218	0.111
Gender	0.025	1	0.025	0.109	0.741
Two-Way Interaction	0.820	2	0.410	1.765	0.173
					· · · · · · · ·
Explained	2.857	6	0.476	2.049	0.060
Residual	55.305	238	0.232		
Total	58.162	244	0.238		·
46	- /15 09\				

Q3E - Quiet Place To Study

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.932	1	0.932	4.425	0.036
Main Effects:	2.319	3	0.773	3.670	0.013
Group	0.496	2	0.248	1.178	0.310
Gender	1.497		1.497	7.107	0.008
Two-Way Interaction	0.283	2	0.141	0.671	0.512
Explained	3.534	6	0.589	2.796	0.012
Residual	50.554	240	0.211		
Total	54.088	246	0.220		

Q3F - Homework

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.002		0.002	0.010	0.919
Main Effects:	2.891	3	0.964	5.279	0.002
Group	0.979	2	0.490	2.683	0.070
Gender	1.457	1	1.457	7.981	0.005
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.716	2	0.358	1.960	0.143
Explained	3.608	6	0.601	3.295	0.004
Residual	43.808	240	0.183		• • • • • • • •
Total	47.416	246	0.193		

Q3G - Librarians Help

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.365	1	1.365	5.531	0.019
Main Effects:	0.640	3	0.213	0.864	0.460
Group	0.065	2	0.032	0.132	0.877
Gender	0.513		0.513	2.078	0.151
Two-Way Interaction	0.394	2	0.197	0.798	0.451
Explained	2.399	6	0.400	1.620	0.142
Residual	59.227	240	0.247		
Total	61.626	246	0.251		

#### Q3H - Programs

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b></b>	Sig. of F
Covariate:		1		]	
Distance	1.707	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7, 7, 7, 1	1.707	7.780	0.006
Main Effects:	1.579	3	0.526	2.399	0.069
Group	0.159	2	0.080	0.363	0.696
Gender	1.247	1	1.247	5.684	0.018
Two-Way Interaction	0.417	2	0.209	0.951	0.388
Explained	3.703	6	0.617	2.813	0.012
Residual	52.652	240	0.219		
Total	56.355	246	0.229		

Q4 - Find What You Want

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0 065		0 065	0.917	0.339
			0.917	0.339
0.365	3	0.122	1.722	0.163
0.051	2	0.026	0.364	0.695
0.283	1	0.283	4.004	0.047
0.139	2	0.069	0.980	0.377
0.569	6	0.095	1.341	0.240
16.970	240	0.071		
17.538	246	0.017		
	0.065  0.365 0.051 0.283  0.139  0.569	Squares       Freedom         0.065       1         0.365       3         0.051       2         0.283       1         0.139       2         0.569       6         16.970       240	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.065         1         0.065           0.365         3         0.122           0.051         2         0.026           0.283         1         0.283           0.139         2         0.069           0.569         6         0.095           16.970         240         0.071	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.065       1       0.065       0.917         0.365       3       0.122       1.722         0.051       2       0.026       0.364         0.283       1       0.283       4.004         0.139       2       0.069       0.980         0.569       6       0.095       1.341         16.970       240       0.071

Q5A - No Time

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.173	1	0.173	0.960	0.328
2.429 1.655 0.628	3 2 1	0.810 0.827 0.628	4.503 4.602 3.494	0.004 0.011 0.063
0.036	2	0.018	0.100	0.904
2.637	6	0.440	2.445	0.026
45.668	254	0.180	e - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	
48.305	260	0.186		
	0.173  2.429 1.655 0.628  0.036  2.637	O.173 1  2.429 3 1.655 2 0.628 1  0.036 2  2.637 6  45.668 254	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.173         1         0.173           2.429         3         0.810           1.655         2         0.827           0.628         1         0.628           0.036         2         0.018           2.637         6         0.440           45.668         254         0.180	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.173       1       0.173       0.960         2.429       3       0.810       4.503         1.655       2       0.827       4.602         0.628       1       0.628       3.494         0.036       2       0.018       0.100         2.637       6       0.440       2.445         45.668       254       0.180

Q5B - Homework

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<u>.</u> .	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.206	1	0.206	0.871	0.352
Main Effects:	2.758	3	0.919	3.879	0.010
Group	0.838	2	0.419	1.767	0.173
Gender	1.515	1	1.515	6.394	0.012
Two-Way Interaction	0.477	2	0.239	1.007	0.367
Explained	3.441	6	0.574	2.420	0.027
Residual	60.197	254	0.237		
Total	63.638	260	0.245		

Q5C - Not Open When Needed

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.143		0.143	0.971	0.325
Main Effects:	0.069	3	0.023	0.157	0.925
Group	0.056	2	0.028	0.191	0.826
Gender	0.021		0.021	0.140	0.709
Two-Way Interaction	0.292	2	0.146	0.994	0.372
Explained	0.505	6	0.084	0.572	0.753
Residual	37.387	254	0.147		
Total	37.892	260	0.146		·

Q5D - Librarians Are Helpful

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.185	. 1	0.185	2.657	0.104
Main Effects:	0.573	3	0.191	2.750	0.043
Group	0.018	2	0.009	0.128	0.880
Gender	1.523	1	1.523	7.579	0.007
Two-Way Interaction	0.054	2	0.027	0.392	0.676
Explained	0.813	6	0.135	1.948	0.074
Residual	17.655	254	0.070		
Total	18.467	260	0.071		

Q5E - Difficult To Get To

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.790	1	0.790	6.833	0.009
0.106	3	0.035	0.305	0.822
	2		1 1	0.740
0.032	1	0.032	0.277	0.599
0-046	2	0.023	0.199	0.819
	<u> </u>			
0.942	6	0.157	1.358	0.232
29.364	254	0.116	/	
30.306	260	0.117		
	0.790 0.106 0.070 0.032 0.046 0.942 29.364	Squares       Freedom         0.790       1         0.106       3         0.070       2         0.032       1         0.046       2         0.942       6         29.364       254	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.790         1         0.790           0.106         3         0.035           0.070         2         0.035           0.032         1         0.032           0.046         2         0.023           0.942         6         0.157           29.364         254         0.116	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.790       1       0.790       6.833         0.106       3       0.035       0.305         0.070       2       0.035       0.302         0.032       1       0.032       0.277         0.046       2       0.023       0.199         0.942       6       0.157       1.358         29.364       254       0.116

