Florida International University FIU Digital Commons

HWCOM Faculty Publications

Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine

10-2016

The ever changing landscape of Zika virus infection. Learning on the fly

Jamie R. Torres Universidad Central de Venezuela; Tropical Medicine Institute

Jorge Murillo Herbert Werheim College of Medicine, Florida International University, murilloj@fiu.edu

Lina M. Bofill Global Health Consortium, Florida International University; University of Miami, libofill@fiu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/com_facpub Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

The ever changing landscape of Zika virus infection. Learning on the fly Torres, Jaime R. et al. International Journal of Infectious Diseases , Volume 51 , 123 - 126

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine at FIU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in HWCOM Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of FIU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dcc@fu.edu.



Editorial

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

The ever changing landscape of Zika virus infection. Learning on the fly () CrossMark

Zika virus (ZIKV) has gone a long way since its humble discovery in a *Rhesus* sentinel primate in the Zika Forest of Uganda in 1947, to become a potentially devastating international health threat nowadays.¹

For more than half a century ZIKV virus seemed to pose little threat to human beings and for that reason, epidemiological, clinical and therapeutic advances for ZIKV infection have been slow, as a reflection of the relatively small amount of research carried out on the subject as compared with other mosquito-borne viral diseases.

Historically restricted to Africa and Asia, outbreaks with variable impact of autochthonous Zika Fever (ZIKF) were reported in the Pacific region since 2007 and then, as predicted from the distribution of the vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*, ZIKV began to infect patients in South America in 2014, and eventually spread to Central and North America.² The first molecularly confirmed case of Zika virus infection in the Americas Region was reported from Brazil in March 2015.³

In contrast to the relatively slow spread of Ebola virus through West Africa, the ZIKF epidemics in the Pacific and Americas regions moved very rapidly. Indeed, based on the severity of the health threat associated with the continuing spread of ZIKV disease in Latin America and the Caribbean, on 1 February 2016, the World Health Organization declared Zika virus-associated clusters of microcephaly and related neurological disorders a 'Public Health Emergency of International Concern'.⁴

The number of patients affected by ZIKF in the Western Hemisphere increased sharply. As of August 22, 2016 45 countries and Territories throughout the Americas have reported more than 577,000 locally-acquired cases, with estimates of several million real cases, over a period of about one year and a half.⁵ Mathematical models have estimated the basic reproduction number (R0), or number of cases one case generates on average over the course of its infectious period in an otherwise uninfected population, for ZIKV in the region from 1.4 to 6.6 as key measure of transmissibility in a number of settings.⁶

The underlying reasons for the observed global spread of ZIKV are not fully understood highlighting how little we still understand about the global spread of flaviviruses and other vector-borne diseases. Hypotheses currently under consideration include possibilities as diverse as adaptive evolution of ZIKV allowing for an enhanced infectivity to urban *Aedes* species vectors, or alternatively, adaptive evolution in the human host, leading to higher levels of viremia which would instead enhance both the transmission by the biting mosquitos and the risk of transplacental fetal transmission. Not least important appears to be the introduction of ZIKV into naive populations of new areas fueled by increased global travel, expansion of tropical urban centers, and abundant susceptible mosquito populations.

The Western hemisphere has faced an unprecedented epidemiological situation with the widespread cocirculation of Dengue (DENV), Chikungunya (CHIKV) and Zika (ZIKV), three arboviruses of major public health importance. The simultaneous emergence and reemergence of this three agents present new challenges to both clinicians and public health authorities.⁷

Overlapping clinical features between diseases caused by ZIKV, DENV and CHIKV, as well as the possible cross-reactivity between DENV and ZIKV and other flaviviruses when immunological methods are used for IgM detection, hamper the reliable diagnosis of the illness in areas with active circulation of both viruses.⁸ Compounding this situation even further is the possibility of coinfections with any combination of them in the human host.^{8,9,13} To the present, experimental dual infection in mosquitos has only been established with Chikungunya and Dengue.¹⁰

