

Florida International University
FIU Digital Commons

Department of Biological Sciences

College of Arts, Sciences & Education

5-25-2016

Estimating the global conservation status of more than 15,000 Amazonian tree species

Kenneth J. Feeley

International Center for Tropical Botany, Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University; The Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden, kfeeley@fiu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/cas_bio



Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Feeley KJ (2016) Commentary: Estimating the global conservation status of more than 15,000 Amazonian tree species. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 4:59. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2016.00059

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Arts, Sciences & Education at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Biological Sciences by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fiu.edu.



Commentary: Estimating the global conservation status of more than 15,000 Amazonian tree species

Kenneth J. Feeley^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, International Center for Tropical Botany, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA,

² Fairchild Tropical Botanic Garden, Coral Gables, FL, USA

Keywords: Amazon basin, red listing, endemic and threatened plants, trees, tropical forest, extinction, deforestation

A commentary on

Estimating the global conservation status of more than 15,000 Amazonian tree species

by ter Steege, H., Pitman, N. C. A., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Peres, C. A., Guevara, J. E., et al. (2015). *Sci. Adv.* 1:e1500936. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1500936

Amazonian forests provide ecosystem services that are critical at the planetary scale. Unfortunately, human land use threatens to drive many rainforest species to extinction. In a recent study, ter Steege et al. (2015) provide valuable insight into the threats that current and future deforestation potentially pose for Amazonian tree species. In any such large-scale analysis dealing with thousands of poorly-known species, there are clearly going to be many assumptions and possible sources of uncertainty. Here, I highlight two major assumptions used by ter Steege et al. (2015) to simplify their analyses—namely in the handling of widespread species and rare species. These assumptions have the potential to strongly influence predictions of how many and which species are at risk of being lost to deforestation over the coming decades.

Some tree species are likely to be endemic to the lowland Amazon; however, there are also certain to be many species that have ranges extending to higher elevations, different ecoregions, or even different continents. While ter Steege et al. perfunctorily acknowledge (in their online Supplemental Material) the potential problems caused by widespread tree species with geographic ranges extending beyond the defined Amazonian study area, they make no attempt to quantify how pervasive of a problem this may be or to account for it in any of their analyses. Rather, ter Steege et al. assume that rates and patterns of deforestation outside the Amazon mirror those occurring inside the Amazon. This goes against the core proposition of the study that spatial patterns of species' distributions, population densities, and the rates of deforestation, all combine in determining the degree to which species are threatened by habitat loss.

To get a sense of how many species may have ranges extending beyond the Amazon, I mapped the locations where Amazonian tree species are known to occur based on their herbarium collections records. More specifically, I downloaded all georeferenced occurrence records available through the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; <http://www.gbif.org/>) for the nearly 5000 Amazonian tree species occurring in the Amazon Tree Diversity Network's (ATDN; <http://atdn.myspecies.info/>) forest plots and queried how many of these “common” species have recorded occurrences outside of the Amazon. I found that the vast majority (81%) of species have ≥ 1 occurrence outside the defined study region, one-fourth of the species have $\geq 50\%$ of their occurrences outside the study region, and one-tenth of species have $>90\%$ of their occurrences outside the study region. Even if these extra-Amazonian populations are in some cases cryptic species, it is clear that many, if not most, Amazonian tree species are not actually endemic

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Kirithi K. Karanth,
Wildlife Conservation Society, India

Reviewed by:

Víctor Arroyo-Rodríguez,
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de
México, Mexico
Lucas Joppa,
Microsoft Research, USA

*Correspondence:

Kenneth J. Feeley
kjfeeley@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Conservation,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

Received: 08 March 2016

Accepted: 09 May 2016

Published: 25 May 2016

Citation:

Feeley KJ (2016) Commentary:
Estimating the global conservation
status of more than 15,000
Amazonian tree species.
Front. Ecol. Evol. 4:59.
doi: 10.3389/fevo.2016.00059

to the Amazon. For at least these widespread species, the data and methods employed by ter Steege et al. (2015) are insufficient to accurately estimate their true “global conservation status.”