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Sig. of F
bquares	T Tecom	Square	<u> </u>	OL F
1.214	1	1.214	5.754	0.017
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
7.143	3	2.381	11.281	0.000
0.393	2	0.196	0.930	0.396
6.061	1 1	6.061	28.716	0.000
1.314	2	0.657	3.112	0.046
9.671	6	1.612	7.637	0.000
58.887	279	0.211		
68.558	285	0.241		
	7.143 0.393 6.061  1.314  9.671	Squares       Freedom         1.214       1         7.143       3         0.393       2         6.061       1         1.314       2         9.671       6         58.887       279	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.214         1         1.214           7.143         3         2.381           0.393         2         0.196           6.061         1         6.061           1.314         2         0.657           9.671         6         1.612           58.887         279         0.211	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.214       1       1.214       5.754         7.143       3       2.381       11.281         0.393       2       0.196       0.930         6.061       1       6.061       28.716         1.314       2       0.657       3.112         9.671       6       1.612       7.637         58.887       279       0.211

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

#### Combined Questions

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	8549.395	1	8549.395	8.502	0.004
Main Effects: Group	7608.555 7016.379	3 2	2536.185 3508.189	2.522 3.489	0.058 0.032
Gender	201.884	1	201.884	0.201	0.654
Two-Way Interaction	841.348	2	420.674	0.418	0.659
Explained	16999.313	6	2833.219	2.818	0.011
Residual	280545.313	279	1005.539		
Total	297544.625	285	1044.016		
E cacco miccini	- (1 79)			<u> </u>	<del></del>

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

QUESTIONNAIRE:

Group and School

Q1A - Library Use

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.020	1	1.020	13.061	0.000
Main Effects:	2.152	4	0.538	6.891	0.000
Group School	0.043 1.665	2 2	0.022 0.832	0.278 10.661	0.758 0.000
Two-Way Interaction	0.016	1	0.016	0.200	0.655
Explained	3.187	6	0.531	6.804	0.000
Residual	19.361	248	0.078		
Total	22.549	254	0.089		

Q1B - Come To The Library With...

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.310	1	0.310	0.544	0.461
Main Effects: Group School	2.517 2.071 0.766	4 2 2	0.629 1.036 0.383	1.107 1.822 0.674	0.354 0.164 0.511
Two-Way Interaction	1.300	1	1.300	2.287	0.132
Explained	4.127	6	0.688	1.210	0.302
Residual	139.855	246	0.569		
Total	14982	252	0.571		
39 energy missing	- (12 19)			ļl	

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.004		0.004	0.017	0.895
Main Effects:	4.620	4	1.155	4.871	0.001
Group	2.417	2	1.208	5.096	0.007
School		2		l l	
SCHOOL	0.471		0.235	0.992	0.372
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.193	1	0.193	0.815	0.368
Explained	4.818	6	0.803	3.386	0.003
Residual	58.812	248	0.237		
Total	63.630	254	0.251		
36 cases missing	g (12.4%)	* *			

Q2B - Meet Friends

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.000		0.000	0.004	0.947
1.082	4	0.271	3.128	0.016
0.757 0.100	2 2	0.379 0.050	4.378 0.578	0.014 0.562
0.011	1	0.011	0.125	0.724
1.094	6	0.182	2.107	0.053
21.454	248	0.087		
22.548	254	0.089		
	0.000  1.082 0.757 0.100  0.011  1.094	Squares       Freedom         0.000       1         1.082       4         0.757       2         0.100       2         0.011       1         1.094       6         21.454       248	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.000         1         0.000           1.082         4         0.271           0.757         2         0.379           0.100         2         0.050           0.011         1         0.011           1.094         6         0.182           21.454         248         0.087	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.000       1       0.000       0.004         1.082       4       0.271       3.128         0.757       2       0.379       4.378         0.100       2       0.050       0.578         1.094       6       0.182       2.107         21.454       248       0.087

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.000		0.000	0.003	0.958
0.000		U • U U U	0.003	0.336
0.573	4	0.143	1.618	0.170
0.023	2	0.012	0.132	0.876
0.568	2	0.284	3.205	0.042
0.000		0.000	0.006	0.941
0.574	6	0.096	1.080	0.375
21.974	248	0.089		
22.548	254	0.089		
	0.000  0.573 0.023 0.568  0.000  0.574	Squares       Freedom         0.000       1         0.573       4         0.023       2         0.568       2         0.000       1         0.574       6         21.974       248	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.000         1         0.000           0.573         4         0.143           0.023         2         0.012           0.568         2         0.284           0.000         1         0.000           0.574         6         0.096           21.974         248         0.089	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.000       1       0.000       0.003         0.573       4       0.143       1.618         0.023       2       0.012       0.132         0.568       2       0.284       3.205         0.000       1       0.000       0.006         0.574       6       0.096       1.080         21.974       248       0.089

Q2D - Materials For Home

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
0.019	1	0.019	0.078	0.781
4 446		0 270	1.456	0 224
	1 - 1		1 1	0.331 0.223
· -	2		1	0.465
1.464	1	1.464	6.068	0.014
2.598	6	0.433	1.795	0.101
57.401	238	0.241		
59.999	244	0.246		
	0.019 1.116 0.728 0.370 1.464 2.598	Squares Freedom  0.019 1  1.116 4 0.728 2 0.370 2  1.464 1  2.598 6  57.401 238	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.019         1         0.019           1.116         4         0.279           0.728         2         0.364           0.370         2         0.185           1.464         1         1.464           2.598         6         0.433           57.401         238         0.241	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.019       1       0.019       0.078         1.116       4       0.279       1.156         0.728       2       0.364       1.509         0.370       2       0.185       0.768         1.464       1       1.464       6.068         2.598       6       0.433       1.795         57.401       238       0.241