With the high possibility of IgM and IgG cross-reactivity in immunoassays, particularly between DENV and ZIKV, differential diagnosis via detection of viral RNA is critical for identifying the causative agent of these infections in The Americas' context.¹¹ The latter is clearly exemplified by the report from Villamil-Gómez et al.¹² in the current issue describing a pregnant Colombian woman with triple coinfection (ZIKV-DENV-CHIKV). A recent publication from several of the coauthors has already shown that coinfection in some endemic areas may be as high, or even higher than monoinfections.¹³ However, the use of diagnostic technologies more affordable to developing countries, such as nested PCR, may pose a higher risk of false positive results as compared to realtime PCR; therefore, some results would require confirmation by other validated techniques like RT-PCR, and/or viral sequencing, as performed in the case published herein.¹² Simultaneous qualitative detection and differentiation of RNA from ZIKV, dengue virus, and chikungunya virus in human sera or cerebrospinal fluid, and qualitative detection of ZIKV virus RNA in urine and amniotic fluid (each collected alongside a patient-matched serum specimen) can be accomplished by the CDC Trioplex rRT-PCR assay recently approved by the USA Food and Drug Administration agency.¹⁴

An interesting particularity of ZIKV is its capacity to be sexually transmitted in addition to the possibility of perinatal and transplacental transmission during childbirth and possibly, by blood transfusion.^{15,16} Evidence of sexual transmission was first suggested in 2011¹⁷ and later confirmed by several reports^{18,19} Although in only few instances the patients involved experienced hematospermia, such as the case reports by Foy and Musso^{17,20}, the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.09.001

^{1201-9712/© 2016} Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

report by Torres JR, et al.²¹ included in this issue, and a parallel one by Huits RMHG, et al.²² confirm and indicate that microhematospermia may be a relatively common event. In fact, of four patients convalescent of recent ZIKV infection followed prospectively to assess the kinetic of the virus in semen, one experienced asymptomatic patent hematospermia, and three others microhematospermia, suggesting that men with acute infection may develop inflammatory changes in the urogenital tract that would play a potential role in the shedding and persistence of the virus in this body compartment with viral loads higher than concurrent sera levels.²² No other arbovirus has shown any association with hematospermia or is found in the semen. Moreover, the demonstration of infectious ZIKV in urine, along with the detection of ZIKV RNA in urine even after viremia has cleared, could be consistent with ZIKV replication in urogenital tissues.^{17,23,24} Of note, at least two instances of sexual transmission from a ZIKV carrier who never showed symptoms has been reported.^{25,26}

Although mosquito bite represents unquestionably the main mode of transmission of Zika, the low viremia observed in many patients and the rapid spread within and among countries in some regions like the Americas supports a role for other modes of transmission, such as sexual intercourse, that could contribute to its rapid spread.²⁷ As identifying and characterizing cases of sexually transmitted Zika virus infection in areas experiencing intense autochthonous vector-borne Zika virus transmission is a difficult task, most reports of sexual transmission originate in areas where autochthonous transmission is not occurring which allows for unique and important opportunities to learn about this emerging mode of transmission.

The immune-privileged nature of the testes may allow ZIKV to persist in this tissue for prolonged periods, serving as reservoirs with the potential to initiate new transmission cycles from otherwise healthy individuals. Seminal shedding of ZIKV seems to coincide with the duration of spermatogenesis (69–80 days), suggesting the possibility of infection of sperm progenitors and viral shedding during the differentiation process.²² Of note, immunedeficient mice with experimental ZIKV infection show the highest levels of viral replication in the testes and brain.²⁸ However, further studies aimed to understand persistence of ZIKV in semen and the real risk of ZIKV sexual transmission are necessary.