In the case of rare species, there are believed to be ~11,000 Amazonian tree species (i.e., ~2/3 of total Amazon tree diversity) that are too rare to occur in any of the ATDN’s networked inventory plots (ter Steege et al., 2013). ter Steege et al. (2013, 2015) estimated the population sizes of these rare species based on an extrapolation of a rank-abundance curve created for the common species that do occur in their plots. ter Steege et al. (2015) then estimated the range sizes for rare species by assuming a fixed relationship between population size and range size. This method explicitly disregards the different ways that species can be rare (i.e., the classic “7 forms of rarity”; Rabinowitz, 1981) by assuming that all rare species have small geographic ranges and that no rare species have large, low-density ranges. It is difficult to test this assumption due to the inherent relationship between a species’ density and its detection probability. However, it is easy to imagine that there may exist widespread species that occur at such low densities that they are effectively “invisible” to current census techniques—especially considering that the ATDN’s plots include <0.8 million of the nearly 400 billion trees that they estimate to be growing in the Amazon (i.e., a sampling intensity of 0.0002%; ter Steege et al., 2013, 2015). In some cases, the ATDN may get “lucky” and a widespread low-density species will occur as a singlet or small number of individuals within one of their plots. According to the methods of ter Steege et al. (2015), however, the ranges of all species occurring in only a single plot, regardless of the number of individuals, are truncated to an arbitrarily set area (e.g., <444 km from the plot where it occurs). A clear priority for future research in tropical forests is to understand the true nature of rarity.

The handling of rare and widespread species by ter Steege et al. likely adds large uncertainties to the predicted global extinction risks of many individual species. However, it is still possible that the cumulative result, that between about 30 and 60% of

Amazonian tree species are threatened with extinction due to deforestation, is valid. The same two concerns about widespread and rare species were raised in a response to a previous study by Hubbell et al. (2008) that estimated the extinction risks posed by Amazonian deforestation (Feeley and Silman, 2008). A subsequent analysis by Feeley and Silman (2009) was then attempted with the explicit goal of at least partially bypassing these assumptions through the use of occurrence records, habitat maps and estimates of deforestation rates outside the Amazon (at the same time introducing other assumptions and possible sources of errors). Feeley and Silman (2009) predicted that Amazonian plant species will lose an average of 17 or 30% percent of their ranges by 2050 under Increased-Governance or Business-As-Usual models of deforestation—estimates that are strikingly similar to the new loss rates predicted by ter Steege et al. (ter Steege et al. predict that the population sizes of common Amazonian tree species will decrease by an average of 11 or 35%). In other words, while the data, methods, assumptions, and limitations differed greatly between studies, the final predictions were accordant. If nothing else, these studies all indicate that very high numbers of Amazonian species are already, or soon will be, threatened by deforestation. Add in the largely-unexplored effects of other human disturbances such as climate change, fire, forest degradation and defaunation (Peres et al., 2010), and it is clear that no matter what the underlying assumptions, the Amazon’s future is very dire indeed.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KJF confirms being the sole contributor of this work and approved it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

KJF is supported by the US National Science Foundation (DEB-1257655 and DEB-1350125).

REFERENCES

- Feeley, K. J., and Silman, M. R. (2008). Unrealistic assumptions invalidate extinction estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, E121. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0808335106
- Feeley, K. J., and Silman, M. R. (2009). Extinction risks of Amazonian plant species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 12382–12387. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0900698106
- Hubbell, S. P., He, F., Condit, R., Borda-de-Água, L., Kellner, J., and ter Steege, H. (2008). How many tree species are there in the Amazon and how many of them will go extinct? *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 11498–11504. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801915105
- Peres, C. A., Gardner, T. A., Barlow, J., Zuanon, J., Michalski, F., Lees, A. C., et al. (2010). Biodiversity conservation in human-modified Amazonian forest landscapes. *Biol. Conserv.* 143, 2314–2327. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2010.01.021
- Rabinowitz, D. (1981). “Seven forms of rarity,” in *The Biological Aspects of Rare Plant Conservation*, ed H. Synge (Somerset, NJ: John Wiley & Sons), 2015–2217.

- ter Steege, H., Pitman, N. C. A., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Peres, C. A., Guevara, J. E., et al. (2015). Estimating the global conservation status of more than 15,000 Amazonian tree species. *Sci. Adv.* 1:e1500936. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1500936
- ter Steege, H., Pitman, N. C. A., Sabatier, D., Baraloto, C., Salomão, R. P., Guevara, J. E., et al. (2013). Hyperdominance in the Amazonian tree flora. *Science* 342:1243092. doi: 10.1126/science.1243092

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Feeley. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.