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · <b>F</b>	Sig.
			<u> </u>	T I	
Covariate:		1			
Distance	0.072	1	0.072	2.290	0.132
Main Effects:	0.079	4	0.020	0.625	0.645
Group	0.009	2	0.004	0.135	0.874
School	0.048	2	0.024	0.752	0.473
Two-Way Interaction	0.052	1	0.052	1.641	0.201
Explained	0.204	6	0.034	1.072	0.380
Residual	7.535	238	0.032		
Total	7.739	244	0.032		and the second s
46 cases missing	~ (15 09)			<u>. L</u>	<u> </u>

Q3B - Records

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	_	Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.269	1	1.269	6.621	0.011
0.493 0.091 0.135	4 2 2	0.123 0.045 0.067	0.643 0.237 0.351	0.632 0.789 0.704
0.361	1	0.361	1.884	0.171
2.124	6	0.354	1.846	0.091
45.630	238	0.192		
47.754	244	0.196		
	0.493 0.091 0.135 0.361 2.124	1.269 1  0.493 4 0.091 2 0.135 2  0.361 1  2.124 6  45.630 238	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.269         1         1.269           0.493         4         0.123           0.091         2         0.045           0.135         2         0.067           0.361         1         0.361           2.124         6         0.354           45.630         238         0.192	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.269       1       1.269       6.621         0.493       4       0.123       0.643         0.091       2       0.045       0.237         0.135       2       0.067       0.351         0.361       1       0.361       1.884         2.124       6       0.354       1.846         45.630       238       0.192

Q3C - Cassettes

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.234	1	0.234	1.669	0.198
Main Effects:	0.463	4	0.116	0.826	0.510
Group School	0.095 0.233	2 2	0.047 0.116	0.337 0.830	0.714 0.437
Two-Way Interaction	0.063	1	0.063	0.449	0.503
Explained	0.760	6	0.127	0.903	0.493
Residual	33.378	238	0.140		
Total	34.138	244	0.140		

Q3D - Newspaper

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.905	1	0.905	3.933	0.048
Main Effects:	2.136	4	0.534	2.319	0.058
Group	0.874	2	0.437	1.899	0.152
School	1.030	2	0.515	2.237	0.109
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.326		0.326	1.417	0.235
Explained	3.368	6	0.561	2.438	0.026
D 1 3 3	54.704	220	0.220		
Residual	54.794	238	0.230	or an enter supplied to the	
Total	58.162	244	0.238		
Total		244	0.238		

Q3E - Quiet Place To Study

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.932	1	0.932	4.394	0.037
Main Effects:	1.857 0.543	4	0.464	2.189 1.280	0.071 0.280
Group School	1.035	2 2	0.272 0.518	2.440	0.089
Two-Way Interaction	0.388	1	0.388	1.830	0.177
Explained	3.178	6	0.530	2.497	0.023
Residual	50.910	240	0.212		
Total	54.088	246	0.220		

Q3F - Homework

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.002		0.002	0.011	0.917
5.501 0.822 4.067	4 2 2	1.375 0.411 2.033	7.880 2.356 11.651	0.000 0.097 0.000
0.026	1	0.026	0.148	0.700
5.529	6	0.921	5.280	0.000
41.887	240	0.175		
47.416	246	0.193		
	0.002 5.501 0.822 4.067  0.026  5.529	Squares       Freedom         0.002       1         5.501       4         0.822       2         4.067       2         0.026       1         5.529       6         41.887       240	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.002         1         0.002           5.501         4         1.375           0.822         2         0.411           4.067         2         2.033           0.026         1         0.026           5.529         6         0.921           41.887         240         0.175	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.002       1       0.002       0.011         5.501       4       1.375       7.880         0.822       2       0.411       2.356         4.067       2       2.033       11.651         0.026       1       0.026       0.148         5.529       6       0.921       5.280         41.887       240       0.175

<sup>44</sup> cases missing (15.1%)

Q3G - Librarians Helpful

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.365		1.365	5.515	0.020
Main Effects:	0.865 0.082	4 2	0.216 0.041	0.874 0.165	0.480 0.848
School	0.738	2	0.369	1.491	0.227
Two-Way Interaction	0.000	1	0.000	0.001	0.975
Explained	2.230	6	0.372	1.502	0.178
Residual	59.396	240	0.247		
Total	61.626	246	0.251		

Q3H - Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	1.707	1	1.707	7.859	0.005
Main Effects:	1.593	4	0.398	1.834	0.123
Group	0.423	2	0.212	0.975	0.379
School	1.261		0.630	2.903	0.057
Two-Way Interaction	0.933	1	0.933	4.294	0.039
				B 40 4 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
Explained	4.233	6	0.705	3.248	0.004
Residual	52.122	240	0.217		
Total	56 • 355	246	0.229		

Q4 - Find What You Want

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	rreedom	Square	<del></del>	of F
0-065		0.065	0.910	0.341
0.353	4	0.088	1.239	0.295
0.013	2	0.007	0.093	0.911
0.271	2	0.136	1.902	0.151
0.011		0.010	0.136	0.713
0.428	6	0.071	1.000	0.426
17.110	240	0.071		
17.538	246	0.071		
	0.065  0.353 0.013 0.271  0.011  0.428	Squares       Freedom         0.065       1         0.353       4         0.013       2         0.271       2         0.011       1         0.428       6         17.110       240	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.065         1         0.065           0.353         4         0.088           0.013         2         0.007           0.271         2         0.136           0.011         1         0.010           0.428         6         0.071           17.110         240         0.071	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.065       1       0.065       0.910         0.353       4       0.088       1.239         0.013       2       0.007       0.093         0.271       2       0.136       1.902         0.011       1       0.010       0.136         0.428       6       0.071       1.000         17.110       240       0.071

Q5A - No Time

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.173	1	0.173	0.957	0.329
1.863	4	0.466	2.581	0.038
1.251	2	0.626	3.468	0.033
0.062	2	0.031	0.173	0.841
0.445	1	0.445	2.468	0.117
2.481	6	0.413	2.292	0.036
45.825	254	0.180		
48.305	260	0.186		
	0.173  1.863 1.251 0.062  0.445  2.481	O.173 1  1.863 4 1.251 2 0.062 2  0.445 1  2.481 6  45.825 254	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.173         1         0.173           1.863         4         0.466           1.251         2         0.626           0.062         2         0.031           0.445         1         0.445           2.481         6         0.413           45.825         254         0.180	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.173       1       0.173       0.957         1.863       4       0.466       2.581         1.251       2       0.626       3.468         0.062       2       0.031       0.173         0.445       1       0.445       2.468         2.481       6       0.413       2.292         45.825       254       0.180