The European Center for Diseases Control currently recommends deferral of semen donation for 28 days after returning from areas where ZIKV is endemic.²⁹ Of note, in one recently published case ZIKV RNA was detected in semen up to 134 days indicating a prolonged potential risk for sexual transmission, for a period longer than previously reported.³⁰ These latest findings may suggest a revision of the recommendations of prevention of sexual transmission and infection in pregnancy issued by current health authorities. Indeed, very recently WHO officially advised that all men and women returning from areas where transmission of Zika virus is known to occur should adopt safer sex practices or consider abstinence for at least 6 months upon return to prevent Zika virus infection through sexual transmission.³¹ By comparison, suspected sexual transmission of Ebola virus has occurred 179 days after onset of the disease and Ebola virus RNA has been detected in semen for 4-6 months after disease onset in more than 40% of survivors.^{32,33}

Little information is available on the cellular tropism of ZIKV and the nature of the cellular receptors that mediate its entry in different tissues, as well as the mechanisms of ZIKV infection and the signaling pathways and antiviral immune response of the host elicited by this virus. In this respect, the work by Chen J, et al. revealing that uterine fibroblasts (UF) are susceptible to infection opens the possibility of a relevant role for the uterus as a conduit for virus during heterosexual intercourse.³⁴

These results are in accordance with earlier and recent reports in the literature underscoring the importance of fibroblasts as a primary cell type of replication for flaviviruses, in close association with host cell membranes, that may contribute to subsequent viral dissemination.^{35,36} The primary infection of skin fibroblasts with ZIKV is linked to the up-regulation with TLR3 mRNA expression and increased transcription of RIG-I and MDA5, all of which are related to innate immune responses to RNA virus infections. This is followed by an increase in the expression of interferon-alpha and beta, and their downstream pathways of immune activation.³⁶ Additional investigations are needed to define the exact contribution of specific fibroblasts cell receptors and/or attachment factors to ZIKV infection, tropism and pathogenesis.

Another paper included in this issue by Coelho F, et al.,³⁷ from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, indicates that, in some contexts, women of reproductive age groups are far more likely to get Zika than men; suggesting the possibility that men-to-women sexual transmission may account for this difference. A caveat to this conclusion is the fact that just because the statistical data shows an apparent correlation between these two parameters it doesn't mean that there is necessarily an underlying causal relationship; besides, female to male sexually transmitted Zika virus has been also described recently. Indeed, the confirmation of at least one case of ZIKV infection transmitted from a symptomatically infected woman to a male sex partner,³⁸ and the detection of ZIKV RNA in vaginal fluids of a patient 3 days after symptom onset and in cervical mucus up to 11 days,³⁹ indicates that partners of infected women, might acquire ZIKV through exposure to vaginal secretions or menstrual blood during sexual intercourse. Therefore, other factors would explain in part why women in the sexually active age bracket may be more affected.

As illustrated by the paper of Machado-Alba JE, et al. also found in this issue,⁴⁰ Guillain Barre Syndrome (GBS) appears to be a genuine risk in patients recently infected with ZIKV. This association was first reported during the outbreak of ZIKF in French Polynesia between 2013 and 2014 (risk: 24 per 100,000 ZIKV infections).⁴¹ The risk of GBS reported was 10 times the annual risk in the USA (1.8 per 100,000). Most cases occurred in symptomatic patients with a median of 6 days of symptoms in 88% of the patients.⁴¹

According to PAHO, an apparent increased incidence of GBS has also been observed in Brazil, Colombia, and El Salvador in association with the current epidemic of ZIKF in the Americas.⁵ To date, such a rise in the incidence of GBS cases has been reported in at least 12 countries and territories with laboratory confirmed cases of ZIKV.⁵ Mortality of ZIKV and GBS is expected to be higher in medical environments where respiratory support is not available or scarce, as is the case in many locations of Latin America. It should be noted that changes in the incidence of GBS in the region may not be related exclusively to ZIKV, but also to fluctuations in the incidence of DENV and CHIK, or other viral and bacterial pathogens.

Finally, the work by Rodriguez-Morales A, et al.⁴² published herein represents one of the first to use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS)-based epidemiological maps in a Zika epidemic. The incorporation of these technologies would allow to integrate preventive and control strategies, as well as public health policies, for joint control of this and similar vector-borne diseases in any area of a country. As dengue, CHIKV and ZIKV widely cocirculate in the continent nowadays; updated GIS maps of these infections as well as coinfections will be potentially useful.

GIS-based technologies drawing on local census data may generate useful information showing public health experts where to target prevention efforts, particularly among women of childbearing age and their partners. By allowing to monitor the spread of the ZIKV, they can properly dole out domestic assistance and resources to the areas with the greatest number of cases. Such maps may also provide relevant information aimed to assess the risk of travelers to specific destinations in highly transmission areas allowing detailed prevention advices.

As health specialists need reliable information to make sound decisions about what preventive actions to take, the use of GIS collected information to locate the most vulnerable populations in a given region represents an important stride than can help in educating people on the risks of the ZIKV and bring actions that can protect health and curb the spread of disease.

Undoubtedly, protection of exposure of susceptible individuals to ZIKV and suitable vector control actions are the only tools available to reduce the advance and impact of this infection at the present moment, especially until effective vaccines and antiviral therapy become available.

References

- Dick GW, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ. Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1952;46:509–20.
- European Center for Disease Prevention and Control. Rapid Risk Assessment. Zika virus infection outbreak. In: Brazil and the Pacific Region, 25 May 2015. Stockholm: ECDC; 2015. Available from: http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/ publications/Publications/rapid-risk-assessment-Zika virus-south-america-Brazil-2015.pdf
- Campos GS, Bandeira AC, Sardi SI. Zika Virus Outbreak, Bahia, Brazil. Emerg Infect Dis 2015;21:1885-6.
- 4. World Health Organization WHO. Director-General summarizes the outcome of the Emergency Committee regarding clusters of microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Geneva: WHO; 2016, Available at http://www.who.int/ mediacentre/news/statements/2016/ emergency-committee-zika-microcephaly/en/
- PAHO Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. Zika suspected and confirmed cases reported by countries and territories in the Americas Cumulative cases, 2015–2016. Updated as of 25 August 2016. Washington, D.C.: PAHO/WHO; 2016. Pan American Health Organization, www.paho.org.
- LesslerJ, Chaisson LH, Kucirka LM, Bi W, Grantz K, Saije H, et al. Assessing the global threat from Zika virus. *Science* 2016;353:6300.
- Pessôa R1, Patriota JV, Lourdes de Souza Md, Felix AC, Mamede N, Sanabani SS. Investigation Into an Outbreak of Dengue-like Illness in Pernambuco, Brazil, Revealed a Cocirculation of Zika, Chikungunya, and Dengue Virus Type 1. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016 Mar;95(12):e3201.
- Waggoner JJ, Pinsky BA. Zika virus: diagnostics for an emerging pandemic threat. J Clin Microbiol 2016;54:860–7.
- Zambrano H, Waggoner JJ, Almeida C, Rivera L, Benjamin JQ, Pinsky BA. Zika Virus and Chikungunya Virus CoInfections: A Series of Three Cases from a Single Center in Ecuador. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2016 Jul 11, pii: 16-0323.
- Nuckols JT, Huang YJ, Higgs S, Miller AL, Pyles RB, Spratt HM, et al. Evaluation of Simultaneous Transmission of Chikungunya Virus and Dengue Virus Type 2 in Infected Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol 2015;52:447–55.
- Cabral-Castro MJ, Cavalcanti MG, Peralta RH, Peralta JM. Molecular and serological techniques to detect co-circulation of DENV, ZIKV and CHIKV in suspected dengue-like syndrome patients. J Clin Virol 2016;82:108–11.
- [IJID_2617] Mapping Zika in municipalities of one coastal department of Colombia (Sucre) using Geographic information system (GIS) during 2015-2016 outbreak: implications for public health and travel advice. Alfonso J. Rodriguez-Morales; Leidy Jhoana Patiño-Cadavid; Carlos O. Lozada-Riascos; Wilmer E. Villamil-Gomez.
- Calvo EP, Sánchez-Quetea F, Durán A, Sandoval I, Castellanos JE. Easy and inexpensive molecular detection of dengue, chikungunya and zika viruses in febrile patients. *Acta Tropica* 2016;**163**:32–7.
- 14. Zika virus emergency use authorization. Silver Spring, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration; 2016. http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/EmergencySituations/ucm161496.htm.
- Besnard M, Lastère S, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau V, Musso D. Evidence of perinatal transmission of Zika virus, French Polynesia. *Euro Surveill* December 2013 and February 2014;19:20751.
- 16. Musso D, Nhan T, Robin E, Roche C, Bierlaire D, Zisou K, et al. Potential for Zika virus transmission through blood transfusion demonstrated during an outbreak in French Polynesia. *Euro Surveill* November 2013 to February 2014;19:20761.
- Foy BD, Kobylinski KC, Chilson Foy JL, Blitvich BJ, Travassos da Rosa A, Haddow AD, et al. Probable nonvector-borne transmission of Zika virus, Colorado, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011;17:880–2.
- D'Ortenzio E, Matheron S, Yazdanpanah Y, de Lamballerie X, Hubert B, Piorkowski G, et al. Evidence of Sexual Transmission of Zika Virus. N Engl J Med 2016;374(22):2195–8.
- Hills SL, Russell K, Hennessey M, Williams C, Oster AM, Fischer M, et al. Transmission of Zika Virus Through Sexual Contact with Travelers to Areas