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.206	1	0.206	0.901	0.343
5.069 0.669 3.827	4 2 2	1.267 0.334 1.913	5.537 1.461 8.361	0.000 0.234 0.000
0.233	1	0.233	1.018	0.314
5,508	6	0.918	4.011	0.001
58.130	254	0.229		
63.638	260	0.245		
	0.206 5.069 0.669 3.827 0.233 5.508	Squares       Freedom         0.206       1         5.069       4         0.669       2         3.827       2         0.233       1         5.508       6         58.130       254	Squares       Freedom       Square         0.206       1       0.206         5.069       4       1.267         0.669       2       0.334         3.827       2       1.913         0.233       1       0.233         5.508       6       0.918         58.130       254       0.229	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.206       1       0.206       0.901         5.069       4       1.267       5.537         0.669       2       0.334       1.461         3.827       2       1.913       8.361         0.233       1       0.233       1.018         5.508       6       0.918       4.011         58.130       254       0.229

Q5C - Not Open When Needed

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.143	1	0.143	0.976	0.324
Main Effects:	0.342	4	0.086	0.584	0.674
Group	0.135	2	0.067	0.461	0.631
School	0.294		0.147	1.002	0.369
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.188		0.188	1.284	0.258
Explained	0.673	6	0.112	0.766	0.597
Residual	37.218	254	0.147		
Total	37•892	260	0.146		

Q5D - Librarians Are Helpful

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.185	1	0.185	2.665	0.104
Main Effects:	0.663	4	0.166	2.391	0.051
Group	0.058	2	0.029	0.418	0.659
School	0.612	2	0.306	4.415	0.013
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.015	1	0.015	0.226	0.640
Explained	0.863	6	0.144	2.075	0.057
		e e al está a ser está está está está está está está está			
Residual	17.604	254	0.069		
Total	18.467	260	0.071		

Q5E - Difficult To Get To

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.790	<b>1</b>	0.790	6.967	0.009
0.707	4	0.177	1,558	0.186
	- 1			0.861
0.633	2	0.317	2.791	0.063
0.010	1	0.010	0.085	0.771
1.506	6	0.251	2.214	0.042
28.800	254	0.113		
30.306	260	0.117		
	0.790 0.707 0.034 0.633 0.010	Squares       Freedom         0.790       1         0.707       4         0.034       2         0.633       2         0.010       1         1.506       6         28.800       254	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.790         1         0.790           0.707         4         0.177           0.034         2         0.017           0.633         2         0.317           0.010         1         0.010           1.506         6         0.251           28.800         254         0.113	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.790       1       0.790       6.967         0.707       4       0.177       1.558         0.034       2       0.017       0.150         0.633       2       0.317       2.791         0.010       1       0.010       0.085         1.506       6       0.251       2.214         28.800       254       0.113

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.214	1	1.214	5.859	0.016
6.424	4	1.606	7.747	0.000
1.320	2	0.660	3.184	0.043
5.342	2	2.671	12.885	0.000
3.084	1	3.084	14.876	0.000
10.722	6	1.787	8.621	0.000
57.836	279	0.207		
68.558	285	0.241		
	1.214 6.424 1.320 5.342 3.084 10.722	1.214 1 6.424 4 1.320 2 5.342 2 3.084 1 10.722 6 57.836 279	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.214         1         1.214           6.424         4         1.606           1.320         2         0.660           5.342         2         2.671           3.084         1         3.084           10.722         6         1.787           57.836         279         0.207	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.214       1       1.214       5.859         6.424       4       1.606       7.747         1.320       2       0.660       3.184         5.342       2       2.671       12.885         3.084       1       3.084       14.876         10.722       6       1.787       8.621         57.836       279       0.207

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

# Combined Questions

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
Covariate: Distance	8549.395	1	8549.395	8.928	0.003
Main Effects:	21802.066	4	5450.516	5.692	0.000
Group	3921.266	2	1960.633	2.047	0.131
School	14395.398	2	7197.699	7.516	0.001
Two-Way					
Interaction	23.914	1	23.914	0.025	0.875
Explained	30 375 • 375	6	5062.563	5.287	0.000
Residual	267169.250	279	957.596		
Total	297544.625	285	1044.016		
5 cases missing	(1.7%)	<u> </u>	<del></del>	11	

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

QUESTIONNAIRE:

Group and Grade

Q1A - Talking With Others

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.020	1	1.020	12.525	0.000
0.653	3	0.218	2.672	0.048
0.612 0.165	1	0.306 0.165	2.031	0.025 0.155
0.605	1	0.605	7.427	0.007
2.277	5	0.455	5.594	0.000
20.272	249	0.081		
22.549	254	0.089		
	0.653 0.612 0.165 0.605	1.020 1  0.653 3 0.612 2 0.165 1  0.605 1  2.277 5	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.020         1         1.020           0.653         3         0.218           0.612         2         0.306           0.165         1         0.165           0.605         1         0.605           2.277         5         0.455           20.272         249         0.081	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.020       1       1.020       12.525         0.653       3       0.218       2.672         0.612       2       0.306       3.757         0.165       1       0.165       2.031         0.605       1       0.605       7.427         2.277       5       0.455       5.594         20.272       249       0.081

Q1B - Talking With Staff

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.310		0.310	0.541	0.463
Main Effects:	1.820	3	0.607	1.060	0.367
Group	1.795	2	0.898	1.568	0.210
Grade	0.069		0.069	0.120	0.729
The Man					
Two-Way Interaction	0.483		0.483	0.844	0.359
Explained	2.613	5	0.523	0.913	0.473
Residual	141.370	247	0.572		
Total	143.982	252	0.571		