of Ongoing Transmission - Continental United States, 2016. MMWR 2016;65:215-6.

- Musso D, Roche C, Robin E, Nhan T, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. Potential sexual transmission of Zika virus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015;21:359–61. Erratum in: *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015, 21: 552.
- [IJID_2701]. Microhematospermia in acute Zika virus infection. Jaime R. Torres, Nahir Martínez and Zoila Moros.
- Huits RMHG, De Smet B, Ariën KK, Van Esbroeck M, de Jong BC, Bottieau E, et al. Kinetics of Zika virus persistence in semen. [Submitted]. Bull World Health Organ E-pub:06 Jul 2016, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.16.181370</u>.
- Atkinson B, Hearn P, Afrough B, Lumley S, Carter D, Aarons EJ, et al. Detection of Zika virus in semen.11 February Emerg Infect Dis 2016 May;22(5):940. doi:10.3201/eid2205.160107://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2205.160107.
- 24. Reusken C, Pas S, GeurtsvanKessel C, Mögling R, van Kampen J, Langerak T, et al. Longitudinal follow-up of Zika virus RNA in semen of a traveller returning from Barbados to the Netherlands with Zika virus disease, March 2016. *Euro Surveill* 2016;21.(23). pii=30251.
- 25. Fréour T, Mirallié S, Hubert B, Splingart C, Barrière P, Maquart M, et al. Sexual transmission of Zika virus in an entirely asymptomatic couple returning from a Zika epidemic area, France, April 2016. *Euro Surveill* 2016;21(23). pii=30254.
- Brooks RB, Carlos MP, Myers RA, et al. Likely Sexual Transmission of Zika Virus from a Man with No Symptoms of Infection – Maryland, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. ePub: 26 August 2016. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr. mm6534e2</u>.
- Lazear HM, Diamond MS. Zika Virus: New Clinical Syndromes and Its Emergence in the Western Hemisphere. J Virol 2016;90:4864–75.
- Rossi SL, Tesh RB, Azar SR, Muruato AE, Hanley KA, Auguste AJ, et al. Characterization of a Novel Murine Model to Study Zika Virus. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2016;94:1362–9.
- 29. European Center for Disease Prevention and Control. Zika virus disease epidemic: potential association with microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome (first update). Stockholm, Sweden: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2016 , http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/ rapid-risk-assessment-zika-virus-first-update-jan-2016.pdf.
- 30. Nicastri E, Castilletti C, Liuzzi G, Iannetta M, Capobianchi MR, Ippolito G. Persistent detection of Zika virus RNA in semen for six months after symptom onset in a traveller returning from Haiti to Italy, February 2016. *Euro Surveill* 2016;**21**(32). pii=30314.
- Prevention of sexual transmission of Zika virus Interim guidance update 6 September 2016, WHO/ZIKV/MOC/16.1 Rev.3; http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/204421/1/WHO_ZIKV_MOC_16.1_eng.pdf?ua=1.
- Chughtai AA, Barnes M, Macintyre CR. Persistence of Ebola virus in various body fluids during convalescence: evidence and implications for disease transmission and control. *Epidemiol Infect* 2016;144:1652–60.