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	r a a a a a <b>F</b> a a a a a	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.004	1	0.004	0.018	0.892
Main Effects: Group Grade	5.435 2.111 1.285	3 2 1	1.812 1.055 1.285	8.052 4.691 5.711	0.000 0.010 0.018
Two-Way Interaction	2.171	1	2.171	9.648	0.002
Explained	7.609	5	1.522	6.764	0.000
Residual	56.021	249	0.225	* * / * /	
Total	63.630	254	0.251		
26 gag og miggin	- (12 49)	<del>!</del>		<u> </u>	<del></del>

Q2B - Meet Friends

0.000 0.983 0.874 0.000	Freedom 1 3 2	0.000 0.328	0.004 3.783	0.947
0.983 0.874		0.328		·
0.983 0.874		0.328		·
0.874			3.783	0.011
	2			0.011
0.000		0.437	5.045	0.007
e e e e e e e e e e	1	0.000	0.005	0.943
0.002		0.002	0.027	0.870
0.002 		U. UU2	0.027	
0.986	5	0.197	2.276	0.048
21.562	249	0.087		
22.548	254	0.089		<del>.</del>
	21.562	0.986 5 21.562 249	0.986 5 0.197 21.562 249 0.087	0.986     5     0.197     2.276       21.562     249     0.087

Q2C - Attend Programs

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.000	1	0.000	0.003	0.958
0.364	3	0.121	1.365	0.254
0.026	2	0.013	0.144	0.866
0.359		0.359	4.034	0.046
0.037	1	0.037	0.418	0.518
0.402	5	0.080	0.903	0.480
22.147	249	0.089		
22.548	254	0.089		
	0.000  0.364 0.026 0.359  0.037  0.402	O.000 1  O.364 3 O.026 2 O.359 1  O.402 5  22.147 249	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.000         1         0.000           0.364         3         0.121           0.026         2         0.013           0.359         1         0.359           0.037         1         0.037           0.402         5         0.080           22.147         249         0.089	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.000       1       0.000       0.003         0.364       3       0.121       1.365         0.026       2       0.013       0.144         0.359       1       0.359       4.034         0.037       1       0.037       0.418         0.402       5       0.080       0.903         22.147       249       0.089

Q2D - Materials For Home

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<u></u>	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.019		0.019	0.076	0.783
Main Effects:	1.112	3	0.371	1.504	0.214
Group	0.933	2	0.467	1.895	0.153
Grade	0.366	1 1	0.366	1.488	0.224
Two-Way					
Interaction	0.001		0.001	0.004	0.948
Explained	1.131	5	0.226	0.919	0.469
Residual	58.867	239	0.246		
Total	59.999	244	0.246	·	,
46 gages missing	~ (15 09)			1	

.072	Freedom 1	Square 0.072	F 2.326	of F 0.129
		0.072	2.326	0.129
-210				
.001	3 2	0.070 0.000	2.249 0.010	0.083 0.990
. 179	1	0.179	5.735	0.017
.007	1	0.007	0.232	0.630
.290	5	0.058	1.861	0.102
449	239	0.031		
.739	244	0.032		***
	•449 •739		.739 244 0.032	

Q3B - Records

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean Square	<b></b>	Sig. of F
1.269		1.269	6.603	0.011
0.456	3	0.152	0.790	0.500
0.201	2	0.100	0.522	0.594
0.097	1	0.097	0.505	0.478
0.087	1	0.087	0.453	0.501
1.812	5	0.362	1.885	0.098
45.942	239	0.192	we ce se se ce se se	
47.754	244	0.196		
	0.456 0.201 0.097 0.087	1.269 1  0.456 3 0.201 2 0.097 1  1.812 5  45.942 239	Squares         Freedom         Square           1.269         1         1.269           0.456         3         0.152           0.201         2         0.100           0.097         1         0.097           1.812         5         0.362           45.942         239         0.192	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.269       1       1.269       6.603         0.456       3       0.152       0.790         0.201       2       0.100       0.522         0.097       1       0.097       0.505         1.812       5       0.362       1.885         45.942       239       0.192

Q3C - Cassettes

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F F	of F
0.234	1	0.234	1.674	0.197
0.384 0.087 0.154	3 2 1	0.128 0.043 0.154	0.917 0.310 1.102	0.434 0.734 0.295
0.103	1	0.103	0.735	0.392
0.721	5	0.144	1.032	0.400
33.417	239	0.140		
34.138	244	0.140		
	0.234  0.384 0.087 0.154  0.103  0.721	O.234 1  O.384 3 O.087 2 O.154 1  O.721 5  33.417 239	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.234         1         0.234           0.384         3         0.128           0.087         2         0.043           0.154         1         0.154           0.721         5         0.144           33.417         239         0.140	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.234       1       0.234       1.674         0.384       3       0.128       0.917         0.087       2       0.043       0.310         0.154       1       0.154       1.102         0.721       5       0.144       1.032         33.417       239       0.140

Q3D - Newspaper

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.905	1	0.905	3.871	0.050
Main Effects:	1.108	3	0.369	1.580	0.195
Group	0.984	2	0.492	2.104	0.124
Grade	0.003		0.003	0.012	0.913
Two-Way Interaction	0.246	1	0.246	1.052	1.036
Explained	2.260	5	0.452	1.932	0.090
Residual	55.902	239	0.234	6 1 m 2 p m n 2 f A	
Total	58.162	244	0.238		

Q3E - Quiet Place To Study

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
			l l	,
0 022		0 022	4 20E	0.037
0.932		U•932	4.385	0.037
1.076	3	0.359	}	0.171
0.418	2	0.209	ļ ,	0.376
0.253	1	0.253	[	0.276
	. 7			
	1 1			
0.851		0.851	4.004	0.047
2.859	5	0.572	2.690	0.022
51.229	241	0.213		
en e	Carrier Committee Co	a the second second second second second		
54.088	246	0.220		
	0.932 1.076 0.418 0.253 0.851 2.859	Squares       Freedom         0.932       1         1.076       3         0.418       2         0.253       1         0.851       1         2.859       5         51.229       241	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.932         1         0.932           1.076         3         0.359           0.418         2         0.209           0.253         1         0.253           0.851         1         0.851           2.859         5         0.572           51.229         241         0.213	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.932       1       0.932       4.385         1.076       3       0.359       0.209         0.418       2       0.209       0.253         0.253       1       0.851       4.004         2.859       5       0.572       2.690         51.229       241       0.213

Q3F - Homework

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	<b>F</b>	of F
0.002		0.002	0.010	0.919
				/
1.562	3	0.521	2.847	0.038
0.969	2	0.485	2.650	0.073
0.128		0.128	0.700	0.403
1.772	1	1.772	9.690	0.002
3.336	5	0.667	3.648	0.003
44.080	241	0.183		
47.416	246	0.193		
	0.002 1.562 0.969 0.128 1.772 3.336	Squares Freedom  0.002 1  1.562 3 0.969 2 0.128 1  1.772 1  3.336 5  44.080 241	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.002         1         0.002           1.562         3         0.521           0.969         2         0.485           0.128         1         0.128           1.772         1         1.772           3.336         5         0.667           44.080         241         0.183	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.002       1       0.002       0.010         1.562       3       0.521       2.847         0.969       2       0.485       2.650         0.128       1       0.128       0.700         1.772       1       1.772       9.690         3.336       5       0.667       3.648         44.080       241       0.183

Q3G - Librarians Help

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.365	1	1.365	5.473	0.020
Main Effects: Group Grade	0.138 0.097 0.011	3 2 1	0.046 0.049 0.011	0.185 0.195 0.044	0.907 0.823 0.835
Two-Way Interaction	0.020		0.020	0.079	0.779
Explained	1.523	5	0.305	1.221	0.300
Residual	60.103	241	0.249		
Total	61.636	246	0.251		
44	- /4E 40.\	<u>, 1 </u>			

Q3H - Programs

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	1.707	1	1.707	7.696	0.006
Main Effects:	0.349	3	0.116	0.524	0.666
Group	0.234	2	0.117	0.528	0.590
Grade	0.016		0.016	0.073	0.787
Two-Way Interaction	0.856	1	0.856	3.854	0.051
Explained	2.911	5	0.582	2.625	0.025
Residual	53.444	241	0.222		***
Total	56.355	246	0.229		·

Q4 - Satisfaction

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.065	1	0.065	0.910	0.341
Main Effects:	0.097	3	0.032	0.454	0.716
Group	0.094	2	0.032	0.451 0.662	0.716 0.517
Grade	0.014		0.014	0.202	0.654
Two-Way Interaction	0.194		0.194	2.721	0.100
Explained	0.355	5	0.071	0.997	0.420
Residual	17.183	241	0.071		* de
Total	17.538	246	0.017		

Q5A - No Time

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.173	1	0.173	0.950	0.331
			e e y v a v é a v z	
1.803	3	0.601	3.309	0.021
1.733	2	0.867	4.773	0.009
0.002		0.002	0.011	0.915
0.026	1	0.026	0.146	0.703
2.002	5	0.400	2.205	0.054
46.304	255	0.182		
48.305	260	0.186		,
	0.173 1.803 1.733 0.002 0.026 2.002	O.173 1  1.803 3 1.733 2 0.002 1  0.026 1  2.002 5  46.304 255	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.173         1         0.173           1.803         3         0.601           1.733         2         0.867           0.002         1         0.002           0.026         1         0.026           2.002         5         0.400           46.304         255         0.182	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.173       1       0.173       0.950         1.803       3       0.601       3.309         1.733       2       0.867       4.773         0.002       1       0.002       0.011         0.026       1       0.026       0.146         2.002       5       0.400       2.205         46.304       255       0.182

Q5B - Homework

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.206	1	0.206	0.893	0.345
1.391 1.389 0.148	3 2 1	0.464 0.694 0.148	2.007 3.006 0.642	0.113 0.051 0.424
3.144	1	3.144	13.613	0.000
4.741	5	0.948	4.105	0.001
58.897	255	0.231		
63.638	260	0.245		
	0.206  1.391 1.389 0.148  3.144  4.741	Squares Freedom  0.206 1  1.391 3 1.389 2 0.148 1  3.144 1  4.741 5  58.897 255	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.206         1         0.206           1.391         3         0.464           1.389         2         0.694           0.148         1         0.148           3.144         1         3.144           4.741         5         0.948           58.897         255         0.231	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.206       1       0.206       0.893         1.391       3       0.464       2.007         1.389       2       0.694       3.006         0.148       1       0.148       0.642         3.144       1       3.144       13.613         4.741       5       0.948       4.105         58.897       255       0.231

Q5C - Not Open When Needed

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	<b>Mea</b> n		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:					
Distance	0.143	1	0.143	0.696	0.326
Main Effects:	0.057	3	0.019	0.129	0.943
Group	0.035	2	0.018	0.119	0.887
Grade	0.008	1	0.008	0.056	0.813
Two-Way Interaction	0.084	1	0.084	0.568	0.452
Explained	0.284	5	0.057		
Residual	37.608	255	0.147		
Total	37.892	260	0.146		
30 cas on mission	- (10 39)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Q5D - Librarians Are Helpful

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.185	1	0.185	2.636	0.106
Main Effects: Group	0.203 0.122	3 2	0.965 0.061	0.965 0.870	0.410 0.420
Grade	0.152		0.152	2.169	0.142
Two-Way Interaction	0.210	1	0.210	2.999	0.085
Explained	0.598	5	0.120	1.706	0.134
Residual	17.870	255	0.070	er te soon en en en e	
Total	18.467	260	0.071		

Q5E - Difficult To Get To

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	<b></b>	Sig.
bquares	TECCOM	bquare		01 1
0.790	1	0.790	6.867	0.009
0.147	3	0.049	0.425	0.735
0.098	2	0.049	0.426	0.654
0.073	1	0.073	0.635	0.426
0.037	1	0.037	0.319	0.572
0.973	5	0.195	1.692	0.137
29.333	255	0.115		
30.306	260	0.117		
	0.790  0.147 0.098 0.073  0.037  0.973	Squares       Freedom         0.790       1         0.147       3         0.098       2         0.073       1         0.973       5         29.333       255	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.790         1         0.790           0.147         3         0.049           0.098         2         0.049           0.073         1         0.073           0.037         1         0.037           0.973         5         0.195           29.333         255         0.115	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         0.790       1       0.790       6.867         0.147       3       0.049       0.425         0.098       2       0.049       0.426         0.073       1       0.073       0.635         0.037       1       0.037       0.319         0.973       5       0.195       1.692         29.333       255       0.115

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square		Sig.
<u> </u>	Jaguaras		Dquazo		Ol F
Covariate:		} }			
Distance	1.214	1	1.214	5.499	0.020
Main Effects:	5.446	3	1.815	8.220	0.000
Group	2.945	2	1.472	6.668	0.001
Grade	4.364		4.364	19.761	0.000
Two-Way Interaction	0.065		0.065	0.297	0.586
Explained	6.726	5	1.345	6.091	0.000
Residual	61.832	280	0.221		
Total	68.558	285	0.241		

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

## Combined Questions

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	. 👱 .	Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate:	8549.395	1	8549.395	8.597	0.0004
Distance	8349.393	an an ar	63 <b>43</b> • 393	0.397	
Main Effects:	7943.973	3	2647.991	2.663	0.048
	7885.613	2	3942.807	3.965	0.048
Group	1	2			l .
Grade	537.304		537.304	0.540	0.463
Two-Way					
Interaction	2602.121	1	2602.121	2.617	0.107
Explained	19095.500	5	3819.100	3.840	0.002
Residual	278449.125	280	994.461		
restanat	270447.123	200	774 • 40 l		
Total	297544.625	285	1044.016		
5 cases missing	g (1.7%)	<u></u> <u>.</u>		<u>L.</u>	

QUESTIONNAIRE:

Teacher

Q1A - Library Use

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.020		1.020	13.336	0.000
3 <b>.</b> 179	13	0.245	3.198	0.000
4.198	14	0.300	3.922	0.000
18.351	240	0.076		
22.549	254	0.089		
	1.020 3.179 4.198	1.020 1  3.179 13  4.198 14  18.351 240	Squares     Freedom     Square       1.020     1     1.020       3.179     13     0.245       4.198     14     0.300       18.351     240     0.076	Squares     Freedom     Square     F       1.020     1     1.020     13.336       3.179     13     0.245     3.198       4.198     14     0.300     3.922       18.351     240     0.076

Q1B - Come To the Library With...

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.310	1	0.310	0.531	0.467
Main Effects: Teacher	4.980	13	0.383	0.657	0.803
Explained	5.289	14	0.378	0.648	0.823
Residual	138.693	238	0.583		
Total	143.982	252	0.571		

Q2A - Study

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.004	1	0.004	0.018	0.892
Main Effects: Teacher	9.975	13	0.767	3.433	0.000
Explained	9.979	14	0.713	3.189	0.000
Residual	53.650	240	0.224		
Total	63.630	254	0.251		

Q2B - Meet Friends

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	·	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.000	1	0.000	0.004	0.947
Main Effects: Teacher	1.598	13	0.123	1.409	0.156
Explained	1.599	14	0.114	1.308	0.203
Residual	20.949	240	0.087		
Total	22.548	254	0.089		

Q2C - Attend Programs

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.000	1	0.000	0.003	0.958
1.097	13	0.084	0.944	0.509
1.098	14	0.078	0.877	0.584
21.451	240	0.089		
22.548	254	0.089		
	0.000 1.097 1.098	Squares         Freedom           0.000         1           1.097         13           1.098         14           21.451         240	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.000         1         0.000           1.097         13         0.084           1.098         14         0.078           21.451         240         0.089	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.000         1         0.000         0.003           1.097         13         0.084         0.944           1.098         14         0.078         0.877           21.451         240         0.089

Q2D - Materials For Home

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.019	1	0.019	0.079	0.779
Main Effects: Teacher	5.186	13	0.399	1.675	0.067
Explained	5.205	14	0.372	1.561	0.092
Residual	54.793	230	0.238		
Total	59.999	254	0.246		
***************************************					

Q3A - Books

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of	Mean Square	**************************************	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.072	1	0.072	2.775	0.133
Main Effects: Teacher	0.337	13	0.026	0.813	0.646
Explained	0.409	14	0.029	0.917	0.541
Residual	7.329	230	0.032	m 5 1 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Total	7.739	244	0.032		

Q3B - Records

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.269		1.269	6.816	0.010
3.651	13	0.281	1.508	0.115
4.920	14	0.351	1.887	0.029
42.834	230	0.186		
47.754	244	0.196		
	3.651 4.920	3.651 13 4.920 14 42.834 230	1.269 1 1.269  3.651 13 0.281  4.920 14 0.351  42.834 230 0.186	Squares     Freedom     Square     F       1.269     1     1.269     6.816       3.651     13     0.281     1.508       4.920     14     0.351     1.887       42.834     230     0.186

Q3C - Cassettes

Sum of	Deg. of	Mea n		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	· F	of F
0.234	1	0.234	1.705	0.193
<b>2.338</b>	13	0.180	1.311	0.207
2.572	14	0.184	1.339	0.186
31.565	230	0.137	****	<b></b>
34.138	244	0.140		
	0.234 2.338 2.572	0.234 1  2.338 13  2.572 14  31.565 230	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.234         1         0.234           2.338         13         0.180           2.572         14         0.184           31.565         230         0.137	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.234         1         0.234         1.705           2.338         13         0.180         1.311           2.572         14         0.184         1.339           31.565         230         0.137

Q3D - Newspapers

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b></b>	Sig.
Covariate: Distance	0.905	1	0.905	4.084	0.044
Main Effects: Teacher	6.263	13	0.482	2.173	0.011
Explained	7.169	14	0.512	2.310	0.005
Residual	50.993	230	0.222		
Total	58.162	244	0.238		

Q3E - Quiet Place To Study

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
				• •
0.932	1	0.932	4.446	0.036
4.515	13	0.347	1.657	0.071
5.447	14	0.389	1.856	0.032
48.641	232	0.210		
54.088	246	0.220		
	0.932 4.515 5.447 48.641	Squares Freedom  0.932 1  4.515 13  5.447 14  48.641 232	Squares Freedom Square  0.932	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.932         1         0.932         4.446           4.515         13         0.347         1.657           5.447         14         0.389         1.856           48.641         232         0.210

Q3F - Homework

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	~~~ <b>F</b> ~~~	Sig. of F
Covariate: Distance	0.002	1 	0.002	0.011	0.916
Main Effects: Teacher	8.570	13	0.659	3.938	0.000
Explained	8.572	14	0.612	3.657	0.000
Residual	38.844	232	0.167		
Total	47.416	246	0.193		

Q3G - Librarian's Help

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.365	1	1.365	5.684	0.018
4.546	13	0.350	1.456	0.135
5.911	14	0.422	1.758	0.046
55.715	232	0.240		- +
61.626	246	0.251		
	1.365 4.546 5.911 55.715	1.365 1  4.546 13  5.911 14  55.715 232	1.365 1 1.365  4.546 13 0.350  5.911 14 0.422  55.715 232 0.240	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.365       1       1.365       5.684         4.546       13       0.350       1.456         5.911       14       0.422       1.758         55.715       232       0.240

Q3H - Library Programs

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square		of F
1.707	1	1.707	7.831	0.006
4.084	13	0.314	1.441	0.141
5.790	14	0.414	1.898	0.027
50.564	232	0.218		
56 • 355	246	0.229		
	1.707 4.084 5.790	1.707 1 4.084 13 5.790 14 50.564 232	1.707 1 1.707  4.084 13 0.314  5.790 14 0.414  50.564 232 0.218	Squares     Freedom     Square     F       1.707     1     1.707     7.831       4.084     13     0.314     1.441       5.790     14     0.414     1.898       50.564     232     0.218

Q4 - Find What You Want

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.065	1	0.065	0.919	0.339
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Main Effects: Teacher	1.091	13	0.084	1.189	0.288
Explained	1.156	14	0.083	1.170	0.300
Residual	16.382	232	0.071		
Total	17.538	246	0.071		
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

Q5A - No Time

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.173	1	0.173	0.967	0.326
Main Effects: Teacher	4.247	13	0.327	1.831	0.039
Explained	4.419	14	0.316	1.769	0.044
Residual	43.886	246	0.178	1 W F W & F F F F	
Total	48.305	260	0.186		

Q5B - Homework

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	<u> </u>	of F
0.206		0.206	0.936	0.334
9.224	13	0.710	3.200	0.000
9.430	14	0.674	3.057	0.000
54.208	246	0.220		
63.638	260	0.245		
	9.224 9.430 54.208	9.224 13 9.430 14 54.208 246	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.206         1         0.206           9.224         13         0.710           9.430         14         0.674           54.208         246         0.220	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.206         1         0.206         0.936           9.224         13         0.710         3.200           9.430         14         0.674         3.057           54.208         246         0.220

Q5C - Not Open When Needed

Source of	Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Variation:	Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
Covariate: Distance	0.143	1	0.143	0.968	0.326
Main Effects: Teacher	1.406	13	0.108	0.732	0.731
Explained	1.549	14	0.111	0.749	0.724
Residual	36.343	246	0.148		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total	37.892	260	0.146	e a 2 c e 22 k e e 2	

Q5D - Librarians Are Helpful

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
0.185	1	0.185	2.642	0.105
1.084	13	0.083	1.193	0.285
1.269	14	0.091	1.296	0.210
17•198	246	0.070		
18.467	260	0.071		
	0.185 1.084 1.269	Squares       Freedom         0.185       1         1.084       13         1.269       14         17.198       246	Squares         Freedom         Square           0.185         1         0.185           1.084         13         0.083           1.269         14         0.091           17.198         246         0.070	Squares         Freedom         Square         F           0.185         1         0.185         2.642           1.084         13         0.083         1.193           1.269         14         0.091         1.296           17.198         246         0.070

Q5E - Difficult To Get To

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	. ~ <b></b>	Sig.
					<u> </u>
Covariate: Distance	0.790	<b>1</b>	0.790	7.054	0.008
Main Effects: Teacher	1.969	13	0.151	1.352	0.184
Explained	2.759	14	0.197	1.760	0.045
Residual	27.547	246	0.112	an an groto see an solato to es	
Total	30.306	260	0.117	ete o a e talanda	

Q6 - Comments

Sum of	Deg. of	Mean		Sig.
Squares	Freedom	Square	F	of F
1.214		1.214	6.256	0.013
14.733	13	1.133	5.838	0.000
15.948	14	1.139	5.868	0.000
52.610	271	0.194	Januari et ek ek ek ek ek ek ek	kaga wa ta da a ka wa ∗
68.558	285	0.241		
	1.214 14.733 15.948 52.610	1.214 1 14.733 13 15.948 14 52.610 271	Squares     Freedom     Square       1.214     1     1.214       14.733     13     1.133       15.948     14     1.139       52.610     271     0.194	Squares       Freedom       Square       F         1.214       1       1.214       6.256         14.733       13       1.133       5.838         15.948       14       1.139       5.868         52.610       271       0.194

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

WQ - Combined Questions

Source of Variation:	Sum of Squares	Deg. of Freedom	Mean Square	<b></b>	Sig.
Covariate: Distance	8549 <b>.</b> 395	1	8549.395	8.984	0.003
Main Effects: Teacher	31110.676	13	2393.129	2.515	0.003
Explained	39660.125	14	2832.866	2.977	0.000
Residual	257884.500	271	951.603		
Total	297544.625	285	1044.016		* * * 1 * * * * * * *

<sup>5</sup> cases missing (1.7%)

### APPROVAL SHEET

The dissertation submitted by Leslie Edmonds has been read and approved by the following committee:

Dr. Mary Jane Gray, Director Chair, Curriculum and Instruction, Loyola

Dr. Todd Hoover Assistant Professor, Curriculum and Instruction, Loyola

Dr. Gwendolyn Trotter Associate Professor, Curriculum and Instruction, Loyola

Dr. Peggy Sullivan Dean, College of Professional Studies, Northern Illinois University

The final copies have been examined by the director of the dissertation and the signature which appears below verifies the fact that any necessary changes have been incorporated and that the dissertation is now given final approval by the Committee with reference to content and form.

The dissertation is therefore accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Date Director's Signature J