- **33.** Vetter P, Fischer WA, Schibler M, Jacobs M, Bausch DG, Kaiser L. Ebola Virus Shedding and Transmission: Review of Current Evidence. *J Infect Dis* 2016 Jul 20. pii: jiw254. [Epub ahead of print].
- 34. [IJID_2674]. Infection of Human Uterine Fibroblasts by Zika Virus In vitro: Implications for Viral Transmission in Women. Joseph C. Chen; Zuguang Wang; Hong Huang; Sara Weitz; Aprilgate Wang; Xiaolei Qiu; Mark Baumeister; Arejas Uzgiris.
- Bustos-Arriaga J, Garcia-Machorro J, Leon-Juarez M, Garcia-Cordero J, Santos-Argumedo L, Flores-Romo L, et al. Activation of the innate immune response against DENV in normal non-transformed human fibroblasts. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2011;5:e1420. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001420
- Hamel R, Dejarnac O, Wichit S, Ekchariyawat P, Neyret A, Luplertlop N, et al. Biology of Zika virus infection in human skin cells. J Virol 2015;89:8880–96.
- 37. [IJID_2699]. Sexual transmission of Zika evidenced by higher incidence in adult women in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Flavio Codeco Coelho; Betina Durovni; Valeria Saraceni; Cristina Lemos; Claudia T Codeco; Sabrina Camargo; Luiz M Carvalho; Leonardo Bastos; Denise Arduini; Daniel A Villela; Margaret Armstrong.
- Davidson A, Slavinski S, Komoto K, Rakeman J, Weiss D. Suspected female-tomale sexual transmission of Zika virus—New York City, 2016. MMWR 2016;65:716–7.
- **39.** Prisantemail N, Bujan L, Benichou H, Hayot PH, Pavili L, Lurel S, et al. Zika virus in the female genital tract. *The Lancet* 2016;**16**:1000–1.
- IJID-D-16-00616. Diagnosis of Neurological Disorders and Zika virus epidemic in Colombia 2015–2016. Jorge Enrique Machado-Alba; Andres Gaviria-Mendoza; Manuel E Machado-Duque; Viviana A Orozco-Giraldo.
- 41. Cao-Lormeau VM, Blake A, Mons S, Lastère S, Roche C, Vanhomwegen J, et al. Guillain-Barré Syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: a case-control study. *Lancet* 2016;**387**:1531–9.
- 42. IJID-D-16-00127. Mapping Zika in municipalities of one coastal department of Colombia (Sucre) using Geographic information system (GIS) during 2015-2016 outbreak: implications for public health and travel advice. Alfonso J. Rodriguez-Morales; Leidy Jhoana Patiño-Cadavid; Carlos O. Lozada-Riascos; Wilmer E. Villamil-Gomez.

Jaime R. Torres^{a,b,} Jorge Murillo^{C,d} Lina Bofill^{e,f} ^aLuis Razetti School of Medicine, Universidad Central de Venezuela

Corresponding Editor: Jaime R. Torres, Tropical Medicine Institute, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Email: torresj@iname.com

> *Corresponding author E-mail address: torresj@post.com (J.R. Torres).

^bTropical Medicine Institute, Caracas, Venezuela ^cHerbert Wertheim College of Medicine, Florida International University, Miami, Florida

^dBaptist Health System of South Florida ^eGlobal Health Consortium, Florida International University, Miami, Florida

^fDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida